

EFFECT OF GYPSUM, PHOSPHOREINE AND ROCK PHOSPHATE ON GROWTH AND YIELD OF SWEET PEPPER PLANTS.

Kamal, A M.

Veget. Res. Dept., Hort. Res. Inst., Agric. Res. Center, Giza, Egypt.

ABSTRACT

Two field experiments were performed during the successive seasons of 2006 and 2007 at Talkha district, Dakahlia Governorate, Egypt to determine the effect of gypsum, phosphoreine and rock phosphate rates on growth, chemical composition, yield and quality of sweet pepper plants cv. California wonder.

The main results could be summarized that:

- Application of 4 ton/feddان of gypsum as soil amendments and 1 kg of phosphorein as transplants inoculation with 60 or 90 kg P₂O₅ of rock phosphate induced a significant effect on root, shoot, total dry weights, N, P and K contents of pepper plant foliage as well as N and P total uptake.
- Additions of gypsum at 4 ton/ feddan and phosphorein at 1 kg/ feddan with 60 or 90 kg P₂O₅ of rock phosphate showed a significant effect on maximizing average fruit weight, number of fruits per plant, fruit yield per plant, total yield per feddan, fruit flesh thickness, fruit dry weight and fruit TSS.
- Adding 4 ton/feddان of gypsum and 1 kg/feddان of phosphorein with 60 kg P₂O₅ of rock phosphate increased sweet pepper fruit yield by 56.22 % above control.

In general, this study demonstrated that it is possible to produce highest growth, yield and quality of pepper plants by applying rock phosphate as a cheap phosphorus source; it will be necessary to add gypsum at 4 ton/feddان and 1 kg/ feddan of phosphorein with 60 kg / P₂O₅ of rock phosphate.

INTRODUCTION

Sweet pepper (*Capsicum annum* L.) is one of the most important widely grown vegetable crops in the world being recognized as a rich source of minerals and vitamins; it is also one of the most important vegetable crops in Egypt for local utilization and export. Phosphorus plays an important role on plant metabolism functions and is one of the essential nutrients required for plant growth and development. It has functions of a structural nature in macromolecules such as nucleic acids and of energy transfer in metabolic pathways of biosynthesis and degradation (Marschner, 1995 and Jeschke *et al.*, 1996).

The major serious problem of phosphorus fertilization in Egypt is that of unavailable form of phosphorus in the alkalinity soil, for that applying phosphorus fertilizers could be converted to unavailable form for plant absorption (El-Dahtory *et al.*, 1989) and therefore, most growers apply too much P fertilizer for their crops, over-fertilization leads to unnecessarily high production costs and may lead to decrease yield and quality and pose a risk to the environment, so application of natural rock phosphate is an economically sound alternative to the more expensive superphosphate (Sale and Mokwunye, 1993 and Chien *et al.*, 2003). Based on the unit cost of P,

natural rock phosphates is usually the cheapest. Moreover, available information has suggested that phosphate rocks may also have potential agronomic value by provide some secondary nutrients, such as Ca and magnesium, and micronutrients, such as zinc and molybdenum, in spite of the fact that the phosphorus released from directly applied ground rock phosphate is often too low to provide sufficient phosphorus for crop uptake especially in the alkaline soil (Vassilev *et al.*, 2001). Rock phosphate was particularly effective in acid soils, at alkaline soil the obtained yield of rock phosphate treatments was about 20 to 40 % lower than superphosphate (Mengel and Kirkby, 1978). In general, experiments conducted in the past showed that rock phosphate was highly effective when applied to plants grown in acid soils (Khasawneh and Doll, 1978). On the other hand increased soil acidity can enhance rock phosphate dissolution and its availability to plants (Haynes, 1992 and Nakamaru *et al.*, 2000). Phosphorus solubilizing bacteria in general showed a positive effect on solubilizing inorganic phosphorus in the soils. As phosphorus solubilizing microorganisms render more phosphates into solution than is required for their growth and metabolism, the surplus could be absorbed by plants (Sundara *et al.* 2002).

Gypsum has several benefits including adding sulfur and calcium to the soil as an essential plant nutrients, Gypsum amendments may affect the recovery of applied P fertilizer as the addition of Ca and sulphate alters the P sorption and release capacities of soils through their effects on P adsorption and precipitation processes. Also, Gypsum amendments increase the efficiency of P fertilizer, contributing to enhanced productivity of freshly reclaimed saline and sodic marsh soils (Delgado *et al.*, 2002).

This experiment highlights the potential use of rock phosphates as source of phosphorus in relation to gypsum and phosphorein as soil amendments on growth, yield and quality of pepper plants specially under Egyptian alkalinity soil and the continues increasing in price of superphosphate fertilizers.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Two field experiments were conducted at Talkha district, Dakahlia Governorate, Egypt during the successive seasons of 2006 and 2007 to achieve the effect of gypsum, phosphoreine and rock phosphate rates on growth and yield of sweet pepper, CV. California wonder. Some physical and chemical properties of the experimental soil are in Table 1.

Table 1: Some physical and chemical properties of the experimental soil during 2006 season.

| Sand % | Silt % | Clay % | Texture class | EC (dSm ⁻¹) | Organic matter % | pH | CaCO ₃ | Available nutrients (ppm) | | |
|--------|--------|--------|---------------|-------------------------|------------------|-----|-------------------|---------------------------|-------|-------|
| | | | | | | | | N | P | K |
| 19.15 | 30.70 | 48.45 | clayey | 0.92 | 1.91 | 7.8 | 2.92 | 29.24 | 13.41 | 304.2 |

A split-split plot design with three replicates was used. The experiment included 24 treatments, which were the combinations among three levels of gypsum, two phosphorein rates and four levels of rock

phosphate. The main plots were assigned gypsum levels (0, 2 and 4 ton/fed). The sub plots were devoted to phosphorein rates (with and without). Meanwhile, the four rates of rock phosphate (0, 30, 60, 90 kg P₂O₅/ feddan) were randomly arranged in the sub-sub plots. Each experimental unit was 12.25 m² consisted of five ridges each of 3.5 m long and 70 cm wide.

