

EFFECT OF DIETARY ENERGY LEVELS, VITAMIN B₅ SUPPLEMENTATION AND STOCKING DENSITY ON NILE TILAPIA (*Oreochromis niloticus*) GROWTH PERFORMANCE

Ayyat, M.S., H.A. Gabr, A.E. Nasr and

Hemat K.E. Mahmoud

**Animal Production Dept., Faculty of Agriculture,
Zagazig University, Zagazig, Egypt.**

Accepted 24/1/2007

ABSTRACT: A factorial design (2x3x2) was conducted for studying the effects of dietary energy levels, supplementation levels of vitamin B₅ and stocking density on growth performance traits, chemical body composition and blood components of Nile tilapia. All fish were divided into two main groups. The 1st main group was fed on a diet containing 2900 kcal/kg and the 2nd main group was offered a diet with 3500 kcal/kg. Each main group was divided into 3 sub-groups; the 1st was fed on a diet without supplementation with Pantothenic acid (vitamin B₅), the 2nd and 3rd groups were fed on diets supplemented with 10 and 20 mg Pantothenic acid/ kg diet, respectively. Within each sub-group, the fish divided further into 2 sub-groups; the 1st was stocked at 10 fish/aquarium and the 2nd was stocked at 20 fish/aquarium.

The results revealed the following

1) Live body weight, body weight gain, feed intake and feed conversion of tilapia improved significantly ($P < 0.05$ or 0.01) with decreasing dietary energy levels, decreasing stocking density and 10 mg vitamin B₅ supplementation. The interaction effect between vitamin B₅ supplementation and stocking density were significantly ($P < 0.01$) only on live body weight, daily body weight gain, daily feed intake and feed conversion. 2) Total protein, albumen, T₃, aspartate amino transferase (AST) and alanin amino transferase (ALT) of blood plasma were increased significantly ($P < 0.05$ or 0.01) with increasing dietary energy level, increasing stocking density and decreased with 10 mg vitamin B₅ supplementation, however total

plasma protein was decreased with increasing dietary energy level. 3) Decreasing dietary energy level resulted in a significant ($P < 0.01$) increase in dry matter and crude protein but decreased ether extract and ash of tilapia whole body. While these traits did not significantly affected with vitamin B₅ supplementation levels and stocking density. Generally, the Nile tilapia fish growth performance was improved with decreasing each of dietary energy level, stocking density and vitamin B₅ supplementation with level of 10 mg/kg diet, under Egyptian conditions.

Key words: Energy, density, Pantothenic acid, growth performance, blood component, body composition and Nile tilapia.

INTRODUCTION

One of the striking differences in feeds between fish and farm animals is that, the amount of energy required for protein synthesis is much less for fish than for warm blooded animals. Fish have a lower dietary energy requirement because they do not have to maintain a constant body temperature, they exert relatively less energy to maintain position and to move in water, and they lose less energy in protein catabolism and excretion of nitrogenous wastes than land animals because they excrete most of their nitrogenous wastes as ammonia through the gills (Lovell, 1979; NRC, 1983; El-Sayed and Teshima 1992 and NRC, 1993).

A deficiency of Pantothenic acid impairs the metabolism of

mitochondria rich cells that undergo rapid mitosis and high energy expenditure. Thus deficiency signs have been found to appear within 10-14 days in rapidly growing fish (Hosokawa, 1989). Clubbed gills, anemia and high mortality have been observed in salmonids (Karges and Woodward, 1984), Channel catfish (Brunson *et al.* 1983 and Wilson *et al.* 1983). Retarded growth, anorexia, lethargy, hemorrhage, skin lesion and anemia were observed in common carp and Japanese eel (Arai *et al.* 1972).

Fish stocking is an important factor in aquaculture as it can affect natural food availability, the efficiency of food resource utilization and total fish yield in ponds. Also, when number of fish stocked in a pond increases the amount of feed available to each

fish decreases (Chang 1988 and Delince 1992).

Therefore, this work aimed to study the effects of dietary energy levels, supplementation of vitamin B₅ (Pantothenic acid) and stocking density on growth performance, chemical body composition and blood components of Nile tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The present study was carried out at the Wet. Lab. of the Department of Animal Production, Faculty of Agriculture, Zagazig University, Zagazig, Egypt, during the period from July to October, 2005. The experiment lasted 90 days after start.

Fingerlings of Nile tilapia weighing approximately 14 g (after acclamyzation period for three weeks in Wet. Lab.) were obtained from Central Laboratory for Aquaculture Research at Abbassa, Abu-Hammad, Sharkiya governorate Egypt. Fish were adapted to normal laboratory conditions for three weeks. The experimental fish were randomly distributed into 36 glass aquariums (35x40x70 cm), representing to 12 treatments (3 replicates per treatment).

In a factorial design (2x3x2), two main groups of fish were performed. The 1st group was fed on a diet containing 2900 kcal/kg (according to NRC, 1993) and the 2nd group was fed on a diet containing 3500 kcal/kg. Each main group was divided into 3 sub-groups; the 1st was fed on a diet without supplementation with pantothenic acid, the 2nd and 3rd groups were fed on diets supplemented with 10 (according to NRC, 1993) and 20 mg Pantothenic acid/ kg diet, respectively. Within each sub-group, the fish was divided further into 2 other sub-groups; the 1st was stoked at a rate of 10 fish/aquarium and the 2nd was stocked at 20 fish/aquarium rate. Fish in all groups were kept under the same optimum conditions and water quality.

Two practical diets were contained 30% crude protein and 2900 or 3500 kcal/kg (Table 1). The dry ingredients of each diet were grinded through a feed grinder to very small particles sizes. The ingredients were weighed and mixed by mixer to homogeneity. The supplementation of Pantothenic acid is added to ingredients as calcium dl-pantothenate (46%

Table 1. Composition and chemical analysis of the fish diets used (on dry matter basis).

