Annals Of Agric. Sc., Moshtohor, Vol. 46(1): Ag. 71-83, (2008).

THE EFFECT OF NITROGEN SOURCE AND POPULATION DENSITY ON CORN PRODUCTION B- YIELD AND ITS COMPONENTS

BY

Abd El-Raouf, M. S.*; Gheith, E. M.*; Soliman, H. M.* and Al-Shebani, Y.A.**

- * Agronomy departement, Faculty of Agriculture, Cairo University
- ** Agronomy departement, Faculty of Agriculture, Sanaa University, Yemen

ABSTRACT

This investigation was carried out during 1999 and 2000 seasons, at the Agricultural Experiment and Research Station, Faculty of Agriculture, Cairo University at Giza, Egypt to study, the effect of N fertilization treatments (mineral as urea (46.5% N) with farmyard mamme (FYM) as cattle manure) and plant population density (20000, 25000 and 30000 plant/fed.) on yield and its components of maize Single cross 10.

The results showed that, the most studied yield components increased by raising the quantity of N from ures. However, the differences between 120 kg N/fed, as ures alone and 90 kg N/fed, as ures + 30 kg N/fed, as cattle manure were insignificant in most cases. However, increasing plant population density from 20000 to 30000 plant/fed, significantly increased grain yield. Meanwhile, the interaction between N fertilization combinations and plant population density had a significant effect on all studied characters. Optimum grain yield/fed, as well as harvest index was obtained by planting 30000 plant/fed, with 120 kg N/fed, as ures, followed by 60-90 kg N as ures combined with 30-60 kg N as organic source (cattle manure).

INTRODUCTION

Maize (Zea mays L.) is a major cereal crop in Egypt and all over the world. In Egypt, the total cultivated area of maize reached 1.927 million feddan in 2005 season with an average grain yield of 25.3 ardab/feddan (Statistical and Agricultural Economic Research Institute, Ministry of Agriculture of Egypt, 2005).

Several forms of mineral fertilizers as well as organic nitrogen manure, especially farmyard manure (FYM) and chicken manure are commonly used in Egypt.

Organic matter of the soil is considered to be the magic remedy for all types of soil. The applications of organic manures enrich the soil with nutrient element and humus which is the final product of decomposition of organic matter. Humus has a very vital role in improving soil physical and

chemical properties. It increase the water holding capacity of the soil as a result of its colloidal status, and also humus increase the cation exchange capacity of the soil which is a remedy of one weakness of light textured soil. Awad-Allah and Nabila Bassiouny (1993) reported that one cubic meter of FYM contains about 64, 2.4, 3.2 and 9.6 Kg OM, N, P₂O₅ and K₂O, respectively. Most of the nutrient elements in FYM are not in the available form and it takes long time for decomposition and mineralization. Therefore, FYM has a good residual effect on the succeeding crops following the manured crop. In this connection, Fan et al., 2005 reported that balanced fertilization and long term addition of organic material to soil should be encourage to maximize the use of stored soil arrest grain yield decline and ensure sustainable productivity.

As regarding to number of ears/plant, some workers stated that this trait was significantly increased with application either 20 m³ FYM/fed. (Faisal and Shalaby, 1998) or by using 40 m³ FYM/fed. (Fatma Nofal, 1999). On the ther hand, Khalil (1992) mentioned that application of 10 to 20 m³ FYM/fed. had not significant effect on this trait.

Number as well as weight of grains/ ear were not significantly increased by application of FYM. (Khalil, 1992). While Tuivavalagi and Silva (1996) noticed that the addition of chicken manure significantly increased kernel weight.

Moreover, 1000-grain weight was significantly increased either with application of 25 m³ FYM/fed. (Abdel-Hameed, 1997) or by addition of 40 m³ FYM/fed. (Fatma Nofal, 1999), but, Khalil (1992) reported that 100-grain weight was not significantly increased by increasing FYM from zero to 20 m³ Fym/fed.

Concerning FYM which had been added with mineral fertilization, some workers found that grain yield was increased by using 10 tons FYM/ha. with 90-135 kg N + 45-67.5 kg P₂O₅ + 20-35 kg K₂O (Suri et al., 1995 and Kamalakumari and Singaram, 1996). On the other hand. Bhajan et al. (1985), applied two levels of organic manure (8.5 or 17 tons FYM, 10 or 20 tons cowpea green manure, supplying 60 and 120 Kg N/ha. respectively) and 0, 60, 120 or 180 Kg N/ha. They found that organic manures and N increased grain yield and the green manure was more effective than FYM. While, Sambotin et al. (1986) reported that application of 110 Kg N + 60 Kg P₂O₅ + 148 K₂O + 40 t FYM +5 t lime/ha. increased maize grain yield by 5.27 t/ha. over the untreated control. Also, Mohamed and El-Aref (1999) obtained the highest grain yield by application of 20 Kg N (chemical) + 20 m³ FYM/fed. at planting.

Several researchers stated that FYM alone had a valuable effect on grain yield of corn. Some investigators found that application of 10 to 20 tons FYM/ha. increased grain vield of maize as reported by (Ikombo, 1984; Devarajan et al., 1987; El-Kourney, 1993; Tuivavalagi and Silva, 1996; Suri and puri, 1997 and Khan-Zada et al., 2000). However, Abdel-Hameed (1997), Faisal and Shalaby (1998) and Fatma Nofal (1999) found that grain yield of corn was significantly increased with application of 25-40 m³ FYM/fed. On the other hand, the highest organic manure rate 540 kg N/ha. as cattle manure produced the same yield as 180 kg N/ha. as urea. (Bocchi and Tano, 1994). El-Naggar et al. (1995) reported that mineral and organic fertilizers application significantly increased grain yield. The greater values of grain yield was recorded with urea followed by calcium nitrate and FYM compared with control. They added that FYM had the largest effect in increasing total N and available P and K in soil compared to urea and calcium nitrate. Khalil (1992) reported that FYM had no significant effect on maize grain yield.

