

## HETEROISIS AND COMBINING ABILITY IN DIALELL CROSSES AMONG SIX GENOTYPES OF CANOLA

R.E.A. El - Sharayhi

Oil Crops Research Section, Field Crops Research Institute,  
Agricultural Research Center, Giza, Egypt

### ABSTRACT

*Six parental varieties and 15 F<sub>1</sub> hybrids were evaluated and tested to the mean performances of heterosis (versus mid-parents and better-parent) and combining abilities (general combining ability G C A and specific combining ability S C A) for No. of days to first flower, No of days to 50% and 100% flowering, plant height, No. of branches, 1000-seed weight, seed yield/plant, seed yield/plot and seed oil percentage traits.*

*The analysis of variance revealed that, the mean squares of genotypes, parents' crosses and parent vs. crosses were significant for most studied traits.*

*The results for mean performances displayed that, among parents, the parent P<sub>1</sub>(NA3) gave the lowest beneficial values compared to the other parents for No of days to first, 50% and 100% flowering. The parent P<sub>6</sub> (NA1022) recorded the highest values for other studied traits. The mean performances indicated that, the crosses (P<sub>3</sub>X P<sub>4</sub>), (P<sub>1</sub>X P<sub>3</sub>) and (P<sub>1</sub>X P<sub>4</sub>) were the best for the studied traits.*

*The data demonstrated that: the amounts of heterosis vs. the mid and better parents were significant and desirable for all studied traits.*

*The variance due to G C A and S C A denoted that significance for all studied traits. The G C A effects manifested that, the parent P<sub>1</sub> (N A 3) was the best combiner for all studied traits except for 1000-seed weight and seed oil % ,respectively for which the parents P<sub>3</sub> (serw1) and P<sub>6</sub>(NA1022) were the best combiners for 1000-seed weight and seed oil % respectively.*

*The estimates of S C A effects of the studied traits indicated desirable S C A values in ( P<sub>3</sub>X P<sub>6</sub>) for No. of days to first flower and 50% flowering, the cross ( P<sub>2</sub> X P<sub>5</sub>) for No. of days to 100% flowering , the cross ( P<sub>1</sub> X P<sub>4</sub>) for No of branches, 1000-seed weight and seed yield/plant, the cross ( P<sub>1</sub>X P<sub>5</sub>) for seed yield/plot and the cross ( P<sub>3</sub>X P<sub>4</sub>) for seed oil percentage.*

Key words: *Canola, Brassica napus, Heterosis, Combining ability.*

### INTRODUCTION

Canola (*Brassica napus* L.) is one of the most important oil crops in the world. Canola became a new oil crop in Egypt, which may reduce the gap between the local production and consumption of the edible oil. However, growing this crop in Egypt is still facing many problems, among which is the competition with the major winter field crops and the availability of limited numbers of adapted varieties for the Egyptian agricultural conditions.

Combining ability studies are frequently used by plant breeders to evaluate newly developed cultivars for their parental usefulness and to assess

the gene action involved in various characters. This study helps also in designing an efficient breeding plant for further genetic upgrading of the existing materials. The parents of the best potentiality to transmit their combining ability (G C A) effects whereas, combinations of highest specific combining ability effects (S C A) demonstrate exploitation of heterosis concept. General and specific combining ability effects and heterosis have been studied in canola by several investigators (Habetinek 1993, Krzymanski *et al* 1993 and 1994, Kudla 1993 and 1996, Wos *et al* 1997, Satwinder *et al* 1997, Tyagi *et al* 2000, Wael 2003, Mahmoud 2004 and Mohamed 2004)

The main objectives of this study were to detect the magnitude of both G C A and S C A as well as heterosis and heterobeltiosis for seed yield and some other characters.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

Six canola parental genotypes i.e N A3 (P<sub>1</sub>) Australia, N A 12 (P<sub>2</sub>) Australia, Serw1 (P<sub>3</sub>) Egypt, N A 1011 (P<sub>4</sub>) Australia, Pactol (P<sub>5</sub>) France and N A 1022 (P<sub>6</sub>) Australia, were used in the present study. These parents were chosen to represent a wide range of variability in most of the economic characters.

