

## INFLUENCE OF SULPHUR, BIOFERTILIZERS AND MINERAL NITROGEN ON SUGAR BEET YIELD, YIELD COMPONENTS, TECHNOLOGICAL CHARACTERISTICS AND SOME SOIL ELEMENTAL CONTENT.

Aboshady, KH.A.\*, E. H. Omar\*\* and H.H. El- Sebaay\*\*\*

\*Sugar Crops.Res.Institute, ARC.,Giza,Egypt

\*\*Soil, Water & Environment Res. Institute, ARC.,Giza,Egypt.

\*\*\*Fac.of Agric., Botany Dept., Al-Azhar Univ.

### ABSTRACT

Two field experiments were conducted during successive seasons 2004/2005 and 2005/2006 at Sakha Agricultural Research Station, Kafr El-Sheikh Governorate to study the effect of sulphur, seed inoculation with two types of bacteria *Thiobacillus thioparus* and *Azospirillum brasilense* as biofertilizers and their combinations with elemental sulphur under two levels of mineral N, 70 and 90 kg/fed. on sugar beet yield, technological characteristics and plant and soil elemental content. The design of the experiment was split plot with four replicates, sulphur and biofertilizers were distributed in the main plots while nitrogen rates were assigned in the sub-plots. Sulfur oxidizing bacteria *Thiobacillus* and free living nitrogen fixing bacteria *Azospirillum*, isolated from Egyptian soil were added with Arabic Gum mixture and mixed with sugar beet seeds before sowing immediately in different treatments to compensate amount of nitrogen request to plant by this bacteria. The important results could be summarized as follows:-

Inoculation with bacteria *Thiobacillus* under different periods increased the concentration of sulfates of the culture. While inoculation with *A. brasilense* increased nitrogen content.

Biofertilizers, sulphur addition, mineral N fertilizer and the interaction had a high significant effect on sugar beet yield, yield components and the technological properties.

Sulphur application and seeds inoculation by sulfur oxidizing bacteria *Thiobacillus* or *A. brasilense* N<sub>2</sub> fixing bacteria led to a high significant increase in root yield, top yield, sugar yield, root dimensions, sucrose, purity and extractability .

Increasing nitrogen fertilizer rate from 70 kg/fed. to 90 kg/fed. resulted in increasing root , top, sugar yields , juice impurities ( Na % and alpha amino N %), sugar loss to molasses % .On the other hand, sucrose % , potassium % , purity % , extractability % and extracted sugar % were decreased in the two studied seasons.

Inoculation of sugar beet seeds with *A. brasilense* increased available N contents in leaves and soil.

Application of sulphur and inoculation of beet seeds with *Thiobacillus* resulted in increasing plant growth and consequently, decreased soil salinity, pH and increased P, K, Zn, Fe and Mn in leaves and its availability in the soil.

Increasing N fertilizer rate up to 90 kg/ fed. increased N P K contents of sugar beet leaves and soil available N, P, Zn, Fe and Mn.

It could be concluded that application of elemental sulphur, sugar beet seeds inoculation with sulfur oxidation bacteria *Thiobacillus* and free living nitrogen fixing bacteria *Azospirillum* as a biofertilizers and 90 kg mineral nitrogen achieved the highest yield and proper technological characteristics of sugar beet.

## INTRODUCTION

Sugar beet one of sugar crops important for production of sugar and other products .In recent years the world beginning return to biofertilization and organic agriculture. In this trend biofertilization was used by application sulfur oxidizing bacteria *Thiobacillus thioparus* to oxidation sulphur to  $SO_4$  ,(Mc Cready and Krause (1982). Sulfur oxidation plays an important role in reducing soil PH and thus increases the availability of phosphorus and micronutrients, Abd El-Fattah *et al.*,(1990). El-Leboudi *et al.* (1985), Shata *et al.* (1990) and El-Kammah and Ali (1996) concluded that sugar beet yield , sucrose content and total soluble salts were significantly increased with increasing levels of applied sulphur. Hashem *et al.* (1997) concluded that soil pH and EC values associated with residual sulfur treatment were decreased compared with control .Root and sugar yields were significantly increased with the higher rate of residual sulfur and/ or organic matter. The  $N_2$  fixing bacteria *Azotobacter clostridia* and *Azospirillum brasilense*, sulfur oxidizing bacteria were sharply influenced by sulfur content in the soil. Hussein (2002) found that boron fertilization and sulfur application to soil at

rate 0.6 % increased root and sugar yields, TSS , juice purity and decreased soil pH. Ouda (2002) showed that application of S at rate 6, 8 and 10 kg/fed. for sugar beet in sandy soil decreased the most studied characters and concluded that addition of 100 kg N/fed. with 6 kg S/fed. Produced the highest sugar yield. Thomas *et al.* (2003) revealed that application of sulfur (25 kg/ha) resulted in 25 % increase in root yield and significant increase in shoot and root dry matter in the low sulfur soil content. Root quality was also increased due to the reduction of alpha- amino N concentration. Hoffmann *et al.* (2004) found that sugar beet yield and sucrose concentration were decreased, while K, Na and alpha – amino N considerably increased with extremely low sulfur supply. With sulfur concentration 0.3 % in young leaf blades no change in yield or quality occurred as a result of S application .El-Gala *et al.* (1989) , Abd El-Fattah *et al.* (1990) and Hilal *et al.* (1990 ) concluded that application of sulphur to the soil increased crop yield , plant content of N, P, K, Zn, Fe and Mn.