Some workers have emphasized the importance of organic manure for improving soil fertility. Accordingly, it was very important to evaluate the two main kinds of nitrogen fertilizer upon plant growth, yield and its components. Corn is one of the most responsive crops for nitrogen fertilization. Therefore, it was chosen for this type of experiments.

Consequently, the present investigation aimed to study the effect of organic and mineral fertilization on yield of maize and its components to decrease the supplied mineral nitrogen sources to the soil which affect the environment and soil.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The experiments were carried out during 1999 and 2000 seasons at Agricultural Experiment and Research Station, Faculty of Agriculture, Cairo University, at Giza, Egypt to study the effect of N treatments including specific combination of both mineral sources

(urea 46.5% N) and cattle manure ratios, plant population densities and their interaction on growth, grain yield and its components of maize (*Zea mays* L.) single cross 10. The chemical analysis of soil in 1999 and 2000 seasons are presented in Table 1.

Table (1): chemical analysis of upper 50 cm of soil in 1999 and 2000 seasons.

| Nutrient | The chemical analysis of soil | | | | |
|-----------------------|-------------------------------|-------------|--|--|--|
| Nutrent | 1999 season | 2000 season | | | |
| N (ppm) | 40.0 | 37.0 | | | |
| P (ppm) | 18.0 | 17.0 | | | |
| K (ppm) | 373.0 | 330.0 | | | |
| Fe (ppm) | 1.09 | 1.16 | | | |
| Mn (ppm) | 0.99 | 0.56 | | | |
| Zn (ppm) | 0.66 | 0.38 | | | |
| Cu (ppm) | 0.18 | 0.44 | | | |
| pH | 7.6 | 7.9 | | | |
| EC (mm/cm) | 0.45 | 0.31 | | | |
| CaCO ₃ (%) | 3.51 | 2.95 | | | |
| Ca (mg/L) | 0.80 | 0.60 | | | |

Experimental treatments:

The experiment included 18 treatments which were the combination of 6 treatments for N fertilization combinations (urea 46.5% with cattle manure) and three plant population densities.

A. Treatments for N fertilization combinations:

- 1. (N₁), Zero kg N/fed. as urea plus zero kg N/fed. As cattle manure (unfertilized control).
- 2. (N₂), Zero kg N/fed. as urea plus 120 kg N/fed. as cattle manure.
- 3. (N₃), 30 kg N/fed, as urea plus 90 kg N/fed, as cattle manure.

- 4. (N₄), 60 kg N/fed. as urea plus 60 kg N/fed. as cattle manure.
- 5. (N₅), 90 kg N/fed. as urea plus 30 kg N/fed. as cattle manure.
- (N₆), 120 kg N/fed. as urea plus zero kg N/fed. as cattle manure.

B. Plant population density:

- 1. 20000 plant/fed. (30cm between plants).
- 2. 25000 plant/fed. (24cm between plants).
- 3. 30000 plant/fed. (20cm between plants).

The physical and chemical analysis of the cattle manure are presented in Table 2.

Table (2): Chemical analysis of the cattle manure applied in 1999 and 2000 seasons.

| Fertilizer characteristics | Cattle manure analysis | | | | |
|----------------------------|------------------------|-------------|--|--|--|
| , at attact that acter and | 1999 season | 2000 season | | | |
| Organic matter % | 70,30 | 67,70 | | | |
| Total N (%) | 0,89 | 1,0 | | | |
| Total P (%) | 0,55 | 0,8) | | | |
| Total K (%) | 1,19 | 1,54 | | | |
| NO _{a-} N (ppm) | 185.10 | 182,0 | | | |
| Fe (ppm) | 1810.0 | 1807.2 | | | |
| Mn (ppm) | 205.0 | 415.0 | | | |
| Zn (ppm) | 75.0 | 100,0 | | | |
| Cu (ppm) | 140,0 | 161.0 | | | |

Grains of maize (Single cross 10) were hand planted on 13 and 8 June in the first and second season, respectively. Thinning to one plant/hill was practiced 21 days from planting. Cattle manure was applied to each sub-plot before planting (The required of cattle manure were calculated according to

total N content in cattle manure (Table 2.) and the chemical nitrogen fertilization as urea (46.5% N) was applied in two equal doses, i.e. before the first and second irrigation.

Both organic and chemical N sources were specified to secure a constant 120 kg nitrogen per feddan in both seasons.

Experimental design:

The experimental design was a splitplot with four replications. The main plots were devoted for treatments of N fertilization combinations and the sub-plots were assigned to plant densities.

The plots size of each sub-plot was 16.8 m^2 (4.2 × 4 m), containing 6 rows (4m long and 70 cm apart).

The outer row of each sub-plot was left for vegetative sampling (growth conesquent measurements). Then the next three rows were taken for yield and its components determination and the remaining tow rows were also left for vegetative sampling. The samples were randomly taken after 45, 60 and 75 days from planting (DAS).

Studied characters:

Yield and its components:

- 1. Stand at harvest per feddan.
- 2. Number of ears per plant.
- 3. Number of grains per ear.

- 4. Grains weight per ear (g).
- 5. Shelling percentage.
- 6. 500-grain weight (g).
- 7. Grain yield per feddan (ardabs).
- 8. Harvest index (H.I).

Number of grains and grain weight per ear as well as 500-grain weight were estimated on a sample of 20 ears randomly collected from each sub-plot. Yield and its components were estimated on the whole sub-plot basis. Therefore, 500-grain weight and grain yield/fed were adjusted to 15.5% moisture content. Grain yield/plot (kg) was transformed as ardab/feddan (one ardab = 140 kg).

All data were subjected to the statistical analysis according to Steel and Torrie (1980), using the MSTAT-C Program. Test for homogeneity of variance was used to compare between variances over two seasons before deciding the validity of combined analysis.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Yield and its components:

1. Stand at harvest, (per feddan):

The effects of N treatments, plant population density and their interaction on number of plants/fed. at harvescare presented in Table (3).