This investigation was carried out at El- Gemmeiza Agriculture Research Station, Agricultural Research Center (A.R.C) during the two seasons 2004-2005 and 2005-2006.

In 2004-2005 season, the six canola parental genotypes were crossed in diallel combinations excluding reciprocals .In 2005-2006 season the parental genotypes along with F<sub>1</sub>'s (21 genotypes) were planted at El-Gemmeiza Agricultural Research Station A randomized complete block design with three replications was used. Each experimental plot consisted of four rows, 4 m long and 60cm. apart (plot area 9.6 m<sup>2</sup>), plant spacing was 20cm. All recommended cultivation practices were applied. Data were collected on individual plant basis using a sample of ten guarded plants per plot. The traits studied were: flowering date first, 50% and 100% flowering, plant height, number of branches/plant, 1000-seed weight, seed yield/plant, seed yield/plot and oil percentage was determined according to the standard Method of A.O .A.C. (1990) using Soxhlet apparatus and hexane as solvent. The data obtained were statistically analyzed on individual plant mean basis. Combining ability analysis and various effects were estimated according to Griffing (1956) Method 2 Model 1. Heterosis (%) as F<sub>1</sub>mid parent/mid parent and heterobeltiosis as F<sub>1</sub>-higher parent/higher parent x100 were calculated. Significance of heterosis and heterobeltiosis x 100 were computed by Bhatt (1971).

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The analysis of variance for No of days to flowering, plant height (cm), no of branches/plant, 1000- seed weigh, seed yield/plot, seed yield/plot and seed oil percentage is shown in Table (1) Significant differences among genotypes were detected in all traits except seed yield/plot .Meantime, significant mean squares due to crosses were detected for all traits except No of branches, seed yield/plot. Mean squares for parents vs. hybrids, as an indication to average heterosis, were highly significant for overall traits except 1000- seed weight. The partitioning of genetic variations in general (GCA) and specific (SCA) combining ability Table (2) showed that, both G C A and S C A variances were highly significant for all studied traits except seed oil percentage for G C A and seed yield/ plot for SCA. This indicated the importance of both additive and non-additive genetic variance in determining the performances of these characters.

Results also revealed that additive type of gene action was the most important part of the total genetic variability in No of days to 100% flowering, No of branches/plant,1000 seed weight, seed yield/plot and seed oil percentage. For such case, one would accept the hypothesis that the performance of single cross progeny can be adequately predicated on the basis of additive. The best performing progeny, therefore, may be produced by crossing the two parents which had the highest GCA effects. The mean performance of  $F_1$ 's is presented in Table (3). Data indicated that P1 (NA3) surpassed other parents for beginning, 50% and 100% flowering. On the other hand, P5 (Pactol) recorded the latest one for this characters. Cross P3xP6 (Serw1xNA1022) was in the same trend with P1 (NA3) for flowering and out-yielded the other crosses. While, cross P3xP5 (Serw1xPactol) gave the lowest and latest values.

Results in Table (3) cleared that, there is significant variances among highest yield components. P6 (NA1022) gave the highest value in number of branches, seed yield/plant and seed yield per plot while, P<sub>2</sub> (NA12) gave the lowest value. P<sub>3</sub> (Serw1) gave the highest 1000-seed weight as well as P<sub>5</sub> (Pactol) gave the highest oil percentage. On the other hand, P<sub>5</sub> (Pactol) recorded the lowest values of all yield components, i.e. .number of branches, seed yield/plant, seed yield/plot and seed index Cross. P<sub>1</sub>xP<sub>4</sub> (NA3xNA1011) surpassed other crosses for seed index and seed yield/plot. P<sub>1</sub>xP<sub>3</sub> (NA3xSerw1) gave the highest values in number of branches and seed yield/plant. Both P<sub>2</sub>xP<sub>5</sub> (NA12 x Pactol) and P<sub>3</sub>xP<sub>6</sub> (Pactol x NA1011) crosses showed the tallest plants. Finally, P<sub>4</sub>xP<sub>5</sub> (NA1011 x Pactol) cross gave the highest oil percentage. Cross P<sub>2</sub> x P<sub>4</sub> (NA12 x Pactol) gave the lowest value in number of branches and seed yield/ plant. Cross P<sub>2</sub>xP<sub>5</sub> (NA12xPactol) gave the lowest seed yield/plot and seed index.

