

Genetic Analysis and Heterosis of Some Yield Characters under Saline and Non-Saline Treatments in Faba Bean (*Vicia faba L.*)

Greish, S. M.

Agricultural Botany Department, Faculty of Agriculture, Suez Canal University, Ismailia, Egypt

Received: 15/11/2007

Abstract: A set of five parental half diallel crosses in faba bean (*Vicia faba L.*) was evaluated to study combining ability and heterosis of some yield characters under saline and non-saline conditions. Significant genotypic differences among the parents and their hybrid were observed for almost all characters. Mean squares due to GCA and SCA were significant for flowers number per plant under control and 5000 ppm, pods no. per plant under 1000 and 5000 ppm, pod length under all saline treatment seeds no. Per pod under 1000 ppm and 100 seeds weight under control, 1000 and 3000 ppm revealing the presence of both additive and non-additive gene effects for these characters. Mean squares due to GCA only were significant for flowers no. per plant under 1000 ppm, pods no. per plant under control and 3000 ppm, pod length under control, seeds no. per pod under 3000 and 5000 ppm and 100 seed weight under 5000 ppm indicating that these characters were mainly controlled by additive gene effects. The parents which showed better combining ability were Giza 717, Giza 429 and Giza 417 for pods number per plant under 5000 ppm, Giza blanka, Giza 717 and Giza 429 for pod length under 5000 ppm and Giza blanka and Giza 429 for seeds no. per pod under 5000 ppm. The ratio $\sigma^2 A / \sigma^2 D$ showed a predominance of dominant gene action for all characters under all treatments except for number of flowers per plant under 3000 ppm and number of pods per plant under control which are mainly controlled by additive gene action. The hybrids which have been identified as promising genotypes based on the significance of heterosis under control were ($P_3 \times P_4$) for flower number per plant, pods no. per plant and pod length and ($P_1 \times P_2$) for 100 seeds weight, while the promising hybrids under salinity were ($P_1 \times P_4$) and ($P_4 \times P_5$) under 1000 and 5000 ppm respectively for flowers number, ($P_4 \times P_5$) under 1000 ppm and ($P_3 \times P_4$) under 3000 and 5000 ppm for number of pods per plant, ($P_4 \times P_5$) under 1000 and 3000 ppm and ($P_2 \times P_5$) under 5000 ppm for pod length, both ($P_2 \times P_4$) and ($P_2 \times P_5$) under 1000 ppm, ($P_1 \times P_4$) under 3000 ppm and ($P_3 \times P_4$) under 5000 ppm for number of seeds per pod and ($P_4 \times P_5$) under 1000 ppm, ($P_1 \times P_3$) under 3000 ppm and ($P_1 \times P_5$) under 5000 ppm for 100 seeds weight, meanwhile the promising hybrids based on the significance of both SCA and heterosis were, ($P_4 \times P_5$) and ($P_1 \times P_3$) respectively under 5000 ppm for flowers number per plant, ($P_2 \times P_5$) under control and 5000 ppm and ($P_3 \times P_4$) and ($P_3 \times P_5$) respectively under 3000 ppm for pods number per plant, ($P_2 \times P_4$) and ($P_2 \times P_5$) respectively under 1000 ppm and for seeds number per pod.

Keyword: Faba bean, general and specific combining ability, heterosis, saline

INTRODUCTION

Increasing the area of faba bean and some of the main legume crops which provide the Egyptians with cheap protein foods consider one of the most important aims of agriculture policy in Egypt.

Salinity in soil and irrigation water is a problem that restricts yield and yield components of various crops. Faba bean area can be increased by using ground water and El-salam canal water which considered low quality irrigation water for most crops. Increasing area unit productivity through utilizing salt tolerant varieties produced from breeding programs must be an important aim of faba bean breeders. Successful hybridization program depends upon genetic information about the nature of gene action which controls the characters under study beside identification of promising parents and crosses. Many investigators studied the genetic behavior of growth and yield characters in faba bean under salinity and drought conditions; AL-katib et al. (1994), Shao et al (1994), Ashraf and Waheeb (1998).

