EFFECT OF BIO AND ORGANIC NITROGEN FERTILIZATION AND ELEMENTAL SULPHUR APPLICATION ON GROWTH, YIELD AND FRUIT QUALITY OF FLAME SEEDLESS GRAPEVINES

Rafat A. A. Mostafa

Fruit Crop Section, Dept. of Horticulture, Fac. of Agric., Assiut Univ., Egypt

Abstract: This investigation was carried out during 2006 and 2007 seasons, to study the effect of organic manure, biofertilization and elemental sulphur application on growth, yield and berry quality of Flame seedless grapevines.

The obtained results showed that:

- Leaf area, pruning wood weight and leaf NPK percentage significantly increased by using biofertilization and organic nitrogen form as well as sulphur application compared to using mineral-N alone.
- Using 75% of recommended nitrogen dose (RND) at either bio or organic form plus 25% at mineral-N as well as 50% RND plus sulphur application gave the maximum values of these traits.
- Fertilizing the vines with RDN via 75% bio or organic form plus 25% mineral-N as well as 50% RDN plus 0.5 kg sulphur application/vine significantly increased number of clusters and yield/vine as well as

improved the cluster and berry attributes compared to using RND via mineral form only.

It is evident from the foregoing results that using 75% RDN as bio or organic fertilizers plus 25% as mineral source or 50% RDN as organic or mineral source plus 0.5 kg/vine elemental sulphur application were sufficient to get good nutritional status, healthy and more productive Flame seedless grapevines.

Finally, it is concluded that 75% of RDN replacing grapevines by either organic or biofertilizers as well as using 50% of with sulphur RDN combined application were very useful in improving growth, nutritional status of vines. In addition, get the high yield with good quality as well as minimize the production costs and environment pollution which could be occurred by excess of chemical fertilizers.

Key words: Fertilization - Bio - Organic - Elemental sulphur - Growth, yield - Fruit quality - Grapevines.

Introduction

Grape is considered one of the most popular and favorite fruit

crops in the world, for being of an excellent flavour, nice taste and high nutritional value. In Egypt, it is the second fruit crop and is

consumed mainly as fresh fruits. Due to its high net return, the cultivated area has grown rapidly in the last two decades reaching 159929 feddans, representing about 16.60% of total area occupied by fruit trees (Annual Reports, Ministry of Agric., Egypt 2005).

Fertilization is one of the most important tools to improve the soil fertility and increase crop yield. A major compensation to overcome the low fertility of soils is to use chemical fertilizers that became more expensive item for orchard management.

Nitrogen has a pronounced role in improving production and quality of fruits. In Egypt, fertilizer consumption per hectare of the cultivated area is 10 times more than consumption average per hectare of the whole world for all nutrients (FAO, 1994).

Moreover, mineral fertilizers and other chemicals commonly used in agricultural production not only have harmful effects on the environment, but also they can alter the composition of fruits, vegetables and root crops and decrease their contents of vitamins, minerals and other useful compounds. There is a very great danger that harmful residues may remain in food (Bogatyre, 2000).

In the recent years, the use of organic fertilizers instead of mineral fertilizers has become potentially attractive because of the harmful effect and high cost of mineral fertilizers. In sandy and sandy loam soils, the organic fertilization is a good source of nutrients. It also increases number and activity of microorganisms in the soil and helps to prevent breakdown of soil structure leaving good structure the soil in associated with greater water holding capacity (Nijiar, 1985: Miller et al., 1990; Darwish et al., 1995; Abdel-Nasser and Harhash, 2000 and Al-Wasfy et al., 2006).

Fertilizing various grapevine cultivars with organic manures the inorganic beside nitrogen accompanied was source improving growth and leaf mineral content as well as yield and berry quality than using nitrogen as an inorganic source only (Bhangoo et al., 1988; Singh, 1999; Ahmed et al., 2000; Kassem and Marzouk, 2002; Abdel-Galil et al., 2003; Mohamed and Gobara. 2004: Rezk, 2005 and Al-Wasfy et al., 2006).

Biofertilizers are organisms that enrich the nutrient quality of soil and plant, the main sources of biofertilizers are bacteria, fungi Using and cvnobacteria. considered fertilizers is promising alternative for chemical fertilizers. It is very safe for human, animals and environment. Merits of biofertilizers application were reducing plant requirements of NPK by 25%, enhancing the resistance of plants to diseases, stimulating growth of roots and

improving the productive performance of the fruit trees (Suba Rao, 1984; Verna, 1990; Abdel-Hamid, 2002 and El-Akkad, 2004)

various Supplying the grapevine cultivars with biofertilizers caused a pronounced increased in the leaf area and weight of pruning wood and effectively enhanced the nutritional status of the vines. In addition, biofertilizer application beside mineral N source was effective in improving bud burst and fruiting buds percentages. Cluster number and cluster weight were remarkably improved when biofertilizer was added with the mineral source of N compared with using N mineral source only. Moreover. application biofertilizer and its mineral source was very effective in enhancing the quality of berries in terms of increasing the berry weight, size, TSS and total sugars and decreased acidity % (Maroneke et al., 1981; Mahmoud, 1999; Abdel-Hamid, 2002: Abdel-Hady, 2003: 2004; Tawfik, 2005; Akkad, Ibrahim, 2006 and Abbas, et al., 2006).

