

**IMPACT OF FISH MEAL REPLACEMENT BY UNCONVENTIONAL
INGREDIENTS ON PERFORMANCE
OF NILE TILAPIA**

Maha M. Hady; Hoda A. Ali, Ismail, E.Y. and Salloum, E.H.

Dept. Nutrition and Clinical Nutrition, Fac. Vet. Med. Cairo University

ABSTRACT

The effect of partial or total replacing fish meal with dried rumen content (RC) and or Azolla meal (AM) in diets of Nile tilapia of initial weight ($7.35g \pm 0.23$) was investigated in 12-week experiment. Eight experimental diets were formulated to be isonitrogenous and isocaloric. Beside the basal diet, each of RC and AM were incorporated at levels of 5,10 and 15%. A combination of 5% RC and 5% AM (RC-AM) was served to replace 10% fish meal. The control group achieved the significant highest final body weight gain, protein efficiency and food conversion ratio followed by the group fed 10% RC. Azolla incorporation failed to improve any of the performance parameters. The group fed 15% AM was the worth regarding performance parameters, CP and EE retention of final body composition and dietary CP digestibility. The group fed a combination of 5% RC and 5% AM sustained intermediate pattern among treated groups with improved in FCR (4.05). The results indicate some benefits from replacing dietary 10% fish meal with either 10% RC or a combination of RC-AM on Nile

tilapia performance as well as reducing feed cost.

INTRODUCTION

Intensification of fish culture has made it essential to develop suitable feeds to be used either as supplementary diets in ponds or as a complete diet in fish reared in tanks. Estimated over 50% of operating cost in intensive aquaculture is related to the expensive dietary protein content depending on fish meal. In Egypt, for economical and practical reasons, aquafeeds should be dependent on locally available protein sources, essentially those consider unsuitable for direct human consumption. Many trials have been directed toward either totally or partially replacing fish meal with either unconventional sources from animal or plant origin in tilapia diets (El-Garhy 1994; Saleh, 1994; Hady and Essa, 2000; Shiomi and Kitoh, 2001 and Abou and Micha, 2007).

Animal by-products have been proven to be highly useful dietary ingredients. The chemical analysis of dried rumen content (RC) revealed a suitable proportions of crude protein which varied from 9.8% (Eleraky, 1999) to 25.9% (Shebata et al., 1984) with potentiate its use in fish feeding. Dried rumen content

recorded best weight gain and feed conversion when fed at 16% dietary level, which increasing the level up to 24% adversely affected both parameters of Nile tilapia (**Eleraky, 1991**). On contrary, **Omar et al. (1993)** concluded that RC could be fed at higher levels up to 30% in Nile tilapia diets with no adverse effect on growth performance and carcass composition. Moreover, **Hassan (1989)** suggested that with increasing the level of dried rumen liquor all EE, ash, CF and NFE contents of common carp were increased but gross energy and feed cost were decreased.

Azolla is a small cosmopolitan aquatic fern that contains abundant nutrients as crude protein which ranged from 23 to 30% on dry matter basis (**Lumpkin and Plucknette, 1982; Nwanna and Falaye, 1997; Hady and Essa, 2000 and Alalada and Iyayi, 2006**). Many authors found that Azolla meal (AM) is to be incorporated in the diet of various species (**Liu, 1989; Saleh, 1994; Nwanna and Falaye, 1997; Shiomi and Kitoh, 2001 and Alalade and Iyayi, 2007**). **Santiago et al. (1988)** achieved a positive action of dietary AM incorporation at different levels on Nile tilapia performance replacing fish meal. On the other hand, **Almazen et al., (1986), Abdel Fattah and Abdel-Aziz (1990); Hady and Essa (2000) and Shiomi and Kitoh (2001)** found that the growth performance parameters were negatively correlated with increasing either dried or fresh Azolla level in *O. niloticus* diets. From the above contemplation, the objectives of this study are to evaluate the

possible usage of some non-conventional cheaper dietary sources namely, dried rumen content (RC) and Azolla meal (AM) to replace partially or totally expensive fish meal in Nile tilapia diets aiming at lowering the

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The current study was carried out at the department of Nutrition and Clinical Nutrition, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Cairo University of the experimental diets compared to basal diet was calculated considering the local prices.

