EFFECT OF PRECEDING AND INTERCROPPING CROPS ON YIELD AND YIELD COMPONENTS OF WHEAT

M.A. Abou-Kerisha, R.A. Gadallah and M.M.A. Badr

Crop Intensification Research Department Field Crop Research Institute
Agricultural Research Center, Giza, Egypt

(Received: Mar. 6, 2008)

ABSTRACT: Two field experiments were conducted at Mallawi Agricultural Research Station in Minia governorate (Middle Egypt) in 2004 / 2005 and 2005 / 2006 grown seasons, to study the effect of three preceding crops (maize, maize sequence berseem and soybean) and intercropping two legumes crops (fahl berseem and faba bean) on yield and yield components of wheat. The experimental design was split plots with three replications.

The data obtained showed that grain and straw yield of wheat grown after maize sequence berseem or soybean were higher than grown after maize. Intercropping wheat with faba bean or fahl berseem resulted in increased yield components of wheat. Grain yield of wheat grown with faba bean was higher than that grown with fahl berseem.

Wheat was a superior intercrop component where the relative yield produced was equal to that obtained from 90 to 94 % of solid. While the relative yield obtained of fahl berseem and faba bean was 16-19% for fahl berseem and 35 to 39 % for faba bean. The values of competitive ratio (CR) for wheat were greater than for common faba bean or fahl berseem indicating the dominance of wheat under these crops mixtures.

Similar trend to that of land equivalent ratio (LER) and competitive ratio (CR) was also observed for actual yield loss (AYL). The values of AYL for faba bean was positive in faba bean and wheat, which indicated a yield advantage for faba bean- wheat, while, AYL values for fahl berseem was negative in common fahl berseem wheat, which indicated a yield disadvantage for fahl berseem. AYL values for wheat was positive in the common fahl berseem wheat and faba bean, wheat. The highest gross return (7156.85 L.E) was obtained by intercropping wheat with faba bean grown after maize followed by intercropping wheat with faba bean grown after soybean.

Key words: intercropping, clover, faba bean with wheat, preceding crops.

INTRODUCTION

Wheat is one of the most important cereal crops in Egypt as well as in many countries around the world. Wheat production in Egypt does not meet the local consumption and it is not possible to add more increase to the area of wheat. The increasing of the yield per unit area is a necessity at the present time through several avenues, i.e. fertilization, irrigation, preceding crop, cropping system and others.

In Egypt farmers have small sized farms and this lead to planting wheat after maize in the same land every year. This system resulted in low grain yield and soil fertility as a result of planting cereal crops in the same area.

Legumes crops are used commonly in agriculture as a source of N for maintaining soil N levels.

Entz et al (2002), Glasener et al (2002) and Grant et al (2002) noted that cropping systems include legumes have the potential for contributing N to the following crops.

Kanwar et al (1990) found that the average yields of wheat grown without N fertilizer after legume crops (Pigeon peas, green gram, groundnut or soybean) were higher than those after non legume crops (pear millet, or sorghum). Abou-Kerisha (1998) showed that the increases in the mean values of growth and yield were greater when wheat was grown after maize followed by berseem (tri-cropping sequence) than grown after maize (dicropping sequences). Grain and straw yields of wheat grown after maize followed by berseem was 25.3 and 19.6 % higher than after maize.

Cereal-legume intercropping offers potential benefits in low-input cropping systems, where nutrient inputs, in particular nitrogen (N) are limited. Abdel-Shafi et al (1986) showed that plant height number of grains / spike and 1000 grain weight of wheat were increased by intercropping with faba bean, while grain and straw yield / fad. were increased as compared with wheat monoculture, Radwan (1993) showed that plant height, spike length, number of grains / spike, weight of 1000 grain and straw yield fad of wheat were increased by intercropping with faba bean, while number of spikes / m² and grain yield / fad. were increased compared to wheat monoculture. El-Naggar et al (1991) showed that plant height, tiller numbers and 1000 grain weight of wheat were increased when it was intercropped with berseem. Kahurananga (1991) found that intercropping some clover species with wheat had no any significant effect on wheat grain yield. Abate et al (1992) also found that the presence of clover in wheat stands did not affect wheat grain yields significantly across locations and seasons. Mahrous et al (1998) found that intercropping lentil with wheat decreased grain or seed and straw yield, seed index for both crops, number of grains / spike for wheat and number of branches for lentil. Banik et al (2000) revealed that the actual yield loss (AYL) index can give more precise information than the other indices on the inter and intra-specific competition of the component crops and the behavior of each species involved in the intercropping systems. Khalig et al (2001) showed that lentil alone and wheat alone produced their maximum respective grain yields of 10.99 and 42.10 q / ha⁻¹ (quintal = 45 kg) compared to those recorded in various intercropping systems. However, in terms of monetary gain, the highest net income was obtained from intercropping one row of lentil with two rows of wheat. Liben et al (2001) indicated that intercropping of maize with faba bean is more advantageous than sole cropping of crops. The highest land equivalent ratio (LER) and economic

advantage with a net return was observed in the treatment which is a combination of planting pattern of 1 maize: 1 faba bean alternate rows. Banik et al (2006) reported that chickpea yield was significantly reduced when it was intercropped with wheat. Wheat facilitated an increase in nodule number and dry weight as well as root length of chickpea under intercropping over monocroping. These findings suggest that intercropping wheat and chickpea increase total productivity per unit area and improve land use efficiency. Fenliang et al (2006) showed that the grain yield of faba bean intercropped with maize was greater than that of faba bean monoculture due to increases of the stems per plant and the pods / stem of faba bean. Faba bean growth was suppressed in the wheat / faba bean intercropping system, and facilitated in the maize / faba bean intercropping system which disagrees with the traditional view that legumes are generally weak competitions compared with cereals in legume / cereal intercropping systems. Thorsted et al (2006 a) showed that intercropping of winter wheat and white clover decreased wheat grain yield by 10-25% as compared with wheat sole cropping. The yield reductions were likely caused by inter specific competition for light nutrients and water during vegetative growth and during grain filling period. Thorsted et al (2006 b) suggested that competition between wheat and white clover for nitrogen is reduced by nitrogen fixation of clover, increase of availability of nitrogen to the intercropped wheat late in the growing season could increase grain protein content. Dhima et al (2007) showed that the values of aggressivity, competitive ratio (CR) and actual yield loss (AYL) were greater for barley and oat than for wheat and triticale. whereas the corresponding values for common vetch were lower in mixtures with barley and oat than in mixtures with wheat and triticale.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Two field experiments were conducted at Mallawi Agricultural Research Station in Minia governorate (Middle Egypt) in 2004 / 2005 and 2005 / 2006 growing seasons, to study the effect of three preceding crops and intercropping wheat with berseem or faba bean on yield and yield components of wheat. The experimental design was split plots with three replications. The main plots were allocated to three preceding crops, i.e. maize, maize + berseem and soybean, while three intercropping treatment were assigned in the sub plots.

