

USE EFFICIENCY OF MINERAL AND ORGANIC NITROGEN IN SIX MAIZE GENOTYPES

BY

Shafshak, S. E.; Hammam, G. Y.; Mehasen, S.A.S. and Aish, S.
 Agron. Dep. Fac. Agric., Moshtohor, Benha Univ.

ABSTRACT

Field experiments were carried out at the Agric. Res. and Experim. Cent., Fac. Agric., Moshtohor, Benha Univ. in 2005 and 2006 seasons to study the performance of 6 maize cultivars: S.C. 3084 (yellow kernels), S.C. 30K8, T.W.C. 323, D.C. Dahab (yellow kernels), Giza 2 (synthetic) and Nab El-Gamel (open pollinated) as local cv. in Moshtohor under 7 N rates, concerning their grain yield potentiality, N uptake, NUE and N recovery %. Comparison of mineral N versus mineral + Organic N was considered.

Fertilizer rates were: control, 60 kg N fed⁻¹, 90 kg N fed⁻¹, 120 kg N fed⁻¹, 40 kg N + 20 t FYM fed⁻¹, 60 kg N + 30 t FYM fed⁻¹ and 80 kg N + 40 t FYM fed⁻¹.

Results showed that the 2 single crosses were superior in grain yield followed by D.C. Dahab then T.W.C. 323 and the composite variety followed by Nab El-Gamel. Nab El-Gamel and D.C. Dahab contained greater N% in grain. The single crosses as well as D.C. Dahab recorded higher N uptake, NUE and NR % and Giza2 and Nab El-Gamel recorded the lowest values.

The increase in N rates significantly increased grain yield fed⁻¹, total N% in grain, NUE and N recovery %. The greatest values of these traits were produced from applying 80 kg N + 40 t FYM fed⁻¹.

The results showed that combining mineral and organic N should bring great advantages and will reduce soil and plant pollution. Also single maize crosses should cover maize area.

INTRODUCTION

Maize (*Zea mays L.*) is one of the most important cereal crops in Egypt. Maize grain is used for human consumption, animal and poultry feeding and industrial purposes.

Nitrogen fertilization is an important factor in maximizing maize yield. Increasing the cost of N fertilizer and concern over N pollution in the environment have increased the interest in improving plant efficiency in using N. The plant ability to absorb, partition and remobilize N affects efficiency of N fertilizer use.

Some investigators reported that efficiency of N use decreased as N rates were increased (Anderson *et al.*, 1984; Mahgoub, 1987; Sisson *et al.*, 1991 and Campbell *et al.*,

1993). On the other hand, some researchers reported that N recovery was negatively correlated with available N and there were differences between genotypes in this respect (Gasser and Kalembasa, 1976; Mohgoub, 1987 and Reeves *et al.*, 1993). Shafshak *et al.* (1994) found that N uptake in maize grain increased due to the increase in N level and S.C.10 was superior in N uptake than T.W.C. 310, D.C. 215 and Giza 2. They also found that NUE increased with increasing N level and the single cross 10 was superior compared with the other varieties, N recovery % increased with increasing N level. Fatma Nofal (1999) found that N uptake increased but NUE as well as N recovery % were slightly reduced with the increase in N level from zero to 35, 90 and 135 kg N/fed. El-Gezawy (2005)

reported that raising N level from zero to 150 kg/fed increased grain N uptake but reduced agronomic NE and apparent N recovery.

Several investigators reported the superiority of maize grain yield of hybrid maize varieties, particularly, single crosses over the open-pollinated varieties (Shafshak *et al.*, 1994; El-Hariri *et al.*, 1996; Abu-Grab *et al.*, 1997; El-Sheikh, 1999; Fatma Nofal, 1999; and El-Wakil, 2002).

The use of FYM for maize will certainly reduce a considerable part of mineral fertilizers which in turn will reduce the hazards of soil pollution from an intensive use of mineral fertilizers. Awad-Allah and Nabila Bassiony (1993) reported that, in general, FYM contains 8% organic matter, 0.3% N, 0.4% P₂O₅ and 1.2% K₂O. One cubic meter of FYM contains about 64, 2.4, 3.2 and 9.6 kg O.M., N, P₂O₅ and K₂O respectively. Ali (1995) stated that about 30% of the total NPK contents in FYM can be available from for the growing crops during the first year after application, and about 25% would be utilized in the second year and the rest (45%) is utilized in the third year. He added that the application of 20m³ of FYM/fed (16 tons) will supply the soil with 14.4, 19.2 and 57.6 kg N,

P₂O₅ and K₂O respectively during the first year after application. Fatma Nofal (1999) reported that one kg of mineral N was equal in its effect on grain of maize one ton of FYM. She found that NUE of mineral N was 20.7 kg grain as against 20.8 kg produced by one ton FYM on the average of 1995 and 1996 season.

Hamissa *et al.* (1979) stated that the highest yield of maize was obtained when treated with organic manure compared with inorganic N. The results reported by Sabry (1990), Mohamed and El-Aref (1999) and Fatma Nofal (1999) indicated that FYM application was greatly effective in increasing maize grain yield. Farghly *et al.* (2001), applying 120 kg N/fed; and Soliman *et al.* (2001), applying 150 kg N/fed, reported significant effect of grain yield increase in maize. Abd El-All (2002) found that the highest maize grain yield was produced by applying 30 kg organic N+90 kg mineral N per fed.

The present investigation was carried out to study the performance of 4 hybrids and 2 open-pollinated maize varieties under 7 rates of mineral and organic N and to find out their yield potentiality, N uptake, NUE and N recovery%.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Two field experiments were carried out at the Agriculture Research and Experimental Center of the Faculty of Agriculture, Moshtohor, Qalyoubia Governorate, Benha University, Egypt, in the two successive seasons 2005 and 2006 to study the performance of four hybrids and two open-pollinated varieties under seven nitrogen fertilizer rates applied as mineral or mineral mixed with farmyard manure (FYM).

Factors under study were as follows:

Six maize varieties, i.e.

- 1- Single Cross 3084 (S.C. 3084).
- 2- Single Cross 30K8 (S.C. 30K8).
- 3- Double Cross Dahab (D.C. Dahab).
- 4- Three way Cross 323 (T.W.C. 323).
- 5- Synthetic variety Giza 2 (Giza 2).
- 6- Open pollinated variety (Nab El-Gamal) as the local variety grown in Moshtohor village.

Nitrogen fertilizer rates, i.e.

Seven N fertilizer rates were used in this study.

- | | |
|---------------------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| 1- Control (Zero) | 2- 60 kg N fed ⁻¹ . |
| 3- 90 kg N fed ⁻¹ . | 4- 120 kg N fed ⁻¹ . |
| 5- 40 kg N + 20 ton FYM fed ⁻¹ . | |
| 6- 60 kg N + 30 ton FYM fed ⁻¹ . | |
| 7- 80 kg N + 40 ton FYM fed ⁻¹ . | |

FYM used in the present study was obtained from Research and Experimental Center, traditionally produced by the cattle. According to previous research work concerning the evaluation of FYM as a source of N for maize, it was found that one ton of Egyptian FYM is equivalent in its effect to one kg of mineral N (Fatma Nofal, 1999). This evaluation is supported by the conclusion of Awad-Allah and Nabila Bassiony, (1993) and Ali (1995).

