

**FORAGING BEHAVIOR OF CERTAIN SPECIES OF  
HETERORHABDITID AND STEINERNEMATID NEMATODES  
FOR THE MEDITERRANEAN FRUIT FLY, *CERATITIS  
CAPITATA* (WIEDEMANN), (DIPTERA: TEPHRITIDAE)**

**Mohamed, S.M.A. and M.F. El-Wakkad**

Plant Protection Research Institute, ARC, Dokki, Giza, Egypt.

**ABSTARCT:** Investigation on the foraging behavior of 5 different species of entomopathogenic nematodes against The Mediterranean fruit fly *Ceratitis capitata* (Weidemann) larvae were carried out for both horizontal and vertical distribution. *Steinernema carpocapsae*, *S. riobrave* and *S. feltiae* concentrated in 10 cm horizontal distance, while *S. glaseri* and *Heterorhabditis bacteriophora* were distributed in 30 cm distance. For vertical distribution *S. carpocapsae*, *S. riobrave* and *S. feltiae* condensed in 6 cm vertical depth while *S. glaseri* and *H. bacteriophora* distributed until 10 cm depth.

### INTRODUCTION

Members of Family Tephritidae are considered as most noxious pests that invade the horticultural products. Different species of this family have been accidentally introduced into Egypt. Mediterranean fruit fly (MFF), *Ceratitis capitata* (Weidemann) was reported in Egypt early of the 19<sup>th</sup> century (Compere, 1912, Adair, 1920 and El-ghwabi, 1928) among 38 Egyptian trypanieds (Efflatoun, 1924). It causes a considerable damage and economic losses to different plant hosts (Awadallah *et al.*, 1974, Saafan, 1986, Saafan *et al.*, 1987 and Hashem *et al.*, 2001) which extend to be more than 350 plant hosts round the world (Liquido *et al.*, 1991) and its damage is increasing annually (Hafez *et al.*, 1973). Different methods of control have been used against this pest, but some of them have a negative effect against the ecosystem causing different hazardous effects.

Entomopathogenic nematodes (EPN) from the Steinernematidae and Heterorhabditidae are promising biological alternatives to chemical insecticides (Kaya, 1985, Poinar, 1986 and Ishibashi and Choi, 1991). These nematodes can penetrate and kill many economically important pests within 24-48 hours (Ishibashi and Takii, 1993), with the help of specific symbiotically associated bacteria (*Xenorhabdus* spp. for

*Steinernema* spp and *Photorhabdus luminescens* for *Heterorhabditis* spp.) (Akhurst and Boemare, 1990, Boemare *et al.*, 1993). The only endoparasitic stage is the infective third-stage Juvenile (IJ). It emerges from the depleted cadaver into the soil environment where it may persist for months until it locates and infect a new host (Kung *et al.*, 1991). IJs of different Entomopathogenic nematode species differ in their ecological and behavioral traits. *Steinernema carpocapsae* dictates (Kondo and Ishibashi, 1986), tends to stay near the soil surface, doesn't disperse far (Moyle and Kaya, 1981, Georgis and Poinar, 1983), is unresponsive to host cues (Lewis *et al.*, 1992, 1993) and is adopyted to infecting mobile hosts on the soil surface (Campbell and Gaugler, 1993, Kaya and Gaugler, 1993). *Steinernema glaseri* occurs deeper in the soil and travel much farther (Georgis and Poinar, 1983, Shrewder and Beavers, 1987) responds strongly to host cues (Lewis *et al.*, 1992, 1993) and is adapted to infecting sedentary hosts (Campbell and Gaugler, 1993, Kaya and Gaugler, 1993).

Many factors in soil affect nematode survival, movement and infectivity: Moisture, which is probably the most important physical factor affecting survival (Laumond *et al.*, 1979, Poinar, 1979 and Moyle and Kaya, 1981), soil texture (Georgis and Poinar 1983), presence or absence of a host (Byers and Poinar, 1982) and Behavior of the species (Wallace, 1958 and Simons and Poinar, 1973).

