# BANI SWEEF 4: A NEW DURUM WHEAT CULTIVAR

Abdel-Aleem, M.\*; M.Abo-Shereef; T.Shehab El-Din; M.Moustafa; S.Abdel-Majeed; S.Sabry; Iman M.Sadek; M.Sharshar; A. Hamada; A.Abo-Warda; A.M.Moussa; A.Tammam; M.Meshref; E.El-Sayed; H.Ashoush; M.Tawfelies; A. Moustafa; H.Hendawy; H.El-Borhami; A.Menshawy; A.Moussa; Wafaa A.El-Awady; A.El-Hag; R.Koumbor; S.Seleem; Nadia A. Abdel-Nour; G.Sharawy; Sohair M.Hassan; A.Sewelam; S.El-Sawy; S.Abdel-Dayem; A.El-Sebaei; Magda A.Abdel-Rahman; Sabah H.Abo Elela; M.Khaled; I.Amim; M.Zakaria; Manal A.Hassan; A.Gad Allah; S.Hammad; M.El-Maghraby; A.Morad; Aza M.Abdel-All; A.Hagras; A.T.Moustafa; M.Mahmoud; M.Moubarak; Kadria Hegazi; A.Gomaa; O.Khalil; Enayat khanem; R. Meetkis; M.El-Monofy; S.Kh.Mahmoud; N.Hanna; M.Eid; M.Mosaad; A.Ageez; M.Abdel-Fattah; A.Khattab; A.Abdel-Lattif; M.Eskander; Najwa Abdel-Fattah; F. Hefnawy and W.Abdel-Samad

- \* Wheat Res. Dept., Field crops Research Institute ,ARC. Giza ,Egypt.
- \*\* Cereal Disease Res. Dep., Plant Pathology Res. Institute ,ARC. Giza.,Egypt.

### ABSTRACT

The new durum wheat cultivar Bani Sweef 4 has been selected from one of the advanced lines among exotic collections grown in wheat breeding program at Sids Research Station. The grain yield of the new cultivar Bani Sweef 4 was evaluated thought 44 experiments conducted three different levels (5 micro, 18 macro and 21 verification yield trials) from 2001/2002 to 2005/2006 seasons. The obtained results proved the superiority of the new cultivar Bani Sweef 4 compared with the local commercial checks; Bani Sweef 1, Bani Sweef 3 and Sohag 3 in Middle Egypt and in some other parts in Upper Egypt in yield physical and chemical content. Moreover, the new cultivar Bani Sweef 4, expressed its high resistance to the three wheat rusts (stripe, leaf and stem) at both seedling and adult stages. In addition, Bani Sweef 4 showed high semolina percentage content comparing to the other three local checks (Bani Sweef 1, Bani Sweef 3 and Sohag 3). It could be concluded that Bani Sweef 4 is recommended for Middle Egypt for high grain production, and grain quality per unit area.

# INTRODUCTION

Durum wheat has been under cultivation since early time in Egypt. By mid seventies, intensive efforts have been paid, to develop high yielding and diseases resistant bread wheat cultivars. Since then the bread wheat cultivars have been given full interest while, durum wheat was rendered due to low productivity, susceptibility to rust diseases and poor quality.

Recently, work on the improvement of durum wheat has been in progress, being restricted to the southern regions in Middle and Upper Egypt. The first out-come was the durum wheat cultivar Sohag 1 (Gomaa et al 1979). In addition, Enayat Ghanem et al (1991) found that Sohag 3 equaled both Sohag 1 and Bani Sweef 1 in kernel weight, semolina extract percentage, gluten and protein contents. Moreover, Sohag 2 and Beni Sweef

1 was developed by (Abdel Shafi et al, 1989), and then Sohag 3 by Enayat Ghanem et al., (1996). However, Mitkees et al, (1989) stated that Sohag 1 was quite stable over both Middle and Upper Egypt due to its tolerance to high temperatures in these regions. Both Sohag 2 and Beni sweef 1 were recommended for Middle Egypt, while in Upper Egypt, Sohag 2 only proved its superiority (Abdel Shafi et al, 1989). In addition, Enayat Ghanem et al, (1996) found that Bani Sweef 3 mean grain yield was significantly the highest in Middle and Upper Egypt. Therefore, they recommended that cultivar to both two regions. The objective of this investigation was aimed to improve durum wheat to borne a new durum wheat cultivar Bani Sweef 4.