Consequently, the rates of FYM applied in the treatments based on this estimation.

But it is worth mentioning here that FYM contains different nutrients in addition to N and improves soil physical and biological conditions and its beneficial effects will last for some years.

The manure was applied during soil preparation before sowing. While, N fertilizer rates in the form of urea (46% N) were added at two equal doses, the first dose after thinning and before the first irrigation and the second dose before the second irrigation in both seasons. The preceding winter crop was wheat.

Soil samples from the experimental site were collected from Zero to 30 cm depth

and composite samples were done. The soil samples were air dried, ground and sieved through a 2 mm mesh sieve then subjected to mechanical and chemical analyses whose results are presented in Table (1). Organic fertilizer was in the form of farm yard manure (FYM) whose chemical composition is presented in Table (2).

Maize genotypes namely T.W.C. 323 and Giza 2 were developed by Maize Research Section, Field Crops Research Institute, ARC, Giza, Egypt. Maize genotypes namely S.C.3084, S.C. 30K8 and D.C. Dahab were developed by Pioneer Company. Nab El-Gamal cultivar was produced by farmers in the region as the popular native open pollinated variety and usually termed as "Baladi".

Table (1): Mechanical and some chemical properties of the investigated soil sample

Soil characteristics	2005 season	2006 season
Mechanical analysis:		
Coarse sand %	5.10	5.21
Fine sand %	24.20	24.46
Silt %	20.01	20.12
Clay %	50.69	50.21
Textural class	Clay	Clay
Chemical analysis:		
pH (1: 2.5)	8.06	8.02
Organic matter %	1.91	1.98
EC (dSm ⁻¹)*	1.85	1.95
Total N%	0.14	0.12
Total P%	1.32	1.41
Total K%	0.51	0.49
Soluble cations and anions (m mol. l⁻¹)*		
Na ⁺	9.15	9.50
K ⁺	0.65	0.60
Ca ⁺²	5.20	5.35
Mg ⁺²	3.50	3.05
Cl ⁻	7.80	8.10
CO ₃ ⁻²	0.00	0.00
HCO ₃ ⁻	4.50	4.70
SO ₄ ⁻²	6.20	6.70

* Soil paste extract

Experimental design

A split plot design with four replications was used in each trial. The six maize genotypes were allocated in main plots and the

seven treatments of nitrogen fertilizer rates were randomly distributed in the sub plots. The area of sub-plot was 3.5m X 3m = 10.5 m² (1/400 fed).

Table (2): Some characteristics of the farm yard manure used in the study

Characteristics	2005 season	2006 season
Moisture %	34.50	35.40
Total C%	16.0	16.4
Total N%	0.98	0.94
Total P%	0.42	0.38
Total K%	0.92	0.96
C/N ratio	16: 1	17: 1
Organic matter %	27.60	27.28
pH (1: 5)	7.91	7.98
EC (1: 5) dSm ⁻¹	3.8	3.4

Cultural practices

Before sowing of maize hybrids soil samples were taken from plots for soil analysis. At planting, super phosphate (15.5%), at a rate of 30 kg P₂O₅/fed was applied. Maize grains were planted on 9th and 6th June in the first and second season, respectively. Maize genotypes were planted on ridges, 25 cm between hills and thinning was done after 21 days from planting to one plant per hill. The width of ridge 70 cm, the number of ridge was five, the length of ridge was 3m. Other agricultural practices were done as recommended in region.

Chemical analyses

1- Soil analyses.

- Particle size distribution was conducted according to the international pipette method described by Page *et al.* (1982).
- Soil pH and soil content of calcium carbonate, electrical conductivity, the total soluble ions and total N,P,K were determined using the standard methods outlined by Jackson (1973).
- Soil organic matter was determined according to Walkley and Black (1934).

2- Organic manure analyses.

- EC, pH and organic matter were determined using the standard methods as mentioned before. Total N, P and K were determined according to the methods described by Jackson (1973).

3- Grain analyses.

- Grain were oven dried at 60 – 70°C for 48 hours ground to pass through 0.5 mm sieve and sub samples of 0.2g portions were wet digested using a mixture of

sulphuric (H₂SO₄) and perchloric (HClO₄) acids according to Jackson (1973). The digested solution was analyzed for total nitrogen percentage in grains according to the modified micro Kjeldahl method (A. O. A. C., 1990).

Data Recorded:

- 1- Grain yield (kg fed⁻¹) was recorded from whole plot in each treatment from four replications and adjusted to 15.5% moisture content.

Grain yield = Ears yield in kg fed⁻¹ X shelling percentage.

- 2- Total N% in grain.
- 3- Nitrogen uptake (NUP) in grains kg fed⁻¹ = Grain yield kg fed⁻¹ X total N% in grain.
- 4- Nitrogen use efficiency (NUE) (kg grain kg⁻¹ N applied) was calculated according to Craswell and Godwin (1984) as follows:

$$\text{NUE} = \frac{\text{Grains yield/fed fertilized plot} - \text{grains yield/fed control}}{\text{nitrogen applied}}$$

- 5- Apparent nitrogen recovery (NRC) (g N in grain kg⁻¹ N applied) was calculated according to Craswell and Godwin (1984) as follows:

$$\text{NRC} = \frac{\text{NUP in grain fertilized plot} - \text{NUP in grain control}}{\text{nitrogen applied}}$$

Statistical analysis

The collected data were statistically analyzed according to the method described by Gomez and Gomez (1984), the means and interaction compared according to the least significant difference (L.S.D.) at 5%.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

1- Grain Yield in kg feddan⁻¹:

Results for the effect of maize genotypes, N fertilizer rates (mineral & organic) and their interactions in 2005 and 2006 seasons on grain yield feddan⁻¹ of maize are presented in Table (3).

1.1. Effect of genotypes.

The results in Table (3) showed that the 6 evaluated genotypes significantly varied in their yield performance in both seasons. It was evident that the general trend of the results indicated that the 2 single crosses were superior in grain yield and ranked the first and second positions without significant difference between them in both seasons. D.C. Dahab ranked the third position and was significantly superior compared with T.W.C. 232 in both seasons. The composite variety Giza 2 followed the 4 hybrids ranking the fifth position in both seasons and outyielded significantly the open – pollinated (local) variety Nab El-Gamal which was the last variety and significantly inferior compared with the 5 other genotypes.

It could be concluded that single crosses were superior in grain productivity, followed by double and three way crosses, then the composite variety Giza 2, and then Nab El-Gamal. The results were nearly identical in both seasons, and S.C. 3084 out yielded S.C. 30k8, D.C. Dahab, T.W.C.323, Giza 2 and Nab El-Gamal by 0.32, 4.03, 21.16, 47.11 and 80.74% respectively, in the first season.

In the second season, S.C. 30k8 outyielded S.C. 3084, D.C. Dahab, T.W.C. 323, Giza 2 and Nab El-Gamal by 1.61, 3.86, 15.96, 39.92 and 64.59%, respectively.