EL-Bendary (1998), Dua (1998), El-Hosary et al (1998), Chauhan and Singh (2000) and El-Hosary et al. (2002). The present investigation was conducted to study heterosis as well as combining ability for flowers number per plant, pod number per plant, pod length, seeds number per pod and 100 seeds weight (gm).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Five broad bean varieties obtained from the agriculture research center namely; Giza blanka as P1, Giza 717 as P2, Giza 429 as P3, Giza 417 as P4 and Giza 674 as P5 were used and evaluated at the Experimental farm of the faculty of Agriculture, S.C.U. Diallel crosses were made between the five parents during the season 2003-2004 to obtain ten F1 hybrids. During the season 2004-2005, seeds of the five parental genotypes and their F1s were sown in plastic pots, containing air dried sandy soil in randomized block design with three replicates, each replicate represented by five pots, each pot contain four plants for control and the salt treatments. Three concentrations of salt irrigation (1000ppm-3000ppm-5000ppm) were prepared by resolving 1, 3 and 5gm of sea salts respectively in one litter of irrigation water as salt treatments beside the control (0ppm) were used. The other normal agricultural procedures were applied. Five plants from each replicate were randomly chosen for recording the observations for five yield characters, flower number/plant, pods number per plant, pod length, seeds number per pod and 100 seeds weight (gm). The analysis of variance for combining ability was done following Griffing b (1956) model 1-method 2. Heterosis was measured as a percentage of increase or decrease in F1s over better parental values according to Bhatt (1971).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The analysis of variance for five yield studied characters (Table, 1) showed significant differences among the parents and their hybrids for almost all characters under saline and non-saline treatments, suggesting the presence of considerable genetic variability for these characters. Mean squares due to general combining ability (GCA) (Table,1) were significant for all the studied characters under all treatments, except for flowers no. per plant under 3000ppm and seeds no. per pod under control indicating the importance of additive effects in the genetic control of these characters. Meanwhile, mean squares due to specific combining ability (SCA) were significant for flower numbers under control and 5000ppm, pods number per plant under 1000 and 5000ppm, pod length under all saline treatments, seeds number per pod under 1000ppm and 100seeds weight under control, 1000ppm and 3000ppm, revealing the importance of non additive effects also in the genetic control of these characters. Data in table (1) showed that variances due to GCA were higher than their respective SCA for almost all characters suggesting the predominance of additive gene effects in controlling these characters. Similar results were reported by Ashraf and waheeb(1998),El-Hosary et al (1998), El-Hosary et al(2002)and Aly and Ammar (2003).

Data in (Table, 2) showed that the parents which had positive and significant general combining ability effects were Giza717, Giza 429 and Giza 417 for pods number per plant under 5000 ppm, Giza Blanka, Giza 717 and Giza 429 for pod length under 5000 ppm and Giza Blanka and Giza 429 under 5000 ppm for seeds no. per pod suggesting that these characters were controlled by dominant genes and that these parents are good combiners for the three characters under 5000 ppm saline treatments. These results indicated that the parent Giza 429 which had high and positive GCA for the three characters under 5000 ppm is considered the best combiner under saline treatments, in the same time the parent 674 had negative and significant GCA effects for the same characters under the same saline treatments.

The estimates of the specific combining ability effects (Table, 2) showed that the crosses which had positive and significant SCA effects under normal conditions were (P₁xP₄) and (P₂xP₅) for pods number per plant and (P₂ x P₄) for pod length. Meanwhile, the crosses which had positive and significant SCA effects under saline treatments were (P₁ x P₃) and (P₄xP₅) under 5000 ppm for flowers no. per plant, (P₁xP₄) and (P₂xP₅) under 1000 ppm, (P₁xP₂), (P₂xP₅), (P₃xP₄) and (P₃xP₅) under 3000 ppm and (P₁xP₄), (P₂xP₅) and (P₃xP₄) under 5000 ppm for pods no. per plant, (P₁xP₂), (P₁xP₅) and (P₃xP₄) under 1000 ppm and (P₁xP₅) and (P₂xP₄) under 3000 for pod length and (P₁xP₄), (P₂xP₄), (P₂xP₅) and (P₃xP₅) under 1000 ppm for seeds no. per pod. The crosses which had negative significant SCA effects under normal conditions were (P₁ x P₂), (P₁ x P₅) and (P₄ x P₅) for pods number per plant and (P₄ x P₅) only for seeds number per pod.