Sources and nutritive value of rumen contents (RC) and Azolla meal (AM): The rumen contents were collected from normal clinically healthy slaughtered cattle from Moneib slaughter house, Giza governorates. The collected rumen contents were prepared after **Abdel - Rahman (1995)**. Azolla meal was obtained from Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Alexandria University. The proximate chemical analysis of RC and AM (Table 1) were determined according to standard methods of **AOAC (1980)**.

Diets and experimental design:

Eight experimental diets were formulated to fulfill Nile tilapia requirements (**NRC, 1993**). The rumen contents or Azolla meal were incorporated in fish diets at levels of 5, 10 and 15% for groups RC₁, RC₂, RC₃; AM₁, AM₂ and AM₃ to replace partially or totally fishmeal, respectively. A combination that contained 5% RC and 5% AM was fed for fish in Rc-AM group. The fish

IMPACT OF FISH MEAL REPLACEMENT BY UNCONVENTIONAL
INGREDIENTS ON PERFORMANCE OF NILE TILAPIA

fed on basal diet (BD) was kept as a control group. The formulated diets were isonitrogenous and isocaloric and calorie protein ratio was kept as constant as possible (Table 2). Two hundred and forty Nile tilapia fingerlings were acclimatized to laboratory conditions for 2 weeks and fed on the basal diet before the start of 12 weeks experiment.

There were a total of 8 treatments each of 2 replicate (15 fish/replicate) Fish were stocked into 16 glass aquarium (120L) equipped with air pump and dechlorinated water. Dissolved oxygen, ammonia, nitrite and nitrate were determined according to American Public Health Association (APHA, 1992). At start and at 2 weeks interval, the fish were weighed and the lengths were measured. Fish were fed twice daily at a rate of 3% of the body weight, which was close to the maximum intake during the acclimatization period. Feed was withheld on the day of weighing and feed intake was a

adjusted accordingly every 2 weeks. Total gain, feed/gain, protein efficiency ratio (PER), and feed conversion ratio (FCR) were calculated according to **Inca et al. (1982)**. Specific growth rate (SGR) was calculated according to **Siddiquis et al. (1988)**. Before stocking, 15 extra fish and at the end of the experiment, 3 fish per replicate were randomly selected, weighed, then freeze to determine the initial and final gross body composition, respectively (**A.O.A.C. 1980**). While dressing percentage was determined on final fish according to **Hardy and Sullivan (1988)**. Digestibility coefficient of the experimental diets were determined using on internal indicator (crude fiber) according to **Jones and Desliva (1998)**.

Statistical analysis:

The results were subjected to the single factor analysis of variance (ANOVA) according to **Snedecor and Cochran (1969)**.

Table (1): Proximate chemical analysis % of rumen content (RC) and Azolla meal (AM) on dry matter basis.

Subject	CP	EE	CF	Ash
RC	13.10	3.67	31.89	11.84
AM	12.8	0.10	8.77	19.22

Table (2): Ingredients and calculated analysis of the experimental diets

Ingredients %	BD	RC ₁	RC ₂	RC ₃	AM ₁	AM ₂	AM ₃	RC-AM
Fish meal (71.75 Cp%)	15.0	10.0	5.0	0.0	10.0	5.0	0.0	5.00
Soybean meal (44.05 Cp%)	38.0	46.25	49.0	56.00	46.50	56.25	67.15	57.00
Yellow corn	18.0	9.00	3.75	2.0	8.25	2.90	1.80	6.25
Wheat bran	23.5	24.0	18.50	9.0	23.0	18.50	8.0	14.8
RC	0.00	5.00	10.00	15.00	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.0
AM	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	5.0	10.0	15.0	5.0
Linseed oil	1.50	1.75	2.75	3.25	2.5	3.35	4.05	2.95
Mineral mix ¹	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00
Vitamin mix ²	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00
Calculated								
Analysis (%)								
CP	32.99	32.98	32.97	33.01	32.97	32.97	32.97	32.99
EE	4.31	4.12	4.73	4.91	4.66	95	5.16	4.78
CF	5.67	7.74	9.31	10.73	6.56	7.05	7.19	7.95
ME Kcal/Kg diet ³	3403.75	3401.63	3401.71	3406.99	3402.44	3401.60	3421.7	3402.03
P/E ratio ⁴	96.92	96.81	96.92	96.89	96.90	96.92	96.35	96.97
Lysine	2.09	2.11	2.14	2.18	2.11	2.15	2.17	2.14
Methionine	0.60	0.56	0.52	0.50	0.54	0.49	0.45	0.51

1. The mineral mixture was prepared by mixing 27% limestone (38% Ca), 41.5 disodium phosphate, 25% Ramical trace mineral and 6.5% sodium chloride. Each kg contained Ca, 102.9; P, 90.2g; Fe, 25000mg; Cu, 2000mg; Mn, 60000mg; Zn, 40000mg; Sc, 100mg.