Recently, elementa! sulphur has been used to reduce alkalinity in order to reclaim the calcareous soil (Abo Rady et al., 1988 and Modaihsh et al., 1989). Elemental sulphur is oxidized by the soil microorganisms to sulphate which lowers soil pH, improves soil structure and increases the

availability of certain plant nutrients (Abdel-Fattah and Hilal, 1984 and Hilal *et al.*, 1990).

Many investigators reported the importance of sulphur in increasing growth and yield of various grapevine cultivars (Harhash and Abdel-Nasser, 2000; Kassem, 2002; El-Dsouky *et al.*, 2002; El-Akkad, 2004 and Zayan *et al.*, 2006).

Therefore, the objective of this investigation was to study the possibility of using bio, organic fertilization and sulphur application partially instead of completed mineral fertilizers of Flame seedless grape cultivar.

Materials and Methods

The present study was executed in 2006 and 2007 seasons on Flame seedless grapevines in a private vineyard situated in Qena Governorate. Soil of the vineyard is sandy loam and its some physical and chemical properties were determined according to Wilde et al. (1985) and are present in Table (1). The vines were 10 years old at the starting of this experiment spaced at 1.5x3 meters apart, trained according to the double cordon system and three supported with wires. Pruning was carried out at the first week of January leaving 16 fruiting spurs with 3 buds plus six replacement spurs with 2 buds. Forty two healthy vines with no nutrient deficiency symptoms and at almost uniform

in their vigor were chosen and divided into seven different treatments including the control. The treatments were as follows:

- 1- The application of 100% mineral nitrogen (control).
- 2- The application of 25% mineral nitrogen + 75% organic nitrogen.
- 3- The application of 25% mineral nitrogen + 75% biofertilizer.

- 4- The application of 33.3% mineral nitrogen + 33.3% organic N + 33.3% biofertilizer.
- 5- The application of 50% mineral nitrogen + 0.5 kg elemental sulphur.
- 6- The application of 50% organic nitrogen + 0.5 kg elemental sulphur.
- 7- The application of 20% organic nitrogen + 30% biofertilizer + 0.5 kg elemental sulphur.

Table(1): Some physical and chemical properties of the experiment soil and compost El-Neel used.

Soil properties	Values	Compost El-Neel properties	values		
Sand %	85.6	M³ weight (kg)	450		
Silt %	9.3	Moisture %	26		
Clay %	5.1	pH (1:5 extract)	8.2		
Texture grade	Sandy	E.C (1:5 extract)	4.1		
pH (1:2.5)	8.04	Total N %	2.15		
CaCO ₃ %	6.58	O.M. %	65		
Organic matter %	0.93	Total P %	1.5		
Total nitrogen	0.19	Total K %	1.3		
Available P (ppm)	2.7	Available Fe (ppm)	1025		
Na mg/100 g	1.01	Mn (ppm)	115		
K mg/100 g	0.78	Cu (ppm)	180		
DTPA-Extractable		Zn (ppm)	128		
Fe (ppm)	7.50				
Mn (ppm)	5.20				
Zn (ppm)	1.80				

Each treatment had under the recommended N level (80 g N/vine/year) or its half, as shown in Table (2). The experiment was arranged in a randomized complete block design with three replications consisting of two vines per each replication.

The elemental sulphur and compost El-Neel as a source of organic-N fertilizer, analysis is given in Table (1), were added once at the first week of January in both seasons. Ammonium sulphate (20.6%N) as a mineral source was applied at three times: growth start, immediately after berry set and at two months late. The active nitrobien commercial biofertilizer (120)g/vine) was added once at growth It was mixed with moist sand and added in soil holes around the trunk of each vine and was directly irrigated after covering the holes with soil. agricultural Normal and used in horticultural practices vineyard (except fertilization) were carried out.

The following parameters were determined to evaluate the effects of different fertilization treatments on growth, nutrient status, yield and berry quality.

1 - Some vegetative growth parameters:

- The average leaf area (cm²): Twenty leaves from those opposite to basal clusters were measured according to the following equation that was reported by Ahmed and Morsy (1999)

leaf area = $0.56 (0.79 \times w^2) + 20.01$, where, w= the maximum leaf width.

