

# RUMINAL FERMENTATION AND NUTRITIVE VALUE ASSESSMENT OF SELECTED ACACIA SPECIES IN VITRO

Hossam E.M. KAMEL<sup>1\*</sup> and Abdulrahman A. Al-SOQEER<sup>2</sup>

Received on: 1/4/2009

Accepted: 27/5/2009

## ABSTRACT

This study aimed to evaluate the nutritional value of the fresh shoots of 14 *Acacia* species (*A. coriacea*, *A. cuthbertsonii*, *A. ineguilatera*, *A. iteaphylla*, *A. kempeana*, *A. ligulata*, *A. microbotrya*, *A. nilotica*, *A. oswaldii*, *A. pruinocarpa*, *A. saligna*, *A. sclerosperma*, *A. seyal* and *A. Victoria*) that were imported to be cultivated in Saudi Arabia, and to determine the potentiality of these species as ruminant feed resources in comparison with alfalfa hay and wheat straw. Chemical analysis and in vitro gas production technique were used as the basis for this evaluation. The crude protein (CP) content among tested *Acacia* spp. ranged from 8.0 to 16.7% and it was comparable in *A. iteaphylla* with that of alfalfa hay, the values were 16.7 and 17.1% in *A. iteaphylla* and alfalfa hay, respectively. Among the tested *Acacia* spp., *A. ineguilatera* had the highest condensed tannin (CT) values, which ranged from 10.4 to 77.0 mg/g dry matter (DM). Metabolizable energy (ME, MJ/kg DM) of the tested *Acacia* spp. ranged from 4.35 to 6.69 MJ/kg DM, which could supply the animals with 53-84% of the ME as in alfalfa hay. The CT/CP ratio in *Acacia* spp. showed a negative correlation with either organic matter digestibility (OMD, %;  $r = -0.72$ ,  $P < 0.01$ ), potential gas production ( $r = -0.71$ ,  $P < 0.01$ ), ammonia production ( $r = -0.86$ ,  $P < 0.01$ ) or total volatile fatty acid concentrations ( $r = -0.72$ ,  $P < 0.01$ ). To ease the evaluation of the nutritive value of *Acacia* spp. the following equations could be applied,

$OMD (\%) = 36.5 + 0.02CP + 0.3 NDF - 0.75CT$ ; ( $r = 0.75$ ;  $P < 0.001$ );

$ME (MJ/kg DM) = 5.8 + 0.14CP + 0.02NDF - 0.72CT$ ; ( $r = 0.79$ ;  $P < 0.001$ ), [where NDF is neutral detergent fiber and CT was expressed as mg/g DM].

Key words: *Acacia* spp., in vitro gas production, nutritive value

## 1- INTRODUCTION

Browse legumes are commonly used to overcome the low nitrogen content of ruminant rations in tropical regions that is caused by the high cost of protein sources and their demand as human food (Humphreys, 1995). Leguminous trees and shrubs are widely used as fodder for livestock in the tropics and subtropics of the world, and only a few of the 900 *Acacia* genus are extensively cultivated for fodder (Felker and Bandurski, 1979). During the prolonged season of about 8 months in the year especially draught years, *Acacia* species serves as a source of needed nutrients to domestic herbivores. Browse legumes are a very heterogeneous group of plants, with crude protein (CP) ranging from 81 to 306 g/kg dry matter (DM), with variable rumen degradable and intestinally digestible fractions (Kaitho et al., 1998). Moreover, browse legume species have a substantial content of fermentable carbohydrates (Siaw et al., 1993; Krishnamoorthy et al., 1995; Fondevila et al., 2002) that yield volatile fatty acids as energy source for the animal.

The nutritive values of browse legumes depend on their nutrient composition and the presence of secondary compounds that may interact with the rumen microbial population, thereby limiting nutrient utilization (Makkar et al., 1995; Butter et al., 1999). The Fermentation pattern of forages can be estimated in vitro by gas production techniques (Menke and

Steingass, 1988; Theodorou et al., 1994). Moreover, Menke and Steingass (1988) found a strong correlation between metabolizable energy (ME) value measured in vivo and those predicted from 24 h in vitro gas production and chemical composition of feeds.

The objectives of this study were: (1) to study the ruminal fermentation of tested *Acacia* spp; and (2) to assess the nutritive values of 14 *Acacia* species as potential new fodder resources for ruminants in comparison with alfalfa hay and wheat straw. Chemical composition, tannins content and degradation kinetics using gas production techniques, were carried out in order to evaluate its effectiveness in ruminants' ration and their value in designing feed management strategies.

## 2- MATERIALS AND METHODS

### 2.1- Study area and samples collection and processing

Browse tree leaves from fourteen species of *Acacia* being: *A. coriacea*, *A. cuthbertsonii*, *A. ineguilatera*, *A. iteaphylla*, *A. kempeana*, *A. ligulata*, *A. microbotrya*, *A. nilotica*, *A. oswaldii*, *A. pruinocarpa*, *A. saligna*, *A. sclerosperma*, *A. seyal* and *A. Victoria*, were collected from the Prince Sultan Research Center for Environment, Water and Desert, King Saud University (Al-Riyadh- Saudi Arabia). Al-Riyadh region (24°-38N'; 46°-43E') receives low

<sup>1</sup>Department of Animal Production and Breeding,

<sup>2</sup>Department of Plant Production and Protection,

Faculty of Agriculture and Veterinary Medicine, Qassim University, Buriedah-51452, P.O. 6622, Saudi Arabia.

\* Corresponding author

Email: hekamel@yahoo.com

annual rainfall, the wettest months are March and April, with average falls of 33.5 and 44.2 mm respectively. Minimum and maximum temperatures vary from 26 to 52 °C, respectively. Tested *Acacia spp* were cultivated at the similar time 2 years before sample collection in May 2006. Adequate quantities of fresh shoots (leaves and twigs) were harvested (about 1 kg) from at least 10 random different trees under each species, then pooled and dried at 50 °C in force draught oven for 48 h. Dried *Acacia* samples, alfalfa hay and wheat straw were ground with a 1 mm screen and stored until further analysis.

## 2.2- Chemical composition

Chemical composition of *Acacia* species, alfalfa hay and wheat straw was determined using the standard AOAC (1990) procedures to determine DM, organic matter (OM) and CP content. Neutral detergent fiber (NDF), acid detergent fiber (ADF) and acid detergent lignin (ADL) were determined following the method of Van Soest et al. (1991), sodium sulphite was added.