Response of sugar beet to nitrogen fertilizer has been studied by many scientists. They concluded that sugar beet produce maximum sucrose if nitrogen is available in the proper soil. Nemeat- Alla (2005) found that root length and diameter, root and top yields were increased with increasing N rate from 60 to 100 kg/fed. while sugar yield, sucrose, and juice purity were not affected. Nitrogen fixation by chemolithotrophic was used for reducing the application of mineral nitrogen fertilizer, ( Rai and Sreenivasa (1994). He added that *Azospirillum brasilens* was used as biofertilization (atmospheric nitrogen fixer), which increased root yield of sugar beet. Parvatham *et al.* (1989) showed that the highest shoot girth, root length and root volume of okra cultivars were obtained from soil treated with *Azospirillum* inoculums at 25 kg/ha. Sundaravelu and Muthurishnan (1993) concluded that radish plants from seeds treated with *Azospirillum* and / or GA<sub>3</sub> had more and longer leaves, longer and thicker roots and a greater total weight root than control. Cakmakci *et al.* (2001) revealed that sugar beet seed inoculation with N<sub>2</sub> - fixing bacteria significantly increased yield, yield components and quality parameters .Mineral N, P and N P fertilizers application also increased root yield. They added that N<sub>2</sub> - fixing bacteria had yields equal to mineral N application. Shafika *et al.* (2005) concluded that increasing nitrogen fertilizer level up to 110 kg N /fed. increased root, top, and sugar yields, juice impurities ( Na % and alpha amino N %), sugar loss to molasses % and concentrations of N, P , Na. On the other hand , K % and alkalinity coefficient were decreased. Increasing the

applied sulphur dose up to 8 cm<sup>3</sup> S / l led to additional increase in root, top, and sugar yields, sucrose, juice purity, extractable sugar , alkalinity coefficient and N P K elements.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

Two field trials were carried out at Sakha Agricultural Research Station (Kafr El-Sheikh Governorate) during successive seasons 2004/2005 and 2005/2006 to study the effect of biofertilization with *Thiobacillus thioparus* , *Azospirillum brasilense* and sulphur under two levels of nitrogen on sugar beet yield, roots quality and plant and soil elemental contents . The treatments were inoculation of sugar beet seeds with oxidation bacteria *Thiobacillus* , free living ,nitrogen fixing bacteria *Azospirillum* as biofertilizers , elemental sulphur at the rate of 50 kg /fed. added before sowing and the combinations under two levels of mineral nitrogen 70 and 90 kg N / fed.

The design of the experiment was split plot with four replicates as follows:

#### Main plots:

- 1-Control.
- 2 - Elemental sulphur, 50 kg/fed. (S).
- 3 – *Thiobacillus* as sulphur oxidizing bacteria.
- 4 –*Azospirillum* as nitrogen fixing bacteria.
- 5 - S + *Thiobacillus*.
- 6 - S + *Azospirillum*.
- 7- S + *Thiobacillus* + *Azospirillum*.

#### Sub plots:

- 1- N 70: application of 70 kg N/fed.
- 2- N 90: application of 90-kg N/fed.

Biofertilizer treatments were allocated in the main plots and nitrogen levels were randomly distributed in the sub-plots. Phosphorus fertilizer was applied in the form of calcium super phosphate (15.5% P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>) at the rate of 30 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> /fed at seed bed preparation. Nitrogen fertilizer was applied as Urea (46% N) at the rate of 70 and 90 kg N/fed in two equal doses (after thinning and one month later). A multigerm sugar beet variety Corola was sown during the 1<sup>st</sup> week of October while harvest was done 7 months later in both seasons. Plot size was 21 m<sup>2</sup>. Sugar beet was sown on ridges of 7 m long, 6 ridges, and 50-cm apart with 20 cm between hills. The previous crop was maize in both seasons. The soil of the experimental site was non saline non alkaline and clayey in texture. Some physical and chemical

properties of the upper 30-cm of soil of the experimental site are illustrated in Table (1). Other agricultural practices were done as recommended by Sugar Crops Research Institute.

Table (1) Some chemical and physical properties of the experimental site.

Soil Properties	First season	Second season
pH, 1:2:5	7.82	8.21
E <sub>Ce</sub> , dS/m	2.12	2.79
Soluble Cations and Anions, meq/l		
Ca <sup>2+</sup>	5.8	5.0
Mg <sup>2+</sup>	3.4	4.0
Na <sup>+</sup>	11.5	18.1
K <sup>+</sup>	0.58	0.7
CO <sub>3</sub> <sup>2-</sup>	0.0	0.0
HCO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup>	3.46	3.5
Cl <sup>-</sup>	4.30	6.6
SO <sub>4</sub> <sup>2-</sup>	13.52	17.7
ESP	8.00	10.2
Total carbonates, %	2.35	2.63
Organic matter, %	1.52	1.36
Available nitrogen, ppm	27.8	23.3
Available-P (extracted by NaHCO <sub>3</sub> ), ppm	5.95	5.2
Available-K (extracted by ammonium acetate) ppm	396	416
DTPA extracted Zn, Ppm	2.5	2.4
DTPA extracted Fe, Ppm	16.1	14.6
DTPA extracted Mn, Ppm	21.8	19.6
Particle Size Distribution		
Sand, %	17.64	18.87
Silt, %	33.52	32.73
Clay, %	48.84	48.40
Texture class	Clayey	Clayey

**Data recorded:****A-Isolation and purification of bacteria:****1- *Azospirillum*:**

Egyptian fertile soil sample was used to isolation of N<sub>2</sub> fixing *Azospirillum* by enrichment method by using Erlenmeyer flask (lap Full) containing 25 ml. of semi solid by adding 0.5 % agar of Dobrinier medium free of any nitrogen sources and inoculated with 0.10 mg fertile soil and incubated at 30C° for 72 hours. Purification was done by streaking on agar medium. The growth of colony was selected and tested microscopically and stain reaction , then applied of *Azospirillum* characters in Holt (1994) to be insure belonging to *Azospirillum brasilense* pure culture ,and tested to capable of atmosphere nitrogen ,by growing in flasks 250 ml containing 100 ml of Dobrinere with addition of bromthymol blue and determination of total nitrogen at 2, 4, 6, 8 and 10 days from start of inoculation process using microKjldahl method.