- Weight of pruning wood (kg) was estimated by weighing the removal one year old wood after pruning

2 - Leaf nutritional status:

Samples of 30 leaves for each replication were collected from the first full mature leaves from the top of growing shoots in mid of July in three seasons and leaf petioles were separated from the blades. The petioles were washed with tap water, distilled water, airdried, oven-dried at 70°C to constant weight, then ground in a stainless steel mill and kept for chemical analysis. Wet digestion was done for all samples by using concentrated sulphoric acid and hydrogen peroxide for overnight. Percentages of N. P and K (on dry weight basis) were determined in the digestion according to standard methods which were outlined by Wilde et al. (1985).

3- Yield and yield components:

- At harvesting date, the yield per vine was recorded in terms of weight (kg) and number of clusters per vine.

4-Cluster and berry characteristic:

At harvesting, two clusters were taken at random from the yield of each vine to determine cluster and berry traits such as

Table (2): The amount of nitrogen in different sources and sulphur used in the studied treatments.

Treat.		Sulphur	Total N					
	Inorganic fertilizer	%	Organic fertilizer	%	Bio fertilizer	%	g/vine	g/vine
100% MN (Control)	390	100	-	-	-	-	-	80.0
75%Org+25%MN (T ₁)	97.5	25	2790	75	-	-	-	80.0
75%Bio+25%MN (T ₂)	97.5	25	-	-	90	75	-	80.0
1/3Bio+1/3Org+1/3MN (T ₃)	130	33.3	1238	33.3	40	33.3	-	80.0
50% MN + S (T ₄)	195	50	-	-	-	-	500 g	40.0
50% Org + S (T ₅)	-	-	1860	50	-	-	500 g	40.0
20%Org.+30%Bio+S(T ₆)	-	-	745	20	36	30	500 g	40.0

Bio= Biofertilizer

S= Sulphur

cluster weight and length as well as cluster compactness coefficient that according to Winkler et al. (1974). Berry quality such as 25 berry weight, reducing percentages, total soluble solids, total acidity (expressed as gm tartaric acid per 100 ml juice), then the ratio between total soluble solids and acidity total calculated. Chemical berry properties were evaluated according to A.O.A.C. methods (1985).

All the obtained data were tabulated and analyzed according to Gomez and Gomez, (1984) using L.S.D. test for distinguishing the significance differences between various treatment means according to Steel and Torrie (1980).

Results and Discussion

1 - Vegetative growth and leaf nutritional status:

Leaf area and pruning wood weight are the best parameters indicating the growth and vigour of the vines which show the positive response to the different applications of N and sulphur fertilization. As a general view, it can be seen in Table (3) that these parameters were significantly increased by using bio, organic-N form and sulphur application compared to using mineral-N only. Using 75% bio, organic- fertilizer +25% mineral source of nitrogen or 50% organic-N combined with application gave the sulphur values ofthese maximum

vegetative traits. Also these induced treatments more announced and highly significant percentages of N, P and K in leaves. These values were (178.1. 166.3 & 169.5) and (181.8, 178.7) & 186.3 cm²) and (1050, 996 & 1004) and (1269, 1258 & 1235 g/vine) for leaf area and pruning wood weight during the two studied seasons, due to using either 75% organic-N or 75% bio plus 25% mineral-N (T₁, T₂) and 50% organic-N combined with sulphur application (T₅), respectively. The corresponding values of N, P and K were (1.98, 1.85 & 2.03%), (2.21, 2.02 & 2.06% N) & (0.241, 0.193 & 0.246%), (0.265, 0.228 & 0.263% P) and (1.79, 1.58 & 1.83%) & (1.95, 1.71 & 2.02% K). respectively, whereas, such values were (156.6 & 163.2 cm²), (912 & 1118 g/vine), (1.73 & 1.85% N), (0.158 & 0.163% P) and (1.38, 1.43% K) on vines that fertilized by 100% mineral only.

So, using organic manure and biofertilizer combined with elemental sulphur application improve the growth and vigour and sufficiently improve leaf nutritional status of vines.

In general, the increase in the nutrients in leaves by using organic manure, biofertilizers and sulphur application could be related to:

1- The effect of organic manure on enhancing the activity of microflora, water holding capacity, soil structure aggregation, soil

Table(3): Effect of bio and organic-N and elemental sulphur application on vegetative growth and percentage of N, P and K in the leaves of Flame seedless grapevines during 2006 and 2007 seasons.