Under saline treatments the crosses which had negative significant SCA effects for flowers number per plant were (P₁ x P₅), (P₂xP₄) and (P₃ x P₅) under 5000 ppm, for pods number per plant were (P₁ x P₂), (P₁ x P₅) and (P₄ x P₅) under 1000 ppm, (P₁ x P₃), (P₂ x P₃) and (P₄ x P₅) under 3000 ppm and (P₄ x P₅) under 5000 ppm, for pod length were (P₁ x P₃), (P₁ x P₄) and (P₂ x P₅) under 1000 ppm, (P₂ x P₅) under 3000 ppm and (P₃ x P₄) under 5000 ppm and for seeds number per pod were (P₁ x P₂), (P₁ x P₅), (P₂ x P₃), (P₃ x P₄) and (P₄ x P₅) under 1000 ppm and the crosses (P₁ x P₃) only under 5000 ppm.

Most of the crosses which had positive significant SCA effects values under the saline treatment 5000 ppm for most characters involved at least one good general combiner parent. These results showed that none of the crosses exhibited significant and positive SCA effects for every character under normal or saline treatments, so no single cross could be considered the best hybrid. The cross (P₂ x P₅) exhibited significant desirable SCA effects for pods number per plant under normal and saline treatments and for seeds number per pod under 1000 ppm. In some crosses, the two involved parents had high GCA effects but gave low SCA effects such as (P₄ x P₅), (P₃ x P₄) and (P₃ x P₅) for flower number under control, 1000 and 3000 ppm respectively, (P₄ x P₅) under control, 1000 and 3000 ppm and (P₂xP₃) and (P₂xP₄) under 5000 ppm for pods no. per plant, (P₁xP₂) under 5000 ppm for pod length, (P₁ x P₃) and (P₃ x P₄) under normal condition, (P₄ x P₅) under 1000 ppm, (P₁ x P₃) and (P₁ x P₄) under 3000 ppm and (P₁ x P₃) under 5000 ppm for seeds number per pod and (P₃ x P₅) under 1000 ppm and (P₃ x P₄) and (P₃ x P₅) under 3000 ppm for 100 seeds weight. Jinks and Jones (1958) suggested that the low values of SCA effects in such cases might be attributed to some internal cancellation of favorable genes or to genetic similarity of the involved parents, at the same time, low GCA and high SCA values were observed in the crosses (P₂ x P₃) under control, (P₂ x P₅) under 1000ppm and (P₁ x P₂) and (P₁ x P₃) under 5000 ppm for flowers number per plant, (P₁ x P₃) and (P₂xP₃) under control, (P₁xP₂) under 3000 ppm and (P₁xP₅) under 5000 ppm for pods number per plant, (P₂ x P₄) and (P₂ x P₅) under control, (P₂ x P₄) and (P₃ x P₄) under 1000 ppm, (P₂ x P₃) and (P₂ x P₄) under 3000 ppm and (P₄ x P₅) under 5000 ppm for pod length, (P₁ x P₃) and (P₁ x P₄) and (P₂xP₄) under 1000 ppm and (P₂ x P₅) under 5000 ppm for seeds number per pod and (P₂ x P₄) under 1000 ppm and (P₂ x P₃) and (P₃ x P₄) under 5000 ppm for 100 seeds weight, this might be attributed due to the genetic diversity among the parents (Table,2).

The ratio between additive and dominance variance (σ^2A/σ^2D) revealed that additive gene action were more important for flowers number under 3000 ppm and for pods number per plant under control. Meanwhile dominant gene action was more important in the genetic control for all the characters under all treatment except for flower number under 3000 ppm and number of pods per plant under control. The results revealed the importance of both additive and dominance effects for all the characters under saline and non saline treatments.