### 2.2.1- Extraction and determination of condensed tannins

Approximately 0.1 g (1.0 mm sieve) was extracted three times with a mixture of 10 ml of acetone/ water (7:3, v/v) in water bath at 30 °C. The tubes (samples + solution) were centrifuged at 2000 xg for 15 min. After extraction the supernatant was transferred to a 10 ml volumetric flask and the lower layer was discarded. The combined aqueous fractions were made up to 10 ml with water, and stored for quantification of the condensed tannins (CT). The CT was determined using butanol/HCl assay (Porter et al., 1986), by adding 0.25 ml of the aqueous extract to 6 ml of *n*-butanol/HCl (95:5, v/v). Then it was vortexed and heated at 95 °C on a water bath for 1 h. The absorbance of the red anthocyanidin products (i.e. condensed tannins) was measured at 550 nm.

### 2.3- *In vitro* evaluation of roughage samples

Ruminal fluid used for the *in vitro* incubation of *Acacia spp.*, alfalfa hay and wheat straw was proportionally collected from 2 ruminally cannulated sheep before morning feed was offered. Sheep were fed alfalfa hay (CP = 17.1% of DM, NDF = 43.1% of DM, ADF = 25.1% of DM and ADL = 12% of DM) *ad libitum*. The *in vitro* incubation system (gas method) as described by Menke et al. (1979) was used to measure gas production of tested roughages. Buffered rumen liquor (2:1 v/v) was prepared as described by Menke and Steingass (1988). About 200 mg DM (1 mm) of the *Acacia* species, alfalfa hay and wheat straw were weighed into calibration syringes (60 ml). Syringes pistons were lubricated with vaseline to ease their sliding and to prevent escape of gas. The syringes were pre-warmed to 40 °C before the injection of 30 ml of rumen liquor-buffer mixture into each

syringe, followed by incubation in a water bath (39± 0.1 °C). Three parallel syringes that contained rumen liquor-buffer mixture without substrate served as blanks. The syringes were gently shaken for 30 min after the start of incubation, then every hour during the first 10 h of incubation. Readings of gas values were recorded after 2, 4, 6, 12, 24, 48 and 72 h of incubation. Data for gas production were fitted to an exponential equation as proposed by Ørskov and McDonald (1979):

$$GP = b(1 - \exp^{-ct})$$

where GP is gas production (ml) at time *t*, *b* is the gas potential production, and *c* is the rate of gas production (ml/h). Each sample was incubated in triplicates. At the end of the incubation, the supernatant of contents in each syringe was centrifuged at 3000 xg for 15 min and sampled, then stored at -20 °C pending analysis for *in vitro* volatile fatty acids (VFA). The VFA was determined by using gas chromatography (Shimadzu 2010A) equipped with a FID detector, and a fused silica capillary column of 30 m × 0.25 mm i.d.; 0.25 µm phase film (Stabiwaz-DA; Thames Restek UK, LTD). The split ratio in the injector port was 50:1 with a linear velocity of 10 mL/min of He. Oven temperature was programmed to increase from 100 °C to 200°C at 10 °C/min, injector and detector temperatures were 240 °C. Volatile fatty acids were identified by comparison of their retention times with standard of water soluble fatty acid mixes (Cat.# 47056, Supelco, Bellefonte, PA-USA). Indophenol method of Chaney and Marbach (1962) were used to assess NH<sub>3</sub>-N concentration in supernatant of incubated *Acacia* samples.

### 2.4- Estimation of nutritive values

The organic matter digestibility (OMD) and metabolizable energy (ME) were calculated according to the following equations (Menke and Steingass 1988):

$$OMD (\%) = 14.88 + 0.889 GP + 0.45 CP + 0.0651 XA$$

$$ME (MJ/kg DM) = 2.2 + 0.136 GP + 0.057CP, \text{ where}$$

GP= accumulated gas production after 24 h incubation (ml/ 200mg DM)

CP= crude protein (% of DM)

XA= ash content (% of DM)

### 2.5- Statistical analysis

Data were subjected to analysis of variance (ANOVA) using the SAS/Statview Institute, Inc. (1999). Significant differences between individual means were identified using least significant difference (LSD) multiple range test.

## 3- RESULTS

### Chemical composition

The CP content among the tested *Acacia spp.* ranged from 8.0 to 16.7 % and was comparable in *A. iteaphylla* with that of alfalfa hay, the values were 16.7 and 17.1% in *A. iteaphylla* and alfalfa hay,

respectively. Meanwhile, wheat straw had the lowest CP content among the tested feedstuffs. Fiber fractions (NDF, ADF and ADL) were higher in wheat straw than those of other tested feedstuff. The values of NDF, ADF and ADL for *Acacia spp.* ranged from 33.6 to 56.0%, 20.9 to 45.0% and 4.2 to 15.0%, respectively. Alfalfa hay had intermediate values for the fiber fractions (Table 1). Condensed tannin (CT) content varied among the tested *Acacia spp.* ranging from 10.4 to 77.0 mg/g DM, and *A. ineguillatera* had the highest CT value.

#### Feedstuff degradation kinetics

Degradation kinetics of *Acacia spp.*, alfalfa hay and wheat straw are presented in Table 2. Potential gas production (*b*, ml/ 200mg DM) among *Acacia spp.* ranged from 15.4 to 39.5 ml/200 mg DM. Meanwhile, the extent of degradation for alfalfa hay had no significant differences ( $P > 0.05$ ) compared to *A. kempeana*, *A. nilotica* and *A. seyal*, the values were 38.3, 39.1, 36.9 and 39.5 ml/200 mg DM, respectively. Wheat straw had an intermediate value of *b* fraction compared to those of tested *Acacia* species (Table 2). The constant degradation rate (*c*, ml/h) was the highest for *A. pruinocara* (0.112) and the lowest in *A. cuthbertsonii* (0.021). The *c* values for alfalfa hay and *A. pruinocara* were not significantly ( $P > 0.05$ ) different.

#### Assessment of the nutritive value

Calculated ME (MJ/ kg DM) for the tested species of *Acacia*, alfalfa hay and wheat straw are shown in Table 2. Alfalfa hay had the highest ME. The organic matter digestibility (OMD) was the highest in alfalfa hay and it ranged from 25.4 to 39.7% for the tested *Acacia spp.* The values for alfalfa hay and wheat straw were 46.46 and 35.41 %, respectively (Table 2).

