

IRRIGATION WATER MANAGEMENT OF WHEAT CROP UNDER SOWING DATES AT FAYOUM

Yousef, K.M.R and Ashry, M.R.K
Soil, Water and Environment Res. Inst., A.R.C., Egypt

ABSTRACT

A field experiment was conducted at Kom Osheem Agric., Res., Station, Fayoum, Egypt during 2003/2004 and 2004/2005 seasons to study the effect of three sowing dates, i.e. D₁: 22 Nov., D₂: 2 Dec., and D₃: 12 Dec. and irrigation water managements, i.e. irrigation at I₁: 30%, I₂: 55% and I₃: 80% available soil moisture depletion (ASMD) on yield, yield components and some water relations of wheat crop (Giza 168 cv.). A split-plot design with four replications was used in both seasons. The main obtained results were as follows:

1. Planting wheat on 22 Nov., and irrigation at 30% ASMD gave the highest averages of plant height, spike number/m², grain weight/m, 1000 – grain weight, grain yield/fed. (1566.0 and 1656.0 kg), and straw yield (3625.9 and 3824.4 kg/fed.) in the two successive seasons. The lowest averages of yield and its components were obtained from sowing on 12 Dec. and irrigation at 80% ASMD in both seasons.
2. Delaying sowing date from 22 Nov. to 12 Dec., significantly decreased all yield components, grain yield/fed by 12.7% and 12.4% and straw yield/fed by 24.7% and 25.6% in 2003/2004 and 2004/2005 seasons, respectively. However, increasing the ASMD from 30% to 80% significantly decreased yield components, grain yield/fed by 29.7% and 24.5% and straw yield/fed by 11.9% and 13.4% in the two successive seasons.
3. Seasonal consumptive use (ET_c), as a function of the different treatments averaged 40.40 and 39.70 cm in 2003/2004 and 2004/2005 seasons, respectively. Delaying sowing date from 22 Nov. to 12 Dec., and increasing ASMD from 30% to 80% decreased seasonal ET_c from 46.18 and 45.43 cm to 35.35 and 34.85 cm in the two successive seasons.
4. Daily ET_c rates were low during Nov. and Dec., then increased during Jan. and Feb., to reach its maximum values during March and declined again at April till harvesting. The values of daily ET_c decreased with delaying sowing date than 22 Nov. and increasing ASMD than 30% in the two growing seasons months. The crop coefficient (K_c) values were 0.51, 0.59, 0.67, 0.80, 0.95, 0.69 and 0.49 (averages of the two seasons) for, Nov., Dec., Jan., Feb., Mar., Apr. and May, respectively.
5. The highest water use efficiency values were 0.871 and 0.936 kg grains/m³ water consumed were obtained from D₃I₂ treatment in 2003/2004 season and D₂I₂ treatment in 2004/2005 season, respectively.

Key words: Wheat yield, yield components, sowing dates, irrigation regimes, water relations.

INTRODUCTION

Wheat is the major cereal crop source of calories and protein in Egypt, and its production shortage is the dominant factor in the food problem. Therefore, applying optimum agricultural treatments for wheat crop,

i.e. tillage practices, sowing date, fertilization, weed control with best irrigation water management became a very important concern for in Pakistan, increasing wheat production per unit area cultivated. **Nazir et al. (1980)** concluded that number of fertile tillers/unit area, grains/spike and grain yield/ha progressively decreased with delaying sowing from 10 Dec. to 9 Jan. yields were 4.98 and 3.65 t/ha for 10 Dec. and 9 Jan., respectively, whereas the 1000-grain weight was little affected.

Sufian et al. (1983) in Bangladesh, showed that grain yields were 2.71, 4.0 and 3.09 t/ha for 31 Oct., 22 Nov. and 22 Dec., sowing, respectively. **Hegab et al., (1984)** noticed that the earlier sowing gave the higher yields and yield components. **Tomar and Verma (1985)** in India, indicated that averages of grain yields were 4.41, 4.93, 3.91 and 2.83 t/ha for the 4 sowing dates (from 23 Oct., to 6 Jan). Number of grains/plant, 1000-grain weight and grain yield (5.31 t/ha) were highest from sowing on Nov. 12. **Ibrahim et al. (1986)** pointed out that grain yields were 2.69, 4.36, 4.57 and 3.84 t/ha for sowing on 21 Oct., 10 Nov., 30 Nov. and 21 Dec., respectively. **El-Morshidy et al. (1998)** found that early sowing in the second half of Nov., with optimum irrigation during all growth stages increased grain yield and its components. **Gupta et al. (2004)** in Kashmir, revealed that sowing on 6 Nov., gave the higher grain yield (26.6 quintal/ha), biomass (101.9 q/ha), spike number/m (307.4), grain no./spike (19.6) and water use efficiency (9.35 kg/mm/ha), than late sowing. **Rajput and Pandey (2004)** in India, found that grain yield, ear length, number of grains/ear, 1000-grain weight, WUE, leaf area index, crop growth rate were decreased with delaying sowing date from 3 to 23 Jan., and were highest with 55% soil moisture than 40% or 70%.

Regarding the irrigation regimes effect **Doorenbos et al. (1979)** indicated that available soil moisture depletion (ASMD) less than 50% had a little effect on water uptake by wheat plants, whereas at 70 ASMD moderate stress occurred and severe stress when ASMD exceeded 80%. Water requirements for high yield ranged between 45 and 65.0 cm, and the crop coefficient (Kc) values were 0.3-0.4, 0.7-0.8, 1.05-1.20, 0.65-0.70 and 0.2-0.25 for initial, development, mid-season, late season and harvest stages, respectively. **Meyer and Green (1980)** showed that expansive growth of wheat was reduced when soil moisture was below 33% ASMD. **El-Sayed (1982)** revealed that seasonal ETc as well as grain and straw yields were depressed by exposing wheat plants to high moisture stress. **Metwally et al. (1984)** found that irrigation at 25% ASMD gave the highest grain and straw yields. They added that, ETc values were 47.65, 41.71 and 36.3 cm for irrigation at 25, 50 and 75% ASMD, respectively. **Abdel-Mottaleb and Metwally (1992)** concluded that grain and straw yields were increased with increasing soil moisture. Irrigation at 2 bars gave the highest yield, whereas the lowest one was obtained from irrigation at 8 bars. The ETc was decreased by increasing soil moisture stress. Irrigation at 8 bars gave the highest water use efficiency (WUE). **Yousef and Eid (1994)** at Fayoum reported that the highest values of yield and yield components were obtained from irrigation at 30% ASMD. Increasing ASMD from 50% to 70% significantly decreased yield component, grain yield and straw yield. The ETc increased as the ASMD decreased and the high WUE was resulted from irrigation at 30% ASMD. **Yousef and Hanna (1998)** found that spike number/m², grain number/spike, 1000-grain weight and grain and straw yields/fed were significantly decreased by increasing ASMD from 35% to 70%. They added that seasonal ETc were 42.77 and 37.83 cm for

