

**SALT TOLERANCE IN SOME OLIVE CULTIVARS AS AFFECTED BY SPRAYING  
 WITH SOME GROWTH REGULATORS  
 II- EFFECT ON LEAF AND STEAM CHEMICAL CONSTITUENTS  
 BY**

**Abd El-Latif, F.M.**

Fac. of Agric., Moshtohor, Benha Univ., Egypt.

**ABSTRACT**

*The* effect of some growth regulators on shoot (total sugars & total carbohydrates) and leaf free amino acids & proline content as well as leaf mineral contents of three olive cultivars transplants, irrigated with saline solution 6000 ppm, SAR6 with 2 levels of chloride (Cl:SO<sub>4</sub>) ratio were studied. PP<sub>333</sub> at 500 ppm, BA at 20 ppm and CCC at 1000 ppm solely foliar spray were used in this study to give more explanation about the protect against salt injury during 2002 and 2003 seasons. Data obtained during both seasons revealed that both total carbohydrates and soluble sugars of olive shoots followed two conflicted trends regarding their response to olive cultivar or foliar sprayed growth regulators and chloride level. Herein, Coronaki olive transplants had statistically the richest shoot total carbohydrate content and the poorest shoot total soluble sugars, while the reverse was found with Aghizi transplants. Meanwhile, Manzanillo cv. was intermediate in this concern. However, both stem total carbohydrate and total sugars followed two conflicted trends in response to either salt concentration or chloride level (Cl:SO<sub>4</sub> ratio). Hence, rising salt concentration or Cl:SO<sub>4</sub> ratio resulted in increasing shoot total soluble sugars, while the reverse was true with total carbohydrate content. In addition, leaf total free amino acids, proline contents, leaf Ca & Na contents being progressively increased with salinity concentration or Cl:SO<sub>4</sub> ratio while leaf N, P, K, Mg, Fe, Mn & Zn contents took the other way around. Moreover, PP<sub>333</sub>, BA & CCC foliar spray reflected two conflicted trends, where total carbohydrates was decreased but total sugars was increased.

Concerning leaf total amino acids and proline contents, Aghizi transplants had statistically the richest leaves, while the reverse was found with Coronaki cv. Meanwhile, PP<sub>333</sub> & BA as well as CCC foliar spray significantly decreased both amino acids and proline contents as compared with plants irrigated with saline solution. In addition, increased chloride level in irrigation water increased both leaf amino acids and proline contents during two seasons of study.

As for leaf mineral contents, data obtained revealed that Coronaki cv. had the richest leaves and exceeded statistically the two other cultivars regarding leaf N, P, K, Mg, Fe, Mn & Zn contents from one hand, but the poorest leaves regarding leaf Ca & Na content from the other. The reverse was true with Aghizi cultivar. Moreover, sprayed growth regulators (PP<sub>333</sub>, BA & CCC) solely for salt stressed olive transplants statistically increased leaf N, P, K, Mg, Fe, Mn & Zn contents as compared with unsprayed salt stressed ones while the reverse was true with leaf Ca and Na contents. In addition, increasing chloride level in irrigation water significantly decreased leaf N, P, K, Mg, Fe, Mn & Zn contents but increased leaf Ca and Na of salt stressed olive transplants during the study.

## INTRODUCTION

Agricultural expansion needs in sandy soil a great amount of suitable irrigation water which already not sufficient to meet all the expected demand in this respect, as long as there in an obvious shortage in irrigation water specially under the conditions of the new reclaimable areas, the projects of reclamation depend on wells, sanitary drainage, diluted sea water ...etc. Generally, the problem of soil salinity and saline water used for irrigation is considered as a limiting factor for the success of such projects. Steinhardi *et al.*, (1995).

In addition, plant growth is adversely in saline soils by the presence of high concentrations of soluble sodium as well as certain soluble cations, due to increase in osmotic pressure and reduction in water availability to plants. Since, the olive cultivars plantations may be located principally in (arid

and semi-arid zones) this will arise some problems connected with salinity of soil or the sources of irrigation. Sense *et al.*, (1990); Kelin *et al.*, (1994); Ghanta *et al.*, (1995); Steinhardt *et al.*, (1995); Al-Juburi, (1996); El- Azab *et al.*, (1998); Al-Juburi and Uasry, (2000); Okubo and Sakuratani, (2000) and Guracia *et al.*, (2002). The present study was designed to study the effect of salt stress on stem and leaf chemical constituents of three olive cvs. grown in sandy soil under 6000 ppm, SAR6 and two levels of chloride (low and high) in irrigation water.

Moreover, minimizing the injuries resulted by salt stress through foliar spray with some growth regulators in order to alleviate such disorders observed on growth of the three investigated olive cultivars.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

The present investigation was conducted during two successive seasons, 2002 and 2003 at Sheik-Khalifa Farm, Al-Ain U.A.E. Uniform and healthy one-year-old (*Olea europea* L.) transplants of three olive cultivars namely "Coronaiki; Manzanillo and Aghizi Shami" were the plant material used in this study. In both seasons and during 2<sup>nd</sup> week of March these plants were planted in pots of 30 cm. in diameter that have been filled with specific weight of media consisting of potting soil and sand at equal proportions. Irrigation was done every other two days by providing each pot with ¾ liter of tap water until May 1<sup>st</sup> during first and second seasons. The following treatments were used:

- 1- Control ( tap water).
- 2- Saline solution with 6000 ppm; SAR6 and low Cl:SO<sub>4</sub>.
- 3- Saline solution with 6000 ppm; SAR6 and high Cl:SO<sub>4</sub>
- 4- Saline solution with 6000 ppm; SAR6 and low Cl:SO<sub>4</sub> + PP<sub>333</sub> at 500 ppm.
- 5- Saline solution with 6000 ppm; SAR6 and high Cl:SO<sub>4</sub> + PP<sub>333</sub> at 500 ppm.
- 6- Saline solution with 6000 ppm; SAR6 and low Cl:SO<sub>4</sub> + BA at 20 ppm.