Utilization of the EPN as a method of control needs more information about the ability of them to distribute in the soil. The present study defines the migration of 5 different EPN spp in either vertical or horizontal direction searching the Medfly larvae under the laboratory conditions.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

### Fly and Nematode cultures:

The experiment was conducted using the laboratory strain of Mediterranean fruit fly (Medfly) (MFF), *Ceratitidis capitata* (Weidemann) reared in Plant Protection Research Institute on wheat bran diet of Mohamed (2003) at 25 °C and 65% RH. Third larval instar was obtained by receiving them in water for 2 hours during their jumping out for pupation.

Different entomopathogenic nematode (EPN) species were reared using late larval instar of greater wax moths, *Galleria mellonella* (L.) (Lepidoptera: Pyralidae) as described by Dutky *et al.* (1964). Nematodes were harvested daily with White traps (White, 1927, Woodring and Kaya, 1988) and stored in tissue culture flasks at 4°C except the *Heterorhabditis* spp which kept in 10°C. The EPN mixtures were moved to the room temperature for acclimation 24 hours before the experiment. Five isolates of entomopathogenic nematodes, *Steinernema carpocapsae* (Weiser) (All strain), *S. riobrave* Cbanillas (Texas strain), *S. feltiae* (Filipjev), *S. glaseri* (Steiner) and *Heterorhabditis bacteriophora* Poinar (HP88) were used for comparison in vertical and horizontal migration against the last larval instar of Medfly. Nematodes were quantified by counting number of nematodes in 100 µl of homogenous solution for five samples using the average to dilute the solutions to the needed concentration.

#### **Entomopathogenic nematode migration:**

Two types of EPN migration have been evaluated, vertical and horizontal (lateral) migration. Vertical migration of EPN was determined by using 8 cm diameter and 15 cm height plastic container, while the horizontal migration experiment was conducted using 20X30X13 cm plastic container. The evaluation was conducted using sand of <0.5 mm diameter. Vertical migration was evaluated by adding the EPN species on the surface of sand and then the sand was completed to the desired level to be at 0, 3, 6 and 10 cm deep from the sand surface (one depth per cup). For horizontal (lateral) migration, the EPN species were added at the margin of the container. Referring to previous data (Under publication), 50 Ijs/ cm<sup>2</sup> concentration was chosen for comparison in both vertical and horizontal experiments. According to the surface area of the container, the EPN specie was counted and distributed on sand surface. For both experiments, soil was treated with water volumetrically to be 10% of sand volume. On the fifth day and after the EPN species were distributed on the surface of sand, the MFF larvae were applied by placing them on the soil surface at 0, 10, 20 and 30 cm from the nematode application point for the horizontal evaluation experiment and haphazardly for the vertical experiment. Water was completed to be 15 % of Sand volume. 10 larvae were placed in each arena and 5 replicates were evaluated for each treatment. The containers were kept at 25°C and 65%RH. Nematode infectivity was determined by the ability of juvenile to reach and infest larvae of MFF placed at various distances (Vertical and horizontal) in

soil. After 9 days of the larval application, the sand was sifted and larvae, pupae and adults were collected, dissected and examined for nematode infection.

#### Data analysis:

A One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) with a complete block design test of Dunnett Alpha  $\leq 0.05$  (SPSS) was used to analyze larval mortality. Mortality data are presented as percentage mortality after correction in comparison to the control of the experiment. LSD was used for multiple mean comparisons between each treatment and the other (Green and Salkind, 2003).

### RESULTS

Five nematode species were established against Mediterranean fruit fly larvae, where they were distributed throughout the soil profile. Mortality percentage of control treatment was calculated and accordingly, the mortality percentages of treatments were corrected. When insects larvae were placed on soil surface (0.0 cm distance), The results represented the highest percentages of mortality (Table 1 and 2), where the highest was represented in *Steinernema carpocapsae* (Weiser) (84.4  $\pm 0.5$ ) followed by *S. riobrave* Cbanillas (78.7  $\pm 0.5$ ), *S. feltiae* (Filipjev) (55.1  $\pm 0.5$ ), *Heterorhabditis bacteriophora* Poinar (50.1  $\pm 0.6$ ) and the lowest was for *S. glaseri* (Steiner) (39.9  $\pm 0.3$ ).

