

## ROLE OF NON-SYMBIOTIC N<sub>2</sub>-FIXING BACTERIA IN OPTIMIZING THE MINERAL NITROGEN FERTILIZER USE OF WHEAT PRODUCTION

Ahiam A. Mehessen; Manal A. Aziz; E.A. Moursi and A.A. E. Atwa  
Soils, Water and Environment Research Institute, Agriculture Research Center, Giza, Egypt

### ABSTRACT

Two field trails were carried out at Sakha Agricultural Research Station Farm through the two successive growing seasons of 2006/2007 and 2007/2008 to study the effects of fertilization with five nitrogen levels of 0, 25, 50, 75 and 100 kg N/fed. and applying *azospirillum* bacteria as effective biofertilizer on yield, yield components, nitrogen percentage and grain protein content of wheat. Split plot design was used, the main plots were assigned by N levels and the sub plots were assigned by biofertilization. The obtained results can be summarized as follows:

Inoculation with biofertilizer high significantly increased wheat grain yield from 1.32 to 2.13 ton fed.<sup>-1</sup> in the first season and from 1.31 to 2.2 ton fed<sup>-1</sup> in the second season.

Increasing nitrogen fertilizer rates high significantly increased grain yield. The highest mean values of 2.26 and 2.6 ton fed<sup>-1</sup> were obtained with 100 kg N fed<sup>-1</sup> in the first and second season, respectively.

Obtained data clearly illustrated that the mean values of straw yield tons/fed. were increased by increasing nitrogen doses up to 100 kg/fed. The highest mean values were recorded and they are 3.03 and 3.12 ton/fed. in the first and second growing seasons, respectively. On the contrary, the lowest mean values were recorded under the control treatment (without nitrogen) of 1.32 and 1.28 ton/fed. in the two growing seasons.

The mean values of nitrogen and protein percentages were increased by increasing both of mineral and biofertilizer applications.

The mean values for plant height were increased by increasing application of both mineral and biofertilizer. The mean values under biofertilizer application were 96.36 and 97.23 cm. But under non-application treatments the mean values were 62.12 and 61.04 cm in the first and second seasons, respectively. Also, application of mineral fertilizer up to 100 kg/fed. increased wheat plant height. The highest mean values were recorded with 100 kg N fed.<sup>-1</sup>.

The mean values of 1000 grain weight, number of tillers and number of spikes were increased by increasing nitrogen dose up to 100 kg N/fed. Also, application of biofertilization led to increasing the studied parameters in comparison with non-application treatments.

**Keywords:** Fertilization-Azospirillum-protein content-Wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.).

### INTRODUCTION

Increasing of mineral fertilizers price, the attention by clean agriculture production and environment pollution led to great attention by biofertilizers. The problems of environmental nitrogen enrichment is most likely to be solved by reducing the inputs of synthetic nitrogen fertilizers through the creation of cereal that like legumes, are able to fix nitrogen. In legumes rhizobia present intracellularly in vesicles in the cytoplasm and intercellularly, the bacteria provide the plant with biologically fixed nitrogen. Recently, we have

demonstrated using novel inoculation condition with very low number of bacterial that cells at the root meristems of maize while rice, wheat and other non-legume crop can be colonized intracellularly by the non-rizobial non nodulating nitrogen-fixing bacterium, gluconacetobacter, dizotrophicus, that accursnaturally in sugar cane *G. diazotrophicus*.

Many investigators have used biofertilizers from bacteria origin successfully to minimize the nitrogen fertilizers dose. Abd El-Aziz *et al.* (1989) showed that associative N<sub>2</sub> fixing bacteria were effective in reducing N fertilizer for wheat expressing nitrogen-fixing bacteria is present in membrane-bounded compartments in the cytoplasm of cells of the root meristems of the target cereal and non legume species, similar to the intracellular at the likelihood of adequate growth and yield of maize for example, with reduced inputs of synthetic nitrogen fertilizers we are determining the extent nitrogen fertilizer. Patra *et al.* (1989) used *Azotobacter* or *Azospirillum* inoculation. They concluded that using 40 kg N/ha + , *Azotobacter* were the most economic fertilizer application for obtaining the highest wheat grain yield. These results are in a great harmony with those obtained by Omar *et al.* (1991), Mitkees *et al.* (1996) who reported that biofertilization with mixture of N<sub>2</sub> fixing bacteria (*Azospirillum*, *Bacillus* and *Azotobacter*) added to the soil with different considerable parts of mineral nitrogen fertilizer by about 2/3 and 1/3 of the recommended nitrogen in old and new lands, respectively was the best treatment. El-Wakil *et al.* (2004) demonstrated increasing nitrogen fertilizer levels from 60 kg N/fed. up to 90 kg N/fed. significantly increased plant height, spike length, number of spikes/plant, number of grains/spike, number of spikes/m<sup>2</sup>, 1000-grain weight and grain and straw yield/fed.

