

STABILITY OF SIXTEEN FABA BEAN GENOTYPES ACROSS DIFFERENT ENVIRONMENTAL CONDITIONS

Afaf M. Tolba

Agron. Dept., Fac. Agric., Ain Shams Univ., Shoubra El-Kheima, Cairo

ABSTRACT

This investigation was conducted to explore the stability of performance of 16 faba bean genotypes. These genotypes included seven cultivars, (Sakha 2, Giza 40, Giza 429, Giza 3, Nubaria 1, Giza 843 and Giza716) and nine promising mutant lines coded (248, 258, 244, 252, 278, 332, 336, 285 and 163) across sixteen diverse environments. The environmental conditions were the combinations of two levels soil salinity (normal and saline) affected and four Rhizobium inoculations (control, F.b. ARC 200, F.b. ARC 201 and F.b. ICARDA 448) during 2003/2004 and 2004/2005 seasons. The field trials were carried out at Demo Research Station, Faculty of Agriculture, Fayoum University. Two parameters of stability, i.e. regression coefficient b_i and deviation from regression S^2_d were used for measuring stability for plant dry weight (g), number of branches/plant, number of pods/plant, number of seeds/plant, seed yield/plant (g) and 100-seed weight (g).

The stability analysis of variance revealed highly significant differences for environments, genotypes and GxE interaction for all studied traits. This proved evidence that the studied faba bean genotypes differed in response to the investigated environments. Highly significant linear effect of environments and genotypes x environments interaction and pooled deviation were recorded for number of branches/plant, number of pods/plant, number of seeds/plant. The partition deviation from regression due to each genotype were highly significant or significant for most traits across studied environments. The stability parameters revealed that the mutant lines 285, 278, 332 and 248, respectively, are the most stable genotypes for seed yield/plant and for studied components under tested environmental conditions. Therefore, these lines may be recommended to for grown under wide range of environments.

Key words: *Stability, Faba bean, Vicia faba, Genotypes, Environmental conditions.*

INTRODUCTION

Faba bean (*Vicia faba* L.) is the most important pulse crop grown in Egypt. The acreage of this crop is 175,353 feddan according to the Agricultural Statistics of 2006. The crop is used for human consumption, animal feeding, and green manuring, industry.etc. The importance of faba bean in Egypt lies not only in its multiple uses in preparing diverse local dishes but also to its important role in the crop rotation. The obscure impact of genotype-environment interaction (GxE) on the relative performance and stable genotypes across environments is so important that it forms challenging difficulty to the breeder in developing superior cultivars (Eberhart and Russell 1966). Furthermore, Freeman and Perkins (1971) stated that the basic cause of the differences between genotypes in

their yield stability is the wide occurrence of genotype x environment interaction.

On the other hand, stability may in fact, depends on holding certain morphological and physiological attributes steady as long as possible and allowing others to vary. Several investigations had attempted to estimate GXE numerically for several genotypes of different crops such as maize (AbdEl-Aziz 2000), sorghum (Mostafa 2001), wheat (El-Marakby *et al* 2002 and Tawfelis 2006), ryegrass (Ahmed *et al* 2004), Rice (Abdel-Hafez *et al* 2007), cotton (El-Kadi *et al* 2007). Eberhart and Russell (1966), developed two estimates for stability, the first is the regression coefficient b_i of a line on environmental indices that estimate its response to favorable conditions while the remainder sums of squares after the regression S^2_d illustrates the latter un-described interaction effects. They defined a stable cultivar as one which had a regression coefficient b_i equal to 1.0 and with S^2_d equal to, or does not deviate significantly from 0.0. Apparently, a cultivar that did not meet both qualifications would be closed as unstable. However, an ideal cultivar would have both a high average performance over a wide range of environments plus stability.

