

Animal Health Research Institute
Assiut Regional Laboratory

BACTERIOLOGICAL STUDIES ON PATHOGENS CAUSING SUB-CLINICAL MASTITIS IN HOLSTEIN- FRIESIAN DAIRY COWS IN ASSIUT GOVERNORATE

(With 3 Tables)

By

S.M. SAYED and M.M. ABD EL-HAFEEZ

(Received at 21/12/2009)

**دراسات بكتريولوجية على المسببات البكتيرية لالتهاب الضرع الخفي في أبقار
الهولشتين الفريزيان الحلابة بمحافظة أسيوط**

سيد محمد سيد ، محمد محمد عبد الحفيظ

أجريت الدراسة على أبقار الهولشتين فريزيان الحلابة والتي تعاني من تكرار الإصابة بالتهاب الضرع الخفي. وبفحص عدد 110 عينة لبن مجمعة من الأرباع للبقرة الواحدة حقلًا باختباري الكاليفورنيا ماستيتس والهوتسيد المعدل. أسفرت النتائج عن ايجابية الفحص في عدد 37 و35 عينة منها لكلا الاختبارين على التوالي أصابتها بالتهاب الضرع الخفي. وقد خضعت هذه العينات الإيجابية للاختبارات الحقلية للفحص البكتريولوجي وتم زرعها على المستنبتات العامة والخاصة لزيادة فرص العزل حيث عزلت عدد 103 عترة تمثل مجموعتين من المسببات البكتيرية: عالية الضراوة 37 عترة بنسبة 35,92% وميكروبات التلوث البيئي 66 عترة بنسبة 64,08%. وقد تم عزل أكثر من عترة من الميكروبات الممرضة في العينة الواحدة من 34 عينة لبن بنسبة 97,14% وكانت أغلبها تحتوى على ثلاث عترات مختلفة في العينة الواحدة بنسبة 57,14%. تمثلت ميكروبات عالية الضراوة في عزل المكور العنقودي الذهبى 16,5% والسبحى أجلاكتيا 10,68% والكوريني باكتيريم 7,77% والسبحى ديسجلاكتيا 0,97%. أما بالنسبة لميكروبات التلوث البيئي فقد تمثلت فى إنتيروباكتيرايروجينز 12,62% وكل من الميكروب القولوني والإنثيروكوكاس فيكالس 10,68% لكل منها والسبحى إكواى 6,8% والمكور العنقودي السابروفيتكس 4,85% وعترات أخرى بنسب أقل كان من أبرزها الميكروب القولوني (أو-157) بنسبة 1,94%. وبإجراء اختبار الحساسية لكل من هذه العترات على حدة ضد 10 من المضادات الحيوية المختلفة أسفرت النتائج عن حساسية جميع العترات المعزولة للسيروفلوكساسين والجنتاميسين بنسبة 100% و 80,84% على الترتيب. ونوقشت النتائج على ضوء ما تقدم.

SUMMARY

The study was conducted on 110 Holstein-Friesian dairy cows suffering from recurrent sub-clinical mastitis. Screening of 110 milk samples, pooled samples, by using of both field tests (California Mastitis Test and

modified Whiteside Test), revealed that 35 and 37 milk samples showed positive by both tests, respectively. These positive samples were examined bacteriologically on general and specific enriched media. The isolated bacterial strains (103 isolates) resembled two categories: contagious bacteria 37 isolates (35.92%) and environmental bacteria 66 isolates (64.08%). Thirty four (97.14%) milk samples showed mixed infection, where most of them 20 milk samples (57.14%) were infected with triple infection. The isolated contagious strains were *Staph. aureus* 17 (16.5%), *Strept. agalactia* 11 (10.68%), *Corynebacterium* spp. 8 (7.77%) and *Strept. dysgalactia* 1 (0.97%), while the environmental bacteria were *Enterobacter aerogenes* 13 (12.62%), *Enterococcus faecalis* and *E. coli* 11 (10.68%) for both, *Strept. equi subsp. zooepidemicus* 7 (6.8%), *Staph. saprophyticus* 5 (4.85%) and other strains with less proportions were isolated where the most highly pathogenic of them was *E. coli* O₁₅₇ (1.94%). Antimicrobial susceptibility testing revealed that all isolated strains were sensitive to ciprofloxacin and gentamycin with percentage 100% and 80.84%, respectively.

