AMINO ACID COMPOSITION OF COTTONSEED AS RELATED TO HORIZONTAL AND VERTICAL RESISTANCE TO FUSARIUM WILT DISEASE

Aly, A. A.[1]; M. G. M. El-Samman[2]; M. R. Omar[1] and A.M.A. El-Samawaty[1]

[1] Plant Pathology Research Institute, Agric. Res. Center, Giza, Egypt.
[2] Dept. of Plant Path., Faculty of Agric., Ain Shams Univ., Shoubra El-Kheima, Cairo, Egypt.

ABSTRACT

Four isolates of *Fusarium oxysporum* f.sp. *vasinfectum* (FOV) were tested for levels of pathogenicity on 45-day-old greenhouse grown seedlings of 20 cotton genotypes. Isolates differed significantly (p=0.04) in their pathogenicity on the genotypes. Similarly, differences among genotypes were very highly significant (p=0.0000) when they were tested against the isolates. Isolate x genotype interaction was a highly significant (p=0.01) source of variation in wilt incidence suggesting that the genotypes responded differently to the different isolates. These results imply that the pathogenicity of the tested isolates is a mixture of both aggressiveness and virulence and there are significant differences among isolates in both types of pathogenicity. Similarly, resistance of the tested genotypes is also a mixture of both vertical resistance (VR) and horizontal resistance (HR) and the genotypes significantly differ in both types of resistance. Assessment of the relative contribution of each source of variation to the explained (model) variation in wilt incidence revealed that isolate aggressiveness accounted for 0.88% of the explained variation, HR of the genotypes accounted for 89.28%, and virulence of the isolates or VR of the genotypes accounted for 8.95%. The GLC analysis of amino acid composition of cottonseeds revealed the presence of 17 amino acids but the occurrence of each in the seeds varied with the genotype. Lysine and glycine were negatively (r = - 0.385) and positively (r = 0.418) correlated (p < 0.10) with VR of the genotypes to S_3 and S_4, respectively. None of the other amino acids was significantly correlated with VR of the genotypes to any isolate. Data for VR of the genotypes to each of the tested isolates (dependent variables) and concentrations of the amino acids (independent variables or predictors) were entered into a computerized stepwise multiple regression analysis. Using the predictors supplied by stepwise regression, 4 models were constructed to predict VR of the genotypes to FOV isolates. One of the generated models proved to be effective in prediction VR of the genotypes to S_2. This model showed that differences in VR to S_2 among the genotypes were due largely to the amino acids alanine, histedine, lysine, and cystine, which accounted for 61.71% of the total variation in VR. Concentrations of proline, histedine, and lysine accounted for 36.36% of the total variation in HR. The findings of the present study suggest that the variations in amino acids may, at least in part, account for the differences in the VR or HR of the different cotton genotypes to FOV.

INTRODUCTION

Fusarium wilt (*Fusarium oxysporum*. Schelecht f.sp. *vasinfectum*, (Atk.) Snyd. and Hans.) of cotton (*Gossypium* spp.) has long been known in the Nile Valley, where it caused serious losses in the commercial Egyptian cottons (*G. barbadense* L.) in the late fifties (Bakry *et al.*, 1958). Since then, an extensive cotton-breeding program was initiated to develop cultivars

resistant to the disease. In this program, cotton genotypes are screened under greenhouse conditions, in soil infested with the wilt fungus.

Currently, screening of breeding materials under greenhouse conditions is the only reliable method to distinguish the Fusarium-wilt highly resistant genotypes. The test is time consuming and may be influenced by variability inherent in the experimental system (A.A. Aly, *personal observations*). The first symptoms of the disease appear on susceptible genotypes after 20 days from planting date under very favorable environmental conditions and may require a longer period of time under less favorable conditions.

Therefore, another reliable method either alternative or complementary to the greenhouse tests, is required for identification of the Fusarium-wilt highly resistant genotypes.