On 1st March during the two seasons, pepper seedlings were transplanted in the open field into one side ridges at spacing 30 cm. phosphorus fertilizer in the form of rock phosphate (27 % P₂O₅) and gypsum (23% calcium and 18% sulfur) at previously mentioned rates were applied before planting at rowing preparation. Phosphorein contains "*Bacillus megatherium* var. *phosphaticum*" (pure local strain) as phosphate dissolving bacteria were obtained from biofertilizer production unit, Soil and Water Res. Inst., Agric., Res. Center, Giza, Egypt. Before transplanting pepper seedlings were treated with a suspension of 1 kg of phosphorein dissolved in 4 liter of tap water and mixed with Arabic Gum, as an adhesive substance. Pepper plants were received N and K fertilizers at the rates of 120 Kg N and 100 Kg K₂O/ fed. Fertilizers were applied in the form of ammonium nitrate (33.5 % N) and potassium sulfate (48 % K₂O).

Normal cultural practices for pepper were followed according to the instruction laid down by Egyptian Ministry of Agriculture.

A representative sample of 5 plants from each plot were taken at 105 days after transplanting and weight of root, shoot and total dry weight were recorded. Dry weight of root and shoot were used to determine nitrogen % according to the methods described by Bremner and Mulvaney (1982), phosphorus was estimated colorimetrically according to Olsen and Sommers (1982) and potassium was also determined flame photometrically as described by Jackson (1967), all obtained results were used to calculate the total uptake of nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium (mg/plant) for root and shoot dry weight.

All harvested fruits from each plot all over the season, were used to determine average fruit weight, number of fruits/ plant, yield/ plant and total yield/ feddan. A representative sample of 10 marketable fruits from each experimental plot were taken at the picking No. 8 for determination of fruit flesh thickness, fruit dry matter %, TSS % and Vit C mg/100 gm fresh fruit weight according to the methods of A.O.A.C. (1990).

The data were statistically analyzed as a combined for the two seasons using the procedure outlined by Snedecor and Cochran (1980). The treatment means were compared using least significant differences at 5% Level.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

1. Dry weight and plant chemical composition:

1.1. Effect of gypsum.

Data tabulated in Table 2 indicate that gypsum rates as soil amendment had a significant effect on dry weight and chemical components of pepper plants. It is clear from such data that addition of 4 ton/fed of gypsum resulted in the highest significant root, shoot, total plant dry weight,

N, P, K % and N, P, K total uptake. These results are in harmony with those obtained by Tuna *et al.* (2007) who reported that supplemental calcium sulphate (gypsum) added significantly improved plant growth and increased concentrations of K in tomato leaves.

The pronounced promotional effect of gypsum on dry weight and chemical components of pepper plants may be due to the several benefits of gypsum including adding sulfur to the soil, provides calcium which is also a plant nutrient and needed to flocculate clays in acid and alkaline soils, calcium also, plays an essential role in processes that preserve the structural and functional integrity of plant membranes, stabilize cell wall structures, regulate ion transport and selectivity, and control ion-exchange behaviour as well as cell wall enzyme activities (Rengel, 1992 and Marschner, 1995). Moreover, gypsum had a role in improving soil structure, increasing aeration of the soil, improve moisture holding capacity, improve deep root systems, improve the uptake of water, improve uptake of nitrogen and phosphorus by root. Gypsum, also, decreased soil pH (Andrade *et al.*, 2002), electrical conductivity (Soni *et al.*, 1997). Such flocculation is needed to give favourable soil structure for root growth, air and water movement.

Table 2: Effect of gypsum rates on dry weight and chemical components of pepper plants, combined analysis of 2006 and 2007 seasons.

| Gypsum | Dry weights /plant(gm) | | | foliage% | | | Total uptake (mg/plant) | | |
|------------|------------------------|-------|-------|----------|-------|------|-------------------------|-------|-------|
| | Root | shoot | Total | N | P | K | N | P | K |
| Without | 5.91 | 60.84 | 66.51 | 2.39 | 0.202 | 3.28 | 1595 | 136.5 | 2190 |
| 2 ton/fed. | 6.70 | 69.48 | 76.18 | 2.55 | 0.220 | 3.45 | 1944 | 171.6 | 2643 |
| 4 ton/fed. | 7.01 | 75.79 | 82.81 | 2.65 | 0.256 | 3.49 | 2201 | 217.3 | 2901 |
| LSD 5% | 0.21 | 3.26 | 3.48 | 0.25 | 0.02 | 0.24 | 185.4 | 31.4 | 234.1 |

1.2. Effect of phosphorein.

Data concerned with the effect of phosphorein on plant dry weight and chemical components of pepper plants are shown in Table 3. It is clear that inoculation of pepper transplants with 1 kg of phosphorein per feddan significantly increased root, shoot and total dry weight as well as P, K % in plant foliage and N, P, K total uptake compared with untreated plants. The unique exception was that of N % of plant foliage which was not significantly affected by phosphorein treatment. These results agree with those reported by Turan *et al.* (2007) who found that phosphorus solubilizing bacteria applications increased tomato plant shoot and root weights, also, increased P contents of plant by 12.1% above control.

Table 3: Effect of phosphoreine on dry weight and chemical components of pepper plants, combined analysis of 2006 and 2007 seasons.

| Phosphoreine | Dry weights /plant(gm) | | | foliage% | | | Total uptake (mg/plant) | | |
|--------------|------------------------|--------|-------|----------|-------|------|-------------------------|-------|------|
| | Root | shoots | Total | N | P | K | N | P | K |
| Without | 6.02 | 66.55 | 72.41 | 2.51 | 0.217 | 3.39 | 1828 | 161.1 | 2472 |
| With | 7.04 | 70.95 | 77.99 | 2.56 | 0.233 | 3.42 | 2008 | 188.4 | 2685 |
| F-test | * | * | * | N.S | * | * | * | * | * |

The simulative effect of phosphorein on dry weight of plant might be attributed to the vital role of these bacteria in dissolving insoluble phosphates by more than one process, including the release of organic acids (Illmer *et al.*,1995) and the solubilization of calcium phosphates (Illmer and Scinner, 1995), reducing soil pH (Hewedy, 1999b and Turan *et al.*, 2007), producing phytohormones which could stimulate absorption of nutrients and consequently increasing dry weight (Bashan and Holguin,1997). Moreover, there are several reports on plant growth promotion by bacteria that have the ability to solubilize inorganic and organic P from soil after their inoculation of soil or plant seeds (Kucey *et al.*, 1989; Cakmakci *et al.*, 2001; Sundara *et al.*, 2002; Shen *et al.*, 2004 and Turan *et al.*, 2006). Furthermore, the effect of phosphorein on nutrients uptake is generally due to the production of organic acids such as citric, glutamic, succinic, lactic, oxalic, malic, fumaric and tartaric acid which has been attributed to their chelating effect, as well as phosphorein render more available phosphates into solution than is required for their growth and metabolism, the surplus could be absorbed by plants (Sundara *et al.*, 2002).