Ingredients	Low energy level (2900 kcal/kg)	High energy level (3500 kcal/kg)
Fish meal (herring)	16.00	16.00
Soya bean meal	10.00	10.00
Yellow corn	24.00	24.00
Corn gluten meal	15.00	16.00
Wheat bran	15.00	6.00
Wheat flour	14.00	13.00
Fish oil	2.00	9.00
Sun flower oil	1.00	3.00
Vitamin mix⁽¹⁾	1.00	1.00
Minerals mix⁽²⁾	2.00	2.00
Total	100.00	100.00
Chemical analysis:		
Crude protein	29.85	30.21
Ether extract	6.15	17.06
Crude fiber	8.06	6.02
Nitrogen free extract (NFE)	46.14	40.01
Ash	9.80	6.70
Gross energy(kcal/kg)	4159.44	4959.45
Metabolizable energy (ME)⁽³⁾	2911.61	3471.62

⁽¹⁾ Each one kg of vitamin mixture contained: Vit. A 72000 IU, Vit.B₁ 6 mg, Vit.B₃ 12000 IU, Vit.B₆ 9 mg , Vit.B₁₂ 0.06 mg , Vit. E 60 mg, Vit. K₁₂ mg, Pantothenic acid 60 mg, Nicotinic acid 120 mg, Folic acid 6mg , Biotin 0.3 mg and Choline chlorides 3 mg.

⁽²⁾ Each one kg of Minerals mixture contained: Zinc 1.23 g, Manganese 930 mg, Iron 630 mg , Copper 105 mg , Iodin 10.5 mg, Selnium 2.1mg .

⁽³⁾ ME was calculated from gross energy as 70% (NRC, 1993).

activity) as a dry powder in a multivitamin premix (NRC, 1993). The oil components (fish and sun flower oil) were gradually added and the mixing operation was continued for 20 minutes. After homogenous mixture, 40 ml water per 100 g diet was slowly added to the mixture according to Shimeino *et al.* (1985). Experimental diets were pelleted using the holes meat grinder and dried in drying oven for 24 hours at 65⁰C.

Fish were fed the experimental diets 6 days every week at a level of 3% of live body weight during the whole experimental period. Feed amount was adjusted after weighing according the new weight. Fish were weighed to the nearest 0.1 g at the beginning of the experiments and every 15 days and the amount of feed given was adjusted in accordingly on basis of the new biomass. Live body gain was calculated by subtracting the two successive live weights at different experimental periods. The feed conversion ratio (FCR) is expressed as the proportion of dry food required per unit live weight gain of fish according to the following equation:

$$FCR = \frac{\text{Feed intake (g)}}{\text{Weight gain (g)}}$$

Fish samples were randomly taken from each aquarium for chemical analysis at the start and the end of experimental period. All the samples were prepared for whole body chemical analysis. Samples of the experimental diets and whole fish bodies were analyzed for moisture, total protein, lipids and ash contents according to the methods described by A.O.A.C. (1990).

Blood samples were collected from the caudal vessels (Steucke and Schoettger, 1967) with 2.5cc disposable plastic syringe, previously rinsed with heparin. Blood samples were centrifuged at 3000 rpm for 20 minutes to separate blood plasma. Plasma samples were immediately frozen in copped polyethylene test tubes and stored at -20⁰ C to determine total protein, albumen, (Sundeman, 1964), creatinine (Henery 1974), urea-N, thyroxin (T₃), Aspartate amino transferase (AST) and alanine amino transferase (ALT) (Reitman and Fankel, 1957).

Analysis of variance for data was accomplished using the SAS General Linear Models Procedure (SAS, 1996). The effects of energy levels, vitamin B₅ levels and density were statistically analyzed by factorial analysis of variance (2x3x2) according the following

statistical model:

$$Y_{ijk} = \mu + E_i + V_j + D_k + EV_{ij} + ED_{ik} + VD_{jk} + EVD_{ijk} + e_{ijk}$$

Where:

Y_{ijk} is an observation, μ is the overall mean, E is the fixed effect of dietary energy level ($i=1\dots2$), V is the fixed effect of supplementation of vitamin B₅ ($j=1\dots3$), D is the fixed effect of density ($k=1\dots2$). EV_{ij} is the interaction effect of dietary energy levels and supplementation of vitamin B₅, ED_{ik} is the interaction effect of dietary energy levels and density, VD_{jk} is the interaction effect of supplementation of vitamin B₅ and density, EVD_{ijk} is the interaction effect of dietary energy levels, supplementation of vitamin B₅ and stocking density and e_{ijk} is random error.

Means were tested for significant differences by using Duncan's multiple range test (Duncan, 1955).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Growth Performance and Feed Utilization

The data illustrated in Table 2 show that the live body weight at the end of the experimental period (90 days), daily body weight gain

and daily feed intake during the period 0-90 days were improved significantly ($P<0.01$) with decreasing dietary energy level and stocking density, but the same traits were increased significantly ($P<0.01$) by using vitamin B₅ supplementation. When fish were fed diets supplemented with 10 and 20 mg vitamin B₅ they recorded the highest values in formentioned traits as a compared with the group fed on diets without supplementation. The fish group fed vitamin B₅ supplementation at level 10 mg/kg diet and stocked at normal density were significantly ($P<0.01$) recorded the highest values of live body weight, daily body weight gain and daily feed intake, however the fish group fed diet without vitamin B₅ supplementation and stocked at high density was significantly ($P<0.05$) recorded the lowest formentioned values compared with the other group. Feed conversion ratio was significantly ($P<0.01$) improved with vitamin B₅ supplementation and with decreasing stocking density. Also, all the interactions between vitamin B₅ supplementation and stocking density resulted in a significant ($P<0.05$) improvement in feed conversion ratio except in fish group fed on diets without

Table 2. Live body weight, daily body weight gain, daily feed intake and daily feed conversion of Nile tilapia ($\bar{X} \pm \text{SE}$) as affected by dietary energy levels, vitamin B₅ supplementation, density and their interactions.