Charact.→	Pruning weight (Leaf area (cm ²)		N%		P%		K%	
Season → ↓Treat.	2006	2007	2006	2007	2006	2007	2006	2007	2006	2007
100% MN (Control)	912	1118	156.6	163.2	1.73	1.85	0.15	0.163	1.38	1.43
75%Org+25%MN (T ₁)	1050	1269	178.1	181.8	1.98	2.21	0.241	0.265	1.79	1.95
75%Bio+25%MN (T ₂)	996	1258	166.3	178.7	1.85	2.02	0.193	0.228	1.58	1.71
1/3Bio+1/3Org+1/3MN (T ₃)	988	1216	167.2	173.5	1.89	2.01	0.199	0.236	1.65	1.82
$50\% \text{ MN} + \text{S}$ (T_4)	963	1201	165.5	175.2	1.86	2.18	0.218	0.238	1.71	1.86
$50\% \text{ Org} + S \qquad (T_5)$	1004	1235	171.8	186.3	2.03	2.06	0.246	0.263	1.83	2.02
20%Org.+30%Bio+S(T ₆)	968	1198	164.5	175.8	1.83	2.00	0.196	0.233	1.63	1.90
L.S.D. 5%	58.3	76.6	6.3	5.85	0.13	0.11	0.018	0.022	0.12	0.11

Bio= Biofertilizer

S=Sulphur

humid organic matter and substances may increase the availability of elements and reduce soil pH and salinity. The higher content of the organic manure from essential nutrients could give another explanation (Nijjar, 1985 and Miller et al., 1990). These results agree with those Bhangoo et al. (1988), El-Sayed (1994), Ahmed et al. (1996), El-Morsy (1997),Singh (1999),and Mohamed (1999),Ragab Ahmed et al. (2000). Abdel-Ghafar (2002),Kassem and Marzouk (2002), Ahmed et al. (2003), Mohamed and Gobara (2004), Rezk (2005) and Al-Wasfy et al. (2006). They reported that there was a positive improve in the vegetative growth of various grapevine cultivars in response to the annual application of organic fertilizer.

2- The role of biofertiliz on facilitating the fixation of atmospheric N well as as activating the availability uptake and translocation of most nutrients. addition accelerating in carbohydrate and protein synthesis and movement which aid encouraging cell division and the development of meristematic tissues. The obtained results are in harmony with those reported by Mahmoud (1999), Abdel-Hady (2003), El-Akkad (2004), Tawfik (2005), Ibrahim (2006) and Abbas, et al. (2006)

3- The beneficial effect of adding elemental sulphur is probably due

to raising the oxidation rate of elemental S resulting in improving some physical and chemical properties of soil and increasing nutrient availability. The obtained results were similar to those of Peterson *et al.* (1987); Hening *et al.* (1991); Harhash and Abdel-Nasser (2000), Kassem (2002), El-Dsouky *et al.* (2002), El-Akkad (2004) and Zayan *et al.* (2006).

2 - Yield and cluster characters

Data presented in Table (4) showed that the number of clusters born on the vine in 2006 season did not alter with varying the fertilization treatments. Using bio, organic-N form and sulphur application significantly caused a remarkable promotion on cluster length, cluster weight and vield/vine compared to using RDN via mineral source only. Whereas, compactness coefficient decreased influenced by using bio. as organic-N form and sulphur application.

Moreover, Using 75% bio, organic- fertilizer +25% mineral source of nitrogen (T₁, T₂) or 50% organic-N combined with sulphur application (T₅) gave the highest values of yield/vine, cluster weight and cluster length comparing with other fertilization treatments. The obtained cluster weights were (486.6, 466.1, 462.0, 465.3, 481.5, 473.2 & 435.0 g) and (501.8, 491.6, 488.0, 480.1, 490.7, 482.2 & 446.0 g) due to T₁, T₂, T₃, T₄, T₅, T₆ and control during two studied seasons, respectively. The

Table(4): Effect of bio and organic-N and elemental sulphur application on yield and some cluster characters of Flame seedless grapevines during 2006 and 2007 seasons.

Charact.→	No. clus	ster/vine	Yield/vine (kg)		Cluster weight (g)		Cluster length (cm)		Compactness coefficient	
Season → ↓Treat.	2006	2007	2006	2007	2006	2007	2006	2007	2006	2007
100% MN (Control)	27.80	29.30	12.10	13.10	435.0	446.0	24.2	23.5	7.37	7.47
75%Org+25%MN (T ₁)	28.30	33.80	13.75	16.90	486.6	501.8	26.1	25.4	6.75	6.82
75%Bio+25%MN (T ₂)	26.40	31.90	12.30	15.70	466.1	491.6	25.8	25.9	6.67	6.78
1/3Bio+1/3Org+1/3MN (T ₃)	28.35	31.5	13.10	15.40	462.0	488.0	25.3	24.7	7.02	7.06
$50\% \text{ MN} + \text{S}$ (T_4)	29.00	31.8	13.50	15.20	465.3	480.1	25.6	25.6	6.83	6.80
$50\% \operatorname{Org} + \operatorname{S} \qquad (T_5)$	26.85	33.10	12.90	16.20	481.5	490.7	25.8	25.2	6.87	6.92
20%Org.+30%Bio+S(T ₆)	27.30	31.40	12.90	15.10	473.2	482.2	25.1	24.8	7.05	7.07
L.S.D. 5%	N.S	2.41	0.63	0.68	23.15	26.23	1.35	1.43	0.36	0.40

Bio=Biofertilizer

S= Sulphur

increment percentages were (11.68, 7.15, 6.21, 6.97, 10.69 & 8.78%) and (12.51, 10.22, 9.42, 7.64, 10.02 & 8.12%) compared to control during two studied seasons, respectively.