2. Each kg of vitamin premix contains Vit.A, 67500000 IU; Vit. D3, 1.500.000 I.U, Vit.E, 2.000IU; Vit.K, 1.000mg; Vit.B1, 1.000mg; Vit B2, 2.000mg; Vit B6, 1.000mg; Vit.B12, 5mg; Vit C, 10.000mg; D- Ca-panto then ate 5.000mg, Nicotinic acid 10.000mg; Folic acid 150mg, Biotine 5mg; Na, 825mg, Mg, 10gm; K, 10gm and Ca, 20gm.

3. ME and P/E ratio were calculated according to Soltan (1990).

**IMPACT OF FISH MEAL REPLACEMENT BY UNCONVENTIONAL
INGREDIENTS ON PERFORMANCE OF NILE TELEPIA**

**Table (3): Impact of feeding different levels of rumen contents (RC)
and/or Azolla meal (AM) on Nile tilapia performance.**

Criteria	BD	RC ₁	RC ₂	RC ₃	AM ₁	AM ₂	AM ₃	Rc-AM
AV. Initial weight (g)	7.71 ^a ±0.26	7.40 ^a ± 0.23	7.61 ^a ± 0.33	7.33 ^a ± 0.25	7.42 ^a ± 0.24	7.16 ^a ± 0.26	7.11 ^a ± 0.29	7.08 ^a ± 0.26
AV. Final weight (g)	14.69 ^a ±0.98	11.90 ^{ab} ± 0.56	12.89 ^{ab} ± 0.86	10.78 ^b ± 0.52	12.26 ^{ab} ± 0.61	10.58 ^b ± 0.50	10.05 ^b ± 0.599	12.04 ^{ab} ± 0.83
AV. Total gain (g)/fish	6.95 ^a ±0.54	4.50 ^{bc} ± 0.19	5.27 ^{ab} ± 0.09	3.45 ^{bc} ± 0.24	4.84 ^{bc} ± 0.17	3.42 ^{bc} ± 0.21	2.93 ^c ± 0.12	4.95 ^b ± 0.24
% increase in weight gain	100	64.75	75.83	49.64	69.64	49.20	42.13	71.22
AV. Initial length (cm)	7.87 ^a ±0.11	7.78 ^a ± 0.08	7.84 ^a ± 0.12	7.82 ^a ± 0.10	7.83 ^a ± 0.08	7.67 ^a ± 0.03	7.67 ^a ± 0.10	7.77 ^a ± 0.09
Av. Final length (cm)	9.68 ^a ±0.21	9.09 ^{ab} ± 0.14	9.23 ^{ab} ± 0.20	8.75 ^b ± 0.10	9.22 ^{ab} ± 0.14	8.67 ^b ± 0.15	8.53 ^b ± 0.16	9.03 ^{ab} ± 0.21
Increase in length (cm)	1.81	1.31	1.39	0.93	1.39	1.00	0.86	1.26
Av. Total feed consumed (g)/fish	23.28 ^a ±0.19	20.57 ^{ab} ± 0.75	21.47 ^b ± 0.52	19.79 ^{ab} ± 0.52	21.43 ^b ± 0.60	18.93 ^c ± 0.52	18.52 ^c ± 0.52	20.06 ^b ± 0.15
Av. Total protein consumed (g) fish	7.67 ^a ± 0.05	6.78 ^{bc} ± 0.25	7.08 ^{ab} ± 0.17	6.53 ^b ± 0.16	7.06 ^{ab} ± 0.20	5.63 ^c ± 0.04	6.09 ^c ± 0.52	6.61 ^b ± 0.06
*Protein efficiency ratio (PER)	0.9 ^a ± 0.06	0.67 ^{ab} ± 0.05	0.75 ^{ab} ± 0.03	0.53 ^b ± 0.04	0.69 ^{ab} ± 0.01	0.55 ^b ± 0.04	0.48 ^b ± 0.02	0.75 ^{ab} ± 0.03
Feed conversion ratio (FCR)	3.36 ^d ± 0.23	4.58 ^{bc} ± 0.37	4.07 ^c ± 0.16	5.91 ^{ab} ± 0.24	4.42 ^{bc} ± 0.03	5.63 ^b ± 0.49	6.23 ^a ± 0.23	4.05 ^c ± 0.16
AV. Specific growth rate (SGR)	0.34 ^a ± 0.02	0.25 ^{ab} ± 0.01	0.28 ^{ab} ± 0.01	0.20 ^b ± 0.01	0.25 ^a ± 0.01	0.21 ^b ± 0.01	0.18 ^b ± 0.01	0.27 ^{ab} ± 0.01
Av. Condition factor	1.26 ^a ± 0.04	1.60 ^a ± 0.01	1.67 ^a ± 0.01	1.62 ^a ± 0.02	1.58 ^a ± 0.02	1.64 ^a ± 0.01	1.62 ^a ± 0.05	1.62 ^a ± 0.01