#### In vitro ruminal fermentation parameters

Ammonia concentration ( $\text{NH}_3\text{-N}$ ;  $\mu\text{g/ml}$ ) in rumen medium varied among the tested roughage, *A. nilotica* had the highest concentration which did not differ significantly from that of alfalfa hay incubated in rumen liquor (Table 3). Concentration of total VFA for *Acacia spp.* ranged from 22.9 to 45.6 mM in *A. ineguillatera* and *A. seyal*, respectively. As for the conventional roughage, total VFA values were 43.2 and 38.1 mM for alfalfa hay and wheat straw respectively. The lowest molar proportion of acetate was found in *A. ineguillatera* (0.583) and the highest values were found for *A. seyal* (0.683), and alfalfa hay (0.676). Molar proportion of *n*-butyrate was significantly lower ( $P < 0.05$ ) in alfalfa hay than *A. ineguillatera*. The *n*-valerate proportion of VFA was significantly higher ( $P < 0.05$ ) for alfalfa hay compared to other tested roughages. The highest values of molar proportion of *iso*-valerate were detected in *A. saligna* and alfalfa hay. The ratio between acetate to propionate for *Acacia spp.* ranged from 2.6 to 4.1 for *A. ineguillatera* and *A. seyal*,

respectively, this ratio was 4.3 for alfalfa hay and wheat straw for 3.6.

#### Correlations between chemical compositions, parameters of in vitro gas production and ruminal fermentation parameters

The correlations between chemical composition, parameters of *in vitro* gas production and ruminal fermentation parameters for *Acacia spp.* are presented in Table 4. The *b* fraction positively correlated ( $P < 0.01$ ) with CP content of *Acacia spp.* Meanwhile, fiber fractions had negative correlations with gas production,  $\text{NH}_3\text{-N}$  concentration and total VFA (TVFA). Molar proportion of acetate was positively ( $P < 0.01$ ) correlated with gas production. Total branched short chain fatty acids (TBSCFA) were negatively ( $P > 0.05$ ) influenced by CT content of *Acacia spp.* Moreover, CT content had a significantly negative correlation with the ratio of acetate: propionate (Table 4).

The following equations (Menke and Steingass, 1988) were predicted to estimate the OMD and ME of tested *Acacia spp.* using their chemical composition:

$$\text{OMD} = 36.5 + 0.02\text{CP} + 0.3\text{NDF} - 0.75\text{CT};$$

$$(r = 0.756; P < 0.001) \dots\dots\dots \text{equation 1,}$$

$$\text{ME} = 5.8 + 0.14\text{CP} + 0.02\text{NDF} - 0.72\text{CT};$$

$$(r = 0.798; P < 0.001) \dots\dots\dots \text{equation 2;}$$

where OMD, ME, CP, NDF and CT are organic matter digestibility (%), metabolizable energy (MJ/kg DM), crude protein (% of DM), neutral detergent fiber content (% of DM) and condensed tannins (mg/g DM), respectively.

#### DISCUSSION

In the current study, variations in chemical composition among the tested *Acacia* species may be partly due to genotypic factors which control accumulation of forage nutrients. Accumulation of nutrients in plants is a property of species and varies among species and genera (Minson, 1990; Rubanza *et al.*, 2005). Chemical composition of *A. nilotica* and *A. senegal* in the current study were different from those reported by Rubanza *et al.* (2005), who found that CP, NDF, ADF and ADL concentrations were 17.6, 22.2, 13.4 and 5.5; 14.5, 25.0, 14.8 and 6.4 for *A. nilotica* and *A. senegal*, respectively. Differences in CP contents within species could be attributed to stage of growth, proportion of leaves in tested samples and the season. Topps (1997) noted a high CP content in young leaves of *A. senegal* compared with mature leaves (31.9 vs 21.9% of DM). Moreover, shoots had lower CP content than leaves at any stage of growth. Aganga *et al.* (1998) found different values of CP content in *A. nilotica* among the seasons (wet vs dry), and the tested part of the plant (leaves vs pods).

Differences of NDF, ADF and ADL contents could similarly be due to species genotypic differences in factors that control fiber accumulation in the plant and stage of growth. Minson (1990) reported that the

fiber contents increase with the advance of foliage maturity as a result of lignification. In the current study, the higher fiber fractions in *A. saligna* and *A. seyal* than those reported by Ben Salem *et al.* (2005) and Rubanza *et al.* (2005) might be attributed to the portions of either leaves or stems in tested samples. The succulent parts were used in the current study compared with the leaves in the previous reports.

The minimal CP content of dry material for maintenance of sheep had been indicated by Milford and Haydock (1965) to be 7.2 %; however, it was suggested to be at least 8.9 % CP in plant material (NRC, 1985). The CP values of tested acacia in the present study were mostly well above the recommended levels at previous reports, suggesting that they maintain CP requirement of sheep. On the other hand, the CP of wheat straw (3.9%) was lower than the level which would sustain sheep if used as the only sources of feed. The *A. cuthbertsonii*, *A. ingeruilatera*, *A. iteaphylla*, *A. ligulata*, *A. microbotrya* and *A. oswaldii* were found to have sufficient CP content than those recommended by previous reports (Haydock 1965; NRC, 1985), but the OMD and ME were found to be lower ( $P < 0.05$ ) than wheat straw (Table 2). In the current study, the extent of gas production and OMD were positively correlated ( $P < 0.01$ ) with CP content; however the utilization of CP by rumen microorganisms might be limited by tannins' presence in the incubation medium, forming hydrogen bonds between the phenolic sub-units of the polymer and carbonyl group of the protein peptides resulting in a tannin-protein complex which may protect protein from ruminal digestion (Barry and Manley, 1984). The effect of CT from *L. corniculatus* on 11 strains of rumen bacteria was studied by Min *et al.* (2005) who noted that CT reduced rate of proteolysis and inhibited growth of proteolytic rumen microorganism, and these negative effects were correlated to the level of CT. The negative correlation ( $r = -0.14$ ,  $P > 0.05$ ) between the TBSCFA content and CT in the current study could be due to reduction of protein degradability as a result of the inhibiting effect of CT on microbial activities. Demjanec *et al.* (1995) found that the molar proportion of branched-chain fatty acids (valerate, *iso*-butyrate and *iso*-valerate) were higher when sheep were fed unheated soybean meal and decreased in linear fashion with roasting time of soybean meal. These changes in proportion of TBSCFA were anticipated because branched-chain VFA are produced by microbial deamination of branched chain amino acids. Significant increases in *in vitro* VFA and branched chain fatty acid production were also observed when polyethylene glycol was added for binding of tannins in the *in vitro* fermentation of tanniferous trees like *Calliandra calothyrsus*, *Leucaena diversifolia*, *L. pallida* (McSweeney *et al.*, 1999) and *A. angustissima* (Hoffmann *et al.*, 2000).