# MATERIALS AND METHODS

The new promising cultivar Bani Sweef 4 has been selected from the exotic materials tested and selected at Sids Agricultural Research Station, Bani Sweef governorate, Egypt. The cross name and pedigree of the new cultuvar is: AINZEN 1: ICD88-1120-ABL-0TR-1BR-0TR-6AP-0AP-0SD.

The newly released cultivar, Beni Sweef 4, has been tested for yielding ability with the commercial checks Beni Sweef 1, Beni Sweef 3, and Sohag 3 during the three successive growing seasons of 2001/2002 to 2003/2004, at the levels of preliminary and the advanced yield trials and at the on-farm yield trials level during the two growing seasons of 2004/2005 and 2005/2006.

To represent all cultivated areas, experiments were carried out over Middle and Upper\_Egypt regions. Some of them were conducted at research stations and others at farmer's fields. The recommended cultural practices were implemented in these trials. For all experiments, the randomized complete blocks design (RCBD) was used according to Steel and Torrie (1980).

Considering the environmental differences among Egyptian regions, analysis of variance was calculated at regional level using the average of the tested checks as a local check (Joppa *et al.*, 1971 and Mitkees *et al.*, 1989).

Taking the on-farm trials into consideration, 17 experiments were carried out in Middle and Upper Egypt in 2004/2005 season. In addition, four experiments were conducted in 2005/2006 season. The area of each selected fields was at least 3 faddans. Five bread wheat cvs; Giza 168, Sakha 94, Sakha 93, Gemmiza 10, and Sids 1 as well as the durum wheat cultivar Beni Sweef 3, were sown with Beni Sweef 4 as checks.

At harvesting time, randomly selected samples (4m² each) from each tested cultivar were harvested and threshed to estimate grain yield, the clean kernels of each sample were weighed and adjusted to ardab/faddan.

### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

### 1- Preliminary Yield Trials:

The results in Table 1 showed grain yield (ard/fad), of the preliminary yield trials for the new durum wheat cultivar Bani Sweif 4 and two durum wheat cultivars in 2001/2002 season. The new durum wheat cultivar Bani Sweif 4 had significantly overcome the check cultivar Bani Sweif 3 at Sids location and had significantly surpassed the two durum checks at Mallawy location. These results are agreed with those obtained by Abdel Shafi et al 1989 and Enayat Ghanem et al (1991). However, there was insignificant difference between the new durum wheat cultivar Bani Sweef 4 and the two checks at the last locations. In the over all mean of the preliminary yield trials Bani Sweef 4 had significantly overcame Sohag 3 and had insignificant difference with Bani Sweef 3 cultivar In addition, grain yield of the new Beni Sweef 4 cultivar was reduced compared with Beni Sweef 3 cultivar at Shandawell, Kom Ombo and the new Vally locations.

Table 1: Grain yield (ard/fad) of the preliminary yield trials for Bani Sweef 4 and two durum wheat cultivars-in 2001/ 2002 season.

Locations. Cultivars.	Sids	Mallawy	Shandaweel	Kom Ombo	The NewValley	Mean
Sohag 3	34.12	25.93	28.34	22.32	13.12	24.77
Bani Sweef 3	29.89	25.36	34.12	30.46	15.14	27.00
Bani Sweef 4	35.44	29.25	29.35	26,96	12.81	26.76
Mean	32.79	25.67	27.92	27.04	13.79	25.44
LSD 5%	5:02	.2.17	5.34	5:18	4.10	1.98
CV %	10.76	5.94	13.44	13.46	20.91	12.48

#### 2- Advanced Yield Trials:

The newly durum wheat cultivar Bani Sweef 4 gave the highest grain yield comparing to those of the two checks at Sids location in 2002/2003 season (Table 2-a). The results indicated that grain yield of Bani Sweef 4 cultivar at Mallawy and in the over all mean, had significantly overcome Bani Sweef 1 only. The differences among the cultivars in their yielding abilities could be attributed to their genetic constitutions and to the interaction between their genotypes and prevailing environmental conditions (Shehab El-Din 1993). These results in accordance with those obtained by Mitkees et al, 1989. In addition ,grain yield of Bani Sweef 4 cultivar at Mallawy and El-Minia location was reduced compared with Sohag 3 cultivar.

Table 2a: Grain yield (ard/fad) of the advanced yield trials for Bani Sweef 4 and two durum wheat cultivars in Middle Egypt in 2002/2003 season.