The major objectives of this work are to estimate degrees of stability of 16 faba bean genotypes grown under 16 diverse environments for seed yield/plant and some agronomic traits utilizing the two stability parameters.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Four field experiments were carried out in 2003/2004 and 2004/2005 seasons at Demo Research Station, Faculty of Agriculture, Fayoum University. In each season two field trials were conducted in both normal and saline soil conditions. The soil was sandy loamy in texture with E.C. of 2.47 ds/m (1581ppm) and 2.90 ds/m (1856 ppm) at normal conditions in first and second seasons, respectively, and 4.84 ds/m (3098 ppm) and 4.20 ds/m (2688 ppm) at salinity conditions in first and second seasons, respectively. Preceding crop was peanut in the 1st season and maize in the 2nd season. Each trial was devoted as RCBD with 3 replications in split - plot arrangement. Four *Rhizobium* inoculation treatments were assigned in the main-plots and 16 faba bean genotypes were arranged in the sub-plots. The Four treatments *Rhizobium leguminosarum* were the control (R1) and three strains, i.e., F.b.ARC 200 (R2), F.b.ARC 201 (R3) and F.b. ICARDA 448-ARC (R4). Seeds were treated with *Rhizobium* strains in the field directly before sowing as recommended. The sixteen faba bean genotypes used in the study included, seven local cultivars, Sakha 2, Giza 40, Giza 429 , Giza 3, Nubaria 1, Giza 843 and Giza 716 and nine promising mutant selected lines. These lines coded: L. 248, L.258, L. 244, L. 252, L. 278, L. 332, L. 336, L. 285 and L.163. The mutant lines, their parents and gamma ray doses used are displayed in Table (1).

Table 1. Mutant lines used in the study, their parents and gamma-ray doses.

Line code number	Parents	γ -ray doses
248	Giza 461	3 Kr
258	Nubaria 1	3 Kr
244	Giza 643	12 Kr
252	Giza 461	6 Kr
278	Nubaria 1	3 Kr
332	Giza 717	6 Kr
336	Giza 714	3 Kr
285	Giza 643	9 Kr
163	Giza 2	3 Kr

The experimental plot consisted of four ridges, 3 m long and 60 cm apart. Plants were spaced 20 cm within ridge and one plant was left per hill in both of the ridge. Sowing date was on 19 and 8 November in the two respective seasons. The recommended cultural practices for faba bean production were followed. At harvest, 10 guarded plants per plot were randomly taken to determine plant dry weight included roots (g), number of branches/plant, number of pods/plant, number of seeds/plant, seed yield/plant(g) and 100-seed weight (g).

Statistical procedures; Phenotypic stability parameters were computed according to Eberhart and Russell (1966) and used to describe the performance of each genotype over the sixteen environments. These environments were the combination of two seasons, normal and saline soil conditions and four *Rhizobium* treatments.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Significance of mean squares

Mean squares due to different sources of variation of combined analysis over sixteen environments are presented in Table (2). The mean squares of environments were highly significant for all traits, suggesting that the environments affected differently the faba bean studied traits. The genotypes were found to be highly significant source of variation for all studied traits, reflecting the presence of genetic diversity regarding recorded characters. Highly significant GXE interaction was detected for all characters which, provide evidence that the studied faba bean genotypes differed in their response to the various environmental conditions. In this

Table 2. Stability analysis of variance for seed yield/plant and other agronomic characters of sixteen faba bean genotypes under different environmental conditions.

S.O.V	df	Plant dry weight (g)	Branches /plant	Pods/ /plant	Seeds /plant	Seed yield /plant (g)	100-seed weight (g)
Environments(E)	15	4671.82 **	18.62 **	240.51 **	1770.14 **	1154.63 **	1117.04 **
Genotypes (G)	15	948.81 **	21.83 **	208.95 **	1002.66 **	306.97 **	3201.37 **
GXE	225	231.50 **	1.76 **	17.60 **	112.37 **	65.51 **	1402.20 **
Env. + (G.x Env.)	240	509.02 **	2.81 **	31.53 **	215.99 **	133.56 **	2103.15 **
Env. (linear)	1	70077.30 **	279.25 **	3607.58 **	26552.14 **	17309.08 **	16761.56 **
GxEnv. (linear)	15	281.84 ns	3.83 **	56.01 **	283.96 **	94.78 ns	1008.64 ns
Pool deviation	224	213.66 **	1.51 **	13.93 **	93.53 **	50.06 **	1301.50 **
Sakha 2	14	128.96 *	1.79 **	3.98 ns	29.21 ns	27.00 ns	2001.84 **
Giza 40	14	110.47 ns	1.25 **	14.39 **	70.10 **	26.32 ns	85.09 *
Giza 429	14	60.30 ns	1.08 **	4.24 ns	22.49 ns	11.39 ns	941.43 **
Giza 3	14	351.62 **	1.22 **	8.51 *	80.82 **	65.78 **	1106.12 **
Nubarial1	14	174.90 **	1.49 **	7.27 ns	58.52 *	66.08 **	2671.09 **
Giza 843	14	128.87 *	0.96 **	11.91 **	93.12 **	53.02 **	1113.53 **
Giza 716	14	77.71 ns	2.28 **	3.37 ns	21.82 ns	18.14 ns	1300.21 **
line248	14	552.58 **	2.06 **	33.62 **	206.42 **	130.51 **	1775.20 **
line258	14	194.32 **	1.49 **	9.47 **	84.02 **	50.04 **	1401.37 **
line244	14	247.81 **	1.06 **	16.91 **	116.01 **	83.50 **	1200.08 **
line252	14	125.11 *	3.67 **	9.80 **	51.44 *	34.22 *	908.23 **
line278	14	191.28 **	1.44 **	23.32 **	93.90 **	51.00 **	783.98 *
line332	14	214.08 **	1.10 **	23.04 **	162.81 **	65.79 **	403.72 ns
line336	14	351.23 **	1.90 **	16.91 **	168.42 **	114.23 **	701.90 ns
line285	14	221.53 **	0.63 ns	15.15 **	106.57 **	73.67 **	1401.35 **
line163	14	287.77 **	0.68 *	20.98 **	130.75 **	70.04 **	1403.07 **
Pool error	480	69.01	0.39	4.55	29.49	18.79	403.22