1.3. Effect of rock phosphate rates.

Data in Table (4) show a significant effect of rock phosphate rates on pepper plant dry weight and chemical components. Such data revealed that increasing rock phosphate rates up to the highest used level (90 kg P₂O₅ / feddan) resulted in the highest significant of root, shoot and total dry weights, also P, K % contents in plant foliage and N, P and K total uptake. These results agree with those reported by Melton and Dafault (1991) who found that increasing phosphorus fertilization increased total dry weight of tomato and with the finding of Heuwinkel *et al.* (1992) who found that plant dry matter and root are much less affected by P deficiency. The favourable effects of rock phosphate on plant growth have been observed by Mandal (1975) on soybean and Mona and Nadia (2008) on okra.

Table 4: Effect of rock phosphate rates on dry weight and chemical components of pepper plants, combined analysis of 2006 and 2007 seasons.

| Rock phosphate rates (kg P ₂ O ₅ /fed) | Dry weights /plant(gm) | | | foliage% | | | Total uptake (mg/plant) | | |
|--|------------------------|-------|-------|----------|-------|------|-------------------------|-------|-------|
| | Root | shoot | Total | N | P | K | N | P | K |
| 0 | 4.99 | 80.96 | 65.95 | 2.54 | 0.171 | 3.32 | 1680 | 113.9 | 2198 |
| 30 | 5.63 | 83.78 | 69.41 | 2.51 | 0.203 | 3.35 | 1749 | 142.1 | 2330 |
| 60 | 7.61 | 72.87 | 80.15 | 2.53 | 0.248 | 3.43 | 2040 | 202.7 | 2757 |
| 90 | 7.93 | 77.21 | 85.14 | 2.55 | 0.281 | 3.54 | 2183 | 241.9 | 3028 |
| LSD 5% | 0.201 | 3.12 | 4.57 | N.S | 0.024 | N.S | 120.7 | 27.9 | 268.4 |

The simulative effect of phosphorus on dry weight of pepper plants may be due to that phosphorus is a part of molecular structure of nucleic acid (DNA and RNA), the energy transfer components and phosphoproteines (Mengle and Kirkby, 1978).Moreover, phosphorus plays a regulatory role in the formation and translocation of substances such as sugars and starch (Bennett, 1994). Good P uptake and translocation toward the leaves involve

the transport of carbohydrates toward the root (Qiu and Israel, 1992). This is primarily because both P absorption by the root and the xylem loading process require carbohydrates as an energy source (Loughman, 1987).

1.4. Effect of interaction among phosphorein, gypsum and rock phosphate rates.

Data presented in Table 5 indicate that application of 4 ton/fed of gypsum and 1 kg of phosphorein with 60 or 90 kg P₂O₅ of rock phosphate had a significant effect on root, shoot and total dry weights. It also clear that N, P and K contents % of pepper plant foliage and total uptake of N, P were also increased significantly. However, the interaction between gypsum at 4 ton, phosphorein at 1 kg and 90 kg P₂O₅ of rock phosphate gave the highest values of K total uptake.

Table 5: Effect of the interaction among gypsum, phosphoreine and rock phosphate rates on dry weight and chemical components of pepper plants, combined analysis of 2006 and 2007 seasons.

| G** | Phos* | P ₂ O ₅ (kg/fed) | Dry weights /plant(gm) | | | Foliage% | | | Total uptake (mg/plant) | | |
|-------------------|----------------------|---|---------------------------|-------|-------|----------|-------|-------|----------------------------|-------|-------|
| | | | Root | shoot | Total | N | P | K | N | P | K |
| without gypsum | without Phos* | 0 | 4.21 | 51.48 | 55.69 | 2.44 | 0.154 | 3.11 | 1358 | 85.7 | 1731 |
| | | 30 | 4.97 | 54.32 | 59.29 | 2.37 | 0.184 | 3.21 | 1405 | 109.0 | 1903 |
| | | 60 | 5.81 | 61.49 | 65.31 | 2.31 | 0.204 | 3.14 | 1508 | 133.2 | 2050 |
| | with Phos* | 90 | 6.45 | 65.48 | 71.93 | 2.47 | 0.246 | 3.34 | 1776 | 176.9 | 2402 |
| | | 0 | 5.01 | 57.41 | 62.42 | 2.38 | 0.151 | 3.28 | 1485 | 94.2 | 2047 |
| | | 30 | 6.47 | 61.43 | 67.90 | 2.35 | 0.199 | 3.32 | 1595 | 135.1 | 2254 |
| | 60 | 7.08 | 65.41 | 72.49 | 2.41 | 0.221 | 3.41 | 1747 | 160.2 | 2471 | |
| | 90 | 7.34 | 69.71 | 77.05 | 2.45 | 0.257 | 3.46 | 1887 | 198.0 | 2665 | |
| | 2 ton/fed. gypsum | without Phos* | 0 | 4.87 | 60.40 | 65.27 | 2.53 | 0.161 | 3.22 | 1651 | 105.0 |
| 30 | | | 5.19 | 64.37 | 69.56 | 2.51 | 0.198 | 3.48 | 1745 | 137.7 | 2420 |
| 60 | | | 6.91 | 72.46 | 79.37 | 2.57 | 0.234 | 3.74 | 2039 | 185.7 | 2968 |
| with Phos* | | 90 | 7.28 | 76.44 | 83.72 | 2.50 | 0.277 | 3.68 | 2093 | 231.9 | 3080 |
| | | 0 | 5.11 | 65.34 | 70.45 | 2.57 | 0.174 | 3.31 | 1810 | 122.5 | 2331 |
| | | 30 | 5.97 | 62.71 | 68.68 | 2.59 | 0.191 | 3.25 | 1778 | 131.1 | 2232 |
| | 60 | 8.94 | 75.44 | 84.38 | 2.60 | 0.248 | 3.41 | 2193 | 209.2 | 2877 | |
| | 90 | 9.34 | 78.68 | 88.02 | 2.55 | 0.284 | 3.57 | 2244 | 249.9 | 3142 | |
| | 4 ton/fed. gypsum | without Phos* | 0 | 5.27 | 63.42 | 68.69 | 2.66 | 0.188 | 3.48 | 1827 | 129.1 |
| 30 | | | 5.39 | 69.74 | 75.13 | 2.64 | 0.209 | 3.51 | 1983 | 157.0 | 2637 |
| 60 | | | 7.91 | 77.64 | 85.55 | 2.59 | 0.262 | 3.48 | 2215 | 224.1 | 2977 |
| with Phos* | | 90 | 8.01 | 81.47 | 89.48 | 2.62 | 0.289 | 3.37 | 2344 | 258.5 | 3015 |
| | | 0 | 5.48 | 67.74 | 73.22 | 2.67 | 0.201 | 3.54 | 1954 | 147.1 | 2591 |
| | | 30 | 5.79 | 70.12 | 75.91 | 2.62 | 0.241 | 3.34 | 1988 | 182.9 | 2535 |
| | 60 | 9.04 | 84.78 | 93.82 | 2.71 | 0.324 | 3.41 | 2542 | 303.9 | 3199 | |
| | 90 | 9.21 | 91.48 | 100.6 | 2.74 | 0.334 | 3.84 | 2758 | 336.3 | 3866 | |
| | LSD 5% | | | 0.52 | 8.24 | 8.21 | 0.11 | 0.02 | 0.47 | 254.7 | 42.1 |