Horizontal (Lateral) movement of the Entomopathogenic nematode (EPN) species showed different responses for the EPN species under investigation (Table 1). Significant differences were observed for *S. carpocapsae*, where the percentages of mortality of distances 10, 20 and 30 cm were 19.7  $\pm 0.7$ , 6.0  $\pm 0.4$  and 1.5  $\pm 0.2$  respectively. When the difference between each treatment and the other was calculated, the results indicated that there was no significant difference between each treatment and the other. The same results were concluded in case of *S. riobrave*, where there was no significant difference between each treatment and the other where the percentages of mortality were 17.7  $\pm 0.6$ , 12  $\pm 0.6$  and 4.2  $\pm 0.3$  respectively. *S. feltiae* showed significant difference among treatment, where the mortality percentages were 20  $\pm 0.6$ , 4.0  $\pm 0.2$  and 0.0  $\pm 0.0$  for 10, 20 and 30 cm distances respectively. There was significant difference between each treatment and the other except between 20 and 30 cm distance treatments. For both *S. glaseri* and *H. bacteriophora*, there were no significant difference among treatments,

where the percentages of mortalities of *S. glaseri* at 10, 20 and 30 cm distances were  $49.1 \pm 0.7$ ,  $24.2 \pm 0.5$  and  $29.8 \pm 0.5$  respectively and for *H. bacteriophora*, they were  $30 \pm 0.5$ ,  $25.5 \pm 0.7$  and  $30.9 \pm 0.7$  respectively too.

Table (1): Mortality of the Mediterranean fruit fly larvae when exposed to different entomopathogenic nematodes (EPN) at different horizontal distances.

EPN	Distance (CM)	% of Mortality	±S.E.	Significance*
<i>Steinernema carpocapsae</i> (Weiser) (All strain)	0	84.4	0.5	
	10	19.7	0.7	a
	20	6.0	0.4	a
	30	1.5	0.2	a
<i>Steinernema riobrave</i> Cbanillas (Texas strain)	0	78.7	0.5	
	10	17.7	0.6	a
	20	12.0	0.6	a
	30	4.2	0.3	a
<i>Steinernema feltiae</i> (Filipjev)	0	55.1	0.5	
	10	20.0	0.6	
	20	4.0	0.2	a
	30	0.0	0.0	a
<i>Steinernema glaseri</i> (Steiner)	0	39.9	0.3	ab
	10	49.1	0.7	a
	20	24.2	0.5	c
	30	29.8	0.5	cb
<i>Heterorhabditis bacteriophora</i> Poinar (HP88 strain)	0	50.1	0.6	a
	10	30.0	0.5	b
	20	25.5	0.7	b
	30	30.9	0.7	ab

\*Means followed by the same letter for each EPN are not significantly different according to Anova test and using Dunnett Alpha  $P \leq 0.05$ .

When the EPN species were injected vertically in sand, the percentages of mortality was decreased by increasing the depths. Whereas *S. carpocapsae* introduced at 3, 6 and 10 cm depths the mortality percentages were  $56.2 \pm 0.6$ ,  $51.7 \pm 0.5$  and  $9 \pm 0.5$  respectively. There were significant difference among treatments and between each treatment and the other except between distance 3 and 6 cm depths. In case of *S. riobrave* percentages of mortality were  $58.1 \pm 1.1$ ,  $44 \pm 0.8$  and  $13 \pm 0.5$  respectively. Difference among treatments was significant and when the difference between each treatment and the other was calculated, there were a significant difference except between 3 and 6 cm depths. When *S. feltiae* was compared, there were significant differences among the treatments and also between each treatment and the other except between

depths 0, 3 and 6 cm depths, where the percentages of mortality were  $55.1 \pm 0.5$ ,  $53.1 \pm 0.5$  and  $51.7 \pm 0.6$  respectively, while for 10 cm depth the percentage was  $19 \pm 0.5$ . For *S. glaseri* treatments, there was a significant difference among different treatments, while in case of *H. bacteriophora*, there was no significant difference. For both *S. glaseri* and *H. bacteriophora* there was no significant difference between each treatment and the other, where the percentage of mortalities were  $48.9 \pm 0.7$ ,  $45 \pm 0.7$  and  $60 \pm 1.1$  for *S. glaseri* and  $47 \pm 0.8$ ,  $50.6 \pm 0.5$  and  $38.6 \pm 0.4$  for *H. bacteriophora* respectively.