-Values are means ± SE

A,B,C...etc. means in the same row with different superscripts are significantly different at P<0.01.

a, b,c,...etc. means in the same row with different superscripts are significantly at P<0.05. * Proteins efficiency ratio = weight gain (g)/ protein (g) intake

Table (4): Whole body gross composition (% on DM basis) and dressing % of Nile tilapia fed different experimental diets.

parameter	initial fish Composition (%)	Final fish composition%							
		BD	RC ₁	RC ₂	RC ₃	AM ₁	AM ₂	AM ₃	RC-AM
Dry matter	19.41 ^c ± 0.69	25.15 ^{ab} ± 0.65	24.04 ^b ± 0.45	25.23 ^{ab} ± 0.67	24.97 ^b ± 0.52	25.98 ^{ab} ± 0.59	26.11 ^{ab} ± 0.81	27.33 ^{ab} ± 0.89	27.49 ^a ± 0.77
Crude protein	60.0 ^c ± 1.7	65.20 ^{ab} ± 1.1	64.90 ^{ab} ± 1.4	67.00 ^{ab} ± 1.9	62.20 ^{bc} ± 1.6	65.60 ^{ab} ± 0.85	64.4 ^{ab} ± 1.5	62.90 ^{bc} ± 1.42	63.00 ^{bc} ± 1.8
Ether extract	13.64 ^a ± 0.5	9.58 ^b ± 0.82	11.29 ^b ± 0.45	9.15 ^{bc} ± 1.0	11.07 ^b ± 0.52	8.48 ^{bc} ± 0.85	7.43 ^{bc} ± 0.79	7.22 ^b ± 0.83	7.11 ^{bc} ± 0.61
Ash	14.88 ^b ± 1.1	19.55 ^a ± 1.4	17.70 ^a ± 1.5	16.55 ^a ± 1.8	17.27 ^a ± 1.7	17.79 ^a ± 1.5	17.25 ^a ± 1.4	21.38 ^a ± 2.1	16.05 ^a ± 1.9
Dressing (%)	-----	90.05 ^a ± 0.51	89.9 ^a ± 0.43	89.36 ^a ± 0.64	86.96 ^a ± 1.70	89.51 ^a ± 1.29	88.71 ^a ± 0.71	87.90 ^a ± 1.10	87.72 ^a ± 0.38

- Value are means ± SE
- a,b,c....Values within the same raw with different superscripts are significantly different at P<0.05.

Table (5): Apparent digestibility coefficient of dry matter, crude protein and ether extract of the experimental diets fed to Nile tilapia.

