SOIL AMENDING AS A MANAGEMENT PRACTICE FOR MINIMIZING BICARBONATE HAZARD OF SALINE IRRIGATION WATER

Abdel-Aal, R. S. ; M. D. Alaga "; A. H. Abd El-Hamid" and A. A. Mousa"

* Soil Sci. Dept., Faculty of Agric., Moshtohor, Benha Univ., Egypt "Soil Conservation. Dept., Desert Res. Centre Cairo, Egypt

ABSTRACT

In order to evaluate the effect of bicarbonete ions in saline irrigation water in Ras Sudr Research Station where calcareous and sandy loam textured soil is dominant. Four levels of bicarbonate (initial least of well water 2.5, 5, 10, and 15 meqL⁻¹), different additions of farmyard manure (0,10, and 20 m³ fed⁻¹) and three rates of sulphur (0, 250 and 500kg S fed⁻¹). The obtained data revealed that both soil EC and soil pH increased with increasing bicarbonate concentration more than 5 meqL⁻¹ in irrigation water. However soil EC and pH were decreased with increasing FYM and or sulphur rates. Soluble Na⁺ and K⁺ were increased with increasing HCO₃⁻ concentration, in irrigation water but soluble (Ca⁺⁺ & Mg⁺⁺) were lowest in case of (5 meqL⁻¹ HCO₃). The effect of FYM and S were clear in case of OM₂ S₂. The low value of soluble chloride occurred with adding 10 m³ fed⁻¹ under HCO₃⁻ rates 2.5 and 5 meqL⁻¹. Farmyard manure of 10 m³ fed⁻¹ was the best treatment, which improved the leaching of sulphate salts.

Keywords: Farmyard manure, calcareous soil, saline condition, South Sinai.

INTRODUTION

In Egypt, calcareous soils comprise about 25 % of the newly reclaimed area. Because of the limited sources of water supply and the need urgent to expend the agricultural land, the use of saline water irrigation may arise as obligatory solution for such problem.

Karam (1999) evaluated the effects of saline irrigation water on soil salinity in experiment comprising clay and loamy soils. He used three different salinity levels. Under these conditions, the leaching fraction of 30 % through the low quality water consumption period, reduced the impacts of saline irrigation on both clay and loam soils.

Aziz et al., (1998) found that soil conditioning markedly increased the amount of total soluble salts. Sodium and chloride ions showed highest values, while the lowest ones were for Mg⁺⁺ and K⁺. They added that

Soluble cations under the conditions of longer irrigation interval followed the order: $Na^+ > Ca^{+2} > Mg^{+2} > K^+$ and $C\Gamma > HCO_3^- > SO4^{-2}$.

EL-Maghraby (1997) reported that the results pertaining soil properties were affected by applying soil conditioners under 7 days' irrigation intervals. The soil pH, EC, SAR and ESP values were decreased with different magnitude under the conditioners treatments at any irrigation frequency. Also the waters table aggregates, soil moisture retention as measured at field capacity. While the bulk density decreased. Moreover, E L-Nabulsi (1998) showed that the EC_e and SAR increased noticeably as irrigation water salinity

increased, reducing the irrigation frequency prevented salt build up the soil. A direct positive relationship was observed also between water salinity and the leached fraction. The average soil EC_e in the 0-90 cm depth showed a distinct response to water salinity and irrigation frequency.

Also, FYM decomposition produces different acids which decrease soil pH. The lowest value in soluble Na⁺ through bicarbonate concentration of 5 meg L⁻¹. The bicarbonate reduced plant yield:

- a. The adverse effect of HCO₃ on plant metabolic processes.
- b. The disturbance on the plant nutrient balance.
- c. The excess of bicarbonate induced the dominance ions which react with soluble Zn⁺² in soil. From the previous mentioned we must use soil conditioners example sulphur and farmyard manure in Egypt, calcareous soil represents 25 % of new reclaimed area.

El-Maghraby et al., (1996) stated that grain and straw yields were significantly increased as result of adding sulphur and FYM the best treatment was 500kg fed⁻¹ from sulphur.

Therefore, this study aims to evaluate the effects of wheat cultivation, different additions of farm yard manure and sulphur on some soil properties of calcareous soil at Ras Sudr, South Sinai, Egypt.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

A field experiment was carried out during (1999-2000) and (200-2001) growing seasons at Ras Sudr Experimental Station. Desert Research Center, South Sinai using wheat (Sakha 8). This field trial was arranged in a split-split plot design with four replicates for each treatment.

The bicarbonate levels in irrigation water at (2.5, 5, 10 and 15 meqL⁻¹) were in the main plots. Sub plots were occupied by 3 treatments of farmyard manure (control, 10m³fed⁻¹ and 20m³fed⁻¹). In addition, sub-sub plots were assigned by three rates of sulphur (0, 250 and 500 Kg S fed⁻¹).

The field experiment repeated for studding the response and residual effect through (2000-2001) growing season.

Soil analyses: Three soil samples representing three soil depths 0-15, 15 - 30 and 30 - 45 cm were taken (Tables 1and 2). The mechanical analysis was carried out using the pipette method (Piper, 1950). O.M%, pH, EC dSm⁻¹, soluble cations and anions also ESP were determined in soil samples as described by Jackson (1967) and Chapman and Pratt (1961).

Table 1: Some physical properties of the experimental soils at Ras sudr.

]				tion (%)	Texture	Bulk	Field	Wilting		
depth (cm)		Coarse Sand Fine Sand Silt Clay 39.45 39.69 8.46 12.40		Clay	risee	density (g/cm³)	capacity (%)	point (%)	water (%)	CaCO ₃ (%)
0-15	39.45	39.69	8.46	12.40	Sandy loam	1.44	18.90	9.52	50.90	50.90
15-30	37.20	43.31	12.22	7.27	Sandy loam	1.53	17.80	10.48	61.56	61.56
30-45	37.40	42.22	13.10	7.28	Sandy loam	1.52	17.30	10.63	51.32	51.32

Table 2: Some chemical properties of the experimental soils at Ras

Soil depth	рН	EC		le cation reqL ¹)	S			anions q L ⁻¹)		ESP
(cm)	þп	(dSm ⁻¹)	Ca ⁺² + Mg ⁺²	Na⁺	К*	CO3"	HCO ₃ .	CI	SO ₄ "	LOF
0-15	7.4	14.3	97.71	79.80	0.01		3.54	133.4	50.90	13.60
15-30	7.4	13.60	84.00	82.54	0.01		6.80	1.70	61.56	14.92
30-45	7.4	11.70	84.88	58.75	1.80		5.16	74.97	51.32	10.75

Well water irrigation, EC, pH, and soluble cations and anions were determined as previously mentioned in soil extracts and are given in Table 3.