				<del></del>	
Locations.	Sids	Bani Sweef	Mallawy	El-Minia	Mean
Sohag 3	29.33	18.93	25.65	22.50	24.10
Bani Sweef 3	24.26	20.16	22.73	19.56	21.67
Bani Sweef 4	32.16	18.44	24.74	19. <del>4</del> 7	23.70
Mean	29.23	18.40	24:76	21.25	23.41
LSD 5%	2.32	1.72	1.65	1.72	0.92
CV %	5.43	7.12	4:57	5.53	5.61

The results in Table 2-b present the grain yield of the advanced yield trials for Bani Sweef 4 and the two durum checks in Upper Egypt in 2002/2003 season. Bani Sweef 4 had significantly surpassed Bani Sweef 3 at Kom Ombo and in the over all mean. Moreover, Bani Sweef 4 did not significantly differ from the other two checks in grain yield at Shandaweel and The New Valley locations. On the other hand, the grain yield of Sohag 3 was superior to that of Bani Sweef 4 at El-Mattana location. These results are in harmony with those obtained by Gomaa et al (1979) and Abdel Shafi et al, (1989).

Table 2b: Grain yield (ard/fad) or the advanced yield trials for Bani Sweef 4 and two durum wheat cultivars in Upper Egypt in 2002/2003 season.

Locations. Cultivars.	Shandaweel	El-Mattana	Kom Ombo	The New Valley	Mean
Sohag 3	23.03	28.50	22.17	12.28	21.50
Bani Sweef 3	20.84	27.60	18.01	14.21	20.17
Bani Sweef 4	23.19	25.15	23.56	13.44	21.34
Mean	22.13	27.72	21.50	12.20	20.89
LSD 5%	3.43	2.88	2.48	2.02	1.11
CV %	10.63	7.14	7.89	11.34	9.03

The results of advanced yield trials in Middle Egypt in 2003/2004 season revealed that Bani Sweef 4 had significantly overcome the two checks at Sids and in the over all mean, and had significantly overcome only one check at Bani Sweef and Minia. However, at Mallawy, Bani Sweef 4 had surpassed the two checks with insignificant difference (Table 3-a). These results reaveled that the new durum wheat cultivar Bani Sweef 4 is very suitable to grow in Middle Egypt. Similar results were reported by Enayat Ghanem et al. 1991 and 1996.

Table 3a: Grain yield (ard/fad) of the advanced yield trials for Bani Sweef 4 and two durum wheat cultivars in Middle Egypt in 2003/2004 season.

Locations Cultivar	Sids	Bani Sweef	Mallawy	El-Minia	Mean
Bani Sweef 1	23.74	18.73	21.77	26.90	22.78
Bani Sweef 3	23.20	20.48	21.45	24.87	22.50
Bani Sweef 4	27.20	23.45	22.33	28.77	25.44
Mean	24.14	22.47	21.24	24.00	22.96
LSD 5%	2.98	3.96	1.71	3.28	1.48
CV %	8.39	11.97	5.49	9.28	9.15

In advanced yield trials of Upper Egypt in 2003/2004 season, (Table 3-b), Bani Sweef 4 had significantly overcome Bani Sweef 3 (Check) only at Kom Ombo, while it had insignificantly surpassed the two checks at El-Mattana and in the over all mean. These results are in harmony with those of Abdel Shafi et al. (1989) and Mitkees et al. (1989).

Table 3b: Grain yield (ard/fad) of the advanced yield trials for Bani Sweef 4 and two durum wheat cultivars in Upper Egypt in 2003/2004 season.

Locations. Cultivars	Shandaweel	El-Mattana	Kom Ombo	Mean
Bani Sweef 1	18.24	23.27	20.05	20.52
Bani Sweef 3	19.84	25.60	18.78*	21.41
Bani Sweef 4	17.44	25.80	21.70	21.65
Mean	18.71	24.45	20.09	21.08
LSD 5%	3.38	2.99	2.72	1.69
CV %	12.30	8.32	9.19	9.81

The results of advanced yield trials in out valley in 2003/2004 season presented in (Table 3-c) reveal that there were insignificant differences between the grain yield of the new durum wheat cultivar, Bani Sweef 4, and each of the two durum checks in all locations and in the over all mean. However, the grain yield of Bani Sweef 4 surpassed those of the two checks at the New Valley and vise versa at El-Ewoinat. These results are supported by those obtained by Mitkees et al (1989) and Enayat Ghanem et al (1991).