7- Saline solution with 6000 ppm; SAR6 and high Cl:SO<sub>4</sub> + BA at 20 ppm.

8- Saline solution with 6000 ppm; SAR6 and low Cl:SO<sub>4</sub> + CCC at 1000 ppm.

9- Saline solution with 6000 ppm; SAR6 and high Cl:SO<sub>4</sub> + CCC at 1000 ppm.

The different treatments were arranged in a complete randomized design where each treatment was replicated three times with two pots/each replicate. The different saline solutions were prepared as shown in Table (1) to be applied starting from May 1st till the experimental wasterminate in November, during the two seasons of study. Transplants in each treatment were applied with saline solution every four days at the rate of ¾ liter/pot. The growth regulator treatments were applied each four times (June 15th, July 15th, August 15th and September 15th) during the first and second seasons. "Tween 20" as a surfactant at 0.1 % was added to all foliar spray solution.

The accumulated salts were removed every 2 weeks from the pots by irrigation, followed by rewatering with salt solution the next day. Control treatment was applied only with tap water at ¾ liter four days apart.

Table (1): Preparation of different saline solutions used.

Saline solutions	Salt added per liter*															
	CaCl <sub>2</sub>		MgSO <sub>4</sub>		KCl		K <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub>		Na <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub>		NaCl		**	Cl	SO <sub>4</sub>	CaSO <sub>4</sub>
	gm	meq	gm	meq	gm	meq	gm	meq	gm	meq	gm	meq	SAR	meq/l	meq/l	ratio
6000 ppm, SAR6, Low Cl	1.11	20	1.2	0.2	0.03	0.453	1.85	21.264	1.35	19.07	0.46	7.79	6	28.2	60.334	0.467
6000 ppm, SAR6, high Cl	1.67	30	0.6	10	0.44	5.838	1.65	8.96	0.45	6.338	1.2	20.51	6	56.35	35.292	1.596

\* Salts added in grams were estimated as anhydrous form.

\*\* SAR = Meq

$$\frac{Na}{\sqrt{\frac{Ca + Mg}{2}}}$$

**I- Chemical analysis.**

In this regard shoot content of (total carbohydrate and total soluble sugars) and leaf content of (total free amino acids & proline) as well as leaf mineral composition in response to various investigated treatments included in 2002 and 2003 experiments were concerned.

**I-1. Total sugars:**

Total sugars were determined in dry samples (0.1 gm.) photometrically at 490 nm to the phenol method and using ethyl alcohol for 1 hour at 70 °C as described by Dubis *et al.*, (1956).

**I-2. Total carbohydrates (free & compound sugars):**

Total carbohydrates were determined in dry samples (0.1 gm)

II-1. photometrically at 490 Mm according to the phenol method and using sulphuric acid for 2 hour at 100 °C as described by Dubis *et al.*, (1956).

**II-3. Estimation of total amino acids and proline contents:****a) Total free amino acids**

Total free amino acids were determined according to the photometric Ninhydrin method of Moor and Stein, (1948). The blue colour produced by Ninhydrin reaction at 100 °C was determined in

colouremetr at 570 mμ of alanine was used for calculation of total amino acids content.

**b) Proline content.**

The proline was determined in fresh leaves according to the method described by (Bates *et al.*, 1973) and confirmed by Draz, (1986).

**I-4. Leaf mineral determination:**

- a) Total nitrogen by semi-micro-Kjeldahl method as out lined by (Pregl, 1945).
- b) Phosphorus using spokal spectrophotometer at 88.2 μ.v. according to the method described by (Murphy and Riely, 1962).
- c) Potassium and sodium estimated by flame photometrically using the methods recommended by Brown and Lilleland, (1946).
- d) Calcium, magnesium, iron, manganese and Zinc were determined using Atomic absorption spectrophotometer "Perkin Elmer-3300" after Chapman and Pratt, (1961). N, P, K, Ca, Mg and Na concentrations were expressed as percentage whereas Fe, Mn and Zn nutrients were calculated as ppm.

All the obtained data were subjected to analysis of variance and significant difference among means were determined according to Snedecor and Corchran, (1972). In addition, significant differences among means were distinguished according to the Duncan's multiple range test (Duncan, 1955).

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION****I- Effect of foliar sprays with PP<sub>333</sub>, BA and CCC on stem total carbohydrates and sugars contents of three olive cultivars transplants:****A- specific effect:**

Concerning the specific effect of olive cultivar on stem, total of carbohydrates and sugars content of Coronaiki; Manzanillo and Aghizi olive transplants, irrigated with 6000 ppm, SAR6 and two levels (Cl:SO<sub>4</sub>) ratio on saline solutions, data presented in Table (2) revealed that Coronaiki cv. had significantly the greatest value of stem total carbohydrates content followed in a decreasing order by Manzanillo and Aghizi olive

cultivars during 2002 and 2003 experimental seasons. The reverse was true during both seasons regarding shoot total soluble sugars content compared to that previously discussed with stem total carbohydrates, where Aghizi was significantly the richest and Coronaiki cv. the poorest. The result go in line with that reported by Al Guburi, 1996 on date palm and Hasan (2005) on olive cultivars.

Regarding the specific effect of spraying with some growth regulators (PP<sub>333</sub>, BA and CCC) on the two parameters, Table (2) displays that two conflicted trends were detected. Hence, irrigation with saline

solutions gave a significant decrease in stem total carbohydrates and increased total sugars compared with control (tap water) treatment. Such trend in agreement with the obtained results of Nasr *et al.*, (1977), Aly (1979) and Hasan (2005). Moreover, PP<sub>333</sub> at 500 ppm and BA at 20 ppm and CCC at 1000 ppm increased significantly total carbohydrates and reduced total sugars in stem as compared with olive plants irrigated with saline solutions during both seasons of study. With regard to the specific effect of Cl:SO<sub>4</sub> ratio of saline used for irrigation on both stem total carbohydrates and total sugars contents, in could be observed from data in Table (2) that the higher ratio resulted in a significant decrease of stem total carbohydrates content below the lower ones, while the reverse was true in stem total soluble sugars content during two seasons of study. These results confirmed that reported by Ahmed (1994), who found that foliar application of 500 ppm cycocyl increased total carbohydrates and soluble sugars contents in pomegranate shoots compared with control.