* and ** denote significant at 5% and 1% level of probability, respectively

respect significant differences among environments, genotypes and GXE interaction items were recorded for seed yield and its attributes by other authors (Ibrahim and Rukenbauer 1987, Abdalla *et al* 1998, Darwish *et al* 1999, Omar *et al* 1999 and Awaad 2002). The linear effect of environments and genotypes x environment interaction exhibited highly significant variation the linear interaction for plant dry weight, seed yield/plant and 100-seed weight. The pooled deviation from regression was highly significance for seed yield/plant and other agronomic studied traits. This indicated that the faba bean genotypes differed considerably with respect to their stability across the investigated environments. The mean square due to variation from regression of all genotypes for studied traits showed wide variability. In other words, these variation varied widely in significance and magnitudes. Eight, four, one and three genotypes recorded significant MS

deviation for line 248 and varieties, Giza 716 and Nubarial and three characters out of six ones, respectively. These results proved that the investigated faba bean genotypes responded differently to the tested environments. This suggesting the inconsistency performance of these genotypes over the sixteen environments. The absence of significance for one to five traits over the sixteen environments, indicated the consistency of their performance regarding these traits. In this respect, significant differences among environments, genotypes and GxE interaction items were recorded for seed yield and its attributes by many researchers (Abdalla *et al* 1998, Due 1998, Darwish *et al* 1999, Omar *et al* 1999 and Awaad 2002).

Stability parameters

The mean performance as well as regression coefficients b_i and deviation from regression S^2_d as two parameters of stability of faba bean genotypes across the 16 environments are presented in Table 3. According to Eberhart and Russell (1966) method the mean performance with the regression coefficient values b_i and deviation from regression S^2_d provide useful parameters to identify the adapted genotypes. Guilan *et al* (1990) reported that the deviation from regression seemed to be very important for estimating the stability. When average stability associated with average yield over all environments, genotypes may be described as having general adaptability and vice versa. Moreover, b_i values significantly more than unit 1.0 identify genotypes benefit response to more inputs, while genotypes having b_i values significantly less than 1.0 don't response to more inputs of favorable environmental factors. Also, the test of significance of each S^2_d for values differed from zero indicates that the genotypes in question have specific adaptability. Therefore, in this research a genotype will be selected if it has; higher mean performance than the grand mean, $b_i > 1.0$ and smaller S^2_d value. Mean performance and stability of different genotypes for the characters studied will be reported as follows:

Plant dry weight

The results of mean values for plant dry weight (biomass weight) ranged from 18.82g for the variety Giza 716 to 36.63g for the mutant line 285 with an average of 26.98g. Concerning stability parameters, b_i values did not differ significantly from unity for all genotypes exhibiting general adaptability across different environments, except b_i values for the check variety Giza 40 and mutant lines 332 and 285, were significantly and greater than unity for the line 285, indicating the higher responsive of this line under good environments. However, the variety Giza 40 and mutant line 332 had b_i values less than unity, indicating the higher responsive for poor environments. Concerning S^2_d , their values differed significantly from zero for genotypes with various magnitudes except Giza 40, Giza 429 and Giza

Tbale3. Means and stability parameters for seed yield/plant and other agronomic characters of summer faba bean genotypes under different environmental conditions.