* Phosphoreine **Gypsum

These results coincided with those reported by Hewedy (1999a), Dawa *et al.* (2000) and Mahmoud and Amara (2000), they found that the interaction between phosphorein and NPK at 50 % or 75 % increased dry weight of tomato plant compared with 100 % NPK. Bardisi and Atia (2005) reported that using 60 kg P₂O₅/ feddan and inoculation with phosphorein gave the highest values of total dry weight, N, P and K total uptake by tomato plants. Many studies have demonstrated the dissolution of rock phosphate by phosphate solubilizing microorganisms (Barea *et al.*, 1983). Kumar and Sharma (2004) found that phosphate solubilizing bacteria increases rock

phosphate availability and increased tomato plant height compared with rock phosphate alone. On the other hand Menary and Hughes, (1967) pointed out that when sulphate and phosphate were applied to the soil at the same time; the sulphate produced a significant increase in phosphorus uptake of tomato plants. They showed that sulphate allowed a better utilization of the added phosphate by reducing the rate of phosphate fixation.

2. Fruit yield and quality:

2.1. Effect of gypsum.

Considering the effect of gypsum additions on fruit yield and quality of pepper, data presented in Table 6 reveal that increasing gypsum additions to the highest used rate (4 ton/fed) significantly increased average fruit weight, number of fruits, fruit yield per plant and total yield per feddan as well as fruit dry weight. Meanwhile, fruit flesh thickness, TSS and vitamin C were not significantly affected by addition of gypsum comparing with control. Similar results were obtained by Delate and Arora (2003) who used gypsum as a soil amendment to enhance pepper production and postharvest quality; he found that there was a trend towards greater yield, number of fruits and average weight of pepper fruits with gypsum soil addition. Tuna *et al.* (2007) they found that addition of gypsum significantly improved tomato fruit yield. In addition, it has been shown that sulphur plays an important role in yield and quality of many crops (Pavlista, 2005 on potato and Heeb *et al.*, 2006 on tomato).

Table 6: Effect of gypsum on fruit yield and quality of sweet pepper, combined analysis of 2006 and 2007 seasons.

| Gypsum | Fruit yield | | | | Fruit quality | | | |
|------------|---------------------------|-------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|--------------|-------|--------------------|
| | Average fruit weight (gm) | No. fruits /plant | Fruit yield (kg / plant) | Total yield (ton / fed.) | Flesh thickness (mm) | Fruit DW (%) | TSS % | Vit C mg/ 100gm FW |
| without | 156.7 | 37.11 | 0.585 | 10.24 | 2.36 | 7.95 | 6.30 | 134.5 |
| 2 ton/fed. | 164.6 | 38.26 | 0.532 | 10.86 | 2.45 | 8.16 | 6.40 | 142.7 |
| 4 ton/fed. | 183.3 | 39.57 | 0.732 | 12.80 | 2.59 | 8.44 | 6.57 | 153.5 |
| LSD 5% | 15.14 | 1.11 | 0.037 | 0.247 | N.S | 0.219 | N.S | N.S |

2.2. Effect of phosphorein.

Data tabulated in Table 7 show the effect of phosphorein on fruit yield and quality of sweet pepper, it is clear that, number of fruits per plant, fruit flesh thickness, fruit TSS and Vitamin C were not significantly affected by the addition of phosphorein. On the other hand, the addition of phosphorein significantly increased average fruit weight, fruit yield per plant, total yield per fed and fruit dry weight compared with untreated pepper plants. These results agree with those reported by Subba Rao (1982), Wani and Lee (1992) and Verma (1993) on many crops include cabbage, tomato, potato, chickpea and soybean they found that the use of phosphate solubilizing Microorganisms can increase crop yields by up to 70 percent.

The above mentioned improving effect of phosphorein on pepper fruit yield and quality could be attributed to the activity of these bacteria in the absorption zone of plant root by improving soil fertility through releasing of certain other nutrients, *i.e.*, Fe, Zn and Mn (Bhonde *et al.*, 1997), and break down of organic and inorganic nutrients in the soil and changing these elements to available forms.