The present results are a good and clear evidence for the superiority of single crosses and indicate the role of selecting the best maize varieties in increasing grain production of maize.

The superiority of growing hybrid maize varieties was also indicated by several investigators (Shafshak; *et al.*, 1994; Mabrouk and Aly, 1998; El-Bana, 2001; Oraby *et al.*, 2003; Ahmed and Mekki, 2005; Atta, 2007;

Abd El-Maksoud and Sarhan, 2008 and Hassan *et al.*, 2008).

1.2. Effect of N rates.

Grain yield feddan⁻¹ of maize as affected by N rates is presented in Table (3). Grain yield was significantly increased by increasing N rates up to 80 kg N+40 (t/FYM) fed⁻¹ in 2005 and 2006 seasons. In the first season, the grain yield increased by 70.66, 138.99, 191.14, 73.25, 151.62 and 206.20% when maize plants received 60 kg N, 90 kg N, 120 kg N, 40 kg N+20 (t/FYM), 60 kg N+30 (t/FYM) and 80 kg N+40 (t/FYM) fed⁻¹, respectively over the control treatment. Similar results were noticed in the second season, and the application of the same N rate significantly increased grain yield by 61.27, 118.26, 169.31, 65.88, 130.16 and 177.56%, respectively. It is clear from Table (3) that the effect of N on grain yield feddan⁻¹ was evident in both seasons. In the first and second seasons, all differences in grain yield among N levels were significant.

It could be concluded that N is an essential element needed for producing higher grain yield. N rates of 80 kg N+40 (t/FYM) fed⁻¹ or 120 kg N/fed could be recommended for producing the best grain yield.

It is worthy to note that combining mineral and organic nitrogen by means of applying mineral fertilizer and FYM was more effective in increasing grain yield than single application of mineral fertilizer. The effect of FYM as a soil amendment as well as the inclusion of different macro and micro-elements in the manure contributed for the good performance of the manure.

Similar results were also obtained by Mohamed and Al-Aref (1999); Allam *et al.* (2001); Suleiman (2004); Derby *et al.* (2005); Bahr *et al.*, (2006); Ibrahim and Kandil (2007) and El-Mekser and Seiam (2008).

1.3. Interaction effect.

Grain yield feddan⁻¹ of maize was significantly affected by the interaction between genotypes and N rates (mineral & organic) in 2005 and 2006 seasons as shown

in Table (3). The heaviest grain yield feddan⁻¹ in the two seasons (4466.7 and 4191.5 kg) was obtained by S.C.30k8 when received 80 kg N+40 (t/FYM) fedd⁻¹. Whereas, the lowest values of grain yield feddan⁻¹ (702.3 and 854.5 kg) were obtained by Nab El-Gamal cultivar

under zero N in the two seasons. These results agree with those obtained by Abu-Grab *et al.* (1997); El-Sheikh (1998); El-Bana (2001); Oraby *et al.* (2003); El-Aref *et al.* (2004) and El-Mekser and Seiam (2008).

Table (3): Grain yield (kg fed₁) of maize as affected by genotypes, N fertilizer rates and their interactions in 2005 and 2006 seasons.

N Fertilizer rates	2005 season						
	Genotypes						
	S.C. 3084	S.C. 30K8	D.C. Dahab	T.W.C 323	Giza 2	Nab El-Gamal	Means
Zero	1402.6	1268.1	1286.4	1240.6	1136.9	702.3	1172.8
60 kg N/fed	2289.7	2322.8	2208.6	2070.7	1756.3	1361.8	2001.6
90 kg N/fed	3679.4	3334.9	340.2	2545.6	1999.4	1857.9	2802.9
120 kg N/fed	4005.1	4224.2	3547.7	3484.8	2837.2	2388.3	3414.5
40 kg N/fed+20(t/FYM)	2227.9	2603.0	2222.4	2101.1	1787.9	1249.3	2031.9
60 kg N/fed+30(t/FYM)	3848.0	3389.4	3480.3	2837.9	2187.0	1963.9	2951.1
80 kg N/fed+40(t/FYM)	4226.0	4466.7	3738.7	3612.7	3031.7	2471.0	3591.2
Means	3097.0	3087.0	2840.6	2556.2	2105.2	1713.5	2566.6
	2006 season						
Zero	1559.2	1196.0	1554.8	1384.6	1073.1	854.5	1270.4
60 kg N/fed	2332.2	2399.5	2154.5	2153.6	1872.7	1380.6	2048.9
90 kg N/fed	3224.9	3243.0	3475.4	2655.4	2111.2	1927.4	2772.9
120 kg N/fed	3806.5	4159.9	3681.7	3448.5	2970.1	2462.1	3421.4
40 kg N/fed+20(t/FYM)	2439.5	2445.9	2194.3	2168.5	1913.2	1783.3	2107.4
60 kg N/fed+30(t/FYM)	3257.9	3478.7	3508.1	2833.6	2204.1	2261.9	2924.0
80 kg N/fed+40(t/FYM)	4159.9	4191.5	3761.1	3563.8	3022.6	2458.2	3526.2
Means	2968.6	3016.3	2904.3	2601.1	2166.7	1832.6	2581.6

First season (2005)

L.S.D. at 5% Genotypes = 73.2

L.S.D. at 5% Fertilizer = 60.9

L.S.D. at 5% G.XF. = 149.1

Second season (2006)

L.S.D. at 5% Genotypes = 63.9

L.S.D. at 5% Fertilizer = 50.1

L.S.D. at 5% G.XF. = 122.8

2- Nitrogen content in grain:

Results for the effect of maize genotypes, N fertilizer rates (mineral & organic) and their interactions in 2005 and 2006 seasons on N content in grain are presented in Table (4).

2.1. Effect of genotypes.

Results in Table (4) showed significant differences in total N% in grain among the 6 genotypes in both seasons.

In 2005 season, the local open-pollinated variety Nab El-Gamal contained significantly greater N% in grain than the other 5 genotypes, then 4 genotypes namely, Giza 2, D.C. Dahab, S.C. 3084 and T.W.C. 323 follo-

wed as one group and the lowest genotypes was S.C 30k8 with 1.48% N in grain.

In 2006 season, the highest N% in grain was 1.77% which was recorded by D.C. Dahab, and the lowest content was 1.63% recorded by the two single crosses and the rest 3 genotypes, namely, Giza 2, (1.73%), Nab El-Gamal (1.71%) and T.W.C. 323 (1.69%) were in - between as one group. It could be concluded that the 2 open-pollinated varieties as well as the yellow maize D.C. Dahab were superior in N% in grain. The differences in this trait are mainly due to the genetically differences among the genotypes. Similar results were obtained by Shafshak *et al.* (1994); Abu-Grab *et al.* (1997) and Khalil (2001).

Table (4): Total nitrogen % in maize grain as affected by genotypes, N fertilizer rates and their interactions in 2005 and 2006 seasons.