**2- *Thiobacillus*:**

Sulfur oxidizing *Thiobacillus* was isolated from Egyptian soil by enrichment technique, by using flasks containing Starkey's broth medium, bacteria were amplified and purified by streaking on plate of Starkey's agar medium, and examined by microscope using gram staining and applied of criterions of Thiobacillus bacteria in Starkey (1966) and Holt(1994).To be insure belonging to *Thiobacillus* the culture was tested for efficiency of sulfur oxidation and free nitrogen fixation using flasks containing of Starkey's broth medium and total nitrogen was determined according to microKjldahl and sulfate formation according to (Page et al. , 1982) at 2,4,6,8 and 10 days from start of inoculation.

**B-Preparation of bacterial inoculums:****1 - *Azospirillum*:**

Mother culture of local isolate bacteria was propagated by inoculation of 250 ml flasks containing 100 ml of Dobrinere medium. Flasks incubated for 72 h under appropriate growth condition. Count of *Azospirillum* cells was about  $3.5 \times 10^7$  CFU /ml of culture. Sugar beet seeds were inoculated with the inoculum using 100 ml of the culture and 80 g Arabic gum per feddan. Doberniere medium composition according to Doberniere (1978) is Malic acid 5.0 g , KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> 0.5 g , MgSO<sub>4</sub> . 7H<sub>2</sub>O 0.2 g, NaCl 0.1 g, CaCl<sub>2</sub> 0.02 g,

FeSO<sub>4</sub>.7H<sub>2</sub>O 0.5 g, Sodium molybdate 0.002 g, MnSO<sub>4</sub>.4H<sub>2</sub>O 0.01 g, KOH 4.0 g, Agar 1.75 g, Distilled water 1000 ml, 0.5 % alcoholic solution of bromothymol blue, pH 6.8

## 2 - *Thiobacillus*:

Purified culture were inoculated in 250 ml flasks containing 50 ml, of Starkey's broth medium and incubated at 30°C for six days. Medium composition, g/l is Na<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub>.7H<sub>2</sub>O 2.27, KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> 1.8, MgCl<sub>2</sub>.7H<sub>2</sub>O 0.1, (NH<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> 1.098, MnCl<sub>2</sub>.2H<sub>2</sub>O 0.023, CaCl<sub>2</sub> 0.003, FeCl<sub>3</sub>.6H<sub>2</sub>O 0.033, Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> 1.0 and Na<sub>2</sub>S<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>.5H<sub>2</sub>O. Count of *Thiobacillus* was 5.2x10<sup>6</sup> CFU /ml. Inoculation of seeds by *Thiobacillus* or dual inoculation with *Thiobacillus* and *A. brasilense* were as mentioned for inoculation with *Azospirillum*.

## Test organism isolation and inoculums production:

Two purified isolates of bacteria were used in different treatments, the first was sulfur oxidizing bacteria chemolithotrophic *Thiobacillus* and the second was free living atmosphere nitrogen fixing bacteria *Azospirillum*.

1. *Azospirillum*: It was isolated from Egyptian soil by the Staff of Microbiology Lab., Bot., Dept., Fac., Agric., Al-Azhar Univ., after that pass with different stages as purification, capable on nitrogen fixation and identification according to microbiology protocol, Holt 1994 and Shokry 2006. Finally inoculum production under microaerophilic condition by added agar 0.2 % of growth medium viable cells up to 275 x 10<sup>6</sup>/ml.
2. *Thiobacillus*: It was local isolated, purified, identified and tested on sulfur oxidation partially as well as capable on atmosphere nitrogen fixation as oligonitrophilic according to Starkey (1966) and Holt 1994 ; American Public Health Association,

## C- Sugar beet yield, yield components and technological characteristics:

At harvest, a sample of ten guarded plants was taken at random to determine the following characters:

Juice quality characteristics were determined in the fresh roots using an automatic French system (HYCEL):

1. Sucrose percentage (Pol. %) was determined using polarimeter on a lead acetate extract of fresh macerate root according to the method of (Le-Doct, 1927).

2. Potassium and sodium were determined using flame photometer and  $\alpha$ -amino nitrogen was determined using ninhydrin and hydrindantin method according to (Carruthers *et al.*, 1962).
3. Purity % was calculated according to the following formulas: Purity % =  $99.36 - [14.27(V_1 + V_2 + V_3) / V_4]$  (Devillers, 1988).  
Where:  $V_1$  = Sodium %       $V_2$  = Potassium %  
 $V_3$  =  $\alpha$ -amino N %       $V_4$  = Sucrose % (Pol %).
4. Sugar loss to molasses (SM), sugar extractable and extractability % were calculated according to the following formulas:  
Sugar loss to molasses =  $(V_1 + V_2) 0.14 + V_1 \times 0.25 + 0.5$ , (Devillers, 1988).  
Extractable sugar % =  $V_4 - SM - 0.6$ , (Dexter *et al.*, 1967).  
Extractability % = extractable sugar / sucrose %.  
Root fresh weight, root yield, sugar yield and top yield were determined as follows:
5. Root fresh weight (kg/plant).
6. Root yield (tons/fed) was determined on the whole plot basis.
7. Sugar yield (tons/fed) was calculated according to the following equation:  
Sugar yield = root yield x sucrose % x purity %.
- 8- Alkalinity coefficient =  $v_1 + v_2 / v_3$
9. Top yield (tons/fed).

#### D- Plant analysis:

Leaves of sugar beet samples were dried, milled and wet digested for determination of N, P, K, Zn, Fe and Mn according to (Chapman and Pratt, 1967).

#### E-Soil analysis:

Soil available N was extracted by  $K_2SO_4$  solution 0.5 N and determined using Automatic Micro - Kjeldahl. Soil available P was extracted by  $NaHCO_3$  0.5 M and, determined according to (Murphy and Riley, 1962). Soil available K was extracted by ammonium acetate 1 N and determined using the Flame - photometer according to (Page *et al.*, 1982). Soil available Zn, Fe and Mn were extracted by DTPA solution Lindsay and Norvell, (1978).