**Table 1. Mean squares for the agronomic characters studied.**

S.O.V.	D.F.	Mean squares								
		No of days to first flower	No of days to 50% flower	No of days to 100% flower	Plant height (cm)	No of branches	1000-seed weight	Seed yield/plant (g)	Seed yield/plot (kg)	Seed oil percentage.
<b>Rep</b>	2	15.44	0.33	1.25	28.50	0.33	0.19	22.08	0.10	0.38
<b>Genotypes</b>	20	110.63**	53.15**	45.45**	1261.41**	1.50*	0.33**	517.44**	0.42	3.88**
<b>Parents</b>	5	103.25**	85.82**	57.65**	1768.89**	2.09**	0.42**	6.01**	0.601	4.74**
<b>Crosses</b>	14	50.13**	34.80**	42.27**	474.70**	1.04	0.32**	47.57**	0.29	2.59**
<b>P. vs. Crosse Cs</b>	1	983.12**	146.69**	28.93**	9737.99**	4.98**	0.18	338.80**	1.33**	17.65**
<b>Error</b>	40	6.34	2.05	5.02	76.911	0.383	0.06	8.57	0.18	0.35

\*,\*\* Significant at 0.05% and 0.01% levels of probability respectively.

**Table 2. Mean squares for general and specific combining ability and the rat of G C A/S C A for agronomic characters of canola**

S.O.V.	D.F	Mean squares								
		No of days to first flower	No. of days to 50% flowering	No. of days to 100% flowering	Plant height (cm)	No of branches	1000-seed weight	Seed yield/ plant	Seed yield/ plot	Seed oil %
G.C.A.	5	27.39**	27.74**	18.55**	380.93**	0.55*	0.28**	10.07	0.26*	3.30
S.C.A.	15	39.78**	14.37**	14.02**	433.65**	0.48*	0.08	19.64**	0.10	*0.63
Error	40	2.12	0.68	1.67	25.64	0.13	0.02	2.86	0.06	0.12
G.C.A./S.C.A.		0.69	1.93	1.32	0.88	1.14	2.55	0.52	2.70	5.27

\*\*\* significant at 0.05 and 0.01 levels of probability respectively.

Cross  $P_2 \times P_3$  (NA12xSerw1) gave the shortest plants as well as cross  $P_1 \times P_2$  (NA3xNA12) gave the lowest seed oil percentage.

Data in Table (4) and (5) showed that crosses ( $P_1 \times P_2$ ), ( $P_1 \times P_3$ ), ( $P_1 \times P_4$ ), ( $P_1 \times P_6$ ), ( $P_2 \times P_4$ ) and ( $P_4 \times P_6$ ) recorded height significant negative heterosis than others in flowering date. Crosses  $P_3 \times P_4$  (Serw1xNa1011),  $P_3 \times P_5$  (Serw1xPactol),  $P_4 \times P_6$  (NA1011xNA1022) and  $P_5 \times P_6$  (PctolxNA1022) recorded highly significant values in plant height, number of branches and seed index characters. Crosses ( $P_1 \times P_3$ ), ( $P_1 \times P_4$ ), ( $P_1 \times P_6$ ), ( $P_2 \times P_3$ ), ( $P_2 \times P_5$ ) and ( $P_4 \times P_5$ ) gave the highest values of heterosis in seed yield/plant and seed yield/plot characters. Crosses ( $P_1 \times P_4$ ), ( $P_1 \times P_5$ ), ( $P_1 \times P_6$ ), ( $P_2 \times P_3$ ), ( $P_2 \times P_5$ ) and ( $P_4 \times P_5$ ) were the highest with respect to heterosis in oil percentage. These results are in harmony with those of Thakur and Sagal (1997), Tyagi *et al* (2000), Wael (2003) and Mohamed (2004).

Estimates of G C A effects for individual parental inbred lines in each trait are illustrated in Table (6).  $P_1$ (NA3),  $P_3$ (serw1) and  $P_6$  (NA1022) showed significant negative GCA effect for flowering date indicating that these inbred lines could be considered as good combiners for developing early genotypes. However,  $P_1$  (NA3) showed highly significant positive G C A effects for plant height, No. of branches, and seed yield/plant. While  $P_5$  (Pactol) was a good combiner for seed oil percentage.