1.1. Effect of N treatments:

Results indicated that N treatments had a significantly affected number of plants/fed. at harvest. The highest number of plants/fed. at harvest was obtained with using 120 kg N/fed. as urea + zero kg N/fed. as cattle manure (N₆ treatment). Results showed that 120 kg N as urea gave higher stand especially when compared with the unfertilized check.

1.2. Effect of plant population density:

Number of plants/fed. at harvest was increased with increasing plant population density from 20000 to 25000 and 30000 plant/fed.

1.3. Effect of interaction:

Results also, showed that the interaction between N treatments and plant population density had a significant effect on number of plants/fed. at harvest and being highest for 30000 plant density and fertilized with 120 kg N/fed. as urea + zero kg N/fed. as cattle manure (N_6 treatment).

2. Number of ears per plant:

The effects of N fertilization treatments, plant population density and their interaction on number of ears per plant are presented in Table (3).

2.1. Effect of N treatments:

Nitrogen treatments had a significant effect on number of ears per plant. Generally, increasing quantity of N from urea in N combinations caused a slight increase in number of ears per plant. The highest ears number per plant was produced from N_6 treatment, followed by N_5 and N_4 treatments, among which the differences were insignificant. Also, the unfertilized check (N_1) as well as the second (N_2) and third (N_3) treatments produce significantly lower number of ears/plant then the remainder N treatments

Table (3): Means of stand at harvest, per feddan, no. of ears /plant and no. of grains /ear as affected by N-fertilization and plant densities (combined over 1999 and 2000 seasons).

| seasons). | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------|--|-----------|-------------|-------------------------|--|--|
| N fertilization | Stand at harvest/ feddan Plant densities / fed. Mean | | | | | |
| (Urea + cattle manure) | | Mean | | | | |
| Kg N/ fed. | 20000 | 25000 | 30000 | | | |
| Zero + Zero (N ₁) | 18500 | 23417 | 27417 | 23111 | | |
| Zero + 120 (N ₂) | 18667 | 23583 | 27667 | 23306 | | |
| 30 + 90 (N ₃) | 18583 | 23583 | 28083 | 23417 | | |
| 60 + 60 (N ₄) | 18750 | 23583 | 28083 | 23472 | | |
| 90 + 30 (N _s) | 18500 | 23500 | 28083 | 23361 | | |
| 120 + Zero (N ₆) | 18833 | 23667 | 28667 | 23722 | | |
| Means of plant densities | 18639 | 23556 | 23556 28000 | | | |
| L.S.D. (N) (P) (N×P) | | | | 333.2 227.4 557.0 | | |
| | | No. of ea | rs/plant | | | |
| Zero + Zero (N ₁) | 1.07 | 1.05 | 1.05 | 1.06 | | |
| Zero + 120 (N ₂) | 1.62 | 1.33 | 1.22 | 1.39 | | |
| 30 + 90 (N ₃) | 1.70 | 1.72 | 1.28 | 1.57 | | |
| 60 + 60 (N ₄) | 1.92 | 1.83 | 1.53 | 1.76 | | |
| 90 + 30 (N _g) | 1.85 | 1.70 | 1.78 | 1.78 | | |
| 120 + Zero (N ₆) | 2.15 | 1.87 | 1.77 | 1.93 | | |
| Means of plant densities | 1.72 | 1.58 | 1.44 | | | |
| L.S.D. (N) (P) (N×P) | | | | 0.23 0.15 0.36 | | |
| | | | ains /ear | | | |
| Zero + Zero (N ₁) | 443.6 | 427.1 | 440.4 | 437.0 | | |
| Zero + 120 (N ₂) | 536.6 | 526.3 | 510.3 | 524.4 | | |
| 30 + 90 (N ₃) | 536.4 | 525.4 | 536.9 | 532.9 | | |
| 60 + 60 (N ₄) | 551.3 | 522.9 | 538.9 | 537.7 | | |
| 90 + 30 (N ₅) | 592.9 | 554.9 | 536.0 | 561.3 | | |
| 120 + Zero (N ₆) | 584.1 | 578.6 | 560.4 | 574.4 | | |
| Mean of plant densities | 540.8 | 522.5 | 520.5 | | | |
| L.S.D. | | | | | | |
| (N) | | | | 28.1 | | |
| (P) | | | | 15.7 | | |
| (N×P) | | | | 38.6 | | |

2.2. Effect of plant population density:

Results in Table (3) indicated the significant differences between 20000 and 30000 plant densities. Other differences failed to be significant. Planting 20000 plant density produced the highest number of ears per plant. While, the lowest number of ears per plant

was obtained by planting 30000 plant/fed. This could be attributed to good utilization of light, nutrients and water in case of lower densities than the highest ones. Similar results were obtained by El- Hosary and Salwau (1989), Esechie (1992), El-Hariri et al (1996), Khalil et al (1999) and El-Bana and Gomaa

(2000). In this connection, Al-Shebani (1998) reported that number of ears/plant insignificantly decreased with increasing plant population density from 16000 to 26000 plant/fed. However, Faisal *et al* (1996) found that increasing plant densities from 20000 to 24000 significantly increased number of ears/plant.

2.3. Effect of interaction:

Results showed, that the interaction between N fertilization treatments and plant population density had a significant effect on number of ears per plant. Being highest for 20000 plant density and fertilized with 120 kg N/fed. as urea + zero kg N/fed. as cattle manure (N_6 treatment).

3. Number of grains per ear:

The effects of N treatments, plant population density and their interaction on number of grains per ear are presented in Table (3).