N Fertilizer rates	2005 season						
	Genotypes						
	S.C. 3084	S.C. 30K8	D.C. Dahab	T.W.C .323	Giza 2	Nab El- Gamal	Means
Zero	1.37	1.40	1.50	1.43	1.50	1.60	1.46
60 kg N/fed	1.46	1.44	1.60	1.50	1.57	1.71	1.54
90 kg N/fed	1.49	1.45	1.65	1.55	1.62	1.79	1.59
120 kg N/fed	1.81	1.50	1.82	1.65	1.71	1.91	1.73
40 kg N/fed+20(t/FYM)	1.73	1.46	1.61	1.55	1.63	1.63	1.60
60 kg N/fed+30(t/FYM)	1.77	1.50	1.60	1.60	1.65	1.65	1.63
80 kg N/fed+40(t/FYM)	1.82	1.64	1.74	1.64	1.78	1.90	1.75
Means	1.63	1.48	1.64	1.56	1.64	1.74	1.62
	2006 season						
Zero	1.52	1.53	1.67	1.62	1.62	1.55	1.58
60 kg N/fed	1.55	1.59	1.70	1.65	1.65	1.70	1.64
90 kg N/fed	1.58	1.61	1.72	1.68	1.71	1.72	1.67
120 kg N/fed	1.73	1.68	1.99	1.74	1.90	1.77	1.80
40 kg N/fed+20(t/FYM)	1.63	1.65	1.69	1.68	1.71	1.73	1.68
60 kg N/fed+30(t/FYM)	1.68	1.68	1.77	1.70	1.74	1.76	1.72
80 kg N/fed+40(t/FYM)	1.72	1.72	1.83	1.78	1.80	1.78	1.77
Means	1.63	1.63	1.77	1.69	1.73	1.71	1.69

First season (2005)

L.S.D. at 5% Genotypes = 0.10

L.S.D. at 5% Fertilizer = 0.04

L.S.D. at 5% G.XF. = 0.10

Second season (2006)

L.S.D. at 5% Genotypes = 0.07

L.S.D. at 5% Fertilizer = 0.03

L.S.D. at 5% G.XF. = 0.06

2.2. Effect of N rates.

Results presented in Table (4) clearly show that the increase in N rates caused significant increase in N content in maize grains during 2005 and 2006 seasons. In the first season, applying N at 60 kg N, 90 kg N, 120 kg N, 40 kg N+20 (t/FYM), 60 kg N+40 (t/FYM), 80 kg N+40 (t/FYM) fed⁻¹ caused significant increase in nitrogen content in maize grains over the control treatment by 0.08, 0.13, 0.27, 0.14, 0.17 and 0.29% respectively when compared with the control treatment. In the second season, the respective increases were 0.06, 0.09, 0.22, 0.10, 0.14 and 0.19%. The differences in the N content in maize grain due to applying 120 kg N fed⁻¹ and 80 kg N+40 (t/FYM) fed⁻¹ as well as 90 kg N, 40 kg N+20 (t/FYM) and 60 kg N+30 (t/FYM) fed⁻¹ were not significant in both seasons.

It could be concluded that the increase N supply improved the grain quality of the end product expressed as nitrogen content in maize grains. These results are in agreement

with that obtained by Shafshak *et al.* (1994); Abu-Grab *et al.* (1997); Mohamed and El-Aref (1999) and Suleiman (2004).

2.3. Interaction effect.

N content in maize grains was significantly affected by the interaction between genotypes and N rates (mineral & organic) in both seasons Table (4).

The highest N content (1.91 and 1.99%) was obtained by Nab El-Gamal and D.C. Dahab when received 120 kg N fed⁻¹ in the first and second seasons, respectively, whereas, the lowest values of N content (1.37 and 1.52%) were obtained from S.C.3084 under zero N in both seasons.

3- Nitrogen uptake (kg fed⁻¹) in maize grain:

Results for the effect of maize genotypes, N fertilizer rates (mineral & organic) and their interactions in 2005 and 2006 seasons on N uptake fed⁻¹ in maize grain are presented in Table (5).

3.1. Effect of genotypes.

The results presented in Table (5) showed that the 6 genotypes were significantly different in N uptake in maize grain in both seasons.

In 2005 season, the genotypes could be arranged in regard to N uptake in grain in a descending order as follows: S.C.3084, D.C. Dahab, S.C.30k8, T.W.C.323, Giza 2 and Nab El-Gamal, all differences among these 6 genotypes were significant except that between S.C.30k8 and D.C. Dahab.

In 2006, slight differences were observed but the 2 single crosses as well as D.C. Dahab were superior than T.W.C.323 and the 2 open pollinated varieties. The arrangement of the 6 genotypes in the second season could be made as follows: D.C. Dahab, S.C.30k8, S.C.3084, T.W.C.323, Giza 2 and Nab El-Gamal, all differences in N uptake in grain were significant except that between D.C. Dahab and S.C.30k8 as shown in the first season also. It could be concluded that 3 genotypes (the 2 single crosses as well as D.C. Dahab) were superior in N uptake in grain in both seasons, one genotype (T.W.C.323) was in-between, and the 2 open-pollinated varieties were inferior in this trait.

The superiority of the single crosses as well as the D.C. Dahab is mainly due to higher response to N. The results here indicate a clear argument for the advantages of hybrid maize compared with the open pollinated variation. Similar results were obtained by Abu-Grab *et al.* (1997).

3.2. Effect of N rates.

N uptake in maize grain as affected by N fertilizer rates is presented in Table (5). N uptake significantly increased by increasing N fertilizer rates up to 80 kg N+40 (t/FYM) fed^{-1} during 2005 and 2006 seasons. In the first season, the N uptake increased by 80.07, 158.67, 244.09, 90.12, 182.24 and 267.07% when maize plants received 60 kg N, 90 kg N, 120 kg N, 40 kg N+20 (t/FYM), 60 kg N+30 (t/FYM) and 80 kg N+40 (t/FYM) fed^{-1} respectively over the control. Similar results were noticed in the second season, and N uptake

increased by 65.93, 128.74, 204.76, 75.17, 149.20 and 208.63% respectively.

It could be concluded that the nitrogen uptake of maize significantly increased with the increase in nitrogen level up to 80 kg N+ 40 (t/FYM) fed^{-1} . The increase in N uptake due to increasing N fertilizer rates is attributed to the increase in grain yield and N content in maize grain.

It is worthy to note that the application of mineral N in combination with organic N by means of FYM was more effective an increased N uptake considerably. For example applying 60 kg N/fed as mineral N increased N uptake by 30.82% in the first season and 33.60% over the control in the second one. When this N rate was applied as 40 kg N/fed as mineral 20 kg N as (FYM) the increase in grain yield were 32.51 and 35.40% in the first and second seasons, respectively. The increase in grain yield may be due to the inclusion of many macro and microelements in the manure.

Theses results are in agreement with those obtained by Abdullah (1995); Abu-Grab *et al.* (1997); El-Sheikh (1999); Fatma Nofal (1999); Al-Kaisi and Yin (2003); Suleiman (2004); El-Gezawy (2005) and Bahr *et al.* (2006).

3.3. Interaction effect.

Data for N uptake in maize grain in kg feddan⁻¹ of maize in Table (5) indicate that the interaction between maize genotypes and N fertilizer rates was significant in the first and second seasons. The highest value of N uptake (76.82 and 73.06 kg) was produced from S.C. 3084 and D.C. Dahab under 80 kg N+40 (t/FYM) fed^{-1} and 120 kg N fed^{-1} in the first and second seasons, respectively, whereas, Nab El-Gamal cultivar under zero N fed^{-1} gave the lowest N uptake (11.20 and 13.17 kg) in the first and second seasons, respectively.