**B- Interaction effect:**

Data obtained during both seasons regarding the response of total carbohydrates and total sugars extents to interaction effect of various combination between three studied factors, Table (2) shows that both components didn't coincide in their trend of response. Hence, the highest stem total carbohydrates content was always in significant relationship to salt stressed Coronaiki transplants sprayed with BA at 20 ppm concentration x low chloride during both seasons. Meanwhile the reverse was detected by unsprayed salinity Aghizi transplants irrigated with 6000 ppm solution, SAR6 and high Cl:SO<sub>4</sub> ratio which exhibited the least value of total carbohydrates. Moreover, other combinations were in between the abovementioned to extremes.

Nevertheless, stem total soluble sugars followed an opposite trend regarding the response to interaction effect of 3 factors. Herein, the highest level of total sugars was markedly coupled with the unsprayed salt stressed transplants irrigated Aghizi trans-

plants with 6000 ppm, SAR6 with high level of chloride (Cl:SO<sub>4</sub> ratio). The reverse was true with BA sprayed Coronaiki olive transplants x low chloride level. In addition, other combinations were in between.

**II- Leaf total free amino acids and proline contents:**

**A- Specific effect:**

With regard to the specific effect of olive cultivars, data in Table (2) revealed that Aghizi cultivar had the richest leaves in their contents of both total free amino acids and proline followed in a descending order by Manzanillo and Coronaiki cvs., such trend was true during both seasons and differences were significant as each cultivar was compared to the other ones. These results are in agreement with the findings of Hasan (2005) on some olive cultivar; El-Azab, (1992), and Garcia *et al.*, (2002); on some citrus rootstocks.

Concerning the specific effect of growth regulators, data obtained displayed that both leaf free amino acids and proline followed the same trend. Total free amino acids and proline contents in leaves increased significantly by irrigation with 6000 ppm salt concentration comparing with those of tap water (control) during 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> seasons. Proline might act as an osmoregulator against salinity stress and its accumulation considered as an adaptive to stress conditions Handa *et al.*, (1985).

Salt stress increased leaves total amino acids and proline contents in conformity with those obtained by El-Hefnawi (1986) on guava plants; Ahmed and Ahmed (1997) and Hasan (2005) on some olive cultivar. Moreover, both total free amino acids and proline were reduced in leaves of PP<sub>333</sub>, BA & CCC) foliar sprayed transplants. Such reduction was significant as PP<sub>333</sub>, BA and CCC sprayed transplants compared to the unsprayed stressed ones during both seasons of study. However, BA foliar spray at 20 ppm was relatively more effective than both CCC and PP<sub>333</sub> as their effect on both total free amino acids and proline was concerned during 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> seasons. As for the specific effect of

the Cl:SO<sub>4</sub> ratio, of saline solution for irrigation on leaf total free amino acids and proline contents, data in Table (2) reveals that the higher ratio resulted in a significant increase as compared to the lower one during the study. Such trend is in agreement with the obtained results by Hasan (2005).

#### B- Interaction effect:

Table (2) indicates a significant variance in either total free amino acids or proline contents as interaction effect of olive cultivar, sprayed growth regulators and chloride level in irrigation water with 6000 ppm sanalized water of higher chloride level showed the highest values of both total free amino acids and proline contents during two seasons. On the other hand, sprayed salt stressed (at lower Cl:SO<sub>4</sub> ratio) Coronaiki olive transplants with BA or CCC showed the lowest values of both total free amino acids and praline contents. Such trend was true during both seasons and differences between combinations of both categories i.e., those of the superior from one hand and the inferior from the other were significant.

### III - Effect of foliar application with PPass, BA and CCC on leaf mineral contents:

#### A- Specific effect:

Concerning the specific effect of olive cultivar, data in Tables (3, 4 & 5) reveled that Coronaiki cultivar exceed statistically the two other cultivar (Mauzanillo & Aghizi) regarding leaf N, P, K, Mg, Fe, Mn and Zn contents. However with both (Ca & Na) contents took the other way around during two seasons. The results are in harmony with those reported by Hasan (2005) on some olive transplant.

Regarding the, specific effect of treatments on leaf mineral content of olive transplants it, is quite obvious from data presented in Tables (3, 4 & 5) that irrigation

with salt concentration decreased significantly leaf N, P, K, Mg, Fe, Mn and Zn contents but significantly increased leaf Ca & Na content during 2002 and 2003 seasons. This results are in harmony with those reported by Behairy *et al.* (1985) on guava and olive plants and Hasan (2005) on some olive cvs. Moreover, the specific effect of growth regulators (PPsss, Ba & CCC) it is quite clear that BA, CCC and PPw foliar spray for salts stressed olive transplants statistically increased leaf N, P, K, Mg, Fe, Mn & Zn contents as compared with unsprayed salt stressed ones while the reverse was true with leaf Ca and Na contents during two seasons of study. The same findings were obtained by Sharaf *et al.* (1985); Ghanta *et al.*, (1995) on Avocado American and European grape seedlings. In addition, increasing chloride level (Cl:SO<sub>4</sub> ratio) in irrigation water significantly decreased leaf N, P, K, Mg, Fe, Mn and Zn contents but increased leaves Ca and Na of salt stress olive transplants during the two seasons of study. These results agreement with that reported by Klien *et al* (1994) on Manzanello olives trees and Hasan (2005) on olive transplants.