Table 7: Effect of phosphoreine on fruit yield and quality of sweet pepper, combined analysis of 2006 and 2007 seasons.

| Phosphoreine | Fruit yield | | | | Fruit quality | | | |
|--------------|---------------------------|-------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|--------------|-------|----------------------|
| | Average fruit weight (gm) | No. fruits /plant | Fruit yield (kg / plant) | Total yield (ton / fed.) | Flesh thickness (mm) | Fruit DW (%) | TSS % | Vit C (mg/ 100gm FW) |
| without | 162.9 | 37.77 | 0.619 | 10.69 | 2.42 | 8.03 | 6.38 | 140.1 |
| With | 173.5 | 38.85 | 0.681 | 11.90 | 2.51 | 8.33 | 6.46 | 147.0 |
| F-test | * | N.S. | * | * | N.S | * | N.S | N.S |

2.3. Effect of rock phosphate rates.

Data presented in Table 8 show the effect of rock phosphate rates on fruit yield and quality of sweet pepper. It is clear that number of fruits per plant, fruit yield per plant, total yield per feddan and fruit flesh thickness were significantly affected by increasing rock phosphate rates up to the highest used level *i.e.* 90 kg P₂O₅. Meanwhile, average fruit weight, fruit dry weight and fruit TSS as well as vitamin C were significantly affected by addition of 60 or 90 kg P₂O₅ of rock phosphate. On the other hand there was no significant differences could be detected between the addition of 30 kg P₂O₅ of rock phosphate and control. Similar results were obtained by Di candilo *et al.* (1993) who found that increasing phosphorus fertilization increased yield and soluble solids percentage in tomato grown in high alkaline soil. Similar results were obtained by Hammond *et al.* (1980). Maloth and Prasad (1976) reported that cowpeas grown in alkali soil (pH 8.4) fertilized with 200 Kg P₂O₅/ha in from of rock phosphate gave the same yield as 100 Kg P₂O₅/ha in from of superphosphate. Moreover, Muieba and Coulibaly (1999) cowpea fertilization with natural rock phosphate Improved cowpea yield. Mona *et al.* (2008) reported that fertilization of okra with rock phosphate instead of P as chemical form resulted in the highest pods yield and increased pods nutritional values.

Table 8: Effect of rock phosphate rates on fruit yield and quality of sweet pepper, combined analysis of 2006 and 2007 seasons.

| Rock phosphate rates (kg P ₂ O ₅ /fed) | Fruit yield | | | | Fruit quality | | | |
|--|---------------------------|-------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|--------------|-------|----------------------|
| | Average fruit weight (gm) | No. Fruits /plant | Fruit yield (kg / plant) | Total yield (ton / fed.) | Flesh thickness (mm) | Fruit DW (%) | TSS % | Vit C (mg/ 100gm FW) |
| 0 | 143.1 | 35.73 | 0.512 | 8.71 | 2.28 | 7.26 | 6.27 | 135.1 |
| 30 | 163.8 | 35.96 | 0.588 | 10.29 | 2.37 | 8.07 | 6.37 | 142.3 |
| 60 | 179.8 | 40.28 | 0.728 | 12.72 | 2.55 | 8.62 | 6.49 | 149.8 |
| 90 | 186.2 | 41.28 | 0.771 | 13.48 | 2.66 | 8.78 | 6.56 | 147.1 |
| LSD 5% | 15.12 | 0.95 | 0.037 | 0.343 | 0.054 | 0.184 | 0.197 | 8.24 |

2.4. Effect of the interaction among phosphorein, gypsum and rock phosphate rates.

Data in Table (9) show the effect of interaction among phosphorein, gypsum and rock phosphate rates on fruit yield and quality of sweet pepper. It is clear that the additions of gypsum at 4 ton/fed and phosphorein at 1 kg/fed with 60 or 90 kg P₂O₅ from rock phosphate had a significant effect on maximizing average fruit weight, number of fruits per plant, fruit yield per plant, total yield per feddan, fruit flesh thickness, fruit dry weight and fruit TSS. Meanwhile, vitamin C did not significantly affected by all used interaction treatments. The data showed also that the addition of gypsum at 4 ton/fed and phosphorein at 1 kg/fed with 60 kg P₂O₅ of rock phosphate increased sweet pepper fruit yield by 56.22 % above the control.

Table 9: Effect of interaction among gypsum, phosphoreine and rock phosphate rates on fruit yield and quality of sweet pepper, combined analysis of 2006 and 2007 seasons.