The collected data were statistically analyzed according to the method described by (Snedecor and Cochran, 1981).



## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

**1-Effect of incubation with *A. brasilense* and *Thiobacillus* bacteria on N<sub>2</sub> - fixation and sulphur oxidation:**

The obtained results in Table (2) showed that inoculation with *A. brasilense* fixes the atmospheric nitrogen whereas N content increased from 40 ppm up to 45 ppm after 8 days. On the other hand, inoculation with sulphur oxidizing bacteria *Azospirillum* oxidized elemental sulphur whereas SO<sub>4</sub> increased from 300 ppm after 2 days to 650 ppm after 10 days.

Table (2) Nitrogen and sulfate concentrations(ppm) in the culture as affected by inoculation with *Azospirillum* and *Thiobacillus*.

Incubation period days	<i>Azospirillum brasilins</i>	<i>Thiobacillus thioparus</i>	
	N	SO <sub>4</sub> <sup>2-</sup>	N
2	40	300	22
4	42	620	24
6	45	640	24
8	45	645	24
10	42	650	23

**2- Sugar beet yield and yield components:**

The obtained results in Table (3) showed that the biofertilizer treatments, mineral nitrogen levels and the interaction had high significant effect on root yield in the 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> seasons. Seed inoculation by sulfur oxidizing *Thiobacillus* bacteria or *A. brasilense* N<sub>2</sub> - fixing bacteria led to a high significant increase in root, shoot, sugar yields, root diameter and root length in the 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> seasons. *Thiobacillus* oxidizing S element to SO<sub>4</sub> causing decrease in soil pH, leading to increase availability of most plant nutrients (Mc Cready and Krause, 1982). There are different mechanisms responsible for the effective role of *A. brasilense* in enhancing plant growth, the main effect represented in N<sub>2</sub> - fixation performed by this microb (Subba Rao, 1984). *A. brasilense* is also active producers to phytohormone and vitamins of group B (Rodelas et al., 1993). The ability of this microorganism in producing plant growth phytohormone explains growth promotion of the inoculated plants. Also, it has been established that growth regulators like cytokines inhibit the loss of

Table (3) Sugar beet yield and yield components as influenced by sulphur ,biofertilizers and nitrogen rates:

Treatments	First season					Second season				
	Root yield, ton/fed.	Top yield, ton/fed.	Sugar yield, ton/fed.	Root diameter, Cm	Root Length , cm	Root yield, ton/fed.	Top yield, ton/fed.	Sugar yield, ton/fed.	Root Diameter , cm	Root length, cm
Sulphur and biofertilizers										
Control	23.30	8.91	4.38	11.33	33.67	23.09	8.96	3.75	13.67	38.38
Sulphur (S)	23.78	10.06	4.27	12.18	30.34	24.32	9.63	4.06	11.64	37.00
<i>Thiobacillus</i> (T)	23.88	10.15	4.35	12.01	31.83	24.68	8.66	4.10	13.18	39.56
<i>A. brasilense</i> (A)	23.48	9.86	4.22	12.36	30.51	24.81	8.56	3.94	14.69	40.84
S + T	24.30	10.82	4.46	13.68	33.84	25.61	9.64	4.16	15.14	41.59
S + A	24.40	10.25	4.71	12.01	30.84	25.31	9.30	4.03	13.34	40.19
S + T + A	25.39	10.12	4.57	11.86	33.19	25.77	9.12	4.37	13.30	39.00
F-test	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**
L.S.D 0.05	0.613	0.6	0.375	1.483	2.567	0.984	0.619	0.235	1.810	3.758
L.S.D 0.01	0.886	0.868	0.442	2.146	3.414	1.424	0.895	0.239	2.618	4.435
Nitrogen										
N 1	23.52	9.35	4.32	12.01	31.58	23.56	8.29	3.90	13.75	39.43
N 2	25.20	10.70	4.53	12.39	32.49	26.04	9.96	4.22	13.38	39.59
F-test	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	ns
L.S.D 0.05	0.265	0.282	0.131	0.216	0.492	0.238	0.226	0.128	0.702	0.00
L.S.D 0.01	0.360	0.383	0.179	0.375	0.669	0.325	0.308	0.174	0.956	0.00
Interaction										
B x N	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**

\* B = biofertilizers

some micronutrients e.g. Cu, B, Zn, and Mo from leaves (Mauk and Nooden, 1992). All these aforementioned effects of *A. brasilense* inoculation leads together to enhancement of plant growth and yield, and decrease the extensive use of chemical fertilizers leading to a clean food and environment. Application of sulphur and seed inoculation by *Thiobacillus* or *Azospirillum* encouraged the increase of the abovementioned yield parameters. The highest average root yields 25.39 and 25.77 ton/fed. were resulted under sulphur application and seed inoculation by *Thiobacillus* and *Azospirillum* treatment in the 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> seasons, respectively. However El-Adl (2002) revealed that increasing the rate of elemental sulphur increased fresh and dry weights of sugar beet leaves and roots, root and sugar yields. The highest sugar yield in the 1<sup>st</sup> season, 4.71 ton/fed. was obtained under S + *Azospirillum* treatment, while in the 2<sup>nd</sup> season the highest sugar yield 4.37 ton/fed. was resulted under S + *Thiobacillus* bacteria + *Azospirillum* treatment. Data also revealed that application of sulphur and inoculation with *Thiobacillus* gave the highest average shoot yield, root diameter and root length in the 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> seasons. Regarding the effect of mineral nitrogen rate data in Table ( 3 ) cleared that increasing N rate from 70 kg/fed. to 90 kg/fed. resulted in increased root, top, and sugar yields, root diameter and root length in the two successive seasons. The same results were found by Hilal et al ( 1992 ) ; Zeinab et al (2000) and Hanaa (2001). The interaction between biofertilizers and mineral nitrogen had a high significant effect on sugar beet yield and yield components as shown in Table ( 3 ). obtained results are in agreement with those of; Cakmakci et al. (2001); Ghazy et al. (2002); and Shafika et al. (2005)

### 3-Technological characteristics:

Data in Table (4) revealed that root quality parameters except for extractability in the 1<sup>st</sup> season were significantly affected by biofertilizer treatments in the two studied seasons. Application of elemental sulphur increased sucrose %, alkalinity %, purity %, extractability % and extracted sugar % in the two studied seasons. In contrast, sulphur addition decreased juice impurities ( Na % and alpha amino N %), sugar loss to molasses.