Items	Live body weight		Daily body weight gain	Daily feed intake	Daily feed conversion
	Initial	90 days	0-90 days	0-90 days	0-90 days
Energy levels					
	N.S	**	**	N.S	N.S
Normal energy (E ₁)	14.02 ± 0.01	34.78 ± 1.44 ^a	0.25 ± 0.017 ^a	0.65 ± 0.018	2.81 ± 0.15
High energy (E ₂)	14.00 ± 0.02	34.08 ± 1.43 ^b	0.24 ± 0.017 ^b	0.64 ± 0.017	2.90 ± 0.17
Vitamin B₅ supplementation					
	N.S	**	**	**	**
0.0 mg/kg diet (V ₁)	14.01 ± 0.02	26.50 ± 0.40 ^c	0.15 ± 0.005 ^c	0.55 ± 0.003 ^c	3.74 ± 0.10 ^a
10.0 mg/kg diet (V ₂)	14.00 ± 0.02	39.36 ± 0.69 ^a	0.30 ± 0.008 ^a	0.71 ± 0.010 ^a	2.37 ± 0.03 ^b
20.0 mg/kg diet (V ₃)	14.01 ± 0.02	37.43 ± 0.46 ^b	0.28 ± 0.005 ^b	0.68 ± 0.007 ^b	2.44 ± 0.02 ^b
Density					
	N.S	**	**	**	**
Normal density (D ₁)	14.01 ± 0.02	35.99 ± 1.48 ^a	0.26 ± 0.018 ^a	0.67 ± 0.019 ^a	2.72 ± 0.14 ^b
High density (D ₂)	14.00 ± 0.01	32.87 ± 1.28 ^b	0.22 ± 0.015 ^b	0.63 ± 0.015 ^b	2.99 ± 0.18 ^a
Interaction between E&V					
	N.S	N.S	N.S	N.S	N.S
E ₁ V ₁	14.04 ± 0.02	26.83 ± 0.53	0.15 ± 0.006	0.55 ± 0.005	3.65 ± 0.14
E ₁ V ₂	13.98 ± 0.02	39.78 ± 1.04	0.31 ± 0.012	0.72 ± 0.015	2.35 ± 0.05
E ₁ V ₃	14.02 ± 0.02	37.74 ± 0.69	0.28 ± 0.008	0.68 ± 0.011	2.43 ± 0.04
E ₂ V ₁	13.98 ± 0.03	26.17 ± 0.61	0.15 ± 0.007	0.55 ± 0.005	3.83 ± 0.16
E ₂ V ₂	14.02 ± 0.03	38.94 ± 0.96	0.30 ± 0.011	0.71 ± 0.015	2.40 ± 0.04
E ₂ V ₃	14.00 ± 0.02	37.12 ± 0.63	0.28 ± 0.008	0.68 ± 0.010	2.46 ± 0.03
Interaction between E&D					
	N.S	N.S	N.S	N.S	N.S
E ₁ D ₁	14.02 ± 0.02	36.29 ± 2.24	0.27 ± 0.027	0.67 ± 0.029	2.70 ± 0.21
E ₁ D ₂	14.01 ± 0.01	33.27 ± 1.81	0.23 ± 0.022	0.63 ± 0.022	2.91 ± 0.23
E ₂ D ₁	14.00 ± 0.03	35.69 ± 2.08	0.26 ± 0.025	0.67 ± 0.027	2.73 ± 0.19
E ₂ D ₂	14.00 ± 0.02	32.46 ± 1.92	0.22 ± 0.023	0.62 ± 0.021	3.07 ± 0.28
Interaction between V&D					
	N.S	**	**	**	*
V ₁ D ₁	14.01 ± 0.04	27.52 ± 0.21 ^c	0.16 ± 0.003 ^c	0.56 ± 0.002 ^c	3.50 ± 0.05 ^b
V ₁ D ₂	14.01 ± 0.02	25.48 ± 0.49 ^f	0.14 ± 0.006 ^f	0.54 ± 0.001 ^f	3.98 ± 0.15 ^a
V ₂ D ₁	14.00 ± 0.04	41.58 ± 0.29 ^a	0.33 ± 0.004 ^a	0.75 ± 0.003 ^a	2.28 ± 0.02 ^d
V ₂ D ₂	14.00 ± 0.02	37.14 ± 0.18 ^c	0.28 ± 0.002 ^c	0.68 ± 0.002 ^c	2.47 ± 0.01 ^{cd}
V ₃ D ₁	14.02 ± 0.03	38.88 ± 0.22 ^b	0.30 ± 0.003 ^b	0.70 ± 0.003 ^b	2.37 ± 0.02 ^{cd}
V ₃ D ₂	14.00 ± 0.02	35.98 ± 0.16 ^d	0.26 ± 0.002 ^d	0.66 ± 0.002 ^d	2.51 ± 0.01 ^c
Interaction between E&V&D					
	N.S	N.S	N.S	N.S	N.S
E ₁ V ₁ D ₁	14.07 ± 0.03	27.51 ± 0.41	0.16 ± 0.005	0.56 ± 0.003	3.52 ± 0.10
E ₁ V ₁ D ₂	14.02 ± 0.02	26.14 ± 0.87	0.14 ± 0.010	0.54 ± 0.001	3.78 ± 0.26
E ₁ V ₂ D ₁	13.97 ± 0.03	42.11 ± 0.22	0.34 ± 0.003	0.75 ± 0.006	2.24 ± 0.01
E ₁ V ₂ D ₂	14.00 ± 0.03	37.46 ± 0.04	0.28 ± 0.001	0.68 ± 0.001	2.45 ± 0.01
E ₁ V ₃ D ₁	14.03 ± 0.03	39.26 ± 0.23	0.30 ± 0.003	0.71 ± 0.003	2.36 ± 0.03
E ₁ V ₃ D ₂	14.01 ± 0.02	36.21 ± 0.10	0.26 ± 0.001	0.66 ± 0.002	2.50 ± 0.01
E ₂ V ₁ D ₁	13.95 ± 0.05	27.52 ± 0.24	0.16 ± 0.003	0.56 ± 0.002	3.49 ± 0.06
E ₂ V ₁ D ₂	14.00 ± 0.03	24.82 ± 0.07	0.13 ± 0.001	0.54 ± 0.001	4.18 ± 0.03
E ₂ V ₂ D ₁	14.03 ± 0.07	41.05 ± 0.29	0.32 ± 0.004	0.74 ± 0.002	2.31 ± 0.03
E ₂ V ₂ D ₂	14.00 ± 0.03	36.82 ± 0.25	0.27 ± 0.003	0.68 ± 0.002	2.49 ± 0.02
E ₂ V ₃ D ₁	14.01 ± 0.04	38.49 ± 0.23	0.29 ± 0.003	0.70 ± 0.001	2.39 ± 0.02
E ₂ V ₃ D ₂	13.99 ± 0.03	35.75 ± 0.25	0.26 ± 0.003	0.65 ± 0.003	2.52 ± 0.02

Means in the same column within each classification bearing different letters are significantly ($P < 0.05$) different.

vitamin B₅ supplementation and stocked at high density (Table 2). These results may be indicated that vitamin B₅ (Pantothenic acid) serves as a precursor for coenzyme A (CoA) which is essential for the metabolism of the major dietary nutrients such as carbohydrate, lipid and protein (NRC, 1993). This metabolic role of vitamin B₅ may explain the improvement in growth and feed utilization of the fish fed diet supplemented with vitamin B₅.

The obtained results were similar to those reported by Ibrahim (2000) who found that the increase in dietary energy and stocking density decreased growth performance. Similar results were reported by Sweilum *et al.* (2005) who found that final body weight and weight gain were significantly ($P<0.05$) increased with decreasing energy level (10.5 kJ/g). Soliman and Wilson (1992) found that feed utilization increased stepwise up to dietary level of 10 mg Ca d-pantothenate/kg diet. Sayed (2002) found that reduced food consumption was evidenced by the low feed efficiency value for fish fed the Pantothenic acid free diet.