Moreover, using mineral-N at half ofrecommended dose combined with sulphur application significantly caused (T_4) remarkable increase in vield/vine and cluster traits compared to using RDN via mineral source only. This means that addition of sulphur saved about half of recommended dose of nitrogen. Therefore, it could be assied that using sulphur plus 1/2 RDN was sufficient to get the high yield with good quality and very useful in saving N fertilization cost and reducing nitrate pollution.

Improving vine growth and nutritional status can enhance percentage of productive buds. As well as their impotent action in maintaining a good balance between total carbohydrates and nitrogen in favour as improving bud burst and fertility coefficient that lead to an increase in cluster number per vine, hence the yield was increased.

These results are in accordance with those obtained by Bhangoo et al. (1988), Akyuz et al. (1997), Abdel-Ghafar (2002), Kassem and Marzouk (2002), Ahmed et al. (2003), Mohamed and Gobara (2004), Rezk (2005) and Al-Wasfy et al. (2006). In addition, the beneficial effects of biofertilizers

supported by Mahmoud (1999), Abdel-Hamid (2002), Abdel-Hady (2003), El-Akkad (2004), Tawfik (2005), Ibrahim (2006) and Abbas et al. (2006). As well as the favourable influence of sulphur application on cluster traits were emphasized by Harhash and Abdel-Nasser (2000); Kassem (2002); El-Dsouky et al. (2002); El-Akkad (2004) and Zayan et al. (2006).

3 - Berry quality:

It can be concluded from data in Table (5) that using 75% bio, organic-fertilizer + 25% mineral source of nitrogen or 50% organic-N combined with sulphur application were very significantly effective in improving weight of berry compared to using mineral-N only. The increase in berry weight and its size is an important target, as grape quality due to the increase in berry weight and size result in an increase in packable yield.

Also, all fertilization treatments significantly increased total soluble reducing solids. sugars and TSS/acid ratio and reduced the total acidity (as tartaric acid), compared to using mineral-N only. Furthermore, vines fertilized with 75% bio, organic- fertilizer + 25% mineral source of nitrogen or 50% organic-N combined with sulphur application recorded the maximum values of these traits compared with other fertilization treatments.

These findings may be related to the effect of organic-N,

Table(5): Effect of bio and organic-N and elemental sulphur application on berry quality of Flame seedless grapeyines during 2006 and 2007 seasons.

Charact.→	25 berry weight (g)		TSS%		Acidity %		TSS/acid		Reducing sugars	
Season → ↓Treat.	2006	2007	2006	2007	2006	2007	2006	2007	2006	2007
100% MN (Control)	58.5	61.6	16.20	15.80	0.520	0.531	31.15	29.75	13.12	12.83
75%Org+25%MN (T _i)	66.4	70.2	18.00	17.80	0.446	0.470	40.36	37.86	14.52	13.94
75%Bio+25%MN (T ₂)	65.0	68.3	17.90	17.60	0.458	0.486	39.06	36.21	14.50	14.42
1/3Bio+1/3Org+1/3MN (T ₃)	65.2	67.6	18.00	17.80	0.465	0.468	38.70	38.00	14.52	14.30
$50\% MN + S$ (T_4)	64.2	68.7	17.80	17.40	0.440	0.463	40.43	37.55	14.10	13.85
$50\% \text{ Org} + \text{S}$ (T_5)	65.3	69.2	18.10	17.80	0.428	0.445	42.25	40.00	14.25	14.08
20%Org.+30%Bio+S(T ₆)	64.5	66.8	17.52	17.20	0.438	0.481	40.01	35.76	14.18	13.98
L.S.D. 5%	3.81	4.12	0.50	0.53	0.038	0.041	1.63	1.82	0.56	0.43

Bio= Biofertilizer

S= Sulphur

biofertilizer and sulphur application on activating the synthesis of total carbohydrates and proteins which enhances cell division and enlargement leading to increase berry weight and size as well as, hasten the maturation of berries.

The best results with regard to quality of the berries were obtained on vines fertilized with 75% bio, organic-fertilizer + 25% mineral source of nitrogen or 50% organic-N combined with sulphur application

Moreover, vines fertilized with half of recommended doses of nitrogen either mineral or organic sulphur form combined bv application recorded the maximum values of these traits compared with other fertilization treatments. This that addition means sulphur saved about half recommended dose of nitrogen (RDN). Therefore, it could be concluded that using sulphur plus ¹/₂ RDN via other organic or inorganic source was sufficient to get the high vield with good quality and very useful in reducing nitrogen fertilization cost and nitrate pollution.