A considerable body of literature has shown a strong relationship between amino acids and diseases caused by *Fusarium* spp. in particular formae speciales of *F. oxysporum* the incitants of vascular wilt. Amino acids have direct effects on the physiological activities associated with pathogenicity of *Fusarium* spp. like germination of conidia, elongation of germ tubes, and production and efficiency of enzymes. For instance, Mehta *et al.* (1991) tested amino acids for the secretion of pectolytic and cellulolytic enzymes. They found complete inhibition of polygalacturonase (PG) synthesis with leucine and phenylalanine in *F. oxysporum* and *F. moniliforme*, respectively. Leucine showed complete inhibition of pectinmethylgalaturonase (PMG) synthesis in *F. oxysporum*. In *F. moniliforme*, cyctine and phenylalanine also inhibited PMG synthesis. Total inhibition of cellulose production was found in *F. moniliforme* by leucine, phenylalanine, and tryptophan whereas none could control the total cellulose synthesis in *F. oxysporum*. Leaves of 40-day-old healthy and wilted lentils were collected and analyzed for amino acids. The concentration of total free amino acids increased considerably in leaves infected by *F. oxysporum* f.sp. *lentils* but there were no qualitative changes in free amino acids in infected leaves (Pundir *et al.*, 1991). Frequency and pathogenicity of *F. oxysporum* f.sp. *vasinfectum*, the Fusarium wilt pathogen on cotton, decreased with increase in years of cropping of resistant cultivars. Root exudates from a resistant cultivar inhibited colony growth and the formation and germination of chlamydospores. This was not the case with exudate from a susceptible cultivar. Spectrophotometric analysis showed that root exudate from the resistant cultivar contained certain amino acids not present in exudate from the susceptible one. These results suggested that build up of root exudates from resistant cultivars in the soil over the years inhibited chlamydospores formation and germination. Thus, reducing pathogen populations (Yang *et al.*, 1995). Mahakul *et al.* (1996) studied formation and germination of hyphal chlamydospores in *F. oxysporum* f.sp. *ciceri*, the vascular wilt pathogen on chickpea. In slide germination tests, they found that exudate from a susceptible host cultivar highly stimulated both chlamydospore germination and germ tube growth compared with that from a resistant cultivar. The same was observed when germination on soil was studied. Biochemical analysis indicated the presence of higher levels of amino acids in exudates of the susceptible

cultivar compared with those in exudates from the resistant cultivar. No appreciable difference in the level of phenolics was detected in the exudates from the two cultivars. Thus, the differential effect of exudates on spore germination was probably not due to the exudation of antifungal compounds, such as phenolics, from the resistant host cultivar, but possibly due to a disparity in the levels of nutrients, such as amino acids, exuded through the roots of the cultivars. Liu (1997) analyzed seedlings of 14 upland cotton varieties at the 3-leaf stage for their amino acid contents. When inoculated with *F. oxysporum* f.sp. *vasinfectum*, the resistant genotype seedlings had 15-19% lower total free amino acids and the susceptible genotype seedlings had 13-20% higher total free amino acids than their respective noninoculated controls. Total amino acids decreased in both resistant and susceptible genotypes.

The objectives of the present study were to (1) evaluate the relationship between vertical and horizontal resistance of cotton to FOV and amino acid composition of seed. (2) develop statistical models to predict vertical and horizontal resistance to FOV by using amino acids in the seed as biochemical predictors.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Cotton genotypes:
Cotton genotypes used in the present study were obtained from Cotton Research Institute, Agri. Res. Cent., Giza.

Isolates of *Fusarium oxysporum* f.sp. *vasinfectum* (FOV):
Isolation, purification, and identification to forma specialis level of the isolates (Table 1) used in the present study were carried out at Cotton Pathology Lab., Plant Path. Res. Inst., Agric. Res. Cent., Giza.

Table 1. Geographic origins of *Fusarium oxysporum* f.sp. *vasinfectum* (FOV) isolates used in the present study.

Isolate no.	Geographic origin
S_1	Minya El-Kamh, Sharqiya
S_2	Damanhoor, Beheira
S_3	Sinbillawain, Daqahliya
S_4	Shirbeen, Daqahliya

Interaction between cotton genotypes and isolates of FOV:
Substrate for growth of each isolate was prepared in 500-ml glass bottles contained 50g of sorghum grains and 40ml of tap water. Contents of bottles were autoclaved for 30 minutes. Isolate inoculum, taken from one-week old culture on PDA, was aseptically introduced into the bottle and allowed to colonize sorghum for 3 weeks. The present test was carried out by using autoclaved clay loam soil. Batches of soil were infested separately with inoculum of each isolate at a rate of 0.5g/kg of soil. Infested soil was dispensed in 10-cm-diameter clay pots and these were planted with seeds of the tested genotypes (10 seeds/pot). In the control treatments, the

genotypes were grown in autoclaved soil. Pots were randomly distributed on a greenhouse bench under a temperature regime ranged from $23\pm3^\circ$C to $33\pm2.5^\circ$C. Percentage of infected seedlings, which showed external or internal symptoms (Aly et al., 2000) were recorded 45 days after planting.

Analysis of amino acid composition of cottonseed:

Extraction, derivatization, and separation of total amino acid derivatives by GLC were carried out according to Cohen et al., 1989.