Blood Components

The data illustrated in Table 3 show that the increasing of

dietary energy level significantly ($P<0.05$ or 0.01) decreased total plasma protein and globulin, respectively and significantly ($P<0.01$) increased T₃. The high level of vitamin B₅ supplementation or low stocking density significantly ($P<0.01$) decreased total protein, albumin, globulin and T₃ whereas the lowest value was observed with diet supplemented 10 mg vitamin B₅. The interaction between dietary energy level and vitamin B₅ supplementation significantly ($P<0.01$) affected total protein, albumin, globulin and T₃ in blood plasma whereas, the increasing of energy level and vitamin B₅ supplementation resulted in decreased of globulin and T₃. The interaction between dietary energy and vitamin B₅ supplementation significantly ($P<0.01$) affected only globulin and T₃. Total protein, albumin and globulin were significantly ($P<0.01$) affected with the interaction between vitamin B₅ supplementation and stocking density. The interaction between dietary energy, vitamin B₅ supplementation and stocking density was significantly ($P<0.01$) affected total protein, albumin and T₃.

The urea-N and ALT were significantly ($P<0.01$) increased

Table 3. Blood components of Nile tilapia ($\bar{X} \pm SE$) as affected by energy levels, vitamin B₅ supplementation, density and their interactions.

Items	Total protein (g/100ml)	Albumin (g/100ml)	Globulin (g/100ml)	T3 (ng/ml)
Energy levels				
	*	N.S	**	**
Normal energy (E ₁)	5.28 ± 0.17 ^a	3.28 ± 0.12	2.00 ± 0.13 ^a	2.77 ± 0.13 ^b
High energy (E ₂)	5.06 ± 0.13 ^b	3.34 ± 0.09	1.73 ± 0.11 ^b	2.96 ± 0.12 ^a
Vitamin B₅ supplementation				
	**	**	**	**
0.0 mg/kg diet (V ₁)	5.48 ± 0.22 ^a	3.49 ± 0.05 ^a	2.00 ± 0.20 ^b	3.02 ± 0.15 ^a
10.0 mg/kg diet (V ₂)	4.74 ± 0.10 ^b	3.25 ± 0.11 ^b	1.49 ± 0.08 ^c	2.62 ± 0.14 ^b
20.0 mg/kg diet (V ₃)	5.30 ± 0.16 ^a	3.19 ± 0.17 ^b	2.12 ± 0.07 ^a	2.97 ± 0.15 ^a
Density				
	**	**	N.S	**
Normal density (D ₁)	4.96 ± 0.12 ^b	3.10 ± 0.12 ^b	1.85 ± 0.10	2.75 ± 0.13 ^b
High density (D ₂)	5.39 ± 0.17 ^a	3.51 ± 0.05 ^a	1.88 ± 0.14	2.99 ± 0.12 ^a
Interaction between E&V				
	**	**	**	**
E ₁ V ₁	5.94 ± 0.27 ^a	3.44 ± 0.06 ^{ab}	2.49 ± 0.21 ^a	3.46 ± 0.08 ^a
E ₁ V ₂	4.92 ± 0.17 ^b	3.45 ± 0.14 ^{ab}	1.48 ± 0.06 ^c	2.36 ± 0.10 ^c
E ₁ V ₃	4.99 ± 0.25 ^b	2.95 ± 0.31 ^b	2.04 ± 0.10 ^b	2.49 ± 0.09 ^{bc}
E ₂ V ₁	5.03 ± 0.24 ^b	3.53 ± 0.09 ^a	1.50 ± 0.16 ^c	2.58 ± 0.12 ^{bc}
E ₂ V ₂	4.55 ± 0.08 ^b	3.06 ± 0.16 ^{ab}	1.49 ± 0.16 ^c	2.87 ± 0.22 ^b
E ₂ V ₃	5.62 ± 0.09 ^a	3.43 ± 0.13 ^{ab}	2.19 ± 0.10 ^b	3.45 ± 0.08 ^a
Interaction between E&D				
	N.S	N.S	**	**
E ₁ D ₁	5.03 ± 0.16	3.12 ± 0.22	1.91 ± 0.11 ^b	2.76 ± 0.18 ^b
E ₁ D ₂	5.54 ± 0.28	3.44 ± 0.08	2.10 ± 0.23 ^a	2.78 ± 0.20 ^b
E ₂ D ₁	4.88 ± 0.18	3.09 ± 0.10	1.79 ± 0.18 ^c	2.73 ± 0.19 ^b
E ₂ D ₂	5.25 ± 0.19	3.59 ± 0.07	1.66 ± 0.14 ^d	3.19 ± 0.10 ^a
Interaction between V&D				
	**	**	**	N.S
V ₁ D ₁	4.94 ± 0.20 ^b	3.34 ± 0.04 ^a	1.60 ± 0.20 ^{cd}	2.88 ± 0.25
V ₁ D ₂	6.03 ± 0.23 ^a	3.63 ± 0.06 ^a	2.39 ± 0.26 ^a	3.16 ± 0.16
V ₂ D ₁	4.91 ± 0.18 ^b	2.24 ± 0.23 ^a	1.67 ± 0.09 ^{cd}	2.47 ± 0.09
V ₂ D ₂	4.56 ± 0.07 ^b	3.26 ± 0.06 ^a	1.30 ± 0.08 ^d	2.76 ± 0.26
V ₃ D ₁	5.02 ± 0.26 ^b	2.73 ± 0.21 ^b	2.29 ± 0.07 ^{ab}	2.91 ± 0.27
V ₃ D ₂	5.59 ± 0.10 ^a	3.65 ± 0.05 ^a	1.94 ± 0.06 ^{bc}	3.03 ± 0.18
Interaction between E&V&D				
	**	**	N.S	**
E ₁ V ₁ D ₁	5.36 ± 0.12 ^b	3.34 ± 0.06 ^{bc}	2.03 ± 0.07	3.42 ± 0.14 ^a
E ₁ V ₁ D ₂	6.51 ± 0.14 ^a	3.55 ± 0.05 ^{ab}	2.96 ± 0.10	3.51 ± 0.09 ^a
E ₁ V ₂ D ₁	5.24 ± 0.16 ^b	3.74 ± 0.60 ^a	1.51 ± 0.10	2.53 ± 0.14 ^{bcd}
E ₁ V ₂ D ₂	4.60 ± 0.12 ^c	3.15 ± 0.06 ^c	1.45 ± 0.07	2.19 ± 0.08 ^d
E ₁ V ₃ D ₁	4.48 ± 0.19 ^c	2.28 ± 0.09 ^c	2.21 ± 0.10	2.35 ± 0.12 ^{cd}
E ₁ V ₃ D ₂	5.50 ± 0.18 ^b	3.62 ± 0.09 ^a	1.88 ± 0.12	2.64 ± 0.08 ^{bc}
E ₂ V ₁ D ₁	4.51 ± 0.07 ^c	3.34 ± 0.06 ^{bc}	1.17 ± 0.02	2.33 ± 0.07 ^{cd}
E ₂ V ₁ D ₂	5.54 ± 0.07 ^b	3.71 ± 0.09 ^a	1.83 ± 0.10	2.82 ± 0.09 ^b
E ₂ V ₂ D ₁	4.58 ± 0.15 ^c	2.75 ± 0.15 ^d	1.83 ± 0.03	2.40 ± 0.13 ^{cd}
E ₂ V ₂ D ₂	4.52 ± 0.08 ^c	3.36 ± 0.05 ^{bc}	1.15 ± 0.08	3.33 ± 0.08 ^a
E ₂ V ₃ D ₁	5.56 ± 0.15 ^b	3.18 ± 0.10 ^c	2.38 ± 0.08	3.47 ± 0.16 ^a
E ₂ V ₃ D ₂	5.68 ± 0.12 ^b	3.68 ± 0.08 ^a	2.00 ± 0.05	3.42 ± 0.07 ^a