The impeding effect of CT on nitrogen degradation would lead to decrease protein breakdown and ammonia releases. This finding is confirmed by the negative correlation found in the current study between  $\text{NH}_3\text{-N}$  concentration and either CT level ( $r = -0.83$ ;  $P < 0.01$ ) or CT/CP ratio ( $r = -0.86$ ;  $P < 0.01$ ). The  $\text{NH}_3\text{-N}$  concentrations in incubation medium for the tested roughage were 6.4 to 14.3 mg/dL, 6.7 mg/dL and 13.3 mg/dL for *Acacia spp.*, wheat straw and alfalfa hay respectively, which were within the average cited by Satter and Slyter (1974) for optimum microbial protein synthesis and activities. However, the OMD for *Acacia spp.* was lower than alfalfa hay and wheat straw. The proposed reduction in OMD of *Acacia spp.* in the current study was associated with other factors rather than ammonia concentration in incubation medium. McSweeney *et al.* (2001) and Evitayani *et al.* (2004) found that the CT not bound to protein can inhibit fermentation of structural carbohydrates in the rumen by forming indigestible complex with cell wall carbohydrates. It can also form complex with microbial enzymes, rendering them inactive (Gambel *et al.*, 1996). Tannins were frequently observed to reduce structural carbohydrate degradation by reducing the number of cellulolytic microbes in rumen fluid (Singleton, 1981), inhibiting cellulase (Makkar, 1993), preventing adhesion of microbes on the feed particles (Leinmüller and Menke, 1990). Therefore, the negative correlation between CT content and the *in vitro* gas production ( $r = -0.73$ ;  $P < 0.01$ ) and VFA concentration ( $r = -0.75$ ;  $P < 0.01$ ) could be attributed to the impeding effect of CT on the activities of rumen microorganisms in degradation of acacia nutrients, as VFA is a by-product arising mainly from microbial fermentation of carbohydrates. Tannins could reduce fibre digestion by complexing with lignocelluloses and preventing microbial digestion and/or by directly inhibiting cellulolytic microorganisms. Subsequently, the production of acetate as end-product for fibre digestion would be decreased. These findings were supported by the negative correlation ( $r = -0.66$ ,  $P < 0.05$ ) found in the current study between acetate concentration and CT content in the tested acacia, and hence decreased the ratio of acetate: propionate in a negative manner with CT content in *Acacia spp.*

Negative impacts of CT in the reduction of OMD of *Acacia spp.* are consistent with the *in vitro* (Getachew *et al.*, 2000; Getachew *et al.*, 2008) and *in sacco* (Hervás *et al.*, 2003) studies. Moreover, Khazaal *et al.* (1993) reported that microbial gas production and *in vivo* DM disappearance decreased with increased concentration of extractable polyphenolics in browse species. Similarly, Chiquette *et al.* (1988) demonstrated lower gas production from high tannin than low tannin containing variety of *Louts corniculatus*. Results of our study are in agreement

with the extensively reported suppressive effects of CT on rumen degradation, and on the interference of these compounds with microbial attachment to feeds and degradation.

It may be difficult to attribute the reduction of either ME or OMD solely to the content of CT. In the present study gas production and OMD were negatively correlated with fiber fractions (i.e. NDF, ADF and ADL), but this correlation was not-significant with NDF and ADF; and was highly significant ( $r = -0.40$ ;  $P < 0.01$ ) with ADL (Table 4). Numerous evidences (Van Soest, 1982; McDonald et al., 1995 and Buxton, 1996) indicated that high cell wall constituents also set a limit to potential feed intake by physical fill effect as well as by reducing the digestibility of feeds. Nherera et al. (1998) reported that the effect of polyphenolics on gas production to be complex and varies across browse species, which led them to suggest that the fiber fraction of browse

species may be more important than tannins in limiting fermentation *in vitro*. Factors affecting the nutritive values found in the current study were summarized in equations 1 and 2 to ease the assessment of *Acacia spp.* by using their chemical composition (i.e. CP, NDF and CT).

### CONCLUSIONS

Based on the chemical composition, all tested *Acacia spp.* were found to have higher CP content than wheat straw. The CP content in *A. iteaphylla* was comparable to that of alfalfa hay (16.7 vs 17.1% DM). High content of CT in *Acacia spp.* was found to have a negative effect on its nutritive value (i.e. ME and OMD) estimated from gas production. The ME of the tested *Acacia spp.* ranged from 4.35 to 6.69 MJ/ kg DM, which could supply the animals with 53-84% of ME as in alfalfa hay. To ease the evaluation of nutritive value of *Acacia spp.* as ME and OMD the equations 1 and 2 could be applied.

Table 1. Chemical composition of different *Acacia* spp, alfalfa hay and wheat straw.

	OM <sup>1</sup>	CP	NDF	ADF	ADL	CT <sup>2</sup>
	-----(% of dry matter)-----					mg/ g DM
<i>A. coriacea</i>	83.3	12.2	55.8	33.6	10.5	11.7
<i>A. cuthbertsonii</i>	84.2	9.6	44.0	37.0	11.9	65.1
<i>A. ineguilatera</i>	82.0	8.0	56.0	45.0	15.0	77.0
<i>A. iteaphylla</i>	83.6	16.7	51.2	40.4	12.5	40.1
<i>A. kempeana</i>	81.5	12.2	53.5	40.3	10.5	31.1
<i>A. ligulata</i>	84.8	8.4	39.5	27.5	14.1	62.1
<i>A. microbotrya</i>	84.4	13.1	43.0	34.8	8.4	57.8
<i>A. nilotica</i>	88.0	13.8	42.6	35.4	6.0	15.7
<i>A. oswaldii</i>	89.1	11.3	36.7	22.6	8.3	55.4
<i>A. pruinocarpa</i>	82.1	10.9	47.3	33.4	15.6	25.0
<i>A. saligna</i>	89.7	12.6	33.6	20.9	9.5	40.1
<i>A. sclerosperma</i>	87.7	14.4	43.0	32.1	9.4	33.7
<i>A. seyal</i>	90.7	14.4	34.7	27.0	4.2	10.4
<i>A. victoria</i>	88.4	12.4	44.6	31.3	7.3	19.3
Alfalfa hay	88.0	17.1	43.1	25.1	12.0	ND <sup>3</sup>
Wheat straw	84.1	3.9	75.3	46.2	15.8	ND

<sup>1</sup>OM, organic matter; CP, crude protein; NDF, neutral detergent fiber; ADF, acid detergent fiber; ADL, acid detergent lignin.<sup>2</sup>Condensed tannins expressed as mg anthocyanidin equivalent per g DM.<sup>3</sup>ND = not detected

**Table 2. Gas production constants (ml/200 mg DM) and predicted metabolizable energy (ME, MJ/kg DM) and organic matter digestibility (OMD, %) of different *Acacia* spp., in comparison with alfalfa hay and wheat straw.**