The treatments were as follow

- I- The preceding crops
 - a- Maize (A₁)
 - b- Maize followed by berseem (A2)
 - c- Soybean (A₃)

II- Intercropping treatments:

- 1. Pure stand of wheat (Giza 168) was sown in rows (15 cm. apart) (b₁).
- 2. Pure stand of clover (fahl berseem) was sown in rows (15 cm .apart) (b_1) .
- 3. Pure stand of faba bean (Giza 2) was sown in hills 20 cm apart on the two sides of ridges (60 cm. in width) and two plants per hill (b₁).
- 4. Single row of fahl berseem was sown by hand drilling between each two rows wheat (67% wheat + 33% fahl berseem of plot) in alternative system (b₂).
- 5. Single row faba bean was sown in hills (10 cm. apart) between each two rows wheat (67% wheat + 33% faba bean of plot) in alternative system (b₃).

The sub-plot area was 3.75 × 6 = 22.5 m² ($\frac{1}{187}$ fad.) included 24 rows. Wheat

grains were sown by hand drilling at a rate 40 kg/ fad. (9 gm / row). Seeds of faba bean were sown in rows 15 cm. and in hills at 20 cm. apart and thinned to one plants / hill at a rate 60 kg/ fad (13 gm / row). While seeds of fahl berseem were sown by hand drilling at rate 20 kg/ fad. (5 gm./row). Sowing and harvesting data of the crops, wheat and intercropped crops are recorded in Table (1).

Table (1): Sowing and harvesting dates of crops, wheat, fahl berseem and faba bean in 2004/5 and 2005/6 seasons.

Crons	First seas	son 2004/ 5	Second season 2005 / 6			
Crops	Sowing	Harvesting	Sowing	Harvesting		
Wheat solid or intercropped	Nov. 22 nd Nov. 22 nd	May 25 th	Nov. 17 th Nov. 17 th	May 21 st		
Fahl berseem solid or intercropping	Oct. 25 th Nov. 22 nd	May 15 th May 25 th	Oct. 20 th Nov. 17 th	May 10 th May 21 st		
Faba bean solid or intercropping	Oct. 25 th Nov. 22 nd	April 15 th May 25 th	Oct. 20 th Nov. 17 th	May 11 st May 21 st		

Normal cultural practices were done for crops under study, either in pure stand or intercropped as recommended. Calcium superphosphate (15kg P_2O_5/fad) was added during soil preparation. Potassium fertilizer was applied as potassium sulphate (48% K_2O) at the rate of 24 kg K_2O / fad. with the first dose of nitrogen to all crops either as sole or intercropping. Nitrogen fertilizer was applied to wheat as ammonium nitrate (33.5% N) at the rate of 70 kg N / fad and was added in three equal doses before each of first, second and third irrigations.

At full growth, ten plants of wheat, fahl berseem and faba bean were randomly taken from each sub plot to determine plant characters and yield components. Each, sub plot was harvested and seeds or grains were separated through sifting. Yield of all crops were determined on plot basis and converted to one faddan.

The following characters were studied.

- 1. Wheat: plant height (cm), spike length (cm), number of spike / m², weight of grains / spike(g), number of grains spike,weight of 100 grain(g), grain yield / fad.(ardab) and straw yield / fad. (heml) (ardab=150 kg and heml = 250 kg)
- Faba bean: plant height, number of branches / plant, number of seeds / pod, weight 100 seed (g) seed yield / plant (g) and seed yield (ardab / fad.) (ardab = 155 kg)
- 3. Berseem: plant height, wt 1000 seed and seed yield / fad.(ardab = 157 kg).

Competitive relationships and yield advantage:

1- Land equivalent ratio (LER) was calculated according to Willey (1979) using the following formula

LER =
$$\frac{yab}{yaa} + \frac{yba}{ybb}$$

Where: yaa = pure stand yield of species a

ybb = pure stand yield of species b

yab = Mixture yield of a (when combined with b)

yba = Mixture yield of b (when combined with a)

2- Competitive ratio (CR) was calculated by following the formula as advocated by Willey and Rao (1980):

$$\mathbf{CR} = \mathbf{CRa} + \mathbf{CRb} \quad \mathbf{CRa} = \left\{ \left(\frac{LERa}{LERb} \right) \times \left(\frac{Zba}{Zab} \right) \right\},$$

Where: LERa and LERb represent relative yield of a and b intercrops, respectively. Since the CR values of the two crops will in fact be reciprocals of each other. CRa, CRb are the competitive ratio for intercrop wheat. Zab representing the sown proportion of intercrop a (wheat) in mixture with b (fahl berseem or faba bean) and Zba the sown proportion of intercrop b (fahl berseem or faba bean) in mixture with a (wheat).

3- Actual yield loss (AYL) (Banik, 1996) was calculated as:

$$= \left[\left\{ \frac{(Yab/Zab)}{(Yaa/Zaa)} \right\} - 1 \right] + \left[\left\{ \frac{(Yba/Zba)}{(Ybb/Zbb)} \right\} - 1 \right].$$

Where AYLa and AYLb are the partial yield loss of intercrop wheat and fahl berseem or faba bean, respectively. Yab representing the yield of intercrop a (wheat) in mixture with b (fahl berseem or faba bean), Yba the yield of intercrop b (fahl berseem or faba bean) in mixture with a (wheat).