Table 3: Analysis of the saline well water used for irrigation.

EC	Soluble ca	tions (me	eq L ¹)	Sol	uble ani	ons (me	q L-1)	SAD
(dS m ⁻¹)	Ca " + Mg"	Na	K	CO ₃	HCO ₃	CI	SO₄*	SAIL
10.20	62.80	80.13	1.18		2.50	81.90	39.60	10.70

FYM analysis of total N, C, P, K, Fe,Mn and Zn were determined according to Jackson(1967), Frie *et al.*, (1964) and Lindsay and Norvell (1978). The obtained data are given in Table 4.

Table 4: Analysis of the applied farmyard manure.

EC (dSm ⁻¹)	рН	O. C. (%)	Water holding capacity (%)	Total N (%)	C/N (ratio)	Total P (%)	Total K (%)	Total sulphate (%)		Total onutriong kg	ents ¹) Zn
34.9	7.3	20.3	180	1.52	13.4	0.92	1.48	1.24	212	127	98

The statistical analysis of the obtained data was done according to the method described by Gomez and Gomez (1984) using LSD to compare the mean values of treatments.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The main effect of FYM rates on soil salinity regardless of sulfur, bicarbonate levels and soil depth show that EC values were decreased with increasing organic manure rates, generally the values of soil salinity of without organic manure application were relating greeting then that at FYM application either by OM1or OM2 in both seasons (Table 5).

On the other hand, the main effect of sulphur level on soil salinity regard of OM, HCO₃ levels, and soil depth show that the values of soil salinity were decreased with increasing sulpher levels in both seasons. However, the soil salinity values were increased with increasing bicarbonate levels.

In the first and second seasons, soil salinity decreased with increasing soil depth under any levels of FYM or sulphur and HCO₃. In addition, increasing sulphur levels decreased soil salinity at any soil depths or any levels of FYM and HCO₃. Meanwhile, soil salinity was increased with increasing FYM levels and bicarbonate at both soil depths.

It is worthy to that the remarkable positive effect of either FYM or sulphur application was only occurred under 2.5 and 5 meqL⁻¹ HCO₃ (first and second levels). This result may be attributed to the main effect of sulphur on soil pH during both seasons, especially at the 2nd rate (500kg fed⁻¹). This result could be supported by that obtained by Cifuentes *et al.* (1993).

The role of organic manure was enhancing the soil structure and leaching of soluble salts, while sulphur oxidation produces sulphate that reacts with Ca and consists gypsum which enhanced the soil structure and decreased soil pH too (Aziz et al., 1998).

Table 5: EC (dSm⁻¹) values of the investigated soil after wheat harvesting.

		- 111	41 7 6	Still	<u>, </u>											
Ţ			нсо,) <u>a</u>	(HCO.³)	1		HCO.	3		(HCO.*)	3	<u> </u>		
	Soil depth	OM _o	OM.	OM ₂	OM.	OM,	OM ₂	οм,	OM ₁	OM,	ОМо	OM,	OM,	}	Mean	s
]					First	seasc	n (99-	2000)					l		
S,	0-15	22.9	7.9	9.3	24.3	9.7	11.1	25.0	25.2	26.8	29.7	30.4	30.6	21.1	20.4	
٠°	15-30	19.3	7.4	8.0	22.0	8.8	10.5	23.6	24.8	25.9	28.6	28.6	30.0	19.8	20.4	Į,
s,	0-15	7.6	8.0	8.6	8.9	9.1	9.8	22.8	23.6	24.0	28.4	29.2	29.8	17.5	17.1	18
}	15-30	7.3	7.4	7,6	8.5	8.7	8.9	20.6	21.9	23.2	27.8	28.2	29.2	16.6		10
	0-16 7.2 8.1 8.3 8.8 9.0 9.3 21.9 22.7 23.1 27.3 27.9 2 15.30 6.7 7.6 7.7 8.4 8.5 8.0 21.5 21.9 22.2 25.4 27.1													16.8	16.5	
,	15-30 6.7 7.6 7.7 8.4 8.6 8.0 21.6 21.9 22.2 26.4 27.1 2														, 6.5	
	15-30 6.7 7.6 7.7 8.4 8.6 8.0 21.6 21.9 22.2 26.4 27.1 27.8 11.8 7.7 8.3 13.6 9.0 9.6 22.6 23.4 24.2 28.0 28.6 29.3															
Me	eans i		9.3			10.7			23.4			28.6		}		
	1						1	8								
						Seco	nd se	ason (2000-	2001)						
S.	0-15	25.2	11.2	124	26.8	13.1	14.5	27.9	28.2	29 7	31.9	33.7	34.1	24.1	23.5	
	15-30	23.2	10.9	11.5	25.1	12.8	12.9	26.1	27.8	28.6	29.7	31.7	33.1	22.8	23.0	
ş,	0-15	10.4	11,6	12.3	13.2	13,8	13.9	25.9	27,2	28.4	30.3	32.4	33.7	21.1	20.5	21.3
91	15-30	10.1	11.2	11.9	12.8	12.8	13.2	24 1	25.4	27 6	28.6	30.8	31 5	20,0	20.5	21.3
S,	0-15	10.1	11,4	11.9	12.7	13.9	14.3	24.6	25.3	26.5	29.4	30,2	31.8	20,2	19.8	
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	15-30	9.6	10.5	11.0	12.2	13.2	11.9	23.8	24.6	25.6	27 9	29.6	30.9	19.2	. 3.0	
		14.8	11.1	11.8	17.1	13.3	13.5	25.4	26.4	27.7	29.6	31,4	32.6			
Me	ans		12,6			14.6			26.5			31.2				- 1
	(21	.2								}

The values of pH as affected by the treatment under consideration are given in Table 6. It is clear that, the soil pH values were not remarkably affected by the treatments. In the same time the values of the second season are greater than that obtained in case of the first season.