Table (1): Mean squares for five yield characters under saline and non-saline treatments

Source of Variance	d.f	Flowers no./plant				Pods no./plant				Pod length				Seeds no. /pod			100 seeds weight				
		Con.	1000 ppm	3000 ppm	5000 ppm	Cont.	1000 ppm	3000 ppm	5000 ppm	Cont.	1000 ppm	3000 ppm	5000 ppm	Cont.	1000 ppm	3000 ppm	5000 ppm	Cont.	1000 ppm	3000 ppm	5000 ppm
Genotypes	14	47.3*	41.7*	15.3	22.5*	3.4*	1.4*	2.4*	1.1*	20.6	2.2*	2.5*	3.2*	1.0*	0.6*	1.1*	1.4*	152.4*	136.3*	358.3*	261.9*
GCA	4	71.1*	68.4*	22.1	16.3*	6.9*	1.9*	5.6*	2.3*	6.2*	4.4*	2.9*	6.0*	0.64	0.36*	1.8*	3.1*	121.7*	60.6*	585.5*	473.9*
SCA	10	17.8*	21.0	6.37	19.3*	0.91	0.64*	0.33	0.86*	0.6	0.74*	1.1*	0.74*	0.31	0.33*	0.13	0.24	89.5*	101.8*	135.8*	113.8

* Significant at 0.05 probability level

Table (2): General and specific combining ability effects for five yield characters under saline and non saline treatment

	Flowers no. / plant				Pods no. / plant				Pod length				Seeds no. / pod			100 seeds weight				
	con.	1000 ppm	3000 ppm	5000 ppm	cont.	1000 ppm	3000 ppm	5000 ppm	cont.	1000 ppm	3000 ppm	5000 ppm	cont.	1000 ppm	3000 ppm	5000 ppm	cont.	1000 ppm	3000 ppm	5000 ppm
P1	-3.00	-3.00	-2.60	-0.10	-1.10	-0.40	-0.80	-0.3*	0.70	1.10	0.80	0.7*	0.10	0.00	0.40	0.3*	4.20	1.80	-1.60	6.00
P2	-1.50	-1.50	-0.02	-1.80	-0.20	-0.60	-0.80	0.3*	-1.00	-0.60	-0.70	0.1*	-0.40	-0.30	-0.7*	-0.5*	-0.90	-3.90	-13.10	-7.30
P3	-0.60	0.40	0.40	-0.50	-0.10	0.20	0.80	0.5*	1.10	-0.40	-0.20	0.6*	0.20	0.00	0.30	0.8*	3.50	0.90	2.40	-4.90
P4	4.40	4.40	1.60	0.50	1.30	0.40	-0.60	0.3*	-0.50	-0.50	-0.10	-0.10	0.30	0.00	0.20	0.10	-0.29	-1.30	4.90	-3.10
P5	0.60	-0.30	0.50	1.90	0.10	0.30	0.20	-0.7*	-0.30	0.40	0.30	-1.3*	-0.20	0.20	-0.10	-0.7*	-3.90	2.40	7.50	9.40
P1xP2	-4.10	-2.30	-0.50	1.40	-0.8*	-0.5*	0.3*	-0.30	-0.50	0.8*	-0.60	-0.40	-0.40	-0.3*	0.10	0.10	10.50	7.80	-5.20	1.40
P1xP3	-1.00	1.90	3.10	3.6*	0.10	0.10	-0.4*	-0.50	0.50	-0.4*	0.30	0.70	-0.30	0.10	-0.30	-0.5*	1.60	3.10	9.30	-4.90
P1xP4	2.00	4.80	-1.20	-1.80	0.9*	0.8*	0.20	0.7*	-0.30	-0.8*	-0.60	0.20	0.30	0.4*	-0.20	0.20	-4.00	-11.50	-8.50	-2.70
P1xP5	3.10	-0.60	-1.40	-3.2*	-0.2*	-0.4*	-0.10	0.10	0.30	0.5*	0.8*	-0.40	0.40	-0.2*	0.40	0.30	-8.10	0.60	4.40	6.20
P2xP3	0.80	-0.30	-0.50	-0.10	0.20	-0.20	-0.4*	-0.10	-0.60	-0.10	0.50	0.50	0.20	-0.3*	0.20	0.30	-5.70	-2.60	4.80	10.70
P2xP4	2.80	1.00	0.60	-2.1*	-0.30	-0.10	-0.20	-0.50	0.8*	0.10	0.9*	0.00	0.40	0.4*	-0.10	-0.40	-2.10	2.00	4.40	-6.70
P2xP5	0.60	1.70	0.40	0.80	0.9*	0.7*	0.3*	0.8*	0.30	-0.8*	-0.8*	-0.10	-0.20	0.2*	-0.20	0.10	-2.70	-7.20	-4.00	-5.30
P3xP4	-0.40	-1.20	-1.50	-1.00	-0.10	-0.20	0.5*	0.6*	0.10	0.5*	-0.60	-0.90	-0.20	-0.3*	0.30	0.40	-0.30	1.20	-4.80	2.20
P3xP5	0.70	3.40	-1.10	-2.4*	-0.20	0.30	0.3*	-0.10	-0.10	0.10	-0.20	-0.30	0.30	0.5*	-0.20	-0.20	4.40	-1.70	-4.30	-8.00
P4xP5	-4.30	-4.60	2.10	4.9*	-0.6*	-0.6*	-0.5*	-0.8*	-0.60	0.20	0.20	0.70	-0.5*	-0.5*	-0.10	-0.20	6.40	8.30	8.90	7.20

