

## GENETIC BEHAVIOR OF YIELD AND ITS COMPONENTS IN THREE BREAD WHEAT CROSSES.

EL-BORHAMY, H. S., M. A. EL-MAGHRABY AND A. M. GADALLAH

*The National Wheat Res. Program, Field Crops Res. Institute, ARC, Giza*

(Manuscript received 21 October 2007)

### **Abstract**

Three crosses were made among four bread wheat cultivars, Sakha 93 x Gemmeiza 7, Sakha 94 x Gemmeiza 7 and Sakha 94 x Giza 168. The five populations i.e P1, P2, F1, F2 and F3 of each cross were used to estimate genetic variance, gene action and heritability for grain yield and its components. The experiment was conducted at Sakha Agricultural Research Station from 2003/2004 through 2006 / 2007 season. Significant positive heterotic effects were obtained relative to the better parents for plant height, spike length and 100-kernel weight in some crosses. Meanwhile, for maturity date, significant negative (favorable) heterosis relative to the earlier parent was detected in the first cross. Overdominance towards the higher parent was detected for days to heading and to maturity in the first cross, and plant height and spike length in the second and third crosses, respectively. While, overdominance towards the lower parent was detected for spike length only in the first cross. Partial dominance towards the higher parent was obtained for days to maturity in the second and third crosses, spike length in the second cross, and grain yield plant-1 in the first and second crosses. On the other hand, partial dominance towards the lower parent was observed for plant height in the first and third crosses, days to heading in the second and third crosses, number of kernel spike-1, 100 - kernel weight in the three crosses, and grain yield plant-1 in the third one. Significant positive estimates of inbreeding depression were detected for all studied characters except for days to heading in the first and second cross and days to maturity in the third one. The additive gene effect was significant for all characters in all crosses. In addition, dominance and epistasis effects were significant for most of the studied attributes. Estimates of heritability in broad sense were high to moderate for all studied characters in the three crosses. Moreover, moderate estimates of narrow sense heritability were detected for most studied characters. These results indicated that selection for the studied characters could be used in the early generations but would be more effective if postponed to late generations.

Keywords: Wheat crosses, Heterosis, Heritability, Inbreeding depression, Gene action.

### **INTRODUCTION**

Increasing bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) production to narrow down the gap between production and consumption is considered a main target in Egypt as in most developing countries. Two approaches can be followed to fulfill this target,

increasing the cultivated area to wheat (horizontal expansion) and/or increasing the yield of unit area (vertical expansion) using high-yielding cultivars and applying proper cultural practices (Shehab El-Din, 1993). Wheat breeders are always looking for means and sources of genetic improvement of grain yield and its components and other characteristics. The success of any breeding program in self- and cross-pollinated species depends on the amount of genetic variability present and the types of gene effects involved in the inheritance of different characteristics in such materials.

In many studies, additive, dominance and additive  $\times$  additive gene effects epistasis were found to control most of characteristics such as days to maturity (Menshawy, 2000 and Mahgoub and Hamad, 2006), plant height, 100-kernel weight and grain yield plant<sup>-1</sup> (Abul-Naas *et al.*, 1991, Al-Kaddoussi *et al.*, 1994 and El-Borhamy, 2000). Also, additive  $\times$  dominance gene effects were important in the inheritance of plant height, spike length and 100-kernel weight (Awaad, 2002 and Hamad, 2003). On the other hand, El-Hosary *et al.* (2000) found that grain yield and its components in a diallel cross among eight wheat parents, were controlled by both additive and non-additive gene effects.

The present work was conducted to study the genetic variance, gene action, and heritability and to compare between actual and expected genetic gain from selection in three bread wheat crosses derived from four bread wheat genotypes using five populations in each cross. The ultimate goal of this study was to elucidate the breeding value of the crosses that could be utilized in breeding program to improve wheat productivity.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

The present investigation was carried out at Sakha Agricultural Research Station from 2003/2004 to 2006/ 2007. Four bread wheat cultivars were chosen to form three crosses, viz. Sakha 93  $\times$  Gemmeiza 7, Sakha 94  $\times$  Gemmeiza 7 and Sakha 94  $\times$  Giza 168. Names and pedigrees of these cultivars are presented in Table (1).

Table 1. Name and pedigree of the four parental bread wheat cultivars.