irrigation at 35 and 70 ASMD, respectively. However, the Kc values were 0.4, 0.68, 0.79, 1.02, 1.00, 0.61 and 0.39 during Nov., Dec., Jan., Feb., Mar., Apr. and May months, respectively. The highest WUE was resulted from irrigation at 35% ASMD. **Yousef and Eid (1999)** revealed that irrigation at 35% ASMD gave the highest spike number/m², 1000-grain weight, grain and spike yields/fed, seasonal Etc (43.7 cm) and WUE (1.065 kg grains/m³ water consumed), whereas irrigation at 80% gave the lowest mentioned measurements. **Hassain et al. (2003)** indicated that irrigating wheat at 4 weeks after emergence gave the highest number of spikes/m³ (238), however, irrigation at 2 weeks after emergence gave the highest grain yield (4103 kg/ha) and biological yield (10207 kg/ha). **Yousef and Ashry (2006)** found that the highest yield and yield components were resulted from irrigation at 35% ASMD and increasing ASMD to 55 or 75% caused significant reduction in yield components, grain and straw yields. Seasonal ETC values were; 43.13, 40.12 and 39.05 cm for irrigation at 35, 55 and 75% ASMD, respectively. The peak of water consumption occurred during March and April and the Kc values were; 0.53, 0.74, 0.87, 0.91, 0.99, 0.60 and 0.41 for Nov., Dec., Jan., Feb., Mar., Apr. and May months, respectively.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The present investigation was conducted at Fayoum Agric., Res., Station (Kom Osheem) during 2003/2004 and 2004/2005 seasons to study the effect of irrigation water management for wheat crop under different sowing dates on yield, yield components and some crop water relations. Three sowing dates, i.e. D₁: November 22nd, D₂: December 2 and D₃: December 12 were combined with three irrigation regime treatments, i.e. irrigation at I₁: 30%, I₂: 55% and I₃: 80% available soil moisture depletion (ASMD), in a split-plot design with four replications. Each plot was isolated from the others by allays 1.5 m between to avoid the lateral movement of water. The soil physical and chemical properties of the experimental plots, were determined according to **Klute (1986) and Page et al. (1982)** and are presented in Table (1). The averages of climatic factor for Fayoum Governorate during the wheat crop growing seasons are recorded in Table (2). Dates of irrigation and irrigation count for the different treatments tested in both seasons are listed in Table (3). The sub-plot, area was 21.0 m² (3.0 x 7.0 m). Calcium super phosphate (15.5% P₂O₅) was added at the rate of 150 kg/fed during the field preparation. The preceding crop was grain sorghum in both seasons.

Nitrogen fertilization, as ammonium nitrate 33.0% N at the rate of 75kg N/Fed was applied in three equal doses (at planting, at the 1st and 2nd irrigations). Irrigation regime treatments started from the 2nd irrigation. Wheat grains of Giza 168 cv., at the rate of 70 kg/fed were broadcasted for each sowing date.

The soil moisture values were gravimetrically determined on oven dry basis, as the technique of Water Requirement and Field Irrigation Dept., A.R.C., Egypt for soil layers, each of 15.0 cm from soil surface and down to 60.0 cm depth, and the soil moisture constants, estimated for the experimental field are shown in Table (4).

Table (1). Physical and chemical analysis of the experimental plots at, Kom Osheem.

A. Physical analysis														
Sand%		Silt%		Clay%		Texture classes		Organic matter%		CaCO ₃ %				
51.5		23.2		25.3		Sandy clay loom		0.91		9.92				
B. Chemical analysis														
Ec dS/m	pH 1:2.5 extract	Soluble Cations meg/L				Soluble anions meg/L				CEC meg/100gm soil	Exchangeable Cations meg/100gm soil			
		Ca ⁺⁺	Mg ⁺⁺	Na ⁺	K ⁺	Cl	Hco ₃	Co ₃	So ₄		Ca ⁺⁺	Mg ⁺⁺	Na ⁺	K ⁺
7.83	8.19	17.22	10.21	46.92	1.82	38.15	2.94	-	35.08	20.12	9.85	6.92	2.16	0.78

Table (2). Monthly averages of climatic factors for Fayoum Governorate during 2003/2004 and 2004/2005 seasons.

Monthly	Season	Temperature C°			Relative humidity %	Wind speed m/sec.	Solar** radiation	Class A* pan evaporation (mm/day)
		Max.	Min.	Mean				
November	2003	27.7	16.6	21.6	62	1.7	5.38	3.7
	2004	27.7	13.7	20.7	55	2.2	5.65	3.2
December	2003	22.4	9.4	15.4	58	2.6	4.77	2.5
	2004	21.6	8.1	14.8	59	2.4	4.58	1.8
January	2004	21.2	8.8	16.0	58	2.4	5.27	1.8
	2005	21.1	7.6	14.2	56	2.1	5.17	2.0
February	2004	23.8	8.6	16.2	60	2.0	7.08	2.1
	2005	21.0	6.9	13.9	55	2.2	6.42	2.6
March	2004	25.2	10.1	17.06	56	2.3	8.44	4.0
	2005	25.2	9.4	17.3	53	2.2	8.13	4.0
April	2004	29.7	13.1	21.4	51	2.0	10.49	5.1
	2005	30.4	13.0	21.7	51	3.0	10.14	5.3

* After Fayoum Meteorological station (Etsa district)

** Calculated by Sammani (2002) equation.

At harvest which done on May 4 and 1 in the first and seasond season, respectively, the following data were collected for each sub-plot.

I. Yield and yield components :

- 1- Plant height (cm²) .
- 2- Spike number /m².
- 3- Grain weight/m²
- 4-1000 – grain weight (g).
- 5- Grain yield/fed: estimated from the yield of the whole sub-plot , in kg/fed.
- 6- Straw yield/fed : estimated from the yield of the whole sub-plot in kg/fed.

All the collected data were subjected to the statistical analysis according to the procedures outlined by **Snedecor and Cochran (1980)** and the means were compared by L.S.D. test at 5.0% level.

Table (3). Dates of irrigation for wheat crop as affected by sowing and irrigation regimes during 2003/2004 and 2004/2005 seasons.