The aim of this work is to investigate applying biofertilizers on yield and its components of wheat in clay soils under surface irrigation system comparing with applying nitrogen fertilizer only without application of biofertilizers.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

Two field trails were carried out at Sakha Agricultural Research Station farm during the two successive growing seasons of 2006-2007 and 2007-2008 to study the effect of fertilization with different nitrogen levels of 0, 25, 50, 75 and 100 kg N/fed. and applying *Azospirillum* as biofertilizer on wheat (variety Sakha 94). Yield, components, nitrogen percentage and protein content in grains of wheat plants.

Surface soil samples were taken before conducting experiment to make some physical and chemical analysis:

**Table (1): Chemical analysis of soil.**

Season	Total N	EC dSm <sup>-1</sup>	pH	O.M	Soluble anions meq/L				Soluble cation meq/L				Mechanical analysis			Structure
					CO <sub>3</sub>	HCO <sub>3</sub>	Cl	SO <sub>4</sub>	Ca <sup>++</sup>	Mg <sup>++</sup>	Na <sup>+</sup>	K <sup>+</sup>	Silt	Clay	Sandy	
1 <sup>st</sup>	0.11%	2.1	7.5	1.3	0.0	2.6	5.6	11.00	.5	1.2	12.5	0.4	25.3	56.1	20.3	Clay
2 <sup>nd</sup>	0.13%	3.2	7.8	1.8	0.0	3.1	5.0	26.3	6.4	4.3	24.3	0.5	26.6	53.7	21.3	Clay

Split plot design with three replicates was used in this current study. The main plots were randomly assigned by five nitrogen fertilization levels. The subplots were assigned by applying biofertilizers *Azospirillum* application with nitrogen values were randomly distributed as follows:

**1.A. N-Fertilization treatments:**

1. Without nitrogen fertilization (check).
2. 25 kg N/fed.            3. 50 kg N/fed.
4. 75 kg N/fed.            5. 100 kg N/fed.

**2.B. Biofertilizer treatments:**

1. Without biological fertilizer.
2. By using biological fertilizer.

The inoculation was performed by coating wheat grains with each of inoculum individually at the rate of 200 g biofertilizer was mixed with 75 kg grain, sticking substance (Arabic gum 5%) was used to sticking the inoculant materials with grains just before sowing.

Wheat (variety Sakha 94) was sown 7<sup>th</sup>, December 2006 and 2007 growing seasons. Plot area was (3.5 x 3 m), which contained 15 rows 3.5 m in length and 20 cm apart. Nitrogen fertilizers used as form of urea (46.5% N) was applied on two doses with the first and second irrigation. Phosphorus fertilizer was add for all treatment as recommended before sowing (farming treatments) as calcium super phosphate (15.5% P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>). Also potassium was added as recommended which was added in two equal does, the first does was applied during preparing the soil and the second one was applied after one month from sowing. At harvest time, ten guarded plants were taken at random from the middle of each plot to estimate data of yield components. The grain yields and straw were calculated for each plot, the following data were recorded as plant height, number of tillers/plant, number of spike/plant, spike length, number of grains/spike, 1000-grain weight, grain yield (ton/fed.) and straw yield (ton/fed.). Wheat grain samples were taken, oven dried and fine ground and digested using sulphuric-perchloric acids method according to Jackson (1967). Nitrogen was determined by Kjeldahl method according to Black *et al.* (1965). Protein was calculated by maltybling N% by 5.7. Data obtained statistically analysis using Snedecor and Cocchran (1989).

## **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**Effect of fertilization with mineral and biofertilizers on:**

**1. Grain yield ton/fed.:**

Presented data in Table (2a & b and 3) and Figs. (1, 2) illustrated that the mean values of wheat grain yield were highly significant affected by application of both mineral and biofertilizers. Application of biofertilizers had a great effect on wheat gain yield, where the highest mean values were recorded under biofertilization in comparison with non-application treatments. The mean values under application were 2.13 and 2.20 ton/fed. But under non-application treatments the mean values were less than above mentioned values and they were 1.32 and 1.31 ton/fed. in the first and second seasons, respectively.