Genotypes	Plant dry weight		Harvest/plant		Pods/plant	
	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
Sakha 2	20.58	0.888	42.605 **	6.185	11286	02266 ***
Giza 40	24.58	0.938 ***	38.400	4.405	06608	00008 ***
Giza 429	25.88	1.20	19.777	5.805	11.985	00003 ***
Giza 3	26.13	0.89	1116.897 ***	46.72	08400	0007 ***
Nubarial	24.33	1.04	57.977 ***	6.904	11.789	01166 ***
Giza 843	24.44	0.78	42.602 **	5.805	00.72	-00011 ***
Giza 716	18.82	0.777	25.577	5.805	08.78	00403 ***
Line248	32.66	1.24	1188.886 ***	5.300	11.004	03385 ***
Line258	27.50	1.36	64.404 ***	5.985	08866	01166 ***
Line244	29.22	1.18	82.277 ***	5.885	11.085	0002 ***
Line252	27.67	1.11	41.397 **	6.085	11.667	08899 ***
Line278	31.72	1.12	68.405 ***	5.477	11.289	01185 ***
Line332	29.74	0.92 ***	71.085 ***	6.785	11.277	00003 ***
Line336	27.29	1.24	1116.774 ***	5.385	00.21	00380 ***
Line285	36.63	1.06 **	78.591 ***	5.801	11.122	-0012
Line163	24.42	0.33	985.59 ***	5.085	08.96	-00111 **
Mean	26.98		55.51		77200	
LCD	10.52		0808		2269	

Genotypes	Seeds/plant		Seed yield/plant		1000-seed weight	
	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
Sakha 2	9.93	0.60	9.40	8.909	09.11	88933
Giza 40	19.59	1.28	23.036 ***	122.401	11.177	8444
Giza 429	17.22	1.51	71.185	122.189	11.386	33446
Giza 3	13.25	0.62	28.401 ***	110.608	08.785	21599 ***
Nubarial	10.30	0.40	19.177 **	9.885	08.811	21699 ***
Giza 843	13.91	0.71	30.771 ***	111.011	08.786	117394 ***
Giza 716	8.99	0.46	6.904	71.594	08.585	5711
Line248	19.43	1.02	68.407 ***	118.599	11.086	403177 ***
Line258	15.16	1.32	27.167 ***	111.911	11.380	1163385 ***
Line244	17.39	1.19	38.394 ***	113.403	11.280	227590 ***
Line252	14.94	0.885	116.881 **	127.911	08.922	111007 **
Line278	21.87	1.47	30.977 ***	115.277	11.384	1166933 ***
Line332	24.33	1.45	58.984 ***	114.577	11.086	221600 ***
Line336	18.69	1.55	58.881 ***	122.885	11.385	39774 ***
Line285	22.52	1.15	38.189 ***	117.408	11.089	224222 ***
Line163	14.65	0.38	48.285 ***	111.085	08.386	236011 ***
Mean	16.39		122.085		785447	
LCD	6.96		6.72		88285	

* and ** denote significant at 5% and 1% level of probability, respectively

716, indicating considerable variation in the degree of stability among genotypes for this trait. From parameters of stability for plant dry weight, it is worthy to note that, promising lines 285 and 278 had the highest mean values of 36.63 and 31.72g with unit linear response ($b_i = 1.06$ and 1.12) and least deviation from linearity ($S^2d = 73.51$ and 63.43), indicating these lines having heavier plant dry weight in favorable environments. Otherwise, the b_i values deviated significantly from one and less than unity and high S^2d value in promising line 332 which appeared to be more adapted to less favorable environments for this trait.

Number of branches/plant

The estimates of phenotypic stability parameters for number of branches/plant indicated that mean values of this trait ranged from 4.66 for the variety Giza 40 to 6.94 for the variety Nubaria 1 with an average of 5.51. The linear component b_i of G x E interaction was not significant for all tested genotypes, showing similar response of genotypes to changes in environmental conditions and its general stability for this trait. Whereas, non-linear component of GxE interaction; the S^2d values significantly differed from zero for all genotypes except the line 285. Generally, across the sixteen environments studied, the varieties Nubaria 1 and Sakha 2 and lines 332 and 285 are considered of highly stable performance under good environments, since their high mean values for number of branches/plant, regression coefficient approximately equalled one and the deviation from regression was small.