Means in the same column within each classification bearing different letters are significantly ($P \leq 0.05$) different.

Table 3. Continued.

Items	Urea-N (mg/100ml)	Creatinine (mg/100ml)	AST (U/L)	ALT (U/L)
Energy levels				
	**	N.S	N.S	**
Normal energy (E ₁)	09.71 ± 0.28 ^b	1.22 ± 0.02	26.15 ± 1.04	13.41 ± 0.46 ^b
High energy (E ₂)	10.30 ± 0.29 ^a	1.23 ± 0.03	26.79 ± 1.09	14.23 ± 0.73 ^a
Vitamin B₅ supplementation				
	**	N.S	**	**
0.0 mg/kg diet (V ₁)	09.78 ± 0.43 ^b	1.21 ± 0.02	27.86 ± 1.01 ^a	14.94 ± 0.48 ^a
10.0 mg/kg diet (V ₂)	09.77 ± 0.34 ^b	1.23 ± 0.04	23.51 ± 1.00 ^b	12.20 ± 0.64 ^c
20.0 mg/kg diet (V ₃)	10.39 ± 0.27 ^a	1.24 ± 0.04	28.03 ± 1.45 ^a	14.33 ± 0.87 ^b
Density				
	**	N.S	**	**
Normal density (D ₁)	10.30 ± 0.33 ^a	1.21 ± 0.03	23.39 ± 0.62 ^b	12.33 ± 0.40 ^b
High density (D ₂)	09.72 ± 0.22 ^b	1.24 ± 0.03	29.55 ± 0.89 ^a	15.32 ± 0.58 ^a
Interaction between E&V				
	**	N.S	**	**
E ₁ V ₁	09.28 ± 0.25 ^c	1.18 ± 0.02	26.19 ± 1.23 ^{ab}	13.68 ± 0.26 ^{abc}
E ₁ V ₂	09.27 ± 0.49 ^c	1.23 ± 0.06	25.45 ± 1.62 ^{ab}	13.33 ± 1.12 ^{bc}
E ₁ V ₃	10.59 ± 0.50 ^a	1.26 ± 0.04	26.79 ± 2.60 ^a	13.24 ± 0.90 ^{bc}
E ₂ V ₁	10.47 ± 0.77 ^a	1.24 ± 0.04	29.54 ± 1.35 ^a	16.21 ± 0.55 ^a
E ₂ V ₂	10.27 ± 0.41 ^{ab}	1.22 ± 0.07	21.57 ± 0.53 ^b	11.07 ± 0.17 ^c
E ₂ V ₃	10.19 ± 0.23 ^b	1.22 ± 0.06	29.27 ± 1.36 ^a	15.43 ± 1.42 ^{ab}
Interaction between E&D				
	*	N.S	**	N.S
E ₁ D ₁	09.88 ± 0.51 ^b	1.21 ± 0.04	22.19 ± 0.49 ^b	11.83 ± 0.42
E ₁ D ₂	09.54 ± 0.24 ^b	1.23 ± 0.03	30.10 ± 0.69 ^a	15.00 ± 0.29
E ₂ D ₁	10.72 ± 0.41 ^a	1.22 ± 0.05	24.59 ± 1.02 ^b	12.82 ± 0.66
E ₂ D ₂	09.89 ± 0.36 ^b	1.24 ± 0.05	28.99 ± 1.68 ^a	15.64 ± 1.15
Interaction between V&D				
	**	**	**	**
V ₁ D ₁	10.99 ± 0.54 ^a	1.21 ± 0.03 ^b	25.12 ± 0.83 ^b	14.28 ± 0.51 ^{bc}
V ₁ D ₂	08.76 ± 0.13 ^b	1.21 ± 0.03 ^b	30.61 ± 0.88 ^a	15.60 ± 0.75 ^{ab}
V ₂ D ₁	08.79 ± 0.27 ^b	1.10 ± 0.03 ^c	21.32 ± 0.49 ^c	10.91 ± 0.18 ^c
V ₂ D ₂	10.75 ± 0.23 ^a	1.35 ± 0.03 ^a	25.70 ± 1.51 ^b	13.49 ± 1.04 ^{cd}
V ₃ D ₁	11.14 ± 0.29 ^a	1.34 ± 0.04 ^a	23.74 ± 1.23 ^c	11.80 ± 0.35 ^{de}
V ₃ D ₂	09.64 ± 0.09 ^b	1.14 ± 0.03 ^{bc}	32.33 ± 0.57 ^a	16.87 ± 0.77 ^a
Interaction between E&V&D				
	**	N.S	**	**
E ₁ V ₁ D ₁	09.80 ± 0.14 ^{ef}	1.18 ± 0.04	23.56 ± 0.71 ^d	13.37 ± 0.47 ^{ed}
E ₁ V ₁ D ₂	08.76 ± 0.12 ^g	1.18 ± 0.01	28.82 ± 0.44 ^b	14.00 ± 0.08 ^d
E ₁ V ₂ D ₁	08.20 ± 0.05 ^g	1.13 ± 0.05	21.98 ± 0.80 ^c	10.87 ± 0.32 ^g
E ₁ V ₂ D ₂	10.34 ± 0.24 ^{de}	1.33 ± 0.05	28.93 ± 0.67 ^b	15.78 ± 0.33 ^c
E ₁ V ₃ D ₁	11.65 ± 0.31 ^{ab}	1.33 ± 0.05	21.04 ± 0.35 ^c	11.27 ± 0.20 ^{fg}
E ₁ V ₃ D ₂	09.53 ± 0.08 ^f	1.19 ± 0.02	32.55 ± 0.77 ^a	15.22 ± 0.26 ^c
E ₂ V ₁ D ₁	12.17 ± 0.10 ^a	1.24 ± 0.06	26.67 ± 0.71 ^c	15.20 ± 0.49 ^c
E ₂ V ₁ D ₂	08.76 ± 0.23 ^g	1.24 ± 0.06	32.40 ± 0.68 ^a	17.21 ± 0.51 ^b
E ₂ V ₂ D ₁	09.35 ± 0.15 ^f	1.07 ± 0.01	20.67 ± 0.35 ^c	10.94 ± 0.23 ^g
E ₂ V ₂ D ₂	11.15 ± 0.20 ^{bc}	1.37 ± 0.05	22.46 ± 0.71 ^{de}	11.20 ± 0.28 ^{fg}
E ₂ V ₃ D ₁	10.62 ± 0.23 ^{cd}	1.34 ± 0.07	26.44 ± 0.43 ^c	12.33 ± 0.54 ^{ef}
E ₂ V ₃ D ₂	09.75 ± 0.15 ^f	1.10 ± 0.03	32.11 ± 1.00 ^a	18.52 ± 0.44 ^a

