# PARTIAL REPLACEMENT OF FISH MEAL WITH DRIED MICROALGA (CHLORELLA SPP AND SCENEDESMUS SPP) IN NILE TILAPIA (OREOCHROMIS NILOTICUS) DIETS

TARTIEL. M. BADWY., E. M. IBRAHIM AND M. M. ZEINHOM

Central Laboratory for Aquaculture Research, Agricultural Research Center, Ministry of Agriculture, Egypt.

#### **Abstract**

This study was designed to investigate the effect of partial replacement of fish meal with dried microalgae (Chlorella spp and Scenedesmus spp) in Nile tilapia (Oreochromis niloticus) diets on fish growth performance, feed efficiency and body composition. Nine isonitrogenous (32% cp), isocaloric (440 kcal/100 g) diets were formulated to contain Chlorella and Scenedesmus as fish meal replacers at zero (control), 10, 25, 50 and 75% substitution. The diets were fed to triplicate groups of 25 Nile tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*) fingerlings (6.5  $\pm$  0.05 g average body weight) in 75 I glass aguaria) for 90 days. Results indicated that, growth performance, feed conversion ratio and protein productive value were significantly (P<0.05) higher in fish feed diets containing 50% of both Chlorella spp and Scenedesmus spp, whereas fish feed diets containing 75% algae had significance performance (P<0.05). Carcass analysis significantly (P<0.05) higher dry matter and crude protein content, but lower lipid content, in fish received algae at 50% level, compared to other treatments. The present results suggest that dried Chlorella spp and Scenedesmus can replace fish meal up to 50% substitution level in Nile tilapia diets.

**Keywords**: algae, fish, growth performance, feed efficiency, body composition

#### INTRODUCTION

Feed accounts for more than half of the variable operating costs in many aquaculture operations today NRC, (1993). Therefore, the potential use of unconventional feed ingredients such as algae, as feed inputs as a replacement of high cost feed stuffs such as fishmeal has been increasing. Algae have attention as a possible alternative protein source for cultured fish, particularly in tropical and subtropical regions where algae production high and their good protein, vitamins and essential fatty acids contents (El-Hindawy *et al.* 2006). The use of microalgae as fish feed inputs has been studied with encouraging results. (Broun, 1980), reported positive growth performance in fish feed diets containing algae cells. Zeinhom. (2004) found that fish fed diet containing 15% algae increased significant by the digestibility coefficient of dry matter (92.5%), crude protein (87.63%), ether extract (88.45%) and energy (81.41%).

Some studies have been carried out to investigate the chemical composition of microalgae. Tartiel. (2005) found that crude protein content of *Chlorella spp* was 46.7 %, the crude fat content was 14.8 %, total Carbohydrate content was 11.6 %, ash was 17.5 %, crude fiber 9.30 %, nucleic acid content (RNA 2.63 % and DNA 1.72 %), and vitamins group antioxidant B<sub>6</sub>, B<sub>12</sub>, E, C, B-carotene (µg\g dry weight) were found to be 0.05, 0.08, 2.25, 16.0 and 2384.0, respectively. Mean while, *Scenedesmus spp* contained 52.3% crude protein, 12.20% crude fat, 10.06% Carbohydrate, 14.92 % ash, 8.83% crude fiber, 3.16 RNA and 1.43 DNA , 0.27 B<sub>6</sub>, 0.78 B<sub>12</sub>, 0.01 E, 21.8 C and 1890.0 B-carotene (µg\g dry weight). Dawah *et al.* (2002a) reported that, five amino acids (aspartic acid, serine, alanine, leucine and glycine) were collectively responsible for 50% or more of the total dry matter content of *Chlorella* and *Scenedesmus* algae, However, they were deficient in sulfur-amino acids. Soeder (1980) reported that about 67% of fatty acids in *Scenedesmus* are unsaturated. Natural food still remains the major feed for Tilapia rearing so a timely supply of microalgae in sufficient quantity ensures the success of a tilapia hatchery.