Specific combining ability effects of the 15 crosses for all studied trait are presented in Table (7). Crosses ( $P_1 \times P_5$ ), ( $P_2 \times P_5$ ), ( $P_3 \times P_4$ ) and ( $P_3 \times P_6$ ) showed negative significant specific combining ability effects for flowering date.

Crosses ( $P_1 \times P_5$ ), ( $P_2 \times P_5$ ), ( $P_3 \times P_4$ ), ( $P_3 \times P_6$ ) and ( $P_4 \times P_5$ ) recorded negative significant values in plant height. Crosses ( $P_1 \times P_2$ ), ( $P_1 \times P_5$ ), ( $P_2 \times P_5$ ), ( $P_3 \times P_4$ ), ( $P_4 \times P_5$ ) and ( $P_5 \times P_6$ ) recorded highly significant values in seed index. Crosses ( $P_1 \times P_4$ ), ( $P_2 \times P_3$ ), ( $P_2 \times P_4$ ) and ( $P_2 \times P_6$ ) recorded highly significant values in number of branches. Crosses ( $P_1 \times P_3$ ), ( $P_1 \times P_4$ ), ( $P_2 \times P_3$ ), ( $P_2 \times P_5$ ) and ( $P_4 \times P_6$ ) recorded highly significant values in seed yield. Crosses ( $P_1 \times P_3$ ), ( $P_1 \times P_4$ ), ( $P_1 \times P_5$ ) and ( $P_3 \times P_6$ ) recorded highly significant values in oil percentage and gave high oil ashysude. In such hybrids; desirable transgressive segregates would be expected in the subsequent generations. Normally, SCA would not contribute directly to improvement of autogamous crop except where commercial exploitation of heterosis is possible. If the crosses that exhibited high SCA come through two parents with high G C A as well, favorable combination of genes could be achieved at the genetic phase equilibrium in later generation of selfing and fixed in new superior lines for the favorable characters which also are good general combiners.

Nevertheless, if crosses showing high SCA involve only one good combiner, such combinations would be expressed through out desirable

**Table 4. Heterosis as percentage of mid-parent (M.P.) and better – parent (B.P.) in the F<sub>1</sub> crosses for agronomic characters of canola**

Characters F <sub>1</sub> Crosses	No of days to first flower		No of days to 50% flowering		No of days to 100% flowering		Plant height (cm)	
	M.P.	B.P.	M.P.	B.P.	M.P.	B.P.	M.P.	B.P.
P <sub>1</sub> xP <sub>2</sub>	-11.66**	-17.87**	-0.55	-4.62**	-2.41	-6.93**	13.27*	3.73
P <sub>1</sub> xP <sub>3</sub>	-1.60	4.65**	-2.07*	-4.76**	-1.42	-2.46**	3.38	-0.68
P <sub>1</sub> xP <sub>4</sub>	-1.20	-3.90*	3.51**	-1.06	5.13**	3.84*	6.89	4.49
P <sub>1</sub> xP <sub>5</sub>	-10.90**	-18.27**	-6.19**	-13.69**	-7.09**	-12.64**	38.99**	19.99*
P <sub>1</sub> xP <sub>6</sub>	-6.75**	-10.30**	-0.37	-3.63**	2.13	1.05**	11.82	7.22
P <sub>2</sub> xP <sub>3</sub>	-9.09**	-12.81**	0.72	-0.70	2.04	-0.99**	-1.60	-13.09
P <sub>2</sub> xP <sub>4</sub>	-7.26**	-11.38**	-3.19**	-3.53**	-2.21	-4.95**	5.48	-5.36
P <sub>2</sub> xP <sub>5</sub>	-19.08**	-20.33**	-10.20**	-14.00**	-10.06**	-11.50**	29.43**	3.42
P <sub>2</sub> xP <sub>6</sub>	-8.65**	-12.45**	0.18	-0.70	2.38	-0.66**	9.47	4.36
P <sub>3</sub> xP <sub>4</sub>	11.28**	-11.62**	-7.55**	-9.19**	-4.03**	-4.19**	30.53**	28.36**
P <sub>3</sub> xP <sub>5</sub>	-8.02**	-13.09**	-3.10**	-8.47**	-1.67	-6.06**	39.35**	24.06**
P <sub>3</sub> xP <sub>6</sub>	-21.92**	-22.52**	-10.38**	-10.33**	-7.02**	-7.23**	12.84*	4.12
P <sub>4</sub> xP <sub>5</sub>	-11.35**	-16.55**	-4.75**	-8.47**	-0.16	-4.47**	48.98**	30.58**
P <sub>4</sub> xP <sub>6</sub>	-7.34**	-8.39**	-1.61	-2.83**	2.97	2.80**	14.28*	7.22
P <sub>5</sub> xP <sub>6</sub>	-10.86**	-15.16**	-7.72**	-12.38*	-1.00	-5.43**	38.71**	12.00