These results are nearly in the same line with those obtained by Bhangoo et al. (1988), Ahmed et al. (1996), El-Morsy (1997), Ragab and Mohamed (1999), Ahmed et al. (2000), Abdel-Ghafar (2000), Rezk (2005) and Al-Wasfy et al. (2006) who stated that replacing 50-75% of N

requirements for grapevines by organic manures improved the quality of berry. In addition, Mahmoud (1999), Abdel-Hamid (2002), Abdel-Hady (2003), El-Akkad (2004), Tawfik (2005), Ibrahim (2006) and Abbas et al. (2006), concluded that application N via mineral and bio form was improved the berry quality. Furthermore, Harhash and Abdel-Nasser (2000), Kassem (2002), El-Dosouky et al. (2002), El-Akkad (2004) and Zayan et al. (2006) stated that elemental sulphur application improved the berry quality.

So, it could be concluded that nitrogen replacing 75% of requirements for grapevines either organic manure biofertilization, as well as using half of nitrogen requirements combined with 0.5 kg elemental sulphur application are sufficient to improve nutritional status of grapevines and gave a suitable vield with high cluster and berry This reduces the need of traits. mineral nutrients, the high cost and the environmental pollution which could be occurred by excess of chemical fertilizers.

References

Abbas, E. S.; S. A. Bondok and M. H. Rizk.2006. Effect of bio and nitrogen mineral fertilizers on growth and berry quality of Ruby Seedless grapevines. J. Agric. Sci. Mansoura, 31 (7): 4565-4577.

- Abd El-Fattah, A. and M.H. Hilal 1984. Effect of sulphur application on the availability of some micronutrients in different Egyptian soils. Proc. Second National Conference on the Problems of Land Degradation, Cairo, Egypt.
- Abd El-Galil, H.A.; M.M. El-Dsouky and M.M. El-Wasfy. 2003. Effect of some cultural practices on "King's Ruby" grapevines production under Assiut conditions. A- Effect of organic manure and yeast applications on growth and nutrient status as well as yield and berry quality. Assiut J. Agric. Sci., 34 (6): 173-192.
- Abd El-Nasser, G. and M.M. 2000. Harhash. Effect of organic manures in combination with elemental sulphur on soil physical and chemical characteristics, yield fruit quality, leaf water contents and nutritional status of Flame seedless grapevines. 1- Soil physical and chemical characteristics. J. Agric. Mansoura Univ., 25 (6): 3541-3555, Egypt.
- Abdel-Ghafar, G. E. 2002. Effect of some organic nitrogen fertilizers on growth and productivity of Red Roomy grapevines (*Vitis vinifera* L.). M.Sc. Thesis, Fac. of Agric., Minia Univ., Egypt.
- Abdel-Hady, A. M. 2003. Response of Flame seedless

- vines to application of some biofertilizers. Minia J. Agric. Res. & Develop., 23 (4): 667-680.
- Abdel-Hamid, S. Y. 2002. Effect of biofertilizer on yield and berry quality of grapevines. M.Sc. Thesis, Fac. Agric., Mansoura Univ., Egypt.
- Abo-Rady, M.D.K.; O. Duheash; M. Khalil; M. Khalil and M.A. Trjoman. 1988. Effect of elemental sulphur on calcareous soil and growth of date palm seedlings. Arid Soil Research and Rehabilitation, 2 (2): 121-130.
- Ahmed, A.M.; H.A. El-Sayed and M.M. Shoeib. 2003. Effect of bio and organic source of N as a partial substitute for chemical fertilizer on bud behavior growth and fruiting of Flame seedless grapevines. Minia.. Agric. Res., Develop., 23: 259-246.
- Ahmed, F.F.; A.H. Abd El-Aal and A. Ali-Mervet. 2000. A comparative study for using farmyard manure and filter mud on Flame seedless grapevines growing in sandy soils. 2nd Sci. Conf. of Agric. Sci. Assiut, 227-291.
- Ahmed, F.F.; M.A. Ragab and A.E.M. Mansour. 1996. A comparative study on the effects of farmyard manure and filter mud for Red Roomy grapevines (Vitis vinifera L.).

- 1st Egypt. Hung. Hort. Conf. 2: 232-238.
- Ahmed, F.F.; Morsy, M. H. 1999. A new method for measuring leaf area in different fruit crops. Minia J. of Agric. Res. & Develop., (19) pp 97-105.
- Akyuz M.; Kara, S. and Allindisli, A. 1997. Effect of various organic fertilizers on property of quality and ripening of "Round seedless" grape. Ege Uni., Ziraat Fakultosi, Dergisi, 34 (1-2): 17-23.
- Al-Wasfy, M.M.; H.A. Abdel-Galil and M.A. Al-Masry 2006. Effect of organic nitrogen fertilization on growth, nutrient status and fruiting of Roumi Red grapevines. Assiut J. Agric. Sci., 37 (1): 161-173.
- Annual Reports of Statistics Institute and Agricultural Economic Dept., Ministry of Agric., Egypt, 2005.
- A.O.A.C. Association of Official Agricultural Chemists. 1985. Official Methods of Analysis A.O.A.C. Benjamin Franklin Station, Washington, D.C. M.S.A. pp. 440-512.
- Bhangoo, M.S.; K.S. Day, V.R.
 Sundanagunta and V.E.
 Petrucci. 1988. Application of poultry manure influences
 Thompson seedless grape production and soil properties.
 Hort Science 23 (61): 1010-1012.