The present study was carried out in the Central Laboratory for Aquaculture Research (CLAR), Abbassa, Sharkia Governorate, Egypt, to evaluate the use of *Chlorella* and *Scenedesmus* as fish meal replacers Nile tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*) diets under Egyptian conditions.

# **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

# **Experimental Diets**

Nine practical diets were formulated based on the proximate composition of the feed ingredients. Diet 1 (Control diet contained no algae), diets 2, 3, 4 and 5 contained 10, 25, 50 and 75%, respectively of dried *Chlorella spp* while diets 6, 7, 8 and 9 contained 10, 25, 50 and 75%, respectively, of dried *Scenedesmus spp* replacement of fish meal on an equivalent protein basis. The algae used in this study were product according to El-Fouly *et al.* (1998). Composition and proximate analysis of algae and the experimental diets were performed according to (AOAC, 1990) methods (tables 1 and 2.)

# Fish and feeding regime

Nile tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*) fingerlings with an average weight  $6.50 \pm 0.05$ , were transferred from Abbasa Hatchery to the wet lab of CLAR and acclimatized in fiberglass tanks for three weeks. Fish were randomly stocked in triplicates, in 75 l glass aquaria at a density of 25 fish per aquarium. The fish were fed the test diets at 3% body weight per day. The amount of feed was calculated and readjusted every 15

days according to change in the body weight and distributed in three equal portions for 90 days.

# **Experimental system**

The experimental facility consisted of 27 glass Aquaria (75 litters each). Each aquarium was supplied with aerated and dechlorinated tap water, which was stored in tanks for 24 hours and aerated by air pump (Model-Rina 301) during the experimental period. The water level was maintained to a fixed level by the addition of new well-aerated fresh water.

# Data calculation

Body weight gain (g/fish) = Mean of weight (g) at the end of the experimental period – weight (g) at the beginning of the experimental period.

Daily weight gain (DWG) = Gain / experimental period.

Relative weight gain (RWG %) = Gain / initial weight X 100

Specific growth rate (SGR) =  $(In W_1 - In W_0) / T) \times 100$ 

Feed conversion ratio (FCR) =Total feed fed (g/fish) / total wet weight gain (g/fish).

Protein efficiency ratio (PER) = Wet weight gain (g/fish) / amount of protein fed (g/fish).

Protein productive value (PPV) = (Amount of protein retained (g/fish)/ amount of protein fed  $(g/fish) \times 100$ 

# Statistical analysis of data

Statistical analysis was performed using the Analysis of variance (ANOVA) and Duncan's multiple Range Test, to determine differences between treatments means at significance rate of P < 0.05. The standard errors of treatment means were also estimated. All statistics were carried out using Statistical Analysis program (SAS, 2000).

#### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

# **Growth performance**

Growth performance of fingerlings Nile tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*) fed on diets with partial replacement of fish meal by algae meal is shown in Table 3. The results indicated that, growth performance (final body weight, BWG, DWG, RWG and SGR) increased significantly (P<0.05) with increasing of both algae species (*Chlorella spp* and *Scenedesmus spp*) replacement from zero to 50% algae. However, the highest values of final body weight (30.7  $\pm$  0.18 & 31.96  $\pm$  0.26), BWG (24.08  $\pm$  0.23 & 25.38  $\pm$  0.21), DWG (0.27  $\pm$  0.003 & 0.28  $\pm$  0.002), RWG (363.9  $\pm$  6.66 & 385.7  $\pm$  2.12) and SGR (1.76  $\pm$  0.01 & 1.70  $\pm$  0.02) were obtained by fish fed 50%

replacement in both algae species (*Chlorella spp* and *Scenedesmus spp* ) respectively, while the lower values of final body weight (22.42  $\pm$  0.13 & 23.4  $\pm$  0.22), BWG (15.62  $\pm$  0.09 &16.47  $\pm$  0.13), DWG (0.17  $\pm$  0.001 & 0.18  $\pm$  0.001), RWG (229.7  $\pm$  1.64& 237.7  $\pm$  1.58) and SGR (1.33  $\pm$  0.01&1.35  $\pm$  0.01) were obtained by fish fed 75% replacement in both algae species (*Chlorella spp* and *Scenedesmus spp* ) respectively. These results indicated that the high content of protein and lipid of algae (*Chlorella spp and Scenedesmus spp*) are more suitable for fish diets.