	Gas production constants		ME	OMD
	<i>b</i> <sup>1</sup> (ml/200 mg DM)	<i>c</i> <sup>1</sup> (ml/h)		
<i>A. coriacea</i>	24.17 <sup>cd</sup>	0.064 <sup>bc</sup>	5.54 <sup>d</sup>	32.21 <sup>d</sup>
<i>A. cuthbertsonii</i>	20.58 <sup>de</sup>	0.021 <sup>e</sup>	4.35 <sup>f</sup>	25.36 <sup>f</sup>
<i>A. ineguilatera</i>	15.38 <sup>f</sup>	0.057 <sup>c</sup>	4.26 <sup>f</sup>	25.36 <sup>f</sup>
<i>A. iteaphylla</i>	26.56 <sup>cd</sup>	0.038 <sup>d</sup>	5.32 <sup>de</sup>	29.07 <sup>e</sup>
<i>A. kempeana</i>	39.07 <sup>a</sup>	0.053 <sup>cd</sup>	6.69 <sup>b</sup>	39.72 <sup>b</sup>
<i>A. ligulata</i>	18.21 <sup>ef</sup>	0.064 <sup>bc</sup>	4.61 <sup>ef</sup>	27.57 <sup>ef</sup>
<i>A. microbotrya</i>	18.10 <sup>ef</sup>	0.075 <sup>b</sup>	5.03 <sup>e</sup>	28.48 <sup>e</sup>
<i>A. nilotica</i>	36.93 <sup>a</sup>	0.052 <sup>cd</sup>	6.42 <sup>bc</sup>	37.30 <sup>bc</sup>
<i>A. oswaldii</i>	19.20 <sup>e</sup>	0.065 <sup>bc</sup>	4.96 <sup>e</sup>	28.72 <sup>e</sup>
<i>A. pruinocara</i>	23.37 <sup>d</sup>	0.112 <sup>a</sup>	5.80 <sup>cd</sup>	34.35 <sup>cd</sup>
<i>A. saligna</i>	26.72 <sup>cd</sup>	0.050 <sup>cd</sup>	5.47 <sup>de</sup>	31.59 <sup>de</sup>
<i>A. sclerosperma</i>	27.31 <sup>c</sup>	0.061 <sup>bc</sup>	5.98 <sup>cd</sup>	34.25 <sup>cd</sup>
<i>A. seyal</i>	39.46 <sup>a</sup>	0.038 <sup>d</sup>	6.11 <sup>c</sup>	35.08 <sup>cd</sup>
<i>A. victoria</i>	25.24 <sup>cd</sup>	0.065 <sup>bc</sup>	5.59 <sup>d</sup>	32.42 <sup>d</sup>
Alfalfa hay	38.33 <sup>a</sup>	0.112 <sup>a</sup>	8.08 <sup>a</sup>	46.46 <sup>a</sup>
Wheat straw	32.43 <sup>b</sup>	0.048 <sup>cd</sup>	5.56 <sup>d</sup>	35.41 <sup>c</sup>
S.E.M.	1.132	0.004	0.137	0.877

<sup>1</sup>The potential gas production (*b*) and rate of gas production (*c*) are constants predicted by the exponential model proposed by Ørskov and McDonald (1979).

<sup>a-f</sup> Means in the same column with different superscript letters are significantly different ( $P < 0.05$ ).

S.E.M., standard error of the mean.

Table 3. Ammonia concentration (NH<sub>3</sub>-N, µg/ml ), total volatile fatty acids (VFAs, mM) and molar proportion of constitute VFAs and acetate to propionate ratio of *Acacia* spp., and alfalfa hay and wheat straw incubated with rumen fluid *in vitro*.

	NH <sub>3</sub> -N (µg/ml)	Total VFA (mM)	VFA molar proportion						
			Acetate	Propionate	n-Butyrate	iso-Butyrate	n-Valerate	iso-Valerate	Acetate: propionate
<i>A. coriacea</i>	113 <sup>c</sup>	31.6 <sup>d</sup>	0.623 <sup>cde</sup>	0.197 <sup>bcd</sup>	0.112 <sup>abc</sup>	0.023 <sup>ab</sup>	0.030 <sup>b</sup>	0.015 <sup>ab</sup>	3.17 <sup>def</sup>
<i>A. cuthbertsonii</i>	79 <sup>de</sup>	26.2 <sup>e</sup>	0.603 <sup>ef</sup>	0.213 <sup>ab</sup>	0.118 <sup>ab</sup>	0.021 <sup>abc</sup>	0.029 <sup>b</sup>	0.016 <sup>ab</sup>	2.83 <sup>fg</sup>
<i>A. ineguilatera</i>	64 <sup>e</sup>	22.9 <sup>f</sup>	0.583 <sup>f</sup>	0.229 <sup>a</sup>	0.125 <sup>a</sup>	0.018 <sup>abc</sup>	0.030 <sup>b</sup>	0.016 <sup>ab</sup>	2.55 <sup>g</sup>
<i>A. iteaphylla</i>	107 <sup>c</sup>	30.6 <sup>d</sup>	0.628 <sup>cde</sup>	0.193 <sup>bcd</sup>	0.112 <sup>abcd</sup>	0.023 <sup>a</sup>	0.030 <sup>b</sup>	0.017 <sup>ab</sup>	3.25 <sup>def</sup>
<i>A. kempeana</i>	118 <sup>bc</sup>	43.3 <sup>ab</sup>	0.677 <sup>a</sup>	0.169 <sup>fg</sup>	0.096 <sup>cde</sup>	0.017 <sup>abc</sup>	0.029 <sup>b</sup>	0.014 <sup>ab</sup>	4.03 <sup>abc</sup>
<i>A. ligulata</i>	64 <sup>e</sup>	23.0 <sup>ef</sup>	0.616 <sup>cde</sup>	0.211 <sup>ab</sup>	0.190 <sup>abode</sup>	0.019 <sup>abc</sup>	0.029 <sup>b</sup>	0.017 <sup>ab</sup>	2.92 <sup>fg</sup>
<i>A. microbotrya</i>	85 <sup>d</sup>	24.3 <sup>ef</sup>	0.607 <sup>ef</sup>	0.215 <sup>ab</sup>	0.108 <sup>abode</sup>	0.021 <sup>abc</sup>	0.032 <sup>b</sup>	0.016 <sup>ab</sup>	2.83 <sup>fg</sup>
<i>A. nilotica</i>	143 <sup>a</sup>	40.9 <sup>bc</sup>	0.669 <sup>ab</sup>	0.173 <sup>efg</sup>	0.098 <sup>cde</sup>	0.018 <sup>abc</sup>	0.029 <sup>b</sup>	0.014 <sup>ab</sup>	3.87 <sup>abc</sup>
<i>A. oswaldii</i>	79 <sup>de</sup>	25.3 <sup>ef</sup>	0.616 <sup>cde</sup>	0.207 <sup>abc</sup>	0.110 <sup>abcd</sup>	0.021 <sup>abc</sup>	0.030 <sup>b</sup>	0.017 <sup>ab</sup>	2.98 <sup>efg</sup>
<i>A. pruinocarpa</i>	106 <sup>c</sup>	30.4 <sup>d</sup>	0.611 <sup>def</sup>	0.209 <sup>ab</sup>	0.112 <sup>abcd</sup>	0.021 <sup>abc</sup>	0.030 <sup>b</sup>	0.016 <sup>ab</sup>	2.92 <sup>fg</sup>
<i>A. saligna</i>	116 <sup>bc</sup>	33.4 <sup>d</sup>	0.644 <sup>abc</sup>	0.178 <sup>defg</sup>	0.103 <sup>bode</sup>	0.022 <sup>abc</sup>	0.031 <sup>b</sup>	0.020 <sup>a</sup>	3.61 <sup>bcd</sup>
<i>A. sclerosperma</i>	114 <sup>c</sup>	32.7 <sup>d</sup>	0.638 <sup>cd</sup>	0.184 <sup>cdef</sup>	0.106 <sup>bode</sup>	0.023 <sup>a</sup>	0.031 <sup>b</sup>	0.018 <sup>ab</sup>	3.48 <sup>ode</sup>
<i>A. seyal</i>	108 <sup>c</sup>	45.6 <sup>a</sup>	0.683 <sup>a</sup>	0.165 <sup>fg</sup>	0.0940 <sup>de</sup>	0.017 <sup>abc</sup>	0.028 <sup>b</sup>	0.013 <sup>b</sup>	4.13 <sup>ab</sup>
<i>A. victoria</i>	110 <sup>c</sup>	31.5 <sup>d</sup>	0.629 <sup>cde</sup>	0.198 <sup>bcd</sup>	0.107 <sup>abode</sup>	0.023 <sup>ab</sup>	0.031 <sup>b</sup>	0.016 <sup>ab</sup>	3.20 <sup>def</sup>
Alfalfa hay	133 <sup>ab</sup>	43.2 <sup>ab</sup>	0.676 <sup>a</sup>	0.157 <sup>c</sup>	0.091 <sup>cde</sup>	0.017 <sup>abc</sup>	0.040 <sup>a</sup>	0.020 <sup>a</sup>	4.29 <sup>a</sup>
Wheat straw	67 <sup>de</sup>	38.1 <sup>c</sup>	0.643 <sup>bcd</sup>	0.177 <sup>defg</sup>	0.114 <sup>abc</sup>	0.019 <sup>abc</sup>	0.030 <sup>b</sup>	0.015 <sup>ab</sup>	3.64 <sup>bcd</sup>
S.E.M	2.6	0.44	0.005	0.004	0.003	0.0008	0.001	0.001	0.001