Name	Pedigree
Sakha 93	Sakha 92 / Tr 810328 S.8871-1S-2S-1S-0S
Sakha 94	Opata/Rayon//kauz CMBW 90 Y 3180-OTOPM-3Y-010M- 010M-010Y-10M-015Y-0Y-0AP-0S
Giza 168	Mri/buc//seri CM 93046-8M-0Y-0M-2Y-0B
Gemmeiza 7	CMH74A.630/sx//seri 82/ Agent CGM 4611-2GM-3GM -1GM-0GM

In 2003 /2004 season, the parental genotypes were sown at three dates and crosses were made to secure enough  $F_1$  hybrid seeds among the parents. In 2004/2005 season, a part of the  $F_1$  seeds were planted and the rest were left to produce the  $F_2$  seeds of the three crosses. In 2005/2006 season, 20 and 60 seeds from  $F_1$  and  $F_2$ , respectively for each cross were cultivated to obtain  $F_2$  and  $F_3$ , respectively seeds. Moreover, the four parents were planted in the same season, the same crosses were again made to obtain additional and /or fresh  $F_1$  seeds.

In the fourth season 2006/2007, the seeds of the five populations ( $P_1$ ,  $P_2$ ,  $F_1$ ,  $F_2$  and  $F_3$ ) for each cross were planted together at the 21<sup>st</sup> of November, in a randomized complete block design with three replications. Each replicate consisted of 50 rows for each cross (one row for each parent and  $F_1$ , 6 rows for  $F_2$  generation and 41 rows for  $F_3$  families). Each row was 3 m long and 30 cm apart. seeds within rows were 20 cm apart, each row included 15 plants.

Data of the following characteristics were recorded:

Heading date, Maturity date, Plant height (cm), measured. Spike length (cm), number of kernels spike<sup>-1</sup>, 100-kernel weight and grain yield plant<sup>-1</sup> were recorded on samples of 10 individual guarded plants from each row.

Biometrical parameters were calculated for the characteristics where the  $F_2$  genetic variances were significant. The amounts of heterosis were expressed as the performance of  $F_1$ 's over better parent values. Meanwhile, inbreeding depression was calculated as the difference between  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  means in terms of percentage from the  $F_1$  mean. The T- test was used to determine the significance of these deviations and also potence ratio (P) was calculated according to Peter and Frey (1966). The population means and the variances were used to compute the scaling tests C and D to estimate the type of gene effects according to Hayman and Mather (1955) and Mather and Jinks (1971). The five parameters model proposed by Hayman (1958) and

Jinks and Jones (1958) was used to estimate the different gene effects. Partitioning of variance was calculated according to Mather (1949) and Mather and Jinks (1971). Heritability estimates were calculated in broad sense ( $h^2b$ ) and narrow sense ( $h^2n$ ) according to Mather (1949).

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Analysis of variance (not presented) showed that parental differences in response to their genetic background were significant in most characters under investigation. Parental mean differences and genetic variance among  $F_2$  populations were calculated and tested for statistical significance. The means and variances for the five populations ( $P_1$ ,  $P_2$ ,  $F_1$ ,  $F_2$  and  $F_3$ ) for the studied characters in the three crosses are presented in Table (2). All studied characters showed significant genetic variance in the three crosses, indicating the presence of genetic variability for these characters in these materials and therefore, detailed analysis of gene action was made. Data of heterosis percentage over better parent (BP), potence ratio (P) and inbreeding depression for the three crosses are presented in Table (3). Moreover,  $F_1$  hybrids showed highly significant negative heterosis effects (hybrids inferior to the better parents) for maturity date in the first cross (would be very useful from the breeder's point of view). Meanwhile, the second and first crosses revealed positive heterotic effects for plant height and spike length.

The potence ratio indicated overdominance towards the higher parent for days to heading and maturity in the first cross, and for plant height and spike length in the second and third crosses, respectively. On the other hand, over dominance towards the lower parent was detected for spike length in the first cross. Meanwhile, partial dominance towards the lower parent was observed for plant height in the first and third crosses, and days to heading in the second and third crosses and number of kernels spike<sup>-1</sup>, kernel weight in the three crosses and grain yield plant<sup>-1</sup> in the third one. On the other hand, partial dominance towards the higher parent was observed for days to maturity in the second and third crosses and for spike length in the second cross and for grain yield plant<sup>-1</sup> in the first and second ones.