Means in the same column within each classification bearing different letters are significantly ($P < 0.05$) different.

with increasing dietary energy level which may indicate that the kidney and liver functions increased with increasing dietary energy levels. Vitamin B₅ supplementation affected significantly ($P<0.01$) urea-N, AST and ALT whereas the lowest values was recorded with 10 mg vitamin B₅/ kg diet. While, the high stocking density significantly ($P<0.01$) decreased urea-N and significantly ($P<0.01$) increased AST and ALT which may due to crowding effect. Urea-N, AST and ALT were significantly ($P<0.01$) affected with the interaction between dietary energy level and vitamin B₅ supplementation and interaction between dietary energy levels, vitamin B₅ supplementation and stocking density. The interaction between dietary energy levels and stocking density affected significantly ($P<0.05$ or 0.01) both urea-N and AST, respectively. Moreover, urea-N, creatinine, AST and ALT were significantly ($P<0.01$) affected with the interaction between vitamin B₅ supplementation and stocking density, whereas the lowest values were significantly ($P<0.01$) recorded in fish group fed the diet with 10 mg vitamin B₅/ kg diet and stocked at low density (Table 3).

Whole Body Composition

The results tabulated in Table 4 show that the dry matter and crude protein percentages were significantly ($P<0.01$) decreased only with increasing dietary energy level while, the ash percentage significantly ($P<0.01$) increased with increasing dietary energy level. However, the same traits were insignificantly affected by vitamin B₅ supplementation or stocking density. The interaction between dietary energy, vitamin B₅ supplementation and stocking density significantly ($P<0.05$) released an effect only on ash percentage whereas the highest value was recorded in fish group fed diet containing high energy level, 10 mg vitamin B₅ and stocked at high density while, the lowest value was observed in fish group fed on a diet with normal energy level, 20 mg vitamin B₅ and stocked at low density. There were no significant differences in ether extract percentage but it was higher (16.49%) in fish fed high dietary energy than low. These results showed that tilapia were able to store significant quantities of lipids in carcass and viscera, but were not able to utilize the energy sources to improve growth or feed conversion efficiency (Hanley 1991).

Table 4. Whole body composition (%) of Nile tilapia ($\bar{X} \pm SE$) as affected by energy levels, vitamin B₅ supplementation, density and their interactions.