Table (2): Mortality of the Mediterranean fruit fly larvae when exposed to different entomopathogenic nematodes (EPN) at different vertical distances.

EPN	Distance (CM)	% of Mortality	±S.E.	Significance*
<i>Steinernema carpocapsae</i> (Weiser) (All strain)	0	84.4	0.5	
	3	56.2	0.6	a
	6	51.7	0.5	a
	10	9	0.5	
<i>Steinernema riobrave</i> Cbanillas (Texas strain)	0	78.7	0.5	a
	3	58.1	1.1	ab
	6	44.0	0.8	b
	10	13.0	0.5	
<i>Steinernema feltiae</i> (Filipjev)	0	55.1	0.5	a
	3	53.1	0.5	a
	6	51.7	0.6	a
	10	19	0.5	
<i>Steinernema glaseri</i> (Steiner)	0	39.9	0.3	a
	3	48.9	0.7	a
	6	45.0	0.7	a
	10	60.0	1.1	a
<i>Heterorhabditis bacteriophora</i> Poinar (HP88 strain)	0	50.1	0.6	a
	3	47.0	0.8	a
	6	50.6	0.5	a
	10	38.6	0.4	a

\*Means followed by the same letter for each EPN are not significantly different according to Anova test and using Dunnett Alpha  $P \leq 0.05$ .

## DISCUSSION

Members of Steinernematid and Heterorhabditid and their associated bacteria have been tested against a number of insects including the Mediterranean fruit fly larvae with some encouraging results (Lindgren and Vail, 1986, Lindgren 1990, Lindgren *et al.*, 1990, Gazit *et al.*, 2000, Laborda *et al.*, 2003). As research has focused on the use of Entomopathogenic nematodes (EPN), as biological insecticides, little is known about many basic aspects of their ecology in the soil (Ehler, 1990, Hominik and Reid, 1990, Kaya, 1990 and Kaya and Gaugler, 1993). There is interspecific variation in the host foraging strategy used by EPN infective juveniles (IJs). Referring to the results of the horizontal distribution of EPN, it was found that *S. carpocapsae*, *S. riobrave* and *S. feltiae* concentrated in the 10 cm from the inoculation point and by the 20 cm horizontal distance, the distribution decreased, where the average percentage of mortality was 7.3 %. For both *S. glaseri* and *H. bacteriophora*, the condition reversed, where the EPN IJs could distribute and arrived to 30 cm distance where the average percentage of mortality was 30.4% indicating that both EPN spp have strong foraging strategy. Horizontal movement of neoplectanids in soil was previously measured under laboratory conditions by Georgis and Hague (1981), Moyle and Kaya, (1981) and Poinar and Hom (1984). Georgis and Hague (1981) noted that in 5 days IJs of *Neoplectana carpocapsae* moved 7 cm in sterilized forest soil, providing a dispersal rate of 1.4 cm/day, whereas Poinar and Hom (1984) found that the IJs dispersal rate was 4.35 cm/day in field clay loam soil and Moyle and Kaya (1981) observed that IJs moved up to 14 cm in 2 days in sand with a dispersal rate of 7 cm/day

Comparing vertical distribution of different EPN, *S. carpocapsae*, *S. riobrave* and *S. feltiae* concentrated in the upper layer of sand near the soil surface (6 cm depth) and these results coincide with Georgis and Poinar (1983) and this may reflect the host searching strategy of these nematodes (Kaya *et al.*, 1993, Campbell and Gaugler, 1993, Kaya and Gaugler, 1993, and Ferguson *et al.*, 1995), which is valuable to infest the larvae of Mediterranean fruit fly before their pupation. These results coincide also with Moyle and Kaya (1981) and Georgis and Poinar (1983), who concluded that more than 78% of *S. carpocapsae* IJs concentrated in 0-2 cm depth. In adverse *S. glaseri* and *H. bacteriophora* infected higher proportion of Mediterranean fruit fly larvae at soil depth of 10 cm indicating their greater vertical distribution.