Key words: *Sub-clinical mastitis, bacteriological examination, Holstein-Friesian cows*

INTRODUCTION

Mastitis is the most frequent and prevalent production disease in dairy herds. It is a widely health problem does not only cause the largest economic disease related losses in dairy herd farm; but it is also responsible for extended usage of antibiotic in these enterprises (Varshney and Naresh, 2004; Bannerman, *et al.*, 2008 and Sakai, *et al.*, 2008). The serious effect created by mastitis are mostly due to its subclinical form (APHA, 1985).

The majority of udder infections are caused by pathogens of two categories, including contagious bacteria, that spread from an infected cow to another one, such as: *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Streptococcus agalactiae*, and *Corynebacterium bovis* (Harmon and Langlois, 1986; National Mastitis Council, 1987, Simth and Hogan, 1995; El-Balkemy, *et al.*, 1997; El-Khodery and Hoedemaker, 2005 and Abdel-Khalek and El-Sherbini, 2005). *Streptococcus dysgalactiae* have some characteristics of contagious organism and spread from cow to another (Smith and Hogan, 1995 and El-Balkemy, *et al.*, 1997). The other category environmental bacteria that are commonly present in surrounding environment and may reach the teat end from that source, such as: *E. coli*, *Strept. uberis*,

Actinomyces pyogenes, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and other *staphylococcus sp.* (El-Balkemy, *et al.*, 1997 and Anwer, *et al.*, 2003).

From the contagious, *Staph. aureus* seemed to be the predominant organisms causing subclinical mastitis (Kader, *et al.*, 2002). It may predispose the herd to infection by coliform or other pathogens (Jain, 1979 and Ibtisam, *et al.*, 1993). *Streptococcus agalactiae* lives in milk and in the mammary gland, but can survive only for a few hours outside of the mammary gland (Refai, 1988 and Phuektes, *et al.*, 2001).

Persistence of *E.coli* within the mammary environment was the cause of recurrent quarter *E. coli* mastitis and its spread among other quarters and cows during the milking process (Bradley and Green, 2001).

The greatest problem in the treatment and control of mastitis is emergence of drug resistance by pathogenic bacteria (Brown and Scassera, 1990 and Jha, *et.al.*, 1994). The pattern of drug resistance continues to change in a particular area depending upon various epidemiological factors and indiscriminate use of antibiotics (Choudhury and Narayan, 1984).

Due to economic and public importance of sub-clinical mastitis, the present work was aimed to detect sub-clinical mastitis and determine the predominant contagious and environmental pathogens causing frequently recurrent sub-clinical mastitis in dairy Holstein-Friesian cows using the most available media for isolation and determination of antibiogram of the most prevalent bacterial isolates.

MATERIALS and METHODS

The present study was conducted on 110 Holstein-Friesian dairy cows with a farm complaint of recurrent mastitis, in Assiut Governorate. All milk samples were screened by Modified Whiteside Test (W. S. T.) (Murphy and Hanson, 1941) and California Mastitis Test (C. M. T.), using Delaval Mastitis Test, 3804101, Poland, (Schalm, *et al.*, 1971).

For bacteriological examination, ten ml of fresh milk samples from 37 cows which showed sub-clinical mastitis positive reaction (individual sampling), as a pooled milk samples of the four quarters in a sterile screw capped vials, were collected aseptically (Rosenberger, 1979). Milk samples were centrifuged at 3000 rpm for 20 minutes, then a loopfull from milk sediment was streaked onto Azid blood agar plate (Cruickshank, *et al.*, 1975) and a loopfull also was inoculated into nutrient broth (Difco), broth 10% NaCl, MacConkey broth (Oxoid) and modified EC-medium (Difco No.7197405), with novobiocin 2%, for enrichment

of *E. coli* O₁₅₇, Okrend, *et al.* (1990). The previously inoculated tubes were incubated at 37°C for 24 hours. From the incubated tubes, loopfulls were streaked onto the surface of the nutrient agar, blood agar with 5% sheep blood, mannitol salt agar (BBL), MacConkey agar (Oxoid) and Sorbitol MacConkey agar, for isolation of *E. coli* O₁₅₇, (Difco No. 094729/004) plates (Finegold and Martin, 1982; Quinn, *et al.*, 1994 and Heuvelink, *et al.*, 1996 and 1997). The inoculated plates were incubated aerobically at 37°C for 24 hours.