Items	Dry matter	Crud protein	Ether extract	Ash
	$\bar{X} \pm SE$	$\bar{X} \pm SE$	$\bar{X} \pm SE$	$\bar{X} \pm SE$
Energy levels	**	**	N.S	**
Normal energy (E ₁)	23.08 ± 0.56 ^a	65.06 ± 0.11 ^a	15.55 ± 0.19	17.03 ± 0.12 ^b
High energy (E ₂)	21.28 ± 0.39 ^b	63.38 ± 0.36 ^b	16.49 ± 0.38	17.78 ± 0.17 ^a
Vitamin B₅ supplementation	N.S	N.S	N.S	N.S
0.0 mg/kg diet (V ₁)	22.32 ± 0.73	64.03 ± 0.39	16.27 ± 0.27	17.60 ± 0.15
10.0 mg/kg diet (V ₂)	21.97 ± 0.71	64.44 ± 0.46	15.75 ± 0.51	17.31 ± 0.23
20.0 mg/kg diet (V ₃)	22.25 ± 0.51	64.19 ± 0.38	16.03 ± 0.36	17.31 ± 0.23
Density	N.S	N.S	N.S	N.S
Normal density (D ₁)	22.21 ± 0.37	64.44 ± 0.27	15.84 ± 0.20	17.27 ± 0.18
High density (D ₂)	22.15 ± 0.65	63.99 ± 0.38	16.20 ± 0.40	17.54 ± 0.16
Interaction between E&V	N.S	N.S	N.S	N.S
E ₁ V ₁	23.96 ± 0.95	65.03 ± 0.18	15.82 ± 0.19	17.37 ± 0.10
E ₁ V ₂	22.98 ± 0.29	65.14 ± 0.24	15.47 ± 0.41	17.01 ± 0.11
E ₁ V ₃	22.31 ± 0.56	64.99 ± 0.17	15.34 ± 0.36	16.70 ± 0.26
E ₂ V ₁	20.68 ± 0.60	63.02 ± 0.47	16.73 ± 0.44	17.82 ± 0.26
E ₂ V ₂	20.95 ± 0.38	63.39 ± 0.83	16.02 ± 0.98	17.60 ± 0.44
E ₂ V ₃	22.20 ± 0.90	63.38 ± 0.60	16.72 ± 0.49	17.93 ± 0.15
Interaction between E&D	N.S	N.S	N.S	N.S
E ₁ D ₁	22.84 ± 0.37	65.35 ± 0.11	15.39 ± 0.24	16.89 ± 0.12
E ₁ D ₂	23.32 ± 1.08	64.76 ± 0.13	15.70 ± 0.30	17.16 ± 0.19
E ₂ D ₁	21.58 ± 0.59	63.54 ± 0.30	16.29 ± 0.24	17.65 ± 0.29
E ₂ D ₂	20.98 ± 0.53	63.22 ± 0.67	16.70 ± 0.73	17.92 ± 0.19
Interaction between V&D	N.S	N.S	N.S	N.S
V ₁ D ₁	22.26 ± 0.50	64.27 ± 0.56	15.97 ± 0.21	17.62 ± 0.28
V ₁ D ₂	22.38 ± 1.44	63.78 ± 0.56	16.58 ± 0.48	17.58 ± 0.14
V ₂ D ₁	21.77 ± 0.69	64.62 ± 0.47	15.51 ± 0.35	16.91 ± 0.26
V ₂ D ₂	22.16 ± 1.31	64.26 ± 0.84	15.99 ± 1.00	17.71 ± 0.33
V ₃ D ₁	22.59 ± 0.78	64.44 ± 0.44	16.03 ± 0.43	17.29 ± 0.35
V ₃ D ₂	21.91 ± 0.69	63.94 ± 0.65	16.03 ± 0.61	17.39 ± 0.34
Interaction between E&V&D	N.S	N.S	N.S	*
E ₁ V ₁ D ₁	23.24 ± 0.43	65.31 ± 0.22	15.68 ± 0.10	17.15 ± 0.05 ^{cab}
E ₁ V ₁ D ₂	24.67 ± 1.94	64.75 ± 0.19	15.96 ± 0.10	17.58 ± 0.06 ^{abcd}
E ₁ V ₂ D ₁	22.61 ± 1.10	65.42 ± 0.31	15.04 ± 0.10	16.96 ± 0.21 ^{cd}
E ₁ V ₂ D ₂	23.35 ± 2.63	64.86 ± 0.35	15.91 ± 0.10	17.06 ± 0.12 ^{cab}
E ₁ V ₃ D ₁	22.66 ± 0.35	65.31 ± 0.06	15.45 ± 0.10	16.56 ± 0.21 ^d
E ₁ V ₃ D ₂	21.95 ± 1.15	64.68 ± 0.22	15.24 ± 0.10	16.84 ± 0.52 ^{cd}
E ₂ V ₁ D ₁	21.28 ± 0.37	63.23 ± 0.66	16.27 ± 0.10	18.08 ± 0.43 ^{ab}
E ₂ V ₁ D ₂	20.08 ± 1.13	62.81 ± 0.78	17.19 ± 0.10	17.57 ± 0.30 ^{abcd}
E ₂ V ₂ D ₁	20.93 ± 0.69	63.82 ± 0.62	15.97 ± 0.10	16.86 ± 0.54 ^{cd}
E ₂ V ₂ D ₂	20.97 ± 0.48	63.66 ± 1.75	16.07 ± 0.10	18.35 ± 0.32 ^a
E ₂ V ₃ D ₁	22.53 ± 1.71	63.57 ± 0.45	16.61 ± 0.10	18.02 ± 0.18 ^{ab}
E ₂ V ₃ D ₂	21.88 ± 1.03	63.20 ± 1.24	16.83 ± 0.10	17.84 ± 0.26 ^{bc}

Means in the same column within each classification bearing different letters are significantly ($P \leq 0.05$) different.

In general, the highest value of dry matter (24.67 %), crude protein (65.42%) and ether extract (17.19%) were observed for fish group fed normal energy, without vitamin B₅ supplementation, high stocking density; or fish group fed on diets normal energy, 10 mg vitamin B₅, low stocking density and the other group fed high energy, without vitamin B₅ and stocked at high density, respectively, (Table 4).

Similar results were reported by Amanat *et al* (2000) who found that the fish fed on diets containing lipids recorded higher ($P < 0.05$) body fat compared with those fed the lipid-free diet. Also, Sweilum *et al.* (2005) found that body protein increased with decreasing energy while lipid increased with highest energy. Further more Soliman and Wilson (1992) who found that the body composition of Nile tilapia fish was less affected by dietary pantothenic acid with no differences in ash, crude protein and lipid of fish. Also, El-Sayed (2002) and Azim *at al.* (2003) reported that body composition was not significantly affected by stocking density.

In conclusion, the Nile tilapia fish growth performance was improved with decreasing each of dietary energy level, stocking

density and vitamin B₅ supplementation with level of 10 mg/kg diet, under Egyptian conditions.

REFERENCES

- Amanat, A., S.M. Al-Ogaily, N.A. Al-Asgah and A. Sakhawat. 2000. Effect of dietary lipid source on the growth performance and body composition of *Oreochromis niloticus*. Pakistan Veterinary Journal, 20(2): 57-63
- AOAC. 1990. Official Methods of Analysis. Association of Agriculture chemists Washington. D.C.
- Arai, S., T. Nose and Y. Hashimoto. 1972. Qualitative requirements of young eels for water soluble vitamins and their deficiency symptoms. Bull. Freshwater Fish. Lab., 22:69-83.
- Azim, M.E., M.C.J. Verdegem, M. Singh, A.A. Dam and M.C.M. Beveridge. 2003. The effects of periphyton substrate and fish stocking density on water quality, phytoplankton, periphyton and fish growth. Aquaculture Research, 34:685-695.
- Brunson, M.W., H.R. Robinette, P.R. Bowser and T.L. Wellborn.