| G** | Phos* | P ₂ O ₅ (kg/fed) | Fruit yield | | | | Fruit quality | | | |
|-------------------|---------------|--|---------------------------|--------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|--------------|-------|---------------------|
| | | | Average fruit weight (gm) | No. fruits / plant | Fruit yield (kg / plant) | Total yield (ton / fed.) | Flesh thickness (mm) | Fruit DW (%) | TSS % | Vit C (mg/100gm FW) |
| without gypsum | without Phos* | 0 | 135.1 | 31.3 | 0.422 | 7.39 | 2.14 | 7.14 | 6.04 | 122.6 |
| | | 30 | 155.2 | 38.2 | 0.561 | 9.83 | 2.29 | 7.98 | 6.24 | 128.9 |
| | Phos* | 60 | 159.4 | 39.4 | 0.628 | 10.99 | 2.38 | 8.13 | 6.33 | 130.7 |
| | | 90 | 164.4 | 40.5 | 0.665 | 11.65 | 2.51 | 8.47 | 6.41 | 134.1 |
| | with Phos* | 0 | 138.2 | 33.4 | 0.461 | 8.08 | 2.22 | 7.25 | 6.14 | 133.7 |
| | | 30 | 159.2 | 35.8 | 0.569 | 9.95 | 2.32 | 8.07 | 6.31 | 139.4 |
| | Phos* | 60 | 167.7 | 38.9 | 0.652 | 11.40 | 2.46 | 8.24 | 6.45 | 144.2 |
| | | 90 | 174.6 | 41.4 | 0.722 | 12.64 | 2.59 | 8.38 | 6.53 | 142.6 |
| 2 tonfed. gypsum | without Phos* | 0 | 142.8 | 35.7 | 0.509 | 7.40 | 2.27 | 7.22 | 6.27 | 132.8 |
| | | 30 | 157.4 | 37.0 | 0.582 | 10.18 | 2.29 | 8.04 | 6.34 | 137.4 |
| | Phos* | 60 | 169.1 | 38.9 | 0.657 | 11.49 | 2.49 | 8.23 | 6.40 | 146.6 |
| | | 90 | 171.5 | 39.4 | 0.675 | 11.81 | 2.61 | 8.31 | 6.44 | 138.0 |
| | with Phos* | 0 | 149.7 | 37.7 | 0.564 | 9.87 | 2.31 | 7.29 | 6.37 | 138.4 |
| | | 30 | 163.4 | 36.4 | 0.594 | 10.41 | 2.40 | 8.11 | 6.39 | 144.7 |
| | Phos* | 60 | 174.9 | 39.8 | 0.696 | 12.16 | 2.57 | 9.09 | 6.48 | 150.3 |
| | | 90 | 188.4 | 41.2 | 0.776 | 13.57 | 2.69 | 9.01 | 6.51 | 153.8 |
| 4 tonfed. gypsum* | without Phos* | 0 | 141.7 | 38.0 | 0.538 | 9.42 | 2.37 | 7.37 | 6.42 | 143.4 |
| | | 30 | 169.4 | 35.7 | 0.604 | 10.58 | 2.42 | 8.01 | 6.49 | 150.4 |
| | Phos* | 60 | 191.2 | 39.8 | 0.760 | 13.29 | 2.61 | 8.74 | 6.58 | 159.9 |
| | | 90 | 198.4 | 41.4 | 0.821 | 14.35 | 2.74 | 8.82 | 6.64 | 157.1 |
| | with Phos* | 0 | 151.2 | 38.3 | 0.579 | 10.12 | 2.42 | 7.34 | 6.40 | 140.1 |
| | | 30 | 178.2 | 34.7 | 0.618 | 10.82 | 2.54 | 8.21 | 6.47 | 153.2 |
| | Phos* | 60 | 216.6 | 44.9 | 0.972 | 17.01 | 2.81 | 9.34 | 6.71 | 167.1 |
| | | 90 | 220.4 | 43.8 | 0.965 | 16.88 | 2.84 | 9.71 | 6.87 | 157.2 |
| LSD 5% | | | 18.24 | 2.37 | 0.042 | 0.421 | 0.078 | 0.417 | 0.314 | N.S |

* Phosphoreine Gypsum**

The results are in harmony with those of Hewedy (1999a) who found that number of fruits, average fruit weight, total yield, TSS, vitamin C and fruit dry weight significantly increased by inoculation of tomato transplants with phosphorein at 500 gm/fed + 75 % NPK comparing with 100% NPK alone. Mahmoud and Amara (2000) showed that addition of *Bacillus megatherium* + 50 % NPK increased tomato fruit yield, TSS and Vit.C in of tomato fruits. Similar results were reported by Abd El-Rahman *et al.* (2001) on tomato. Moreover, Kumar and Sharma (2004) found that using rock phosphate and

phosphate solubilizing bacteria increased number of tomato fruits, yield per hectare, total soluble solids and ascorbic acid compared with rock phosphate alone. On the other hand, Menary and Hughes (1967) pointed out that when sulphate and phosphate were applied to the soil at the same time; the sulphate produced a significant increase in number of fruit per plant. Also, Xiangyun *et al.* (1996) pointed out that application of phosphorus and gypsum improves vegetables fruit quality.

In conclusion, this study demonstrated that it is possible to produce highest growth, yield and quality of pepper plants by applying rock phosphate at rate of 60 kg / P₂O₅ as a cheap phosphorus source; it will be necessary to add gypsum at 4 ton/feddan and treating pepper seedlings before transplanting with phosphorein at rate of 1 kg/ feddan.

REFERENCES

- Abd El-Rahman, S. Z.; T.M. El-Shiek and A. M. Hewedy (2001). Effect of biofertilizers on yield, quality and storeability of tomatoes. *J. Agric. Sci., Mansoura Univ.*, 26(11):7165-7179.
- Andrade, A. T.; L. A. Fernandes and V. Faquin (2002). Organic residue, Limestone, gypsum and phosphorus adsorption by lowland soils. *Scientia Agricola*, 59(2):349-355.
- A.O.A.C. (1990). Official Method of Analysis. 10th Association of Official Analytical Chemists. Inc. USA.
- Bardisi, A. and N. A. Atia (2005). An attempt to minimize the addition of mineral phosphorus fertilization by using biofertilizers in tomato plants. The 6th Arabian Conference for Horticulture, Ismailia, Egypt, 205-221.
- Barea, J. M.; R. Azcon and C. Azcon-Aguilar (1983). Interactions between phosphate solubilizing bacteria and VA mycorrhiza to improve plant utilization of rock phosphate in non acidic soils. *In* IMPHOS, (ed.) 3rd international congress on phosphorus compounds, Brussels, 127-144.
- Bashan, Y. and G. Holguin (1997). Azospirillum plant relationship: Environmental and physiological advances. *Can. S. M. Microbid.*, 43:103-121.
- Bennett, W. F. (1994). Nutrient deficiencies and toxicities in crop plants. *The American phytopathological Soc.*, 202.
- Bhonde, S. R.; S. B. Sharma and A. B. Chougule (1997). Effect of biofertilizer in combination with nitrogen through organic and inorganic sources on yield and quality of onion. *National Hort. Res. Develop. Found* 17(2):1-3.
- Bremner, J. M. and C. S. Mulvaney. (1982). Total nitrogen. *In*: Page, A. L., R. H. Miller and D. R. Keeney (eds.) *Methods of Soil Analysis. Part 2*, Amer. Soc. Agron. Madison, W I. USA, 595- 624.
- Cakmakci R., F. Kanter, F. Sahin (2001): Effects of N₂-fixing bacterial inoculation on yield of sugar beet and barley. *J. Plant Nutr. Soil Sci.*, 164: 527-531.