These results are in agreement with those obtained by Brune (1980), Ibrahim (2001) and Dawah *et al.* (2002b) who found that the addition of algae in fish diets improved growth performance of Nile tilapia (*O. niloticus*). Also, Zeinhom (2004) found that, Inclusion of algae in fish diets significantly (P<0.05) increased the live body weight (39.69 g), BWG (26.46 g), DWG (0.29g) and SGR (1.22), and Nandeesha *et al.* (1998) reported that body weight gain of Nile tilapia (*O. niloticus*) increased linearly with increasing the level of algae in fish diet at levels les than 20%. Also these results were in partial agreement with the finding of Nuria and Carmen (1998) who found that the addition of algae, aquatic plant and yeast in fish diets did not differ significantly (P<0.05) less than 20, 25 and 10% respectively, while, Olvera–Novoa, *et al.* (1998) stated that the daily body weight gain did not differ significantly (P<0.05) affecting by different addition of microalgae Spirulina in fish diets for *Tilapia mossambicus* less than 30 and 50% respectively. Similarly Abu Zead (2001), showed that the addition of water hyacinth, water primrose and algae in fish diets improved growth performance of Nile tilapia and common carp.

### Feed efficiency

From the presented results in Table 3. the lower significant value of feed intake  $(48.92 \pm 2.28 \text{ and } 44.69 \pm 1.61)$  was obtained by fish fed diet containing 50% algae in both algae species (*Chlorella spp* and *Scenedesmus spp*) respectively. In addition, the average values of FCR, PER and PPV increased significantly (P<0.05) with increasing of both algae species (*Chlorella spp* and *Scenedesmus spp*) replacement from 0 to 50% algae. However, incorporation 50% algae replacement resulted in the significant greater value of FCR ( $2.03 \pm 0.08$  and  $1.76 \pm 0.05$ ), PER ( $1.54 \pm 0.06$  and  $1.78 \pm 0.05$ ) and PPV ( $19.98 \pm 0.19$  and  $20.80 \pm 0.19$ ) in both algae species (*Chlorella spp* and *Scenedesmus spp*) respectively. These results are agree with those obtained by Dawah, *et al.* (2002b) who found that food conversion ratio and PER were better when the fish were maintained on artificial diets with 10% and 20% dried algae. In addition, Zeinhom (2004) found that, Inclusion of algae in fish diets insignificantly (P<0.05) improved the FCR (2.33), PER (1.34) and PPV (43.10), whereas feed intake was significantly increased. However, these results are good in agreement with those

obtained by Ibrahim (2001) and Abu Zead (2001) who found that the protein efficiency ratio ranged from 1.1 to 1.7 for Nile tilapia and common carp fed on diets containing aquatic plant and algae, while Ibrahim *et al.* (2007) reported that, feed conversion ratio gradually improved with increasing Azolla meal percentage in the diets without significant differences until 31.8% inclusion level after that, significantly lowered, they added that, economical feed efficiency improved as the level of the dietary Azolla meal increased from 10.6 to 31.8% of the diet.