Seasons	2003/2004									2004/2005								
Sowing dates	22/11			2/12			12/12			22/11			2/12			12/12		
Irrigation regimes	(I ₁) 30%	(I ₂) 55%	(I ₃) 80%	(I ₁) 30%	(I ₂) 55%	(I ₃) 80%	(I ₁) 30%	(I ₂) 55%	(I ₃) 80%	(I ₁) 30%	(I ₂) 55%	(I ₃) 80%	(I ₁) 30%	(I ₂) 55%	(I ₃) 80%	(I ₁) 30%	(I ₂) 55%	(I ₃) 80%
Number of irrigation																		
Planting irrig.	22/11	22/11	22/11	2/12	2/12	2/12	12/12	2/12	12/12	22/11	22/11	22/11	2/12	2/12	2/12	12/12	12/12	12/12
1 st irrigation	16/12	16/12	16/12	26/12	26/12	26/12	5/1	5/1	5/1	18/12	18/12	18/12	26/12	26/12	26/12	9/1	9/1	9/1
2 nd irrigation	1/1	7/1	12/1	13/1	20/1	23/1	21/1	29/1	1/2	4/1	9/1	13/1	18/1	21/1	24/1	31/1	4/2	6/2
3 rd irrigation	19/1	30/1	16/2	2/2	12/2	18/2	9/2	24/2	4/3	24/1	2/2	17/2	8/2	14/2	19/2	21/2	1/3	5/3
4 th irrigation	3/2	25/2	17/3	18/2	13/3	22/3	27/2	21/3	2/4	11/2	25/2	17/3	27/2	12/3	19/3	9/3	21/3	28/3
5 th irrigation	26/2	21/3	14/4	9/3	6/4	16/4	16/3	13/4	-	4/3	22/3	13/4	20/3	6/4	15/4	26/3	11/4	20/4
6 th irrigation	14/3	14/4	-	2/4	-	-	8/4	-	-	22/3	15/4	-	14/4	-	-	15/4	-	-
7 th irrigation	5/4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	14/4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Harvesting	4/5	4/5	4/5	4/5	4/5	4/5	4/5	4/5	4/5	1/5	1/5	1/5	1/5	1/5	1/5	1/5	1/5	1/5
Date	164	164	164	154	154	154	144	144	144	161	161	161	151	151	151	141	141	141

II. Crop water relations:

1. Seasonal consumptive use (ET_c).

For determining the crop water consumptive use (ET_c), soil samples were taken from each sub-plot, just before and after 48 hours from each irrigation, as well as at harvesting time and the ET_c between each two successive irrigations was calculated according to the following equation (Israelsen and Hansen, 1962).

$$CU \text{ or } (ET_c) = [(\Phi_2 - \Phi_1) / 100] \cdot Bd \cdot D$$

Where : ET_c = Crop evapotranspiration in cm .

Φ₂ = Soil moisture % 48 hours after irrigation.

Φ₁ = Soil moisture % just before irrigation.

Bd = Soil Bulk density (g/cm³) .

D = Soil depth (cm).

2. Daily ET_c rate (mm/day)/month.

Calculated from the consumptive use value of each month , divided by the number of days/month.

3. Reference evapotranspiration (ET_o) in mm/day.

Estimated using the monthly averages of Fayoum climatic data (Table, 2) and the FAO Penman-Monteith equation (Allen *et al.* 1998)

4. Crop coefficient (K_c).

The values of K_c were calculated as follows :

$$\frac{\text{Actual crop consumptive use rate/month (mm/day)}}{\text{Reference evapotranspiration rate/month (mm/day)}}$$

5. Water use efficiency (WUE)

The WUE, as kg grains/m³ water consumed was calculated for different treatments as the method described by Vites (1965).

$$WUE = \frac{\text{Grain yield (kg/fed.)}}{\text{Seasonal ET}_c \text{ (m}^3\text{/fed.)}}$$

Table (4). The soil moisture constants of the experimental field (average of the two seasons)

Soil depth (cm)	Field Capacity (%)	Wilting point (%)	Available moisture (%)	Bulk density (g/cm ³)
0-15	35.42	17.42	18.00	1.49
15-30	32.42	16.99	15.43	1.51
30-45	30.42	15.02	15.40	1.57
45-60	28.89	14.69	14.20	1.52

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

I-Yield and yield components:

1. Yield components:

The results presented in Table (5) reveal that delaying sowing date from 22 Nov. to 2 Dec. or 12 Dec., significantly decreased all yield components measured in both seasons. Sowing wheat on 22 Nov., gave the highest averages of plant height, spike number/m², grain weight/m² and 1000-grain weight in both seasons. However, delaying sowing from 22 Nov. to 2 Dec., significantly decreased plant height, spike number/m², grain weight/m² and 1000-grain weight in 2003/2004 season by 8.65%, 9.32%, 6.50 and 7.96%, respectively, and spike number/m², grain weight/m² and 1000-grain weight in 2004/2005 season by 11.42%, 5.56% and 8.47%, respectively, while plant height did not

significantly differed. Late sowing, delay in sowing from 22 Nov. to 12 Dec., significantly reduced plant height, spike number/m², grain weight/m² and 1000-grain weight in 2003/2004 season by 1123.5%, 12.56%, 12.7% and 14.12%, respectively, and in 2004/2005 season by 11.7%, 29.85%, 14.45% and 18.33%, respectively. These results may be due to the effect of low temperature during the early vegetative growth in countered the late sowing plants, which reduced tillering, stem elongation and dry matter accumulation in plant organs. The obtained results are in the same line with those reported by **Nazir *et al.* (1980)**, **Tomar and Verna (1985)**, **El-Morshidy *et al.* (1998)**, **Gupta *et al.* (2004)** and **Rajput and Pandey (2004)**.

Regarding, the effect of irrigation regime treatments, data recorded in Table (5) show that wheat yield components were significantly affected by irrigation regimes in both seasons. Irrigation wheat plants at 30% ASMD gave the highest averages of yield components, whereas irrigation at 80% ASMD gave the lowest ones in both seasons. Increasing available soil moisture depletion from 30% to 55% ASMD, in 2003/2004 season significantly decreased plant height, spike number/m², grain weight/m² and 1000-grain weight by 3.83%, 5.6%, 4.24% and 9.44%, respectively. However, in 2004/2005 season, spike number/m², grain weight/m² and 1000-grain weight were significantly decreased by 7.55%, 4.98% and 3.87%, respectively, while plant height did not significantly differ. More increase in the soil moisture depletion from 30% to 80% resulted in a remarkable reduction in plant height, spike number/m², grain weight/m² and 1000-grain weight in 2003/2004 season equal to 8.7%, 27.8%, 29.6% and 14.32%, respectively and in 2004/2005 season equal to 20.9%, 21.0%, 22.3% and 17.61%, respectively. It is obvious that increasing ASMD caused a significant decrease in wheat yield components. These results may be referred to the effect of moisture stress on reducing photosynthesis, cell division, stem elongation, leaf area, leaf duration, tillering and dry matter accumulation in plant organs. The obtained results are in consistent with those found by **Meyer and Green (1980)**, **Yousef and Eid (1994)**, **Yousef and Hanna (1998)**, **Yousef and Eid (1999)**, **Hussain *et al.* (2003)** and **Yousef and Ashry (2006)**

The data listed in Table (5) indicate that all yield components were significantly affected by the interaction between sowing dates and irrigation regimes in both seasons. The highest averages of plant height, spike number/m², grain weight/m² and 1000-grain weight were detected from sowing on 22 Nov., and irrigation at 30% ASMD in both seasons. However, the lowest averages of the mentioned yield components were resulted from late sowing (12 Dec.) and irrigation at 80% ASMD (D3I3) in both seasons. It could be concluded that early sowing and irrigation at low soil moisture depletion resulted in higher yield components under Fayoum conditions.