4.Gross profit:

Gross profit was calculated in Egyptian pound (wheat 165 L.E / ardab, straw / heml 280 L.E, faba bean 300 L.E / ardab and fahl berseem 1099 L.E / ardab. All data were statistically analysed according to Snedecor and Cochran (1988) using MSTAT software Computer V_4 (1986). LSD test at 5% level was used to compare between treatments.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

- I Wheat
- A- Effect of preceding summer crops on yield and yield components:

The data obtained on the effect of some preceding summer crops on yield and yield components of wheat are presented in table (2). The data showed significant differences in all studied characters due to preceding crops in the first, second and the combined analysis of the two seasons. The highest values were observed when wheat was grown after maize followed by berseem (A_2) followed by when wheat grown after soybean (A_3). While the lowest values were observed when wheat was grown after maize (A_1). The data of the combined analysis of the two season show that the increase in yield component of wheat grown after maize followed by berseem (A_2) and after soybean (A_3) amounted to 12.35 and 5.02% for plant height, 7.45 and 3.53% for spike length, 7.84 and 2.51% for number of spike/ m^2 , 11.40 and 5.04% for number of grains/ spike, 8.67 and 4.66% for weight of 100 grain and 16.53 and 5.36% for weight of grains/ spike higher than that after maize (A_1), respectively.

The data of the combined analysis of the two seasons show that the increase in grain and straw yields / fad. of wheat grown after maize followed by berseem (A_2) and after soybean (A_3) accounted to 16.45 and 13.51% for grain yield / fad. and 5.79 and 5.45% for straw yield / fad. higher than that after maize (A_1) respectively. The increases of grain and straw yields / fad of wheat grown after berseem or soybean (legume crops) may be due to the increases of yield components compared to that grown after maize (cereal crops). These results were concordant with those obtained by Kanwar et al (1990) and Abou-Kerisha (1998).

Table (2): Effect of some preceding crops on yield and yield components of wheat in the first and second seasons and their combined analysis.

W	/heat in	the first	and sec	ond seas	ons and	ineir cor	nbined a	ınalysis.				
Preceding crops	Plant height (cm)	Spike length (cm)	No.of spike /m²	No.of grains /spike	Wt.of 100 grain (g)	Wt.of grains /spike (g)	Grain yieldi/ fad (ardab)	Straw yield /fad (hemi)				
			Fir	st seasoi	n							
A ₁	75.00	9.12	338.97	41.13	4.58	2.06	17.77	6.78				
A ₂	89.20	9.86	373.61	46.13	5.02	2.35	21.03	8.12				
A ₃	82.70	9.39	362.35	43.00	4.98	2.24	18.63	7.55				
LSD at 0.05	2.67	0.05	1.98	1.56	0.12	0.06	0.35	0.22				
C.V.	2.48	2.95	0.42	2.85	1.84	2.01	1.40	2.27				
Second season												
A ₁	74.00	8.33	335.38	44.87	5.33	2.66	20.80	7.86				
A ₂	78.20	8.90	353.58	49.57	5.75	3.13	23.93	8.52				
A ₃	76.70	8.72	347.07	48.10	5.37	2.98	23.87	8.23				
LSD at 0.05	1.30	0.21	3.36	0.59	0.15	0.14	0.49	0.17				
C.V.	1.30	1.86	0.74	0.95	2.08	3.59	1.62	1.54				
		Combin	ed analy	sis of the	two sea	sons	·					
A ₁	74.50	8.73	337.18	43.00	4.96	2.36	19.29	7.33				
Αz	83.70	9.38	363.60	47.90	5.39	2.75	22.48	8.32				
A ₃	79.70	9.06	354.71	45.60	5.15	2.61	21.25	7.89				
LSD at 0.05	1.23	0.15	1.62	0.69	0.08	0.06	0.25	0.12				
C.V.	2.02	2.12	0.60	1.98	2.03	3.01	1.54	1.93				

 $A_1 = Maize A_2 = Maize / berseem and A_3 = Soybean$

B – Effect of the intercropping:

Data presented in table (3) showed that yield and yield components of wheat were significantly affected by the intercropping of wheat with fahl berseem (b_2) and faba bean (b_3) compared to wheat sole cropping (b_1) in both seasons and their combined analysis. The data showed that all studied characters expect number of spikes / m^2 and grain yield / fad were increased by using the two intercropping systems compared to monoculture. Meanwhile, the highest values of these characters were observed when wheat was intercropped with faba bean (b_3) and with fahl berseem (b_2) in a descending order in both seasons and their combined analysis. The data of the combined analysis of both seasons indicated that intercropping wheat with faba bean (b_3) and fahl berseem (b_2) caused an increase in yield and yield component of wheat amounted to 5.87 and 4.30% for plant height, 9.41

and 6.16% for spike length, 8.49 and 4.36% for number of grains/ spike, 4.78 and 3.78 for weight of 100 grain, 14.23 and 8.37% for weight of grains/ spike and 48.55 and 30.06% for straw yield / fad. respectively as compared with wheat sole cropping (b_1). On the contrary, number of spike/ m^2 and grain yield / fad. were decreased by 26.82 and 8.73% when intercropped with fahl berseem and by 21.09 and 7.70% when wheat was intercropped with faba bean, respectively compared to wheat sole cropping (b_1). These decreasing in grain yield were due to decreases of number of spike/ m^2 under intercropping condition. Similar results are in agreement with those obtained by Abdel shafi et al (1986), Radwan (1993), EL-Naggar et al (1991) and Thorsted et al (2006 a).