3.1. Effect of N treatments:

Results indicated that number of grains per ear was significantly affected by N combination treatments. The highest number of grain per ear was obtained from N_6 treatment, i.e. using 120 kg N/fed. as urea + zero kg N/fed. as cattle manure, followed by N_5 treatment, i.e. 90 kg N/fed. + 30 kg N/fed. as cattle manure. The difference between both treatments was insignificant. Also, the differences between N_2 , N_3 and N_4 treatments were insignificant. Moreover, the unfertilized check gave the significant lowest number of grain/ear.

3.2. Effect of plant population density:

Results indicated that increasing plant population density from 20000 to 30000 plant/fed. significantly decreased number of grains per ear. The difference between (25000 and 30000 plant densities) was not significant. Superiority of 20000 plant density may be due to the highest performance of such density in ear length and to the reduction of within plant competition for nutrient, water, space and light. The results reported here are in harmony with those obtained by Abdel-Raouf (1973), and Al-Shebani (1998) who found that that

number of grain per ear was significantly decreased by increasing plant population density from 15000 to 31000 plant/fed. On the contrary, El-Bana and Gomaa (2000) reported that increasing plant population density from 20000 to 30000 plant/fed. significantly increased number of grains per ear.

3.3. Effect of interaction:

Results showed also, that the effect of interaction between N fertilization treatments and plant population density on grains number/ear was significant (Table 3). In general, the highest number of grains per ear was given by planting 20000 plant/fed. and fertilized with 90 kg N/fed. as urea \pm 30 kg N/fed. as cattle manure (treatment N₅) or with 120 kg N/fed. as urea without cattle manure (treatment N₆).

4. Grain weight per ear (gm):

Grain weight per ear as affected by N treatments, plant population density and their interaction is presented in Table (4) .

2.4.1. Effect of N treatments:

Results indicated that ear grain weight was significantly increased with N fertilization.

However, the differences between the last three treatments (N₄, N₅, N₆ treatments) were insignificant. Significantly highest ear grain weight was obtained with application of urea N more than 30 kg/fed. These results are in harmony with those obtained by Tuivavalagi and Silva (1996) who indicated that addition of chicken manure combined with mineral fertilizers significantly increased kernel weight/ear.

4.2. Effect of plant population density:

Results showed also, that plant population density had a significant effect on grain weight per ear. This trait decreased significantly by increasing plant population density from 20000 to 30000 plant/fed. Increasing plant density from 20000 plant/fed. to 25000 plant/fed. to 30000 plant/fed. decreased ear grain weight from 171.3 gm to 157.3 gm to 145.8 gm respectively. Such increase in grain weight per ear for 20000 plant density

may be due to light inter-plant competition as reflected above in higher means of ear length and diameter as well as number of grain per ear. These results are in agreement with those obtained by El-Deeb (1990), Al-Shebani

(1998) and El-Bana and Gomaa (2000) who reported that weight of grain/ear was significantly decreased with increasing plant population density up to 30000 plant/fed.

Table (4): Means of grains weight /ear, shelling % and 500-grain weight as affected by N-fertilization and plant densities (combined over 1999 and 2000 seasons).

| N fertilization | Grains weight /ear | | | | |
|-------------------------------|--------------------|----------------|----------------|--------------|--|
| (Urea + cattle manure) | Pi | Mean | | | |
| Kg N/ fed. | 20000 | 25000 | 30000 | | |
| Zero + Zero (N ₁) | 137.9 | 123.8 | 98.7 | 120.1 | |
| Zero + 120 (N ₂) | 155.6 | 150.4 | 138.5 | 148.2 | |
| 30 + 90 (N ₃) | 170.4 | 157.3 | 155.4 | 161.0 | |
| 60 + 60 (N ₄) | 185.3 | 167.5 | 160.0 | 170.9 | |
| 90 + 30 (N ₅) | 190.3 | 172.6 | 160.3 | 174.4 | |
| 120 + Zero (N ₆) | 188.4 | 172.3 | 161.6 | 174.1 | |
| Means of plant densities | 171.3 | 157.3 | 145.8 | | |
| L.S.D. | | | | | |
| (N) | | | | 9.1 | |
| (P) | | | | 4.0 | |
| (N×P) | | | | 9.9 | |
| | | Shelli | | | |
| Zero + Zero (N ₁) | 78.8 | 78.6 | 72.6 | 76.7 | |
| Zero + 120 (N ₂) | 87.6 | 88.1 | 85.9 | 87.2 | |
| 30 + 90 (N ₃) | 87.9 | 83.9 | 81.5 | 84.4 | |
| 60 + 60 (N ₄) | 86.6 | 87.7 | 86.1 | 86.8 | |
| 90 + 30 (N ₄) | 88.1 | 86.7 | 86.4 | 87.1 | |
| 120 + Zero (N ₆) | 88.5 | 87.4 | 86.1 | 87.4 | |
| Means of plant densities | 86.3 | 85.4 | 83.1 | | |
| L.S.D. | | | | | |
| (N) | | | | 2.18 | |
| (P) | | | | 1.53 | |
| (N×P) | | 500-grain | | 3.74 | |
| Zoro J. Zoro (NI) | 166.4 | | | 160 6 | |
| Zero + Zero (N ₁) | 166.4 181.6 | 160.3 | 154.9 170.1 | 160.5 | |
| Zero + 120 (N_2) | 180.5 | 173.0 180.6 | 170.1 | 174.9 | |
| $30 + 90 (N_3)$ | | | 176.3 | 180.0 | |
| 60 + 60 (N ₄) | 185.5 | 179.7 | | 180.5 | |
| 90 + 30 (N _s) | 186.0 | 184.2 | 177.9 | 182.7 | |
| 120 + Zero (N ₆) | 185.6 | 178.7 | 178.4 | 180.9 | |
| Means of plant densities | 180.9 | 176.1 | 172.7 | | |
| L.S.D. | | | | 4.20 | |
| (N) | | | | 4.29 | |
| (P) (N×P) | | | | 2.71 6.66 | |
| (N×P) | | | | 0.00 | |

4.3. Effect of interaction:

Results in Table (4) showed also that the interaction between N treatments and plant population density had a significant effect on the above trait. In general, planting 20000 plant/fed. and applied 90 kg N/fed. as urea + 30 kg N/fed. as cattle manure (N₅ treatment)

5. Shelling percentage:

Effects of N treatments, plant population density and their interaction on shelling percentage are presented in Table (4).