2. Grain and Straw yields

The obtained results in Table (5) reveal that the averages of grain and straw yields were significantly differed due to sowing dates in both seasons. Sowing wheat on 22 Nov. gave the highest grain yields, i.e 1416.5 and 1484.6 kg/fed and highest straw yields, i.e. 3316.1 and 3477.3 kg/fed in 2003/2004 and 2004/2005 seasons, respectively. Delaying sowing date from 22 Nov. to 2 Dec. significantly decreased grain yields by 6.49% and 3.34% and straw yields by 11.49% and 11.04% in the two successive seasons, respectively. However, the grain and straw yields of 22 Nov. sowing, surpassed those of 22 Dec. sowing in

2003/2004 season by 12.66% and 24.67%, respectively, and in 2004/2005 season by 12.43% and 25.65%, respectively. It is evident that delaying sowing date than 22 Nov. significant by decreased grain and straw yields. These results may be attributed to the effect of delaying sowing date on yield components and number of tillers/plant as well as decreasing the vegetative growth period duration. These results are in harmony with those reported by Nazir *et al.* (1980), Sufian *et al.* (1983), Hegab *et al.* (1984), Tamar and Verna (1985), Ibrahim *et al.* (1986), El-Morshidy (1998), Gupta *et al.* (2004) and Rajput and Pandey (2004).

Concerning the effect of irrigation regime treatments, data recorded in Table (5) indicate that irrigation regimes have significant effects on grain and straw yields in both seasons. Irrigation at 30% ASMD gave the highest averages of grain and straw yield in 2003/2004 season, i.e. 1495.1 and 3099.2 kg/fed, respectively and in 2004/2005 season, i.e. 1559.9 and 3270.4 kg/fed., respectively. Grain and straw yields detected from irrigation at 30% ASMD over yielded those, obtained from irrigation at 55% ASMD in 2003/2004 season by 4.25% and 5.82%, respectively, and in 2004/2005 season by 4.99% and 6.59%, respectively. However, subjecting wheat plants to more water stress by irrigation at 80% ASMD significantly decreased grain and straw yields in 2003/2004 season by 29.67% and 11.87%, respectively, and in 2004/2005 season by 24.5% and 13.44%, respectively, comparing to irrigation at 30% ASMD. It could be revealed that subjecting wheat plants to water stress by irrigation at high ASMD (long intervals) significantly decreased grain and straw yields/fed. Such findings may be referred to the effect of water stress on reducing growth attributes, plant height, spike number/m², grain weight/m² and 1000-grain weight. These results are in agreement with those found by Doorenbos *et al.* (1979), El-Sayed (1982), Metwally *et al.* (1984), Abdel-Mottaleb and Metwally (1992), Yousef and Hanna (1998), Hussain *et al.* (2003) and Yousef and Ashry (2006).

Results in Table (5) show that wheat grain and straw yields were significantly affected by the interaction between sowing dates and irrigation regime treatments in both seasons. The highest grain yield, i.e. 1566.0 and 1656.1 kg/fed and highest straw yield, i.e. 3625.9 and 3824.4 kg/fed in 2003/2004 and 2004/2005, respectively, were detected from sowing wheat on 22 Nov. and irrigation at 30% ASMD (D₁I₁ treatment) However, late sowing on 12 Dec. and irrigation at 80% ASMD (D₃I₃ treatment) gave the lowest grain yield (929.0 and 1099.6 kg/fed.) and lowest straw yield (2440.3 and 2509.2 kg/fed.) in 2003/2004 and 2004/2005 seasons, respectively. It could be concluded that sowing wheat on optimum date and irrigation at low ASMD significantly increased grain and straw yields.

II. Crop water relations:

1. Seasonal consumptive use (ET_c).

The results presented in Table (6) indicate that seasonal consumptive use or evapotranspiration (ET_c) of wheat crop, as a function of sowing dates and irrigation regime treatments were; 40.40 cm and 39.70 cm in 2003/2004 and 2004/2005 seasons, respectively. The difference may be due to the variation in climatic factors of the two seasons (Table, 2). Sowing wheat on 22 Nov. gave the highest values of wheat ET, i.e. 43.48 and 42.82 cm in the two successive seasons. Delaying sowing date from 22 Nov. to 2 or 12 Dec. decreased seasonal ET_c in 2003/2004 season by 5.06% and 13.87%, respectively, and in 2004/2005 season by 7.94% and 13.94%, respectively. It is obvious, that

Table (5). Effect of sowing date, irrigation regime treatments and their interaction on wheat yield and yield components during 2003/2004 and 2004/2005 seasons.

Treatments		2003/2004						2004/2005					
Sowing dates	Irrigation regimes (ASMD)	Plant height (cm)	Spike number /m ²	Grain weight/m ² (g)	1000-grain weight (g)	Grain yield/fed. (kg)	Straw yield/fed. (kg)	Plant height (cm)	Spike number /m ²	Grain weight/m ² (g)	1000-grain weight (g)	Grain yield/fed. (kg)	Straw yield/fed. (kg)
(D₁) 22/11	I ₁ : 30%	76.8	274.0	372.8	51.50	1566.0	3625.9	87.50	326.50	394.30	43.00	1656.1	3824.4
	I ₂ : 55%	73.5	267.0	353.9	44.25	1486.4	3217.3	83.75	311.25	369.60	42.63	1552.3	3378.2
	I ₃ : 80%	68.0	199.0	285.4	40.63	1197.0	3105.1	63.75	220.75	321.50	39.25	1245.4	3229.3
	Mean	72.8	246.7	337.4	45.46	1416.5	3316.1	78.33	286.17	361.80	41.63	1484.6	3477.5
(D₂) 2/12	I ₁ : 30%	69.5	251.0	356.0	44.75	1495.2	3133.4	86.75	286.50	376.70	41.38	1582.1	3321.4
	I ₂ : 55%	66.0	232.0	345.2	42.13	1449.8	3023.2	83.25	242.75	365.40	40.75	1534.7	3204.7
	I ₃ : 80%	64.0	188.0	244.9	38.63	1028.6	2648.4	62.50	228.25	282.90	32.18	1188.2	2754.3
Mean	66.5	223.7	315.4	41.84	1324.5	2935.0	77.50	253.5	341.67	38.10	1435.0	3093.5	
(D₃) 12/12	I ₁ : 30%	57.2	247.0	339.0	40.75	1423.7	2538.3	71.25	205.50	343.20	38.13	1441.4	2665.3
	I ₂ : 55%	56.2	230.0	323.4	38.25	1358.3	2515.5	68.50	199.50	323.60	34.38	1359.1	2581.5
	I ₃ : 80%	53.8	170.0	221.2	38.12	929.0	2440.3	67.75	197.25	261.80	29.50	1099.6	2509.2
Mean	55.7	215.7	294.5	39.04	1237.1	2498.0	69.17	200.75	309.53	34.00	1300.0	2585.3	
Means of irrigation:													
I₁: 30% ASMD		67.8	257.3	355.9	45.67	1495.1	3099.2	81.80	272.8	371.4	40.83	1559.9	3270.4
I₂: 55% ASMD		65.2	243.0	340.8	41.54	1431.5	2918.7	78.5	252.2	352.9	39.25	1482.0	3054.8
I₃: 80% ASMD		61.9	185.7	250.5	39.13	1051.5	2731.3	64.7	215.4	288.7	33.64	1177.7	2830.9
L.S.D.: 5%													
D.		0.910	1.759	0.948	0.558	1.413	1.413	7.03	8.51	16.16	1.49	15.18	2.45
I.		0.712	1.510	0.607	0.627	0.858	0.858	4.63	11.31	14.03	1.36	7.12	1.21
D x I		1.234	2.616	0.051	1.087	1.486	1.486	8.02	19.59	N.S	2.36	12.34	2.10

delaying sowing date of wheat resulted in decreasing seasonal consumptive use. These results may be referred to that delaying sowing dates may decrease the crop growing period, especially vegetative growth period and vegetative growth attributes, as well as number of applied irrigations.