# **Body composition**

Chemical composition of the whole fish body as affected by partial algae replacement at the end of experimental period are illustrated in Table 4. In all groups including algae in the diets, fish body dry matter and protein content was improved except fish fed diet containing 75% algae replacement which are lower body dry matter and protein content than fish fed control diet. Fish fed diet containing 50% algae replacement in both algae species (Chlorella spp and Scenedesmus spp) showed the highest significant (P<0.05) dry matter (24.16  $\pm$  0.18 and 25.11  $\pm$  0.25), protein body content (65.52  $\pm$  0.64 and 66.00  $\pm$  1.32) and lowest significant (P<0.05) fat  $(13.83 \pm 0.09)$  and  $12.56 \pm 0.16)$  and gross energy body content  $(510.5 \pm 1.05)$  and 513.9 ± 1.66) respectively. Generally, lower percentage of body fat was observed by increasing the examined algae percentage up to 50% in the diets. Whereas the higher value of ash body contents in the dry matter (20.25  $\pm$  0.12 and 19.50  $\pm$  0.06) was observed in fish fed diet containing 75% algae in both algae species (Chlorella spp and Scenedesmus spp) respectively. Similar results were observed by Zeinhom (2004) who found that, Inclusion 15% algae resulted the higher value of chemical composition of the whole fish body dry matter (28.11), crude protein (64.12) and ash (19.76), whereas fish fed 25% algae resulted higher value of ether extract(21.4) and gross energy (540.7). In addition, these results are partial agreement with those obtained by Appler and Jauncy (1983) who found that, the moisture content decreased with increased algae level in fish diet, whereas fat content decreased with increasing algae in fish diet. El-Hadidy et al. (1993) mentioned that, the fish diet containing algae up to 45% did not cause a significant variation in carcass CP, ash and dry matter comparing with control diet for Nile tilapia (O. niloticus). Olevera-Novoa et al. (1998) stated that, the body chemical composition of Tilapia mossambica did not clearly affected by the inclusion of Spirulina algae from 20 to 100% in the diet, whereas the highest values of ash content were recorded in the control diet and the lowest values were recorded in fish diet containing 80% Spirulina algae. In addition,

Abu Zead (2001) found that, the dry matter of tilapia and common carp increased with increasing replacement by aquatic plant less than 20% for tilapia and less than 30% for common carp, whereas fat contents were opposite trend by protein contents. On other hand, El-Sayed (1994) found that there is a negative correlation between algae in fish diet and body chemical composition of Nile tilapia and common carp such as CP and EE. This difference body chemical composition my be due to the variation in culture and fish size. Also Ibrahim *et al.* (2007) reported that Body protein and fat content were significantly decreased with increasing Azolla meal percentage in the diets. Nevertheless, moisture and ash content were significantly increased with increasing Azolla meal percentage in the diets.

In conclusion, the present experiment showed that examined algae could be utilized in Nile tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*) diets up to 50% instead of the dietary ingredient without any adverse effects on fish growth performances, feed utilization parameters and body composition.

Table 1. The chemical composition of dried examined algae (on DM basis)

	Chlorella spp	Scenedesmus spp
Dry matter (%)	94.01 ± 1.30	95.30 ± 0.33
Crude protein (%)	46.78 ± 0.50	51.17 ± 1.60
Crude fat (%)	14.83 ± 0.90	12.29 ± 0.08
Crude fiber (%)	08.32 ± 0.09	06.91 ± 0.50
Ash (%)	18.75 ± 1.40	19.63 ± 0.62
*NFE (%)	11.32 ± 0.23	10.00 ± 0.05

<sup>\*</sup>Nitrogen free extract = Nitrogen free extract = 100 — (moisture + protein + lipid + fiber +ash)

Table 2. The formulation and chemical composition of the experimental diets

	Treatments								
			Chlori	ella spp		Scenedesmus spp			
Ingredients	Control (0.0%)	10%	25%	50%	75%	10%	25%	50%	75%
Fish meal (72%CP)	22.23	20.01	16.67	11.12	5.56	20.01	16.67	11.12	5.56
Chlorella spp		3.43	8.56	17.11	25.66				
Scenedesmus spp						3.13	7.82	15.64	23.46
Soybean meal(44%CP)	35.67	35.6	35.48	35.31	35.13	35.6	35.45	35.21	34.99
Corn starch	31.74	30.24	30.24	28.32	26.32	30.29	30.29	30.06	28.52
Cotton seed oils	3.5	4	3.5	3.5	3	3.95	3.5	3.3	3.23
Vitamin premix 1	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5
Mineral premix <sup>2</sup>	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5
Methionen	0.23	0.27	0.32	0.4	0.48	0.27	0.34	0.44	0.54
Cellulose powder	3.63	3.45	2.23	1.24	0.85	3.75	2.93	1.23	0.7
Total	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
		Chemica	al compos	ition ( on	DM basis	)		<del></del>	
Dry matter (DM)	90.65	91.1	91.15	91.36	91.59	91	91.06	91.13	91.24
Crude protein (CP)	32.00	32.00	32.00	32.00	32.00	32.00	32.00	32.00	32.00
Crude lipid	5.76	6.58	6.56	7.36	7.66	6.41	6.25	6.54	6.97
Crude fiber (CF)	6.23	6.33	6.17	6.16	4.60	6.57	6.06	4.88	4.18
Ash	6.06	6.47	7.07	8.09	9.10	6.10	6.67	7.62	8.89
Nitrogen free extract	49.95	48.62	48.20	46.39	46.64	48.92	49.02	48.96	47.96
Gross energy kcal/100g <sup>3</sup>	440.15	442.42	440.51	440.62	444.48	442.05	440.95	443.44	443.39
Digestibility energy kcal/100g <sup>4</sup>	311.74	316.46	315.44	319.02	322.22	315.53	314.29	316.78	318.65