The bicarbonate application changed the soil initial soluble Na^{+} from 67.4 $meqL^{-1}$ to 75.6 and 198.5 $meqL^{-1}$ due to the three rates of applied HCO_3 , respectively in the 1st season (Table 7).

In the second season, the applied irrigation water which contains different levels of bicarbonates raised the general average of the soluble Na⁺ from 144.7meqL⁻¹ to 158.15 meqL⁻¹.

Results reveal that, on average, sulphur application in the 1st growth season reduced the soluble Na⁺ seriously. The soluble Na⁺ reduced was more clear in the upper 0 -15 cm soil layer as compared to the 15 -30 cm soil layer. On average, the soluble Na⁺ values under S₀ treatment (166.5 meqL⁻¹) was reduced to 137.1 and 130.5 meqL⁻¹ with sulfur application at rates of 250 and 500 kg fed⁻¹, respectively. However, for the 2^{nd} growth season there values were as, on average (180, 152 and 142.3 meqL⁻¹ for S₀, S₁ and S₂, respectively at the 2^{nd} season while S fed⁻¹

respectively. Similar results were also obtained by El-Maghraby *et al.*, (1996) showed that the Na⁺ and S concentration were markedly affected by sulphur and organic manure applied singly or as combined treatments.

Table 6: The pH values of the investigated soil after wheat harvesting.

		(HCO.)			HCO 3)	1	(HCO. ⁷)	2	(HCO.3	}3			
	Soil depth	OM ₀	OM ₁	OM ₂	OMα	OM ₁	OMz	OM	OM,	OM ₂	ОΜο	OM,	OM ₂		Mean	s
	l depth				,	First	seaso	n (99-2	000)							
S,	0-15	7,48	7.38	7.31	7.55	7.51	7.47	7.62	7.59	7.48	7.71	7.67	7.55	7.53	7.50	
3,	15-30	7.38	7.31	7.30	7.49	7.46	7,41	7.57	7.52	7.43	7.70	7.61	7.51	7.47	1.50	
5,	0-15	7.37	7.30	7.28	7.48	7.46	7.45	7.58	7.55	7.45	7.59	7.65	7.53	7.47	7.45	7.45
51	15-30	7.32	7.27	7.25	7.45	7.41	7.40	7.53	7.51	7.41	7.52	7.61	7.51	7.43	1,43	7.40
,	0-15	7.33	7.27	7 24	7 44	7.40	7.39	7.57	7.53	7 41	7.55	7.63	7.48	7 44	7.41	
S,	15-30	7.25	7.21	7.20	7 39	7.37	7.33	7.51	7.48	7.37	7.46	7.58	7.46	7.38	1	İ
		7.36	7.29	7.26	7 47	7,44	7.41	7.56	7 53	7.43	7.59	7.63	7.51			
Me	ans		7.30			7.58		ļ								
							7.	46						<u> </u>		
-						Seco	nd sea	son (20	00-200	11)						
S.	0-15	8.28	8.20	8.13	8 43	8.38	8.33	8 86	8.81	8.76	9 35	9.03	8 78	8.61	8.54	
30	15-30	8.18	8.15	8.02	8 34	8.22	8.21	8.77	8 64	8.56	9.22	8.81	8.56	8.47	0.54	
S,	0-15	8.17	8 11	8.00	8 41	8.28	8.25	8.66	8.64	B.61	9.27	8.97	8.53	8.49	8.43	8.45
31	15-30	8.11	8 01	7.75	8 33	8.19	8.16	8 52	8.51	8.43	9.18	8 84	8.42	8.37	0.43	0.43
	0-15	8.11	8.01	7 89	8.34	8.16	8.10	8.51	8.46	8 34	9.15	9.02	6.89	8.42	8.39	
s,	15-30	8.00	8 00	7.81	8 25	8.04	8.00	8.46	8.37	8 24	9.10	9,10	8.85	8.35	0.39	<u> </u>
		8.14	8.08	7 93	8 35	8 2 1	8.18	8.63	8.57	8 49	9.21	8.96	8.67			
Mo	ans		8 06			8.25	12.02									
							9.	23						1		

Table 7: Soluble Na⁺ (meqL⁻¹) of the investigated soil after wheat harvesting.

			(HCO'3}0		(HCO.	,		(HCO '}		<u> </u>	(HCO.3)	3			
Į	Soil	OM.	OM,	OM ₂	OM,	OM,	OM ₂	OM _o	OM ₁	OM ₂	OM _o	OM,	OM ₂]	Means	i
l						Fire	si seaso	n(99-20	100)							
S	0-15	186.2	52.5	68 3	198 5	68.4	75.2	211.4	214.2	229.2	239.9	247.8	253.9	170.5	166.5	
L°	15-30	173.5	49 7	\$5 5	181 6	608	72.5	201 5	211.8	216.4	235.7	240 2	249.0	162.4	100.5	
s,	0-15	51 5	58.2	616	59 6	62.8	68.5	191.4	201.4	203.3	234 1	240.2	248.0	140.1	137.1	144.7
, 3,	15-30	49 2	51.7	52 8	53.6	56.3	61.4	182.8	198.7	200.0	228.0	233.0	242.0	134.1	137.1	144.7
S,	0-15	48 6	53.3	57 9	59 1	543	66.7	180 7	192.1	195.9	227.7	231.2	233.0	133 4	130.5	
	15-30	47.2	48.6	47 8	516	49.6	59.6	177.3	180.6	184.3	225.0	229.0	230.0	127.5	130.5	
		92 7	52.3	57.3	100 7	58.7	67.3	190.9	199.8	204.9	2317	236.9	242.7			
M	leans		67 4			75.6			198.5			237 1				
							14	4.7								
						Şe	cond se	ason(20	000-2001)						
Sa	0-15	193.3	75 9	87.9	200 4	89.7	110.5	226.5	229.7	240.5	250.4	258.6	268.9	186.0	180.0	
	15-30	184.8	66.7	75.6	189.8	78.6	100.7	210.7	210.4	231.9	238.4	247.4	253.4	174.0	180.0	
Sı	0-15	66.4	74.4	81.4	768	77.9	83,2	201.5	213.4	218.7	247 3	254.6	259.6	154.6	152.0	158.1
5	15-30	62.3	73.5	75.8	72 1	73.5	78.5	197 6	209.4	211.3	241.2	248.6	248.8	149.4	132.0	130.1
5,	0-15	57.6	63.5	75 4	674	69.5	74.4	191.3	204.5	209.4	231 6	244.3	246.1	144.6	142.3	
	15-30	53.4	60.4	72 4	66 9	54.5	71.5	188.4	194.5	196.7	229.1	241.0	240.5	139.9	142.3	l
		103.0	69 1	78.1	112.2	75.6	86.5	202.7	210.3	218.1	239 7	249.1	252.9			
М	eans		83.4			91.5			210 4			247.3				
							158	3.15								