Regarding the effect of irrigation regime treatments, data recorded in Table (6) show that irrigating wheat plants at 30% ASMD (short intervals) produced the highest values of ET_c, i.e. 42.75 and 42.33 cm in 2003/2004 and 2004/2005 seasons, respectively. The lowest ET_c values, i.e. 38.01 and 37.37 cm were resulted from irrigation at 80% ASMD in the two successive seasons. However, irrigation at 55% ASMD decreased ET_c by 5.38% and 6.94% in 2003/2004 and 2004/2005 seasons, respectively. It could be concluded that increasing the available soil moisture in the root zone of wheat plants caused increase in ET_c/season. These results may be due to the high transpiration rates from plants and high evaporative demands from soil under high available moisture, whereas under water stress, the transpiration from plants may decreased as a result of poor vegetative growth, also the evaporation decreased from dry soil surface. These results are in accordance with those reported by Doorenbos *et al.* (1979), El-Sayed (1982) Metwally *et al.* (1984), Abdel-Mottale and Metwally (1992), Yousef and Hanna (1998) and Yousef and Ashry (2006).

The data listed in Table (6) indicate that sowing wheat on 22 Nov. and irrigation at 30% ASMD gave the highest values of ET_c, i.e. 46.18 and 45.43 cm in 2003/2004 and 2004/2005 seasons, respectively. However, the lowest ET_c values, i.e. 37.45 and 34.85 cm in the two successive seasons were detected from interaction between sowing on 12 Dec. and irrigation at 80% ASMD.

Table (6). Effect of sowing date, irrigation regime treatments and their interaction on seasonal consumptive use (ET_c) of wheat in 2003/2004 and 2004/2005 seasons.

Sowing dates (D)	2003/2004				2004/2005			
	Irrigation regimes (ASMD)				Irrigation regimes (ASMD)			
	(I ₁) 30%	(I ₂) 55%	(I ₃) 80%	Mean	(I ₁) 30%	(I ₂) 55%	(I ₃) 80%	Mean
(D ₁) 22/11	46.18	43.43	40.84	43.48	45.43	42.80	40.24	42.82
(D ₂) 2/12	42.19	40.80	37.85	40.28	42.19	39.05	37.03	39.42
(D ₃) 12/12	39.87	37.12	35.35	37.45	39.38	36.32	34.85	36.85
Mean	42.75	40.45	38.01	40.40	42.33	39.39	37.37	39.70

2- Daily ET_c (mm/day).

The results presented in Table (7) show that the daily ET_c rates as influenced by different treatments tested in both seasons were started with low values during Nov. and decreased more during Dec., increased again during Jan. and Feb. to reach their maximum values on March. Thereafter, it redecreased again during April and May (plant harvesting). These results are referred to that at the initial growth stage, most of the water loss is due to evaporation from the bare soil (germination and seedling stages) and the reduction in ET_c rate during Dec. was due to the decrease in evaporative demands (temperature and soil radiation). Thereafter, as the plant cover and temperature increased, evaporation increased and transpiration took place beside it, then transpiration and evaporation reached maximum values during heading and grain filling stages (March), while at maturity stage the plants

tended to be dry and the ETc rate rededcreased again during April and May (harvesting). The results of Table (7) indicate that the highest values of ETc rate during all months of the two growing seasons duration (Nov.-May) were resulted from early sowing date (22 Nov.). However, delaying sowing date to 12 Dec. gave the lowest values of daily ETc rates in all growing season months for 2003/2004 and 2004/2005 seasons. It could be revealed that delaying sowing date after 22 Nov. resulted in decreasing the daily ETc rate of wheat during all months of the growing season.

Data presented in Table (7) show that the daily ETc rates of wheat during the growing season months (Nov. to May) of both seasons, were increased by irrigation at 30% ASMD, than the same values resulted from irrigation at 55 or 80% ASMD. It is obvious that increasing the available moisture in wheat root zone (irrigation at short intervals) resulted in increasing the ETc rate during the growing season duration months. These results are in the same line of those reported by Yousef and Eid (1994) and Yousef and Ashry (2006).

3. Reference evapotranspiration (ET₀)

Reference evapotranspiration rates (ET₀) in mm/day during the months of wheat growing seasons, i.e. 2003/2004 and 2004/2005, estimated using the FAO Penman-Monteith method and the meteorological data of Fayoum Governorate are recorded in Table (8). The obtained data indicated that the ET₀ rate values were somewhat high during Nov., then decreased during Dec. and Jan. months. Thereafter, the daily rates of ET₀ increased from Feb. till May, in both seasons. These results may be attributed to the variation in climatic factors from one month to another. Allen *et al.* (1998) reported that the reference ET values depend mainly on the evaporative power of the air at each area, i.e. temperature, radiation, relative humidity and wind speed.

4. Crop coefficient (K_c).

The crop coefficient (K_c) reflects the crop cover percentage on the reference ET values. Therefore, the K_c values were calculated from the daily consumptive use rates (Table, 7) and the daily ET₀ rates (Table, 8) for each month during the two growing seasons of wheat crop. The results presented in Table (8) show that the K_c values, as a function of sowing dates and irrigation regimes (over all mean) were low during Nov. and Dec. months (initial growth period), then increased during Jan. (0.68 and 0.66) and Feb. (0.81 and 0.79), as the vegetative growth increased to booting stage. The K_c values reached its maximum values, i.e. 0.96 and 0.94 during March (heading-grain filling stage). The K_c values rededcreased again during Apr. (0.69 or 0.70), as plants started maturity and reached minimum values on May (0.50 or 0.49) at harvesting.

These results may be attributed to the large diffusive resistance of bare soil during the initial growth stage (germination and seedling stages), which decreased gradually with increasing the crop cover until heading and grain filling stages. At maturity stage (Apr.) the transpiration decreased, as a result of leaves and stem drying causing the low values of K_c during Apr. and May months. The data recorded in Table (8) reveal that delaying sowing date from 22 Nov. to 2 Dec. or 12 Dec. decreased the K_c values during the months of the two growing seasons sowing on 22 Nov. gave the highest K_c values during the growing season months in both seasons. On the other hand, increasing the

Table (7). Effect of sowing date, irrigation regime treatments and their interaction on daily ETC rate of wheat crop during 2003/2004 and 2004/2005 seasons.