Statistical analysis:

The experimental design of the interaction test was a randomized complete block with five replicates (blocks). Analysis of variance (ANOVA) of the data was performed with MSTAT-C. Least significant difference (LSD) was used to compare genotype means within isolates.

Linear correlation coefficient (r) was calculated to evaluate the degree of association between concentration of each amino acid and each of vertical and horizontal resistance of the genotypes. Stepwise regression technique with the greatest increase in R^2 as the decision criterion was used to describe the effects of amino acids on each of vertical and horizontal resistance. Correlation and regression analyses were preformed with a computerized program.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

External symptoms of Fusarium wilt were evident in the susceptible seedlings of the tested genotypes 20 days after planting. These seedlings were usually killed within 25 to 30 days after planting or they might survive showing external wilt symptoms on cotyledons. The symptoms were discrete areas of vein discoloration in the cotyledonary leaves, usually began at the margin, turned yellow or brown, eventually, the entire leaf wilted.

A distinctive characteristic of Fusarium wilt is dark brown discoloration of the root and stem xylem. However, there is no consensus of opinions regarding the diagnostic importance of this vascular discoloration for judging susceptibility to Fusarium wilt in a seedling test. For example, Armstrong and Armstrong (1978) stated that vascular discoloration was a questionable standard for judging susceptibility to wilt in a seedling test. Zink et al. (1983) found no clear relationship between the severity of external symptoms in surviving muskmelon seedlings and the extent and degree of internal vascular discoloration. On the other hand, Salgado et al. (1994) used vascular discoloration as a criterion for judging susceptibility of tepary bean seedlings to Fusarium wilt. Osman (1996) found highly significant positive correlation between vascular discoloration of cotton seedlings (cultivar Giza 74) and each of wilt incidence (r = 0.93, p < 0.01) and wilt severity (r = 0.98, p < 0.01). In the present study, we used rigorous criteria for disease rating. According to these criteria, the seedlings were considered healthy only if they were completely free of any internal and external symptoms. Thus, the seedlings were considered susceptible if they showed internal discoloration even though they were free of any external symptoms.

Isolates of FOV differed significantly (p = 0.04) in their pathogenicity on cotton genotypes. Similarly, differences among cotton genotypes were very

highly significant (p = 0.0000) when they were tested against FOV isolates. Isolate x genotype interaction was a highly significant (p = 0.01) suggesting that genotypes responded differently to the different isolates (Table 2).

Table 2. Analysis of variance of the interaction between isolates of FOV and cotton genotypes under greenhouse-conditions.

Source of variation[a]	D.F.	M.S.	F. value	P > F
Block	4	574.656	2.1678	0.0725
Isolate (S)	3	761.548	2.8728	0.0365
Genotype (G)	19	12151.695	45.8404	0.0000
S x G	57	405.940	1.5313	0.0125
Error	316	265.087		

[a] Replication is random, while each of isolate and genotype is fixed.

According to Vanderplank (1984), these results imply that the pathogenicity of the tested isolates is a mixture of both aggressiveness and virulence and there are significant differences among isolates in both types of pathogenicity. Similarly, resistance of the tested genotypes is also a mixture of both horizontal and vertical resistance, and the genotypes significantly differ in both types of resistance.

Assessment of the relative contribution of each source of variation to the explained (model) variation in wilt incidence revealed that isolate aggressiveness accounted for 0.88% of the explained variation, horizontal resistance of genotypes accounted for 89.28%, and virulence of isolates or vertical resistance of the genotypes accounted for 8.95% (Table 3).

Table 3. Relative contribution of FOV isolates, cotton genotypes, and their interaction to variation in wilt incidence.

Source of variation	Relative contribution[a] to variation in wilt incidence
Isolate (S)	0.88
Genotype (G)	89.28
S x G	8.95

[a] Calculated as percentage of sum of squares of the explained (model) variation.

Taken together, these results indicate that isolate aggressiveness is the least important contributor in determining the variation in wilt incidence. Aggressiveness of isolates is less important than virulence. Horizontal resistance of genotypes is the most important source of variation in wilt incidence and it is much more important than vertical resistance.

Due to the significant interaction between isolates and genotypes, LSD was used to compare between the individual genotype means within isolates, these comparisons showed that the interaction between isolates and genotypes was due to change in the magnitude of the differences between genotypes. For example, the difference between genotype nos. 3 and 4 was significant only within S_4, while it was nonsignificant within any of the other isolates. The difference between genotypes nos. 10 and 11 was nonsignificant only within S_2 (Table 4).

Table 4. Wilt incidence[a] on cotton genotypes inoculated with isolates of FOV under greenhouse conditions.