The suspected colonies were identified morphologically by Gram's stain and biochemically confirmed according to Quinn, *et al.* (1994), using catalase activity, coagulase test as well as novoboicin (30 mcg) and polymixin-β sulphate (300 u) sensitivity tests for identification of *Staphylococcus* spp. As well as identification of *Streptococci* spp. Was done by catalase test, haemolytic activity, sodium hippurate hydrolysis, aesculin hydrolysis on blood agar with 0.1% aesculin, growth in 6.5% NaCl broth, growth on MacConkey agar, Sorbitol, lactose fermentation and Bacitracin, 0.04 unit susceptibility.

Enterobacteriaceae and *E. coli* O₁₅₇ (*E. coli* O₁₅₇ colonies on Sorbitol MacConkey agar were sorbitol negative and appeared pale in colour as compared with bright pink sorbitol positive colonies produced by *E. coli* and other enteric pathogens, Farmer and Davis, 1985), identified biochemically by conventional IMVIC (Indole, Methyl red, Voges proskauer and citrate utilization) test, motility, triple sugar iron agar (TSI) inoculation and sorbitol, raffinose and cellobiose fermentation, according to (Quinn, *et al.*, 1994 and DeBoer and Heuvelink, 2000).

Antibiogram of the recovered isolates was adapted using antimicrobial susceptibility testing by disc diffusion standard technique according to Bauer, *et al.* (1966), Finegold and Martin (1982) and Quinn, *et al.* (1994). The isolated strains were tested against 10 antibiotics (ciprofloxacin 5 mcg, cephalexin 30 mcg, gentamycin 10 mcg, kanamycin 30 mcg, lincomycin 2 mcg, neomycin 30 mcg, oxytetracyclin 30 mcg, rifamycin 30 mcg, streptomycin 10 mcg and sulbactam 10 mcg plus ampicillin 10 mcg), (Bioanalyse-Egypt).

RESULTS

From the obtained results, out of 110 tested milk samples, 35 (31.82%) showed positive C.M.T. results which were true positive as they all revealed positive bacterial isolation. Modified Whiteside Test revealed 37 (33.64%) positive cases (beside the 35 true positive, there

were two false positive cases). The results of bacteriological examination and the antibiogram pattern of the prevalent isolates to different antibiotics are shown in Tables 1, 2 and 3.

Table 1: The frequency percentage of the single and mixed infection in pooled milk samples.

	Single infection	Double infection	Triple infection	Quadruple infection
No.	1	7	20	7
%	2.86	20	57.14	20

Table 2: Bacterial species recovered from sub-clinical mastitis milk of Holstein-Friesian cows.

Bacterial species	No.	Frequency %
Contagious organisms (no.=37)		35.92
- <i>Staph. aureus</i>	17	16.50
- <i>Strept. agalactia</i>	11	10.68
- <i>Corynebacterium spp.</i>	8	7.77
- <i>Strept. dysgalactia</i>	1	0.97
Environmental organisms (no.=66)		64.08
- <i>Enterobacter aerogenes</i>	13	12.62
- <i>Enterococcus faecalis</i>	11	10.68
- <i>E.coli</i> other than O ₁₅₇	11	10.68
- <i>Strept. equi</i> subsp.zooepidemicus	7	6.80
- <i>Staph. saprophyticus</i>	5	4.85
- <i>Staph. intermedius</i>	4	3.88
- <i>Citrobacter spp.</i>	3	2.91
- <i>Proteus spp.</i>	2	1.94
- <i>Strept. Pyogenes</i>	2	1.94
- <i>E.coli</i> O157	2	1.94
- <i>Hafnia alvei</i>	2	1.94
- <i>Serratia marcescens</i>	1	0.97
- <i>Proteus vulgaris</i>	1	0.97
- <i>Salmonella</i>	1	0.97
- <i>Shigella</i>	1	0.97
Total	103	100

Table 3: The percentage of in vitro antimicrobial susceptibility pattern of the most frequent isolates against different antibiotics.