Table (2a): Effect of inoculation with bio-fertilizer on grain yield in both seasons.

N level	Biofertilizer	Grain yield (ton/fed.)		Increased by inoculation (%)	
		1 <sup>st</sup>	2 <sup>nd</sup>	1 <sup>st</sup>	2 <sup>nd</sup>
Zero	Without	1.22	1.21	9.01	8.26
	With	1.33	1.31		
25	Without	1.37	1.43	8.75	6.99
	With	1.49	1.53		
50	Without	1.59	1.61	6.28	6.21
	With	1.69	1.71		
75	Without	1.84	1.85	5.90	5.9
	With	1.93	1.96		
100	Without	2.00	2.4	5.00	4.16
	With	2.1	2.50		

Table (2b): Effect of mineral and bio-fertilizers on grain yield and straw yield of wheat (ton fed<sup>-1</sup>) in both seasons.

Treatments	Grain yield		Straw yield	
	1 <sup>st</sup>	2 <sup>nd</sup>	1 <sup>st</sup>	2 <sup>nd</sup>
<b>A. N-levels kg fed<sup>-1</sup></b>				
Control	1.22 d	1.21 d	1.32 e	1.28 e
25	1.51 c	1.51 c	1.78 d	1.81 d
50	1.67 b	1.70 b	2.20 c	2.06 c
75	1.91 b	1.95 b	2.52 b	2.66 b
100	2.26 a	2.6 a	3.03 a	3.12 a
F-test	**	**	**	**
<b>L.S.D.</b>				
5%	0.045	0.039	0.022	0.042
1%	0.063	0.055	0.032	0.059
<b>B. Biofertilizer</b>				
Without	1.32	1.31	1.24	1.22
With	2.13	2.20	2.99	3.00
F-test	**	**	**	**

Table (3): Effect of mineral fertilizer on grain yield in both seasons.

N level	Biofertilizer	Grain yield (ton/fed.)		Increased by inoculation (%)	
		1 <sup>st</sup>	2 <sup>nd</sup>	1 <sup>st</sup>	2 <sup>nd</sup>
Zero	Without	1.32	1.31		
	With	1.22	1.21	8.19	8.26
25	Without	1.22	1.21	23.7	24.79
	With	1.51	1.51		
50	Without	1.22	1.21		
	With	1.67	1.70	36.9	40.16
75	Without	1.22	1.21		
	With	1.91	1.95	56.6	61.2
100	Without	1.22	1.21		
	With	2.26	2.6	85.2	114.8




Fig. (1): Effect of inoculation with bio-fertilizer on grain yield in both seasons

Also, data in the same Table showed that by increasing application of nitrogen fertilization increased wheat grain yield in the two seasons, where the highest mean values were recorded under the highest fertilization dose of 100 kg N/fed. in comparison with the other doses and the mean values are 2.26 and 2.6 ton/fed. in the first and second seasons, respectively. These results are in a great harmony with those obtained by El-Aggory *et al.* (2001), Saleh (2003) and El-Wakil *et al.* (2004), who concluded that increasing nitrogen dose from 60 to 90 kg N/fed. increased wheat grain yield/fed.

### 2.Straw yield (ton/fed.):

Results in Table (4 and 5) and Figs. (1, 2) clearly illustrated that applying of I and biofertilizers and mineral fertilizers had a high significant effect on wheat straw yield in the two seasons. Under non-application treatments the mean values of straw yield were 1.24 and 1.22 ton/fed. but under application treatments the mean values were 2.99 and 3.00 ton/fed. in the first and second seasons, respectively .

**Mehessen, Ahlam M.**

Also, data in the same Table showed that by increasing nitrogen application increased the values of wheat straw yield were increased. The highest mean values were recorded under the highest nitrogen dose (100 kg N/fed.) in the two seasons but increasing rate under adding biofertilizers was more than applying nitrogen fertilizers only. The highest mean values under nitrogen application only are 3.03 and 3.12 ton/fed. in the first and second seasons, respectively. These results are in agreement with those obtained by El-Wakil *et al.* (2004).