Genotype	Isolate								Mean	
	S_1		S_2		S_3		S_4			
	%	T[b]	%	T	%	T	%	T	%	T
1	2.00[c]	3.69	4.14	4.14	5.00	8.28	2.00	3.69	3.29	4.95
2	5.00	6.00	4.44	5.62	0.00	0.00	14.17	21.82	5.90	8.36
3	11.10	15.05	14.60	17.41	6.70	11.68	30.44	32.50	15.71	19.16
4	0.00	0.00	10.70	16.98	0.00	0.00	4.44	5.62	3.79	5.65
5	0.00	0.00	13.10	16.82	2.50	4.14	6.70	7.05	5.58	7.00
6	68.70	59.33	53.10	43.50	68.90	59.21	65.00	54.13	63.93	54.04
7	19.17	18.20	13.10	16.27	10.83	12.38	7.30	12.20	12.60	14.76
8	47.70	39.94	44.90	41.78	53.60	47.12	47.97	43.58	48.54	43.11
9	100.00	90.00	67.83	58.80	100.00	90.00	97.50	85.86	91.33	81.17
10	47.50	43.55	35.90	33.25	72.78	62.00	50.70	45.33	51.72	46.04
11	13.57	12.91	41.39	36.80	42.79	40.11	9.00	9.00	26.69	24.71
12	47.14	43.14	53.30	46.95	46.41	39.73	58.25	49.81	51.28	44.91
13	92.78	77.83	76.70	67.18	100.00	90.00	97.14	85.56	91.66	80.14
14	51.90	49.16	75.60	63.36	69.17	57.04	36.83	33.97	58.38	50.88
15	63.71	56.13	46.27	42.78	69.72	59.76	45.11	41.96	56.20	50.16
16	92.50	79.86	79.21	69.01	93.30	82.95	100.00	90.00	91.25	80.46
17	50.56	44.97	65.04	54.32	79.90	66.66	59.60	50.69	63.78	54.16
18	40.16	39.09	35.70	36.26	58.10	50.18	32.57	34.22	41.63	39.94
19	42.97	40.69	39.40	38.67	59.45	50.53	65.56	54.13	51.85	46.01
Giza 74	41.89	40.20	13.17	21.03	21.44	27.07	34.44	35.58	27.74	30.97
Mean	41.92	37.99	39.38	36.55	48.03	42.94	43.24	39.84	43.14	39.33

[a] Wilt incidence is the percentage of the seedlings, which showed external and internal symptoms or only internal symptoms.
[b] Percentages were transformed into arc sine angles before carrying out the ANOVA to produce approximately constant variance.
[c] Mean of five replicates.
LSD (transformed data) for isolate x genotype = 20.26 ($p < 0.05$) or 26.69 ($p < 0.01$).

The GLC analysis of amino acid composition of cottonseeds revealed the presence of 17 amino acids but the occurrence of each in the seeds varied with the genotype (Table 5).

The relationship between amino acids and resistance to plant diseases is well documented in a large body of literature; however, few attempts have been made to use biometrical approaches to study such a relationship. For instance, El-Hamalawy and Menge (1995) found that the total free amino acid content of the avocado bark tissue was highly correlated with canker size on stem ($r = 0.89$) caused by *Phytophthora citricola*. Through correlations and path coefficient analysis of a field trial with peas cv. Rachana (resistant) and T163 (susceptible), Bhattacharya abd Shukla (1996) concluded that severity of powdery mildew (*Erysiphe polygoni*) on field pea is substantially increased by the accumulation of free amino acids. Omokolo *et al.* (2002) found a significant negative correlation ($r = -65$, $p < 0.05$) between the level of amino acids in cacao (*Theobroma cacao* L.) pods and the lesion size caused by *P. megakarya*. Omokolo and Boudjeko (2005) investigated the putative role of amino acids in susceptibility of *Xanthosoma sagittifolium* to *Pythium myriotylum* root rot disease by comparing the alteration of amino acids in the roots of the white (susceptible), red (tolerant), and yellow (resistant) cultivars. At day 2 after inoculation, total amino acid content increased in the three

cultivars. However, this increase was negatively correlated to the disease only in the yellow cultivars (r = 0.94, p < 0.05).

Table 5. Amino acid composition (%, w/w) of cottonseed.