- Bogatyre, A.N. 2000. What are we do to eat or how to live longer? Pishchevaya Promyshlemost, 7: 34-35. (C.F. CAB).
- Darwish, O.H.; N. Persaud and P.C. Martens. 1995. Effect of long term application of animal manure on physical properties of three soils. Plant and Soil 175: 289-295.
- El-Akkad, M.M. 2004.

 Physiological studies on vegetative growth and fruit quality in some grapevine cultivars. Ph.D. Thesis, Fac. of Agric., Assiut Univ., Egypt, pp. 262.
- El-Dsouky, M.M.; K.K. Attia and A.M. El-Salhy 2002. Influence elemental sulphur αf application and biological fertilization on nutrient status Balady fruiting of and Mandarin trees and King's The Ruby grapevines. Scientific Conf. of Agric. Sci., Assiut, Oct. (III): 385-403.
- El-Morsy, F.M. 1997. Response of Banaty grapevines to application of the organic fertilizers filter mud and sludges. Annals of Agric. Sci. 35 (1): 477-488.
- El-Sayed, M.A. 1994. The benefits of some organic nitrogen fertilizers on Red Roomy grapevines (*Vitis vinifera* L.). Minia Agric. Res. Dev. 16 (3): 1295-1306.

- FAO. 1994. Fertilizer Year Book, 44.
- Gomez, K.A. and A.A. Gomez 1984. Statistical Procedures for Agricultural Research, 2nd Ed. Willy, New York.
- Harhash, M.M. and G. Abdel-Nasser, 2000. Effect of organic manures in combination with elemental sulphur on soil physical and chemical yield. characteristics. fruit quality, leaf water contents and nutritional status of Flame Seedless grapevines. II- Yield. fruit quality, leaf water contents and nutritional status. J. Agric. Sci. Mansoura Univ., 25 (5): 2819-2837.
- Hening, H.; D. Sparks and J.J. Evans. 1991. Sulphur deficiency influence vegetative growth, chlorophyll and element concentrations and amino acids of pecan. J. Amer. Soc. Hort. Sci., 116 (6): 974-980.
- Hilal, M.H.; A.M. Selim and S.A. Koskor.1990. Response of peas to application of sulphur-urea mixtures in sandy clay loam soils. Middle East Sulphur Symposium. Cairo, 12-16 Feb. 1990.
- Ibrahim A. A. 2006. Influence of some biofertilziers and antioxidants on Red Roomy grapevines (*Vitis vinifera* L.). Ph.D. Thesis, Fac. Agric., Minia Univ., Egypt, pp. 111.

- Kassem, H.A. 2002. Response of Flame seedless grapes sulphur and different nitrogen sources and application times under calcareous soil drain irrigation water. 1- Soil pH. growth. vield and leaf chlorophyll and mineral content. J. Adv. Agric. Res., 7 (4): 779-793.
- Kassem, H.A. and H.A. Marzouk. 2002. Effect of organic and/ or mineral nitrogen fertilization on the nutritional status, yield and fruit quality of Flame seedless grapevines grown in calcareous soil. J. Adv. Agric. Res. 7 (1): 117-128.
- Mahmoud, A.Kh. 1999. Response of "Red Roomy" grapevines (*Vitis vinifera* L.) to some antioxidant and biofertilizer treatments. M.Sc. Thesis, Fac. Agric. Minia Univ.
- Maronke, D.W.; J.W. Herdeex and D.C. Kierman. 1981. Mycorrhizal fungi and their importance in horticultural crop production. Hort. Rev. 3: 172-213.
- Miller, E.W.; R.L. Donahue and J.U. Miller. 1990. Soil "an introduction to soils and plant growth" Brentice Hall International Inc. Engle Word Cliffs, New Jersey, pp. 210-220.
- Modaihsh, A.S.; W.A. Al-Mustafa and A.L. Metwally. 1989. Effect of elemental sulphur on chemical changes and nutrient