**Table (4): Effect of inoculation with bio-fertilizer on straw yield in both seasons.**

N level	Biofertilizer	Straw yield (ton/fed.)		Increased by inoculation (%)	
		1 <sup>st</sup>	2 <sup>nd</sup>	1 <sup>st</sup>	2 <sup>nd</sup>
Zero	Without	1.23	1.23	7.3	5.6
	With	1.32	1.3		
25	Without	1.65	1.71	6.6	5.2
	With	1.76	1.8		
50	Without	1.99	1.99	5.51	5.51
	With	2.10	2.1		
75	Without	2.46	2.59	2.43	2.31
	With	2.52	2.65		
100	Without	3.00	3.07		
	With	3.03	3.12	1.00	1.6

**Table (5): Effect of mineral fertilizer on straw yield in both seasons.**

N level	Biofertilizer	Straw yield (ton/fed.)		Increased by inoculation (%)	
		1 <sup>st</sup>	2 <sup>nd</sup>	1 <sup>st</sup>	2 <sup>nd</sup>
Zero	Without	1.24	1.22		
	With	1.32	1.28	6.45	4.91
25	Without	1.24	1.28	34.8	41.40
	With	1.78	1.81		
50	Without	1.24	1.28	53.03	60.93
	With	2.02	2.06		
75	Without	1.24	1.28	90.90	107.8
	With	2.52	2.66		
100	Without	1.24	1.28	129.5	146.0
	With	3.03	3.12		




Fig. (2): Effect of mineral fertilizer on grain yield in both seasons.

**Nitrogen and protein percentage:**

Data presented in Table (6) showed that the mean values of N% was high significantly affected by applying biofertilizers and mineral fertilizers. Under application of biofertilizers the mean values were higher (1.88 and 1.99%) than those obtained under non-application (1.87 and 1.88%) treatments in the two seasons. Also, by increasing nitrogen rate the mean values of N% was increased up to 100 kg N/fed. application in both growing seasons i.e., 1.66, 1.76, 1.88, 1.9 and 2.0 in the first season and 1.64, 1.79, 1.85, 1.95 and 2.0 the second season due to 0, 25, 50, 75 and 100 kg N/fed., respectively. These results are in a great harmony with those obtained by El-Wakil *et al.* (2004)

**1000 grain weight, number of tillers/plant and number of spikes/plant:**

Data presented in Table (7) showed that the mean values of the three studied parameters were clearly affected by application of mineral and biofertilizer in both seasons. The highest mean values were recorded under application of biofertilizers comparing with non application ones. Also, by increasing nitrogen dose up to 100 kg N/fed. increased the mean values, they were 42.44 and 42.31 gram in the first and second seasons respectively in 1000 grain weight. No. of spikes/plants increased also the mean values were 3.24 and 3.3 in the first and second seasons.

Table (6): Effect of mineral and bio-fertilizers on nitrogen (%) and protein % of wheat in both seasons.

Treatments	N (%)		Protein (%)	
	1 <sup>st</sup>	2 <sup>nd</sup>	1 <sup>st</sup>	2 <sup>nd</sup>
<b>A. N-levels</b>				
Control	1.66 e	1.64 e	9.48 e	9.36 e
25	1.76 d	1.79 d	10.05 d	10.16 d
50	1.88 c	1.85 c	10.75 c	10.56 c
75	1.90 b	1.95 b	10.83 b	11.15 b
100	2.00 a	2.00 a	11.42 a	11.39 a
F-test	**	**	**	**
L.S.D.				
5%	0.029	0.023	0.165	0.14
1%	0.040	0.032	0.229	0.19
<b>B. Biofertilizer</b>				
Without	1.87	1.88	10.66	10.74
With	1.88	1.99	10.73	11.34
F-test	**	**	**	**

Table (7): Effect of mineral and bio-fertilizers on 1000 grain weight (g) and No. of spikes /plant and No. of tillers/plants of wheat in both seasons.

Treatments	1000 grain weight		No. of spikes/ plant		No of tillers/plant	
	1 <sup>st</sup>	2 <sup>nd</sup>	1 <sup>st</sup>	2 <sup>nd</sup>	1 <sup>st</sup>	2 <sup>nd</sup>
<b>A. N-levels</b>						
Control	15.03 e	14.93 e	1.08 d	1.01 e	1.12 d	1.03 d
25	24.03 d	25.42 d	1.13 d	1.14 d	1.20 d	1.18 d
50	36.06 c	33.02 c	2.07 c	2.01 c	2.03 c	2.01 c
75	42.08 b	42.02 b	3.09 b	3.11 b	3.14 b	3.23 b
100	42.44 a	42.31 a	3.24 a	3.3 a	3.14 a	3.72 a
F-test	**	**	**	**	**	**
L.S.D.						
5%	0.043	0.029	0.089	0.046	0.114	0.247
1%	0.059	0.040	0.123	0.064	0.158	0.343
<b>B. Biofertilizer</b>						
Without	19.07	18.91	2.07	1.98	2.03	2.02
With	35.01	35.91	2.21	2.31	2.43	2.53
F-test	**	**	**	**	**	**