Isolated micro-organisms	No. of the tested isolates	% of sensitive tested strains									
		Ciprofloxacin	Gentamycin	Kanamycin	Lincomycin	Neomycin	Oxytetracyclin	Rifamycin	Streptomycin	Cephalexin	Sulbactam + Ampicillin
<i>Staph. Aureus</i>	17	100	82.35	17.65	0	29.41	47.06	41.18	29.41	17.65	29.41
<i>E. coli</i>	13	100	69.23	61.54	0	61.54	23.08	15.38	15.38	61.54	30.77
<i>Enterobacter aerogenes</i>	13	100	69.2	15.38	0	7.69	30.77	7.69	30.77	100	30.77
<i>Enterococcus faecalis</i>	11	100	81.82	54.55	0	27.27	27.27	9.09	54.55	27.27	9.09
<i>Strept. Agalactiae</i>	11	100	100	63.6	18.18	36.36	72.7	18.18	36.36	45.45	9.09
<i>Corynebacterium spp</i>	8	100	75	50	0	37.5	37.5	12.5	12.5	25	12.5
<i>Strept. equi</i> subsp. <i>zooepidemicus</i>	7	100	100	57.14	28.57	57.14	28.57	14.29	14.29	57.14	0
<i>Staph. Sarophyticus</i>	5	100	100	20	0	20	60	40	60	20	20
<i>Strept. pyogenes</i>	2	100	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	0
Total overall	87	100	80.84	43.32	10.75	36.32	41.88	23.15	33.7	44.89	15.74

DISCUSSION

Sub-clinically infected cows are cyclic shedders of organisms and cycle through low and high shedding patterns of pathogens during lactation. In addition cows suffering from sub-clinically mastitis show no signs, secrete apparently normal milk for long time during which infected animals act as potential reservoir for the responsible causative organisms and spread infection among neighboring animals in the herd (Mohamed, *et al.*, 1993). In the present study results revealed that sub-clinical mastitis in Holstein-Friesian cows were 31.82% by C. M. T. Among Friesian dairy cows, sub-clinical mastitis ranged from 5.5% (Zahid, 2004), 18.5% (Rahman, *et al.*, 1997) up to 67% (Nahed Wahba, *et al.*, 2005). The sub-clinical mastitis incidence varied widely due to changing management conditions and different diagnostic tests used (Radostits, *et al.*, 2000). Since the C.M.T. field test is dependable and reliable perfect test in good agreement with bacteriological results (El-Gaml, 1989 and El-Balkemy, *et al.*, 1997), it appeared to agree 100% with bacteriological isolation in the present work and proved its superiority than modified Whiteside test which detected false positive results, 2 milk samples. False positive of Whiteside test is documented (Nahed Wahba, *et al.*, 2005).

As shown in Table (1), the incidence of mixed infection was 97.14% and single infection was 2.86%, this finding reflects an idea about level of environmental bacterial contamination in the herd and demonstrates the complexity of the disease. In addition *Staph. aureus* may predispose the herd to infection by coliforms or other pathogens (Jain, 1979 and Ibtisam, *et al.*, 1993).

In the present work, shown in Table (2), the frequency percentage of contagious bacteria causing sub-clinical mastitis was 35.92% (*Staph. aureus*, 16.50%; *Strept. agalactia*, 10.68%; *Coryneb. spp.*, 7.77% and *Strept. dysgalactia*, 0.97%). The contagious organisms are well adapted to survive in the udder and usually establish mild subclinical infection for long duration (National Mastitis Council, 1987; Mohamed *et al.*, 1993; El-Khodery and Hoedemaker, 2005 and Abdel-Khalek and El-Sherbini, 2005) and can spread from infected quarters to other quarters (Smith and Hogan, 1995; Harmon and Langlois, 1986; Bramley, *et al.*, 1996 and El-Balkemy, *et al.*, 1997).