#### B- Interaction effect:

A significant response to interaction effect of various combinations between olive cultivar, sprayed growth regulators and chloride level (Cl:SO<sub>4</sub> ratio) in irrigation water. However, combinations represented (Coronaiki x CCC x low chloride level); (Coronaiki x BA x low chloride level) exhibited the highest leaf (N, P, Mg and Zn) and (K, Mn and Fe); respectively as well as lowest leaf Ca & Na contents. In addition, unsprayed Aghizi olive transplants irrigated with 6000 ppm salt solution with high chloride level gave the highest value of leaf Ca & Na contents and lowest value of leaf N, P, K, Mg, Fe, Mn & Zn during two seasons of study. However, other combinations were in between the aforesaid two extremes.

Table (2): Total carbohydrates (D.W.) %; total sugars (D.W.) %, total free amino acids (mg/100 g.f.w.) and proline (mg/100 g.f.w.) of 6000 ppm, 6-SAR saline solution irrigated olive transplants as influenced by specific and interaction effects of olive cvs., foliar spray with some growth regulators, Cl:SO<sub>4</sub> ratio and their combinations during 2003 and 2004 experimental seasons.

Cultivars Treatments	Total carbohydrates (dry weight) %				Total sugars (dry weight) %				Total free amino acids mg/100 g.f.w.				Proline (mg/100 g.F.W.)					
	Coronaiki	Manza.	Aghizi.	Mean*	Coronaiki	Manza.	Aghizi.	Mean*	Coronaiki	Manza.	Aghizi.	Mean*	Coronaiki			Manza.	Aghizi.	Mean*
2002																		
Control (tap water)	40.58a	35.15b	34.42c	36.72A	1.950i	2.003i	2.071i	2.008C	1.114o	1.597no	2.176mn	1.629E	0.145m			0.127n	0.115n	0.129E
Control	Low CL	24.22i	21.82p	21.04q	21.22E	3.773hi	4.293fg	4.537d	4.478A	5.823dc	4.810f-h	8.623b	7.164A	0.270h-j		0.290c-g	0.310b	0.299A
	High CL	22.04op	20.27r	17.90s		4.167g	4.973b	5.127a		6.237d	7.963c	9.527a		0.290c-g		0.307bc	0.330a	
PP <sub>333</sub>	Low CL	24.61kl	27.15fg	26.42h	24.08D	3.473jk	3.827hi	4.277fg	4.164B	2.973kl	3.603jk	3.847j	3.831C	0.270h-j		0.280e-i	0.283d-h	0.286B
	High CL	20.47r	23.46m	22.38no		4.160g	4.477de	4.773c		3.743j	3.920ij	4.900fg		0.290c-g		0.293b-f	0.300b-d	
BA.	Low CL	32.85d	24.70k	27.33f	26.63B	3.437jk	3.760i	3.923h	4.003B	2.453lm	2.593lm	4.570g-i	3.541D	0.250kl		0.257j-l	0.267h-k	0.267D
	High CL	25.86i	23.74m	25.28j		3.710i	4.353ef	4.833bc		3.007kl	3.557jk	5.063fg		0.263i-l		0.277E-i	0.290c-g	
CCC	Low CL	28.02e	26.82g	26.12hi	24.66C	3.387k	3.557j	3.760i	4.034B	2.213mn	4.153h-j	4.160h-j	4.113B	0.247l		0.263i-l	0.267h-k	0.275C
	High CL	23.38m	22.58n	21.03q		4.223fg	4.527d	4.750c		3.757j	5.060fg	5.333cf		0.273g-j		0.297b-c	0.303bc	
Mean**	26.89A	25.08B	24.66C		3.587C	3.974B	4.228A		3.400C	4.140B	5.355A		0.255C		0.266B	0.274A		
Mean***	Low		High		Low		High		Low		High		Low		High			
	28.08A		25.24B		3.469B		4.006A		3.647B		4.464A		0.243B		0.260A			
2003																		
Control (tap water)	40.34a	36.60b	33.67c	36.87A	1.990o	1.990o	2.011o	1.997D	2.040j	2.070j	2.200j	2.103D	0.130k			0.120k	0.113k	0.121E
Control	Low CL	16.50n	16.11n	14.94o	15.34E	3.776h	4.291e	4.540c	4.480A	6.520d	9.857c	10.60ab	9.172A	0.290de		0.333c	0.330c	0.414A
	High CL	15.24o	15.05o	14.22p		4.170f	4.972b	5.131a		6.773d	10.32bc	10.96a		0.300d		0.350b	0.880a	
PP <sub>333</sub>	Low CL	26.68f	26.37f	21.59i	22.41D	3.423j	3.554i	4.052g	3.917B	3.257i	3.340i	4.670f	4.518C	0.250ij		0.263g-j	0.297d	0.282B
	High CL	21.62i	19.76l	18.43m		3.843h	3.231ef	4.400d		4.823f	4.530fg	6.490d		0.267f-i		0.297d	0.320c	
BA.	Low CL	32.43d	26.60f	25.76g	24.40B	2.952n	3.051mn	3.453ij	3.394C	3.413hi	3.973gh	4.530fg	4.349C	0.250ij		0.263g-j	0.253h-j	0.252D
	High CL	21.34ij	20.85j	19.43l		3.351j	3.762h	3.792h		4.623f	4.590fg	4.967ef		0.263g-j		0.277e-g	0.267f-i	
CCC	Low CL	28.55e	22.43h	21.37ij	22.94C	3.211k	3.091lm	3.181kl	3.389C	3.173i	4.690f	5.143ef	4.779B	0.246j		0.257h-j	0.270f-h	0.271C
	High CL	22.19h	22.38h	20.70k		3.545i	3.555i	3.753h		4.910f	5.183ef	5.577c		0.277e-g		0.283d-f	0.290de	
Mean**	24.99A	22.91B	21.12C		3.362C	3.500B	3.813A		4.392C	5.395B	6.126A		0.253C		0.271B	0.336A		
Mean***	Low		High		Low		High		Low		High		Low		High			
	26.00A		22.79B		3.238B		3.633A		4.632B		5.337A		0.244B		0.296A			

\*, \*\* and \*\*\* means refer to specific effect of treatment concentration, olive cvs. and Cl:SO<sub>4</sub> ratio, respectively.