Number of pods/plant

The mean values for no. of pods/plant ranged from 3.88 for the variety Giza 716 to 10.40 for the line 332 with an average of 7.20. The regression coefficient values b_i of the number of pods/plant ranged from 0.16 for the line 163 to 1.87 for the line 278 and all genotypes gave non-significant b_i values, with few exceptions, indicating the linear response of these genotypes with tested environments. However, non-linear values of portion S^2d of GXE interaction were significant for all genotypes, except values of varieties Sakha 2, Giza 429, Nubaria 1 and Giza 716, respectively, which were non-significant. According to the parameters of stability, it is noticed that, the promising mutant lines 332 and 278 were the most desired and stable under better environmental conditions, since both had the highest number of pods/plant over all environments (10.40 and 10.36), regression coefficients were not significant and higher than unity ($b_i = 1.56$ and 1.87) and the deviation from regression was small ($S^2d = 7.35$ and 7.44). On the other hand, lines 285, 248 and 252 may be classified as highly adapted to stress environments because the b_i estimated values were less than unity. Awaad *et al* (2002) revealed that, the faba bean genotypes; Giza 402 and

Giza 461 were classified as highly adapted to favorable environments for number of pods/plant.

Number of seeds/plant

The estimates of phenotypic stability parameters for number of seeds/plant indicated that the mean values of faba bean genotypes ranged from 8.99 for variety Giza 716 to 24.33 for line 332 with an average of 16.39. The regression coefficients b_i values did not differ significantly from unity for all genotypes and varied from 0.35 for promising line 163 to 1.55 for promising line 336, exhibiting general adaptability for different genotypes across different environments. On the other hand, S^2d values significantly differed from zero for all genotypes except the varieties Sakha 2, Giza 429 and Giza 716, considering these varieties were the most stable for this character than the other genotypes. The promising mutant lines 285 and 278 gave higher number of seeds/plant (22.52 and 21.87, respectively,) than grand mean, having b_i values not deviating significantly from unity (1.15 and 1.47, respectively,) and lower values of S^2d (35.19 and 30.97, respectively,) indicating that the two promising lines proved to be the most desired and stable under better environments. On the other side, line 332 had more number of seeds/plant (24.33), b_i value not deviating significantly from unity (1.45), but value of S^2d was large (53.94), so this line appeared to be most desired and less stable under good environments.

Seed yield /plant

Mean values for seed yield/plant ranged from 7.54g for Giza 716 to 17.48g for the line 285 with an average of 12.05g. The estimates of phenotypic stability parameters for seed yield/plant indicated that, b_i values were not significant for all genotypes, indicating stability of these genotypes under studied environments and genotypes that may be recommended for poor environments (had b_i value less than unity) included the varieties Sakha 2, Giza 3, Nubaria1, Giza 843 and Giza 716 and lines 252, and 163, whereas, those that may be recommended for high favorable environments (had b_i value higher than unity) comprised Giza 40 and Giza 429 and lines 248, 258, 244, 278, 332, 336, and 285. On the other side S^2d values significantly differed from zero for all genotypes except the varieties Sakha 2, Giza 40, Giza 429 and Giza 716, considering these varieties as the most stable ones for this character. The promising mutant lines 285, 278 and 332 proved to be the most desired stable lines for seed yield/plant, since these three lines had the highest seed yield/plant (17.48, 15.27 and 14.57g, respectively,) b_i values not deviating significantly from unity (1.09, 1.34 and 1.06, respectively,) with lower S^2d values (24.22, 16.93 and 21.60, respectively,) thus, they could be considered as the most desirable and stable. Awaad *et al* (2002) revealed that, faba bean varieties Giza 402 and Giza 461 were classified as highly adapted to favorable environments for

seed yield/fad, whereas, Giza Blanca and Giza 429 performed well under Khattara region as less favorable conditions for seed yield/fed. Darwish *et al* (1999) stated that the response of yield and yield components varies from genotype to another across different environmental conditions.