\*, \*\* Significant at 0.05 and 0.01 levels of probability, respectively.

**Table 5. Heterosis as percentage of mid-parent (M.P.) and better – parent (B.P.) in the F<sub>1</sub> crosses for agronomic characters of canola**

Characters F <sub>1</sub> crosses	No of braches		1000 seed weight		Seed yeild/plant		Seed yield/plot		Seed oil percentage	
	M.P.	B.P.	M.P.	B.P.	M.P.	B.P.	M.P.	B.P.	M.P.	B.P.
P <sub>1</sub> xP <sub>2</sub>	3.70**	-12.57**	-2.07**	-4.72**	9.33**	6.99**	9.96**	4.18**	1.76**	0.82
P <sub>1</sub> xP <sub>3</sub>	31.03**	18.76**	5.10**	0.00	30.41**	24.48**	14.77**	11.17**	1.49**	0.43
P <sub>1</sub> xP <sub>4</sub>	20.00**	12.57**	19.35**	16.40**	29.93**	28.07**	21.63**	21.11**	3.32**	1.98**
P <sub>1</sub> xP <sub>5</sub>	11.11**	-6.19**	-2.98**	-8.76**	16.12**	12.38**	29.89**	12.56**	2.67**	1.51**
P <sub>1</sub> xP <sub>6</sub>	-9.09**	-11.66	-9.24**	-6.29**	3.32	1.63	-1.86**	-6.36**	4.03**	1.42**
P <sub>2</sub> xP <sub>3</sub>	33.33**	23.09**	3.42**	-4.04**	8.63**	7.30**	15.76**	13.09**	3.38**	2.36**
P <sub>2</sub> xP <sub>4</sub>	4.00**	-7.08**	12.47**	12.29**	3.26	1.47	-4.26**	-4.44**	1.27**	0.91
P <sub>2</sub> xP <sub>5</sub>	54.54**	54.46**	2.17**	-1.42**	22.98**	21.60**	9.77**	-0.31	4.32**	0.96
P <sub>2</sub> xP <sub>6</sub>	14.28**	-5.83**	6.80**	0.84**	5.13*	1.23	7.33**	-2.54**	2.73**	1.07*
P <sub>3</sub> xP <sub>4</sub>	18.52**	14.37**	-1.31**	-8.50**	2.98	2.45	9.18**	5.55**	4.30**	2.28**
P <sub>3</sub> xP <sub>5</sub>	16.66**	7.62**	2.22**	-8.79**	9.85**	7.30**	18.93**	5.45**	0.22	-0.07
P <sub>3</sub> xP <sub>6</sub>	6.66**	-5.83**	-1.06**	-3.03**	23.11**	20.00**	4.78**	-7.12**	2.66**	1.98**
P <sub>4</sub> xP <sub>5</sub>	12.00**	0.00	6.26**	2.36**	9.16**	7.15**	18.33**	2.22**	4.14**	1.15**
P <sub>4</sub> xP <sub>6</sub>	-16.13**	-23.49**	-4.42**	-9.32**	6.39**	3.19	21.11**	-4.32**	2.96**	1.65**
P <sub>5</sub> xP <sub>6</sub>	21.43**	0.00	4.77**	4.22**	9.05**	3.89	-1.12**	-17.55**	2.35**	0.67
LSD at 5%	0.88	1.02	0.34	0.38	4.18	4.83	0.67	0.674	0.81	0.97
LSD at 1%	1.18	1.36	0.45	0.52	5.59	6.46	0.80	0.934	1.13	1.03

\*,\*\* Singnificant at 0.05 and 0.01 levels of probability, respectively.



