

## PERFORMANCE OF GROWING CROSSBRED FRIESIAN CALVES FED BIOLOGICAL TREATED ROUGHAGES AND CONCENTRATE: 1- FEEDING VALUES AND ANIMAL PERFORMANCE.

A.A.M. Soliman<sup>1</sup>; M.S., Lasheen<sup>2</sup>; A.A., Hegazy<sup>2</sup>, M.F.Ahmed<sup>3</sup> and M. G. Zweil<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Animal Production Res. Inst. Agric. Res. Center, Dokki, Giza, Egypt.

<sup>2</sup> Animal Production Dept., Fac. Of Agric. Al-Azhar Univ., Násr city, Egypt.

<sup>3</sup> Microbial Chemistry Dept., National Research Center, Dokky, Egypt.

(Received 23/7/2008, Accepted 3/3/2009)

### SUMMARY

The aim of this study was to investigate the effect of feeding biological treated roughages and concentrate feed mixture of growing crossbred Friesian calves on the nutritive values and animal performance. A feeding trial for 183 days was carried out using forty eight crossbred Friesian calves of 6 -7 months old and  $189.17 \pm 3.58$  Kg live body weight (LBW). Animals were divided into 3 similar groups in LBW of 16 calves; each group was divided into two bgroups (8 calves each). The experimental groups allotted randomly into six rations: control (R1, R3 and R5): 2% of LBW. Concentrate feed mixture (CFM) and *ad-libitum* straws of wheat, bean and clover, respectively, while R2, R4 and R6 included 2% CFM of LBW plus biological treated (*Trichoderma harzinaum F-418* fungi) previous straws *ad-libitum*, respectively. Feeding period extending to 183 days. Feed intake, digestibility coefficients, nutritive values, daily gain, feed conversion and economical efficiency was determined. Results indicated that the apparent digestibility coefficients of all nutrients were higher ( $P<0.05$ ) with rations containing biological treated straws than those in other rations. Nutritive values as TDN and DCP were significantly higher ( $P<0.05$ ) with biological treatment than the control groups. Also, CF and fiber fractions digestibility of biological treated straws were significantly higher ( $P<0.05$ ) than the control treatments. Daily DMI expressed as Kg/h/d or DM/kg  $W^{0.75}$  was significantly ( $P<0.05$ ) higher in calves fed rations containing biological treated straws compared to those given the control rations. Calves received rations containing biological treated straws recorded higher ( $P<0.05$ ) average daily gain (ADG) than those received the control rations, the realized ADG was 1.328, 1.524 and 1.721 kg/day for R2, R4 and R6; respectively, while calves of the control groups R1, R3 and R5 recorded 1.253, 1.357 and 1.456 kg/day, respectively. Feed conversion of calves fed R2, R4 and R6 was markedly better than of the control groups. Economical efficiency was better with calves fed rations containing biological treated bean and clover straws, while wheat straw was not economic (R2). It could be concluded that, feeding biological treated (*Trichoderma harzinaum F-418* fungi), wheat, bean

and clover straws *ad-libitum* with 2% of LBW concentrate feed mixture (2% of LBW of growing crossbred Friesian calves), resulted in superior nutrition status and better daily gain, feed conversion and economical efficiency, as compared with control groups could be recommended.

*Keywords: straws, fungus, biological treatments, feeding value, frisian calves*

## INTRODUCTION

In Egypt, the shortage of animal feedstuffs, in general and protein in particular, attracted the attention of many research workers towards the unconventional feed resources. It was stated that the annual nutritional requirements of animal population is estimated to be 12.86 million tons of TDN and 1.367 million tons of DCP per year, according to (Census 1982). However, only 4.0 to 4.3 million tons of crop residues out of 13.7-15.2 million tons produced are used for feeding ruminants (EL-Shinnawy, 1990, Hathout and EL-Nouby, 1990). Approximately two thirds of the crop residues are burned or wasted, and hence lead to environmental pollution and consequently health hazards. Accordingly, the biological treated roughages can provide farm animals with high source of energy as a result of improving residue crops. Many *in vivo* studies were done in different parts of the world on biological treatments of straws for improving their nutritional quality have remained for a long time at the laboratory scale only (Flegel and Meevootison 1986). Due to the urgent needs to search for more available and cheaper roughage, resources particularly agricultural by-products for animal feeding, improving the nutritive values of such residues would provide a major contribution in the field of feed resources. In summer season, the available feeds {mainly concentrate feed mixture (CFM) and straws} only cover 39% and 22% of the animal energy and protein requirements (El-Serafy, 1991). However, its use for small and large ruminants has not been fully explored.