4- Nitrogen use efficiency (NUE):

Results in Table (6) present nitrogen use efficiency (NUE) as affected by maize genotypes, N fertilizer rates (mineral & organic) and their interactions in 2005 and 2006 seasons.

Table (5): Nitrogen uptake (kg fed⁻¹) in maize grain as affected by genotypes, N fertilizer rates and their interactions in 2005 and 2006 seasons.

N Fertilizer rates	2005 season						
	Genotypes						
	S.C. 3084	S.C. 30K8	D.C. Dahab	T.W.C. 323	Giza 2	Nab El-Gamal	Means
Zero	19.22	17.07	19.19	17.73	17.00	11.20	17.01
60 kg N/fed	33.41	33.33	35.36	31.06	27.49	23.15	30.63
90 kg N/fed	54.83	48.21	56.11	39.32	32.40	33.12	44.00
120 kg N/fed	72.21	63.13	64.52	57.31	48.52	45.50	58.53
40 kg N/fed+20(t/FYM)	38.40	37.88	35.79	32.61	29.08	20.30	32.43
60 kg N/fed+30(t/FYM)	67.82	50.71	55.68	45.32	36.09	32.42	48.01
80 kg N/fed+40(t/FYM)	76.82	73.03	64.83	59.04	53.98	46.93	62.44
Means	51.82	46.28	47.35	40.34	34.93	30.38	41.85
	2006 season						
Zero	23.75	18.30	25.94	22.36	17.33	13.17	20.14
60 kg N/fed	35.98	38.18	36.61	35.53	30.80	23.40	33.42
90 kg N/fed	50.74	52.20	59.77	44.61	35.97	33.15	46.07
120 kg N/fed	65.84	69.69	73.06	60.00	56.35	43.46	61.38
40 kg N/fed+20(t/FYM)	39.66	40.24	37.07	36.32	32.71	25.66	35.28
60 kg N/fed+30(t/FYM)	54.74	58.23	62.07	48.16	38.22	39.71	50.19
80 kg N/fed+40(t/FYM)	71.32	71.90	68.62	63.24	54.27	43.63	62.16
Means	48.86	49.82	51.88	44.32	37.94	31.74	44.09

First season (2005)

L.S.D. at 5% Genotypes = 3.36

L.S.D. at 5% Fertilizer = 1.72

L.S.D. at 5% G.XF. = 4.21

Second season (2006)

L.S.D. at 5% Genotypes = 1.90

L.S.D. at 5% Fertilizer = 1.02

L.S.D. at 5% G.XF. = 2.49

4.1. Effects of genotypes.

The six evaluated genotypes showed marked differences in their performance concerning NUE in both seasons as indicated in Table (6). The efficiency of the genotypes showed similar trend in both seasons. The 6 genotypes could be arranged in a descending order in regard to their NUE in the first season as follows: S.C.30k8 (22.49), S.C.3084 (21.04), D.C. Dahab (19.83 kg), T.W.C.323 (16.48 kg), Nab El-Gamal (12.63 kg) and Giza 2 (12.06 kg). All differences among the 6 genotypes were significant except that between the two open-pollinated varieties.

In the second season, about the same arrangement was observed, and the 6 genotypes could be arranged in a descending order as follows: S.C.30k8 (23.11 kg) S.C.3084 (17.56), D.C. Dahab (16.64 kg), T.W.C.323 (15.25 kg) Giza 2 (13.92 kg) and Nab El-Gamal (12.26 kg). All differences were significant in NUE except those between

S.C.3084 and D.C. Dahab, D.C. Dahab and T.W.C.323, T.W.C.323 and Giza 2, as well as between Giza 2 and Nab El-Gamal. It could be concluded that the 2 single crosses were superior varieties in NUE, followed by D.C. Dahab, T.W.C.323 and the 2 open-pollinated varieties were inferior in this character.

It is clear that S.C.30k8 as the leading variety surpassed the local open-pollinated variety by 81.63 and 88.50% in the first and second season, respectively. These marked increases in NUE could be an argument for the advantages of growing single as well as three way and double crosses of maize.

Similar results were also reported by Shafshak *et al.* (1994).

4.2. Effect of N rates.

Results in Table (6) showed that NUE was significantly affected by N application rate. In both seasons, the application of

mineral + organic N recorded higher values of NUE compared with single application of mineral N. It was also observed that the increase in N level from 60 to 90 and 120 kg N/fed increased NUE but without significant differences between 90 and 120 kg N/fed levels.

It is worth mentioning here the use of FYM as a source of organic N significantly raised NUE in both season. For example the application of 80 kg mineral N+40 kg organic N/fed significantly increased NUE by 8.62 and 5.58% in the first and second seasons, respectively, compared with single application of 120 kg/fed mineral N. Also, applying 60kg mineral N+30 kg organic N/fed caused an increase NUE of 8.61 and 10.07% in the first and second seasons, respectively, compared with the single application of 90 kg mineral N/fed.

It could be concluded, that FYM as a source of organic N can play a good role in raising NUE. The manure contains many macro and micro elements in addition to organic matter, and improve physical and biological characters of the soil. Therefore, the increase in NUE of organic N is quite expected.

The present results recommend the use of the highest level of N in combination of 80kg mineral N and 40t FYM, according to the current prices for N and grain maize. One kg of grain maize = one E.L. and one kg of mineral N = 3.43 E.L. (according to the prices of the Agric. Credit and Dev. Bank)¹

The FYM is commonly available in small as well as large size farms in Egypt and is usually used from several field crops, particularly maize. It is a common practice to use FYM of the farm and the costs are mainly those of transportation from the staple to the field. Also the residual effect of FYM will extend for at least 2 years.

According to the Annual Agriculture Statistical Year Book, Vol. 2, "Summer and Nile Crops 2005, one fed of summer maize

needs on the average 100 E.L. for the costs FYM. Taking this value in consideration (one kg of organic N costs 2.5 E.L.) consequently, it is self-explanatory that the highest level of N (as 80 kg mineral N + 40 kg organic N) is the optimum N level under the conditions of the experiment. Similar results were obtained by Shafshak *et al.* (1994), who reported that NUE markedly increased due the increase in N level.

The results reported by Fatma Nofal (1999) showed that NUE decreased with increasing N level from 45.90 and 135 kg N/fed.

4.3. Interaction effect.

NUE was significantly affected by the interaction between maize genotypes and N fertilizer rates in both seasons, as shown in Table (6). The highest values of NUE were 27.17 and 25.36 which were obtained by S.C. 3084 and S.C.30k8 under 60 kg N+30 (t/FYM) fed⁻¹ in the first and second seasons, respectively. Whereas, Nab El-Garnal under zero N fed⁻¹ gave the lowest value of NUE being 9.12 and 8.77 in the first and second seasons, respectively. Similar results were obtained by El-Sheikh (1998) and El-Mekser and Seiam (2008).