Staph. aureus and *Strept. agalactiae* are commonly isolated from sub-clinical mastitis (Abou-Zaid and Bahout, 1993; Ahmed and Azza, 2001; Abdel-Khalek and El-Sherbini, 2005 and Hanaa, *et al.*, 2005),

where *Staph. aureus* commonly produce long-lasting infections as it developed sophisticated system to avoid phagocytosis and intra-cellular killing by neutrophils or macrophages (Vanfurth and Van Zwet, 1986).

The results obtained in Table (2), revealed that the frequency percentage of environmental bacteria was 64.08%, this result indicated the poor milking hygiene, exposure of the teat end to the environmental pathogens. The environmental bacteria which may cause mastitis usually originate from the surrounding environment including air, soil, water, bedding material, faecal matter, milking man and milking utensils (Anwer, *et al.*, 2003). The portal of entry into mammary gland for Gram-negative bacteria is the teat canal. Once in the gland, bacteria must utilize available substrates in the mammary secretion to replicate and evade host defenses (El-Mahronki, *et al.*, 2006).

The most familiar environmental pathogen, *E. coli*, is widely documented to be a sub-clinical mastitis pathogen (Ahmad, *et al.*, 1991; Todhunter, *et al.*, 1991; Abou-Zaid and Bahout, 1993; Kader, *et al.*, 2002; Anwer, *et al.*, 2003; Awad and Abeer, 2003 and Moussa, *et al.*, 2006). Its persistence within the mammary environment was of the recurrent quarter *E. coli* mastitis and its spread among other quarters and cows during the milking process (Bradley and Green, 2001).

In the present work identifying of *E. coli* O₁₅₇ was performed by enriching in mEC- medium with novobiocin followed by streaked onto Sorbital MacConkey agar. These media were the efficacious sensitive media for enrichment and isolation of *E. coli* O₁₅₇, *E. coli* O₁₅₇ colonies was appeared pale in colour (sorbitol negative) on sorbitol MacConkey agar as compared with bright pink sorbitol positive colonies produced by *E. coli* (Farmer and Davis, 1985 and Heuvelink, *et al.*, 1997). Concerning to the biochemical reactions of *E. coli* O₁₅₇ were typical as *E. coli* with exception of sorbitol fermentation (Doyle and Schoeni, 1987). *E. coli* O₁₅₇ was negative for sorbitol, cellubiose and reffinose fermentation in present work. The failure of fermentation of these sugars were the main biochemical differenation of *E. coli* O₁₅₇ from other *E. coli* species (Varnam and Evans, 1991 and De Boer and Heuvelink, 2000). As shown in Table (2) incidence of *E. coli* O₁₅₇ was 1.94%. Moussa, *et al.* (2006) recorded that *E. coli* O₁₅₇ was 3.12% from examined milk samples of sub-clinical mastitic cows.

Enterobacter aerogenes, *Proteus vulgaris*, *Serratia marcescens* and *Salmonella spp.* were identified to be as environmental mastitis pathogens (Ahmad, *et al.*, 1991 and Todhunter, *et al.*, 1991).

Identification of the causative organism and sensitivity testing beside culling of untreatable cows are very important for control of sub-clinical mastitis, so in the present study, the prevalent bacteria isolates were tested for antibacterial sensitivity pattern as shown in Table (3). The obtained results revealed the most effective antimicrobial agent all over the study was ciprofloxacin, followed by gentamycin with susceptibility 100% and 80.84%, respectively. Similar results were obtained by Wadhwa, *et al.*, 1996; Ahmed and Azza, 2001; Abd El-Hafeez, 2002; Kader, *et al.*, 2002; Abdel-Khalek and El-Sherbini, 2005 and Gad El-Said, *et al.*, 2005.

From the obtained results, it was concluded that recurrent sub-clinical mastitis in Holstein-Friesian dairy cows was mostly caused by mixed infection either contagious or environmental pathogens. Persisted contagious organisms facilitated the inters of environmental pathogens intra-mammary which complicated the problem initiated the recurrences going towards high incidence and bacterial antibiotics resistance.