Treatments		2003/2004							2004/2005						
Sowing dates	Irrigation regimes (ASMD)	Nov.	Dec.	Jan.	Feb.	Mar.	Apr.	May	Nov.	Dec.	Jan.	Feb.	Mar.	Apr.	May
(D₁) 22/11	I ₁ : 30%	1.52	1.46	1.67	3.02	4.45	3.72	3.49	1.63	1.43	1.94	3.04	4.08	3.65	3.51
	I ₂ : 55%	1.43	1.35	1.56	2.86	4.17	3.52	3.36	1.54	1.39	1.83	2.90	3.75	3.46	3.26
	I ₃ : 80%	1.46	1.30	1.50	2.66	3.77	3.38	3.23	1.48	1.26	1.73	2.67	3.52	3.33	3.14
Mean		1.47	1.37	1.58	2.85	4.13	3.54	3.36	1.55	1.36	1.83	2.87	3.78	3.48	3.30
(D₂) 2/12	I ₁ : 30%	1.43	1.28	1.52	2.83	4.01	3.43	3.23	1.51	1.47	1.81	2.84	3.64	3.46	3.08
	I ₂ : 55%	1.43	1.24	1.43	2.73	3.85	3.38	3.11	1.51	1.24	1.68	2.57	3.41	3.23	2.96
	I ₃ : 80%	1.40	1.18	1.31	2.47	3.57	3.14	3.04	1.48	1.19	1.60	2.44	3.30	2.96	2.77
Mean		1.42	1.23	1.42	2.68	3.81	3.32	3.13	1.50	1.30	1.70	2.62	3.45	3.22	2.94
(D₃) 12/12	I ₁ : 30%	1.46	1.28	1.43	2.57	3.65	3.38	3.11	1.54	1.26	1.70	2.64	3.34	3.28	3.08
	I ₂ : 55%	1.43	1.20	1.29	2.40	3.49	3.04	3.04	1.48	1.17	1.57	2.47	3.19	2.86	2.77
	I ₃ : 80%	1.40	1.13	1.20	2.31	3.33	2.90	2.85	1.48	1.11	1.47	2.34	3.08	2.77	2.77
Mean		1.43	1.20	1.31	2.43	3.49	3.11	3.00	1.50	1.18	1.58	2.48	3.20	2.97	2.87
Means of irrigation:															
I₁: 30% ASMD		1.47	1.34	1.54	2.81	4.04	3.51	3.28	1.56	1.39	1.82	2.84	3.69	3.46	3.22
I₂: 55% ASMD		1.43	1.26	1.43	2.66	3.84	3.31	3.17	1.51	1.29	1.69	2.65	3.45	3.18	3.00
I₃: 80% ASMD		1.42	1.20	1.34	2.48	3.56	3.14	3.04	1.48	1.19	1.60	2.48	3.30	3.02	2.89
Over all mean		1.44	1.27	1.44	2.65	3.81	3.32	3.16	1.52	1.28	1.70	2.66	3.48	3.22	3.04

Table (8). The values of daily reference evapotranspiration rates (ET_o) in mm/day and monthly crop coefficient (K_c) during the months of wheat growing seasons 2003/2004 and 2004/2005 under sowing date and irrigation regime treatments.

Treatments		2003/2004							2004/2005						
Sowing dates	Irrigation regimes (ASMD)	Nov.	Dec.	Jan.	Feb.	Mar.	Apr.	May	Nov.	Dec.	Jan.	Feb.	Mar.	Apr.	May
Reference ET (ET_o)		2.81	2.14	2.11	3.25	3.97	4.83	6.34	2.97	2.17	2.58	3.34	3.71	4.62	6.16
(D₁) 22/11	I ₁ : 30%	0.54	0.68	0.79	0.93	1.12	0.77	0.55	0.55	0.66	0.75	0.91	1.10	0.79	0.57
	I ₂ : 55%	0.51	0.63	0.74	0.88	1.05	0.73	0.53	0.52	0.64	0.71	0.87	1.01	0.75	0.53
	I ₃ : 80%	0.52	0.61	0.71	0.82	0.92	0.70	0.51	0.50	0.58	0.67	0.80	0.95	0.72	0.51
Mean		0.52	0.64	0.75	0.88	1.04	0.73	0.53	0.52	0.63	0.71	0.86	1.02	0.75	0.54
(D₂) 2/12	I ₁ : 30%	0.51	0.60	0.72	0.87	1.01	0.71	0.51	0.51	0.65	0.70	0.85	0.98	0.75	0.50
	I ₂ : 55%	0.51	0.58	0.68	0.84	0.97	0.70	0.49	0.51	0.57	0.65	0.77	0.92	0.70	0.48
	I ₃ : 80%	0.50	0.55	0.62	0.76	0.90	0.65	0.48	0.50	0.55	0.62	0.73	0.89	0.64	0.45
Mean		0.51	0.58	0.67	0.82	0.96	0.69	0.49	0.51	0.59	0.66	0.78	0.93	0.70	0.48
(D₃) 12/12	I ₁ : 30%	0.52	0.60	0.68	0.79	0.92	0.70	0.49	0.52	0.58	0.66	0.79	0.90	0.71	0.50
	I ₂ : 55%	0.51	0.56	0.61	0.74	0.88	0.63	0.48	0.50	0.54	0.61	0.74	0.86	0.62	0.45
	I ₃ : 80%	0.50	0.53	0.57	0.71	0.84	0.60	0.45	0.50	0.51	0.57	0.70	0.83	0.60	0.45
Mean		0.51	0.56	0.62	0.75	0.88	0.64	0.47	0.51	0.54	0.61	0.74	0.86	0.64	0.47
Means of irrigation:															
I₁: 30% ASMD		0.52	0.63	0.73	0.86	1.02	0.73	0.52	0.53	0.63	0.70	0.85	0.99	0.75	0.52
I₂: 55% ASMD		0.51	0.59	0.68	0.82	0.97	0.69	0.50	0.51	0.98	0.66	0.79	0.93	0.69	0.49
I₃: 80% ASMD		0.51	0.56	0.63	0.76	0.90	0.65	0.48	0.50	0.55	0.62	0.74	0.89	0.65	0.47
Over all mean		0.51	0.59	0.68	0.81	0.96	0.69	0.50	0.51	0.59	0.66	0.79	0.94	0.70	0.49

ASMD decreased the Kc values during the growing season months in both seasons. The highest Kc values during the growing seasons months were resulted from irrigation at 30% ASMD, whereas the lowest ones were detected from irrigation at 80% ASMD. These results were true in both seasons. The Kc values of wheat, as a function of different treatments were 0.51, 0.59, 0.67, 0.80, 0.95, 0.69 and 0.49 for Nov., Dec., Jan., Feb., Mar., Apr. and May, respectively, (average of the two seasons). Such findings are in the same line of those reported by Doorenbos *et al.* (1979), Yousef and Hanna (1998), and Yousef and Ashry (2006).