Significant positive estimates of inbreeding depression were detected in all studied characters except for days to heading in the first and second crosses and days to maturity in the third cross for which significant negative estimates were evident (Table 3).

Significant positive  $F_2$  deviation ( $E_1$ ) was detected for days to heading in the third cross, plant height and grain yield plant<sup>-1</sup> in all crosses, spike length and number of kernels <sup>-1</sup>spike in the first cross and 100-kernel weight in the first and second

crosses. Meanwhile, significant ( $E_1$ ) negative estimates were obtained for days to heading in the first cross and days to maturity in all crosses. These results may refer to the contribution of epistatic gene effects in the performance of these characters.  $F_3$  deviation ( $E_2$ ) was significantly positive for plant height, spike length, number of kernels spike<sup>-1</sup>, 100-kernel weight and grain yield plant<sup>-1</sup> for all crosses except the third cross for spike length and 100-kernel weight in the first cross. Meanwhile, significant negative  $E_2$  values were detected for days to heading in the first and second crosses and days to maturity in the third cross. These results proved the magnitude of epistasis that warrants great deal of attention in breeding programs.

Heritability estimates in both broad and narrow senses are presented in Table (3). In general, high to moderate (more than 50%) heritability estimates in broad sense were detected for all studied characters in all crosses except for spike length in the first cross. On the other hand, moderate estimates of narrow sense heritability were detected for all studied characters except for days to heading in the first two crosses, plant high and number. of kernels spike<sup>-1</sup> in the second cross, kernel weight in the first and third crosses and spike length in the three crosses.

Narrow sense heritability reflects the importance of the proportion of variation due to additive gene effects in the inheritance of these characters. Therefore, selection for the desirable characters could be useful in early generations but would be more effective if delayed to later ones (Shehab El-Din *et al.*, 1996, El-Seidy and Hamada, 1997, and El-Borhamy, 2004).

Nature of gene action calculated according to Hayman (1958) are presented in Table (4). The estimated mean effect of  $F_2$  ( $m$ ), which reflects the contribution due to the overall mean plus the locus effect and interaction of the fixed loci, was highly significant. The additive gene effect ( $d$ ) was significant and positive for days to heading and maturity in the second crosses, plant height and spike length in the second and third crosses, respectively, and for grain yield plant<sup>-1</sup> in the first and second crosses. Meanwhile, it was significant and negative for days to heading and maturity and plant height in the first and third cross, for spike length in the first and second ones, number of kernels spike<sup>-1</sup> and 100- kernel weight in all crosses, and for grain yield/plant in the third cross. These results suggest the possibility of further improvement for the characters in which  $d$  values were positive. They also prove that using pedigree selection program would be more effective. Similar results were obtained by Amaya *et al.* (1972), Hendawy (1998), El-Hosary *et al.* (2000), Moustafa (2002), El-Borhamy (2004), El-Borhamy (2005) and Abdel-Nour *et al.* (2006). Dominance gene effect ( $h$ ) was significant for days to heading and spike length in the second cross, days to maturity in the first and third crosses, plant height in all crosses,

number of kernels spike<sup>-1</sup> in the first and second crosses, and for kernel weight in the first cross. The significance of these components indicated that both additive and dominance gene effects are important in the inheritance of these characters. Therefore, selection of desired characters could be practiced in the early generations but would be more effective in late ones.

Estimates of epistatic gene effects, i.e., dominance x dominance (I), and additive x additive (i) are presented in Table (4). Dominance x dominance gene effects were significant for all studied traits except for days to maturity, spike length and 100-kernel weight in the third cross, No.of kernels spike<sup>-1</sup> in the first and third cross and for grain yield plant<sup>-1</sup> in the second and third crosses.

A significant additive x additive type of epistasis (i) was detected for most of the studied traits except for days to heading in the first and second cross, and for days to maturity in the first cross, spike length in the second and third crosses and number of kernels spike<sup>-1</sup> in the second cross. These results are in agreement with those of EL-Seidy and Hamada (1997), and Kheiralla *et al.* (2001). The important role of both additive and non-additive gene actions indicated that selection procedures based on the accumulation of additive effects would be very successful in improving these characters. This conclusion was also reported by Gouda *et al.* (1993), Al-Kaddoussi *et al.* (1994), El-Hosary *et al.* (2000), Moustafa (2002), El-Borhamy (2004), and Abdel-Nour *et al.* (2006).