REFERENCES

- Abd El-Hafeez, M.M. (2002):* In vitro antimicrobial susceptibility and resistance pattern of *Staphylococcus spp.* recovered from bovine mastitis. Int. Conf. for Develop. and the Env. in the Arab World, March, 26-28, 21-32.
- Abdel-Khalek, A. and El-Sherbini, M. (2005):* Prevalence of contagious pathogens of bovine subclinical mastitis and relationship to bacterial and somatic cell counts. 4th Int.Sci.Conf., Mansoura 1-10.
- Abou-Zaid, A. A. and A.A. Bahout (1993):* Studies on subclinical mastitis in cattle. J. Egypt. Vet. Med. Ass.. 53, No.1&2, 251-259.
- Ahmed, H.F. and Azza M.M. Deeb (2001):* Prevalence of subclinical mastitis in dairy cows in Kafr El-Sheikh and El-Gharbia governorates with special observation to antibiotic sensitivity. 6th Sci. Cong., Egyptian Society for Cattle Diseases, 4-6 Nov., Assiut, Egypt
- Ahmad, R.; Javaid, S. and Lateef, M. (1991):* Studies on prevalence, aetiology and diagnosis of subclinical mastitis in dairy animals. Pkistan Vet. J., 11, No. 3: 138-140.
- American Public Health Association (APHA) (1985):* Standard methods for examination of dairy products. 15th Ed. Washington D. C

- Anwer, W.; Mohga F. Badawi and Gehan Z. Moustafa (2003): Environmental micro-organisms causing mastitis in dairy cattle reared under different hygienic measures. J. Egypt. Vet. Med. Assoc. 63, no.1: 161-170.
- Awad, W.S. and Abeer, A. Abd-El-All (2003): Diagnosis of subclinical mastitis in lactating cows using concentration of milk immunoglobulin G, SCC and Nagase activity. J. Egypt. Vet. Med. Assoc. 63, no. 6: 73-83.
- Bramley, A.J.; Harmon, R.J; Smith, K.L. and Hogan, J.S. (1996): Current concepts of bovine mastitis. 4th ed. The National mastitis Council Walton Commons West, Masdison, W/53704 (608) 224-0622.
- Bannerman, D.D.; Springer, H.R.; Paape, M.J.; Kauf, A.C. and Goff, J.P. (2008): Evaluation of breed-dependent differences in the innate immune responses of Holstein and Jersey cows to *Staphylococcus aureus* intramammary infection. J. Dairy Res. 75(3): 291-301.
- Bauer, A.W.; Kirby, M.M.; Sherris, J.C. and Turch, M. (1966): Antibiotic susceptibility testing by a standardized single disc method. Am. J. Clin. Pathol.45: 493-496.
- Bradley, A.J. and Green, M.J. (2001): Aetiology of clinical mastitis in six Somerset dairy herds. Vet Rec.; 148 (22): 683-686.
- Brown, M.B. and Scassera, A.E. (1990): Antimicrobial Resistant in *Streptococcal species* from Bovine Mammary Gland. Am. J. Vet. Res. 51: 2015-2021.
- Choudhury, S.P. and Narayan, K.G. (1984): Longitudinal epidemiological studies of bovine mastitis in an organized farm. Indian J. Dairy Sci. 37: 150-154.
- Cruikshank, R.; Duguid, J.P.; Mormion, B.P. and Swaim, R.H.A. (1975): The practice of medical microbiology 12th Ed., Vol. Churchill Livingstone London, U. K.
- De Boer, E. and Heuvelink, A.F. (2000): Methods for the detection and isolation of Shiga-toxin producing *E. coli*. J. of Applied Microbiology symposium supplement, 88, 133-143.
- Doyle, M.P. and Schoeni, S.L. (1987): Isolation of *E. coli* O157:H7 from retail fresh meats and poultry. Appl. Environ. Microbiol., 53: 2394-2396.