Table (3): Additive ($\sigma^2 A$) and dominance ($\sigma^2 D$) genetic variance and the ratio $\sigma^2 A / \sigma^2 D$ for five yield characters under saline and non-saline treatments

Source of variance	Flowers no./plant				Pods no./plant				Pod length				Seeds no. /pod				100 seeds weight			
	Cont.	1000 ppm	3000 ppm	5000 ppm	Cont.	1000 ppm	3000 ppm	5000 ppm	Cont.	1000 ppm	3000 ppm	5000 ppm	Cont.	1000 ppm	3000 ppm	5000 ppm	Cont.	1000 ppm	3000 ppm	5000 ppm
$\sigma^2 A$	5.30	2.70	3.30	0.70	2.70	0.10	0.50	0.50	0.60	0.10	0.80	0.10	0.10	0.20	0.60	0.02	50.20	19.50	92.70	83.30
$\sigma^2 D$	84.00	36.00	1.70	25.40	2.40	3.00	3.80	5.10	6.30	0.90	3.60	1.90	0.60	0.60	0.90	1.60	115.00	137.70	202.70	111.80
$\sigma^2 A / \sigma^2 D$	0.06	0.08	1.90	0.03	1.10	0.03	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.20	0.10	0.20	0.30	0.70	0.01	0.40	0.10	0.50	0.70

Table (4): Expression of hetrosis % over better parental values in ten F1 hybrids for five yield characters under saline and non-saline treatments