**Wheat plant height (cm):**

In both seasons the mean values of wheat plant height were clearly affected by application of mineral and biofertilizers. The mean values under application of biofertilizers were 96.36 and 97.23 cm, but under non-application the mean values were less and they were 62.12 and 61.04 cm in the first and second seasons, respectively (Table 8). Data in the same Table clearly showed that by increasing nitrogen dose up to 100 kg N/fed. increased the mean values, they were 95.58 and 97.46 cm in the first and second growing seasons, respectively. Similar results were obtained by Hussein *et al.* (1993).



**Table (8): Effect of mineral and bio-fertilization on plant height (cm) of wheat in both seasons.**

Treatments	Plant height	
	1 <sup>st</sup>	2 <sup>nd</sup>
<b>A. N-levels</b>		
Control	63.82 b	54.7 d
25	55.2 b	56.4 d
50	59.62 b	60.36 c
75	84.40 a	88.51 b
100	95.58 a	97.0 a
F-test	**	**
L.S.D. 5%	11.47	8.8
1%	15.93	11.97
<b>B. Biofertilizer</b>		
Without	62.12	61.04
With	96.36	97.23
F-test	**	**

### REFERENCES

- Abd El-Aziz, R.A.; V.Z. Ishak and S.M. Abd El-Malak (1989). Preliminary studies on the effect of inoculation with rhizobacteria on the growth of wheat. *Egypt. J. Appl. Sci.*, 4(1): 1-9.
- Black, A.C.; D.D. Evans; J.L. White; E.L. Esminer and E.F. Clark (1965). *Methods of Soil Analysis*. Amer Soc. Agron. Inc. Mad Wise USA.
- El-Aggory, M. Eglal; Y.M.Y. Abido; M.N.A. Omar; M.H. El-Kholy; M.Y. Gbraiel; H.G. Abu El-Fotoh; K.G. Asr; G.M. El-Shebiny; M.R. Dardiry and E.Y. El-Kabany (2001). Effect of using some Egyptian biofertilizers on wheat response to N-fertilizer. *Egypt. J. Appl. Sci.*, 16(3): 138-152.
- El-Wakil; A.R. and Maha M. Abd-Alla (2004). Influence of seeding rate and nitrogen fertilization level on yield and its attributes of some wheat cultivars. *Egypt. J. Appl. Sci.*, 19(2): 129-150.
- Hussein, K.R.F.; M.N.A. Omar; E.A. Zaher and M.Y. Abou-Zeid (1993). Effect of *Azospirillum brasilens* on yield and some chemical constituents of wheat grain. The Six<sup>th</sup> International Symposium on Nitrogen Fixation with Non-Legumes, Ismailia, Egypt, 6-10 September.
- Jackson, M.L. (1967). *Soil chemical Analysis* Prentice-Hall of India Private, Trd, New Delhi.
- Mitkees, R.A.; Essad E. H. Bedaiwi; Iman M.M. Sadek; H.A. Amer and S.Kh. Mahmoud (1996). Importance of N<sub>2</sub>-fixing bio-fertilizers for wheat plant. *Egypt. J. Appl. Sci.*, 11(1): 34-42.
- Omar, M.N.A.; M.H. Hegazy; R.A. Abd El-Aziz; M.S.M. Abo Soliman and M.M. Sobah (1991). Effect of inoculation with Rhizobacteria on yield of wheat under-graded level of nitrogen fertilization. *Annals Agric. Sci.*, Ain Shams Univ., Cairo, 36(1): 99-104.
- Patra, S.K.; A.K. Padhi and S.N. Mishra (1989). Effect of bio-fertilizers at great levels of nitrogen on the yield of wheat and karia in the north

- eastern chat region of Orissa. Environment and Ecology, 7(3): 533-536.
- Saleh, M.E. (2003). Response of two wheat cultivars to seeding rate and nitrogen level. Zagazig J. Agric. Res., 29(5): 1367-1378.
- Snedecor, G. and W. Cochran (1989). "Statistical Methods" 7<sup>th</sup> Ed., Iowa State Univ. Press, Amr, USA, pp. 325-330.