Values within the same column or row for any of three investigated factors were individually differentiated by capital letter's while for the interaction small letters were used, as means followed by same letter/s were not significantly difference.

Table (3): N, P and K (%) of 6000 ppm. 6-SAR saline solution irrigated olive transplants as influenced by specific and interaction effects of olive cvs., foliar spray with some growth regulators, Cl:SO<sub>4</sub> ratio and their combinations during 2002 and 2003 experimental seasons.

Cultivars Treatments	Nitrogen (%)				Phosphorus (%)				Potassium (%)				
	Coronaiki	Manza.	Aghizi.	Mean*	Coronaiki	Manza.	Aghizi.	Mean*	Coronaiki	Manza.	Aghizi.	Mean*	
2002													
Control (tap water)	3.220a	3.130b	3.100b	<b>3.150A</b>	0.450a	0.390b	0.280cd	<b>0.373A</b>	2.270b	2.610a	2.320b	<b>2.400A</b>	
Control	Low CL	1.300n	1.057p	1.197o	<b>1.082E</b>	0.130j-n	0.117l-n	0.107mn	<b>0.108D</b>	0.900l	0.740m	0.620n	<b>0.673E</b>
	High CL	1.103p	0.863r	0.970q		0.120k-n	0.093mn	0.083n		0.670n	0.637n	0.473o	
PP <sub>333</sub>	Low CL	2.253f	2.183gh	2.227g	<b>1.999D</b>	0.287cd	0.260d-f	0.183g-j	<b>0.207B</b>	1.450gh	1.357i	1.337i	<b>1.308D</b>
	High CL	1.953j	1.633m	1.747l		0.270c-e	0.127j-n	0.117l-n		1.420h	1.320i	0.963k	
BA.	Low CL	2.460e	2.430e	2.517d	<b>2.223B</b>	0.217e-g	0.200g-i	0.177g-k	<b>0.173C</b>	1.747c	1.603d	1.520ef	<b>1.509B</b>
	High CL	2.150h	1.827k	1.954j		0.180g-j	0.150h-m	0.113l-n		1.430gh	1.413h	1.340i	
CCC	Low CL	2.663c	2.313f	1.960j	<b>2.100C</b>	0.323c	0.290cd	0.207f-h	<b>0.225B</b>	1.607d	1.543e	1.470f-h	<b>1.437C</b>
	High CL	2.033i	1.760l	1.870k		0.213fg	0.170g-l	0.147i-m		1.480fg	1.307i	1.213j	
<b>Mean**</b>	<b>2.126A</b>	<b>1.911C</b>	<b>1.949B</b>		<b>0.243A</b>	<b>0.200B</b>	<b>0.157C</b>		<b>1.442A</b>	<b>1.392B</b>	<b>1.251C</b>		
<b>Mean***</b>	<b>Low</b>		<b>High</b>		<b>Low</b>		<b>High</b>		<b>Low</b>		<b>High</b>		
	<b>2.267A</b>		<b>1.954B</b>		<b>0.241A</b>		<b>0.194B</b>		<b>1.540A</b>		<b>1.391B</b>		
2003													
Control (tap water)	3.350a	2.890c	2.990b	<b>3.077A</b>	0.410a	0.310b	0.270c	<b>0.330A</b>	2.140ab	2.100b	2.180a	<b>2.140A</b>	
Control	Low CL	1.277n	1.030p	1.173o	<b>1.036E</b>	0.113k	0.100kl	0.093l	<b>0.095E</b>	0.870lm	0.797n	0.670p	<b>0.719E</b>
	High CL	1.030p	0.800r	0.907q		0.103kl	0.087lm	0.073m		0.737o	0.650p	0.590q	
PP <sub>333</sub>	Low CL	2.447e	2.027i	2.270fg	<b>2.059D</b>	0.293b	0.253c-e	0.187gh	<b>0.213C</b>	1.307f	1.353ef	1.127h	<b>1.034D</b>
	High CL	1.950j	1.790l	1.870k		0.250de	0.160i	0.133j		0.953jk	0.820mn	0.643p	
BA.	Low CL	2.633d	2.307f	2.470e	<b>2.241B</b>	0.237ef	0.230f	0.203g	<b>0.194D</b>	1.540c	1.487d	1.373e	<b>1.243B</b>
	High CL	2.250g	1.860k	1.927j		0.200g	0.160i	0.13j		1.353ef	0.903e	0.803n	
CCC	Low CL	2.853c	2.193h	2.433e	<b>2.176C</b>	0.303b	0.267cd	0.250de	<b>0.238B</b>	1.393e	1.303f	1.193g	<b>1.109C</b>
	High CL	2.013i	1.717m	1.847k		0.223f	0.203g	0.180h		1.033i	0.960j	0.770no	
<b>Mean**</b>	<b>2.200A</b>	<b>1.846C</b>	<b>1.987B</b>		<b>0.237A</b>	<b>0.197B</b>	<b>0.169C</b>		<b>1.258A</b>	<b>1.153B</b>	<b>1.039C</b>		
<b>Mean***</b>	<b>Low</b>		<b>High</b>		<b>Low</b>		<b>High</b>		<b>Low</b>		<b>High</b>		
	<b>2.290A</b>		<b>1.946B</b>		<b>0.235A</b>		<b>0.193B</b>		<b>1.389A</b>		<b>1.109B</b>		

\*, \*\* and \*\*\* means refer to specific effect of treatment concentration, olive cvs. and Cl:SO<sub>4</sub> ratio, respectively.

Values within the same column or row for any of three investigated factors were individually differentiated by capital letter's while for the interaction small letters were used, as means followed by same letter/s were not significantly difference.



Table (4): Ca, Mg and Na (%) of 6000 ppm 6-SAR saline solution irrigated olive transplants as influenced by specific and interaction effects of olive cvs., foliar spray with some growth regulators, Cl:SO<sub>4</sub> ratio and their combinations during 2002 and 2003 experimental seasons.