**Table 6. General combining ability effects of the parents used in the diallel crosses for different agronomic characters.**

Characters Genotypes	No of dayes to first flower	No of dayes to 50% flowering	No of dayes to 100% flowering	Plant height (cm)	No of beranches	1000- seed weight	Seed yeild/ plant	Seed yield/plot	oil %
P <sub>1</sub>	1.82-**	-2.17**	-1.68**	-2.74	0.33**	0.0014	1.75**	0.21*	0.81**
P <sub>2</sub>	1.81**	0.75*	1.49**	9.93**	-0.29*	-0.0497	-1.22*	-0.13	0.41**
P <sub>3</sub>	-1.36**	-1.58**	-1.64**	-7.66**	0.08	0.24**	0.66	0.03	-0.06
P <sub>4</sub>	-0.24	1.042**	0.15*	-1.17	-0.12	0.00	-0.75	0.09	-0.12
P <sub>5</sub>	2.72**	2.79**	1.94**	-5.11**	-0.25*	-0.26**	-0.78	-0.29**	0.10
P <sub>6</sub>	-1.11*	-0.83**	-0.26	6.75**	0.25*	0.06	0.35	0.09	-0.36**
L.S.D.(gi)at5%	0.95	0.54	0.84	3.30	0.23	0.09	1.10	0.16	0.22
L.S.D.(gi)at1%	1.27	0.72	1.13	4.42	0.31	0.09	1.47	0.21	0.23
L.S.D gi-gi)at5%	1.47	0.84	1.31	5.12	0.36	0.14	1.70	0.25	0.34
L.S.D(gi-gi)at1%	1.96	1.12	1.75	5.25	0.48	1.12	2.28	0.33	0.46

\*,\*\* significant at 0.05 and 0.01 levels of probability, respectively .

**Table 7. Specific combining ability effects of the crosses produced, for different agronomic characters**

<b>Characters</b> <b>Genotypes</b>	<b>No of days to first flower</b>	<b>No of days to 50% flowering</b>	<b>No of days to 100% flowering</b>	<b>Plant height (cm)</b>	<b>No. of branches</b>	<b>1000-seed weight</b>	<b>Seed yield/ plant</b>	<b>Seed yield/ plot</b>	<b>Oil %</b>
<b>P<sub>1</sub>xP<sub>2</sub></b>	-5.02**	-0.06	-1.34*	12.61**	-0.37	-0.20	-0.47	0.04	-0.05
<b>P<sub>1</sub>xP<sub>3</sub></b>	3.15*	-0.39	-0.22	-7.47	0.91**	0.20	6.85**	0.13	-0.08
<b>P<sub>1</sub>xP<sub>4</sub></b>	2.02	3.65**	4.32**	-6.29	0.79*	0.67**	7.80**	0.45*	0.46
<b>P<sub>1</sub>xP<sub>5</sub></b>	-3.93**	-3.101**	-4.80**	19.85**	0.08-	-0.18	1.33	0.49**	0.33
<b>P<sub>1</sub>xP<sub>6</sub></b>	-0.77	0.86	1.74	4.104	-0.58*	-0.39**	-2.83	-0.22	0.84**
<b>P<sub>2</sub>xP<sub>3</sub></b>	-0.81	3.02**	3.94**	-21.51**	0.54	0.04	-0.08	0.29	0.69*
<b>P<sub>2</sub>xP<sub>4</sub></b>	-0.60	-1.60**	-1.84*	-5.19	0.25	0.29**	-1.03	-0.32	-0.39
<b>P<sub>2</sub>xP<sub>5</sub></b>	-9.56**	-6.35**	-7.30**	14.39**	1.20**	0.03-	5.96**	0.01	1.03**
<b>P<sub>2</sub>xP<sub>6</sub></b>	-0.08	2.27**	2.90**	4.21	0.37	0.26**	-0.03	0.25	0.29
<b>P<sub>3</sub>xP<sub>4</sub></b>	-4.43**	-4.60**	-3.38**	25.28**	0.37	0.21-	-2.51	0.06	0.96**
<b>P<sub>3</sub>xP<sub>5</sub></b>	0.61	1.65**	1.48*	17.11**	-0.16	0.04	-0.51	0.21	-0.65*
<b>P<sub>3</sub>xP<sub>6</sub></b>	-11.89**	-6.39**	-5.97**	4.02	0.00	-0.02	6.12**	-0.08	0.34
<b>P<sub>4</sub>xP<sub>5</sub></b>	-3.85**	-0.97	1.36*	26.06**	0.04	0.08	0.41	0.26	0.83**
<b>P<sub>4</sub>xP<sub>6</sub></b>	0.68	0.65	1.90*	2.53	0.79-*	-0.28**	0.35	-0.04	0.25
<b>P<sub>5</sub>xP<sub>6</sub></b>	-1.64	-3.10**	0.77	19.24**	0.66*	0.22	0.67	-0.17	0.13
<b>L.S.D.(sig)at5%</b>	2.60	1.48	0.84	9.07	0.64	0.24	3.03	0.44	0.61
<b>L.S.D.(sig)at1%</b>	3.48	1.52	2.37	9.31	0.85	0.25	4.05	0.45	0.82
<b>L.S.D.(sig-sik)at5%</b>	3.88	2.21	3.46	13.53	0.95	0.37	4.52	0.65	0.91
<b>L.S.D.(sig-sik)at1%</b>	3.98	2.26	3.55	13.89	1.27	0.37	6.05	0.67	1.22