5- Apparent nitrogen recovery%:

Results in Table (6) present means of apparent means of apparent nitrogen recovery % as affected by maize genotypes, N fertilizer rates (mineral & organic) and their interaction in 2005 and 2006 seasons.

5.1. Effects of genotypes.

The results in Table (7) showed great differences in N recovery % among the 6 maize genotypes.

In 2005 seasons, S.C.3084 significantly surpassed the other 5 genotypes with a N recovery % of 40.23%. This leading S.C. was followed by S.C.30k8 (35.71%) and D.C. Dahal (35.33%), then T.W.C.323 was followed ranking the fourth position with N recovery % of 28.17%, the 2 open-pollinated varieties Nab El-Gamal (23.56%) and Giza 2 (22.17%) recorded the lowest values.

(1) (Personal Communication).

Table (6): Nitrogen use efficiency (kg grain kg⁻¹ N) of maize as affected by genotypes, N fertilizer rates and their interactions in 2005 and 2006 seasons.

N Fertilizer rates	2005 season						
	Genotypes						
	S.C. 3084	S.C. 30K8	D.C. Dahab	T.W.C. 323	Giza 2	Nab El-Gamal	Means
Zero	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
60 kg N/fed	14.78	17.58	15.37	13.84	10.32	10.99	13.81
90 kg N/fed	25.30	22.96	23.49	14.50	9.58	12.84	18.11
120 kg N/fed	21.69	24.63	18.84	18.70	14.17	14.05	18.68
40 kg N/fed+20(t/FYM)	13.75	22.25	15.60	14.34	10.85	9.12	14.32
60 kg N/fed+30(t/FYM)	27.17	23.57	24.38	17.75	11.67	14.02	19.76
80 kg N/fed+40(t/FYM)	23.53	26.65	21.27	19.77	15.79	14.74	20.29
Means	21.04	22.94	19.83	16.48	12.06	12.63	17.50
	2006 season						
Zero	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
60 kg N/fed	12.88	20.06	10.00	12.82	13.33	8.77	12.98
90 kg N/fed	18.51	22.74	21.34	14.12	11.53	11.92	16.69
120 kg N/fed	18.73	24.70	17.72	17.20	15.81	13.40	17.93
40 kg N/fed+20(t/FYM)	14.67	20.83	10.66	13.07	14.00	10.48	13.95
60 kg N/fed+30(t/FYM)	18.87	25.36	21.70	16.10	12.57	15.64	18.37
80 kg N/fed+40(t/FYM)	21.67	24.96	18.39	18.16	16.25	13.36	18.80
Means	17.56	23.11	16.64	15.25	13.92	12.26	16.46

First season (2005)

L.S.D. at 5% Genotypes = 1.25

L.S.D. at 5% Fertilizer = 0.79

L.S.D. at 5% G.XF. = 1.94

Second season (2006)

L.S.D. at 5% Genotypes = 1.89

L.S.D. at 5% Fertilizer = 0.66

L.S.D. at 5% G.XF. = 1.61

In 2006 season, some differences were observed in the performance of the genotypes, where S.C.30k8 recorded the highest N recovery % being 39.88% and significantly surpassed the other 5 genotypes, then D.C. Dahab (31.48%) and S.C.3084 (31.01%) were followed and were significantly superior to T.W.C.323 (27.34%) Giza 2 (25.87%) and Nab El-Gamal (23.36%).

The results showed clearly the superiority of the single crosses as well as the D.C. Dahab in N recovery % indicating greater response and better use of the applied N. Consequently, higher yield potentiality should be obtained by growing hybrid maize. Similar results were also reported by Shafshak *et al.* (1994) and Fatma Nofal (1999).

5.2. Effect of rates.

Results in Table (7) show that N recovery % was markedly affected by N rates in the first and second seasons. The highest value of N recovery % was recorded with 80 kg

N+40 (t/FYM) fed⁻¹ being 37.86 and 35.02% in the first and second seasons, respectively, whereas, the lowest value of N recovery % was recorded from application 60 kg mineral N fed⁻¹ being 22.71 and 22.13% in the first and second seasons, respectively. The differences between the 60 kg N/fed+30 (t/FYM) and 120 kg N fed⁻¹ was not significant in the first season, also, the differences between the 120 kg N and 80 kg N+40 (t/FYM) fed⁻¹ was not significant in the second season.

The results indicated clearly that combining mineral N with organic N raised significantly N recovery % in both seasons.

For example, applying 40 kg mineral N+20 kg organic N/fed, significantly increased N recovery % by 2.84 and 3.1% in the first and second seasons, respectively compared with the application of 60 kg mineral N/fed.

Table (7): Apparent nitrogen recovery % of maize as affected by genotypes, N fertilizer rates and their interactions in 2005 and 2006 seasons.

N Fertilizer rates	2005 season						
	Genotypes						
	S.C. 3084	S.C. 30K8	D.C. Dahab	T.W.C. 323	Giza 2	Nab El-Gamal	Means
Zero	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
60 kg N/fed	23.65	26.05	26.94	22.22	17.49	19.92	22.71
90 kg N/fed	39.57	33.90	41.02	23.99	17.11	24.35	29.99
120 kg N/fed	44.16	37.86	37.78	32.97	26.27	28.58	34.60
40 kg N/fed+20(t/FYM)	31.98	33.63	27.66	24.79	20.10	15.17	25.55
60 kg N/fed+30(t/FYM)	54.00	36.68	40.54	30.65	21.21	23.58	34.44
80 kg N/fed+40(t/FYM)	48.00	46.1	38.03	34.43	30.82	29.77	37.86
Means	40.23	35.71	35.33	28.17	22.17	23.56	30.86
N Fertilizer rates	2006 season						
	Genotypes						
	S.C. 3084	S.C. 30K8	D.C. Dahab	T.W.C. 323	Giza 2	Nab El-Gamal	Means
Zero	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
60 kg N/fed	20.40	33.14	17.79	21.95	22.45	17.05	22.13
90 kg N/fed	29.99	37.66	37.58	24.72	20.71	22.20	28.81
120 kg N/fed	35.08	42.83	39.27	31.37	32.43	25.24	34.37
40 kg N/fed+20(t/FYM)	26.25	36.58	18.55	23.27	25.64	20.81	25.23
60 kg N/fed+30(t/FYM)	34.43	44.41	40.14	28.66	23.21	29.49	33.39
80 kg N/fed+40(t/FYM)	39.65	44.67	35.57	34.07	30.78	25.39	35.02
Means	31.01	39.88	31.48	27.34	25.87	23.36	29.82

First season (2005)

L.S.D. at 5% Genotypes = 4.65

L.S.D. at 5% Fertilizer = 1.80

L.S.D. at 5% G.XF. = 4.41

Second season (2006)

L.S.D. at 5% Genotypes = 2.70

L.S.D. at 5% Fertilizer = 1.92

L.S.D. at 5% G.XF. = 3.17

Also applying 60 kg mineral N+30 kg organic N/fed, resulted in an increase in N recovery % of 4.45 and 4.58% in the first and second seasons, respectively compared with the application of 90 kg mineral N/fed.