Cultivars Treatments	Calcium (%)				Magnesium (%)				Sodium (%)				
	Coronaiki	Manza	Aghizi	Mean*	Coronaiki	Manza	Aghizi	Mean*	Coronaiki	Manza	Aghizi	Mean*	
2002													
Control (tap water)	2.160mm	1.830q	1.790q	1.907E	0.9920a	0.760d	0.810c	0.833A	0.196s	0.132u	0.167t	0.165E	
Control	Low CL	3.127f	3.857d	4.590b	3.952A	0.373j	0.303lm	0.317kl	0.313D	0.635h	0.693g	1.023b	0.966A
	High CL	3.253e	3.983c	4.900a		0.330k	0.270n	0.287m		0.813c	0.950c	1.663a	
PP <sub>333</sub>	Low CL	1.710r	1.823q	2.127hm	2.221C	0.870b	0.663gh	0.720e	0.720C	0.843d	0.507l	0.573j	0.674B
	High CL	2.177f	2.613i	2.877fh		0.820c	0.580i	0.667g		0.583j	0.713f	0.827de	
BA.	Low CL	1.890p	2.013o	2.937g	2.456B	0.8113c	0.733c	0.750d	0.740B	0.313q	0.337p	0.433n	0.436D
	High CL	2.147hm	2.490j	3.26e		0.820c	0.647h	0.677fg		0.377o	0.527k	0.627i	
CCC	Low CL	1.323r	2.06mo	2.120m	2.029D	0.870b	0.687f	0.757d	0.719C	0.277r	0.377o	0.420n	0.448C
	High CL	1.657s	2.357k	2.657i		0.6887f	0.647h	0.663gh		0.467m	0.513kl	0.633i	
Mean**	2.154C	2.558B	3.029A		0.724A	0.588C	0.628B		0.500C	0.528B	0.707A		
Mean***	Low		High		Low		High		Low		High		
	2.353B		2.673A		0.690A		0.640B		0.462B		0.613A		
2003													
Control (tap water)	1.990op	1.950p	1.830r	1.923E	0.950a	0.820c	0.890b	0.887A	0.181s	0.116t	0.195s	0.164E	
Control	Low CL	3.207f	3.530c	3.647b	3.520A	0.430ll	0.350n	0.400m	0.360D	0.943f	1.157d	1.203c	1.158A
	High CL	3.290e	3.697b	3.807a		0.363m	0.283p	0.333o		0.977e	1.257b	1.410a	
PP <sub>333</sub>	Low CL	1.583s	1.883q	2.117hm	2.276D	0.823c	0.633i	0.700g	0.688C	0.563o	0.647m	0.707l	0.742B
	High CL	2.413k	2.577j	3.083g		0.773e	0.560k	0.637i		0.813k	0.837ij	0.883g	
BA.	Low CL	800r	2.027mo	2.990h	2.374C	0.797d	0.717f	0.70e	0.722B	0.420r	0.487q	0.513p	0.608D
	High CL	1.993op	2.367k	3.067g		0.770e	0.673h	0.673h		0.527p	0.843hi	0.857h	
CCC	Low CL	1.483t	2.070mm	3.177ff	2.580B	0.663c	0.673h	0.723f	0.666C	0.417r	0.573o	0.613n	0.651C
	High CL	2.240l	2.67f	3.363d		0.687gh	0.603j	0.647i		0.627n	0.823jk	0.850hi	
Mean**	2.222C	2.531B	3.009A		0.695A	0.590C	0.634B		0.600C	0.749B	0.803A		
Mean***	Low		High		Low		High		Low		High		
	2.352B		2.690A		0.685A		0.644B		0.582B		0.746A		

\*; \*\* and \*\*\* means refer to specific effect of treatment concentration, olive cvs. and Cl:SO<sub>4</sub> ratio, respectively.

Values within the same column or row for any of three investigated factors were individually differentiated by capital letter's while for the interaction small letters were used, as means followed by same letter's were not significantly difference.

Table (5): Zn, Mn and Fe (ppm) of 6000 ppm, 6-SAR saline solution irrigated olive transplants as influenced by specific and interaction effects of olive cvs., foliar spray with some growth regulators. Cl:SO<sub>4</sub> ratio and their combinations during 2002 and 2003 experimental seasons.

Cultivars Treatments	Zinc (ppm)				Manganese (ppm)				Iron (ppm)				
	Coronaiki	Manza.	Aghizi.	Mean*	Coronaiki	Manza.	Aghizi.	Mean*	Coronaiki	Manza.	Aghizi.	Mean*	
2002													
Control (tap water)	150.9b	163.2a	120.3c	144.8A	93.60a	75.30b	63.70c	77.53A	226.3c	255.3a	230.8b	237.5A	
Control	Low CL	48.98t	46.74u	43.71z	44.96E	28.32s	27.07t	26.23u	26.24E	74.86s	85.33r	70.85t	69.70E
	High CL	45.77v	43.91y	40.62z		26.58u	25.48v	23.77w		64.83u	61.45v	60.87w	
PP <sub>333</sub>	Low CL	83.11h	74.46l	56.04r	68.36C	38.78l	31.51p	30.53q	32.08D	153.8g	150.5i	152.9h	148.6B
	High CL	73.88m	72.37n	50.28s		33.46o	29.51r	28.72s		149.7j	139.2n	145.5l	
BA.	Low CL	84.29g	80.33i	59.39p	62.87D	55.60d	50.23f	48.15g	46.99B	166.2d	148.7k	157.5f	142.0D
	High CL	63.04o	45.51w	44.63x		50.86e	38.97l	38.11m		145.3l	110.9q	123.4p	
CCC	Low CL	94.22d	86.48f	87.48e	80.04B	45.98h	42.17i	39.89k	40.78C	165.2e	139.4n	144.2m	147.3C
	High CL	78.87j	75.90k	57.51q		41.14j	38.15m	37.35n		152.5h	132.9o	149.6j	
Mean**	87.38A	85.20B	68.03C		50.79A	43.37B	40.01C		152.5A	147.9B	146.6C		
Mean***	Low		High		Low		High		Low		High		
	85.31A		75.10B		46.47A		42.98B		154.8A		143.2B		
2003													
Control (tap water)	166.3a	145.1b	125.9c	145.8A	86.70a	69.80b	59.80ef	72.10A	269.4a	245.4b	214.6c	243.2A	
Control	Low CL	45.40s	43.38t	39.55v	37.29E	33.47t	29.75u	27.53v	28.28E	61.83v	70.45u	81.53s	67.29E
	High CL	36.43w	31.90y	27.08z		29.36u	27.50v	22.05w		55.34w	61.82v	72.77t	
PP <sub>333</sub>	Low CL	81.26h	71.96i	62.85o	61.82C	61.17d	59.97e	40.76o	49.34C	147.5g	151.2f	153.8e	145.8B
	High CL	66.44m	47.65r	40.74u		57.02i	38.96q	38.15r		136.6m	141.2i	144.2h	
BA.	Low CL	85.20e	67.18l	66.04n	57.91D	66.43c	59.01gh	56.18j	53.56B	151.3f	151.2f	160.7d	142.6C
	High CL	53.26p	40.70u	35.09x		59.39fg	40.36op	39.97p		124.9r	132.5p	134.7n	
CCC	Low CL	95.48d	81.83g	68.92j	74.40B	58.79h	53.45k	41.48n	47.54D	140.0j	144.0h	137.2l	136.8D
	High CL	83.67f	68.49k	48.04q		48.96l	46.34m	36.23s		128.0q	134.1o	137.6k	
Mean**	87.98A	74.33B	64.01C		58.80A	49.49B	42.19C		148.5A	147.7B	145.2C		
Mean***	Low		High		Low		High		Low		High		
	83.10A		67.79B		53.62A		46.71B		152.0A		142.2B		