5. Water use efficiency (WUE).

The results presented in Table (9) show that the WUE. values, as affected by sowing date and irrigation regime treatments were; 0.778 and 0.842 kg grains/m³ water consumed in 2003/2004 and 2004/2005 seasons, respectively. The highest water use efficiency value in 2003/2004 season was 0.782 kg grains/m³ water consumed, obtained from sowing wheat on 12 Dec. However, in 2004/2005 season, the highest WUE values, i.e. 0.864 kg grains/m³ water consumed, was detected from 2 Dec. sowing. These results may be referred to that in 2003/2004 season, the grain yield of 12 Dec. sowing was decreased compared to the 2 Dec. sowing by 6.56%, while ETc was decreased by 7.02%. However, in 2004/2005 season the grain yield of 12 Dec. sowing was decreased by 9.41%, while ETc decreased by 6.52%, compared to 2 Dec. sowing. On the other hand, the lowest WUE values, i.e. 0.773 and 0.823 kg grains/m³ water consumed in 2003/2004 and 2004/2005 seasons, respectively, were obtained from early sowing of 22 Nov. These results found to be true, since the early sowing gave the highest grain yield, but the consumptive use was also higher. It could be concluded that for high grain production when water is more available the early sowing during the 2nd half of Nov., may be preferable, but when water is scarcer, it is preferable to delay sowing to 2 Dec. in order to obtain high WUE. Data listed in Table (9) reveal that the highest WUE values, i.e. 0.844 and 0.897 kg grains/m³ water consumed in 2003/2004 and 2004/2005 seasons, respectively, were detected from irrigating wheat plants at 55% ASMD. However, irrigation at 80% ASMD gave the lowest WUE values, i.e. 0.657 and 0.751 kg grains/m³ water consumed in the two successive seasons, respectively.

Irrigation at 30% ASMD decreased WUE values compared to irrigation at 55% ASMD by 1.18% and 2.23% only in 2003/2004 and 2004/2005 seasons, respectively. These results may be due to that irrigation at 55% ASMD decreased grain yield by 4.25% and 4.99%, while seasonal ETc was decreased by 5.38% and 6.95%, in the two successive seasons, compared to irrigation at 30% ASMD. These results are in agreement with those reported by Abdel-Mottaleb and Metwally (1992), and Yousef and Eid (1999).

The data recorded in Table (9) show that the WUE values, as a function of the interaction between sowing date and irrigation regime treatments, were different from one season to the another. In the first season, planting wheat on 12 Dec. and irrigation at 55% ASMD gave the highest WUE., i.e. 0.871 kg grains/m³ water consumed.

However, in the second season, sowing on 2 Dec. and irrigation at 55% ASMD, gave the highest WUE (0.936 kg grains/m³ water). On the other hand, the lowest WUE values, i.e. 0.626 and 0.737 kg grains/m³ water consumed were resulted from irrigation at 80% ASMD with 12 Dec. and 22 Nov. sowing dates in 2003/2004 and 2004/2005 seasons, respectively.

Table (9). Effect of sowing date, irrigation regime treatments and their interaction on water use efficiency (kg grains/m³ water consumed) of wheat crop in 2003/2004 and 2004/2005 seasons.

Sowing dates (D)	2003/2004				2004/2005			
	Irrigation regimes (ASMD)				Irrigation regimes (ASMD)			
	(I ₁) 30%	(I ₂) 55%	(I ₃) 80%	Mean	(I ₁) 30%	(I ₂) 55%	(I ₃) 80%	Mean
(D ₁) 22/11	0.807	0.815	0.698	0.773	0.868	0.864	0.737	0.823
(D ₂) 2/12	0.844	0.846	0.647	0.779	0.893	0.936	0.764	0.864
(D ₃) 12/12	0.850	0.871	0.626	0.782	0.871	0.891	0.751	0.838
Mean	0.834	0.844	0.657	0.778	0.877	0.897	0.751	0.842

REFERENCES :

Abdel-Mottaleb, F.A and M.A. Metwally (1992). Response of wheat to irrigation under different soil moisture levels. *Manofiya J. Agric. Res.* 17(3):1483-1500.

Allen, R.G.; L.S.; Pereiro, D.S. Raes, and M.D. Smith, (1998). Crop evapotranspiration. Guidelines for computing crop water requirements. Irrigation and Drainage, PP No.56, FAO, Rome, Italy.

Doorenbos, J.; A.H. Kassam, and C.L.M. Bentvelson, (1979). Yield response to water. Irrigation and Drainage Paper 33:164-172, FAO, Rome Italy.

El-Morshidy, M.A; Y.G.A. Tammam, El-Gawad and E.E.M. Elorong, (1998). Mean performance of some wheat genotypes, as influenced by some cultural practices under new valley conditions *Assiut J. of Agric. Sci.* 29(5):1-21.

El-Sayed, A.A.A. (1982). Water requirements of wheat and its effect on some grain quality characters. Ph.D. Thesis, Fac. Agric., Ain Shams Univ., Egypt.

Gupta, A.K., A.S. Bali and A.K. Dixit, (2004). Performance of wheat genotypes under different sowing schedules in Kashmir Valley- *Environment and Ecology*, 22(3):521-523.

Hegab, M.T.; M.O. Salem; M.A. El-Gayer, and S.A.Ali, (1984). Effect of sowing date on yield and yield components in some introduced wheat lines under Sinai conditions. *Ann of Agric. Sc., Moshtohor* 22 (1): 65-76.

Hussain, I., M. Aslam; S.K. Tanveer; M. Sohail, and N.S. Kissana, (2003). First irrigation and nitrogen application in zero-tillage wheat. *Pakistan J. Agron.* 2(2):109-112.

Ibrahim, A.F.; A.A.Kandil; A.H.El-Hattar; and A.K. Eissa, (1986). Effect of sowing date and weed control on grain yield and its components in some wheat cultivars. *J. of Agron. and Crop Sci.* 157 (3):199-207.

Isrealsen, O.W. and V.C. Hansen, (1962). *Irrigation Principles and Practices'* The 3rd ed., John Willey and Sons Inco., New York, U.S.A.

Klute, A. (1986). *Methods of Soil Analysis. Part-1: Physical and Mineralogical Methods* (2nd ed.) American Society of Agronomy, Madison, Wisconsin, U.S.A.

Metwally, M.A.; M.N. Seifel-Yazal; A.Y. Badawi; H.W. Tawadros, and A. Serry (1984). Effect of soil moisture stress on some wheat varieties. *Agric. Res. Rev.* 62(4A) : 15-26.