Table 3c: Grain yield (ard/fad) of the advanced yield trials for Bani Sweef 4 and two durum wheat cultivars in Out Valley in 2003/2004 season.

Locations. Cultivars	New Valley	Asuit	El-Ewoinaat	Mean
Bani Sweef 1	11.89	13.40	11.42	12.24
Bani Sweef 3	10.99	12.87	14.09	12.65
Bani Sweef 4	11.99	12. <del>94</del>	11.12	12.01
Mean	12.12	11.95	12.13	12.07
LSD 5%	3.32	1.01	2.54	1.38
CV %	18.60	5.73	14.24	13.98

#### 3- On Farm Yield Trials:

The results in Table 4 presents the grain yield of on farm yield trials of the newly released cultivar Bani Sweef 4 in 2004/2005 season. In Middle Egypt, grain yield of Bani Sweef 4 ranged from 7.60 to 28.56 (ard/fad) with an average of 20.94 (ard/fad), which surpassed the average of checks cultivars with 4.70 %. Moreover, in Upper Egypt, average grain yield (ard/fad)of Bani Sweef 4 surpassed the average of the checks cultivars with 12.44%. However, in the over all mean of Egypt, grain yield of Bani Sweef 4 out yielded the average of the two cultivars (checks) with 7.65%. These results are supported by those obtained by Gomaa et al, (1979), Abdel Shafi et al (1989) and Enayat Ghanem et al (1991).

In 2005/2006 season, on farm yield trials were conducted at four locations in Middle Egypt only (Table 5). The new durum wheat cultivar Bani Sweef 4, gave an average grain yield ranged from 16.80 to 26.32 (ard/fad) with an average of 23.15 (ard/fad) and overcame the average of the checks

with 3.07%. These results agree with those obtained by Enayat Ghanem et al (1991) and (1996).

Table 4: Grain yield (ard/ fad )of On Farm yield trials of the newly released cultivar Bani Sweef 4 in 2004/2005 season.

Caucata	No.of	Bani-Sweef	Che	ck	± %
Governorate	Trials	4	Cultivar	Yield	Ξ %
Middle Egypt					
Giza	1	18.20	Gem. 10	18.90	- 3.70
	2	23.80	Sak. 94	22.40	6.25
	3	25.20	4	22.96	9.76
Mean of Giza		22.40		21.42	4.58
El-Fayoum	1 .	27.16	Giza 168	22.12	22.78
	2	28.56	4	29.12	-1.92
	3	7.60	Sak.94	8.60	-11.63
Mean of El-Fayoum	1	21.16		19.95	6.06
Bani Sweef	2	21.00	Sak.94	19.60	7.14
		18.90	Gem.10	17.50	8.00
Mean of Bani Sweef		19.95		18.55	7.55
El-Minia	1	19.20	B.S.3	19.06	0.73
	2	19.60	4	19.73	-0.66
Mean of El-Minia		19.40		19.40	0
Mean of Middle Egypt		20.94	Gem.10	18.20	15.05
			Sek.94	18.39	13.87
			Giza 168	25.62	-18.27
			B.S. 3	19.40	7.94
Mean		20.94		20.00	4.70
Upper Egypt					
Asuit	1	21.28	Sids 1	19.71	7.97
	2	21.28	B.S.3	19.06	11.65
Mean of Asuit		21.28		19.39	9.75
Sohag	1	21.14	Sak.94	20.86	1.34
Qena	1	19.60	Sak.93	15.12	29.63
	2	19.60	Sids 1	17.36	12.90
Mean of Qena		19.60		16.24	20.69
New Valley	1	18.48	Sak. 93	16.13	14.57
	2	18.48	•	16.13	14.57
Mean of New Valley		18.48		16.13	14.57
Mean_of Upper		19.98	Sids 1	18.54	7.77
<b>E</b> gypt			B.S.3	19.06	4.83
		•	Sak. 94	20.86	-4.22
			Sak.93	15.79	26.54
Mean		19.98		17.77	12.44
Over		20.54	Gem.10	18.20	12.86
			Sak.94	18.88	8.79
All			Giza 168	25.62	19.83
			B.S.3	19.28	6.54
Egypt			Sids 1	18.54	10.79
			Sak.93	15.79	30.08
Mean		20.54		19.08	7.65

Table 5: Grain yield (ard/fad )of On Farm yield trials of the newly rReleased cultivar Bani Sweef 4 in Middle Egypt in 2005/2006 season.