Under bicarbonate application at rates 2.5, 5, 10 and 15 meqL⁻¹, the soluble K⁺ increased with increasing organic manure rates. The soluble K⁺ values under S₀ treatment (3.9 meqL⁻¹) increased to 5.4 and 5.7 meL⁻¹ with sulfur application at rates of S₁, S₂, respectively. Similar result was also obtained by El-Maghraby *et al.* (1996) (Table 8).

Table 8: Soluble K⁺ (meqL⁻¹) of the investigeted soil after wheat harvesting.

			(HCO'3)0			HCO.	1		(HCO 1)2			(HCO. ²)	,			
]	Soil depth	OM,	OM,	OM ₂	OM ₀	OM,	OM ₂	OMo	OM,	OM,	OM _e	OM,	OM2]	Means	
]						Fire	st seas;	on(99-20) (000)					<u> </u>		
S,	0-15	1.9	3.9	4.9	2.3	4.9	5.4	2.3	4.9	5.1	2.2	5.2	5.6	4,0	3.9	
	15-30	1.8	3.5	4,6	1.9	4.5	5,1	2.0	4.9	4.8	2.0	4.9	5.6	3.8]	Ì
S.	0-15	4.6	5.2	6.7	4.9	5.5	6.3	4.8	5.3	5.9	5.3	5.7	5.9	5.5	5.4	50
	15-30	4.5	4,8	6.1	4.6	5.3	6,1	4.8	5.1	5.2	5.1	5.3	5.7	5.2]	"
S,	0-15	5.5	6.5	6.8	4.3	5.1	6.1	5.7	5.9	6.2	5.3	5.9	6.1	5.8	5.7	
	15-30	5.3	6.4	6.5	5.9	5.6]									
		3.9	5.1	5.9	5.8											
M	leans		5.0			4.8			5.0			5.1]		
<u> </u>							5	.0						L _		
						Sec	ond se	ason (2	000-2001	1)						
S,	0-15	3.4	5.1	6.2	3.9	8.5	7.4	3.8	6.8	7.6	3.5	7.1	7.9	5.8	5.7	
8	15-30	3.2	4.8	6.0	36	6.3	7.2	3.6	6.6	7.5	3.5	6.8	7.6	5.6]	
S,	0-15	5.5	5.4	7.6	59	6.4	7.1	5.6	5.9	6.4	65	6.9	74	6.5	64	63
5	15-30	5 .3	6.2	7.3	58	6.2	6.8	5.5	5.8	6.4	6.3	6.5	7.3	63		
3,	0-15	6.4	7.3	8.6	6.9	7.4	7.9	6.7	6.8	7.0	6.2	6.4	6.6	70	6.9	
32	15-30	6.3	7.2	8.4	6.7	73	7.8	6.4	6.5	6.8	6.0	6.1	6.5	6.8		
		5.0	6.2	7.4	5.5	6.7	7.4	5.3	6.4	7.0	5.3	6.6	72			
14	eans		6.2			6.5			6.2			6.4		1		
L							6	3]

The bicarbonate application treatments increased the soil initial soluble (Ca⁺⁺ + Mg⁺⁺) from 39,7 meqL⁻¹ to 49.0, 103.8 and 134.4 meqL⁻¹ due to the three rates of applied HCO₃ respectively, at the 1st growth season (Table 9).

The soluble (Ca⁺⁺ + Mg⁺⁺) values were raised from 39.7, 49 to 103.8 and 134.4 meqL⁻¹ at the second growth season for the bicarbonate rates. The soluble (Ca⁺⁺ + Mg⁺⁺) was reduced from 63.5 meqL⁻¹ to 38 and 45.4 meqL⁻¹ with OM₁ and OM₂ treatments, respectively in case of HCO₃ (5 meqL⁻¹) treatment under bicarbonate application of (HCO₃)₂ and (HCO₃)₃ increased the soluble Ca⁺⁺ + Mg⁺⁺ under the OM₁ and OM₂ treatments. Result reveal that, on average, sulphur application at the 1st growth season reduced the soluble Ca⁺⁺ + Mg⁺⁺ seriously. The soluble Ca⁺⁺ + Mg⁺⁺ reduction was more clear in the upper 0 -15 soil layer and lower through the 15 -30 cm soil layer.

As for 2^{nd} growth season, on average, the value of soluble $Ca^{++} + Mg^{++}$ under S_0 treatment (106.1 meqL⁻¹) was reduced to 96 and 91.3 meqL⁻¹ with sulphur application at rates of S_1 and S_2 respectively. Similar results were also obtained by El-Maghraby *et al.* (1996).

The lowest values of soluble $Ca^{++} + Mg^{++}$ were resulted from OM_1S_2 under bicarbonate of 5 meqL⁻¹ and the highest value was obtained with OM_2S_0 and bicarbonate of 15 meqL⁻¹ during both the 1st season and 2nd one.

Table 9: Soluble (Ca⁺⁺ + Mg⁺⁺) (meq L⁻¹) of the investigated soil after wheat harvesting.