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Vitamin premix :- each 2.5Kg contain Vit A 10MIU, D<sub>3</sub> 1MIU, E 10gm, K gm, B<sub>1</sub> gm, B<sub>2</sub> 4gm, B<sub>6</sub> 1.5gm, B<sub>12</sub> 10gm, Pantothenic acid 10gm, Nicotinic acid 20gm, Folic acid 1000gm, Biotin 50gm And Coline chloride 500gm.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Mineral premix. No # 5 (tilapia) source: Jauncey and Ross,1982.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>(kcal/100g diet); based on 5.64 kcal/g protein, 9.44 kcal/g lipid, and 4.11 kcal/g carbohydrate. NRC (1993)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>(kcal/100g diet); based on 5.0 kcal/g protein,9.0 kcal/g lipid,2.0 kcal/g carbohydrate (Wee and Shu.1989).

Table 3. Growth performance and food utilization efficiency of Nile tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*) fingerlings fed the experimental diets

Γ			<del></del>								
	Treatments										
			Chlore	lla spp		Scenedesmus spp					
Items	Control (0.0%)	10%	25%	50%	75%	10%	25%	50%	75%		
Initial weight	6.5 ±	6.63 ±	6.57 ±	6.62 ±	6.80 ±	6.61 ±	6.59 ±	6.58 ±	6.93 ±		
	0.05°	0.05°	0.06ª	0.07ª	0.05ª	0.08	0.07ª	0.06³	0.10ª		
Final body	26.46 ±	27.96±	28.1 ±	30.7 ±	22.42 ±	28.2 ±	28.56 ±	31.96 ±	23.4 ±		
weight	0.25 <sup>d</sup>	0.14 <sup>c</sup>	0.21 <sup>c</sup>	0.18 <sup>b</sup>	0.13 <sup>f</sup>	0.22 <sup>c</sup>	0.32°	0.26ª	0.22e		
PWG (g/fish)	19.94 ±	21.33 ±	21.53 ±	24.08 ±	15.62 ±	21.59 ±	21.97 ±	25.38 ±	16.47 ±		
	0.25 <sup>d</sup>	0.19 <sup>c</sup>	0.25°	0.23 <sup>b</sup>	0.09 <sup>f</sup>	0.28 <sup>c</sup>	0.33°	0.21ª	0.13 <sup>e</sup>		
DWG (g)	0.22 ±	0.24 ±	0.24 ±	0.27 ±	0.17 ±	0.24 ±	0.24 ±	0.28 ±	0.18 ±		
	0.003 <sup>d</sup>	0.002°	0.003°	0.003b	0.001 <sup>f</sup>	0.003°	0.004°	0.002ª	0.001 <sup>e</sup>		
RWG (%)	305.9 ±	321.8 ±	327.8 ±	363.9 ±	229.7 ±	326.8 ±	333.5 ±	385.7 ±	237.7 ±		
	4.68 <sup>d</sup>	5.12 <sup>c</sup>	6.21 <sup>c</sup>	6.66 <sup>b</sup>	1.64 <sup>e</sup>	7.78 <sup>c</sup>	6.47°	2.12ª	1.58e		
SGR	1.56 ±	1.60 ±	1.61 ±	1.70 ±	1.33 ±	1.61 ±	1.63 ±	1.76 ±	1.35 ±		
	0.01 <sup>d</sup>	0.01 <sup>c</sup>	0.02 <sup>c</sup>	0.02 <sup>b</sup>	0.01 <sup>e</sup>	0.02°	0.02°	0.01ª	0.01e		
Feed intake	53.47 ±	54.62±	55.14 ±	48.92 ±	50.62 ±	55.96 ±	56.47 ±	44.69	45.19 ±		
	1.77 <sup>ab</sup>	1.60 <sup>ab</sup>	1.52ª	2.28 <sup>bcd</sup>	1.29 <sup>abc</sup>	2.94ª	1.58ª	±1.61 <sup>d</sup>	0.81 <sup>cd</sup>		
FCR	2.68 ±	2.56 ±	2.56 ±	2.03 ±	3.24 ±	2.59 ±	2.57±	1.76 ±	2.74 ±		
	0.06⁵	0.05⁵	0.04 <sup>b</sup>	0.08°	0.06ª	0.11 <sup>b</sup>	0.05 <sup>b</sup>	0.05 <sup>d</sup>	0.02 <sup>b</sup>		
PER	1.17 ±	1.22 ±	1.22 ±	1.54 ±	0.97 ±	1.21 ±	1.22 ±	1.78 ±	1.14 ±		
	0.02°	0.03°	0.02°	0.06 <sup>b</sup>	0.02 <sup>d</sup>	0.05°	0.03°	0.05ª	0.01°		
PPV	16.29 ±	17.35 ±	17.60±	19.98 ±	13.26 ±	17.43 ±	17.89 ±	20.80 ±	14.18 ±		
	0.16 <sup>e</sup>	0.02⁴	0.17 <sup>cd</sup>	0.19 <sup>b</sup>	0.099	0.13 <sup>d</sup>	0.09°	0.19ª	0.12		