- El-Balkemy, F.A.; Esmat, M.; Afaf Menazie and Azza N. Farag (1997):* Evaluation of screening tests used for detection of subclinical mastitis. 4th Sci. Cong. Egyptian Society for Cattle Diseases, 7-9 Dec., Assiut, Egypt: 181-191.
- El-Gaml, A.M. (1989):* Studies on subclinical mastitis among dairy farms. M.V.Sc. Thesis. Fac. of Vet. Med. Zagazig Univ.
- El-Khodery, S.A. and Hoedemaker, M. (2005):* Incidence and type of mastitis in the livestock of Northern Germany concerning management factors. 4th Int. Sci. Conf., Mansoura, 5-6 April, 973-987.
- El-Mahronki, A.M.; Nevine, M. Sobhy and Aggour, M.G. (2006):* Detection of Coliform mastitis in cattle with special references to molecular characterization of enterotoxigenic *E. coli* using Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR). J. Egypt. Vet. Med. Assoc. 66, No.1: 47-58.
- Farmer, J.J. and Davis, B.R. (1985):* H7 antiserium sorbitol fermentation medium for detecting *E. coli* O157:H7 associated with haemorrhagic colitis. J. Clin. Microbiol., 22:620-625.
- Finegold, S.M. and Martin, W.T. (1982):* Diagnostic Microbiology. 6th ed. C.V. Mosby Co. St. Louis Toronto, London.
- Gad El-Said, W.A.; El-Jakee, J.K.; Xandel, M.M. and Mona, A. El-Shabrawy (2005):* Presence of *E.coli* O₁₅₇:H₇ in raw milk and meat samples. J. Egypt. Vet. Med. Assoc. 65, no 3: 341-350.
- Hanaa, A. Alam; Raghib, R.W.; Mettias, K.N. and Amal, A. El-Rashidy (2005):* Application of bulk tank analysis in Egyptian dairy farms. J. Egypt. Vet. Med. Assoc. 65, No.1: 237-247.
- Harmon, R.J. and Langlois, B.E. (1986):* Prevalence of minor pathogens and associated somatic cell counts. Proc. 25th Annu. Mtg Natl. Mastitis council., pp 11-23.
- Heuvelink, A.E.; Wernars, K. and De Bore, E. (1996):* Occurrence of *E. coli* O₁₅₇ and other verocytotoxins-producing in retail raw meats in the Netherlands. J. of Food Prot. 59, 1267-1272.
- Heuvelink, A.E.; Zwarkhuis-Nahuis, J.T.M. and De Boer, E. (1997):* evaluation of media and test kits for the detection and isolation of *E. coli* O157 from minced beef. J. Food Prot. 60, 817-824.
- Ibtisam, E. Mohamed; G.E. Mohamed, G.E and El-Owni O.A.O. (1993):* A study on the incidence and etiology of bovine mastitis in Sudan. 2nd Sci. Cong. Egyptian Society for Cattle Diseases, 5-7 Dec. Assiut, Egypt, 326-332.