	Flowers no./plant				Pods no./plant				Pod length				Seeds no. /pod				100 seeds weight			
	Cont.	1000 ppm	3000 ppm	5000 ppm	Cont.	1000 ppm	3000 ppm	5000 ppm	Cont.	1000 ppm	3000 ppm	5000 ppm	Cont.	1000 ppm	3000 ppm	5000 ppm	Cont.	1000 ppm	3000 ppm	5000 ppm
P1xP2 (1)	-0.44*	-0.43*	-0.05*	-0.08*	-0.71*	-0.55*	-0.73*	-0.20*	-0.42*	0.38	-0.09*	0.50	-0.45*	-0.36*	0.17*	0.50*	0.05*	0.06*	-0.29*	-0.08*
P1xP3 (2)	-0.23*	-0.48*	0.00	0.19*	-0.53*	-0.25*	-0.45*	-0.20*	-0.08*	0.11*	0.35	0.67	-0.33*	-0.18*	0.13*	0.60*	0.01*	0.06*	0.09*	-0.13*
P1xP4 (3)	0.19	0.21*	-0.15*	-0.35*	-0.12*	0.00	-0.36*	0.20*	-0.35*	0.06*	0.00	0.10*	-0.09*	-0.09*	0.29*	0.60*	-0.11*	-0.13*	-0.10*	-0.07*
P1xP5 (4)	0.05*	-0.23*	-0.39*	-0.23*	-0.53*	-0.27*	-0.55*	-0.20*	-0.27*	0.48	0.32	-0.21*	-0.18*	-0.18*	0.00	0.00	-0.16*	0.05*	0.01*	0.14*
P2xP3 (5)	-0.02*	-0.23*	0.00	-0.41*	-0.08*	-0.33*	-0.14*	0.80*	-0.05*	-0.08*	-0.09*	0.17*	-0.27*	-0.30*	0.00	0.60*	0.06*	-0.01*	-0.06*	-0.01*
P2xP4 (6)	0.36	0.30	-0.04*	-0.38*	0.17*	-0.18*	-0.33*	0.40*	-0.03*	-0.01*	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.13*	-0.40	-0.33*	-0.04*	-0.02*	-0.15*	-0.22*
P2xP5 (7)	0.04*	0.02*	-0.12*	-0.05*	0.17*	0.00	-0.33*	0.60*	-0.08*	-0.01*	-0.24*	-0.29*	-0.33*	0.13*	-0.50	-0.50	-0.06*	-0.09*	-0.22*	-0.17*
P3xP4 (8)	0.33*	-0.08*	-0.14*	-0.21*	0.36*	-0.08*	0.44*	0.83*	0.10*	0.0	-0.18*	-0.07*	-0.25*	-0.27*	0.0	0.67*	-0.03*	0.02*	-0.08*	-0.20*
P3xP5 (9)	0.18*	-0.08*	-0.18*	-0.21*	0.0	0.0	0.22*	0.0	0.10*	0.08*	-0.03*	-0.21*	-0.25*	0.0	-0.20*	0.0	0.01*	0.03*	-0.10*	-0.18*
P4xP5 (10)	0.05*	-0.17*	0.33	0.22*	-0.18*	0.17*	-0.27*	-0.40*	-0.47*	0.11*	0.06*	-0.20*	-0.36*	-0.27*	0.14*	-0.20*	-0.09*	0.11*	0.24	0.10*

* Significant at 0.05 probability level

The most useful method for using SCA is the utilization of heterosis. Heterosis over better parent (Table, 4) showed that the crosses which had positive and significant heterotic effect over better parent and considered the best crosses for flowers number per plant were ($P_3 \times P_4$) and ($P_3 \times P_5$) under control, ($P_1 \times P_4$) and ($P_2 \times P_5$) under 1000 ppm and ($P_4 \times P_5$) and ($P_1 \times P_3$) under 5000 ppm respectively. For pods number per plant the best cross which had positive and significant heterotic effects were ($P_3 \times P_4$) under control, ($P_4 \times P_5$) under 1000 ppm, ($P_3 \times P_4$) and ($P_3 \times P_5$) respectively, under 5000 ppm. The best crosses which had positive and significant heterotic effects over better parent for pod length were both ($P_3 \times P_4$) and ($P_3 \times P_5$) under control, both ($P_1 \times P_3$) and ($P_4 \times P_5$) under 1000 ppm, ($P_4 \times P_5$) under 3000 ppm and ($P_2 \times P_3$) and ($P_1 \times P_4$) respectively, under 5000 ppm. However the crosses had positive and significant heterotic effects over better parents and could be considered the best crosses for seeds number per pod were both ($P_2 \times P_4$) and ($P_2 \times P_5$) under 1000 ppm, ($P_1 \times P_4$), ($P_4 \times P_5$) and ($P_1 \times P_2$) respectively, under 3000 ppm and ($P_3 \times P_4$), all of ($P_1 \times P_3$), ($P_1 \times P_4$) and ($P_2 \times P_3$) and ($P_1 \times P_2$) respectively, under 5000 ppm for 100 seeds weight the crosses which had positive and significant heterotic effects and considered the best crosses were ($P_2 \times P_3$) and ($P_1 \times P_2$) under control, ($P_4 \times P_5$) and both ($P_1 \times P_2$) and ($P_1 \times P_3$) under 1000 ppm respectively, ($P_1 \times P_3$) and ($P_1 \times P_5$) under 3000 ppm respectively, and ($P_1 \times P_3$) and ($P_4 \times P_5$) under 5000 ppm.