Data are represented as mean of three samples replicates ± standard error

Means in the same row with the same letter are not significant difference (P>0.05)

	Treatments									
		Chlorella spp				Scenedesmus spp				
Items	Control (0.0%)	10%	25%	50%	75%	10%	25%	50%	75%	
Dry matter	21.85 ± 0.05°	22.88 ± 0.05°	23.05 ± 0.12°	24.16 ± 0.18 <sup>b</sup>	22.21 ± 0.12 <sup>e</sup>	23.26 ± 0.09°	23.97 ± 0.09 <sup>b</sup>	25.11 ± 0.25 <sup>a</sup>	23.04 ± 0.14°	
Crude protein	62.24 ± 1.13°	62.51 ± 1.08°	63.33 ± 0.95 <sup>∞</sup>	65.52 ± 0.64ª	60.00 ± 0.82 <sup>e</sup>	62.70 ± 1.22 <sup>bc</sup>	63.70 ± 0.96 <sup>b</sup>	66.00 ± 1.32°	61.14 ± 2.12 <sup>d</sup>	
Crude Fat	15.92 ± 0.10°	15.69 ± 0.06°	15.92 ± 0.09°	13.83 ± 0.09 <sup>d</sup>	17.42 ± 0.08 <sup>a</sup>	15.63 ± 0.04°	15.77 ± 0.14 <sup>c</sup>	12.56 ± 0.16 <sup>e</sup>	16.80 ± 0.18 <sup>b</sup>	
Ash	18.50 ± 0.04e	18.79 ± 0.04 <sup>d</sup>	19.08 ± 0.10 <sup>c</sup>	18.11 ± 0.08 <sup>f</sup>	20.25 ± 0.12ª	19.01 ± 0.04°	18.66 ± 0.07 <sup>de</sup>	18.24 ± 0.06 <sup>f</sup>	19.50 ± 0.06 <sup>b</sup>	
Gross energy	515.0 ± 1.01 <sup>ab</sup>	513.0 ± 0.62abcd	514.3 ± 0.70abc	510.5 ± 1.05 <sup>6</sup>	512.4 ± 0.49 <sup>bcd</sup>	512.1 ± 0.59 <sup>cd</sup>	515.8 ± 0.15 <sup>a</sup>	504.0 ± 0.69°	513.9 ± 1.66 <sup>abc</sup>	