			(HCO'3)0		1_ 4	HCO3	4	L	(HCO' ₃) ₂			(HCO. ³)	3]		
	Soil depth	OM₀	OM ₁	OM ₂	OM.	ом,	OM ₂	OM₀	OM,	OM ₂	ОΜ	OM,	OM ₂		Means	
	j utpui					Firs	st seasc	n(99-20	(00)							
	0-15	102.5	38.9	40.9	109.8	45.3	56.1	114.6	116.3	124.5	137.9	139.7	142.9	97.5	95.0	
S ₀	15-30	101.9	28.9	33,9	106.4	41.6	51.7	105.6	113.0	118.1	133.7	135.9	139.2	92.5	30.0	
	0-15	28.3	32.2	38.6	43.2	38.2	44.9	97.6	107.1	110.2	133.9	138.4	140.5	79.4	77.1	81.7
s,	15-30	26.8	28.9	31.5	41.4	35.9	41.9	88.3	93.0	106.3	130.2	135.6	138.2	74.B	//	01.7
	0-15	27.7	35.0	36.9	41.2	35.4	41.2	95.6	102.2	99.4	126.6	131.3	133.9	75.5	73 1	İ
S ₂	15-30	21.7	31.4	28.3	38.7	31.7	36.8	82.3	98.5	96.4	123.4	127.1	130.4	70.6	731	<u> </u>
		51.5	32.6	35.1	137.5											
М	eans		39.7													
							81	1.7								
						Se	cond se	eson(20	000-2001)						
S,	0-15	112.3	50.4	54.9	115.6	58.4	79.5	123.4	124.5	133 3	145.2	148.6	151 3	108.1	106.1	
٠,	15-30	109.5	41.3	55.B	110.2	51.6	73.9	119.6	121.3	130.5	141.6	143.8	147.6	104.0	(00.1	
s,	0-15	55.3	61.5	67.5	70.5	72.5	75.4	100.4	115.1	121 3	146 2	147.5	151.3	98.7	96.0	97 B
٦,	15-30	51.4	55.6	62.4	62.5	67.4	69.3	94.6	109.5	116.5	1413	142.6	145.8	93.3	30 Q	21.0
S ₂	0-15	47.6	60.4	65.4	69.7	71.8	73.6	93.5	109 5	1105	138.7	140.6	146 7	94.0	91.3	
32	15-30	45.6	54.2	60.7	61.8	65.3	68.8	87.6	101.2	105.4	132.4	136.7	142.6	88.6	91.3	
		70.3	53.9	61.3	81.7	64.7	73.4	103.2	1135	119.6	140 9	143.3	147 6			
M	eans		61.8			73.3			112.1			143 9				
_							97	⁷ .8						L		

The best treatments were OM_2S_1 at 2.5 $meqL^{-1}$ bicarbonate in irrigation water followed by OM_2S_2 at 5 $meqL^{-1}$ bicarbonate concentrations (Table 10).

Table 10: Soluble HCO₃ (meqL⁻¹) of the investigated soil after wheat harvesting.

			LACOL													
	Soil		(HCO's),			HCO)			(HCO 3)	3		(HCO)4			
	depth	OM ₀	OM,	OM ₂	OM.	OM,	OM ₂	OM ₀	OM,	OM ₂	OM,	OM,	OM,]	Mean:	,
						First	seasor	(99-200	(O)					L		
s,	0-15	2.9	2.5	2.3	6.5	5.5	4.8	109	8.9	79	16.7	13 9	12.8	8.0	79	_
90	15-30	2.8	2.4	2.2	6.3	5.5	4.6	10.7	8.7	7.9	16.3	13.6	12.7	7.8	ן י	ļ
s,	0-15	2.5	2.3	2.1	4.8	4.6	4.5	8.5	7.7	6.9	11.7	10 9	10.5	6.4	64	67
	15-30	2.4	2.1	2.1	47	4.5	4.3	8.3	7.4	67	11.6	10.7	10.5	6.3	1	١٠٬
s,	0-15	2.2	2.1	2.3	5.1	4.8	3.9	6.8	6.9	6.5	9.7	9.7	8.8	5.7	57	1
	15-30	2.3	21	2.0	48	4.2	3.7	6.7	6.7	6.2	9.7	9.5	88	5.6	Ľ	i
		2.5	2.3	2.2	5.4	4.9	4.3	8.7	7.7	70	126	114	10 7			
M	leans		2.3			4.9			7.8			11.6]		
	i						6.7	,						<u> </u>		
						Second	iseasc	n(2000-	2001)							
Se	0-15	3.8	3.6	3.4	7.8	7.5	7.3	12 1	118	115	18.5	18.1	17.7	10.3	10.2	
	15-30	3.7	3 5	3.2	7.6	7.4	7.2	118	11.6	11.3	18.3	17.8	17.5	10.1	"0"	
S,	0-15	3.2	3.1	3.0	7.7	7.6	7.4	10.6	10.3	10.1	15.7	15.5	15.2	9.1	9.1	9.2
	15-30	3.2	3.0	2.9	7.6	7.5	7.3	10.4	10.2	9.9	15.4	15.3	15.0	9.0	Ĺ.,	3.2
5,	0-15	2.8	2.9	2.8	7.4	7.3	7.2	9.8	9.6	9.3	14.6	14.4	14.3	8.5	84	}
-2	15-30	2.6	2.8	2.7	7.3	7.1	7.0	9.6	9.3	9.1	14.3	14.2	14.1	8.3		
		3.2	3.2	3.0	7.6	7.4	7.2	10.7	10.5	10.2	16.1	15.9	15.5			
M	eans		3.1			7.4			10.5			15.9				
							9.2	:						1		

The best treatment of organic manure with respect to Cl concentration was that of 10 m^3 fed⁻¹. Under all the added bicarbonate concentrations but the 20 m^3 fed⁻¹ rate was of the best one. However added S up to 500 kg fed⁻¹ decreased the soil chloride concentration and the best treatment was OM_1S_2 under all treatments of bicarbonate (Table 11).

Table 11: Soluble Cl (meqL 1) of the investigated soil after wheat harvesting.