\*. \*\* and \*\*\* means refer to specific effect of treatment concentration, olive cvs. and Cl:SO<sub>4</sub> ratio, respectively.

Values within the same column or row for any of three investigated factors were individually differentiated by capital letter's while for the interaction small letters were used, as means followed by same letter/s were not significantly difference.

REFERENCES

- Ahmed, A.H. and Ahmed F.F. (1997): Effect of saline water irrigation and cycocel on growth and uptake of some elements of Taimour and Alphonso mango seedlings. *Annals of Agric. Science, Moshtohor* 35 (2): 905-908.
- Ahmed, P.M. and Ahmed F.F. (1997): Effect of saline water irrigation and ccc on growth and uptake of some elements of Taimour and Alphonso mango seedling. *Annals of Agric. Sci. Moshtohor* 35 (2): 901 - 908.
- Al-Juburi, H.J. (1996): Effect of salinity and GA3 on mineral concentration of date palm seedlings. *Fruits - Paris* 51 (6): 429 - 435.
- Al-Juburi, H.J. and Al-Masry, H.H. (2000): Effect of salinity and IAA on growth and mineral content of date palm seedlings. *Fruits - Paris* 55 (5) : 315 - 323.
- Azab, S.A. (1998): Leaf and root chemical constituents of seven citrus rootstocks under the arid environment of Qatar. *Arab - Gulf - J. of scientific Research*. 16 (1) : 81 - 96.
- Aly, M. M. (1979): Effect of salinity on growth of Some fruit species. Ph.D. Thesis, Hort. Dept., Fac. of Agric. Ain Shams Univ., Egypt.
- Bates, L.S., Waldren, R.P. and Teare, I.D.(1973): Rapid determination of free praline for water stress studies. *Plant and Soil* 939:205-207.
- Bahairy, Z. H.; Khamis, M. A. and Abd El-Aziz (1985): Salt tolerance of guava and olive seedlings as affected by some growth regulators. The 10<sup>th</sup> International Congress for Social and denographic Research, Ain Shams Univ. 5: 99-97.
- Chapman, H.D. and P.F. Pratt (1961): *Methods of Analysis for Soils; Plants and Waters*. Div. Agric. Sci. Univ. Calif., Berkeley PP. 309.
- Draz, M. Y. (1986): Response of bitter almond seedlings to different water regime. Ph. D. Thesis Fac. of Agric., Cairo Univ. Egypt.
- Dubois, M. Gilles, K.; Hamilton, J. K.; Rebers P. A. and Smith, F. (1956): A colorimetric method for the determination of sugars and related substances.
- Duncan, D.B. (1955): Multiple range and multiple F. tests. *Biometrics*, 11: 1-42.
- El-Azab, E.M.I El-kobbia, A.M. and El-Khayat H.U. (1998): Effect of three sodium salts on vegetative growth and mineral composition of stone fruits rootstock seedlings. *Alex. J. of Hort. Res.* 43 (3): 219 - 229.
- El-Hefnawi, S.M.O. (1986): *Physiological studies on guava*. Ph.D. Thesis (Pomology), Hort. Depart. Fac. of Agric., Zagazig Univ., Egypt.
- Garcia, M.R.; Bernet, G.P.; puchades, J.; Gomez, I. and Asins, U.J. (2002): Reliable and easy screening technique for salt tolerance of citrus rootstocks under controlled environments. *Aust J. of Agri. Res.* 53 (6) : 653 - 662 .
- Ghanta, P.K.; Dhua, R.S. and Mitra, S.K. (1995), Effect of pre sowing seed treatment on growth, flowering, yield and fruit quality of papaya (*carica papaya L.*) cv. Ranchi. *Hort. J.* 8 (1): 39 - 45.
- Handa, S.; Handa, A.K.; Hasegawa, P.M. and Bressan, R.A. (1985): Proline accumulation and the adaptation of cultured plant cells to water stress. *Plant physiol.*, 80: 938-954.
- Hasan, A. A. E.(2005): *Physiological studies on the effect of salt stress on some olive cultivars*. Ph.D. Thesis, Fac. Agric. Moshtohor., Benha University.
- Klein, I; Ben-Tal, Y; Lavee, S.; Malach, Y. and David, I. (1994): Saline irrigation of cv. Manzanello and uovo di piccione trees. *Acta-Hort.* 356 : 176 - 180.
- Moore, S. and Stein, W.H. (1948): Photometric ninhydrin method for use in the chromatography of amino acids *J. Biol. Chem.* 176: 367-388.
- Murphy, J. and Riely, J.P. (1962): A modified single dolution method for determination of phosphate in natural water. *Anol Chem (Acta)*, 27:31-36).
- Okubo, M. and Sakuratani - J. (2000): Effect of Sodium chloride on survival and stem elongation of two Asian pear rootstocks. *Seedlings. Scientia - Horticulturae* 85 (1 - 2): 85 - 90.
- Prgel, F. (1945): *Quantitative organic micro-analysis 4<sup>th</sup> Ed.* J & A., churvhill, Ltd. London.