Table 4. Carcass composition (percentage of dry weight) of Nile tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*) fed the experimental diets after 90 days growth

Data are represented as mean of three samples replicates  $\pm$  standard error

Means in the same row with the same letter are not significant difference (P>0.05)

# REFERENCES

- 1- Abu-Zead, M. Y. 2001. Studies on some plants used for fish nutrition. Ph.D. Thesis. Faculty of Agriculture, AL-Azhar University, Egypt.
- 2- A.O.A.C. Association of Official Analytical Chemists. 1990. Official Methods of Analysis Association of Official Analytical Chemists. Edit., KHL rich. Arlington Virginia.
- 3- Appler, H. N and K. jauncey. 1983. The utilization of filamentous green algae (Caddophara Glomerata (1) kutzin) as a protein source in pelleted feeds for Saratherodon (Tilapia) niloticus Fingerlings. Aquaculture, 30: 21-30.
- 4- Broun, W. 1980. Note on the survival of algal resting cells during long-term maintenance in darkness and minimum lake bottom temperature. Comparison of Anabaena, (5): 677-680.
- 5- Dawah, M. A., A. N. Ibrahim and H. M. Eladel. 2002a. Amino acids content of Chlorella vulgaris and Scenedesmus bijuga raised on domestic sewage and agricultural drainage water. 1st Scientific Conference of the Egyptian Aquaculture Society. El-Arish, North Sinai, Egypt
- 6- Dawah, M. A., A. M. Khater, I. M. A. Shaker and N. A. Ibrahim. 2002b. Production of *Scenedesmus Bijuga* (Chlorophyceae) in large scale in outdoor tanks and its use in feeding monosex Nile tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*) fry. J. Egypt. Acad. Soc. Environ. Develop. (B. Aquaculture) 2 (1): 113-125.
- 7- El-Fouly, M. M., C. J. Soeder. F. H. Mohn and J. Greoneweg. 1998. Open door mass production, chemical composition and biological evaluation of different algal species. Bull, Egypt, (2): 149-165.
- 8- EL-Hadidiy, Z. A., M. A. Abd ALLah, A. Dawlat, A. Salama and Asmaa A. EL-Kerdawy. 1993. Effect of untraditional dietary protein sources on some chemical