REFERENCES

- AL-katib, M; Mcneilly, T. Collins, J.C. (1994): The genetic basis of salt tolerance in Lucerne (*Medicago sativa* L.). *J. Genet. and Breed.* 48:2, 169-174.
- Aly, A.A and S.El.M.M. Ammar (2003): Diallel analysis of some quantitative traits in *Vicia faba* L. The 28th international conference for statistics,

computer science and its application. 12-17 APRIL 2003. 177-187.

- Ashraf, M. and Waheeb, A. (1998): Genetic basis of salt (NaCl) tolerance in Lenti Lens. *Newsletter* .25:1-2, 15-22
- Bhatt, E.M. (1971): Heterosis, performance and combining ability in diallel cross among spring wheat *T. aestivum* L. *Aust. J. Agric. Res.*, 22(3): 359-369.
- Chauhan, M.P and Singh I.S. (2000): Variability estimates and identifying chickpea for yield and yield attributes in salt affected soil, *Legum research* 23:4, 199-200.
- Dua, R.P. (1998): Stability of faba bean under saline and sodic environments. *Ind. J. pulses Res.* 11:2, 35-40
- EL-Bendary, A.A. (1998): Mode of inheritance of salt tolerance in some soybean crosses. *Egypt - J. physiol. Sci.* 13(8)34-57
- El-Hosary, A.A, S.A Sedhom, M.H. Bastawisy and M.H. El-Mahdy (1998): Diallel analysis of some quantitative characters in faba bean (*Vicia faba* L) Pro. 8th Conf. Agron., Suez Canal Univ., Ismailia, Egypt, 28-29 Nov.
- El-Hosary, A.A; S.A. Omar and Wafaa.A. Hassan (2002): Diallel crosses analysis for improving faba bean (*Vicia faba* L.) Under Rain-fed conditions (drought tolerance). *Egypt. J. Desert Res.*, 52, No1, 55-67
- Griffing, B. (1956-b): Concept of general and specific combining ability in relation to diallel crossing system. *Aust. J. Bio. Sci.*, 9:463-93
- Jinks, J.L. and Jones, R.M. (1958): Estimation of component of heterosis. *Genetics*, 43:223-34.
- Shao, G.H.; Chang, R.Z.; Chen, Y.W.; and Yan, S.R. (1994): Study on inheritance of salt tolerance in soybean. *Acta-Agronomica-Sinica* (1994), 20:6, 721-726.

التحليل الوراثي و قوة الهجين لبعض صفات المحصول تحت ظروف الملوحة في الفول

صلاح محمد جريش

قسم النبات الزراعي - كلية الزراعة - جامعة قناة السويس - الإسماعيلية - ٤١٥٢٢ - مصر

استخدم التهجين الدائري ذو الاتجاه الواحد لخمسة آباء من الفول بغرض دراسة القدرة علي الانتلاف و قوة الهجين لبعض صفات المحصول تحت ظروف الملوحة (١٠٠٠ و ٣٠٠٠ و ٥٠٠٠ جزء في المليون). أظهرت النتائج وجود فروق وراثية معنوية بين الآباء و الهجن الناتجة عنها بالنسبة لمعظم الصفات تحت معظم المعاملات، كما كانت القدرة العامة علي الانتلاف هي المعنوية فقط بالنسبة لصفة عدد الأزهار في النبات تحت المعاملة ١٠٠٠ جزء في المليون و بالنسبة لصفة عدد القرون في النبات تحت المعاملة العادية و معاملة الملوحة ٣٠٠٠ جزء في المليون و بالنسبة لصفة طول القرن تحت المعاملة العادية و بالنسبة لصفة عدد البذور في القرن تحت معامليتي الملوحة ٣٠٠٠، ٥٠٠٠، جزء في المليون و بالنسبة لصفة وزن ١٠٠ بذرة تحت معاملة ٥٠٠٠ جزء في المليون مما يدل علي وجود الفعل الجيني المضيف فقط بالنسبة لهذه الصفات تحت هذه المعاملات، بينما كانت كلا من القدرة العامة و القدرة الخاصة علي الانتلاف معنوية تحت بقية المعاملات لنفس الصفات مما يدل علي وجود الفعل الجيني المضيف و غير المضيف لهذه الصفات.