Table (3): Effect of intercropping some crops on yield and yield components of wheat in the first and second seasons and their combined analysis

a	nalysis.	•										
Intercropping crops	Plant height (cm)	Spike length (cm)	No.of spike /m²	No.of grains /spike	Wt.of 100 grain (g)	Wt.of grains /spike (g)	Grain yield / fad (ardab)	Straw yield / fad (ton)				
			Fir	st season	1							
b ₁	79.00	8.82	427.08	40.90	4.66	2.05	20.07	6.10				
$\mathbf{b_2}$	83.60	9.64	312.27	43.57	4.91	2.21	18.63	7.54				
b ₃	84.30	9.91	335.58	45.80	5.00	2.39	18.73	8.81				
LSD at 0.05	1.40	0.26	2.70	1.93	0.12	0.06	0.32	0.10				
C.V.	0.33	2.67	0.73	4.32	2.35	2.47	1.61	1.33				
Second season												
b ₁	74.40	8.39	410.32	46.33	5.38	2.72	24.37	6.33				
b ₂	76.30	8.64	300.55	47.43	5.51	2.97	21.93	8.63				
b ₃	78.10	8.92	325.17	48.77	5.51	3.08	22.29	9.65				
LSD at 0.05	1.98	0.39	3.88	1.83	0.11	0.16	0.36	0.17				
C.V.	2.48	4.43	1.09	3.75	2.00	5.41	1.53	2.07				
		Combi	ned analy	sis of the	two seaso	ons						
b ₁	76.7	8.61	418.70	43.6	5.02	2.39	22.22	6.22				
b ₂	80.0	9.14	306.41	45.5	5.21	2.59	20.28	8.09				
b ₃	81.2	9.42	330.38	47.3	5.26	2.73	20.51	9.24				
LSD at 0.05	1.14	0.23	2.24	1.26	0.08	0.08	0.23	0.10				
C.V.	2.08	3.73	0.93	4.02	2.21	4.60	1.57	1.80				

b₁ = wheat sole cropping, b₂ = wheat + fahl berseem and b₃ = wheat + faba bean

C- Effect of interaction preceding summer crops× intercropping systems on yield and yield components

Data tabulated in table (4) show the effect of the interaction of preceding summer crops and intercropping systems on yield and yield components of wheat. The data of combined analysis showed significant differences in the most studied characters; expect number of grains I spike and weight of 100 grain. The data of the combined analysis show that highest values of most wheat yield components were obtained by sowing wheat after maize followed by fahl berseem (A_2) and intercropped with faba bean (b_3). However the data of the combined analysis showed that wheat sole cropping (b_1) after maize followed by fahl berseem (A_2) as a preceding crops produced the highest values of number of spikes I m² and grain yield.

From these results it could be concluded that legume crops are important either as preceding or as intercropping crops which are considered as a source of N for maintaining soil N levels. Similar results are observed by Glasener et al (2002), Grant et al (2002) and Entz et al (2002).

II -Intercropped crops (fahl berseem and faba bean)

A - Effect of preceding summer crops:

Data in table (5) showed the effect of preceding summer crops on the yield and yield components of fahl berseem and faba bean in the first and second seasons and their combined analysis. The data showed that all studied characters of both crops were significantly affected by preceding crops except plant height of faba bean in the combined analysis. The data of the combined analysis indicated that the highest significant values of faba bean characters (plant height, number of branches / plant, number of seed / pod, weight of 100 seeds, seed yield / plant and seed yield / fad.) and fahl berseem characters (plant height, weight of 1000 seeds and seed yield / fad.) were obtained when faba bean or fahl berseem were grown after maize (A₁). Similar results were observed by Kanwar et al (1990) and Abou-Kerisha (1998).

M.A. Abou-Kerisha, R.A. Gadallah and M.M.A. Badr

Table (4): Effect of the interaction between preceding and intercropping crops on yield and yield components of wheat in the first and

second seasons and their combined analysis.

	seco	nd seas	ons an	d their	combine	ed analy	SIS.		
Prece	Intercro	Plant	Spike	No. of	No. of	Wt.of	Wt.of	Grain	Straw
ding pping		⊣ height	length	spike	grains	100	grains	yield	yield
		(cm)	(g)	/m²	/spike	grain	/ spike	/ fad	/fad
CI	rops	(Citi)	(9)	////	19pine	(g)	(g)	(ardab)	(ton)
		· / · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		First s	season				
	b ₁	73.30	8.73	410.25	37.70	4.40	1.77	18.40	5.87
A_1	b ₂	75.00	9.27	305.75	42.00	4.67	2.13	17.60	6.27
	b ₃	76.70	9.37	300.90	43.70	4.67	2.27	17.30	8.20
	<u> </u>	81.70	8.97	440.20	44.70	4.83	2.25	22.00	6.37
A ₂	b₁ b₂	93.00	10.27	320.15	46.00	5.10	2.22	20.30	8.53
2	b ₃	93.00	10.33	360.50	47.70	5.13	2.59	20.80	9.47
	D ₃	55.00	10.55	300.50	47.70	3.13	2.33	20.00	3.47
	b ₁	82.00	8.77	430.80	40.30	4.77	2.13	19.80	6.07
A_3	b ₂	82.70	9.37	315.75	42.70	4.97	2.27	18.00	7.83
	b ₃	83.30	10.03	340.50	46.00	5.20	2.31	18.10	8.77
LSD	at 0.05	2.43	0.85	4.67	NS	NS	0.10	NS	0.18
С	.V.	0.33	2.67	0.73	4.32	2.35	2.47	1.61	1.33
				Second	season			•	
	b ₁	73.30	8.23	400.20	43.00	5.23	2.40	22.030	6.00
Αı	b ₂	73.70	8.30	310.25	45.30	5.43	2.67	20.30	8.33
	b ₃	75.00	8.47	295.70	46.30	5.33	2.90	20.07	9.27
A ₂	b ₁	75.30	8.47	420.05	48.70	5.67	3.00	25.40	6.67
A2	b ₂	79.00	9.10	300.60	49.30	5.77	3.17	22.70	8.83
	b ₃	80.30	9.13	340.10	50.70	5.80	3.23	23.70	10.07
	b ₁	74.70	8.47	410.70	47.30	5.23	2.77	25.70	6.33
A_3	b ₂	76.30	8.53	305.35	47.70	5.33	3.07	22.80	8.73
	b ₃	79.00	9.17	325.15	49.30	5.40	3.10	23.10	9.63
LSD	at 0.05	NS	NS	6.72	NS	NS	NS	0.62	NS
С	.v.	2.48	4.43	1.09	3.75	2.00	5.41	1.53	2.07
		Co	mbined	analysis	of the fy	vo seaso		<u> </u>	l
	b _i	73,30	8.48	405.23	40.40	4.82	2.09	20.22	5.94
Αı	b ₂	74.40	8.79	308.00	43.70	5.05	2.40	18.95	7.30
•	b ₃	75.90	8.92	298.30	45.00	5.00	2.59	18.69	8.74
	b ₁	78.50	8.72	430.13	46.70	5.25	2.63	23.70	6.52
Αz	b ₂	86.00	9.69	310.38	47.70	5.44	2.88	21.50	8.68
**2	b ₃	86.70	9.73	350.30	49.20	5.47	2.73	22.25	9.77
	b ₁	78.40	8.62	420.75	43.80	5.00	2.45	22.75	6.20
	b ₂	79.50	8.95	310.55	45.20	5.15	2.67	20.40	8.28
A ₃	b ₃	81.20	9.60	332.83	47.70	5.30	2.71	20.60	9.20
LSD	at 0.05	1.97	0.40	3.88	NS	NS	0.14	0.39	0.17
С	.v.	2.08	3.73	0.93	4.02	2.21	4.60	1.57	1.80
	A = Maiz			- Sauba					