Group	Digestibility %					
	DM	%*	CP	%	EE	%
BD	97.28	100	91.93	100	90.07	100
RC ₁	97.00	99.71	92.72	100.86	88.09	97.90
RC ₂	97.01	99.72	94.33	102.61	97.90	108.69
RC ₃	96.21	98.90	89.92	97.81	90.14	100.1
AM ₁	97.21	99.93	93.22	101.4	91.79	101.91
AM ₂	97.58	100.31	92.04	100.12	98.63	109.5
AM ₃	98.80	101.64	67.63	73.57	92.89	103.13
RC-AM	97.38	100.10	91.08	99.08	98.56	109.42

* Percent of increase or decrease regarding control.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The results of proximate chemical analysis of two unconventional dietary sources namely RC and AM used in the preparation of the experimental diets are presented in table (1). The RC results of CP, EE and ash content came on average with that reported by **El-Yassin et al. (1991)** and **El-Tahan (1996)**. The obtained CF level (31.89%) came nearly similar with the results of **Shebata et al. (1984)** and **Adel - Rahman (1995)**. The higher CF-levels of RC reported in the results of other workers (**Eleraky, 1991** and **El-Tahan, 1996**) might be related to several factors such as type of diet which had been fed to the animal, time of sampling as well as retained materials from previous feeding (**Abdel - Rahman, 1995**).

The results of chemical analysis of AM showed marked reduction in CP and EE contents as compared to the results of **Abdel-Halim et al. (1998)**, **Saleh (1994)**, **Hady and Essa (2000)** and **Alalade et al. (2007)**. The obtained CF level was almost similar to that obtained by **Santiago et al (1988)** and **Shaban (1999)**. The discrepancy in the results of the proximate chemical analysis of AM with some studies might be attributed to the species of Azolla predominated in the sample examined (25 different Azolla strains exist), **Antoina et al. (1986)** and/or seasonal variation as well as culture techniques (**Chen and Huang, 1987**).

Performance Results:

The results of the impact of partially or totally substituting fish meal with RC and/or AM on Nile tilapia's

performance are presented in table (3). The means initial body weight as well as mean initial length were insignificantly differed between treatments indicated complete randomization process. The BD-group fed the basal diet exhibited significantly highest body weight gain followed by the RC₂-group (10% RC). The average specific growth rate (SGR) showed insignificant reduction in the groups fed 5 and 10% RC compared to the control (0.25 & 0.28 vs. 0.34), while feeding 15% RC significantly reduced all examined performance parameters. These findings were in a partial agreement with those obtained by **Eleraky (1991)** who found a significant reduction of Nile tilapia's gain with the increase of dietary RC. Nonetheless, at higher dietary level of 16%, no adverse effect was detected. The group fed 15% AM (AM₃) sustained the lowest final weight (10.05g), weight gain (2.93g), PER (0.48), FCR (6.32) and SGR (0.18) among all treatments. These results agree with the work of other authors (**Almazen et al., 1986**; **Saleh, 1994**; **Abdel Halim et al., 1998** and **Shiomi and Kitoh, 2001**). On contrary, **Abou and Micha (2007)** found no negative effect on the growth performance and production of *O.niloticus* fingerlings (15.5g) reared in wetland pond when fed 10 and 20% Azolla compared to Azolla free diet. The negative effect of complete substitution of fish meal with 15% Azolla meal on Nile tilapia's performance especially PER suggested that Azolla meal might be deficient in specific amino acids (lysine and methionine) and /or the presence of high – levels of neutral detergent fiber and high ash content

(**Buckingham et al. 1978**). The group fed a combination of 5% RC and 5% AM replacing 10% fish meal sustained intermediate pattern regarding Nile tilapia's performance parameters. The aforementioned results elucidated that some sort of compensation was existed between different dietary protein sources (fish meal, 5%; RC, 5% and AM, 5%) regarding amino acids which was not as optimum as needed for expression of growth potential (**Chambers, 1990**).

Whole body composition results:

The results of whole body composition and dressing percentage of Nile tilapia fish fed different experimental diets are presented in table (4). It was observed that with advancing in age body DM, CP and ash contents increased while EE contents decreased. The recorded values for Nile tilapia gross body composition were within the normal values recorded by **Siddiqui et al. (1988)**; **El-Huseiny et al. (1993)** and **Essa et al. (1995)**. The final DM and EE content showed significant increase in all groups compared to the initial composition. This result indicated that there was an inverse relationship between body moisture and lipid content, a relation, which had been previously reported (**Jauncey, 1982**). Incorporation of 10% RC insignificantly increased CP content as compared to the BD-fed group (0% RC). The positive effect of incorporating 10% RC to replace 10% fish meal protein on increasing the CP and decreasing the EE contents of final fish body

composition is coincided with the performance results (weight gain and PR) represents in table (3). Body EE content was insignificantly increased by RC feeding (5 and 15%) and insignificantly decreased by feeding RC (10%), AM (5, 10 and 15%) and RC-AM combination compared to control as reported by other workers, respectively (**Eleraky, 1991**. ; **Abdel-Fattah and Abdel- Aziz, 1990** and **Abdel-Halim et al. 1998**).