The increase of applying 80 kg mineral N+40 kg organic N/fed versus 120 kg mineral N/fed reached 3.26 and 0.65%, in the two successive seasons. It could be concluded that the application of a mixture of both mineral and organic N resulted in an increase in N recovery %. The role of FYM as a soil amendment is clearly demonstrated. The results reported by Shafshak *et al.* (1994) showed that N recovery % increased by increasing N level up to 130 kg N/fed. On the other hand, Fatma Nofal (1999) reported that

raising N level from 45 to 135 kg N/fed reduced N recovery %.

5.3. Interaction effect.

N recovery % was significantly affected by the interaction between maize genotypes and N fertilizer rates in both seasons, as shown in Table (7). The highest value of N recovery % was 54.00% and 44.67% which was obtained by S.C.3084 and S.C.30k8 under 60 kg N+ 30 (t/FYM) fed⁻¹ and 80 kg N+40 (t/FYM) fed⁻¹ in the first and second seasons, respectively. Whereas, Nab El-Gamal under 40 kg N+20 (t/FYM) and 60 kg N fed⁻¹ gave the lowest value of N recovery, being 15.17% and 17.05% in the first and second seasons, respectively.

REFERENCES

A.O.A.C. (1990): Official Methods of Analysis Association of Official Analysis

Chemists, 13th Ed., Washington, D.C., U.S.A.

- Abd El-Ail, A.M. (2002): Effect of preceding crops, organic and mineral nitrogen and plant density on productivity of maize plant. *J. Agric. Sci., Mansoura Univ.*, 27 (12): 8093 – 8105.
- Abd El-Maksoud, M.F. and Sarhan, A.A. (2008): Response of maize hybrids to bio and chemical nitrogen fertilization. *Zagazig J. Agric. Sci.* 35 (3): 497 – 515.
- Abdullah, S.Sh. (1995): Effect of some growth regulators and fertilization on growth and yield of maize (*Zea mays, L.*). Ph. D. Thesis Fac. Agric. Moshtohor, Zagazig Univ., Egypt.
- Abu-Grab, O.S.; El-Kady, F.A. and Darwich, A.A. (1997): Response of some maize cultivars to nitrogen fertilization under North and Middle Delta conditions. *Ann. of Agric. Sc., Moshtohor, Egypt*, 35 (1): 1 – 14.
- Ahmed, A.G. and Mekki, B.B. (2005): Yield and yield components of two maize hybrids as influenced by water during different growth stages. *Egypt J. Appl., Sci.*, 20 (2): 64 – 79.
- Ali, B.E. (1995): Organic fertilizers *Tec. Bull. No. 4/ 1995/ Agric. Educ. Service, Min. Agric., Cairo* (in Arabic).
- Al-Kaisi, M.M. and Yin, X. (2003): Effect of nitrogen rate, irrigation rate and plant population on corn yield and water use efficiency. *Agron. J.* 95 (6): 1475 – 1482.
- Allam, S.M.M.; Osman, A.S.; Gebrael, M.Y. and El-Shebiny, G.M. (2001): Effect of nitrogen rates and foliar application of Zinc and Boron on maize. *Egypt. J. Appl., Sci.*, 16 (4): 161–168.
- Anderson, E.L.; Kamprath, E.J. and Mall, R.H. (1984): Effect of prolificacy and N rate on grain yield, dry matter and accumulation and partitioning in maize. *Agron. J.*, 78: 397 – 404.
- Atta, Y.I. (2007): Improving growth, yield and water productivity of some maize cultivars by new planting method. *Egypt. J. Appl., Sci.*, 22 (11): 1 – 16.
- Awad-Allah, E.A. and Nabila H. Bassiony (1993): *Fertilizers and Fertilization. A Textbook for Free Education, Cairo Univ.* (in Arabic).
- Bahr, A.A.; Zaidan, M.S. and Hozayn, M. (2006): Yield and quality of maize (*Zea mays, L.*) as affected by slow release fertilizers in newly reclaimed sandy soil. *American-Eurasian J. Agric. & Environ. Sci.*, 1 (3): 239 – 242.
- Campbell, C.A.; Zentner, R.P.; Selles F.; Meconky, B.G. and Dyck, F.B. (1993): Nitrogen management for spring wheat grown annually on Zero – tillage, yields and nitrogen use efficiency. *Agron. J.*, 85: 107 – 114.
- Craswell, E.T. and Godwin, D.C. (1984): The efficiency of nitrogen fertilizers applied to cereals in different climates. In Tinker P. B. and A. Luchli (ed.), *Advanced in plant Nutrition*, vol., 1.
- Derby, N.E.; Steele, D.D.; Terpsta, J.; Knighton, R. and Gasey, F.X.M. (2005): Interactions of nitrogen, weather, soil and irrigation on corn yield. *Agron. J.* 97 (5): 1342 – 1351.
- El-Aref, Kh. A.O.; Abo El-Hamed, A.S. and Abo El-Wafa, A.M. (2004): Response of some maize hybrids to nitrogen and potassium fertilization levels. *J. Agric. Sci. Mansoura Univ.*, 29 (11): 6063 – 6070.
- El-Bana, A.Y.A. (2001): Effect of nitrogen fertilization and stripping leaves on yield and yield attributes of tow maize (*Zea mays, L.*) hybrids. *Zagazig J. Agric. Res.*, 28 (3):579– 596.
- El-Gezawy, N. Kh. B. (2005): Effect of irrigation intervals, nitrogen rates and spraying with Zinc on yield and agronomic efficiency of nitrogen in maize. *Ann. of Agric. Sc., Moshtohor, Egypt*, 43 (3):1007 –1020.
- El-Hariri, D.M.; Hassanein, M. S. and Ahmed, M. A. (1996): Response of corn yield and its components to plant population and cultivars. *Arab Univ. J. Agric. Sci., Ain Shams Univ. Cairo*, 4 (1&2): 69 – 78.
- El-Mekser, Hoda, Kg. A. and Seiam, M. A. (2008): Nitrogen use efficiency of some new white maize hybrids under sandy soils. *Egypt J. App. Sci.*, 23 (2B): 514 – 526.
- El-Sheikh, F.T.Z., (1999): Evaluation of seven maize varieties (*Zea mays, L.*) for some growth characteristics, grain yield and its quality. *Annals of Agric., Sc., Moshtohor*, 37(2): 881–896.
- El-Wakil, N. M. H. (2002): Response of some cultivars of maize to plant density and nitrogen fertilization. *M. Sc., Thesis, Fac., Agric., Moshtohor, Zagazig Univ., Egypt.*