A₁ = Maize A₂ = Maize / berseem and A₃ = Soybean

 b_1 = wheat sole cropping, b_2 = wheat + fahl berseem and b_3 = wheat + faba bean

Table (5): Effect of preceding crops on yield and yield component of faba bean and fahl berseem in the first and second seasons and their combined analysis.

	their co	ombined a	marysis.						
		Faba	bean				Fal	ni bersee	em
Preceding crops	Plant height (cm)	No. of branches/ plant	No. of seed /pod	Wt. of 100 seed (g)	Seed yield / plant (g)	Seed yield /fad (ardab)	Plant height (cm)	Wt. of 1000 seed (g)	Seed yield /fad (ardab)
			Fir	st seas					
A ₁	121.00	3.40	3.60	52.00	39.00	5.50	95.90	3.64	1.46
A ₂	118.00	3.40	3.05	45.00	36.50	4.95	91.70	3.59	1.23
A ₃	119.00	3.10	3.05	52.00	35.50	5.30	91.80	3.28	1.37
LSD at 0.05	2.22	0.14	0.23	1.13	1.04	0.18	2.44	0.18	0.07
C.V.	1.16	3.71	4.38	1.42	1.77	2.41	1.63	7.23	3.31
			Seco	nd seas	on				
A ₁	120.00	3.50	3.30	58.00	41,00	5.70	104.50	3.60	1.52
A ₂	117.50	3.15	2.87	51.00	38.50	4.70	101.50	3.54	1.16
A ₃	119.00	3.20	2.92	57.00	36.50	4.95	102.00	3.35	1.43
LSD at 0.05	1.31	0.12	0.15	0.66	0.66	0.22	1.13	0.16	0.16
C.V	0.69	2.55	3.13	0.75	1.07	3.09	0.69	2.86	7.30
		Combin	ed analys	sis of th	e two se	easons			
A ₁	120.50	3.45	3.45	55.00	40.00	5.60	100.20	3.62	1.49
A ₂	118.25	3.28	2.96	48.00	37.00	4.83	96.60	3.57	1.19
A ₃	118.50	3.15	2.99	54.50	36.00	5.13	96.95	3.32	1.40
LSD at 0.05	NS	0.10	0.15	0.54	0.51	0.68	112.00	0.09	0.07
C.V.	0.95	3.19	5.05	1.10	1.44	2.86	1.21	3.00	5.75

 A_1 = Maize, A_2 = Maize / berseem and A_3 = Soybean

B- Effect of intercropping faba bean and fahl berseem with wheat on the intercropped crops.

Data presented in table (6) showed that most studied characters of fahl berseem, i-e, plant height, weight of 1000 seeds and seed yield / fad of solid fahl berseem were higher than those grown with wheat. Faba bean plant height of solid were shorter than those grown with wheat while, weight of 100 seeds, seed yield /plant and seed yield / fad of solid faba bean were higher in most traits than those grown with wheat in the first, the second seasons and the combined analysis of both seasons. Seed yield / fad of faba bean and fahl berseem intercropped with wheat amounted to 37.35 and 17.24 % of their sole cropping, respectively in the combined analysis of the two seasons. It is clear that competitive of wheat with fahl berseem was impact higher than that with faba bean. Similar results are observed by El-Naggar et al (1991), Radwan (1993) and Mahrous et al (1998).

Table (6): Effect of intercropping crops on yield and yield component of faba bean and fahl berseem in the first and second seasons and their

com	bined	ana	ysis.
-----	-------	-----	-------

	ildino.	ied anaiy	515.							
			Faba	bean			Fa	hl bers	eem	
Intercropping crops	Plant height (cm)	No. of branches/ plant	No. or seed pod	100 seed (g)	(g)	(ardab)	Intercropping crops	Plant height (cm)	Wt. of 1000 seed (g)	Seed yield fad / (ardab)
				Fir	st sea	son				
b ₁	115.7	3.32	3.19	50.00	37.33	7.40	b ₁	101.4	3.60	2,30
b ₃	123.0	3.28	3.28	49.30	36.67	3.10	b ₂	84.8	3.40	0.40
LSD at 0.05	2.21	NS	NS	NS	NS	0.24	LSD at 0.05	2.65	0.17	0.11
C.V.	1.61	2.54	5.36	4.27	3.68	4.04	C.V.	2.47	5.11	7.03
				Secor	nd sea	son				
b₁	114.0	3.26	3.04	55.70	39.00	7.70	b ₁	111.0	3.56	2.33
b ₃	123.7	3.31	3.02	55.00	38.33	2.53	b ₂	94.3	3.43	0.40
LSD at 0.05	2.15	NS	NS	NS	NS	0.23	LSD at 0.05	1.76	0.10	0.11
C.V.	1.57	3.73	2.33	1.95	4.60	3.91	C.V.	1.49	2.55	6.92
		Com	bined	analy	sis of	the two	seasons			
b ₁	114.8	3.29	3.12	52.83	38.17	7.55	b ₁	106.3	3.58	2.32
b ₃	123.3	3.30		52.17		2.82	b ₂	89.6	3.42	0.40
LSD at 0.05	1.37	NS	NS	NS	NS	0.15	LSD at 0.05	1.42	0.10	0.07
C.V.	1.59	3.19	4.17	3.20	4.27	4.00	C.V.	1.99	3.61	6.98