Amino acid	Genotype									
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Aspartic	0.029	0.031	0.035	0.028	0.025	0.017	0.017	0.120	0.020	0.027
Glutamic	0.028	0.208	0.173	0.143	0.099	0.227	0.215	0.183	0.137	0.027
Serine	0.024	0.027	0.010	0.021	0.018	0.025	0.024	0.043	0.020	0.027
Glycine	0.035	0.053	0.045	0.057	0.051	0.056	0.057	0.049	0.063	0.040
Histedine	0.018	0.079	0.066	0.058	0.014	0.087	0.077	0.016	0.059	0.029
Arginine	0.026	0.022	0.073	0.042	0.162	0.029	0.028	0.050	0 038	0.039
Therionine	0.047	0.042	0.028	0.032	0.088	0.048	0.036	0.101	0.038	0.065
Alanine	0.255	0.371	0.311	0.316	0.240	0.369	0.339	0.199	0.322	0.372
Proline	0.051	0.038	0.005	0.047	0.065	0.041	0.042	0.063	0.039	0.081
Tyrosine	0.039	0.043	0.040	0.070	0.071	0.043	0.038	0.009	0.054	0.075
Valine	0.040	0.040	0.001	0.046	0.037	0.04 i	0.039	0.064	0.040	0.052
Methionine	0.042	0.022	0.085	0.038	0.044	0.036	0.025	0.053	0.030	0.067
Cystine	0.007	0.027	0.047	0.035	0.032	0.029	0.019	0.027	0.040	0.075
Isoluecine	0.023	0.015	0.022	0.034	0.022	0.022	0.0.13	0.040	0.0221	0.051
Luecine	0.043	0.060	0.034	0.061	0.043	0.065	0.057	0.077	0.055	0.070
Phenylalanine	0.067	0.073	0.173	0.109	0.084	0.116	0.077	0.116	0.092	0.086
Lysine	0.007	0.038	0.074	0.027	0.047	0.040	0.050	0.020	0.017	0.018

Table 5. (Continued from preceding table).

Amino acid	Genotype									
	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20
Aspartic	0.116	0.089	0.065	0.008	0.038	0.031	0.025	0.022	0.029	0.052
Glutamic	0.072	0.039	0.034	0.024	0.229	0.125	0.161	0.157	0.077	0.153
Serine	0.037	0.017	0.026	0.029	0.030	0.027	0.030	0.021	0.020	0.069
Glycine	0.037	0.055	0.055	0.020	0.058	0.074	0.069	0.068	0.053	0.064
Histedine	0.077	0.063	0.084	0.022	0.049	0.102	0.077	0.065	0.024	0.040
Arginine	0.079	0.041	0.106	0.068	0.163	0.031	0.036	0.030	0.040	0.054
Therionine	0.059	0.109	0.057	0.035	0.099	0.076	0.045	0.034	0.103	0.087
Alanine	0.312	0.217	0.297	0.158	0.255	0.217	0.322	0.235	0.262	0.372
Proline	0.035	0.052	0.068	0.061	0.061	0.074	0.034	0.027	0.028	0.026
Tyrosine	0.068	0.067	0.080	0.068	0.042	0.073	0.075	0.090	0.051	0.050
Valine	0.044	0.042	0.045	0.043	0.032	0.036	0.055	0.052	0.029	0.045
Methionine	0.037	0.031	0.065	0.059	0.031	0.045	0.046	0.082	0.027	0.036
Cystine	0.052	0.034	0.036	0.039	0.009	0.020	0.037	0.012	0.008	0.010
Isoluecine	0.037	0.034	0.025	0..023	0.017	0.034	0.026	0.025	0.011	0.025
Luecine	0.061	0.047	0.034	0.054	0.068	0.041	0.065	0.045	0.052	0.063
Phenylalanine	0.052	0.099	0.089	0.063	0.056	0.077	0.071	0.070	0.064	0.087
Lysine	0.017	0.042	0.015	0.018	0.008	0.051	0.020	0.016	0.008	0.027

It is well known that the type and degree of association between characters may facilitate or complicate the selection process in breeding programs. Selection for a character may result in an improvement or deterioration in other characters according to the type and degree of correlation. Hence, it was desirable to assess the type and degree of association between resistance of cotton genotypes to FOV and amino acids in cottonseed as biochemical predictors.

Pearson correlation coefficient was calculated to measure the degree of association between vertical resistance (VR) of genotypes to each isolate and

the concentration (%) of each separated amino acid (Table 6). Lysine and glycine were negatively and positively correlated (p < 0.10) with VR of the genotypes to S_3 and S_4, respectively. None of the other amino acids was significantly correlated with VR of the genotypes to any isolate.

Table 6. Correlation between vertical resistance (Y_s) of cotton genotypes to isolates of FOV and amino acid content of the genotype seeds.