<sup>a-g</sup> Means in the same column with different superscript letters are significantly different (P < 0.05).

S.E.M., standard error of the mean.



**Table 4. Correlation coefficients of *in vitro* gas production, organic matter digestibility (OMD), chemical composition, ammonia concentrations (NH<sub>3</sub>-N) and volatile fatty acids of *Acacia* spp.**

	CP	NDF	ADF	ADL	<i>b</i>	OMD	NH <sub>3</sub> -N	TVFA	Ace.	Prop.	TBSCFA	Acc/Pro	CT	CT/CP
CP	1.00	-0.12	-0.06	-0.50**	0.50**	0.39**	0.60**	0.48**	0.47**	-0.53**	0.15	0.49**	-0.49**	-0.72**
NDF		1.00	0.86**	0.51**	-0.12	-0.01	0.01	-0.10	-0.27*	0.25	-0.05	-0.25	0.04	0.10
ADF			1.00	0.40**	-0.03	-0.05	-0.02	-0.05	-0.22	0.23	-0.24	-0.20	0.13	0.17
ADL				1.00	-0.57**	-0.40**	-0.48**	-0.57**	-0.63**	0.61**	0.18	-0.63**	0.50**	0.56**
<i>b</i>					1.00	0.82**	0.78**	0.98**	0.93**	-0.89**	-0.49**	0.92**	-0.73**	-0.71**
OMD						1.00	0.79**	0.83**	0.76**	-0.70**	-0.35*	0.73**	-0.76**	-0.72**
NH <sub>3</sub> -N							1.00	0.79**	0.72**	-0.77**	-0.02	0.73**	-0.83**	-0.86**
TVFA								1.00	0.92**	-0.89**	-0.45**	0.92**	-0.75**	-0.72**
Ace.									1.00	-0.96**	-0.35*	0.99**	-0.66**	-0.66**
Prop.										1.00	0.16	-0.99**	0.67**	0.69**
TBSCFA											1.00	-0.28*	-0.14	-0.02
Ace/Pro												1.00	-0.66**	-0.66**
CT													1.00	0.93**
CT/CP														1.00

CP, crude protein; NDF, neutral detergent fiber; ADF, acid detergent fiber; ADL, acid detergent lignin; *b*, potential *in vitro* gas production; OMD, organic matter digestibility; NH<sub>3</sub>-N, *in vitro* ammonia concentration; TVFA, *in vitro* concentration of total volatile fatty acids; Ace, acetate; Pro, propionate; TBSCFA, total branched short chain fatty acids; Ace/Pro, acetate:propionate ratio; CT, condensed tannins; CT/CP, condensed tannins: crude protein ratio.

\*  $P < 0.05$ .

\*\* $P < 0.01$ .