b₁= faba bean or fahl berseem sole cropping,

b₂ = wheat+ fahl berseem and

 b_3 = wheat + faba bean

C. Interaction effect of preceding crops and intercropping on yield and yield components.

Data presented in table (7) showed that intercropping faba bean with wheat ied to increase plant height, number of branches / plant and number of seed / pod of faba bean plant compared to faba bean sole cropping, although the effect was insignificant of the combined analysis of the two seasons. With regard to weight of 100 seed and seed yield / plant, the differences were significant but the trend in some cases was not regular. Seed yield / fad of faba bean sole cropping was significantly higher than that obtained by different intercropping treatments. Plant height of fahl berseem sole cropping was significantly higher than those recorded for the intercropped plants. Differences in weight of 1000 seed between sole planting and intercropping treatments were insignificant under the same respective interaction treatments. Seed yield / fad of fahl berseem sole cropping was ever higher than those obtained when it was intercropped. Furthermore, statistical analysis showed significant interaction effect in the second

season and the combined analysis of the two seasons. Similar results were observed by Abdel-Shafi et al (1986), Radwan, (1993) and Mahrous et al (1998).

Table (7): Effect of the interaction between preceding and intercropping crops on yield and yield component of faba bean and fahl berseem in the first and second seasons and their combined analysis.

	allalysis									
			Fab	a bean				Fah	l berse	em
Preceding Intercropping		Plant height (cm)	No. of branches plant	No. of seed / pod	Wt. of 100 seed (g)	Seed yield / plant (g)	Seed yield / fad (ardab)	Plant height (cm)	Wt. of 1000 seed (g)	Seed yield / fad (ardab)
<u></u>			Fit	ason	(9)	(44. 44.4)	J	(3/	<u> </u>	
A ₁	Solid	117	3.39	3.55	51.0	42.0	7.7	105.7	3.67	2.50
	inter	125	3.41	3.65	53.0	36.0	3.3	86.0	3.60	0.41
A ₂	Solid	113	3.38	3.00	48.0	35.0	7.1	95.3	3.70	2.10
	inter	123	3.42	3.10	42.0	36.0	2.8	88.0	3.47	0.35
A ₃	Solid	117	3.20	3.02	51.0	35.0	7.4	103.3	3.43	2.30
	inter	121	3.00	3.08	53.0	36.0	3.2	80.3	3.13	0.43
LSD	at 0.05	NS	NS	NS :	4.24	2.83	NS	4.59	NS	NS
(C.V.	1.61	2,54	5.36	4.27	3.68	4.04	2.47	5.11	7.03
			Sec	ond <u>s</u>	eason					_
A ₁	Solid	115	3.49	3.25	57.0	44.0	8.6	113.0	3.60	2.60
	inter	125	3.51	3.35	59.0	38.0	2.8	96.0	3.60	0.43
A ₂	Solid	113	3.10	2.80	54.0	37.0	7.2	108.0	3.57	2.00
-	inter	122	3.20	2.93	48.0	40.0	2.2	95.0	3.50	0.31
A ₃	Solid	114	3.18	3.07	56.0	36.0	7.3	112.0	3.50	2.40
	inter	124	3.22	2.77	58.0	37.0	2.6	92.0	3.20	0.45
LSD	at 0.05	NS	NS	0.14	2.16	3.56	0.40	3.05	NS	0.19
(C.V.	1.57	3.73	2.33	1.95	4.60	3.91	1.49	2.55	6.92
			ned analys	sis of	the tw	o seas	ons			_
A ₁	Solid	116	3.45	3.40	54.0	43.0	8.15	109.4	3.64	2.55
	inter	125	3.45	3.50	56.0	37.0	3.05	91.0	3.60	0.42
A ₂	Solid	113	3.25	2.90	51.0	36.0	7.15	101.7	3.64	2.05
	inter	122.5	3.30	3.02	45.0	39.0	2.5	91.5	3.49	0.33
A ₃	Solid	115.5	3.20	3.05	53.5	35.5	7.35	107.7	3,47	2.35
	inter	122.5	3.10	2.93	55.5	36.5	2.9	86.2	3.17	0.44
	at 0.05	NS	NS	NS	2.12	2.02	0.26	2.46	NS	0.12
	S.V.	1.59	3.19	4.17	3.20	4.27	4.00	1.99	3.61	6.98

III - Competitive relationships and yield advantages

A - Land equivalent ratio (LER):

Data in table (8) indicated clearly that LER showed considerable yield advantage resulting from intercropping wheat with fahl berseem or faba bean in the combined analysis of the two seasons. The values of land equivalent ratio (LER) for intercropping treatments were greater than one. It could be concluded that the actual productivity was higher than the expected productivity when wheat was intercropped with fahl berseem or faba bean. In this respect, wheat was superior in the intercrop system where the relative yield produced was 90 to 94% of the solid. However fahl berseem or faba bean was inferior companion crop where the relative yield obtained was only 16-19% for fahl berseem and 37 to 39% for faba bean of the sole cropping of both crops. The highest LER value (1.30) was observed when wheat was intercropped with faba bean and after soybean as preceding crop. The lowest LER value (1.07) was obtained when wheat was intercropped with fahl berseem and after preceding crop of maize followed by fahl berseem. This result was similar to those of by Abdel-Shafi et al (1986), Radwan, (1993) and Liben et al (2001).

B. Competitive ratio (CR)

Data presented in table (8) revealed that wheat had competitive ratio higher than that fahl berseem and faba bean when they were intercropped together. From these results it can be noticed that wheat was dominant crop when it was intercropped with either fahl berseem or faba bean. These results are agreed with those obtained by Thorsted et al (2006 a) and Dhima et al (2007).