Amino acid	Y_1[a]	Y_2	Y_3	Y_4
Aspartic	- 0.019[b]	0.090	0.090	0.004
Glutamic	- 0.030	- 0.234	- 0.234	- 0.099
Serine	0.097	- 0.031	- 0.031	- 0.042
Glycine	0.375	0.135	0.135	0.418 x
Histedine	0.310	0.293	0.293	0.327
Arginine	- 0.025	0.032	0.032	- 0.090
Therionine	0.177	0.120	0.120	0.224
Alanine	- 0.119	- 0.372	- 0.372	- 0.093
Proline	0.321	0.365	0.365	0.244
Tyrosine	0.166	0.338	0.338	0.186
Valine	0.167	0.225	0.225	0.050
Methionine	0.038	0.120	0.120	0.066
Cystine	0.015	0.186	0.186	0.073
Isoluecine	0.086	0.189	0.189	0.099
Luecine	0.002	- 0.040	0.065	- 0.125
Phenylalanine	- 0.065	- 0.168	- 0.213	- 0.125
Lysine	- 0.220	- 0.233	- 0.385 x	- 0.106

[a] Y_1, Y_2, Y_3, and Y_4 are vertical resistance of the tested cotton genotypes to S_1, S_2, S_3, and S_4, respectively, of FOV.
[b] Pearson correlation coefficient (r), which measures the degree of association between vertical resistance of the tested genotypes and concentration of the designated amino acid. Value of r is significant at r< 0.10 (x).

Data for VR of the genotypes to each of the tested isolates and concentrations of amino acids were entered into a computerized stepwise multiple regression analysis. The analysis constructed predictive models by adding predictors, in this case, concentrations of amino acids, to the models in order of their contribution to R^2. The analysis was effective in eliminating those amino acids with little or no predictive value by incorporating into the models only those amino acids that made a satisfactory significant contribution to R^2 value of the models (Podleckis et al., 1984).

Using the predictors supplied by stepwise regression, 4 models were constructed to predict VR (Table 7). It is noteworthy that the 2nd model was the only one, which can be used reliably to predict VR due to its high R^2 value. The R^2 values of the other models were too low to be used for prediction. Despite this limitation, our findings suggest that the variations in amino acids may, at least in part, account for the differences in the VR of the different cotton genotypes to FOV isolates. None of the individual amino acids was satisfactory correlated with horizontal resistance (HR) (Table 8). Concentrations of proline, histedine, and lysine accounted for 36.36% of the total variation in HR (Table 9).

Table 7. Stepwise regression models that describe the relationship between vertical resistance (Y_s) of cotton genotypes to four isolates of FOV and amino acid content (X_s) of the genotype seeds.

Isolate of FOV	Stepwise linear regression model	Coefficient of determination (R^2)	F. value [e]
S_1	$Y_1 = -44.54 + 1062.70 X_4 + 643.70 X_9$	28.80% [b]	3.44 x
S_2	$Y_2 = 80.01 - 257.94 X_6 + 631.86 X_6 - 656.23 X_{17} + 564.50 X_{13}$	61.71% [c]	6.04 ***
S_3	$Y_3 = 10.49 - 974.94 X_{17} + 645.08 X_6 + 621.88 X_9$	43.61% [d]	4.12 *
S_4	$Y_3 = 16.11 + 1575.22 X_4 - 191.68 X_2$	31.12% [e]	3.84 *

[a] F. value is significant at $p < 0.10$ (x), $p < 0.05$ (*), or $p < 0.005$ (***).
[b] Relative contribution of the predictors X_4 (Glycine) and X_9 (Proline) to R^2 are 14.06 and 14.74%, respectively.
[c] Relative contribution of the predictors X_6 (Alanine), X_6 (Histedine), X_{17} (Lysine) and X_{13} (Cystine) to R^2 are 13.85, 20.92, 13.82, and 13.12%, respectively.
[d] Relative contribution of the predictors X_{17} (Lysine), X_6 (Histedine), and X_9 (Proline) to R^2 are 14.82, 18.08, and 10.71%, respectively.
[e] Relative contribution of the predictors X_4 (Glycine) and X_2 (Glutamic) to R^2 are 17.45 and 13.67%, respectively.

Table 8. Correlation between horizontal resistance of cotton genotypes to four isolates of FOV and amino acid content of the genotype seeds.

Amino acid	r [a]	Amino acid	r
Aspartic	0.021	Tyrosine	0.260
Glutamic	-0.135	Valine	0.187
Serine	0.015	Methionine	0.097
Glycine	0.309	Cystine	0.113
Histedine	0.305	Isoluecine	0.151
Arginine	-0.026	Luecine	-0.022
Therionine	0.172	Phenylalanine	-0.096
Alanine	-0.190	Lysine	-0.249
Proline	0.333		

[a] Pearson correlation coefficient (r), which measures the degree of association between horizontal resistance of the tested genotypes and concentration of the designated amino acid.