5.1. Effect of N treatments:

Results clearly indicated that the effect of N treatments on shelling percentage was significant either in the combined analysis or in both growing seasons. The highest value of shelling percentage was obtained from N₆ treatment followed by N₅, N₂ and N₄ treatments among which differences were not significant. While the lowest shelling percentage was obtained from control or treatment N₃. In this connection, Ponsica *et al.* (1983) indicated that shelling percentage was significantly increased by application of FYM combination with mineral fertilizers.

5.2. Effect of plant population density:

Results indicated that shelling percentage was significantly affected by plant population density. The significantly lowest shelling percentage was obtained from the highest density (30000 plant/fed.). Whereas the differences between the first two densities were insignificant. These results are in general agreement with those obtained by Bedeer (1984), Gomaa (1985), Abdel-Aal et al. (1997), Al-Shebani (1998) and Said and Gabr (1999). However, Tantawi et al. (1994) found that plant population density had no significant effect on shelling percentage.

5.3. Effect of interaction:

Results clearly indicated that the interaction between N combinations and plant population density had a significant effect on shelling percentage Table (4). The highest shelling percentage was about (88.47%) and obtained by planting 20000 plant/fed. which fertilized by 120 kg N/fed. as urea + zero kg N/fed. as cattle manure (N₆ treatment), followed by planting 20000 plant/fed. which

fertilized with 90 kg N/fed. as urea + 30 kg N/fed. as cattle manure (N₅ treatment).

6. 500-grain weight:

The effects of N treatments, plant population density and their interaction on 500-grain are presented in Table (4).

6.1. Effect of N treatments:

The effect of N treatments on 500grain weight was significant. However, the differences within the last four treatments (N₃, N_4 , N_5 and N_6 treatments) were insignificant. Whereas, 500-grain weight was significant higher for any of the above treatments than for N₂ treatment or the unfertilized check. The heaviest 500-grain weight was obtained from N₅ treatment, followed by N₆ treatment. These results clearified the importance manure application even with lower amount (30 kg N as cattle manure). The results may be due to the fact that FYM improve the availability of nutrients and the capacity of organic materials to supply with more than one nutrient during the time of grain filling. Saleh and Abd El-Fattah (1997) showed that the slow release of nutrients from FYM makes timing on nutrient availability more suitable than that from chemical fertilizer. Mohamed and El-Aref (1999) reported that adding 20 or 10 kg N (chemical) combined with 20 or 40 m³ FYM/fed. and also, adding 40 m³ FYM/fed. at planting increased 1000-grain weight more than those obtained by adding 40 kg N (chemical) at planting. Madhavi et al. (1995) reported that 1000-grain weight was significantly increased by application of 50 or 100% recommended rate of NPK (120 kg N + 60 kg $P_2O_5 + 60 \text{ kg } K_2O/\text{ha.}$) with 4.5 tons/ha. Poultry manure.

6.2. Effect of plant population density:

Results indicated that 500-grain weight was significant increased with decreasing plant population density from 30000 to 20000 plant/fed. This increment may be due to highest mean performance in ear length and diameter, number of grain per ear and ear grain weight for the above plant densities. The same trend was previously obtained by several investigators such as Faisal et al. (1996), Abdel-Aal et al. (1997), Al-Shebani (1998), Khalil et al. (1999) Said an Gabr (1999), El-

Bana and Gomaa, (2000) and Hassan, (2000) who found that either, 1000-grain weight and 500-grain weight or 100-grain weight was significantly increased with decreasing plant population density per unit area.

6.3. Effect of interaction:

Results in Table (4) clearly indicated that the interaction between N fertilization and plant population densities had a significant effect on 500-grain weight. The highest 500-grain weight was obtained by planting 20000 plant/fed. fertilized with 90 kg N/fed. as urea + 30 kg N/fed. as cattle manure (N₅ treatment)

7. Grain yield ardab per feddan:

Results of the effects of N treatments, plant population and their interaction on grain yield of maize (ardab per feddan) are presented in Table (5).

7.1. Effect of N treatments:

Results indicated that grain yield/fed. was significantly affected by N fertilization treatments. In general, grain yield/fed. increased by raising the quantity of N from urea in the combination N fertilization.

Table (5): Means of grain yield ardab/feddan, and harvest index as affected by N-fertilization and plant densities (combined over 1999 and 2000 seasons).

| rei tilization and plant densities (combined over 1777 and 2000 seasons). | | | | | | | | | |
|---|------------------------|--------------------------|-------|------------------------|-------|---------------|-------|------|--|
| N fertilization | Gra | Grain yield ardab/feddan | | | | Harvest index | | | |
| (Urea + cattle manure) | Plant densities / fed. | | Mean | Plant densities / fed. | | | Mean | | |
| Kg N/ fed. | 20000 | 25000 | 30000 | | 20000 | 25000 | 30000 | | |
| Zero + Zero (N ₁) | 15.52 | 16.21 | 13.82 | 15.18 | 28.8 | 28.0 | 24.2 | 27.0 | |
| Zero + 120 (N ₂) | 18.34 | 21.34 | 22.61 | 20.76 | 29.7 | 31.1 | 29.1 | 30.0 | |
| 30 + 90 (N ₃) | 19,92 | 22.7 | 25.35 | 22.66 | 30.8 | 30.7 | 30.1 | 30.5 | |
| $60 + 60 (N_4)$ | 22.46 | 24.9 | 26.77 | 24.71 | 31.4 | 31.1 | 30,6 | 31.0 | |
| 90 + 30 (N _s) | 24.16 | 26.08 | 27.50 | 25.91 | 32.5 | 31.8 | 29.8 | 31.3 | |
| 120 + Zero (N ₆) | 24.56 | 27.41 | 28.95 | 26.98 | 33.8 | 32.5 | 29.7 | 32.0 | |
| Means of plant densities | 20.82 | 23.11 | 24.17 | | 31.2 | 30.8 | 28.9 | i. | |
| L.S.D. | | | | | | | | | |
| (N) | | | | 1.71 | | | | 1.47 | |
| (P) | | | | 1.18 | | | | 1.28 | |
| (N×P) | | | | 2.89 | | | | 3.14 | |

Results also indicated that the differences between N_4 and N_5 or between N_5 and N_6 were not significant.