1983. Nutritional gill disease associated with starter feeds for channel catfish fry. *Prog. Fish. Cult.*, 45:119-120.
- Chang, W.Y.B. 1988. Fish production: Data synthesis and model development. In: Collaborative Research Support Program (CRSP), pond dynamic. *Aquaculture* 6th Annual Administrative Report, pp 41-49. Oregon State University, Oregon, USA.
- Delince, G. 1992. The Ecology of the Fish Pond Ecosystem With Special Reference to Africa. The Netherlands, 223 pp. Kluwer Academic Publisher, London.
- Duncan, D.B. 1955. Multiple Range and Multiple F-test. *Biometrics*, 11:1-42.
- El-Sayed, A.F.M. 2002. Effects of stocking density and feeding levels on growth and feed efficiency of Nile tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus* L.) fry. *Aquaculture-Research*, 33(8): 621-626.
- El-Sayed, A.F.M. and S.I. Teshima. 1992. Protein and energy requirements of Nile tilapia, *Oreochromis niloticus*, fry. *Aquaculture*, 103(1): 55-63.
- Hanley, F. 1991. Effects of feeding supplementary diets containing varying levels of lipid on growth, food conversion, and body composition of Nile tilapia, *Oreochromis niloticus* (L.). *Aquaculture*, 93(4): 323-334.
- Henery, R.J. 1974. *Clinical Chemistry, Principles and Technique*, 2nd edition, Harper and Row.
- Hosokawa, H. 1989. The vitamin requirement of fingerling yellowtail. Ph.D. Dissertation. Kochi Univ., Japan.
- Ibrahim, M.S.M. 2000. Nutritional studies on different levels of energy in fish nutrition. Ph.D. Thesis, Fac. of Agric., Zagazig Univ., Zagazig, Egypt.
- Karges, R.G. and B. Woodward. 1984. Development of lamellar epithelial hyperplasia in gills pantothenic acid deficient rainbow trout. *J. Fish Biol.*, 25:57-62.
- Lovell, R.T. 1979. *Nutrition and Feeding in Fish Farming*. Handbook, pp 207-236.
- NRC, National Research Council. 1983. *Nutrient requirements of fish*. National Academy Press, Washington D.C.

- NRC, National Research Council. 1993. Nutrient Requirements of Fish. National Academy Press, Washington, DC, USA.
- Reitman and S. Fankel. 1957. A method for determination of plasma AST and ALT. Am. J. Clin. Path, 28:56.
- SAS, Institute. 1996. S.A.S. user's Guide: Statistics: version 6.12 edition. S.A.S. Instate Inc., Cary, NC, USA.
- Sayed, A.B.N. 2002. Nutritional considerations concerning the requirements of Nile tilapia for riboflavin and pantothenic acid. Assiut-Veterinary-Medical-Journal, 47(93): 80-96.
- Shimeino, S., H. Hosokawa, M. Taked, A. Kajiyama and T. Kaisho. 1985. Effect of dietary lipid and carbohydrate on growth, feed conversion and body composition in yellow tail. Bull. Jpn. Soc. Fish, 51(2):1893-1898.
- Soliman A.K. and R.P. Wilson. 1992. Water-soluble vitamin requirements of tilapia. 1. Pantothenic acid requirement of blue tilapia *Oreochromis aureus*. Aquaculture, 104:121-126.
- Steucke, E.W. and R.A. Schoetter. 1967. Comparison of three methods of sampling trout blood for measurements of haematocrits. Progr. Fish-Cult., 29:98-101.
- Sundeman, M.F.W. 1964. Studies of the serum proteins. Am. J. Clin. Path, 1-21.
- Sweilum, M.A., M.M. Abdella and S.A. Salah El-Din. 2005. Effect of dietary protein-energy levels and fish initial sizes on growth rate, development and production of Nile tilapia, *Oreochromis niloticus* L. Aquaculture Research, 36:1414-1421.
- Wilson, R.P., R.P. Bowser and W.E. Poe. 1983. Dietary pantothenic acid requirement of fingerling channel catfish. J. Nutr., 113:2224-2228.

تأثير مستويات من الطاقة وإضافة فيتامين B₅ ومعدلات التسكين على

أداء النمو في أسماك البلطي النيلي

محمد صلاح الدين عياط - حسن علي جبر - عبد المجيد السيد نصر

همت كمال الدين محمود

قسم الإنتاج الحيواني - كلية الزراعة - جامعة الزقازيق

أجريت هذه الدراسة بمعمل الأسماك بقسم الإنتاج الحيواني - كلية الزراعة - جامعة الزقازيق - مصر - خلال الفترة من يوليو إلى أكتوبر ٢٠٠٥. وقد استهدفت الدراسة تحديد تأثير مستويات مختلفة من الطاقة وإضافة فيتامين B₅ والكثافة في وحدة الحجم على معدل النمو ومكونات الجسم والدم في أسماك البلطي النيلي. وقد تم تقسيم الأسماك (تصميم عاملي ٢×٣×٢) إلى مجموعتين حسب مستوى الطاقة (٢٩٠٠، ٣٥٠٠ كيلو كالوري/كجم) وتحت كل مجموعة تم إضافة ٣ مستويات من فيتامين B₅ (صفر، ١٠، ٢٠ ملجم/كجم علف) وتحت كل مستوى مستويين من الكثافة (١٠، ٢٠ سمكة/حوض).

و كانت أهم النتائج المتحصل عليها:

١- انخفض كلا من وزن الجسم ومعدل النمو ومعدل التحويل الغذائي بزيادة مستوى الطاقة وزيادة الكثافة، بينما تحسنت جميعها بإضافة فيتامين B₅ حيث كان أفضلها مع المستوى ١٠ ثم ٢٠ ملجم. لم تتأثر تلك الصفات معنويًا بجميع التداخلات بين كلا من الطاقة وفيتامين B₅ والكثافة فيما عدا التداخل الثنائي بين فيتامين B₅ والكثافة حيث أدى إلى تحسين كل هذه الصفات.

٢- انخفض كلا من البروتين الكلي والجلوبيولين في بلازما الدم معنويًا بزيادة مستوى الطاقة بينما زادت إنزيمات الكبد (AST & ALT). أدت زيادة الفيتامين إلى زيادة اليوريا وAST والجلوبيولين بينما انخفض الألبومين معنويًا. زاد كلا من البروتين الكلي والألبومين و T₃ وAST وALT معنويًا بزيادة الكثافة بينما انخفضت اليوريا معنويًا. تأثر كلا من البروتين الكلي والألبومين و T₃ واليوريا وAST وALT معنويًا بالتداخل الثلاثي بين الطاقة وفيتامين B₅ والكثافة.

٣- أدت زيادة الطاقة إلى انخفاض كلا من المادة الجافة والبروتين الخام معنويًا وزيادة الرماد والدهن في الجسم، بينما لم تتأثر جميع مكونات الجسم معنويًا بكلا من فيتامين B₅ والكثافة وكذا التداخلات بين الطاقة وفيتامين B₅ والكثافة فيما عدا أن الرماد تأثر معنويًا بالتداخل الثلاثي بين الطاقة وفيتامين B₅ والكثافة.

وتبين نتائج هذه الدراسة أن أداء النمو لأسماك البلطي النيلي قد تحسن بانخفاض كلا من الطاقة (٢٩٠٠ كيلو كالوري/كجم) وإضافة فيتامين B₅ بمستوى ١٠ ملجم/كجم علف والكثافة المعتدلة (١٠ سمكات/حوض) تحت الظروف المصرية.