Table 9. Stepwise regression model that describes the relationship between horizontal resistance (Y) of cotton genotypes to four isolates of FOV and amino acid content (Xs) of the genotype seeds.

Stepwise linear regression model	Coefficient of determination (R^2)	F. value [a]
$Y = 3.61 + 545.48 X_9 + 558.08 X_5 - 604.82 X_{17}$	36.36% [b]	3.05 x

[a] F. value is significant at $p < 0.10$ (x).
[b] Relative contribution of the predictors X_9 (Proline), X_6 (Histedine), and X_{17} (Lysine) to R^2 are 11.11, 14.18, and 11.07%, respectively.

REFERENCES

Aly, A.A., H.A. Eisa, M.T.M. Mansour, S.M.E. Zayed, and M.R. Omar. 2000 Resistance to Fusarium wilt disease in families of some commercial cotton cultivars. pp. 375-384. In: Proc. 9th Cong. Egypt. Phytopathol. Soc., Giza.

Armstrong, G.M. and Joanne K. Armstrong. 1978. Formae speciales and races of *Fusarium oxysporum* causing wilts of Cucurbitaceae. Phytopathology 68: 19-28.

Bakry, M.A., A.H. Sakre, O.A. Kassab, and R.H. Rizk. 1958. Infection of some cotton varieties with *Fusarium* and the possibility of the existence of strains of the fungus. Proc. 2nd Cotton Conf. (In Arabic).

Bhattacharya, A. and P. Shukla. 1996. Effect of *Erysiphe polygoni*. D.C. infection on activation of some hydrolytic enzymes and their metabolites in *Pisum sativum* L. leaves. Legume Research 19: 133 – 137.

Cohen, S.A., M. Mewyes, and T.L. Travin. 1989. The pico tag method. In: A Manual of Advanced Techniques for Amino Acid Analysis. Millipore, USA.

El-Hamalawi, Z.A. and J.A. Menge. 1995. Seasonal fluctuations in the extent of colonization of avocado plants by the stem canker pathogen *Phytophthora citricola*. Journal of the American Society for Horticultural Science 120:157-162.

Liu, F. 1997. Protein and amino acid contents in cotton seedlings as related to their resistance to Fusarium wilt (*Fusarium oxysporum* f.sp. *vasinfectum*). Journal of Southwest Agricultural University 19:161-164.

Mahakul, A.K., M. Anuradha, and B.R. Maity. 1996. Formation and germination of hyphal chlamydospores in *Fusarium oxysporum* f.sp. *ciceri*, the vascular wilt pathogen on chickpea. Environment and Ecology 14:699-703.

Mehta, A., P. Mehta, and S. Chopra. 1991. Effect of various nitrogenous sources on the production of pectolytic and cellulolytic enzymes by *Fusarium oxysporum* and *F. moniliforme*. Zentralblatt Fur Mikrobiologie 146:393-398.

Omokolo, N.D. and T. Boudjeko. 2005. Comparative analysis of alterations in carbohydrates, amino acids, phenols, and lignin in roots of three cultivars of *Xanthosoma sagittifolium* infected by *Pythium myriotylum*. South African Journal of Botany 71:432-440.

Omokolo, N.D., D.J. Nankeu, N. Niemenak, and P.F. Djocgoue. 2002. Analysis of amino acids and carbohydrates in the cortex of nine clones of *Theobroma cacao* L. in relation to their susceptibility to *Phytophthora megakarya* Bra. And Grif. Crop Protection 21:395-402.

Osman, Eman, A.M. 1996. Studies on the interrelationship among some Fusarium species with special reference to their pathogenicity on cotton: Ph.D. Thesis, Cairo Univ., Cairo. 125p.

Podleckis, E.V., C.R. Curtis, and H.E. Heggestad. 1984. Peroxidase enzyme markers for ozone sensitivity in sweet corn. Phytopathology 74: 572-577.

Pundir, C.S., O.M. Singh, and H.C. Verma. 1991. Effects of Fusarium wilt on free amino acids in the progeny of healthy and infected lentil. Indian Phytopathology 43:580-582.

Salgado, M.O., H.F. Schwartz, and M.A. Pastor Corrales. 1994. Resistance to *Fusarium oxysporum* f.sp. *phaseoli* in tepary beans (*Phaseolus acutifolius*). Plant Disease 78: 357-360.

Vanderplank, J.E. 1984. "Disease Resistance in Plants", 2nd Ed. Academic Press, Orlando, Florida. 194p.

Yang, Z., R. Wang, and Y. Gao. 1995. Effects of root effusion of different cotton varieties on *Fusarium oxysporum* f.sp. *vasinfectum*. Scientia Agricultura Sinica 28:87-88.