- Farghly, B.S. (2001): Effect of the preceding winter crop and nitrogen fertilization on yield and yield components of maize and sunflower. *Egypt. J. Agric. Res.*, 79 (4): 1423 – 1437.
- Gasser, J.K.R. and Kalembara, S.J. (1976): The effect of lays and organic manure on the available N in clay and sandy soils. *J. Soil Sci.*, 27: 237 – 249.
- Gomez, K.A. and Gomez, A.A. (1984): Statistical procedures for agriculture research. 2nd, John Wiley and Sons, NY, U.S.A.
- Hamissa, M.R.; Mawardi, A.; Abd, I.A.; Aziz, E. and Abd El-Hakim, M.A. (1979): Sources of nitrogen fertilizers for maize. *Agric. Res., Rev.*, 57: 103 – 112.
- Hassan, M.M.M.; El-Ghonemy, M.A.M. and Aly, R.S.H. (2008): Response of some maize single cross hybrids to plant density under different Egyptian environmental conditions. *Minufia J. Agric. Res.* 33 (2): 427 – 443.
- Ibrahim, S.A. and Hala Kandil (2007): Growth, yield and chemical constituents of corn (*Zea mays, L.*) as affected by nitrogen and phosphorus fertilization under different irrigation intervals. *J. of Applied Sci. Res.*, 3 (10): 1112 – 1120.
- Jacson, M. L. (1973): Soil chemical analysis. Prentice Hall of India Private limited New Delhi.
- Khalil, F.A.F. (2001): Scheduling irrigation of maize by using the evaporation pan method. Ph.D. Thesis. Fac. Agric., Moshtohor, Zagazig Univ., Egypt.
- Mabrouk, S.S. and Aly, A.A. (1998): Maize growth and production on a sandy soil treated with organic and mineral N sources. Proc. 8th Conf. Agron., Suez Canal Univ., Ismailia, Egypt, 28 – 29 Nov., Vol. I: 222 – 29.
- Mahgoub, G.A.M. (1987): Response of corn inbreds and their hybrids to different nutrient environments. Ph.D. Diss. Univ., Wisconsin – Madison, U.S.A.
- Mohamed, E.L. and El-Aref, K.A.O. (1999): Farm Yard Manure as a substitution of part or all chemical nitrogen fertilizer dose at planting for fertilizing maize (*Zea mays, L.*). *Assuit J. of Agric. Sci.*, 30 (5) 139 – 148.
- Nofal, Fatma A. (1999): A study on mineral and organic fertilization of maize in newly reclaimed area. Ph.D. Thesis, Fac. Agric., Moshtohor, Zagazig Univ.
- Oraby, F.T; Sarhan, A.A.; Abd, M.F. – Maksoud, E. and Bassiouny, A.H (2003): Proper agronomic practices required to maximize productivity of some maize varieties in old and reclaimed soils. III- Effect of sowing date on response of two maize hybrids to nitrogen fertilization. *Egypt. J. Appl. Sci.*, 18 (5B): 597 – 618.
- Page, A.L.; Millar, R.H. and Kceny, D.R. (1982): Methods of Soil Analysis Part II: Chemical and Microbiological Properties. (2nd ed.). American Society of Agronomy Madison, Wisconsin, U.S.A.
- Reeves, D.W.; Wood, G.W. and Tuchten, C. (1993): Timing of nitrogen application for corn in winter legume conservation tillage system. *Agron. J.*, 85: 98 – 106.
- Sabry, A.M. (1990): A comparative study of the effect of organic and mineral fertilizer on the yield and phosphorus uptake. M. Sc. Thesis, Ain-Shams Univ., Egypt.
- Shafshak, S.E.; Hammam, G.Y.; Samia M. Amer and Fatma A.E. Nofal (1994): Nitrogen use efficiency of some maize genotypes. *Ann. Agric. Sc., Moshtohor*, 32(3): 1249– 1263.
- Sisson, V.A.; Ruffy, T.W. and Williamson, R. E. (1991): Nitrogen use efficiency among flue – cured – tobacco genotypes. *Crop Sci.*, 31: 1615 – 1620.
- Soliman, M.S.M.; Abdel-Aziz, A.A. and Derer, R.A. (2001): Effect of nitrogen level, Farm Yard Manuring and bio-fertilization on growth, yield and yield components of maize (*Zea mays, L.*). *Egypt. J. Appl. Sci.*, 16 (7): 151 – 167.
- Suleiman, M. M. (2004): Effect of mineral and organic fertilization and plant density on growth and yield of corn in sandy soil. Ph.D. Thesis. Fac. Agric., Cairo. Univ., Egypt.
- Walkely, A. and Black, I.A. (1934): An examination of the dag traff method for determining soil organic matter and a proposed modification of the chromic acid titration method. *Soil Sci.*, 37: 29 – 38.

كفاءة استخدام النيتروجين المعدني والعضوي في تسميد ستة تراكيب وراثية للذرة الشامية

صلاح الدين عبد الرزاق شفيق، جابر يحيى همام، صديق عبد العزيز صديق محيسن،

صبيحي عايش حسن

قسم المحاصيل - كلية الزراعة بمشتر - جامعة بنها

- أجريت تجارب حقلية بمركز البحوث والتجارب الزراعية بكلية زراعة مشتر بمحافظة القليوبية خلال عامي ٢٠٠٥، ٢٠٠٦ لدراسة كفاءة أربعة هجن من الذرة الشامية مقارنة بصنف تركيبي وآخر مفتوح التلقيح لاستخدام الأزوت المعدني والعضوي بمعدلات مختلفة. وكانت الأصناف المختبرة تشمل: هجين فردي (أصفر) ٣٠٨٤، هجين فردي ٨٣٠ك، والهجين الثلاثي جيزة ٣٢٣ والهجين الزوجي ذهب (أصفر الحبوب) والصنف التركيبي جيزة ٢ والصنف البلدي ناب الجمل الذي يزرع كصنف محلي. وشملت مستويات التسميد: معاملة الكنترول (بدون تسميد)، ٦٠، ٩٠، ١٢٠ كجم نيتروجين من السماد المعدني (يوربا) و ٤٠ كجم نيتروجين معدني + ٢٠ طن/فدان سماد بلدي، ٦٠ كجم نيتروجين معدني + ٣٠ طن/فدان سماد بلدي، ٨٠ كجم نيتروجين معدني + ٤٠ طن سماد بلدي/فدان.
- وجمعت بيانات عن محصول الحبوب للفدان ومحتوى الحبوب من النيتروجين وكمية الأزوت الممتص بالحبوب بالفدان، وكفاءة استخدام النيتروجين والنسبة المئوية للأزوت المستفاد به.
- وأوضحت النتائج تفوق الهجن الفردية في محصول الحبوب وفي كمية الأزوت المستفاد به في الحبوب وفي كفاءة استخدام الأزوت، وقد أظهر الهجين الزوجي ذهب كفاءة عالية في الإنتاجية وفي كفاءة استخدام النيتروجين، وتلي هذه الأصناف الثلاثة الهجين الثلاثي ٢٣٢، بينما كان محصول الصنف التركيبي منخفضا وكان أقل الأصناف إنتاجية وكفاءة في استخدام النيتروجين الصنف البلدي ناب الجمل.
- وقد استجاب محصول الحبوب لكل الأصناف للتسميد النيتروجيني المعدني والعضوي، وكانت أفضل المعاملات هي مستوى ٨٠ كجم نيتروجين معدني + ٤٠ كجم نيتروجين عضوي للفدان.
- وحققت هذه المعاملة أعلى مستويات امتصاص النيتروجين وأعلى معدل كفاءة استخدام للنيتروجين.
- ويمكن الاستنتاج بالأهمية القصوى لزراعة هجن الذرة الفردية والجمع بين التسميد العضوي والمعدني بالمعدل الأعلى المستخدم