- Chien, S. H.; G. Carmona, J. HENAO and L. I. Prochnow (2003). Evaluation of rape response to different sources of phosphate rock in an alkaline soil. *Comm. Soil Sci. Plan.* 34: 1825- 1835.
- Dawa, K. K.; T. M. El-Gazer; H. A. El-Sayed; A. M. Hewedy and A. M. Ouda. (2000). Effect of organic and chemical fertilization on: I-Vegetative growth. *J. Agric. Sci. Mansoura Univ.*, 25 (7): 4541-4554.
- Delate, K. and R. Arora (2003). Effect of gypsum applications on organic crop production and Postharvest quality. Research Report, Soil Solutions, LLC, 303 p, Lamp Kastner Drive Holstein, 51025. Iowa State University.
- Delgado, A.; I. Uceda; L. Andreu; S. Kassem and M. C. Del Campillo (2002). Fertilizer phosphorus recovery from gypsum-Amended, Reclaimed Calcareous Marsh Soils. *Arid Land Research and Management*, 16:319-334.
- Di candilo, M.; C. Leoni and P. G. Silvestri (1993). Sulfur, phosphorus and potassium in processing tomato grown in high alkaline soil. *Adv. Hort. Sci.*, 7:57-60.
- El-Dahtory, T. M.; M. Abd El-Nasser; A. A. Abdalla and M. El-Mohandes (1989). Studies on phosphate solubilizing bacteria under different soil amendment. *Minia J. Agric. Res. and Dev.* 11(2):935-950.
- Hammond, L. L.; S. H. Chien and J. R. polo (1980). Phosphorus availability from partial acidulation of two phosphate rocks. *Fertilize Research*, 1:37-49.
- Haynes, R. J. (1992). Relative ability of a range of crop species to use phosphate rock and monocalcium phosphate as P sources when grown in soil. *J. Sci. Food Agric.*, 74: 1-7.
- Heeb, A.; B. Lundegardh, G. Savage and T. Ericsson (2006). Impact of organic and inorganic fertilizers on yield, taste, and nutritional quality of tomatoes. *J. Plant Nutr. Soil Sci.*, 169:535-541.
- Heuwinkel, H.; E. A. Kirkby; J. le Bot and H. Marschner (1992). Phosphorus deficiency enhances molybdenum uptake by tomato plants. *J. Plant Nutr.* 15:549-568.
- Hewedy, A. M. (1999a). Influence of single and multi bacteria fertilizer on the growth and fruit of tomato. *Egypt. J. Appl. Sci.*, 14 (7):508-523.
- Hewedy, A. M. (1999b). Effect of sulphur application and biofertilizer phosphorein on growth and productivity of tomato. *Minufiya J. Agric., Res.* 24 (3):1063-1078.
- Illmer, P. and F. Scinner (1995). Solubilization of inorganic calcium phosphates solubilization mechanisms. *Soil Biol. Biochem.*, 27:257-263.
- Illmer, P.; A. Barbato and F. Scinner (1995). Solubilization of hardly-soluble APO4 with P solubilizing microorganisms. *Soil Biol. Biochem.*, 27:265-270.
- Jackson, M. L. (1967). *Soil Chemical Analysis*. Prentic Hall of Indian Private Limited, New Delhi, 115.

- Jeschke, W. D.; A. Puke; E. A. Kirkby; J. S. Pate and W. Hartung (1996). Effects of P deficiency on the uptake, flows and utilization of C, N and H₂O within intact plants of *Ricinus communis* L. *J. Exp. Bot.*, 47:1737-1754.
- Khasawneh, F. E. and E. C. Doll (1978). The use of phosphate rock for direct application to soils. *Adv. Agron.*, 30:159-206.
- Kucey, R. M. N.; H. H. Janzen and M. E. Leggett (1989). Microbial mediated increases in plant available phosphorus. *Adv. Agron.*, 42:199-228.
- Kumar P. and S. K. Sharma (2004). Effect of phosphorus sources on cabbage-tomato cropping sequence at Solan. *J of Hort. Sci.*, 33(3/4): 272-273.
- Loughman, B. C. (1987). The application of in vivo techniques in the Study of metabolic aspects of ion absorption in crop plants. *Plant Soil*, 99:63-74.
- Mahmoud, H. A. F. and M. A. T. Amara (2000). Response of tomato to biological and mineral fertilizers under calcareous soil conditions *Bull. Fac. Agric., Cairo Univ.*, 51(2):151-174.
- Maloth, S. and R. Prasad (1976). Relative efficiency of rock phosphate and superphosphate for cowpea (*Vigna sinensis* cv.Savi) fodder. *Plant and soil*, 45: 295-300.
- Mandal, S. C. (1975). Phosphorus management of our soil. Need for a more rational approach. 40th Sess. Indian Soc. Soil science, Bhubaneswar.
- Marschner, H. (1995). *Mineral Nutrition of Higher Plants*. Academic Press, London.
- Melton, R. R. and R. J. Dufault (1991). Nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium fertility regime affect on tomato transplant growth. *HortScience*, 26(2):141-142.
- Menary, R. C. and J. D. Hughes (1967). The effect of sulphate on phosphorus availability on a krasnozem soil. *Journal of Experimental Agriculture and Animal Husbandry*, 7:168-173.
- Mengel, K. and E. A. Kirkby (1978). *Principles of Plant Nutrition*. International Potash Institute, P.O. Box CH. 3048, Worblaufen, Bern, Switzerland.
- Mona, Abdel-Mouty, M and H. M. Nadia El-Greadly (2008). The productivity of two okra cultivars as affected by gibberellic acid, organic N, rock phosphate and feldspar application. *J. of Appl. Sci. Res.*, 4(6): 627-636.
- Muleba, N and M. Coulibaly (1999). Effects of phosphorus fertilizer sources on cowpea and subsequent cereal crop productivity in semi-arid West Africa. *J. of Agric. Sci.*, 132:45-60.
- Nakamaru, Y.; M. Nanzyo and S. Yamasaki (2000). Utilization of apatite in fresh volcanic ash by pigeonpea and chickpea. *Soil Sci. Plant Nut.* 46: 591-600.
- Olsen, S. R. and L. E. Sommers (1982). Phosphorus. *In*: Page, A. L., R. H. Miller and D. R. Keeney (Eds). *Methods of Soil Analysis. Part 2 Amer. Soc. Agron. Madison, W. I. USA*, 403-430.
- Pavlista, A. D. (2005). Early-season application of sulfur fertilizers increase potato yield and reduce tuber defects. *Agron. J.* 97:599-603.
- Qiu, J. and D. W. Israel (1992). Diurnal starch accumulation and utilization in phosphorus-deficient soybean plants. *Plant Physiol.*, 98:316-323.