Zink, F.W., W.D. Guber, and R.G. Grogan. 1983. Reaction of muskmelon germplasm to inoculation with *Fusarium oxysporum* f.sp. *melonis* race 2. Plant Disease 67: 1251-1255.

العلاقة بين محتوى بذرة القطن من الأحماض الأمينية والمقاومة الأفقية والرأسية لمرض ذبول الفيوزاريوم

على عبد الهادى على[١] ، مجدى جاد الرب محمـد السـمان[٢] ،معـوض رجـب عمـر[١] ، عبد الرحيم محمد أحمد السمواتى[١]

[١] معهد بحوث أمراض النباتات – مركز البحوث الزراعية – الجيزة – مصر.

[٢] قسم أمراض النبات – كلية الزراعة – جامعة عين شمس – شبرا الخيمة – القاهرة – مصر.

قيمت أربع عزلات من فطر فيوزاريوم أوكسيسبورم من الطراز المتخصص فى اصابة القطن بالذبول, وذلك من حيث القدرة على إصابة ٢٠ تركيب وراثى من القطن– تتباين فيما بينها من حيث القابلية للإصابة بالمرض– تحت ظروف الصوبة. قيمت قابلية التراكيب للإصابة بالمرض على أساس تقدير النسبة المئوية للبادرات المصابة بالذبول عندما كان عمر البادرات ٤٥ يوما. أظهر تحليل التباين أن التراكيب الوراثية والعزلات وتفاعل التراكيب الوراثية x العزلات كانت كلها مصادر معنوية أو عالية المعنويه للتباين فى حدوث المرض. إن معنوية التراكيب الوراثية والعزلات وتفاعل التراكيب الوراثية x العزلات يدل على الآتى: (١) هناك قدر من التخصص الفسيولوجى بين عزلات الفطر عند إصابتها للتراكيب الوراثية. (٢) إن مقاومة التراكيب الوراثية هى خليط من المقاومة الأفقية والرأسية وأن التراكيب الوراثية تختلف فيما بينها فى نوعى المقاومة.(٣) إن القدرة المرضية لعزلات الفطر هى خليط من القدرة المتخصصة والغير متخصصة وأن عزلات الفطر تختلف فيما بينها فى نوعى القدرة المرضية. إن تقدير حجم التباين المفسر الراجع إلى كل مصدر من مصادر التباين أظهر أن القدرة المرضية الغير متخصصة للعزلات والمقاومة الأفقية للتراكيب الوراثية والقدرة المرضية المتخصصة للعزلات أو المقاومة الرأسية للتراكيب الوراثية كانت مسئولة عن ٠,٨٨ و٨٩,٢٨ و ٨,٩٥% من التباين المفسر, على الترتيب. أظهر التحليل الكروماتوجرافى (GLC) أن بذرة التراكيب الوراثية إحتوت على ١٧ حمض أمينى ، إلا أن تركيز الأحماض الأمينية فى البذرة إختلف باختلاف التراكيب الوراثية. أظهرت الدراسة وجود إرتباط سالب بين محتوى البذرة من الليسين ومقاومة التراكيب الوراثية الرأسية للعزلة S3 ، فى حين كان الإرتباط موجبا بين محتوى البذرة من الجليسين والمقاومة الرأسية للعزلة S4 . لم ترتبط المقاومة الرأسية لأى من العزلات الأخرى مع أى من الأحماض الأمينية. أمكن – باستخدام تقنية الإنحدار المتعدد المرحلى – التوصل إلى أربعة نماذج إنحدار لوصف العلاقة بين مقاومة التراكيب الوراثية الرأسية (متغير تابع) وتركيز الأحماض الأمينية فى بذور التراكيب الوراثية (متغير مستقل)– أظهر أحد هذه النماذج كفاءة عالية فى التنبؤ بمقاومة التراكيب الوراثية الرأسية للعزلة S2 ، فقد كانت قيمة معامل التحديد لهذا النموذج هى ٦١,٧١% ، علما بأن هذا النموذج إحتوى على الأحماض الأمينية ألانين وهستدين وليسين وسيستين. أظهر النموذج الخاص بالمقاومة الأفقية أن ٣٦,٣٦% من إجمالى التباين فى هذا النوع من المقاومة من الممكن أن تعزى إلى تأثير الأحماض الأمينية برولين وهستدين وليسين . تدل نتائج الدراسة الحالية على أن التباين فى محتوى بذرة القطن من الأحماض الأمينية من الممكن أن يفسر – على الأقل جزئيا– الفروق بين التراكيب الوراثية فى المقاومة الأفقية أو الرأسية لمرض ذبول الفيوزاريوم.