The Azolla feeding at higher levels (15%) was accompanied with a reduction in both CP and EE values and an increase in ash content in final gross body composition of the fed fish. Additionally, these results were correlated to the performance results of AM₃ group which retained the lowest gain values, table (3). Similar reports corroborate these findings (**Hady and Essa, 2000** and **Shiomi and Kitoh, 2001**). Moreover, **Abdel-Fattah and Abdel-Aziz (1990)** detected a negative correlation between fat content of *O.niloticus* body and the dietary Azolla levels. Nonetheless, an improvement effect on performance had been achieved by feeding 10% sun dried Azolla meal in complete carp diet compared to the control followed by 20% Azollo level (**Saleh, 1994**). This discordances in results might be related to the nature of feedstuff which underwent substitution by Azolla (plant protein, soybean meal vs. animal protein, fish meal) or to the difference in the species of used fish (carp vs. Nile tilapia). Regarding the dressing percentage results of Nile tilapia in different groups showed no significant difference due to dietary

IMPACT OF FISH MEAL REPLACEMENT BY UNCONVENTIONAL INGREDIENTS ON PERFORMANCE OF NILE TILAPIA

treatments. Similar results were recorded by **Soltan (1990)** and **Hady and Essa (2000)**.

Digestibility results:

The results of digestibility of the experimental diets fed to Nile tilapia using internal indicator (CF) are presented in table (5). The DM digestibility seemed to be slightly affected by the feeding of either RC or AM. Meanwhile, the EE digestibility corresponded similarly except for the RC₂, AM₂ and RC-AM groups (108.69, 109.5 and 109.42 percent increase over control). **Saleh (1994)** reported that EE digestibility was increased when AM replaced fish meal in carp diets. In regard to CP digestibility, there was no prominent changes compared to control, except at the highest level of AM (15%) that induced minimum CP digestibility (67.63 vs 91.93%). It is worth noting that the adverse effect of feeding AM at 15% to replace completely fish meal protein was corroborated with the aforementioned results of performance (Table 3) as well as whole body composition (Table 4) for such group. **Hossein and Jauncey (1989)** emphasized that substitution of fish meal by plant protein had decreased apparent protein digestibility. The failure of AM to exhibit a positive trend in digestibility and growth when totally replaced fish meal might be essentially related to the lack of certain amino acids (methionine, lysine and tryptophan)

compared to the requirements for such amino acids reported for ***O. niloticus*** (**Buckingham et al. 1978; Jauncey 1982 and Santiago et al., 1985**). Moreover, the nature

of CF in Azolla, might itself be hardly digested and might envelop other nutrients such as protein and carbohydrate from digestive enzymes (**Hepher, 1988**). Interestingly, Azolla strain showed different preference by Nile tilapia which prefer ***A. filliculoids*** rather than ***A. pinnata*** (**Antoina et al., 1986**), in the current study, ***A. pinnata*** appeared to be more dominant. Feeding a mixture of plant and animal protein (RC-AM group) resulted in higher growth rate than feeding a sole protein-losing diet (**Sitasit and Sitasit, 1977**).

Conclusively, the results of the current study emphasize the incorporation of either rumen content (10%) or the combination of rumen content-Azolla meal (5% -5%) to substitute 10% of fish meal protein in Nile tilapia diets aiming at reduction of feed cost.

REFERENCES

- Abdel-Fattah , M.E.S. and Abdel-Aziz, S.H. (1990):** The use of Azolla pinnata protein source for tilapia ***O. niloticus*** L. fingerlings. Proceeding of international symposium of biology and culture of tilapia. Oceanography Depart., Fac. Sci., Alex. Univ., Egypt. 27-31. Oct.,: 319-320.
- Abdel- Halim, A.M.M.; Shanab, T. and Abdel-Tawwab, M. (1998):** Evaluation of Azolla pinnata meal as an ingredient in diets for tilapia Zilli in fry. Egypt. J. Agric. Res., 76 (3): 1307-1315.
- Abdel-Rahman, K.F. (1995):** Response of sheep to feeding different levels of dried rumen

contents. M.V.Sc. Thesis, Fac. Vet. Med. Cairo Univ.