## REFERENCES

- Adair, E.W. (1920): Note on fruit flies occurring in or which might be introduced into Fgypt. Agric. J. Egypt., 10: 18-20.
- Akhurst, R.J. and N.E. Boemare. (1990): Biology and taxonomy of *Xenorhabus*. In "Entomopathogenic nematodes in biological control" (R. Gaugler and H.K. Kaya, eds.) pp. 75-90. CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL.
- Awadallah, A.M.; A.G. Hashem and S.M. Foda. (1974): A trial for testing the sterile male technique as a mean of controlling the med fly, *Ceratitidis capitata* Wied. In Egypt. Agric. Res. Rev. Egypt., 52:41-49.
- Boemare, N.E.; R.J. Akhurst and R.G. Mourant. (1993): DNA relatedness between *Xenorhabus*. Spp. (Enterobacteriaceae), symbiotic bacteria of entomopathogenic nematodes, and a proposal to transfer *Xenorhabous luminescens* to a new genus, Photorhabdous gen. nov. Int. J. Syst. Bacteriol., 43: 249-255.
- Byers, J.A. and G.O.Poinar, Jr. (1982): Location of insect hosts by the nematode *Neoplectana carpocapsae* in response to temperature. Behavior, 79: 1-10.
- Campbell, J.R. and R. Gaugler. (1993): Nictation behavior and its ecological implications in the search strategies of entomopathogenic nematodes. Behavior., 126: 155-170.
- Campere, G. (1912): Seriousness of the Mediterranean fruit fly. Monthly Bull. Dept. Agric. Calif., 1, 4 P. 143
- Dutky, S.R.; J.V. Thompson and G.E. Cantwell. (1964): A technique for the mass propagation of the DD-136 Nematode. J. Insect Pathol., 6(4): 417-422.
- Efflatoun, H.C. (1924): A monograph of Egyptian Diptera, part ii., Fam. Trypaneidae. Memoires de la societe entomologique de egypte., 2(2): 1-132.
- Ehler, L.E. (1990): Some contemporary issues in biological control of insects and their relevance to the use of entomopathogenic nematodes. In Entomopathogenic nematodes in biological control (ed. Gaugler, R. and H.K. Kaya) pp. 1-19. CRC Press.



- El-ghawabi, A. (1928): The Mediterranean fruit fly. Agric. J. Egypt, pp. 111-136. New An. Series, Min. Agric., G. Press, Cairo.
- Ferguson, C.S.; P.C. Schroeder and E.J. Shields. (1995): Vertical distribution, persistence and activity of entomopathogenic nematodes (Nematoda: Heterorhabdidae and Steinernematidae) in Alfalfa snout beetle (Coleoptera: Circuliiondae) infested fields. Environ. Entomol., 24(1): 149-158.
- Gazit, Y.; Y. Rössler and I. Glazer. (2000): Evaluation of entomopathogenic nematodes for the control of Mediterranean fruit fly (Diptera: Tephritidae). Biocontrol Science Technology, 10: 157-164.
- Georgis, R. and M.G.M. Hague. (1981): A neoaplectanid nematode in the larch sawfly *Cephalcia lariciphila* (Hymenoptera: Pamphiliidae). Ann. Appl. Biol., 99: 171-177.
- Georgis, R. and G.O. Poinar, (1983): Effect of soil texture on the distribution and infectivity of *Neoplectana carpocapsae* (Nematoda: Steinernematidae). J. Nematol., 15: 308-311.
- Green, S.B. and N.J. Salkind. (2003): Using Spss for windows and Macintosh. 3<sup>rd</sup> edition. Pearson education, Inc. Upper Saddlr river, New Jersey 443 pp.
- Hafez, M.; A. Abdel Malek; A. Wakid and A. Shokry. (1973): Studies on some ecological factors affecting the control of the Mediterranean fruit fly, *Ceratitis capitata* in Egypt by the use of sterile male technique. Zeit. Ang. Entomo., 73: 230-238
- Hashem, A.G; S.M.A. Mohamed and M.F. El-wakkad. (2001): Diversity and abundance of Mediterranean and Peach fruit flies (Diptera: Tephritidae) in different horticultural orchards. Egypt. J. Appl. Sci., 16(1): 303-314.
- Hominick, W.M. and A.P. Reid. (1990): Perspectives on entomopathogenic nematodes in biological control (ed. Gaugler, R. and H.K. Kaya) pp. 327-345. CRC Press.
- Ishibashi, N. and D.R. Choi. (1991): Biological control of soil pests by mixed application of entomopathogenic and fungivorous nematodes. J. Nematol., 23: 175-181