The vital role of N on maize grain yield is clearly demonstrated. The effect of N on maize grain yield is the outcome of its effect on leaf area per plant, increasing each of maize prolificacy, ear size, number and weight of grains per ear, 500-grain weight, and shelling percentage. In general, Blaga et al. (1993), Bocchi and Tano (1994), El-Naggar et al. (1995), Scherer (1995), Suri et al. (1995), Madhavi et al. (1996), Kamalakumari and Singaram, (1996). Tuivavalagi and Silva (1996) found that grain yield per unit area increased with application of animal manure

combination with mineral fertilizers. Also, Mohamed and El-Aref (1999) obtained that the highest grain yield by application of 20 Kg N (chemical) + 20 m³ FYM/fed. at planting.

7.2. Effect of plant population density:

Plant densities significantly affected grain yield per unit area, but this effect on this character gave insignificant difference between 25000 and 30000 plant/fed. Plant density of 30000 plant/fed. gave the highest grain yield/fed. followed by 25000 plant/fed. and 20000 plant/fed. However, such increase was more pronounced with increasing plant density from 20000 to 30000 plant/fed. in both seasons as well as in their combined average. This increase may be due to greater number of

plants per unit area in case of the dense planting and consequently increased grain yield/fed. The results reported here are in harmony with those obtained by El-Bially et al. (1991) Gouda et al. (1993), Tantawi et al. (1994), El-Hariri et al. (1996), Faisal et al. (1996), Al-Shebani (1998), Said and Gabr (1999), El-Bana and Gomaa (2000) and Hassan (2000), who found that grain yield /fed. was increased significantly with increasing plant population density from 16000 to 30000 plant/fed. While, increasing plant population density over 35000 plant/fed. caused a significant reduction in grain yield/fed. (Abdul-Galil et al, 1990; and Bedeer et al, 1992).

7.3. Effect of interaction:

Results also, showed that the interaction between N fertilization and plant population density had a significant effect on grain yield/fed. The highest grain yield/fed. (28.95 ardab/fed.) was obtained by planting 30000 plant/fed. with 120 kg N/fed. as urea + zero kg N/fed. cattle manure (N₆ treatment). But, the treatment which realize the aim of this research is the N₅ treatment (90 kg N/fed. as urea + 30 kg N/fed. cattle manure) because, the difference in grain yield between N₅ and N₆ was not significant.

Finally, it could be concluded that under the condition of this study the best grain yield/fed. could be produced by planting 30000 plant/fed. from single cross 10 with application of cattle manure at not less than 30 kg N/fed. up to 60 kg N/fed. of organic source. The remainder amount of nitrogen from ammonium source as urea (60-90 kg N/fed.).

Such recommendation is logic as no significant differences between N_4 , N_5 and N_6 treatments were obtained in both seasons. The improvement role of organic manure on soil properties could not neglected.

8. Harvest index (H.I):

Harvest index as affected by N fertilization, plant population density and their interaction is presented in Table (5).

8.1. Effect of N treatments:

The effect of N treatments on harvest index was significant. It seams that harvest index increase with raising the quantity of N as urea in the combination N fertilization. Fertilized plots with 120 kg N/fed. as urea + zero kg N/fed. as cattle manure (N₆ treatment), followed by using 90 kg N/fed. as urea + 30 kg N/fed. as cattle manure (N₅ treatment) produced the highest harvest index. The difference between both treatments was not significant. Kagata et al. (1999) showed that harvest index was stable and high in FYM and FYM + NPK treatments, but gradually decreased with NPK or without fertilizers.

8.2. Effect of plant population density:

Results showed also, that harvest index was significantly decreased by increasing plant population density. However, the difference between the first and second plant densities (20000 and 25000 plant/fed.) was insignificant. These results were agreement with those obtained by Al-Shebani (1998)who found that increasing plant density per unit area was accompanied by decreasing harvest index. On the contrary, and Assey et al. (1992) found that increasing plan density from 20000 to 30000 plant/fed. caused a significant increase in harvest index.

8.3. Effect of interaction:

Results showed that the interaction between N fertilization combination treatments and plant density, significantly affected harvest index. The highest value of harvest index (33.84) was obtained by planting 20000 plant/fed. which fertilized with 120 kg N/fed as urea + zero kg N/fed as cattle manure (N_6 treatment), followed by using 90 kg N/fed. as urea + 30 kg N/fed. as cattle manure (N_5 treatment). The difference between both treatments was not significant.