- Jain, N.C. (1979): Common mammary pathogens and factors in infection & mastitis. *J. of dairy science*, 62: 128-134.
- Jha, V.C.; Thakur, P.P. and Yadav, J.N. (1994): Bacterial species isolated from bovine mastitis and their sensitivity patterns. *Vet. Review Kathmandu*, 9: 21-23.
- Kader, M.A.; Samad, M.A.; Saha, S. and Taleb, M.A. (2002): Prevalence and etiology of subclinical mastitis with antibiotic sensitivity to isolated organisms among Milch cows in Bangladesh. *I. J. D. S.*, 55, 4, 218-223.
- Moussa, I.M.; Ashgan, M. Mostafa and Mohamed, K.H.F. (2006): Determination of phylogenetic relationships among *Escherichia coli* isolates recovered from bovine fecal and milk samples by Rapid-PCR analysis. *J. Egypt Vet. Med. Assoc.* 66, No.1: 7-25.
- Mohamed, H.A. Halawa, M.A. and Attia, S.A. (1993): Bacteria association with subclinical mastitis in Friesian cows milk. *J. Egypt. Vet. Med. Assoc.* 53, No. 1&2: 267-271.
- Murphy, J.M. and Hanson, J.J. (1941): A modified white side test for detection of chronic bovine mastitis. *Cornell Vet.*, 31-47.
- Nahed, M. Wahba; Ali, M.M. and M.M. Abd El-Hafeez (2005): Microbiological profile of subclinical mastitis and its correlation with field tests and the somatic cell count. *Assiut Vet. Med. J.*, 51 No.104: 62-75.
- National Mastitis Council (1987): Current concepts of bovine mastitis. 3rd ed., Arlington, VA. The Netherlands.
- Okrend, A.; Rose, B.E. and Bennett, B. (1990): A screening method for the isolation of *E. coli* O157:H7 from ground beef. *J. Food Prot.* 53: 249-252.
- Phuektes, P.; Mansell, P.D.; Dyson, R.S.; Hooper, N.D.; Dick, J.S. and Browning, G.F. (2001): Molecular epidemiology of *Streptococcus uberis* isolates from dairy cows with mastitis. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* 39(4): 1460-1466.
- Quinn, P.J.; Carter, M.E.; Markey, B. and Carter, G.R. (1994): *Clinical Veterinary Microbiology*. Walfe publishing, an imprint of Mosby-year book Europe Limited.
- Radostits, O.M.; Gay, C.C.; Blood, D.C. and Hincheliff, K.W. (2000): *Veterinary Medicine*. 9th Edn., W.B.Saunders Co. Ltd., London.

- Rahman, M.S.; Nooruddin, M. and Rahman, M.M. (1997):* Prevalence and distribution of mastitis in crossbred and exotic dairy cows. *Bangl.Vet. 14: 1- 4.*
- Refai, M. (1988):* Mastitis, aetiology, diagnosis and control. *J. Egypt. Vet. Med. Ass. 48, No. 4, 521-545.*
- Rosenberger, G. (1979):* Clinical Examination of Cattle. 1st Edn., Verlagpaul Parey, Berlin, Germany.
- Sakai, S.; Nonobe, E.; Satow, T.; Imakawa, K. and Nagaoka, K. (2008):* Production of hydrogen peroxide by a small molecular mass compound in milk from Holstein cows with high and low somatic cell count. *J. Dairy Res. Aug.; 75(3): 335-339.*
- Schalm, O.W.; Carroll, E.J. and Jain, N.C. (1971):* Bovine mastitis. Lea&Febbiger, Philadelphia. USA.
- Smith, K.L. and Hogan, J.S. (1995):* Epidemiology of mastitis. Proceedings third international mastitis seminar. Book II Session t. Tel Aviv, II: pp. 3-12.
- Todhunter, D.A.; Larry, K.; Smith, K.L.; Joseph, S.; Hogan, J.S.; Pamela, S. and Schoenberger, P.S. (1991):* Gram-negative bacterial infections of the mammary gland in cows. *Am. J. Vet. Res., 52:184-188.*
- Vanfurth, R. and Van Zwet, T. (1986):* In vitro determination of phagocytosis and intracellular killing by polymorphonuclear and mononuclear phagocytes. Incited from; Weir DM. and Herzenberg LA., Handbook of Experimental Immunology, vol.2, Cellular Immunology. Black Scientific Publications, Oxford, UK, PP. 36.1-36.24.
- Varnam, A.H. and Evans, M.G. (1991):* Foodborne pathogens. Wolfe Publ. Ltd., England.
- Varshney, J.P. and Naresh, R. (2004):* Evaluation of a homeopathic complex in the clinical management of udder disease of riverine buffaloes. *Homeopathy. 93 (I): 17: 20.*
- Wadhwa, D.R.; Rao, V.N.; Prasad, B. and Sharma, M. (1996):* Clinical Mastitis in Cows in Palam Valley of Himachal Pradesh: Etiology and Antibigram of Bacterial Isolates. *Indian Vet. J. 73: 1271-1273.*
- Zahid, I.A. (2004):* Studies on comparative incidence of subclinical and clinical mastitis and in vitro antibiotic susceptibility of isolates from Holstein-Friesian and Jersey Cows and Buffaloes. *Pakistan Vet. J., 24(2): 76-81.*