Table 2. Means and variances ( $S^2$ ) for studied characters in the five populations (P<sub>1</sub>, P<sub>2</sub>, F<sub>1</sub>, F<sub>2</sub>, and F<sub>3</sub> families) of three bread wheat crosses.

Character	Cross	Parameter	P <sub>1</sub>	P <sub>2</sub>	F <sub>1</sub>	F <sub>2</sub>	F <sub>3</sub>
Days to heading	1	Mean	92.7	97	91.2	94.4	92.8
		$S^2$	0.128	0.152	0.139	0.34	0.293
	2	Mean	102.0	97	98.6	99.1	101.1
		$S^2$	0.142	0.152	0.157	0.33	0.274
	3	Mean	95.0	102.0	98.9	97.3	98.2
		$S^2$	0.15	0.142	0.133	0.3	0.20
Days to maturity	1	Mean	135.9	142.6	133.9	135.8	134.4
		$S^2$	0.139	0.13	0.135	0.336	0.254
	2	Mean	147.0	142.6	145.0	146.0	145.3
		$S^2$	0.148	0.13	0.148	0.321	0.287
	3	Mean	140.1	147.0	141.0	143.0	143.3
		$S^2$	0.154	0.148	0.144	0.315	0.239
Plant height (cm)	1	Mean	105.2	115.1	114.3	108.4	112.6
		$S^2$	0.145	0.145	0.138	0.419	0.306
	2	Mean	122.3	155.2	128.0	123.8	120.1
		$S^2$	0.143	0.145	0.162	0.415	0.3
	3	Mean	106.8	122.3	118.8	114.5	117.4
		$S^2$	0.124	0.143	0.167	0.343	0.218
Spike length (cm)	1	Mean	11.1	12.2	12.4	11.8	12.0
		$S^2$	0.106	0.118	0.104	0.18	0.154
	2	Mean	10.0	12.2	11.1	11.0	10.3
		$S^2$	0.064	0.118	0.159	0.194	0.171
	3	Mean	10.4	10.0	10.5	10.3	10.3
		$S^2$	0.073	0.064	0.048	0.172	0.103
No. of kernels spike <sup>-1</sup>	1	Mean	80.1	89.4	87.1	85.1	84.1
		$S^2$	0.154	0.159	0.15	0.301	0.249
	2	Mean	84.4	89.8	87.1	86.9	85.1
		$S^2$	0.133	0.159	0.142	0.28	0.15
	3	Mean	71.1	84.4	80.7	79.89	80.0
		$S^2$	0.121	0.133	0.154	0.409	0.154
100-kernel weight	1	Mean	47.0	53.1	51.3	49.11	51.0
		$S^2$	0.148	0.151	0.145	0.323	0.258
	2	Mean	44.3	53.1	50.2	47.96	48.2
		$S^2$	0.145	0.151	0.148	0.297	0.214
	3	Mean	41.9	44.3	43.2	43.04	42.8
		$S^2$	0.154	0.145	0.149	0.215	0.189
Grain yield plant <sup>-1</sup>	1	Mean	39.7	30.1	37.1	35.52	35.6
		$S^2$	0.145	0.151	0.157	0.345	0.264
	2	Mean	45.4	30.1	40.3	38.33	37.9
		$S^2$	0.113	0.124	0.13	0.336	0.271
	3	Mean	36.03	45.4	42.0	41.04	40.8
		$S^2$	0.131	0.113	0.144	0.227	0.181

Table 3. Heterosis, potence ratio, inbreeding depression F<sub>2</sub> and F<sub>3</sub> deviation and heritability for studied characters of three bread wheat crosses.