Governorate	No.of	Bani-Sweef	Che	ck	. 0/
Governorate	Trials	4	Cultivar	Yield	± %
Fayoum	1	16.80	Giza 168	16.10	4.35
	2	24.27	B.S.1	23.33	4.03
Mean of Fayoum		20.54		19.72	4.16
Minia	1	25.20	B.S.1	26.32	-4.26
	2	.26.32	Giza 168	24.08	9.30
Mean of Minia		25.76		25.20	2.22
Mean of Middle		23.15	Giza 168	20.09	15.23
Egypt			B.S.1	.24.83	-6.77
Mean		23.15		22.46	3.07

# 4- Physical and Chemical Components of Wheat Kernels:

The results in Table 6 revealed that, the new durum wheat cultivar Bani Sweef 4, overcame the durum wheat checks in the physical components, i.e. Kernel weight, Hectoliter and Semolina. However, for the chemical components in the kernels, Bani Sweef 4 overcame the three checks in Ash %, while for Protein % and Glotein % Bani Sweef 4 surpassed only two of the three durum check namely Bani Sweef 1 and Sohag 3. These results are in general accordance with those obtained by Enayat Ghanem et al. (1989).

Table 6: Physical and chemical components in the kernels of the newly released cultivar, Bani Sweef 4.

	Phys	ical Compo	onents		Chemical Components			
Cultivars	1000 -			Ash	h Protein	Glotein %		
Julavars	K.W. (gm)	Hectoliter	Semolina	%	%	Humid	Dry	
Sohag 3	48.00	83.18	64.90	1.50	12.50	25.22	9.70	
Bani Sweef 1	48.40	83.90	65.64	1.50	11.50	25.22	9.70	
Bani Sweef 3	48.58	81.96	62.60	1.80	13.10	28.34	10.90	
Bani Sweef 4	49.72	84.40	67.40	1.40	12.90	25.74	9.90	

### REFERENCES

Abdel Shafi, A.M., A.A.Gomaa, M.G.Mosaad, M.A.Gouda, R.A.Mitkees, M.M. El-Shami, S.K.Mahmoud, N.S.Hanna. A.R.Armanous, Enayat H.Ghanem, O.S.Khalil, H.A.Ghanem, M.M.El-Menoufi, Kadria F. M.M.El-Hadidi, A.M.Eissa, M.M.Abdel-Aleem, M.M.Hamed and S.E. El-Shereef. (1989): Bani Suef 1 and Sohag 2 Two Newly Released Wheat Cultivars. Agricultural Research Review, 67(4): 483-489.

Enayat H.Ghanem., O.S.Khalil, A.A.Gomaa, A.M.Abdl Shafi, M.G.Mossad, R.A.G.S. Yousef, A.M.Abdel Ghani, S.K.Mahmod, M.M.Abdel Aleem, N.S.Hasan, M.A.M.Eid and S.E.El-Shereef (1991): Sohag 3:A New Durum Wheat for Upper Egypt. Assiut J. of. Agric. Sci, 22 (3): 209-222. Enavat H.Ghanem H., A.M.Ali, M.G.Mosaad, N.S.Hanna, M.M.Abdel-Aleem

- Sabry, S.K.Mahmoud, M.M.El-Shami, A.M.Moussa, H.M.M.Zaid, S.Abdel-Majeed, A.M. Tammam and Ikhlass Shafik. (1996): Grain and Rusts Reaction of the New Durum Wheat Triticum turgidum Bani Suef 3 J. Agric. Sci., Mansoura Univ., 21(8): 2781-2787.
- Gomaa, A.A., S.A. Attia, Kadria F. Hegazi, Enayat H. Ghanem, A.M. Ali and M.G. Mosaad (1979): Stork, A New Durum Wheat Variety. 1 st Conf. ARC, Giza, Egypt, 22-29.
- Joppa, L.R.,K.L.Lebsock and R.H.Busch. (1971): Yield Stabilty of Selected Wheat cultivars (*Triticum aestivum L.em. Thell*) in The Uniform Region series, 1959 to 1968. Crop Sci., 11:238-241.
- Mitkees, R.A., Enayat H.Ghanem and M.G.Mosaad. (1989): Yield stability of Newly Released Bread Wheat Varieties . Ann. Of Agric. Sci, Moshtohor, 27(1) 125-139.
- Shehab El-Din, T.M. (1993): Response of Two Spring Wheat Cultivars (*Triticum aestivum L.em. Th.*). J.Agric. Sci. Mansoura Univ. 18 (8):2235-2240.
- Steel, G.D.and J.H.Torrie (1980): Principles and Procedures of Statistics .