## REFERENCES

- Aganga, A.A., Tsopito, C.M., and Adogla-Bessa, T. 1998. Feed potential of acacia species to ruminants in Botswana. *Archivos de Zootec* 47, 659-668.
- AOAC. 1990. Association of Official Analytical Chemists. Helrich, (Ed.), Official Method of Analysis, vol. 2, 15th edn., INC, VA, USA.
- Barry, T.N. and Manley, T.R. 1984. The role of condensed tannins in the nutritional value of *Lotus pedunculatus* for sheep. 2. Quantitative digestion of carbohydrates and proteins. *British Journal of Nutrition* 51, 493-503.
- Ben Salem, H., Makkar, H.P.S., Nefzaoui, A., Hassayoum, L. and Abidi, S. 2005. Benefit from association of small amounts of tannin-rich shrub foliage (*Acacia cyanophylla* Lindl.) with soya bean meal given as supplements to Barbarine sheep fed on oaten hay. *Animal Feed Science and Technology* 122, 173-186.
- Butter, N.L., Dawson, J.M. and Buttery, P.J. 1999. Effects of dietary tannins on ruminants. In: Cargill, J.C. and Mueller-Harvey, I. (Eds.), Secondary Plant Products. Antinutritional and Beneficial Actions in Animal Feeding. Nottingham University Press, Nottingham, UK, pp. 51-70.
- Buxton, D.R. 1996. Quality-related characteristics of forages as influenced by plant environment and agronomic factors. *Animal Feed Science and Technology* 59, 37-49.
- Chaney, A.L. and Marbach, E.P. 1962. Modified reagents for determination of urea ammonia. *Clin.Chem.* 8, 130-132.
- Chiquette, J., Cheng, K.J., Costerton, J.W. and Milligan, L.P. 1988. Effect of tannin on the digestibility of two isosynthetic strains of birdsfoot trefoil (*Lotus corniculatus*) using *in vitro* and *in sacco* techniques. *Canadian Journal of Animal Science* 68, 751-760.
- Demjanec, B., Merchen, N.R., Crremin, J.D., Aldrich, C.G. and Berger, L.L. 1995. Effect of roasting on site and extent of digestion of soybean meal by sheep: 1. Digestion of nitrogen and amino acids. *Journal of Animal Science* 73, 824-834.
- Evitayani, L., Warly, A., Fariani, T., Ichinohe, A., Abdulrazak, A. and Fujihara T. 2004. Comparative rumen degradability of some legume forages between wet and dry season in West Sumatra, Indonesia. *Asian-Australasian Journal of Animal Science* 17, 1107-1111.
- Felker, P. and Bandurski, R.S. 1979. Uses and potential uses of leguminous trees for minimal energy input agriculture. *Economic Botany* 33, 172-182.
- Fondevila, M., Nogueira, J.C.M. and Barrios Urdaneta, A. 2002. *In vitro* microbial fermentation and protein utilization of tropical forage legumes grown during the dry season. *Animal Feed Science and Technology* 95, 1-14.
- Gambel, G.R., Akin, D.E., Makkar, H.P.S. and Becker, K. 1996. Biological degradation of tannins in sericea lespedeza by the white rot fungi *Cerporiopsis subvermispora* and *Cyathus stercoreus* analysed by solid state <sup>13</sup>CNMR spectroscopy. *Applied Environmental Microbiology* 63, 3600-3604.
- Getachew, G., Makkar, H.P.S. and Becker, K. 2000. Effect of polyethylene glycol on *in vitro* degradability of nitrogen and microbial protein synthesis from tannin-rich browse and herbaceous. *British Journal of Nutrition* 84, 73-83.
- Getachew, G., Pittroff, W., Putnam, D.H., Dandekar, A., Goyal, S. and DePeters, E.J. 2008. The influence of addition of gallic acid, tannic acid, or quebracho tannins to alfalfa hay on *in vitro* rumen fermentation and microbial protein synthesis. *Animal Feed Science and Technology* 140, 444-461.
- Hervás, G., Frutos, P., Giraldez, F.J., Mantecon, A.R. and Del Pino, M.C.A. 2003. Effect of different doses of quebracho tannins extract on rumen fermentation in ewes. *Animal Feed Science and Technology* 109, 65-78.
- Hoffmann, E.M., Muetzel, S. and Becker, K. 2000. Tannins from *Acacia angustissima* inhibit the production of gas and SCFA, but not the degradation of BSA during *in vitro* incubation with rumen fluid. In: Proceedings of the Tagung der Gesellschaft für Ernährungsphysiologie on Tagungsband, vol. 54, 7-9 March, Göttingen, Germany. DLG Verlag, Frankfurt, Germany, p. 140.
- Humphreys, L.R. 1995. Diversity and productivity of tropical legumes. In: D'Mello, J.P.F., Devendra C. (Eds.), Tropical Legumes in Animal Nutrition. CAB International, Wallingford, UK, pp. 1-21.
- Kaitho, R.J., Umunna, N.N., Nsahlai, I.V., Tamminga, S. and van Bruchem, J. 1998. Digestibility of rumen undegradable protein from 40 browse species measured by mobile bag and *in vitro* techniques. In: Deaville, E.R., Owen, E., Adesogan, A.T., Rymer, C., Huntington, J.A. and Lawrence, T.L.J. (Eds.), *In Vitro Techniques for Measuring Nutrient Supply to Ruminants*, BSAS Occasional Publication No. 22, pp. 123-126.
- Khazaal, K., Dentinho, M.T., Riberio, J.M. and Ørskov, E.R. 1993. A comparison of gas production during incubation with rumen contents *in vitro* and nylon bag degradability as predictors of the apparent digestibility *in vivo* and the voluntary intake of hays. *Animal Production* 57, 105-112.