\*,\*\* significant at 0.05 and 0.01, levels of probability, respectively.

transgressive segregations providing that additive genetic system present in the good combiner and complementary values of genes of specific effect present in the crosses .they may act in the same direction to reduce undesirable gene combination and maximize favorable combination in question. Therefore the crosses NA3 (P<sub>1</sub>) x Serw1 (P<sub>3</sub>), NA3 (P<sub>1</sub>) x NA1011 (P<sub>4</sub>) and NA12 (P<sub>2</sub>) x Pactol (P<sub>5</sub>) may be of prime importance in any improvement program.

## REFERENCES

- A.O.A.C. (1990). Association of official Agricultural Chemists, Official methods of analysis, 13<sup>th</sup> ed., Washington, D.C.
- Bhatt, G.M. (1971). Heterosis performance and combining ability in a diallel cross among spring wheats (*T. aestivum* L.) Austt. Agric. Res. 22:359-369.
- Griffing, J.B. (1956). Concept of general and specific combining ability in relation to diallel crossing system. Aust J. Biol.Sci.9:463-493.
- Habetinek, J. (1993). Heterosis and combining ability in a diallel crosses of five varieties of winter Swede rape (*Brassica napus* L.). Sbornik-Vysoke-skoly-Zemedelske-v-praze-fakulta-Agronomicka-rada-A,-roslinna Vyroba 1993, No.55, 151-160; 13 ref.
- Krzy manski, j., T. Pietka and K.Krotka (1993). Combining ability and heterosis in diallel crosses of double-zero winter Swede rape. I. F<sub>1</sub> generation. Postepy- Nauk- Rolniczych, 1993, 40-45:5, 41-52; 8 ref.
- Krzymanski, j., T.pietka and k. krotka (1994). Combining ability and heterosis in diallel crosses of double-zero winter Swede rape. II. F<sub>1</sub> and F<sub>2</sub> generations oilseed crops .XV1th polish research conference. Rosliny - Oleiste .15:1, 21-32.
- Kudła, M. (1993). Combining ability of inbred lines and heterosis effects in hybrids of winter Swede rape. Biuletyn-Instyutyutu-Hodowli-i-Aklimatyzacji-Rosliny. No.186, 3-11.
- Kudla, M. (1996). General combining ability of inbred lines and heterosis effect of winter oilseed rape F<sub>1</sub> and F<sub>2</sub> hybrids. Rosliny-Oleiste. 17(1) 61-71.
- Mahmoud, A.E.M.K. (2004). Evaluation of some canola hybrids. M. Sc. Thesis. Fac. Agric., Al-Azhar University.
- Mohamed, W.S.A. (2004). Gentic studies of yield and its components in canola. M. Sc. Thesis. Fac. Agric., Assiut University.
- Satwinder, K., S. Paramjit, V. P. Gupta, S. Kaur and. P. Singh (1997). Combining ability analysis for oil yield and its components in *Brassica napus* L. Crop improvement. 24 (1) 140-142.
- Thakur, H.L. and J.C. Sagwal (1997). Heterosis and combining ability in rapeseed (*Brassica napus* L.). Indian J. Genet. and Plant Breed., 57 (2):163- 167.