Inoculation of sugar beet seeds with *Thiobacillus* bacteria or *Azospirillum* resulted in the same preferable effect of sulphur on the technological characteristics. The combinations between sulfur, *Thiobacillus* bacteria and *Azospirillum* almost increased sucrose %,



alkalinity %, purity %, extractability % and extracted sugar % in the 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> seasons. The highest average values (19.47 and 17.7 % ) for sucrose, (97.21 and 97.21 %) for purity. ( 91.88 and 91.41%) for extractability and ( 17.89 and 16.18 %) for extracted sugar were recorded under sulphur + *Thiobacillus* bacteria + *Azospirillum* treatment in the 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> seasons ,respectively.

Regarding to the mineral nitrogen treatments the obtained results showed that increasing nitrogen fertilizer rate from 70 kg/fed. to 90 kg/fed. resulted in increasing juice impurities ( Na % and alpha amino N %), sugar loss to molasses % .On the other hand, sucrose %, , potassium %, purity %, extractability % and extracted sugar % were decreased in the two studied seasons. Respecting to the interaction between biofertilizers and nitrogen fertilizer rates the analysis of variance indicated that the aforementioned quality parameters were high significantly influenced except loss to molasses in the 1<sup>st</sup> season. The obtained results are in agreement with those of; Zeinab et al (2000); Hanaa (2001); El-Adl (2002) ; Ghazy et al. (2002); Cakmakci et al. (2001) and Shafika et al. (2005).

#### 4- Plant elemental content:

Data in Table (5) indicated that biofertilizers, sulphur and mineral nitrogen fertilizer treatments increased the concentrations of macro and micronutrients in leaves of sugar beet compared with control during the two growing seasons. Nitrogen content in leaves of sugar beet was more influenced and increased by seed inoculation with *A. brasilense* and nitrogen fertilizer(Subba Rao, 1984). While phosphorus, zinc, iron and manganese contents in leaves were increased by sulphur and *Thiobacillus* treatments. Whoever El-Gala et al. (1989) , Abd El-Fattah et al. (1990) and Hilal et al. (1990) found that application of sulphur increased plant content of N, P, K, Zn, Fe and Mn. It should be take in consideration that inoculation with *Thiobacillus* for oxidation sulphur to SO<sub>4</sub> resulted in reducing soil reaction and consequently increased the availability of phosphorus and micronutrients for plant uptake, (Mc Cready and Krause (1982)The obtained results also showed that increasing nitrogen fertilizer from 70 to 90 kg N/fed. increased beet leaves content of N, P, K, Zn, Fe and Mn in the 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> seasons. The highest N, P and K concentrations in leaves 3.1, 0.3 and 2.0% in the 1<sup>st</sup> season and 3.05, 0.29 and 2.15 % in the 2<sup>nd</sup> season, respectively were obtained under sulphur + *Thiobacillus* and *Azospirillum* treatment. While the highest

Table ( 5 ) Elemental content of sugar beet leaves as affected by sulphur, biofertilizers and nitrogen rate.

Treatments	First season						Second season					
	Available macronutrients,%			Available micronutrients, ppm			Available macronutrients,%			Available micronutrients, ppm		
	N	P	K	Zn	Fe	Mn	N	P	K	Zn	Fe	Mn
<b>Sulphur and biofertilizers</b>												
Control	2.00	0.22	1.15	29.50	276.0	32.5	1.95	0.21	1.25	28.50	254.0	30.5
Sulphur (S)	2.35	0.26	1.40	38.50	306.5	41.0	2.35	0.25	1.50	38.00	282.0	38.5
<i>Thiobacillus</i> (T)	2.25	0.25	1.40	36.50	295.5	37.5	2.20	0.24	1.50	35.50	271.5	35.5
<i>A. brasilense</i> (A)	2.85	0.24	1.55	33.00	296.0	36.5	2.80	0.23	1.65	32.50	272.5	34.5
S + T	2.30	0.28	1.60	44.00	330.0	46.0	2.35	0.27	1.75	43.00	303.5	43.0
S + A	3.00	0.28	1.90	42.00	326.5	44.5	2.90	0.27	2.00	41.00	300.0	42.0
S + T + A	3.10	0.30	2.00	48.00	354.5	50.0	3.05	0.29	2.15	47.00	326.5	47.0
<b>Nitrogen</b>												
N 1	2.36	0.24	1.44	35.71	287.3	37.9	2.33	0.23	1.54	34.71	264.3	35.6
N 2	2.74	0.28	1.70	41.86	337.0	44.4	2.70	0.27	1.83	41.14	310.0	41.9

concentrations of Zn, Fe and Mn 48.0, 354.5 and 50.0 ppm in the first season, respectively and, 47.0, 326.5 and 47.0 ppm in the second season, respectively were obtained under sulphur + *Thiobacillus* and *Azospirillum* treatment. Increasing the rate of mineral nitrogen fertilizer from 70 to 90 kg/fed. increased the contents of macro and micro – nutrients in leaves of sugar beet during the two growing seasons.