- availability in calcareous soils. Plant and Soil, 116: 95-101.
- Mohamed, G.A. and A.A. Gobara 2004. Response of Red Roomy grapevines to application of farmyard and poultry chicken manures. Minia J. Agric. Res. Develop., 24 (1): 123-138.
- Nijjar, G.S. 1985. Nutrition of Fruit Trees. Mrs. Usha Raji Kumar, Kilyani, New Delhia, India. 206-234.
- Peterson, P.V.; C.A. Mullins; D.A. Lietzke and D.E. Deyton .1987. Effect of soil applied elemental sulphur, aluminum sulfate and sawdust on growth of rabbiteye blueberries. J. Amer. Soc. Hort. Sci., 112: 612-616.
- Ragab, M.A. and G.A. Mohamed. 1999. Effect of some organic and mineral nitrogen fertilization treatments on Flame seedless grapevines. Minia J. Agric. Res., Develop., 19: 27-34.
- Rezk, M.K.M. 2005. Response of White Banaty grapevines to fertilization with organic and biofertilizers as well as spraying with ascobin. Ph.D. Thesis, Fac. of Agric., Minia Univ., Egypt. pp. 1-132.
- Singh, R.D. 1999.Status of integrated plant nutrient system (IPNS) in Uttar Pradesh. India Fertilizer News, 448: 39-41.
- Steel, R.G.D. and J.H. Torrie. 1980. Principles and

- Procedures of Statistics: A Biometrical Approach. Mc Graw Hill Book Company (2nd Ed) N.Y., 631 p.
- Suba Rao, N.S. 1984. Bio fertilizers in Agriculture Oxford. IBH Company. New Delhi.
- Tawfik, R. M. G. 2005. Response of Thompson Seedless and Flame Seedless grapevines to some biofertilizers. M.Sc. Thesis, Fac. of Agric., Alexandra Univ.
- Verna, L.N. 1990. Role of biotechnology in supplying plant nutrients in the vineties. Fertilizer News, 35: 87-97.
- Wilde, S.A.; R.B. Corey; J.G. Lyer and G.K. Voigt. 1985. Soil and plant analysis for tree cultivars. Oxford, IBH, New Delhi, India, pp. 94-105.
- Winkler, A.J.; A.J. Cook; W.M. Kliewer and L.A. Linder. 1974. General viticulture. Published by University of California Press, Berkley.
- Zayan, M.A.; M.M. El-Mogy and G.B. Mikhael. 2006. Vegetative growth and yield of Thompson Seedless grapevines as affected by NPK fertilization and application of some soil amendment agents. J. Agric. Sci. Mansoura Univ., 31 (4): 2239-2252.

تأثير التسميد الحيوى والعضوى النيتروجينى واضافة الكبريت المعدنى على النمو والمحصول وخصائص ثمار كرمات العب الفليم

رأفت أحمد على مصطفى

قسم البساتين - كلية الزراعة- جامعة أسيوط

أجريت هذه الدراسة على كروم العنب الفليم بمزرعة خاصة تقع في محافظة قنا خلال موسمي 2006 و 2007 لدراسة تأثير التسميد الحيوى والعضوى النيتروجيني واضافة الكبريت المعدني على النمو الخضرى والحالة الخذائية والمحصول وصفات العناقيد والحبات.

وقد أوضحت النتائج ما يلي :

- أدى إحلال 75% من جرعة النيتروجين السمادية بالأسمدة الحيوية أو العضوية أو استخدام 50% من الجرعة السمادية بالإضافة إلى الكبريت المعدني إلى زيادة جوهرية في وزن خشب التقليم ومساحة الأوراق ومحتواها من النيتروجين والفوسفور والبوتاسيوم مقارنة باستخدام الأسمدة الأزوتية بمفردها.
- سبب التسميد بمعدل 75% حيوى أو عضوى بالإضافة إلى 25% معدنى ، أو استخدام 0.5 كيلوجرام كبريت معدنى إضافة إلى نصف الجرعة السمادية في صسورة معننية أو عضوية لكل كرمة زيادة مؤكدة في المحصول مع تحسن واضح في خصائص العناقيد والحبات .
- كانت أحسن المعاملات السمادية هي احلال 75% من الجرعة السمادية بالأسمدة العضوية أو إضافة 0.5 كجم كبريت معدني إلى 50% من الجرعة السمادية في صسورة عضوية حيث أدت هذه المعاملات إلى زيادة نمو الكرمات مع إعطاء محصول عال ذو خصائص ثمرية جيدة .

من نتائج هذه الدراسة يمكن التوصية بإحلال 75% من جرعــة النيتــروجين بالأســمدة العضوية أو الحيوية . وكذلك يمكن استخدام نصف الجرعة السمادية بالإضافة الـــى 0.5 كجم من الكبريت/ كرمة حيث يؤدى ذلك إلى تحسين النمو الخضرى والحالــة العذائيــة للكرمات التى تعطى محصول عال ذو خصائص ثمرية جيدة مع تغليــل تكلفــة الســماد ومشاكل تلوث البيئة الناشئ عن زيادة الأسمدة المعدنية .