Character	cross	Heterosis % over B.P	Potence ratio (p)	Inbreeding depression	F <sub>2</sub> E <sub>1</sub>	F <sub>3</sub> E <sub>2</sub>	Heritability	
							Broad	Narrow
Days to heading	1	-1.618**	1.697	-4.002**	-3.464**	-1.743**	73.1	49.9
	2	1.721**	-0.336	-0.856**	-0.445	-2.472**	79.9	37.7
	3	4.051**	-0.099	0.352*	1.648**	0.707**	78.0	65.1
Days to maturity	1	-1.449**	1.582	-3.997	-1.358**	-0.373	80.7	60.2
	2	1.654**	0.082	0.124	-0.668**	-0.200	68.4	54.0
	3	0.642**	0.740	-1.819**	-1.390**	-1.624**	77.5	58.9
Plant height	1	-0.726**	-0.832	3.641**	5.221**	1.478**	87.7	50.3
	2	4.719**	2.625	7.279**	3.288**	6.162**	87.9	31.7
	3	-2.813**	-0.556	3.627**	3.677**	1.211**	88.9	67.6
Spike length	1	2.186*	-1.540	6.096**	5.010**	3.402*	43.4	38.8
	2	-9.040**	0.023	-0.225	0.424	6.749**	70.1	37.2
	3	-0.009	1.277	2.630**	2.283	1.998	52.2	44.1
No. of kernels spike <sup>-1</sup>	1	-2.897**	-0.460	2.542**	2.318**	3.431**	82.6	58.7
	2	-2.919**	-0.011	0.034	0.275	2.261**	80.0	42.1
	3	-4.453**	-0.435	3.601**	0.966	0.830**	85.8	84.4
100-kernel weight	1	-3.329**	-0.414	2.436**	4.269**	0.584	79.3	49.8
	2	-5.371**	-0.349	3.047**	4.481**	3.983**	78.5	71.7
	3	-3.071**	-0.108	0.300	0.439	1.110*	55.1	40.1
Grain yield Plant <sup>-1</sup>	1	-6.466**	0.463	5.969**	4.343**	4.101**	76.0	69.9
	2	-11.343**	0.325	6.173	4.770**	5.764**	90.7	78.7
	3	-7.489**	-0.274	3.059**	2.285**	2.881**	84.0	73.8

and\*\* indicate significant at 0.05 and 0.01 levels of probability, respectively



Table 4. Gene action parameters for studied characters in the three crosses of bread wheat.

Character	cross	m	d	h	l	i	C	D
Days to heading	1	94.36**	-	2.080	-16.80**	1.430	5.34**	-7.26**
	2	99.11**	2.150**	-5.626**	9.493**	0.248	0.07	7.19**
	3	97.25**	-	-1.393	9.306**	-8.738**	-5.823**	1.157
Days to maturity	1	135.75**	-	2.306*	-	0.893	-3.427*	-
	2	146.0**	3.383**	1.166	11.893**	5.346**	4.24**	12.347**
	3	142.96**	2.180**	-2.186*	-6.213**	-6.551**	2.71*	0.11
Plant height	1	108.36**	-	-7.433**	38.746**	-	-	13.506**
	2	123.82**	5.000**	12.620**	-8.400**	12.596**	15.554**	-4.5**
	3	114.46**	-	-4.900**	27.280**	-	-8.86**	11.6**
Spike length	1	11.81**	0.492**	-0.118	2.728*	-1.860**	-0.976	1.07
	2	11.02**	-	1.898**	-3.608**	-0.227	-0.238	-2.944**
	3	10.27**	1.075**	0.080	0.800	0.236	-0.407	0.193
No. Kernels spike <sup>-1</sup>	1	85.11**	-	3.933**	0.213	-7.911**	-3.65**	-3.49**
	2	86.87**	4.815**	4.773**	-8.586**	-0.556	-0.9	-7.34**
	3	79.89**	2.650**	0.226	2.666	-	2.69	4.69**
100.kernel weight	1	49.11**	-	-3.580**	15.920**	-	-6.26**	5.68**
	2	47.96**	3.017**	0.833	7.333**	-9.456**	-5.94**	-0.44
	3	43.04**	4.380**	0.900	-1.040	-1.630**	-0.5	-1.28
Grain yield plant <sup>-1</sup>	1	35.52**	-	0.835	4.781*	8.185**	-2.019	1.567
	2	38.33**	4.783**	2.346*	2.986	15.131**	-2.71*	-0.47
	3	41.04**	7.635**	1.306*	1.226	-9.348**	-1.27	-0.35
			4.685**					

and\*\*significant at 0.05 and 0.01 levels of probability, respectively

m = Mean effect h = dominance effect d = additive effect l = dominance x dominance i = additive x additive