C- Actual yield loss (AYL):

Similar trend to that of LER and CR was also observed for AYL (Table 8). In particular, AYL for faba bean was positive values in the faba bean-wheat association, which indicates a yield advantage for faba bean, probably because of the positive effect of wheat on faba bean when grown in association while AYL values of fahl berseem was negative when intercropped with wheat which indicates a yield disadvantage occurred when fahl berseem was intercropped with wheat. AYL for wheat was positive values in the fahl berseem - wheat and faba bean - wheat associations. AYL values of wheat were less than AYL values of fahl berseem—wheat intercrop, which resulted in total negative AYL. Quantification of yield loss or gain due to association with other species or the variation of the plant population could not be obtained through partial LER since partial AYL shows the yield loss or gain by its sign and as well as its value. Thus there was AYL values of faba bean ranged from 0.050 to 0.185 indicating an increase in yield from 5.0 to 18.50 % faba bean—wheat intercrop when they grown after maize followed

Table (8): Effect of preceding and intercropping crops on competitive relationships and gross profit in the combined analysis of the two seasons.

		combined	illalys	12 01 11	IG TAAO 26	easun	J.							
Dro	andina	Intercropping	Lai	nd equ	ivalent ra	tio	Com	petitiv	e ratio		Actual	yield loss		
rie		rops	LER wheat	LER Faba bean	LER Fahi berseem	LER	CR wheat	CR faba bean	CR Fahl berseem	AYL wheat	AYL faba bean	AYL Fahl berseem	Total	Gross Profit L.E
		b ₁	1.00	20-M-64	metro se	1.00								4999.50
	Α	b ₂		1.00		1.00								2445.00
	A ₁	b ₃			1.00	1.00								2802.45
		b ₄	0.94		0.16	1.10	2.938		0.340	+0.405		-0.506	-0.101	5632.33
		b ₅	0.92	0.37	****	1.29	1.243	0.804	~===	+0.386	+0.124		+0.510	6446.05
		b ₁	1.00		and have made	1.00							,	5736.10
		b ₂		1.00	****	1.00								2145.00
	A ₂ .	b ₃	-	×==+	1.00	1.00								2552.95
		b ₄	0.91	der pas ent an	0.16	1.07	2.844		0.352	+0.360		-0.517	-0.157	6340.57
		b ₅	0.94	0.35	distant.	1.29	1.343	0.745		+0.408	+0.050		+0.458	7156.85
		b ₁	1.00		ino per ser na	1.00								5489.75
		b ₂		1.00		1.00							-	2205.00
	A_3	b ₃	****		1.00	1.00								2582.65
		b ₄	0.90	(m) tab ma t m	0.19	1.09	2.368	-	0.422	+0.344		-0.438	-0.094	6167.96
		b ₅	0.91	0.39		1.30	1.167	0.857	All side to tell	+0.358	+0.185		+0.543	6845.00

A₁ = Maize

A₂ = Maize / berseem and

A₃ = Soybean

b1 = Solid wheat,

b2 = solid faba bean,

b₃ = solid fahl berseem,

b₄ = wheat + fahl berseem

and

b₅ = wheat + faba bean.

by fahl berseem (A_2) , followed by soybean (A_3) as compared to their sole cropping. In contrast, in the fahl berseem- wheat association, the AYL values of fahl berseem ranged from 0.438 to 0.517, indicating yield loss of 43.8 to 51.7% of fahl berseem – wheat association when grown after soybean (A_3) followed by after maize sequence fahl berseem (A_2) as compared with its sole crop yield, which occurred when grown in association with wheat. Similar results were observed by Banik et al (2000) and Dhima et al (2007).

D. Gross returns

Data presented in table (8) showed that intercropping fahl berseem or faba bean with wheat had favorable gross returns / fad, where the highest gross return (7156.85 L.E) was obtained by intercropping wheat with faba bean (b_5) after the preceding crop of maize followed by berseem (A_2). The gross returns of intercropping wheat with faba bean (b_5) which grown after soybean (A_3) had the second rank (6845.00 L.E). The lowest value (5632.33 L.E) was observed by intercropping wheat with fahl berseem (b_4) grown after the preceding crop of maize (A_1). Similar result was observed by Dhima et al (2007).

From these results can be concluded that the best treatment was obtained by intercropping wheat with faba bean after the preceding crop in maize sequence berseem which gave the highest economic returns in this study.

REFERENCES

- Abdel-Shafi, A.A., M.G. Mosaad, N.P.Dawla and M.M. Kalifa(1986). Feasibility of intercropping wheat (*Triticum durum* Desp.) with field bean (*Vicia faba L.*) under different culture practices. Ann. of Agric. Sci., Moshtohor, Zagazig Uinv., 24 (2): 727-747.
- Abou-Kerisha, M.A. (1998). Effect of preceding crop and N fertilizer on the productivity of wheat . J.
 - Agric. Sci., Mansoura Univ., 23 (3):961-967.
- Abate, T., M.Tekalign and G. Getinet (1992). Integration of forage legumes into cereal cropping systems in vertisols of the Ethiopian highlands. Tropical Agric., 69:68-72.
- Banik, P., T. Sasmal, P.K.Ghosal and D.K. Bagchi (2000). Evaluation of mustard (*Brassica compestris* var. Toria) and legume intercropping under 1:1 and 2:1 row-replacement series systems, J.Agron., Crop Sci. 185:9-14.
- Banik, P.Banik (1996). Evalution of wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) and legume intercropping under 1:1 and 2:1 row- replacement series system, J.Agron., Crop Sci. 176: 289-294.
- Banik, P., A. Midya, B.K.Sarkar and S.S.Ghose (2006). wheat and chickpea intercropping systems in an additive series experiment: Advantages and weed smothering. Europ.J. of Agron.. 24:325-332.