6 -11	(HCO.*)	١	Ĺ	(HCO.º	l2	(HCO's):	1	. (HCO.1	4			
	OM _o	OM,	OM ₂	ОМο	OM,	OM ₂	OM _o	OM:	OM ₂	OM _o	OM,	OM ₂]	Moans	
uopu.					F	irst sec	son(99-2	000)							
0-16	267	79	85	282	93	99	302	314	330	364	372	379	247.2	244.05	
15-30	261	77	83	278	91 ^	96	284	309	327	361	368	375	242.5	244.03	
0-15	62	57	69	92	93	95	277	280	288	360	36 6	371	200.8	100.0	Ì
15-30	57	5 6	68	88	88	91	271	271	285	355	362	368	196.7	190.8	211.9
0-16	59	60	71	90	91	93	265	273	278	340	349	357	193.8	400.15	
16-30	58	58	67	88	86	91	260	271	275	335	346	351	190.5	192.15	
	127.3	64.5	73.8	153	90.33	94.167	276.5	286.3	297.2	352.5	360.5	366.8			
ะลกร		88.54			359.9										
							11.9								
						Second	season(2000-20	(01)		-				
0-15	271.0	83.0	86.0	279.0	96.0	102.0	308.0	318.0	335.0	369.0	375.0	381.0	250.3	240.4	
15-30	268.0	81.0	83.0	273.0	93.0	98.0	301.0	311.0	330.0	364.0	371.0	377.0	245.8	240.1	
0-16	67.0	70.0	72.0	94.0	76.0	77.0	281.0	283.0	289.0	363.0	367.0	372.0	200.9	400.7	2123
15-30	65.0	68.0	71.0	91.0	750	75.0	278.0	286.0	286.0	361.0	364.0	368.0	198.5	199.7	2123
0-16	62.0	64.0	67.0	91.0	72.0	74.0	267.0	268.0	270.0	342.0	35,1.0	358.0	190.5	180 0	
15-30	62.0	63.0	65.0	89.0	70.0	72.0	265.0	266.0	265.G	337.0	346.0	355.0	187.9	109.2	
	132.5	71.5	74.0	152.8	80.3	83.0	283.3	287.7	295.8	356.0	362.3	368.5			
ans		92.7		·	105 4			288,9			362.3				
						2	12.3	·····							
	15-30 0-16 15-30 0-16 15-30 0-15 15-30 0-15 15-30 0-16	Soil depth OMo OMo OHO OMO OMO OMO OMO OMO OMO OMO OMO OMO	Soil depth OM ₀ OM ₁ 0-16 267 79 15-30 261 77 0-16 62 57 15-30 57 56 0-16 59 60 16-30 58 58 127.3 64.5 88.54 0-16 271.0 83.0 15-30 268.0 81.0 0-16 67.0 70.0 15-30 65.0 68.0 0-16 82.0 64.0 15-30 62.0 63.0 132.5 71.5	depth OM _a OM ₁ OM ₂ 0-16 267 79 85 15-30 261 77 83 0-16 62 57 69 15-30 57 56 68 0-16 59 60 71 16-30 58 58 67 127.3 64.5 73.8 88.54 88.54 0-15 271.0 83.0 86.0 15-30 268.0 81.0 83.0 0-16 67.0 70.0 72.0 15-30 65.0 68.0 71.0 0-16 62.0 64.0 67.0 15-30 62.0 63.0 65.0 132.5 71.5 74.0	Soil depth OM ₀ OM ₁ OM ₂ OM ₀ 0-16 267 79 85 282 15-30 261 77 83 278 0-16 62 57 69 92 15-30 57 56 68 88 0-16 59 60 71 90 16-30 58 58 67 88 127.3 84.5 73.8 153 ans 88.54 88.54 153 0-16 271.0 83.0 86.0 279.0 15-30 268.0 81.0 83.0 273.0 0-16 67.0 70.0 72.0 94.0 15-30 65.0 68.0 71.0 91.0 15-30 62.0 64.0 67.0 91.0 15-30 62.0 63.0 65.0 89.0 15-30 62.0 63.0 65.0 89.0 15-30 62	Soil depth OM ₀ OM ₁ OM ₂ OM ₀ OM ₁ OM ₂ OM ₀ OM ₁ P 0-16 267 79 85 282 93 15-30 261 77 83 278 91 0-16 62 57 69 92 93 15-30 57 56 68 88 88 0-16 59 60 71 90 91 16-30 58 58 67 88 86 127.3 84.5 73.8 153 90.33 88.54 112.5 0-16 271.0 83.0 86.0 279.0 96.0 15-30 268.0 81.0 83.0 273.0 93.0 0-16 67.0 70.0 72.0 94.0 76.0 15-30 65.0 68.0 71.0 91.0 72.0 0-16 82.0 64.0 67.0 91.0 72.0<	Soil depth depth OMo OM	Soil depth OMo OMO <th< td=""><td>Soil depth OM₀ OM₁ OM₂ OM₀ OM₁ OM₂ OM₀ OM₁ OM₂ OM₀ OM₁ OM₂ OM₁ OM₁ OM₂ OM₂</td><td>Soil depth OM₀ OM₁ OM₂ OM₀ OM₁ OM₂ OM₀ OM₃ OM₂ OM₀ OM₃ OM₂ OM₀ OM₃ OM₃ OM₃ OM₃ OM₃ OM₃ OM₃ OM₃ OM₃ 0-16 267 79 85 282 93 99 302 314 330 15-30 261 77 83 278 91′ 96 284 309 327 0-16 62 57 69 92 93 95 277 280 288 16-30 57 56 68 88 88 91 271 271 285 0-16 59 60 71 90 91 93 265 273 278 16-30 58 58 67 88 86 91 260 271 275 ans 85.4 153 90.33 94.167 276.5 286.3 297.2 ans 87.4 73.8 153 90.33 94.167 276.5 286.3 297.2 ans 271.9 86.0 279.0</td><td> OM₀</td><td> OM₀</td><td> OM1 OM2 OM3 OM3 OM3 OM4 OM2 OM6 OM4 OM2 OM6 OM3 OM2 </td><td>Soil depth OM₀ OM₁ OM₂ OM₀ OM₁ OM₂ OM₀ OM₁ OM₂ OM₀ OM₁ OM₂ OM₀ OM₃ OM₂ OM₀ OM₃ OM₂ 0-16 267 79 85 282 93 99 302 314 330 364 372 379 247.2 15-30 261 77 83 278 91′ 96 284 309 327 361 368 375 242.5 0-16 62 57 69 92 93 95 277 280 288 360 366 371 200.8 16-30 57 56 68 88 88 91 271 271 285 355 362 368 196.7 0-16 59 60 71 90 91 93 265 273 278 340 349 357 193.8 16-30 58 58 67 88 86 91 260 271 275 335 346 351 190.5 38.5 73.8</td><td> OM6 OM6</td></th<>	Soil depth OM ₀ OM ₁ OM ₂ OM ₀ OM ₁ OM ₂ OM ₀ OM ₁ OM ₂ OM ₀ OM ₁ OM ₂ OM ₁ OM ₁ OM ₂	Soil depth OM₀ OM₁ OM₂ OM₀ OM₁ OM₂ OM₀ OM₃ OM₂ OM₀ OM₃ OM₂ OM₀ OM₃ OM₃ OM₃ OM₃ OM₃ OM₃ OM₃ OM₃ OM₃ 0-16 267 79 85 282 93 99 302 314 330 15-30 261 77 83 278 91′ 96 284 309 327 0-16 62 57 69 92 93 95 277 280 288 16-30 57 56 68 88 88 91 271 271 285 0-16 59 60 71 90 91 93 265 273 278 16-30 58 58 67 88 86 91 260 271 275 ans 85.4 153 90.33 94.167 276.5 286.3 297.2 ans 87.4 73.8 153 90.33 94.167 276.5 286.3 297.2 ans 271.9 86.0 279.0	OM ₀	OM ₀	OM1 OM2 OM3 OM3 OM3 OM4 OM2 OM6 OM4 OM2 OM6 OM3 OM2	Soil depth OM₀ OM₁ OM₂ OM₀ OM₁ OM₂ OM₀ OM₁ OM₂ OM₀ OM₁ OM₂ OM₀ OM₃ OM₂ OM₀ OM₃ OM₂ 0-16 267 79 85 282 93 99 302 314 330 364 372 379 247.2 15-30 261 77 83 278 91′ 96 284 309 327 361 368 375 242.5 0-16 62 57 69 92 93 95 277 280 288 360 366 371 200.8 16-30 57 56 68 88 88 91 271 271 285 355 362 368 196.7 0-16 59 60 71 90 91 93 265 273 278 340 349 357 193.8 16-30 58 58 67 88 86 91 260 271 275 335 346 351 190.5 38.5 73.8	OM6 OM6