**دور البكتيريا المثبتة للآزوت لتكافيا في ترشيد استخدام الاسمدة الأزوتية المعدنية في إنتاج القمح**  
**أصلام على محيسن ، منال عادل عزيز ، السيد أبو الفتوح مرسى و عادل احمد ابراهيم عطوة**  
**معهد بحوث الأراضي والمياه والبيئة - مركز البحوث الزراعية - الجيزة - مصر**

نفذت تجربتان حقلتان في مزرعة محطة للبحوث الزراعية بسخا خلال موسم النمو ٢٠٠٦-٢٠٠٧م ، ٢٠٠٧-٢٠٠٨م بمنطقة شمال ووسط الدلتا لدراسة تأثير التسميد النيتروجيني وإضافة الأيزوسبريليم على المحصول ومكوناته والنسبة المئوية للنيتروجين والبروتين لمحصول القمح بمنطقة شمال وسط الدلتا.

حيث استخدمت خمسة مستويات من التسميد النيتروجيني هي صفر ، ٢٥ ، ٥٠ ، ٧٥ ، ١٠٠ كجم ، للدان. واستخدمت معاملتان للتسميد الحيوي هما (١) إضافة سلالة نشطة من البكتيريا المثبتة للآزوت لتكافيا (٢) بدون إضافة.

و استخدم تصميم القطع المنشقة لتنفيذ التجربة حيث شغلت معاملات مستويات النيتروجين للقطع الرئيسية وشغلت معاملات التسميد الحيوي للقطع لشقية. النتائج التي تم التوصل إليها يمكن تلخيصها كما يلي:

- تحت المعاملات التي لم يحدث بها إضافة الأيزوسبريليم بزيادة التسميد النيتروجيني حتى ١٠٠ كجم نيتروجين /فدان سجلت أعلى القيم لمحصول الحبوب وكانت القيم ٢,٢٦ ، ٢,٦٠ طن/الفدان في الموسم الأول والثاني على الترتيب أوضحت النتائج أن أقل القيم سجلت تحت المعاملة بدون إضافة (كنترول) في كلا موسمي الدراسة وكانت النتائج ١,٢٢ ، ١,٢١ طن/فدان في الموسم الأول والثاني على الترتيب.
- بإضافة الأيزوسبريليم متوسط القيم بالنسبة لمحصول الحبوب زادت بالمقارنة بإضافة النيتروجين فقط حيث كانت القيم ٢,٢٠ ، ٢,١٦ طن/فدان بالمقارنة بعدم الإضافة حيث كانت القيم ١,٣١ ، ١,٣٢ في الموسم الأول والثاني على الترتيب.
- أوضحت النتائج أن متوسط القيم بالنسبة لمحصول القش زادت بزيادة جرعة السماد النيتروجيني حتى ١٠٠ كيلو جرام نيتروجين/فدان وكانت القيم ٣,٠٣ ، ٣,١٢ طن/فدان في الموسم الأول والثاني على الترتيب على العكس من ذلك سجلت أقل القيم تحت المعاملات بدون إضافة وكانت القيم ١,٢٢ ، ١,٢٨ طن/فدان على الترتيب.
- أوضحت النتائج كذلك أن النسبة المئوية للبروتين والنيتروجين زادت بزيادة التسميد النيتروجيني والحيوي في كلا موسمي الدراسة.
- متوسط القيم لطول نبات القمح زادت بزيادة التسميد الحيوي والنيتروجين حيث كانت القيم تحت إضافة التسميد الحيوي ٩٦,٣٦ ، ٩٧,٢٣ سم وفي حالة بدون إضافة ٦٢,١٢ ، ٦١,٠٤ سم في الموسم الأول والثاني على الترتيب أيضا إضافة السماد النيتروجيني حتى ١٠٠ كيلو جرام نيتروجين/فدان أدى إلى زيادة طول النباتات حيث سجلت أعلى القيم.
- قيم الوزن للـ ١٠٠٠ حبه ، عدد الفروع وكذلك السنبال زادت بزيادة التسميد النيتروجيني حتى ١٠٠ كجم/فدان وكذلك إضافة السماد الحيوي عمل على زيادة الصفات المدروسة مقارنة بعدم الإضافة.