- composition of Nile tilapia fish. Annals Agric. Sci., Ain Shams Univ. Cairo. Egypt, 38 (1): 51-59.
- 9- El-Hindawy, M. M., M. A. Abd-Razic, H. A. Gaber and M. M. Zenhom. 2006. Effect of various level of dietary algae Scenedesmus spp on physiological performance and digestibility of Nile tilapia fingerlings. 1st Scientific Conference of the Egyptian Aquaculture Society. Sharm El-Sheikh Sinai, Egypt, pp 137-149.
- 10- El-Sayed, A. F. M. 1994. Evaluation of soybean meal, Spirulina meal and chicken offal meal as protein sources for silver seabream (*Rjabdasarias sarba*) fingerlings. Aquaculture, 127:169-176.
- 11- Ibrahim, M. S., M. M. Zeinhom and R. A. Abou-Seif. 2007. Response of Nile tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*) fingerlings to diets containing azolla meal (dried pellet form). Arabian aquaculture society journal, 2 (1): 54-69.
- 12- Ibrahim, N. A. 2001. Effect of phytoplankton Chlorella vulgaris and Scenedesmus spp Inoculation on water quality for Tilapia culture by Urea and Superphosphate. Ph. D. thesis. Faculty of Agriculture, Cairo University. Egypt.
- 13- Jauncey, K and B. Ross. 1982. A guide to tilapia feed and feeding. Institute of Aquaculture. University of Sterling. Scotland.
- 14- Nandeesha, M. C., B. Gangadhar, T. J. Varghese and P. Keshavanath. 1998. Effect of feeding Spirulina platensis on the growth, proximate composition and organoleptic quality of common carp, *Cyprinus carpio* L. Aquaculture Research, 29: 305-312.
- 15- N. R. C. National Research Council. 1993. Nutrition requirements of fish. National Academy Press Washington DC., U.S.A.
- 16- Nuria, N. and S. S. Carmen. 1998. Use of freeze-dried microalgae for rearing gilthead Seabream, *Sparus aurata*, larvae 1. Growth, histology and water quality. Aquaculture, 167: 179-193.
- 17- Olvera-Novoa, M. A., L. J. Daminguez-Cen, Olivera-Castillo, A. Carlos and Martinez- palacios. 1998. Effect of the use of the micro algae spirulina maxima as fish meal replacement in diets for tilapia, *Oreachromis mossambicus* (peters) fry. Aquaculture Research, 29: 709-715.
- 18- S. A. S. Statistical Analysis Systems. 2000. SAS program Ver. 6. 12,SAS institute incorporation. Cary. NC 27513 USA.
- 19- Soeder, C. J. 1980. Chemical composition of Microalgae biomass as compared to some other types of single cell protein. Proceeding of the Second Egyption: 29-59.
- 20- Tartiel, M. Badawy 2005. Physiological studies on some green algae. Ph.D. thesis, Faculty of Agriculture, Cairo University. Egypt.
- 21- Wee, K. L and S. W. Shu. 1989. The nutritive value of bioled feel-Fat soybean in pelted feed for Nile tilapia. Aquaculture, 81: 303-314.
- 22- Zeinhom, M. M. 2004. Nutritional and physiological studies on fish. Ph. D. thesis. Faculty of Agriculture, Zagazig University. Egypt.

# الاستبدال الجزئى لمسحوق الأسماك بالطحالب الجافة في علائق البلطي النيلي

# ترتيل السيد محمد بدوى ، عصام محمد إبراهيم ، محمد محمد زينهم

المعمل المركزي لبحوث الأسماك با لعباسة - مركز البحوث الزراعية. مصر

أجريت هذه الدراسة بهدف استبدال جزء من مسحوق الأسماك بمستويات مختلفة من مسحوق الطحالب وتأثير ذلك على معدل النمو والكفاءة الغذائية وتركيب الجسم للبلطى النيلى فى المعمل الرطب فى جو الغرفة العادية تم استخدام P علائق تجريبية متساوية فى المحتوى البروتينى (P الرطب فى جو الغرفة العادية تم استخدام P علائق تجريبية متساوية فى المحتوى البروتينى (P المحتوى الطاقة (P العادية كيلو كالورى P العادي كيلو كالورى P العادي الكلوريلا P السيندزمس مسحوق الأسماك بمسحوق طحالب الليلى P الكلوريلا P المعدل P السيندزمس P المحتوى متوانيا على P حوض زجاجى سعة الواحد P لتر بمعدل P سمكة عشوائيا على P حوض زجاجى سعة الواحد P لتر بمعدل P سمكة لكل حوض فى ثلاثة مكررات لكل معاملة وذلك لمدة P يوما.

أظهرت النتائج أن كفاءة النمو والتحويل الغذائي وأيضا الاستفادة من البروتين كانت أفضل معنويا (P<0.05) في المعاملة التي تحتوى على ٥٠% من مسحوق الطحالب الجافة الكلوريللا أو السندزمس. بينما أظهرت المجموعة التي تم تغذيتها على العليقة المحتوية ٧٥ % مسحوق طحالب الكلوريللا أو السندزمس أدنى القيم معنويا (P<0.05). كما أظهرت أيضا نتائج تحليل جسم الأسماك إن المادة الجافة والبروتين قد ارتفع في جسم المجموعات التي تم تغذيتها على العليقة المحتوية ٥٠% طحالب الكلوريللا أو السندزمس وانخفضت نسبة الدهون في نفس المجموعات، وبالتالي يتضح من البحث أن إضافة مسحوق الطحالب الجافة إلى علائق الأسماك تؤدى إلى المحصول على أفضل أداء انتاجي للأسماك.