- Krishnamoorthy, U., Soller, H., Steingass, H. and Menke, K.H. 1995. Energy and protein evaluation of tropical feedstuffs for whole tract and ruminal digestion by chemical analysis and rumen inoculum studies *in vitro*. *Animal Feed Science and Technology* 52, 177-188.
- Leinmüller, E. and Menke, K. 1990. Tannine in Futtermitteln für Wiederkäuer. 1. Chemische Eigenschaften und Reaktionen mit Makromolekülen. Übers. Tierernähr. 18, 91-114.
- Makkar, H.P.S., Blummel, M. and Becker, K. 1995. *In vitro* effects of and interaction between tannins and saponins and fate of tannins in the rumen. *Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture* 69, 481-493.
- Makkar, H.P.S. 1993. Antinutritional factors in foods for livestock. In: *Animal Production in Developing Countries*, No.16. Occasional Publications, British Society of Animal Production, pp. 69-85.
- McDonald, P., Greenhalgh, J.F.D., Edwards, R.A. and Morgan, C.A. 1995. *Animal Nutrition*, 5th ed. Longmanns, London.
- McSweeney, C.S., Palmer, B., Bunch, R. and Krause, D.O. 1999. *In vitro* quality assessment of tannin-containing tropical shrub legumes: protein and fiber digestion. *Animal Feed Science and Technology* 82, 227-241.
- McSweeney, C.S., Palmer, B., McNeill, D.M. and Krause, D.O. 2001. Microbial interaction with tannins: nutritional consequences for ruminants. *Animal Feed Science and Technology* 91, 83-93.
- Menke, K., Raab, L., Salewski, A., Steingass, H., Fritz, D. and Schneider, W. 1979. The estimation of digestibility and metabolizable energy content of ruminant feedstuffs from the gas production when they are incubated with rumen liquor *in vitro*. *Journal of Agricultural Science (Cambridge)* 3, 217-222.
- Menke, K.H. and Steingass H. 1988. Estimation of the energetic feed value obtained from chemical analysis and gas production using rumen fluid. *Animal Research and Development* 28, 7-55.
- Milford, R. and Haydock, K.P. 1965. The nutritive value of protein in subtropical pasture species grown in southeast Queensland. *Australian Journal of Experimental Agriculture and Animal Husbandry* 5, 13-17.
- Min, B.R., Attwood, G.T., McNabb, W.C., Molan, A.L. and Barry, T.N. 2005. The effect of condensed tannins from *Lotus corniculatus* on the proteolytic activities and growth of rumen bacteria. *Animal Feed Science and Technology* 121, 45-58.
- Minson, D.J. 1990. *Forage in Ruminant Nutrition*. Academic Press Inc., San Diego, Ca, USA.
- NRC. 1985. *National Research Council Nutrient Requirements of Sheep: Sixth Revised Edition*. National Academy Press.
- Nherera, F.V., Ndlovu, L.R. and Dzwela, B.H. 1998. Utilisation of *Leucaena diversifolia*, *Leucaena esculenta*, *Leucaena pallida* and *Calliandra calothyrsus* as nitrogen supplements for growing goats fed maize stover. *Animal Feed Science and Technology* 74, 15-28.
- Ørskov, E.R. and McDonald, I. 1979. The estimation of protein degradability in the rumen from incubation measurements weighed according to rate passage. *Journal of Agricultural Science (Cambridge)* 92, 499-503.
- Porter, L.J., Hrstich, L.N. and Chan, B.G. 1986. The conversion of procyanidins and prodelphinidins to cyanidin and delphinidin, *Phytochemistry* 25, 223-230.
- Rubanza, C.D.K., Shem, M.N., Otsyina, R., Bakengesa, S.S., Ichinohe, A. and Fujihara, T. 2005. Polyphenolics and tannins effect on *in vitro* digestibility of selected Acacia species leaves. *Animal Feed Science and Technology* 119, 129-142.
- Satter, L.D. and Slyter, L.L. 1974. Effect of ammonia concentration on rumen microbial protein production *in vitro*. *British Journal of Nutrition* 32, 199-205.
- Siaw, D.E.K.A., Osuji, P.O. and Nsahai, I.V. 1993. Evaluation of multipurpose tree germplasm: the use of gas production and rumen degradation characteristics. *Journal of Agricultural Science (Cambridge)* 120, 319-330.
- Singleton, V.L. 1981. Naturally occurring food toxicants: phenolic substances of plant origin common in foods. *Advances in Food and Nutrition Research* 27, 149-242.
- Statistical Analytical System (SAS). 1999. *StatView for windows, Version 5.0.1*. SAS Institute, Cary, NC.
- Theodorou, K.M., Williams, B.A., Dhanoa, M.S., McAllan, A.B. and France, J. 1994. A simple gas production method using a pressure transducer to determine the fermentation kinetics of ruminant feeds. *Animal Feed Science and Technology* 48, 185-197.
- Topps, J.H. 1997. Nutritive value of indigenous browse in Africa in relation to the needs of wild ungulates. *Animal Feed Science and Technology* 10: 1-11
- Van Soest, P.J., Robertson, J.B. and Lewis, B.A. 1991. Method for dietary fiber, neutral detergent fiber and non-starch polysaccharides in relation to animal nutrition. *Journal of Dairy Science* 74, 3583-3597.
- Van Soest, P.J. 1982. *Nutritional Ecology of the Ruminant*. O&B books, Corvallis, Oregon, USA.

## الملخص العربي

تخميرات الكرّش وتقدير القيمة الغذائية لبعض أنواع الأكاسيا معلياً باستخدام طريقة إنتاج الغاز

حسام الدين محمد كامل<sup>١</sup> و عبد الرحمن عبد الله الصغير<sup>٢</sup>

<sup>١</sup> قسم إنتاج الحيوان وتربيته

<sup>٢</sup> قسم إنتاج النباتات ووقايتها

كلية الزراعة والطب البيطري-جامعة القصيم

بريده ٥١٤٥٢- ص.ب ٦٦٢٢

المملكة العربية السعودية

هذه الدراسة تهدف إلى تقدير القيمة الغذائية لعدد ١٤ نوع من نبات الأكاسيا \* *A. coriacea*, *A. cuthbertsonii*, *A. ineguilatera*, *A. iteaphylla*, *A. kempeana*, *A. ligulata*, *A. microbotrya*, *A. nilotica*, *A. oswaldii*, *A. pruinocarpa*, *A. saligna*, *A. sclerosperma*, *A. seyal* and *A. Victoria* والتي تم إستيرادها بهدف الإستزراع في المملكة العربية السعودية وكذلك تقييم مدى جودة هذه النباتات كمصدر غذائي للحيوانات المجترة مقارنة بدريس البرسيم وتبن القمح. التحليل الكيميائي وكمية الغاز المنتج تم إستخدامهم كأساس لهذا التقييم.

محتوي البروتين الخام في أنواع الأكاسيا موضوع الدراسة تراوح ما بين ٨-١٦,٧٪ من المادة الجافة وكان محتوى النوع *A. iteaphylla* من البروتين الخام مقارب لمحتوي دريس البرسيم وكانت النسبة هي ١٦,٧ و ١٧,١ علي التوالي. كمية التانينات المكثفة في أنواع الأكاسيا تراوحت ما بين ١٠,٤ إلى ٧٧ ملجرام/جرام مادة جافة وكان أعلى تركيز لها في النوع *A. ineguilatera*. الطاقة الميتابوليزمية لأنواع الأكاسيا كانت تتراوح ما بين ٤,٣٥ إلى ٦,٦٩ ميجا جول/كجم مادة جافة وهذه القيم تمثل ٥٣ إلى ٨٤٪ من الطاقة الميتابوليزمية المقدرة في دريس البرسيم. النسبة بين التانينات ومحتوي البروتين الخام كان لها تأثير سلبي علي كل من معامل هضم المادة العضوية ( $r = -0.72, P < 0.001$ ) & كمية الغاز المنتج ( $r = -0.71, P < 0.001$ ) & إنتاج الأمونيا ( $r = -0.66, P < 0.001$ ) & تركيز الأحماض الدهنية الكلية ( $r = -0.72, P < 0.001$ ).

لسهولة تقدير القيمة الغذائية لأنواع الأكاسيا يمكن إستخدام المعادلات التالية:

معامل هضم المادة العضوية (%) =  $36.5 + 0.02$  البروتين الخام +  $0.3$  الألياف المقاومة للمحاليل المتعادلة

-  $0.75$  التانينات المكثفة & ( $r = -0.72, P < 0.001$ ).

الطاقة الميتابوليزمية (ميجا جول/كجم مادة جافة) =  $5.8 + 0.14$  البروتين الخام +  $0.02$  الألياف المقاومة للمحاليل المتعادلة

-  $0.72$  التانينات المكثفة & ( $r = -0.79, P < 0.001$ ).

بحيث إن التانينات المكثفة مقدرة كمليجرام / جرام مادة جافة.