  Mc. Graw , Book co. New Yourk .

بنی سویف ٤ صنف دیورم جدید

مسعد محمد محمود عبد العليم ، محروس عبد الغنى أبو شريف ، تاج الدين محمد على شهاب الدين ، مصطفى عزب مصطفى ، صلاح محمد عبد المجيبة ، سامى رضا صبرى ، أيمان محمد صادق ، محمد صفاء شرسر ، اسعد احمد حمادة ، ابب و بكر ابب و صبرى ، أيمان محمد صادق ، محمد صفاء شرسر ، اسعد احمد حمادة ، ابب بكر ابب و صبرى ، أيمان محمود منسلى ، محمد خلف مشرف ، عز الدين السبيد ، حسن عشوش ، موريس توفيلس ، أحمد كمال مصطفى ، حمدى إبراهيم هنداوى ، هاتى البرهامي ، عبد السرائق البرهامي ، عبد السرائق الشعراوى ، سبهير محمود حسن ، عبدالله سويلم ، سيد عبدة الصاوى ، صبحى عبد السرائق الشعراوى ، سبهير محمود حسن ، عبد السرائق الدايم ، أحمد شوقى السباعى ، مأجدة السيد عبد الرحمن ، صباح حمزة أبو العالا ، محمد عبد الكريم خالد ، إبراهيم عبد الهادى أمين ، محمد مختار زكريبا ، منال عبد الصمد حسن ، أحمد محمد جاد الله ، سعيد محمد حماد ، ماهر المغربي ، عبد الفتال عبد المروخ محمود ، محمد يوسف غنيم مبارك ، قدرية فهمي حجازى ، عبد السلام مبتكس ، مصطفى محمد المنوفى ، سيد خليل محمود ، نبيل سليمان حنا ، محمد موسي محمد المنوفى ، سيد خليل محمود ، نبيل سليمان حنا ، محمد موسي عبد المولي عبد الخالق عجيز ، محمد عبد الفات عبد الخالق عبد الموليد ، عبد الخالق عبد الماد عبد القاد عبد الفاق عبد القاد القاد عبد القاد المد عبد القاد القاد عبد القاد عبد القاد القاد عبد القاد القاد عبد القاد القاد عبد القاد القاد المد عبد القاد المد عبد القاد عبد القاد القاد عبد القاد القاد عبد القاد القاد

\* قُسم بحوث القمح - معهد بحوث المحاصيل الحقلية - مركز البحوث الزراعية - مصر

\*\* قسم بحوث أمراض القمح -معهد بحوث أمراض النبات - مركز البحوث الزراعية - مصر
 مستباط صنف قمح الديورم الجديد (بنى سويف ٤) من خلال البرنامج القومى لبحوث القسح
 فى محطة بحوث سدس بمحافظة بنى سويف بمنطقة مصر الوسطى من خلال انتخاب سلاله متفوقة من

أحدى المجاميع المستوردة من المركز الدولي لتحسين الذره والقمع بالمكسيك. تم إجراء ٤٤ تجربه حقليه لمقارنة المحصول (٥ تجارب مصغرة، ١٨ تجربــه مكبــرة و ٢١ تجربة تأكيدية ) خلال المواسم من ٢٠٠٢/٢٠٠١ إلى ٢٠٠٦/٢٠٠٥.

أظهرت النتائج تفوق الصنف الجديد بنى سويف؟ على الأصناف التجارية المستخدمة للمقارنة وهي بنى سويف ١ ، بنى سويف ٣ وسوهاج ٣ في مصر الوسطى ومصر العليا . كما أثبت الصنف بنسى سويف ٤ مقاومته العالية لأصداء القمح الثلاثة (الأصغر - البرتقالي - الأسود) في طور البادرة وفي الطور البالغ . بالإضافة الى هذا يتميز الصنف بنى سويف ٤ باحتوائه على نسبة مرتفعة من السيمولينا وهي المسادة الرئيسية الملازمة لصناعة المكرونه ولهذا يوصى بزراعة المصنف بنى سويف ٤ في مصر الوسطى .