REFERENCES

- Abdel-Aal, S.A.; Ibrahim, M.E.; Aqli, A.A. and Sarhan Kh. S. (1997): Studies on some maize varieties sown at different plant distribution systems. II. Flowering, grain filling and yield and its components. Menofiya J. Agric. Res. 22 (3): 755-780.
- Abdel-Hameed, I. M. (1997): Effect of some agronomic practices on maize. M. Sc. Thesis, Fac. Agric. Zagazig Univ., Egypt.
- Abdel-Raouf, M.S.A. (1973): Effect of irrigation and plant population on yield and other agronomic characters in maize. Ph.D. Thesis, Agronomy Dep. Fac. of Agric. Cairo, Univ. Egypt.
- Abdul-Galil, A.A., Ghanem, S. A. Zeiton, O.A. and Moselhy, N.M. (1990): Effect of planting density and foliar N fertilization on yield of maize. Proc. 4th Conf. Agron., Cairo, 1:405-417.
- Al-Shebani, Y.A.A. (1998): Some agronomic studies on *Zea mays*. M. Sc. Thesis, Agronomy Dep. Fac. of Agric. Cairo, Univ. Egypt.
- Assey, A. A.; Oraby, F. T; Mohamed M. A. and Sharan, A. A. (1992): Effect of nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium fertilization on intercrop yield of corn and soybean. Proc. 5th Conf. Agron., Zagazig, (2): 624-670.
- Awad-Allah, E.A. and Nabila H Bassiouny (1993): Fertilizers and fertilization. A text Book for "Open Education", Cairo University.
- Bedeer, A.A.; Gouda, A. Sh.A. and Ragheb, M.M. (1992): Response of maize varieties to plant density and nitrogen fertilization under farmers conditions, Egypt. J. Appl. Sci., 7 (7): 1-14.
- Bhajan, S.; Brar S. P. and singh, B. (1985): Effect of organic manure and nitrogen on grain yield and soil properties in a maize-wheat rotation. J. of Res., Punjab Agric. Univ. 22(2): 243-252. (C. F. Field crop Abst., 39: 5674, 1986).
- Blaga, G.; Dumitru, M.; Bunescu, V.; Rauta, C.; Lechintan, T.; Pacurar, I. and Oroian, E. (1993): The influence of organic, mineral-organic and mineral fertilizer applications on the yields of maize and oats grown in sterile waste soils (manmade protosol) from

- the Capus surface mine, Cluj Department. Buletinul Universitatii de Stiinte Cluj Napoca. Seria gricultura si Horticultura. 47: 2, 103-109.
- Bocchi, S. and Tano, F. (1994): Effects of cattle manure and components of pig slurry on maize growth and production. European-Journal-of-Agronomy, 3: (3): 235-241.
- Devarajan, R.; Savithri, P.; Manickam, T.S.; Kothandarman, S.V. and Guruswamy, M. (1987): Residual effect of manure and Zinc on maize. Madras Agric. J. 74(3): 150-153. (C.F. Field crop Abst., 42: 104, 1989).
- El-Bana, A.Y.A. and Gomaa, M.A. (2000): Effect of N and K fertilization on maize grown in different population under newly reclaimed sandy soil. Zagazig J. Agric. Res. 27(5): 1179-1190.
- El-Bially, M.E., Ibrahim, K.I. and Hennawy M.A. (1991): Response of some maize varieties to plant spacing. Egypt. J. Appl. Sci., 6 (4): 242 248.
- El-Deeb, A. A. (1990): Effect of plant density and nitrogen level on the yield models of certain maize cultivars. Proc. 4th Conf. Agron., Cairo, (1): 419-434.
- El-Hariri, D. M.; Hassanein, M. S. and Ahmed, M. A. (1996): Response of corn yield and its components to plant population and cultivvars. Arab J. Agric. Sci. Ain-Shams Univ. 4(1&2): 69-78.
- El-Hosary, A.A. and Salwau, M.I.M. (1989): Effect of N-levels and plant density on yield and some agronomic characters in maize (*Zea mays L.*). Ann. of Agric. Sci., Moshtohor, 27(2): 783-795.
- El-Koumey, B.Y. (1993): Influence of farmyard manure and nitrogen source on complant. Egypt. J. Appl. Sci., 8: 892-909.
- El-Naggar, I.; Hanna, A. M.; El-Kabbany, E. A. Y. and El-Tawail, A. Y. (1995): Effect of soil moisture levels and different sources of nitrogen on physical and chemical properties of soil and maize production. Menofiya J. Agric. Res. 20(3): 1237-1263.
- Esechie, H.A. (1992): Effect of planting density on growth and yield of irrigated maize (*Zea mays*) in the Batinah Coast region of Oman. J. Agric. Sci., 119(2): 165-169.

- Faisal, R.I.I. And Shalaby, S.A. (1998): Effect of organic manure and nitrogen fertilization on growth of maize plasnt unde sprinkler irrigation system. Egypt. J. Appl. Sci., 13(1): 114-129.
- Faisal, R. I. I.; Graish, M. H. M. and Sultan, M.A. (1996): Effect of plant population density and nitrogen fertilization on yield and yield components of some yellow maize hybrids. J. Agric. Sci. Mansoura Univ., 21 (12): 4299-4306.
- Fan, T.; Stewart B.A.; Yong W.; Junjie, L. and Guarge Z. (2005): Long-term fertilization effects on grain yield water use efficiency and soil fertility in the dry land of loess plateau in China. Agric. Ecosystem and Environment. 106:313-329.
- Fatma A. Nofal (1999): A study on mineral and organic fertilization of maize in newly reclaimed areas. Ph.D. Thesis, Fac. of Agric. Moshtohor Zagazig Univ. Egypt.
- Gomaa, M. A. (1985): Effect of plant population and nitrogen levels on two maize cultivars. Annals of Agric. Sci., Moshtohor, 23 (2): 523 530.
- Gouda, A.S.A.; Ragheb M. M. and Bedeer, A. A. (1993): Grain yield of some maize hybrids as affected by plant population density and nitrogen fertilizer levels under different environmental conditions. Bull. Fac. Agric. Cairo Univ., 44: 599-618.
- Hassan, A.A. (2000): Effect of plant population density on yield and yield components of eight Egyption maize hybrids. Bull. Fac. Agric. Cairo Univ., 51: 1-16.
- Ikombo, B.M. (1984): Effect of farmyard manure and fertilizers on maize in semi-arid areas of eastern Kenya. East African Agric. and Forestry, J. 44: special issue, 266-274. (C.F. Soil and Fertilizers Abst., 49(8): 8372, 1986).
- Kamalakumari. K.; Singaram. P. (1996): Effect of continuous application of FYM and NPK on fertility status of soil, yield and nutrient uptake in maize. Madras-Agricultural-Journal. 83(3): 181-184.
- Khalil, M.A. (1992): Effect of nitrogen, zinc and farmyard manure on yield of maize. M. Sci. Thesis, Fac. Of Agric. Moshtohor, Zagazig Univ. Egypt.
- Khan-Zada; Paigham-Shah; Muhammad-Arif; Zagda, K.; Shah, P.; Arif, M. (2000): Management of organic farming: effectiveness of