- Sen, S.K.; Hore, J.K. and Bandyopadhjay, A. (1990): pre-sowing seed treatment and its role on germination, seedling growth and longevity of papaya. Orissa - J. of Argric. Res. 2 (3): 160 - 164.
- Sharaf, M. M.; Zeinab, H. B.; Khamis, M. A. and A. Z., Abd El-Aziz, (1985): Salt tolerance in American and European grape seedlings as affected by some growth regulators (6). Tenth international Congress for statistics, Computer Science social and Demographic. Research 30 March-10 April (1985), pp. 203-232.
- Snedecor, G.W. and Cochran, W.G. (1972): Statistical Methods. 6<sup>th</sup> ed. The Iowa state Univ. Press, Amer, Iowa, U.S.A. PP. 593.
- Steinhardt, R.; Kalmr, D. and Lahav. E (1995): Salinity damage to avocado trees on Mexican rootstock. Alon - Hanotea 49 (8): 326 - 338.

## مقاومة بعض أصناف الزيتون للملوحة بالرش ببعض منظمات النمو. ٢- التأثير على المحتوى الكيماوى للورقة والساق

فؤاد محمد عبد اللطيف الجندى

قسم البساتين - كلية الزراعة - جامعة بنها - مصر

- \* أجريت هذه الدراسة خلال موسمى ٢٠٠٢، ٢٠٠٣ بمزرعة الشيخ خليفة - العين - الإمارات العربية المتحدة. على ثلاث أنواع من شتلات الزيتون (كروناكي، مانزينللو، العجيزى) عمر سنة، بهدف دراسة تأثير الرش ببعض منظمات النمو (الميكوسيل بتركيز ٥٠٠ جزء فى المليون، والبنزيل أدينين بتركيز ٢٠ جزء فى المليون، الباكلوباترزول بتركيز ٥٠٠ جزء فى المليون) رشاً على الأوراق فى أربعة مواعيد (منتصف كل من يونيو، يوليو، أغسطس وسبتمبر) على تقليل الأثر الضار للملوحة على نمو شتلات الزيتون. تم اختيار شتلات عمر سنة لهذه الدراسة متقاربة فى نموها للأصناف الثلاث فى ١٥ مارس خلال موسمى الدراسة حيث زرعت فى أصص بلاستيك بقطر ٣٥ سم تحتوى على مخلوط الرمل والبوتج سويل بنسبة ١:١ ورويت بالماء العادى بمعدل ٤/٣ لتر /أصيص كل يومين حتى أول مايو حيث بدأت معاملات الملوحة.
- \* صممت تجربة عاملية تشمل ثلاث عوامل مختبرة (صنف الزيتون، نسبة الكلوريدات إلى الكبريتات بحلول ماء الرى المالح، ومنظم النمو) وكذلك التفاعل بين تلك العوامل الثلاث ومدى استجابة الشتلات النامية تجت إجهاد ملحي (٦٠٠٠ جزء فى المليون، ومستوى ٦ من الصوديوم الممنص) لتلك العوامل وانعكاساتها على المحتوى الكيماوى والعناصر للشتلات.
- \* أحتوت سيقان الصنف كروناكي على أعلى نسبة من الكربوهيدرات وأقل نسبة من السكريات (الكلية) بينما العكس كان صحيحاً مع الصنف العجيزى. ولقد سلك كل من محتوى السيقان من الكربوهيدرات والسكريات الكلية اتجاهين متضادين بالنسبة لتأثرهما بتركيز الأملاح أو نسبة الكلوريدات: الكبريتات فى ماء الرى حيث زادت محتوى السيقان من السكريات الكلية بينما قلت الكربوهيدرات الكلية لتأثرهما بأى من العاملين المختبرين.
- \* ملوحة ماء الرى ٦٠٠٠ جزء فى المليون مع زيادة نسبة الكلوريدات إلى الكبريتات أدت إلى زيادة محتوى الأوراق من كل من الأحماض الأمينية الكلية، البرولين وعنصرى الكالسيوم والصوديوم بينما قل محتوى الأوراق من كل من النيتروجين، البوتاسيوم، المنجنيز والحديد والزنك.
- \* أدى الرش بأى من منظمات النمو المختبرة إلى زيادة محتوى السيقان من السكريات الكلية وتقليل محتواها من الكربوهيدرات الكلية، الأحماض الأمينية والبرولين مقارنة بتلك النامية فى محلول ملحي.
- \* أظهر الصنف كروناكي تقوفاً ملحوظاً فى محتوى الأوراق من النيتروجين، والفوسفور، البوتاسيوم، الماغنسيوم والمنجنيز، والزنك والحديد بينما أحتوى على أقل نسبة من الكالسيوم والصوديوم، بينما أعطى الصنف عجيزة نتائج عكسية.
- \* أدى الرش بمنظمات النمو للنباتات النامية فى محاليل ملحية (٦٠٠٠ جزء فى المليون) إلى زيادة كل من النيتروجين، والفوسفور، البوتاسيوم، الماغنسيوم والحديد والمنجنيز، والزنك بينما قل تركيز كل من الكالسيوم والصوديوم. زيادة نسبة الكلوريدات: الكبريتات فى ماء الرى أعطى عكس النتائج السابقة للنباتات النامية فى محلول ملحي.