100-seed weight

Mean values for 100 - seed weight ranged from 60.07g for the mutant line 332 to 92.19g for the variety Nubaria 1 with an average of 75.47g. As for bi stability parameter the tested genotypes could be classified into two groups, i.e. responsive to low (had bi value less than unity) or high productive conditions (had bi value higher than unity). The group that may be recommended for poor environments includes the varieties Giza 429, Giza 843 and Giza716 and the lines 248, 258, 332 and 336, whereas, genotypes that may be recommended for high favorable environments comprised varieties Sakha 2, Giza 40, Giza 3 and Nubaria 1 and lines 244, 252, 278, 285 and 163. These results are in agreement with those reported by Khalil *et al* (1996) and Darwish *et al* (1999). On the other side, S^2d values differed significantly from zero for all genotypes except lines 332 and 336 which had low and insignificant S^2d values, suggesting that these two genotypes show high degree of stability and vice versa for other genotypes. Awaad *et al* (2002) revealed that the variety Giza 714 was classified as highly adapted to favorable environments for 100-seed weight.

Finally, it could be concluded that the promising mutant lines 285, 278, 332 and 248, characterized by high seed yield/plant and its components compared with the other lines and check varieties under tested environmental conditions, could be recommended for wide range of environments.

REFERENCES

- Abdalla, M. M. F., D. S. Darwish, E. A. EL- Metwally, M. H. EL-Sherbeeny and Sabah M. Attia (1998). Performance and stability of faba bean genotypes under *Orobanche*- infestation in three locations. Egypt. J. Plant Breed. 2: 135-153.
- AbdEl-Aziz, A. A. (2000). Stability parameters for grain yield and other agronomic characters of yellow maize (*Zea mays* L.) hybrids. Egypt. J. Plant Breed. 4: 287-301.
- Abdel-Hafez, A. G., M. SH. El-Keredy, M.M. El-Weheshy, Xuelin Tan and I. S. El- Degwy (2007). Stability of rice hybrids in variable environments. Egypt. J. Plant Breed. 11(1):373-387.
- Ahmed, I. M, Wafaa M. Sharawy, M. Shadia Shahwan and N. S. Meawed (2004). Performance and yield stability among five ryegrass (*lolium multiflorum* L.) varieties under different environmental conditions. Egypt. J. Plant Breed. 8: 61-75.

- Awaad, H. A. (2002).** Phenotypic and genotypic stability of some faba bean (*Vicia faba* L.) varieties. *Egypt. J. Plant Breed.* **6** (1):1-15.
- Darwish, D. S., A.A. Metwally, M.M. Shafik and H. H. EL- Hinnawy (1999).** Stability of faba bean varieties under old and newly reclaimed lands. *Egypt. J. Plant Breed.* **3**: 365-377.
- Due, RP. (1998).** Stability of faba bean under saline and sodic environments. *Indian Journal of Pulses Research* **11**:35-40.
- Eberhart, S.A. and W.A. Russell (1966).** Stability parameters for comparing varieties. *Crop Sci.* **6**: 36-40.
- El-Kadi, D. A., S. A. El-Shaarawy, M. A. El-Lakany, A.A. Abdel-Mohsen and Rawaa Attiea (2007).** Estimation of stability parameters for some Egyptian cotton cultivars. *Egypt. J. Plant Breed.* **11**(3):345-355.
- El-Marakby, A. M., A. A. Mohamed, Afaf M. Tolba and S. H. Saleh (2002).** Performance and stability of some promising wheat lines under different environmental conditions. *Egypt. J. Plant Breed.* **6**(1):43-68.
- Freeman, G.H. and J.M. Perkins (1971).** Environmental and genotype-environmental components of variability. VIII. Relation between genotypes grown in different environments and measure of these environments. *Heredity* **27**:15-23.
- Guilan Yue, S. K. Peng, T.L. Waltr, C.E. Wassom and G .H. Liang (1990).** Stability analysis of yield in maize, wheat and sorghum and its implications in breeding programs. *Plant Breeding* **104**: 72-80.
- Ibrahim, K. and P. Rukenbauer (1987).** Stability parameters of important characters in various types of faba bean. *FABIS Newsletter* **17**: 10-13.
- Khalil. S.A., H.A. Saber, M.M. EL- Hady, M.L. Amer, S.A. Mahmoud and F.M. Abou-Zeid (1996).** Utilization of genetic resources in developing new faba bean (*Vicia faba* L.) cultivars. In: Bertenbreiter, W. and M. Sadiki (eds.). Rehabilitation of faba bean. Actes Editions, Rabat: 47-54.
- Mostafa, M. S. A. (2001).** Performance and stability evaluations of some grain sorghum hybrids and varieties over years. *Egypt. J. Plant Breed.* **5**:127-136.
- Omar, M.A., A.M. Abdel-Hakim and M.M. EL-Hady (1999).** Stability parameters for faba bean genotypes as criteria for response to environmental conditions. *Annals Agric. Sci., Ain Shams Univ., Cairo* **44**: 173-188.
- Tawfelis, M. B. (2006).** Stability parameters of some bread wheat genotypes (*Triticum aestivum*) in new and old lands under Upper Egypt conditions. *Egypt. J. Plant Breed.* **10** (1):223-246.