- Rengel, Z. (1992). The role of calcium in salt toxicity. *Plant Cell Environ.* 15:625-632.
- Sale, P. W. G. and A. U. Mokwunye (1993). Use of phosphate rocks in the tropics. *Fert. Res.*, 35: 33-45.
- Shen, J.; R. L., Zhang F., J. Fan, C. Tang and Z. Rengel (2004): Crop yields, soil fertility and phosphorus fractions in response to long-term fertilization under rice monoculture system on a calcareous soil. *Field Crop Res.*, 86:225-238.
- Snedecor, G.W. and W.G. Cochran (1980). *Statistical Methods*, 7th ed. Iowa State Univ. Press, Iowa, U.S.A.
- Soni, M. L.; A. Swarup and M. Singh (1997). Behaviour of native and applied manganese during reclamation of sodic soil. *Journal of the Indian Society of Soil Science*, 45(2): 349 – 354.
- Subba Rao, N. S. (1982). Biofertilizers. In N.S. Subba Rao, (ed.) *Advances in Agricultural Microbiology*, Oxford and IBH, UK, Mohan Prilani, and New Delhi, Butterworth and Co., 219-242.
- Sundara B.; V. Natarajam and K. Hari (2002). Influence of phosphorus solubilizing bacteria on the changes in soil available phosphorus and sugarcane and sugar yields. *Field Crops Res.*, 77:43-49.
- Tuna, A. L.; C. Kayab; M. Ashraf; H. Altunlu; I. Yokas and B. Yagmur (2007). The effects of calcium sulphate on growth, membrane stability and nutrient uptake of tomato plants grown under salt stress. *Environmental and Experimental Botany*, 59:173-178.
- Turan M., N. Ataoglu and F. Sahin (2006): Evaluation of the capacity of phosphate solubilizing bacteria and fungi on different forms of phosphorus in liquid culture. *J. Sustain. Agr.* 28:99-109.
- Turan, M.; N. Ataoglu and F. Sahin (2007). Effects of *Bacillus* FS-3 on growth of tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum* L.) plants and availability of phosphorus in soil. *Plant Soil Environ.*, 53(2): 58-64.
- Vassilev, N.; M. Vassileva; M. Fenice; and F. Federici (2001). Immobilized cell technology applied in solubilization of insoluble inorganic rock phosphates and P plant acquisition. *Bioresource Technol.*, 79:263-271.
- Verma, L. N. (1993). Biofertilizer in Agriculture, In P.K. Thampan, (ed). *Organics in soil health and crop production*, pp. 152-183. Cochin, India, Peekay Tree Crops Development Foundation.
- Wani, S. P. and K. K. Lee (1992). Role of biofertilizers in upland crop production. In H. L. S. Tandon, (ed.) *Fertilisers, Organic manures, recyclable wastes and biofertilizers*, New Delhi, Fertiliser Development and Consultation Organization., 91-112.
- Xiangyun, D.; W. Deqing and G. Pengcheng (1996). Application of phosphorus gypsum on some vegetable crops. *J. of Shenyang Agric. Univ.*, 27(1):65-70.

تأثير الجبس و الفوسفورين وصخر الفوسفات علي نمو و محصول نباتات الفلفل الحلو

أحمد مصطفى كمال

قسم بحوث الخضار - معهد بحوث البساتين - مركز البحوث الزراعية - مصر

أجريت تجربتان حقليتان خلال الموسمين ٢٠٠٦ و ٢٠٠٧ بناحية طلخا- محافظته للدقهلية - مصر و ذلك لدراسة تأثير الجبس و الفوسفورين و صخر الفوسفات علي نمو و التركيب الكيماوي و محصول و صفات الجودة لنباتات الفلفل الحلو صنف كاليفورنيا و ندر. و كانت أهم النتائج ما يلي :

- أدي إضافة أربعة أطنان من الجبس الزراعي و كيلو جرام من الفوسفورين (معامله قبل الشتل) للشتلات مع التسميد بصخر الفوسفات بمستوي ٦٠ أو ٩٠ كجم فو.أه/فدان إلي الحصول علي أعلى زيادة معنوية للمادة الجافة للجنور و المجموع الخضري و كذلك للوزن الجاف الكلي للنبات بالإضافة إلي زيادة نسبة النيتروجين و الفوسفور و البوتاسيوم في المجموع الخضري و كذلك زيادة كميته النيتروجين و الفوسفور الممتصة بالمجموع الخضري لنباتات الفلفل.

- أدي إضافة أربعة أطنان من الجبس الزراعي و كيلو جرام من الفوسفورين مع التسميد بصخر الفوسفات بمستوي ٦٠ أو ٩٠ كجم فو.أه/فدان إلي الحصول علي أفضل زيادة معنوية لكلا من متوسط وزن الثمرة و عدد الثمار للنبات و محصول الثمار للنبات و كذلك المحصول الكلي للفدان بالإضافة إلي سمك لحم الثمرة و وزن المادة الجافة للثمرة و محتوى الثمرة من المواد الصلبة الذائبة الكلية.

- أدي إضافة أربعة أطنان من الجبس الزراعي و كيلو جرام من الفوسفورين مع التسميد بصخر الفوسفات بمستوي ٦٠ كجم فو.أه/فدان إلي زيادة محصول الثمار الكلي بنسبه ٥٦,٢٢ % بالمقارنة بمعامله المقارنة.

و عليه توصي الدراسة بتسميد نباتات الفلفل صنف كاليفورنيا و ندر بصخر الفوسفات كمصدر رخيص لعنصر الفوسفور و ذلك بإضافة أربعة أطنان من الجبس الزراعي و كيلو جرام من الفوسفورين مع التسميد بصخر الفوسفات بمستوي ٦٠ كجم فو.أه/فدان و ذلك للحصول علي أفضل نمو خضري و محصول و جوده لنباتات الفلفل.