- Dhima, K.V., A.S. Lithourgidis, I.B. Vasilakoglou and C.A.D ordas (2007). Competition indices of common vetch and cereal intercrops in two seeding ratio. Field Crops Res., 100: 249-256.
- Entz, M.H., V.S. Baron, P.M. Carr, D.W. Meyer, S.R. Smith, Jr. and W.P. McCaughey (2002). Potemtial of forages to diversify cropping systems in the Northern Great Plains. Agron .. J.94; 240-250.
- El-Naggar, S.M., M.E.A.Haggag, Z.A. Nofal and M.R. Ramadan (1991). Effect of intercropping berseem on barley, and wheat. A.Growth and yield. Egypt. J.Appl. Sci., 6 (4): 92-112.
- Fenliang, F., F. Zhang, Y. Song, J. Sun, X. Bao, T. Guo. and Li. Long (2006). Nitrogen fixation of faba bean (*Vicia faba L.*) interacting with a non. Legume in two contrasting intercropping systems. Plant and Soil. 283: 275-286.
- Glasener, K.M., M.G. Wagger, C.T. Mackown and R. J. Volk (2002). contributions of shoot and root nitrogen 15-labeled legume nitrogen sources to a sequence of three cereal crops. Soil Sci., Soc. Am. J. 66: 523-530.
- Grant, C.A., G.A. Peterson and C.A. Compbell (2002). Nutrient considerations for diversified cropping systems in the Northen great plains. Agron., J. 94: 186-198.
- Kahurananga, J. (1991). Intercropping Ethiopian Trifolium species with wheat. Exp. Agric., 22: 385-390.
- Kanwar, S., S. Surinde, K. Singh and S. Sing (1990). Effect of preceding rotation crops, levels of nitrogen and phosphorus on the yield and economic returns of wheat. J.of Agron., 6: (1):1-7.
- Khaliq, A., M. Bismillah Khan, M. Farrukh Saleem and S.I. Zamir (2001). Lentil yield as influenced by density of wheat intercropping .J.of Res.,Sci., (Bahauddin Zakariya University, Multan, Pakistan) 12. (2):159-162.
- Mahrous, M.A., M.S. Eisa and A.A. Abd- alla (1998). Effect of intercropping wheat with lentil at varying nitrogen fertilization rates on yield and their components. Ann. of Agric. Sci., Moshtohor Zagazig Univ., 36 (1): 61-69.
- MSTAT. (1986). A micro computer program of the Design Management and Analysis of Agronomic Research Experiments. Michigan State Univ. U.S.A.
- Liben, M., T. Tadesse and A.Assefa (2001). Determination of nitrogen and phosphorus fertilizer levels in different maize-faba bean intercropping patterns in northwestern Ethiopia .Seventh Eastern and Southern Africa Regional Maize conference 11th -15th February : 513-518.
- Radwan, F.I. (1993). Yield and yield attributes of wheat and faba bean as affected by different intercropping patterns and nitrogen fertilization. Egypt, J. Appl. Sci., 8 (11): 859-881.
- Snedecor, G.W. and W. G. Cochran (1988). Statistical methods 7th Ed. lowa State Univ., Press. Ames Iowa, U.S.A.

M.A. Abou-Kerisha, R.A. Gadallah and M.M.A. Badr

- Thorsted, M.D., J.E. Olesen and J. Weiner (2006 a). Width of clover strips and wheat rows influence grain yield in winter wheat / white clover intercropping .Field Crop Res., 95: 280-296.
- Thorsted, M.D., J.Weiner and J.E.Olesen (2006 b). Above-and below-ground competition between intercropped winter wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) and white clover (*Tarifolium repens*) J. of Appl., Ecology 43: 237-245.
- Willey, R.W. (1979). Intercropping its importance and research needs. part 1: competition and yield Advantages. (Field Crop Abst, 32: 1-10).
- Willey, R.W. and M.R. Rao. (1980). Competitive ratio for quantifying competition between intercrops. Exp.Agric., 16: 117-125.

تأثير المحاصيل السابقة والتحميل على محصول القمح و مكوناته

محمد أبو العيون أبو كريشة، رأفت عايد جاد الله، مصطفى محمود عبد النبي بدر قسم التكثيف المحصولي - معهد بحوث المحاصيل الحقلية - مركز البحوث الزراعية - الجيزة - مصر الملخص العربي.

أجريت تجربة حقلية فى محطة بحوث ملوى فى محافظة المنيا (مصر الوسطى) فى عسامى عسامى الجريت تجربة حقلية فى عام ٢٠٠٥ / ٢٠٠٦ وذلك لدراسة تأثير ثسلات محاصسيل تسبق القمح (ذرة , ذرة يعقبها برسيم , فول صويا) وكذلك تأثير تحميل القمح مع محصولين بقوليين هما (البرسيم الفحل والفول البلدى) وذلك على محصول القمح ومكوناته وعلى الكفاءة الإنتاجية لوحدة المساحة والعائد النقدى ، وقد تم إستخدام تصميم القطع المنشقة فسى شسلات مكررات لتنفيذ هذه التجربة ويمكن إيجاز أهم النتائج المتحصل عليها كما يلى :

- (۱) أوضحت النتائج أن محصول القمح من الحبوب والقش المنزرع بعد ذرة يعقبها برسيم أو بعد فول صويا كانت أعلى من ذلك الذى زرع بعد الذرة الشامية (۲) أدى تحميل الفول البلدى أو برسيم الفحل إلى زيادة في مكونات محصول القمح وكان محصول القمح النامى مع الفول البلدى أعلى من زراعة القمح مع البرسيم الفحل ،
- (٣) أثبتت النتائج أن محصول القمح كان محصولاً جيداً للتحميل حيث أعطى محصولا يقدر بـ ، ، ، ، ، ، ، ، من المحصول المنقرد، وعلى الجانب الأخر فأن المحصول النسبى للبرسيم الفحل كان يقدر من ١٦ ١٩ % من المحصول المنقرد، و ٣٥ ٣٩ % من المحصول المنفرد للقول البلدى (٤) أوضحت النتائج أن القيمة النسبية التنافسية للقمح كانت أعلى من كلا من الفول البلدى أو البرسيم الفحل مما يدل على سيادة القمح بالتحميل مع هـذين المحصولين ،
- (٥) أظهرت النتائج أن التأثير على الخسارة الحقيقية للمحصول كسان موازيسا لتسأثير معدل أستغلل الأرض LER والنسبة التنافسية CR بتأثير معاملات التجربة فبينما كانت قيمسة الفقد الحقيقي للمحصول موجبه في حالة تحميل القمح مع الفول البلاي والتي يُظهر ميسزة

محصولية نجد أن هذه القيمة في حالة البرسيم الفحل كانت سائبة في حالة تحميل البرسيم الفحل مع القمح والتي أظهرت عدم تواجد اى ميزة محصولية للبرسيم الفحل وأن قيم الفقد في المحصول بالنسبة للقمح كانت موجبة في حالة تحميل البرسيم الفحل مسع القمسح أو تحميل القمح مع الفول البلدى (٦) أظهرت النتائج أنه تم التحصل على أعلى عائد نقدى (٥٨,١٥٠ الا جنيها) عندما تم تحميل القمح مع الفول البلدى بعد الذرة وتلاه المعامله التي تم فيها تحميل القمح مع الفول البلدى بعد الذرة وتلاه المعامله التي