## REFERENCES

1. Abdel-Nour, A. R. Nadya and M. Kh. Moshherf. 2006. Gene effects and variances in three wheat crosses using the five parameters Model. Egypt J. Plant Breed. 10(1): 305-318.
2. Abul-Naas, A. A., A. A. El-Hosary and M. Asakr. 1991. Genetical studies on durum wheat (*Triticum durum* L.) .Egypt . Agron. 16(1-2): 81-94.
3. Al-Kaddoussi, A.R., M.M. Eissa and S.M. Salama. 1994. Estimates of genetic variance for yield and its components in wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). Zagazig J. Agric . Res. 21 (2) 355-366.
4. Amaya, A. A., R. H. Busch and K. L. Lebsack. 1972. Estimates of genetic effects of heading date, plant height and grain yield in durum wheat. Crop Sci . 12:478-481.
5. Awaad, H. A. 2002. Assessment of some genetic parameters using diallel cross fashion and their implications in breeding programs of bread wheat (*T.aestivum* L.) Zagazig J. Agric . Res. 29:1123-1141.
6. El-Borhamy, H. S. 2000. Genetic studies on some quantitative characters in bread wheat Ph. D. Thesis, Fac. Agric . Zagazig Univ., Egypt.
7. El-Borhamy, H. S. 2004. Genetic analysis of some drought and yield related characters in spring wheat varieties (*Triticum aestivum* L.). Agric. Sci. Mansoura Univ. 29 (7) : 3719-3729.
8. El-Borhamy, H. S. 2005. Estimation of heterosis and combining ability in some bread wheat crosses (*T. aestivum* L.). Mansoura J. Agric Res. Vol. 30 (2): 755-762.
9. El-Hosary, A. A., M. E. Riad, Nagwa A. Rady and Manal A. Hassan. 2000 .Heterosis and combining ability in durum wheat. Proc. 9<sup>th</sup> conf. Agron., Minufiya Univ., Sep. :101-117.
10. El-Seidy, E. H. and A. A. Hamada. 1997. Genetical studies on some economic characters in some wheat crosses. Annals of Agric . Sci. Moshtohr 35(1):49-62.
11. Gouda , M. A., M. M. El-Shami and T.M. Shehab El-Din. 1993. Inheritance of grain yield and some related morpho physiological traits in wheat. J. Agric . Res. Tanta Univ. 19(3): 537-54.
12. Hammad, S. M. 2003. Traditional and molecular breeding of wheat in relation to rust resistance .Ph.D. Thesis , Tanta Uni., Egypt.
13. Hayman, B. I. 1958. The separation of epistatic from additive and dominance variation in generation means . Heredity 12:371-390.

14. Hayman, B. I. and K. Mather. 1955. The description of genetic interaction in continuous variation. *Biometrics*. 11:69-82.
15. Hendawy, H. I. 1998. Combining ability and genetics of specific characters in certain diallel wheat crosses. Ph.D. Thesis. Faculty of Agric. Minufiya Univ. Egypt.
16. Jinks, J. L. and R. M. Jones. 1958. Estimation of the components of heterosis. *Genetics*, 43:223-234.
17. Kheiralla, K. A., M. A. El-Morshidy and M. M. Zakeria. 2001. Inheritance of earliness and yield in bread wheat under favourable and late sowing dates . 2<sup>nd</sup> P1. Breed. Conf., Fac. of Agric., Assiut Univ., Egypt. 219-239.
18. Mahgoub, H. S. and S. Hamed. 2006. Inheritance of grain yield and some other traits in three bread wheat crosses . Egypt. J.Plant Breed. 10 (2), 217-231.
19. Mather, K. 1949. *Biometrical Genetics*. 1<sup>st</sup> Ed., P. 162. Methuen and Co., London.
20. Mather, K. and J. Jinks. 1971. *Biometrical Genetics*. Dover Publications Inc., New York.
21. Menshawy, A. M. M. 2000. Genetical studies on spring wheat. Ph. D. Thesis, Zagazig Univ., Egypt.
22. Moustafa, M. A. 2002. Gene effect for yield and yield components in four durum wheat crosses, *J. Agric . Sci. Mansoura Univ.* 27(1): 151-164.
23. Peter, F. C. and K. J. Frey. 1966. Genotypic correlation, dominance and heritability of quantitative characters in oats. *Crop Sci.* 6: 259-262.
24. Shehab El-Din, T. M. 1993. Response of two spring wheat cultivars (*Triticum aestivum* L. Em Thell) to ten seeding rates in sandy Soil . *J. Agric. Sci. Mansoura Univ .* 18: 2235-2240.
25. Shehab El-Din, T. M., M. M. El-Shami and A. H. Abd El-Latif. 1996. Qualitative and Quantitive Genetic Studies on *Triticum aestivum* : *Puccinia recondia* Interaction *J. Agric. Sci. Mansoura Univ.,* 21 (11) : 3769-3778.