تقدير ثبات أداء ستة عشر تركيباً وراثياً من الفول البلدى تحت ظروف بيئية مختلفة

عفاف محمد طلبية

قسم المحاصيل - كلية الزراعة - جامعة عين شمس - شبرا الخيمة - القاهرة.

أجريت هذه الدراسة لتقدير معالم الثبات المظهري باستخدام معامل الإحداد ، مجموع مربعات الأحرافات عن الأحداد لستة عشر تركيب وراثي من الفول البلدى اشتملت على سبعة أصناف تجارية هي: (سخا2 ، جيزة ٤٠ ، جيزة ٤٢٩ ، جيزة ٣ ، نوبارية ١ ، جيزة ٨٤٣ ، جيزة ٧١٦) وتسع سلالات طفرية مباشرة هي: (163, 285, 336, 332, 278, 252, 244, 258, 248) لصفات: الوزن الجاف للنبات - عدد الأفرع/نبات - عدد القرون/نبات - عدد البذور/نبات - محصول البذور/نبات - وزن المائة بذرة ودليل الحصاد تحت ستة عشر بيئة مختلفة تمثل موسمين زراعيين هما ٢٠٠٤/٢٠٠٣ و ٢٠٠٥/٢٠٠٤ ومستويين من ملوحة التربة (تربة عادية ، تربة متأثرة بالملوحة) وأربعة معاملات مختلفة من التلقيح بالريزومي هي: (الكنترول ، F. b. ARC 200 ، F. b. ARC 201 and 448 ICARDA) وذلك بمزرعة نمو - التابعة لكلية الزراعة - جامعة الفيوم. أظهر تحليل التباين وجود أختلافات عالية المعنوية لكلا من البيئات والتراكيب الوراثية والتفاعل بينهما في جميع الصفات المدروسة تحت الظروف البيئية المختبرة، كما كان التفاعل بين الأصناف والبيئة كعلاقة خطية عالية المعنوية لكلاً من عدد الأفرع/نبات - عدد القرون/نبات - عدد البذور/نبات. كما أظهرت التحليلات أن تفاعل التركيب الوراثية مع البيئة لجميع الصفات المدروسة أن التركيب الوراثية قد تباينت في ثباتها للصفات المختلفة مع أختلاف الظروف البيئية، مما يتيح الفرصة للمربي بالاستفادة المثلى من هذه التباينات في الانتخاب لأفضل التركيب الوراثية مما قد يؤدي إلى تحسين أداء غلة الفول البلدى ومكوناتها.

كان معامل الإحداد مرتباً ارتباطاً ايجابياً مع متوسط الأداء، وهذا يوضح أن معظم التركيب الوراثية عالية المحصول تكون متلازمة مع معامل الإحداد الوراثي وتستجيب للبيئات المختلفة. ولما كان الصنف الذى يوصى بزراعته يجب أن يكون عالى المحصول وعلى درجة عالية من الثبات تحت ظروف البيئات المختلفة فقد أظهر تحليل الثبات المظهري باستخدام طريقة بيبرهارت ورسل (1966) أن السلالات الطفرية المباشرة أرقام ١٥، ١٢، ١٣، ٨ على التوالي هي أكثر التركيب الوراثية توفراً وثباتاً فى المحصول ومكوناته وذلك مقارنة بباقي السلالات والأصناف التجارية تحت الظروف البيئية المختبرة.

المجلة المصرية لتربية النبات ١٢ (٢): ٨٧-٩٧ (٢٠٠٨)