- farm yard manure (FYM) and nitrogen for maize productivity. Sarhad-Journal-of-Agriculture., 16 (5): 461-465.
- Madhavi, B.L.; Reddy, M.S. and Rao, P.C. (1995): Integrated nutrient management using poultry manure and fertilizers for maize. Journal of Research APAU; 23: 3-4, 1-4.
- Mohamed, E.I. and El-Aref, K.A.O. (1999): Farmyard manure as a substitution of part or all chemical nitrogen fertilization dose at planting for fertilizing maize (*Zea mays L.*). Assiut J. of Agric. Sci., 30(5): 139-148.
- Ponsica, E.P.; Escalada, R.G. and Quirol, B.F. (1983): Effects of animal manure application on the growth and yield of corn. Annals of Tropical Research, 5 (3-4): 110-116.
- Said, El.M. and Gabr, M.A. (1999): Response of some maize varrieties to nitrogen fertilization and planting density. J. Agric. Sci. Mansoura Univ., 24 (4): 1665-1675.
- Saleh, A.L. and Abd El-Fattah, A. (1997): Response of nutrients uptake and dry weight of sorghum to application of FYM, poultry and their combination alone or with chemical fertilizers. Egypt. J. Appl. Sci., 12(12): 271-278.
- Sambotin, L.; Popescu, E.; Pirvu, I. And Pussca, I. (1986): Increase in the production capacity of water logged soils by deep loosenning and chemical and organic fertilizer applications. Lucrari-stiintifice, Inst., Agron. Timisoara. (C. F. Field Crop Abst., 42: 4013, 1989).
- Scherer, E.E. (1995): Evaluation of poultry manure and of urea as sources of nitrogen for the maize crop. Agropecuaria-Catarinense, 8: 4, 15-18.
- Steeel, R.G.D. and Torrie, J.H. (1980): Principles and procedures of Statistics: A Biometrical Approach. 2nd ed. McGraw-Hill Book company, NewYork, NY.
- Suri, V.K. and Puri, U.K. (1997): Effect of phosphorus application with and without farmyard manure on rainfed maize (Zea mays)-wheat (Triticum aestivum)-maize sequence. Indian J. of Agric. Sci. 67: 1, 13-15.
- Suri, V.K.; Puri, U.K. and Jaggi, R.C. (1995): Fertility management in rainfed maizewheat cropping system in sub-tropical tract of Himachal Pradesh. Crop Research Hisar, 10 (3): 236-241.

Tantawy, A. A.; Yousef, M. A. and Meky, M. S. (1994): Effect of plant population, plant distribution and weed control treatments on yield, yield components and protein content of corn (*Zea mays* L.). Minia J. Agric. Res. & Dev. Vol. 16 No. 1: 157-172.

Tuivavalagi, N. S. and Silva, J. A. (1996): The effect of chicken manure and inorganic fertilizers on soil properties and the growth and yield of maize (*Zea mays*) grown on a Hawaiian Oxisol. J. of South Pacific Agriculture, 3 (1-2): 37-41.

تأثير مصدر النتروجين والكتافة النباتية على الذرة الشامية . ٢

محمد صبرى عبد الرؤوف*، السيد محمد غيث*، هاشم محمد سليمان*، يوسف احمد الشبياني** * قسم المحاصيل – كلية الزراعة – جامعة القاهرة – حيزة – حمهورية مصر العربية

** قسم المحاصيل - كلية الزراعة - جامعة صنعاء - - صنعاء - الجمهورية اليمنية

أجريت هذه الدراسة في موسمي ١٩٩٩ و ٢٠٠٠ في محطة البحوث والتجارب الزراعية - كلية الزراعة - جامعة القاهرة لدراسة تأثير التسميد النتروجيني المعدني (يوريا ٢٠٥٤%) والعضوى (سماد الماشية) عند استخدامها في توافيق خاصة بنسب مختلفة من كل منهما وكذلك ثلاثة كثافات نباتية (٢٠و٥٠ و ٣٠) السف نبات / فدان على محصول الحبوب ومكوناته في الذرة الشامية (هجين فردي ١٠) واتضح مسن النتائج بصدفة عامة ان محصول الحبوب وكثير من مكوناته قد زادت بزيادة معدل النتروجين المعدني المضاف. الا انسه فسي الوقت نفسه لم تكن الفروق معنوية بين كل من معاملة التسميد ٢١٠ كجم الم معدني في صورة يوريا والمعاملة التي استبدل فيها ربع الكمية (٣٠ كجم ١٨) في صورة عضوية (سماد الماشية) عند النظر إلى محصول الحبوب وكثلك معظم مكونات محصول الحبوب وأتضح أيضا أن زيادة كثافة النباتات من ٢٠ الى ٣٠ الف نبات / فدان زادت المحصول بصورة معنوية. وكان الثقاعل بين العاملين تخت الدراسة معنويا في كل مكونات المحصول. واستخلص من الدراسة الهضلية استخدام الكثافة النباتية ٣٠ الف نبات / فدان والتسميد اما بـ ١٢٠ كجم الا فسي صورة يوريا اوب ٢٠-٥٠ كجم الا (يوريا) مع ٣٠-٥٠ الا (سماد ماشية) وهواكثر ملائمة للتوصية من ناحيسة تحسين التربة وحدم نقص المحصول ومكوناته وكذلك تظيل التلوث.