#### 5-Soil content of available macro and micronutrients after harvesting:

Data in Table(6) show the effect of sulphur, biofertilizers and mineral N rates on the soil salinity, soil pH, soil available N, P and K and diamine triethylene penta acetic acid (DTPA) extractable Zn, Fe and Mn in the surface (0-30) soil layer. The obtained results clear that sulphur application decreased soil salinity and pH values and increased the DTPA extractable Zn, Fe and Mn compared to control. The reduction in soil salinity could be attributed to the improvement of soil physical properties which encouraged leaching of soluble ions. While formation of sulfuric acid in the soil solution due to sulphur application and inoculation with sulfur oxidizing bacteria *Thiobacillus* resulted in reducing soil reaction and consequently increased the availability of phosphorus and micronutrients. These results are in agreement with those of El-Leboudi et al. (1985), Shata et al. (1990), El-Kammah and Ali (1996) Hashem et al.(1997) and Abd Allah (1998). Inoculation of seeds by *Thiobacillus* exhibited the reduction of soil E<sub>Ce</sub> and pH values and increased the concentrations of DTPA extractable Zn, Fe and Mn. The average values of E<sub>Ce</sub> and pH decreased from (2.33 dS/m and 7.81) for control to (1.87 dS/m and 7.56) in the 1<sup>st</sup> season and from (2.84 dS/m and 8.2) for control to (2.28 dS/m and 7.94) in the 2<sup>nd</sup> season, respectively by application of sulphur and seed inoculation with *Thiobacillus* and *A. brasilense*. Regarding the N<sub>2</sub> fixing *Azospirillum* it is important to notice that in the case of sugar beet (as non – legume) nodules did not developed. The habitat of these bacteria in the root surface and intercellular spaces of cortex cells. In these rhizosphere associations the host plant provides root exudates as energy source for N<sub>2</sub> fixation. The highest average values of soil available N, P and K and DTPA - extractable Zn, Fe and Mn were recorded under S + T+ A treatment during the two studied seasons. Data also revealed that soil macro and micronutrients were increased with increasing the rate of mineral

Table (6) Soil salinity, pH and macro and micronutrients as affected by sulphur, biofertilizers and nitrogen rates.

Treatments	First season								Second season								
	EC, dS / m	pH, 1:2.5	Available macronutrients ,ppm			Available micronutrients ,ppm			EC, dS / m	pH, 1:2.5	Available macronutrients ,ppm			Available micronutrients ,ppm			
			N	P	K	Zn	Fe	Mn			N	P	K	Zn	Fe	Mn	
Sulphur and biofertilizers																	
Control	2.33	7.81	27.0	5.6	401.0	2.6	16.4	22.0	2.84	8.20	24.6	5.1	409.0	2.5	14.6	20.0	
Sulphur (S)	1.93	7.72	27.6	9.5	420.5	4.4	25.3	28.5	2.35	8.11	25.1	8.6	429.0	4.1	22.5	26.0	
<i>Thiobacillus</i> (T)	2.25	7.64	27.2	7.7	415.0	3.5	20.0	26.0	2.74	8.02	24.8	6.9	423.0	3.3	17.8	23.6	
<i>A. brasilense</i> (A)	2.29	7.82	33.3	6.2	421.0	3.0	18.1	23.9	2.79	8.21	30.3	5.6	429.0	2.8	16.1	21.8	
S + T	1.90	7.61	27.6	11.8	425.5	5.0	28.0	34.0	2.32	7.99	25.1	10.6	431.2	4.7	24.9	31.0	
S + A	1.93	7.72	34.9	10.0	423.0	4.6	25.5	30.1	2.35	8.11	31.8	9.0	434.7	4.3	22.7	27.4	
S + T + A	1.87	7.56	37.4	12.3	432.6	5.3	29.2	35.1	2.28	7.94	34.1	11.1	437.4	5.0	26.0	31.9	
Nitrogen																	
N 1	2.06	7.70	29.5	8.9	406.6	3.9	22.7	28.1	2.52	8.09	26.9	8.0	414.9	3.6	20.2	25.6	
N 2	2.07	7.69	31.9	9.1	450.4	4.2	23.6	28.9	2.53	8.07	29.0	8.2	459.1	3.9	21.0	26.3	



nitrogen fertilizer from 70 to 90 kg N / fed. in the two growing seasons of sugar beet. These results are in good agreement with those obtained by Sonbol et al. (2007).

### **Conclusion and Recommendation:**

It could be concluded that application of elemental sulphur, sugar beet seeds inoculation with sulfur oxidation bacteria *Thiobacillus* and free living nitrogen fixing bacteria *Azospirillum* as a biofertilizers and 90 kg mineral nitrogen achieved the highest yield and proper technological characteristics of sugar beet.

### **REFERENCES**

- Abd – Allah, M. A.A. (1998). Influence of sulphur and organic manures application on yield of sugar beet, subsequent crops and some chemical properties of salt affected soils. Ph. D. Thesis, Fac. Agri. Tanta Univ.
- Abd El – Fattah, A., M. H. Hilal, K. M. El – Habbashy and M. D. Bakry(1990).Amendment of alkaline clay soil by elemental sulphur and its effect on the response of garlic to phosphorus and nitrogen. Middle East Sulphur Symposium, Cairo. pp. 295-313.
- Cakmakci, R; F. Kantar and F. Sahin. (2001) Effect of N<sub>2</sub>-fixing bacterial Inoculations on yield of sugar beet and barley.J.of plant Nutrition and soil science,164(5):527-531.
- Carruthers, A.; J.F.T. Oldfield and H.J. Teague (1962). Assessment of beet quality. Paper presented to the 15th Annual Technical Conf., British sugar corporation, 8 pp.
- Chapman, H. D. and P. F. Pratt (1967). Methods of analysis for soil, plant and water. Univ. of California, Agric. Sci. August 2nd Printing, 150-179.
- Devillers, P. (1988). Prevision du sucre melasse sucrierie franases 190-200. (C.F. The Sugar Beet Crop. Book).
- Dexter, S.T.; M.G. Frankes and F.W. Snyder (1967). A rapid and practical method of determining extractable white sugar as may be applied to the evaluation of agronomic practices and grower deliveries in the sugar beet industry. J. Am., Soc., Sugar beet Technol. 14: 433-454.
- Dobereiner, J. (1978). Influence of environmental factors on the occurrence of *Spirillum lipoferum* in soil and roots. In