The rate of 10 m^3 fed⁻¹ FYM was the best treatment, inducing the leaching of sulfate salts. On the other hand, organic manure at the rate of 20 m^3 fed⁻¹ increased the soluble sulfate. The best treatment was OM_1S_2 under all the treatments of bicarbonate concentration (Table 12).

Table 12: Soluble SO₄ (meqL⁻¹) of the investigated soil after wheat harvesting.

		(HCO3)	11		(HCO.))2		HCO'3)			HCO',	4	Γ		
l	Soil depth	OM ₀	OM,	OM ₂	OM.	OM 1	OM ₂	OM ₀	OM,	OM ₂	OM ₆	OM:	OM ₂)	Means	
						P	irst sea:	on (99-2	2000)							
S _o	0-15	24.3	98	18.9	11.5	12 5	13.6	12.8	12.9	13.9	13.3	13.9	14.3	14.3	14.1	Γ -
30	15-30	23.9	9.5	18.1	11.1	12.5	12.5	12.5	12.7	13.4	12.9	13.0	14.1	13.9	14.1	1
S,	0-15	18.9	19.5	20.6	12.5	13.7	14.6	13.1	15.1	15.8	13.8	14.4	15.7	15.6	15.4	156
31	15-30	18.3	19.2	20.2	11.9	12.9	13.5	12.8	14.8	15.5	13.4	14.1	15.1	15.1	15.4	130
S ₂	0-16	20.9	21.4	21.9	13.1	14.8	15.6	15.3	16.4	17.3	16.9	17.3	17.8	17.4	17.3	
37	16-30	20.5	21.1	21.7	12.8	14.3	15.2	15.0	16.1	17.1	16.5	17.1	17.5	17.1	17.3	
		21,1	16.8	20.2	12.2	13.5	14.2	13.6	14.7	15.5	14.5	15.0	15.8			
M	eans		19.4			15.1										
								15.6								
							Second	season (2000-20	201)						
S _o	0-15	20.6	11.9	20.3	13.7	13 4	15.8	14.6	14.9	15.7	15.9	16.7	15.9	15.9	15.7	
J.,	15-30	20.4	11.3	20.1	13.4	13.1	15.4	14.3	14.5	15.3	15.4	16.3	16.4	15.5	13.7	i
. S.	0-15	22.3	21.2	21.9	14.3	14.8	15.4	17.6	18.1	18.8	19.8	20.7	21.3	18.9	18.8	179
٥,	15-30	22.1	20.9	21.7	14.2	14.5	15.3	17.3	17.8	18.5	19.6	20.4	21.0	18.6	10.0	179
S ₂	0-16	26.5	23.5	24.1	15.6	16.3	16.8	17.4	17.6	17.9	18.3	18.7	19.4	19.4	100	
432	15-30	25.4	23.1	23.8	15.3	16.1	16.4	17 1	17.4	17.5	18.0	18.4	19.2	19.0	19,2	
		22.9	18.7	22.0	14.4	147	15.9	16.4	16.7	17.3	17.8	18 5	19.0			
M	eans		21.2			15			16 8			18.4				
								17.9								

Conclusion

In conclusion, FYM and S tended to reduce the values of soil EC and pH. The soluble Na $^+$, K $^+$ and (Ca $^{++}$ + Mg $^{++}$) were increased with increasing HCO $_3$ when the HCO $_3$ was more than 5 meqL $^{-1}$, but organic manure and sulphure reduced soluble Na $^+$, Ca $^+$ and Mg $^{++}$ on contrast raised soluble K $^+$. The effect of S as soluble anions was better than FYM. The best combined treatment in case of OM $_1$, OM $_2$ and OM $_3$, respectively.