- Ishibashi, N. and S. Takii. (1993): Effects of insecticides on movement, nictation and infectivity of *Steinernema carpocapsae*. *J. Nematol.*, 25(2): 204-213.
- Kaya, H.K. (1985): Entomogenous nematodes for insect control in IPM system. Pp. 283-302 in M.A. Hass and D.C. Herzog eds. *Biological control in Agricultural IPM systems*. New York: Academic Press.
- Kaya H.K. (1990): Soil Ecology. In: Gaugler R, Kaya HK (eds.). *Entomopathogenic Nematodes in Biological Control*. CRC Press. Boca Raton, Ann Arbor, Boston, 93-115.
- Kaya, H.K. and R. Gaugler (1993): Entomopathogenic nematodes. *Annual Review Entomology*, 38:181-206.
- Kaya, H.K.; T.M. Burlando and G.S. Thurston. (1993): Two entomopathogenic nematode species with different search strategies for insect suppression. *Environ. Entomol.*, 22: 859-864.
- Kondo, E. and N. Ishibashi. (1986): Nictating behavior and infectivity of entomogenous nematodes, *Steinernema* spp. to the larvae of the common cutworm *Spodoptera litura* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae), on the soil surface. *Appl. Entomol. Zool.*, 21: 553-560.
- Kung, S.P.; R. Gaugler and H.K. Kaya. (1991): Effects of soil temperature, moisture and relative humidity on entomopathogenic nematode persistence. *J. Invert. Pathol.*, 57: 242-249.
- Laborda, R.; L. Bagues; C. Navarro, O.; Barajas, M. Arroyo; E.M. Garcia; E. Montoro; E. Liopis; A. Martinez and J.M. Sayagues. (2003): Susceptibility of the Mediterranean fruit fly (*Ceratitidis capitata*) to entomopathogenic nematode *Steinernema* spp ("Biorend C"). *IOBC wprs Bulletin*, 26(6): 95-97.
- Laumond, C.; H. Mauleon and A. Kermarrec. (1979): Donnees nouvelles sur le spectre d'hotes et le parasitisme du nematode entomophage., *Neoplectana carpocapsae*. *Entomophaga*, 24: 13-27.
- Lewis, E.E.; R. Gaugler and R. Harison. (1992): Entomopathogenic nematode host finding: response to host contact cues by cruise and ambush foragers. *Parasitology*, 105: 109-115.
- Lewis, E.E.; R. Gaugler and R. Harison. (1993): Response of cruiser and ambusher entomopathogenic nematodes (Steinernematidae) to host volatile cues. *Canadian Journal Zoology*, 71. 765-769.