- Environmental role of N<sub>2</sub> – fixing blue green & a symbiotic bacteria. *Ecol Bull. Stockholm*, 26:343-352.
- El – Adl, H. A. (2002). Yield and quality of sugar beet as influenced by sulphur applied as a soil amendment in El – Hamoul , Kafr El – Sheikh, Egypt. M. Sc. Thesis, Fac. of Agric. Cairo Univ.
- El – Gala, A. M., M. A. Mostafa and S. E. El – Maghraby (1989). Influence of sulphur and saline irrigation water on growth and elemental status of barley plant grown on calcareous soils. *Egypt. J. Soil Sci. Special Issue*. pp 443-455.
- El – Leboudy, A.; M. Yousry and A. Khater (1985). Effect of sulphur and petroleum byproducts on soil characteristics .Availability of certain nutrients in alluvial soils. *Egypt. J. Soil Sci.* 25 (1): 21-27.
- El - Kammah, M. A. and R. A. Ali (1996). Responsiveness of sugar beet biomass to band applied sulphur, and its effects on the profitability of potassium and zinc fertilizers under clay soils. *J. Agric. Sci. Mansoura Univ.*, 21 (1): 383 -405.
- Ghazy, M. A.; M. A. Abo El – Soud ; E. A. Gazia and E. H. Omar (2002). Effect of some soil amendments on improvement of salt affected soils. National Symposium on Problems of Land Degradation in Egypt and Africa. Causes, Environment Hazards and Conservation Methods. 23-24 March 2002.
- Hanaa, H. Rafla (2001). Biochemical studies on plant tolerance to salinity. Ph.D. Thesis, Fac. of Agric. Cairo Univ.
- Hashem , F.A. ; S. E. EL - Maghraby and M.M.Wassif (1997). Efficiency of organic manure and residual sulphur under calcareous soil conditions. *Egypt. J. Soil Sci.* 37 (4): 451-465
- Hilal, M. H., H. El – Lakkany and H. El – Sheemy (1990). Effect of sulphur and long term fertilizer application program on rhizosphere activity and yield of peanuts in a sandy soil. Middle East Sulphur Symposium, Cairo. pp. 217-227.
- Hilal, M. H.; A.M. Salim and A.S. Neklawy (1992). Enhancing and retarding effects of combined sulphur and fertilizer application on crop production in different soils. *Proceedings Middle East Sulphur Symposium* 12 – 16 February, Cairo, Egypt, 281-289.
- Hoffmann, C. ; N. Stockfisch. and H.J. Koch (2004). Influence of sulfur supply on yield and quality of sugar beet (*Beta vulgaris L.*). Determination of threshold value. *European J. of Agronomy*, 21(1):69-80.
- Hussein , M. A (2002). Effect of boron on the yield, elemental content and Quality characteristics of sugar beet grown in calcareous soil

- amended with sulfur. Alexandria J. of Agric. Research., 47( 2) :201- 207 .
- Holt, J. G. (1994). *Bergey's Manual of Determinative Bacteriology* (9th Ed.) , Williams & Wilkins, Baltimore.
- Le-Doct, A. (1927). Commercial determination of sugar in beet root using the Saches – Le Doct Process Int. Sugar J., 29: 488-92.
- Lindsay, W. I. and W. A. Norvell (1978). Development of DTPA test for zinc, iron, manganese and copper. Soil Sci. Am. J. 42: 421-428.
- Mauk, C.S. and L. D. Nooden (1992). Regulation of mineral redistribution in Pod-bearing soybean plants. J. Exp. Bot., 43:256.
- Mc Cready, R. C. and H. R. Krause (1982). Sulfur isotope fractionation during the oxidation of elemental sulfur by *Thiobacillus* in a solonchic soil. J. Soils Sci., 1:105-110.
- Murphy, J. and J. P Riley (1962). A modified single solution method for determination of phosphate in natural waters. Anal. Chem. Acta. 27 : 31-36.
- Nemeat - Alla, E.A.E.(2005). Yield and quality of sugar beet as affected by different nitrogen and sulphur rates under clayey soils. J. Agric. Sci. Mansoura Univ., 30 (12): 7255 – 7264.
- Ouda, M.M. Sohlier (2002). Effect of nitrogen and sulphur fertilizers levels on sugar beet in newly cultivated sandy soil. Zagazig J. Agric. Res., 29 (1): 33-50.
- Page, A. L, R. H. Miller and D. R. Keeney (1982). *Methods of soil analysis*. (2nd Ed) Am. Soc. Agron., Inc. Soil Soc. Am. Inc.
- Parvatham, A.; K. P. Vijayan and A. Nazar (1989). Effect of *Azospirillum* on growth and nutrient uptake of pusa sawani bhendi (*Abelmoschus esculents* L. Moench). South Indian Horticulture, 37 (4):227-229.
- Rai, P. V. and M. N. Sreenivasa (1994). Nitrogen fixation by chemolithotrophs and non chemolithotrophs Biology and application of nitrogen fixing organisms-problems and prospects ,119-128.
- Rodelas, B.; V. Salmeron; M.V. Martinez-Toledo and J. Gonzalez-Lopez(1993). Production of vitamins by *Azospirillum brasilense* in chemically defined media. Plant Soil 153:97-101.
- Shafika, N. Moustafa; Safaa S. M. E l-Sayed ; A.M.E Gomaa and Zeinab R. Moustafa (2005). Response of sugar beet to nitrogen and sulphur foliar application levels. Egypt. J. of Appl. Sci., 20(9):45-58.