Abou, Y. and Micha, J.C. (2007): A preliminary assessment of growth and production of Nile tilapia, *O.niloticus* L., Fed Azolla-based-diets in earthen ponds. J. App. Aqua. 19: (4).

Alalade O.A. and Iyayi, E.A. (2006): Chemical composition and feeding value of Azolla (*Azolla pinnata*) meal for egg-type chicks. International J. of Poult. Sci. (5): 137-141.

Alalade, O.A.; Iyayi, E.A. and Alalade, T.O. (2007): The nutritive value of Azolla (*Azolla pinnata*) meal in diets for growing pullets and subsequent effect on laying performance .J. Poult. Sci., 44: 273-277.

Almazen, G.J.; Pullin, R.S.V.; Angeles, A.F.; Manab, T.A. and Agbayani, R.A. (1986): Azolla pinnata as a dietary component for Nile tilapia *O.niloticus* L. Philippines Univ., Visayas, Diliman, Quezon City (Philippines). Inst. Of Fish beries Development and Research, First Asian Fisheries forum. Manila (Philippines): 523-528.

Antoino, T.S.; Carraro, J. C.M. and Van Hove, C. (1986): Comparative appetency for Azolla of *Cichlasoma* and *Oreochromis .Tilapia* Aquac. 53 (2): 95-99.

AOAC, Association of Official Analytical Chemists (1980): Official methods of analysis of the association of analytical chemists 13th Ed. Washington, D.C.

APHA, American Public Health Association (1992): Standard

methods for the examination of water and waste.

Buckingham, K.W.; Ela, C.G.; Morris, J.G. and Goldman, C.R. (1978): Nutritive value of nitrogen fixing aquatic fern, (*Azolla filliculoides*) J. Agric. Food. Chem. 26: 1230-1234.

Chambers, J.R (1990):Genetics of growth and meat production in chicken. In R.D. Crawford (ed). Poultry Breeding and Genetics. Elsevier Amsterdam Development in Animal and Veterinary Sciences , 22: 599-643.

Chen, D.L. and Huang, C.Y. (1987): Study on Azolla as a fish fodder , workshop on Azolla Fuzhou, Fujian (China): 270.

Eleraky, W.A.(1991): Evaluation effects of rumen contents and rabbit faeces in fish diets on growth performance and body composition of tilapia . *O.niloticus* . J. Egypt. Vet. Med. Ass., 51: 71-82.

EI-Garhy, M.A.M. (1994): Using unclassical feedstuff in fish nutrition . M.Sc. Thesis, Fac. Agric. Cairo Univ.

EI-Husseiny, O.A.; Arafa, S.A.; Shehata, T.M. and Abdel EI-Sami, A.M. (1993): Effect of dietary energy sources on tilapia performance 4th symp. Anim. Poult. and fish Nut., Fayoum , 63-93.

EI-Tahan, A.A.H. (1996): Nutritional studies on using rumen contents in animal nutrition. Ph. Thesis , Fac. Agric. Zag. Univ.

EI-Yassin, F.A.; Fontenot, J.P. and Jones, H.C. (1991): Fermentation characteristics and nutritional value

IMPACT OF FISH MEAL REPLACEMENT BY UNCONVENTIONAL
INGREDIENTS ON PERFORMANCE OF NILE TILAPIA

of rumen contents and blood ensiled with untreated or sodium hydroxide treated wheat straw. J. Anim. Sci., 69: 1751-1759.

Essa, M.A.; Hady, M.M. and Marzouk, M.S. (1995): Effect of Virginiamycin on performance and susceptibility of *O. niloticus* to *Aeromonas hydrophila* infection. J. Egypt. Vet. Med. Ass. 55: 109-121.

Hady, M.M. and Essa, M.A. (2000): Azolla and Lemna in Nile tilapia diets. The first scientific conference on Environmental and natural resources Taiz 15-22 April, Univ., Republic of Yemen.

Hardy, R.W. and Sullivan, C.V. (1988): Canola meal in rainbow trout *Salmo gairdneri* production diets. Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 40: 281-286

Hassan, A.H. (1989): The use of poultry droppings and dried rumen liquor in feeds of common carp *Cyprinus carpio*. Ph.D. Thesis, Fac. Agric. Alex. Univ.