- Lindegren, J.E. (1990): Field suppression of three fruit fly species (Diptera: Tephritidae) with *Steinernema carpocapsae*. Vth Colloq. On Invertebrate Pathology and Microbail Control (ICIP) 1990, Adelaide – incorporating: The XXIII Annual Meeting of the Soc. For Invertebrate Pathology (SIP). Proceedings and Abstracts. Adelaide, Australia, 20-24 Aug. 1990: 223.
- Lindegren, J.E. and P.V. Vail. (1986): Susceptibility of Mediterranean fruit fly, Melon fly and Oriental fruit fly (Diptera: Tephritidae) to the entomogenous nematodes *Steinernema feltiae* in laboratory test. Environ. Entomol., 15: 465-468.
- Lindegren, J.E.; T.T. Wong and D.O. McInnis. (1990): Response of Mediterranean fruit fly (Diptera: Tephritidae) to the entomogenous nematode *Steinernema feltiae* in field-tests in Hawaii. Environ. Entomol., 19(2): 383-386.
- Liquido, N.J.; L.A. Shinoda and R.T. Cunningham. (1991): Host plants of the Mediterranean fruit fly, *Ceratitis capitata* (Wiedemann) (Diptera: Tephritidae): an annotated world review. Miscellaneous publication 77, Entomological Society of America, Lanham, MD.
- Mohamed, S.M.A. (2003): A practical efficient and low cost diet for rearing larvae of Mediterranean fruit fly, *Ceratitis capitata* (Wied.) in Egypt. Al-azhar J. Agric. Res., 37: 171-180.
- Moyle, P.L. and H.K. Kaya. (1981): Dispersal and infectivity of the entomogenous nematode, *Neoplectana carpocapsae* Weiser (Rhabditida: Steinernematidae), in sand. J. Nematol. 13(3), 95-300.
- Poinar, G.O., Jr. (1979): Nematodes for Biological Control of Insects. CRC Press, Boca Raton, Florida, 340pp.
- Poinar, G.O. Jr. (1986): Entomogenous nematodes. pp. 95-121 in B.D. Franz ed. Biological plant and health protection, Stuttgart: G. Fisher Verlag.
- Poinar, G.O. Jr. and A. Hom. (1984): Survival and horizontal movement of infective stage *Neoplectana carpocapsae* in the field. J. Nematol., 18(1) 34-36.
- Saafan, M.H. (1986): Studies on the Mediterranean fruit fly, *Ceratitis capitata* Wied. With emphasis on sterile-male techniques (SIT) (Diptera: Tephritidae). Ph. D. Thesis, Fac. Agr., Cairo Univ. Egypt.

- Saafan, M.H.; A.G. Hashem and S.I. E-sherif. (1987): The practical use of the sterile insect technique (SIT) for control of the Mediterranean fruit fly, *Ceratitis capitata* Weid. In Egypt. The 2<sup>nd</sup> Nat. Conf. of Pests & Diseases of Veg. & Fruit crops 20-22 October pp. 312-321.
- Schroeder, W.J. and J.B. Beavers. (1987): Movement of the entomogenous nematodes of the families Heterorhabditidae and Steinernematidae in soil. *J. Nematol.*, 19: 257-259
- Simons, W.R. and G.O. Poinar, Jr. (1973): The ability of *Neoplectana // carpocapsae* (Steinernematidae: Nematodea) to survive extended periods of desiccation. *J. Invert. Pathol.*, 22: 228-230.
- Wallace, H.R. (1958): Movement of eel worms II.A Comparative study of the movement in soil to *Heterodera schachtii* Schmidt and *Ditylenchus dipsaci* (Kuhn) Filipjev. *A. Appl. Biol.*, 46: 86-94.
- White, G.F. (1927): A method for obtaining infective nematode larvae from cultures. *Science*, 66: 302-303.
- Woodring, J.L. and H.K. Kaya. (1988): Steinernematid and heterorhabditid nematodes: A handbook of techniques. Southern cooperative series bulletin 331, 30 pp Arkansas Agric. Exp. Station, Fayetteville.

## سلوك البحث لبعض أنواع من الهيتيرورهابتيد والستينرنيماتيد عن يرقات ذبابة فاكهة البحر المتوسط سيراتيتيس كابياتاتا (ثنائيات الأجنحة: تيفرتيدي)

صلاح محمد أحمد محمد، مختار فرج الوقاد  
معهد بحوث وقاية النباتات، مركز البحوث الزراعية

أجريت الفحوص لدراسة سلوك بحث بعض أنواع النيماتودا الممرضة للحشرات عن يرقات ذبابة فاكهة البحر المتوسط في التربة الرملية في كلا الاتجاهين الرأسى والأفقى. وجد أنه تركزت كل من ستينرنيماتا كربوكابسا، وستينرنيماتا ريويرافا وستينرنيماتا فيلتاي في مسافة ١٠ سم من نقاط التوزيع الأفقية بينما انتشرت ستينرنيماتا جلاسيرى والهيتيرورهابتيس باكتيريوفورا وحتى مسافة ٣٠ سم أفقيا. وعند دراسة بحث الخمسة أنواع عن يرقات ذبابة فاكهة البحر المتوسط رأسيا وجد أن ستينرنيماتا كاربوكابسا وستينرنيماتا ريويرافا وستينرنيماتا فيلتاي تجمعت في عمق ٦ سم بينما توزع الاثنان الأخران في عمق ١٠ سم.