- Tyagi, M.K., J.S. Chauhan, S.K.Y. Adav, P.R. Kumar and P. Tyagi (2000). Heterosis in intervarietal crosses in mustard (*Brassica juncea* L.) Czern&Coss. *Annals Biology* 16(2): 191-194.
- Wael, M. A. K. T. (2003). Breeding studing on rapeseed (*Brassica napus* L.) in New Land. M. Sc. Thesis. Fac. Agric., Al-Azhar University.
- Wos, H., W. Mackowiak and S. Wegryn (1997). General combining ability of selected spring oilseed rape inbred. *Rosliny-Oleiste*. 18 (1) 171-178.

## قوة الهجين والقدرة على الامتلاف فى الهجن التبادلية بين ستة

### تراكيب وراثية من الكاتولا

رضا السيد أحمد الشرايحي

قسم بحوث المحاصيل الزيتية - معهد بحوث المحاصيل الحقلية - مركز البحوث الزراعية.

أقيمت تجربتان حقليتان بمحطة البحوث الزراعية بالجميزة خلال موسم الزراعة ٢٠٠٤/٢٠٠٥ و ٢٠٠٥/٢٠٠٦ لدراسة قوة الهجين وتوريث بعض الصفات الاقتصادية والقدرة العامة والخاصة على الامتلاف والنسبة المئوية للزيت فى بعض التراكيب الوراثية للكاتولا وهى مستورد ٣، مستورد ١٢، سروا ١، مستورد ١٠١١، مستورد ١٠٢٢ وتم عمل كل التهجينات الممكنة بين الآباء جميعها خلال الموسم الأول للزراعة وذلك فى اتجاه واحد فقط وفى الموسم الثانى تم تقييم الآباء وهجنها الخمسة عشر (الجيل الأول) فى تجربة حقلية فى تصميم قطاعات كاملة العشوائية وسجلت بيانات : تاريخ خروج أول زهرة - عدد الأيام حتى تزهير ٥٠% من نباتات القطعة التجريبية - عدد الأيام حتى اكتمال تزهير نباتات القطعة التجريبية - ارتفاع النبات - عدد الأفرع الثمرية - محصول النبات - محصول القطعة التجريبية - وزن ١٠٠٠ بذرة - النسبة المئوية للزيت.

أظهرت النتائج اختلافات معنوية بين الآباء والهجن الناتجة من هذه الآباء فى جميع الصفات تحت الدراسة مما يشير الى وجود اختلافات وراثية لهذه الهجن الناتجة والآباء مما يتيح وجود تباينات وراثية كبيرة للصفات المدروسة.

أظهرت الهجن اختلافات عالية المعنوية لمعظم الصفات مما يشير الى امكانية الانتخاب بين هذه التراكيب الوراثية لتحسين الصفات لهذا المحصول كان تباين القدرة العامة والخاصة على الامتلاف على المعنوية لمعظم الصفات تحت الدراسة ماعدا وزن ١٠٠٠ بذرة ومحصول القطعة التجريبية للقدرة الخاصة على الامتلاف.

أظهرت النسبة بين كلا من القدرة العامة والخاصة على الامتلاف ان الجزء الاكبر من التباين الوراثى يرجع الى التباين المضيف لكل الصفات ماعدا صفات بداية التزهير وارتفاع النبات ومحصول القطعة التجريبية.

وأشارت أيضا أن استخدام التهجين فى برامج التربية لتحسين صفات وإنتاجية الكاتولا وخاصة التهجين بين السلالات المحلية المتأقلمة مع الظروف المصرية والسلالات المستوردة التى تحمل صفات مرغوبة مثل التبيكر فى التضج والمحصول العالى، يتيح للمربى الجمع بين صفتي التبيكر وجودة المحصول وكميته.