REFERENCES

- Aziz, M. A., M. F. A. Sallam, A. M. El-Gendy and M.A. El-Moniem (1998). Effect of natural soil conditioners and irrigation conditions on some chemical properties of sandy soils of Inshas and cucumber vield. Egyptian Journal of Soil Science. 38:1-4, 377-411.
- Chapman, H. D and D. F. Pratt (1961). Methods of Analysis for Soil. Plants and Water. Univ. of California, Division of Agric. Sciences.
- Cifuentes, F. R and W. C. Lindemann (1993). Organic matter stimulation of elemental sulfur oxidation in a calcareous soil. Soil Science Society of America Journal. 57: (3) 727-731.
- El-Maghraby, S. E. (1997). Impact of natural conditioners and saline irrigation water frequency on calcareous soil productivity. Egypt Soil Sci. 37:267-281.
- El-Maghraby, S. E. F. A. Hashem and M. M. Wassif (1996). The use of sulphur and organic manure for controlling soil salinity pollution under high saline water irrigation. Egyptian Journal of Soil Science. 36:1-4, 269-288.
- El-Nabulsi, Y. A. (1998). Effect of saline water irrigation frequency and Crop on some soil chemical properties. Alxandria J. Agric. Res. 43: 255-265.
- FAO (1985). Water Quality for Agriculture. Ayers R. S. and Westcott D. W. (Ed) irrigation and drainage. Paper 29, Rev. 1, FAO, Rome.
- Frie, E.; K. Peyer and E. Schutg (1964). Determination of phosphorus by ascorbic acid. Schw. Lndwirtschaft, For Schung Heft. 3: 318-328.
- Jackson, M. L. (1967). Soil Chemical Analysis Constable & Co., Ltd., London.
- Karam, F. (1999). The effect of saline irrigation water on sunflower production: assessment of leaching fraction for the control of root zone salinity. Irrigation management and saline condition. Proceedings of Regional Symposium. JUST, Irbid, Jordan, June. 98-107.
- Lindsay and Norvell (1978). Development of a DTPA soil test for Fe, Mn, Zn and Cu, Soil Sci. Amer. Proc. 42:421-428.
- Gomez, K. A. and A. A. Gomez (1984). "Statistical Procedures for Agricultural Research". 2nd Ed. John Wiely and Sons New York, pp. 680.Inc.
- Piper, G. S. (1950). Soil and Plant Analysis. Interscience Publishers, Inc., New York.

استخدام مصلحات التربة كاحدى عمليات الخدمة للحد من مخاطر البيكربونات في

رافت سرور عبد العال * ، محمود ضياء الدين الأجه ** ، على عبد الحميد ** و عزمي عبد الله محمد موسى **

- * قسم الأراضى ، كلية الزراعة بمشتهر جامعة بنها مصر
- ** قسم صياتة الأراضى مركز بحوث الصحراء القاهرة- مصر

أجريت هذه الدراسة لتقييم تأثير ايون البيكربونات في ماء الرى المالح على صسفات النربة و علاقتة بإضافة الكبريت والمادة العضوية حيث جمعت عينات النربة على أعماق من صفر ٥٠٠سم و ١٥٠٠سم و ٢٠٠٠سم و ٣٠٠٠ عسم و ٣٠٠٠ عسم في محطة تجارب راس سدر جنوب سيناء لتمثل أراضي جيرية وطميهة رمايسة متساثرة بالاملاح تحت ظروف الرى المالح واشتملت الدراسة على بعض التغيرات الهامة التي يمكن تلخيصها في الاتي:

- التوصيل الكهربي: ازداد في الطبقة السطحية وانخفض مع زيسادة معدل اضافة المسادة العضوية و الكبريت.
- ٢- رقم حموضة التربة عند أكسدة الكبريت ينتج عنها كبريتات التي تخفض رقم حموضة التربة وتحلل المادة العضوية ينتج عنها أحماض مختلفة والتي بدورها تخفض رقم حموضة التربة.
- ٣- الصوديوم الذائب في التربة: انخفضت قيمة الصوديوم الذائب الى اقل قيمة لها تحست تسأثير المعاملسة OM₁S₀ (HCO₃) 1 OM₁S₀ ابينما انخفضت قيمة الصوديوم الذائب فسى المعاملة CHCO₃) 2 OM₁S₂ الي المعاملة HCO₃) الى اقل قيمة عند ما كان تركيز البيكربونات مليمكافي /لتر وانخفض تأثير المادة العضوية و الكبريت الى اقل قيمة على الصدوديوم الدذائب خدلال تركير بيكربونات المليمكافئ/لتر و ١٥مليمكافئ /لتر.
- البوتاسيوم الذائب في التربة: أدت زيادة معدل اضافة المادة العضموية والكبريست السي زيسادة قيمسة البوتاسيوم الذائب حيث سجلت أعلى قيمة له في حاله المعاملة OM₂S₂ تحت تركيسز بيكربونسات ٢٠٥ مليمكافي /لمتر. أخذت تركيزات البوتاسيوم الترتيب التالى:

 $(HCO_3)_1OM_2S_2>(HCO_3)_3OM_2S_2>(HCO_3)_2OM_2S_2=(HCO_3)_4OM_2S_2$

- ٥- الكالمبيوم والماغنسيوم: الذائب في النزبة نتيجة للتأثير المحسن للمادة العضوية و الكبريب أنخفيض الكالسيوم والماغنسيوم الذائب خاصة في حالة معاملة المقارنة ٢٠٥ مليمكافي/لتر دمليمكافي /لتر بيكربونات مسع زيادة البيكربونات حتى ١١، ١٥ مليمكافي/لتر انخفض النائير الجيد للمسادة العضوية والكبريست لان أمسلاح البيكربونات تحتوى على الكالسيوم والماغنسيوم
- آبیکربونات الذائبة فی التربة: أفضل معاملة کانت OM₂S₁ عند الکنتــرول ۲٫۵ ملیمکــافی /لتــر،
 OM₂S₂ عند ۶ و ۱۰ و ۱۰ ملیمکافئ/لتر کان نائیر الکبریت اکثر وضوحا مقارنة بالمادة العضویة
- ۷- الكلوريد الذائب في التربة: المعاملة الأفضل للمادة العضوية كانت ١٠م٣/فـدان عنب حسل معساملات الكربونات ولكن معاملة ٢٠م/فدان كانت اعلى في الكلوريد لان المادة العضوية تحتوى على كلوريد. الكبريت نقص من تركيز الكلوريد مع زيادة معدلات الكبريت حتى ٥٠٠كجم/فدان، المعاملة الأفضل كانت ٥٨٠٥ع محملات البيكربونات
- ٨- الكبريتات الذائبة في التربة: معدل ١٠م٣/فدان مادة عضوية كان الافضيل الندى حسين الغسيل لاملاح الكبريتات من ناحية اخرى معدل ٢٠م٣/فدان من المادة العضوية ادى الى زيادة الكبريتات الذائبة لان المادة العضوية تحتوى على كبريتات، المعاملة الافضل كانت OM1S1 في كل معاملات البيكريونات.