- Shata, S. M., A. M. Salim and A. Abd El – Fattah (1990). Growth response of corn and wheat to sulphur oxidation bacteria under certain soil and irrigation condition. Middle East Sulphur Symposium, Cairo. pp. 151-161.
- Shokry , A. A.(2006). Microbiological and chemical studies on Maize rhizosphere. Ph.D Thesis, Fac. Agric Al – Azhar Univ.
- Snedecor, G.W. and W.G. Cochran (1981). Statistical Methods. Seventh Ed. Iowa State Univ. Press, Ames., Iowa, USA.
- Sonbol, A. H. ; Z. A. El- Sirafy; E. A. Gazia ; H. Shams El – Din and Sahar Rashed. (2007). Compensatable effect of organic and biofertilization on mineral nitrogen for maize crop. Arab Conference of Soil and Water Management for Sustainable Agricultural Development 10-11 April 2007. Faculty of Agriculture Mansoura University.
- Starkey, R. L. (1966).Oxidation and reduction of sulfur compounds in soil .Soil Science, 101(4):297-306.
- Subba Rao, N.S. (1984).Biofertilizers in Agriculture and Forestry.Oxford. IBH Company, New Delhi.
- Sundaravelu, S. and T. Muthukrishnan (1993).Effect of seed treatment with Azospirillum and gibberlic acid on the growth and yield of radish (*Raphanus sativus* L. var. Japanese white). South Indian Horticulture, 41 (4):212-213.
- Thomas, S.G.; T. J. Hocking and P.E. Bilborrow (2003). Effect of sulfur fertilization on the growth and metabolism of sugar beet grown on Soils of differing sulfur status. Field- Crops- Research.2003,83 : 3,
- Zeinab, R. Mostafa ; Shafika N. Mostafa ; Maria G. Beshay and Kh. A. Aboushady (2000). Influence of nitrogen fertilizer on some quality , technological aspects, productivity and amino acids accumulation of sugar beet. J. Agric. Sci. Mansoura Univ. 25 (8): 4795-4806

### الملخص العربي

تأثير إضافة الكبريت ، المخصبات الحيوية و التسميد النتروجيني المعدني على إنتاج بنجر السكر ، الصفات التكنولوجية و المحتوى العنصري للنبات و التربة.

\* خالد على أبو شادي ، \*\* السعيد حماد عمر، \*\*\* حسن هداية السباعي

\* معهد بحوث المحاصيل السكرية - مركز البحوث الزراعية.  
\*\* معهد بحوث الأراضي و المياه و البيئة- مركز البحوث الزراعية.  
\*\*\* كلية الزراعة- قسم النبات - جامعة الأزهر.

أقيمت تجربتان حقليتان خلال موسمين زراعيين متتاليين ( ٢٠٠٤-٢٠٠٥) و (٢٠٠٥-٢٠٠٦) بمزرعة محطة البحوث الزراعية بسخا - محافظة كفر الشيخ، لدراسة مدى استجابة محصول بنجر السكر لإضافة الكبريت الزراعي ، الأسمدة الحيوية و التسميد النيتروجيني المعدني على إنتاج بنجر السكر و مكوناته ، الصفات التكنولوجية و المحتوى العنصري للنبات و التربة. استخدم التصميم الإحصائي قطع منشقة حيث وزعت معاملات الكنترول، الكبريت ، التلقيح ببكتيريا الثيوباثيلس المؤكسدة للكبريت ، بكتيريا الازوسبيريلم حرة التثبيت للنتروجين الجوي ، الكبريت + التلقيح ببكتيريا الثيوباثيلس، الكبريت + بكتيريا الازوسبيريلم و الكبريت + التلقيح ببكتيريا الثيوباثيلس + بكتيريا الازوسبيريلم في القطع الرئيسية. و قد وزعت معاملات السماد النتروجيني المعدني في القطع المنشقة بمستويين ٧٠ و ٩٠ كجم نتروجين / فدان.

و يمكن تلخيص أهم النتائج المتحصل عليها كما يلي :-

- \* أوضحت تجربة التحضين للتلقيح ببكتيريا الثيوباثيلس زيادة تركيز الكبريتات دليلا على نشاط اللقاح في أكسدة الكبريت في حين زاد تركيز النتروجين في حالة التلقيح ببكتيريا الازوسبيريلم.
- \* أظهرت النتائج أن معاملات الكبريت و التسميد الحيوي و النتروجين المعدني و التفاعل بينهما كان لها تأثير عالٍ المعنوية على إنتاج بنجر السكر و مكوناته و الصفات التكنولوجية.
- \* أدت إضافة الكبريت و تلقيح بذور بنجر السكر ببكتيريا الثيوباثيلس + بكتيريا الازوسبيريلم إلى زيادة عالية المعنوية في إنتاج الجذور ، العرش ، أبعاد الجذر ، السكروز ، النقاوة ، نسبة الاستخلاص و السكر المستخلص.

\* زيادة التسميد النيتروجيني المعدني من ٧٠ إلى ٩٠ كجم نيتروجين / فدان أدى إلى زيادة عالية المعنوية في إنتاج الجذور ، إنتاج العرش ، إنتاج السكر ، تركيز الصوديوم النيتروجين الأميني و الفقد مع المولاس في حين انخفض السكر، النقاوة ، نسبة الاستخلاص و السكر المستخلص.

تلقيح بذور بنجر السكر ببكتيريا الازوسبيريلم نتج عنه زيادة تركيز النيتروجين الميسر في النيات و التربة.

أدت إضافة الكبريت و تلقيح بذور بنجر السكر ببكتيريا الثيوباثيلس إلى خفض قيم الملوحة بالتربة و الرقم الهيدروجيني و كذلك زيادة تركيزات عناصر الفوسفور ، البوتاسيوم ، الزنك ، الحديد و المنجنيز في أوراق النبات و كذلك الميسر منها في التربة.

و أخيرا يمكن التوصية بإضافة الكبريت الزراعي بمعدل ٥٠ كجم / فدان و تلقيح بذور بنجر السكر ببكتيريا الثيوباثيلس + بكتيريا الازوسبيريلم كمخصبات حيوية مع إضافة السماد النيتروجين المعدني بمعدل ٩٠ كجم نيتروجين للحصول على أعلى إنتاج و نسب صفات تكنولوجية.