## السلوك الوراثي للمحصول ومكوناته في ثلاث هجن من قمح الخبز

هتلى سعد البرهلمى، ماهر عبدالمنعم المغربى ، أحمد محمد جادالله

قسم بحوث القمح - معهد بحوث المحاصيل الحقلية - مركز البحوث الزراعية

اجرى هذا البحث فى محطة البحوث الزراعية بسخا فى أربعة مواسم متتالية من ٢٠٠٣/٢٠٠٤ إلى ٢٠٠٦/٢٠٠٧ على ثلاثة هجن من قمح الخبز بين أربعة أباء هى سخا ٩٣ ، سخا ٩٤ ، جيزة ١٦٨ و جيزة ٧ وذلك لدراسة التباين الوراثي والفعل الجيني ومعامل التوريث للمحصول ومكوناته ، حيث زرعت الأباء والأجيال الأول و الثانى و الثالث لكل هجين فى تجربة بتصميم القطاعات الكاملة العشوائية (RC BD) فى ثلاث مكررات. كانت تأثيرات قوة الهجين موجبة ومعنوية بالنسبة للأب الأفضل لصفات طول النبات، طول السنبل، ووزن ١٠٠ حبة فى بعض الهجن . كذلك كانت قوة الهجين سالبة ومعنوية للأب الأفضل لصفة النضج الفسيولوجى فى الهجين الأول. كما أوضحت النتائج أن السيادة كانت فائقة تجاه الأب الأعلى لصفتي معاد طرد السنابل وميعاد النضج فى الهجين الأول و صفتي طول النبات وطول السنبل فى الهجينين الثانى والثالث على التوالي . فى حين كانت هناك سيادة فائقة تجاه الأب الأقل لصفة طول السنبل فى الهجين الأول بينما كانت هناك سيادة جزئية تجاه الأب الأعلى لصفة معاد النضج فى الهجين الثانى والهجين الثالث و صفة طول السنبل فى الهجين الثانى و صفة محصول الحبوب / نبات فى الهجين الأول والهجين الثانى فى حين كانت هناك سيادة جزئية تجاه الأب الأقل لصفة طول النبات فى الهجين الأول والهجين الثالث و لصفة معاد الطرد فى الهجينين الثانى والثالث و صفتي عدد حبوب السنبل ووزن ١٠٠ حبة فى الهجن الثلاثة ومحصول الحبوب / نبات فى الهجين الثالث.

أكدت النتائج أن تأثير التربية الداخلية فى الجيل الثانى F2 كان موجباً ومعنوياً لمعظم الصفات المدروسة ما عدا معاد طرد السنابل فى الهجين الأول والثانى بينما أظهرت صفة معاد النضج قيماً معنوية سالبة فى الهجين الثالث. أظهرت التأثيرات الوراثية المضيفية معنوية فى وراثة الصفات المدروسة فى الهجن الثالث وكان التأثير السيادةي والتفوقى معنوياً فى معظم الصفات المدروسة. أظهرت درجة التوريث بمعناها الواسع قيماً عالية إلى متوسطة بينما أظهرت درجة التوريث بمعناها الضيق قيماً متوسطة لجميع الصفات المدروسة فى الهجن الثلاثة. كما كانت قيم التحسين الوراثي الفعلي فى الجيل التالى المتحصل عليها بصفة عامه متطابقة مع القيم المتنبأ بها لتحسين المحصول ومكوناته من خلال الانتخاب ومن ثم يمكن للمربي الاعتماد على القيم المتنبأ بها فى الانتخاب لتحسين الصفات المحصولية .

من النتائج المتحصل عليها يستنتج أن الانتخاب فى الأجيال الانعزالية المبكرة قد يكون مفيداً ولكن سوف يكون أكثر كفاءة إذا تم تأجيله إلى الأجيال الانعزالية المتأخرة .