- Meyer, W.S. and G.C.Green, (1980). Water use by wheat and plant indicators of available soil water. Agron. J. 72:253-256.
- Nazir, M.S.; A. Khan; G. Ali, and M. Akhtar, (1980). Relationship between growing periods and yield components of three short duration wheat genotypes. J. Agric. Res. Pakistan, 18(4): 141-145.
- Page, A.L.; R.H. Miller, and D.R. Keeney, (eds.) (1982). Methods of Soil Analysis. Part-2: Chemical and Microbiological Properties. (2nd ed.). American Society of Agronomy, Madison, Wisconsin, U.S.A.
- Rajput, R.L. and R.N. Pandey (2004). Effect of sowing dates and soil moisture regimes on growth, yield and water use efficiency of wheat under late sown condition. Adv. in Plant Sci. 17(1):213-217.
- Snedecor, G.W. and W.G. Cochran (1980). "Statistical Methods", Iowa State Univ., Press, Ames, Iowa, USA.
- Sufian, M.A.; M.A. Samad; M.A.K. Miah, and M.A. Razzaque (1983). Effect of time of sowing on some important characters of ten wheat genotypes. Bangladesh J. of Agric. Res. 8(2) :143-148.
- Tomar, S.S. and O.P. Verma (1985). Effect of irrigation and mulch on the performance of wheat planted on different dates. Zeitschrift, fur, Acker, und Pflanzebau. 154(4): 258-266.
- Vites, F. Jr. (1965). Increasing water use efficiency by soil management in plant environment and efficient water use. J. Ameri. Soc. Agron. 26: 537-546.
- Yousef, K.M.R. and M.R.K. Ashry (2006). Yield and water relations of wheat under tillage systems and irrigation regimes at Fayoum. Fayoum J. Agric. Res. and Dev. 20(2): 184-199.
- Yousef, K.M.R. and R.A. Eid (1994). Soil moisture stress and nitrogen fertilization effect on wheat yield and water use. Egypt. J. Appl. Sci. 9(4):784-795.
- Yousef, K.M.R. and R.A. Eid (1999). Water consumptive use and yield of wheat as affected by irrigation and N fertilizer froms. Fayoum J. Agric. Res. and Dev. 13(1):159-170.
- Yousef, K.M.R. and N.S. Hanna (1998). Evaluation of some bread wheat varieties to yield and water relations at Fayoum Governorate. Fayoum J. Agric. Res. and Dev. 12 (2) : 216-229.

إدارة مياه الري لمحصول القمح تحت مواعيد زراعة مختلفة بالفيوم

كمال ميلاد رزق يوسف ، محمد رجب كامل عشري
معهد بحوث الأراضي والمياه والبيئة - مركز البحوث الزراعية - مصر

- أقيمت التجارب الحقلية بمحطة بحوث كوم أوشيم بالفيوم - مصر خلال موسمي الزراعة ٢٠٠٢، ٢٠٠٤ / ٢٠٠٥، ٢٠٠٤ لدراسة تأثير مواعيد الزراعة (D₁) ٢٢ نوفمبر، (D₂) ٢ ديسمبر، (D₃) ١٢ ديسمبر ونظم إدارة مياه الري وذلك بالري عند فقد: (I₁) ٣٠%، (I₂) ٥٥%، (I₃) ٨٠% من الماء الميسر بالتربة علي محصول القمح ومكوناته وبعض العلاقات المائية للمحصول صنف جيزه ١٦٨. واستخدم تصميم القطع المنشقة مرة واحدة في أربع مكررات وفيما يلي ملخص لأهم النتائج المتحصل عليها:
- أدت زراعة القمح في ٢٢ نوفمبر والري عند فقد ٣٠% من الماء الميسر إلى الحصول علي أعلى متوسطات لإرتفاع النبات، عدد السنابل/م^٢، وزن الحبوب/م^٢، وزن ١٠٠٠ حبه، محصول الحبوب للفدان/فدان (١٥٦٦، ١٦٥٦ كجم) محصول القش/فدان (٩، ٣٦٢٥، ٤، ٣٨٢٤ كجم) في ٢٠٠٣ / ٢٠٠٤، ٢٠٠٤، ٢٠٠٥ علي التوالي. بينما نتجت أقل متوسطات القياسات السابقة من الزراعة في ١٢ ديسمبر والري عند فقد ٨٠% من الماء الميسر في كلا الموسمين.
 - أدي تأخير ميعاد الزراعة من ٢٢ نوفمبر إلي ١٢ ديسمبر إلي نقص معنوي في مكونات المحصول، محصول الحبوب/فدان (١٢، ٧%، ١٢، ٤%) ومحصول القش/فدان (٧، ٢٤%، ٦، ٢٥%) في

- الموسمين المتعاقبين بينما أدت زيادة فقد الماء الميسر من ٣٠% إلى ٨٠% إلى نقص معنوي في مكونات المحصول، محصول الحبوب/فدان بنسبة ٢٩,٧ ، ٢٤,٥%، محصول القش/فدان بنسبة (١١,٩% ، ١٣,٤%) في ٢٠٠٣/٢٠٠٤ ، ٢٠٠٤/٢٠٠٥ علي الترتيب .
- ٣- كان الإستهلاك المائي الموسمي لجميع معاملات التجربة ٤٠,٤ ، ٣٩,٧ سم في موسمي ٢٠٠٣/٢٠٠٤ ، ٢٠٠٤/٢٠٠٥ علي الترتيب وقد أدى تأخير الزراعة من ٢٢ نوفمبر إلي ١٢ ديسمبر وزيادة فقد الماء الميسر بالتربة من ٣٠% إلي ٨٠% إلي خفض الإستهلاك المائي الموسمي من ٤٦,١٨ ، ٤٥,٤٣ سم إلي ٣٥,٣٥ ، ٣٤,٨٥ سم في الموسمين المتعاقبين علي الترتيب .
- ٤- بدأ معدل الإستهلاك المائي اليومي بقيم منخفضة خلال نوفمبر وديسمبر ثم ازداد خلال يناير وفبراير ليصل إلي أقصى قيمة له خلال مارس ثم عاود الإنخفاض مرة أخرى خلال أبريل وحتى الحصاد في مايو ونقص معدل الاستهلاك المائي اليومي بتأخير ميعاد الزراعة عن ٢٢ نوفمبر وزيادة فقد الماء الميسر في التربة عن ٣٠% في جميع شهور الموسمين. وكانت قيم ثابت المحصول ٥١,٥١ ، ٥٩,٠٠ ، ٦٧,٠٠ ، ٨٠,٠٠ ، ٩٥,٠٠ ، ٦٩,٠٠ ، ٤٩,٠٠ (متوسط الموسمين) للشهور نوفمبر، ديسمبر، يناير، فبراير، مارس، أبريل، مايو علي الترتيب .
- ٥- كانت أعلى قيم لكفاءة إستعمال الماء هي ٨٧١,٠٠ ، ٩٣٦,٠٠ كجم حبوب/م^٣ ماء مستهلك قد نتجت من الزراعة المتأخرة (١٢ ديسمبر) والري عند فقد ٥٥% من الماء الميسر في ٢٠٠٣/٢٠٠٤ ، الزراعة في ٢ ديسمبر والري عند فقد ٥٥% من الماء الميسر في ٢٠٠٤/٢٠٠٥ علي الترتيب .