كانت أفضل الآباء بالنسبة للقدرة علي الانتلاف هي (جيزة ٧١٧)، (جيزة ٤٢٩)، (جيزة ٤١٧) بالنسبة لصفة عدد القرون في النبات تحت ظروف الملوحة ٥٠٠٠ جزء في المليون و الآباء (جيزة بلانكا)، (جيزة ٧١٧)، (جيزة ٤٢٩) بالنسبة لصفة طول القرن تحت ظروف الملوحة ٥٠٠٠ جزء في المليون بينما كانت الآباء (جيزة بلانكا)، (جيزة ٤٢٩) هي الأفضل بالنسبة لصفة عدد البذور في القرن تحت ظروف الملوحة ٥٠٠٠ جزء في المليون أيضا.

أوضحت النسبة بين التباين الوراثي الراجع للاضافة الي التباين الوراثي الراجع للسيادة أهمية الفعل الجيني الراجع للسيادة في جميع الصفات تحت جميع المعاملات ما عدا صفتي عدد الأزهار في النبات تحت ظروف الملوحة ٣٠٠٠ جزء في المليون و صفة عدد القرون في النبات تحت الظروف العادية حيث كان الفعل الجيني المضيف هو الأكثر أهمية .

اعتمادا علي معنوية قوة الهجين يمكن اعتبار ان الهجن المباشرة تحت ظروف المعاملة العادية هي ($P_3 \times P_4$) بالنسبة لصفات عدد الأزهار في النبات و عدد القرون في النبات و طول القرن و الهجن ($P_1 \times P_2$) بالنسبة لصفة وزن ١٠٠ بذرة بينما كانت الهجن المباشرة تحت معاملات الملوحة هي ($P_1 \times P_4$) تحت ١٠٠٠ جزء في المليون ، ($P_4 \times P_5$) تحت ٥٠٠٠ جزء في المليون بالنسبة لصفة عدد الأزهار في النبات و الهجن ($P_4 \times P_5$) تحت ١٠٠٠ جزء في المليون و ($P_3 \times P_4$) تحت ٣٠٠٠ و ٥٠٠٠ جزء في المليون بالنسبة لصفة عدد القرون في النبات و الهجن ($P_4 \times P_5$) تحت ١٠٠٠ و ٣٠٠٠ جزء في المليون و ($P_2 \times P_3$) تحت ٥٠٠٠ جزء في المليون بالنسبة لصفة طول القرن و الهجن ($P_2 \times P_4$) و ($P_2 \times P_5$) تحت ١٠٠٠ جزء في المليون و ($P_1 \times P_4$) تحت ٣٠٠٠ جزء في المليون و ($P_3 \times P_4$) تحت ٥٠٠٠ جزء في المليون بالنسبة لصفة عدد البذور في القرن بينما كانت الهجن ($P_4 \times P_5$) تحت معاملة ١٠٠٠ جزء في المليون و ($P_1 \times P_3$) تحت المعاملة ٣٠٠٠ جزء في المليون و ($P_1 \times P_5$) تحت معاملة ٥٠٠٠ جزء في المليون هي أفضل الهجن بالنسبة لصفة وزن ١٠٠ بذرة.

اعتمادا علي معنوية كلا من القدرة الخاصة علي الانتلاف مع قوة الهجين فان الهجن المباشرة هي ($P_4 \times P_5$) و ($P_1 \times P_3$) تحت معاملة ٥٠٠٠ جزء في المليون بالنسبة لصفة عدد الأزهار في النبات و الهجن ($P_2 \times P_5$) تحت المعاملة العادية و معاملة ٥٠٠٠ جزء في المليون و ($P_3 \times P_4$) تحت المعاملة ٣٠٠٠ جزء في المليون بالنسبة لصفة عدد القرون في النبات و الهجين ($P_2 \times P_4$) تحت معاملة ملوحة ١٠٠٠ جزء في المليون بالنسبة لصفة عدد البذور في القرن.