Hepher, B., (1988): Nutrition of fishes. Cambridge Univ. Press. New York; New Rochelle; Melbourne ; Sydney: 45-63.

Hossain, M.A. and Jauncey, K. (1989): Nutritional evaluation of some Bangladeshi oil seed meals as partial substitutes for fish meal in the diet of common carp (*Cyprinus Carpio L.*) Unit. Aquac. Nutrition Inst. Aquo-culture, Univ. Strirling, Scotland, Aquaculture fish manag 20 (3): 250-268.

Ince, B.W., Lone, K. P. and Matty, A.J. (1982): Effect of dietary protein level and an anabolic steroid, ethyl esternal on the growth, food

conversion efficiency and protein efficiency ratio of rainbow trout (*Salmo gairdneri*). Br. J. Nut., 47:615-624.

Jauncey, K. (1982): The effect of varying dietary protein level on the growth, food conversion, protein utilization and body composition of Juvenile tilapias *Sartherodon mossambicus*. Aquac., 27: 43-54.

Jones, P.L. and Desilva, S.S. (1998): Comparison of internal and external markers in digestibility studies involving the Australian freshwater fish, cry fish choral detractor clark *Decapodo parastacidae*

Aquac. Res. 29: 484-493.

Liu, C.C. (1989): Rice Azolla and fish cropping system, Azolla research center, Fijian Academy of Agricultural sciences Fuzhou, Fajian (China). Presented at international rice research conference, Hangzhou, China; 217.

Lumpkin, T.A. and Pluknette, D.L. (1982): Azolla as green manure, use and management in crop production. Pluknette, DL. (ed) – Hawaii: 161-163.

NRC, National Rresearch Council (1993): Nutrient requirements of fish. National Academy Press, Washington.

Nwanna, L.C. and Falaye, A.E. (1997): Substitution of Azolla meal for groundnut cake in diets for Nile tilapia, *O. niloticus* (L.) App. Tropical Agric., 2: 139-143.

Omar, E.A.; Osman, M.F. and Nour, A.M. (1993): Effects of replacing dried rumen liquor (DRL) in diets of carp, tilapia and mullet on growth

performance, feed utilization and carcass composition. *Annals of Agricultural Sci. Cairo* (1): 47-55.

Saleh, F.A.H. (1994): Effect of replacing fish meal with untraditional protein sources in tilapia diets M.Sc. Thesis, fac. Agric., Ain. Shams Univ.

Santiago, C.B., Aldoba, M.B. and Laron, M.A. (1988): Response of Nile tilapia *Oreochromis niloticus* fry to diets containing Azolla meal . The second International symposium on tilapia in Aquaculture , Bangkok (Thailand), 16-20 Mar.: 377-382.

Shaban, S.A.M. (1999): A study of growth and layer performance of gold montazah pullets fed varying levels of Azolla meal. P.H.D. Thesis, Fac. Agric. Cairo Univ., Fayoum Branch.

Shebata, M.A.; Allen, N.K. and Goodrich, R.D. (1984): Evaluation of dried rumen liquor a feed ingredient for poultry *Arch Geflugelk*, 48 (3): 89-92.

Shiomi, N. and Kitoh, S. (2001): Culture of Azolla in a pond, nutrient

composition and use as fish feed *Soil Sci. and plant nutrition* . 47 (1): 27-34.

Siddiqui , A.; Howlader, M.S. and Adam, A.A.(1988): Effect of dietary protein levels on growth, feed conversion and protein utilization in fry and young Nile tilapia (*O.niloticus*) *Aquac.* 70: 63-73.

Sitasit, P. and Sitatsit , V. (1977): Comparison of production by *Tilapia nilotica* fed with production from different sources. Symp. Development and Utilization of Inland Fishery Resources. Indo. Pacific Fish Council, Colombo Sirlanka.

Snedecor, G.W. and Cochran, W.G. (1969): Statistical methods . The Iowa state Univ. Press. Ames, Iowa, USA.

Soltan, M.A.A. (1990): Nutritive value of certain feeding stuffs incorporated in practical diets of for Nile tilapia. M.V.Sc., Fac. Vet. Med., Alex. Univ., Egypt.