However, no studies have reported or assessed its replacement rates or comparative feeding value primarily with high energy growing and finishing rations for growing calves. Fouad *et al.*, (1998) showed that feeding biological treated six different kinds of low quality roughages (cotton stalks, corn cobs, corn stalks, rice straw, wheat straw and bean straw) and concentrate feed mixture (1% of LBW of growing lambs) resulted in improvement of feed consumption and better daily gain, feed and economical efficiencies, rumen fermentation and blood parameters without negative effects on animal metabolism.

The present study aimed to investigate: (1) the ability of biological treatment with (*Trichoderma harzinaum F-418* fungi) to improve, its chemical composition, cell wall constituents, and nutritive value in terms TDN and DCP. (2) Effect of feeding CFM with rate of 2% of LBW plus biological treated wheat, bean or clover straws *ad-libitum* for growing calves on their performance.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

This study was carried out at Arabic Agriculture Company at El-Behera governorate and Animal Production Research Institute. A feeding trial lasted 183 days was carried out on forty eight crossbred Friesian calves of 6 -7 months old and  $189.17 \pm 3.58$  Kg LBW. Animals were divided into similar 6 subgroups (8 calves each). Eight tons of wheat straw,

bean straw and clover straw were obtained from the same company fields, while the fungal strain of (*Trichoderma harzinaum F-418* fungi) was obtained from the Microbial Chemistry Laboratory, National Research Center.

**Preparation of fungal inoculums:**

Three days old slants cultures of *Trichoderma harzinaum F-418* was crushed into flask containing 250 ml of sterilized water. The inoculum was used to inoculate 500 ml capacity flasks containing 20g of cooled sterilized sugar beet pulp moistened by basal medium containing 2% molasses, 0.2% urea, 0.2%  $\text{KH}_2\text{PO}_4$  and 0.05  $\text{MgSO}_4 \cdot 7\text{H}_2\text{O}$  in solid liquid ratio 1:2 by 10% (v/w). The inoculated flasks were incubated in adjusted temperature incubator at  $30 \pm 1$  °C for 5 days. These inoculums were used to inoculate 10 liters containers each contained 1 kg sugar beet pulp moistened with medium containing the composition of the same above mentioned medium by 10% (w/w) then incubated for 3 days to produce the fungal cultures that used for enrichment of the experimental straws at 10% (w/w). Then fermented for a week in room temperature.

**Preparation of fungal treatments:**

The treated chopped straws (1-1.5 inch) were moisture at 65 – 70% and well mixed with specific fungal prepared culture at 10% (w/w) and left for three weeks open air. The treated straw was mixed well at intervals 48 hours, the moisture decreased to about 12%.

The experimental groups allotted randomly into six rations as shown in (Table 1): control (R1, R3 and R5): 2% of LBW. CFM plus *ad-libitum* straws of wheat, bean and clover, respectively, while R2, R4 and R6 included 2% CFM of LBW. plus biological treated (*Trichoderma harzinaum F-418* fungi) previous straws *ad-libitum*, respectively.

Concentrate feed mixture (CFM) consisted of; 35% yellow corn grain, 25% wheat bran, 20% undecortecated cottonseed meal, 10% line meal, 5 soybean meal, 2% limestone, 1.5% common salt, 0.5% ammonium chloride, 0.3% premix, 0.3% dicalssium phosphate, 0.3% sodium bicarbonate, and 0.1% yeast.

Feeds were offered in group feeding in two equal portions at 8.00 am and 4.00 pm. Refused feeds (if any) were daily collected and recorded. The offered amounts of feed mixtures were biweekly adjusted according to body weight changes. Drinking water was freely available all times. During the mid of the feeding trial, three animals were chosen randomly from each group to be subjected to digestibility trials. Grab sample method was used and acid insoluble ash method (AIA) internal marker was applied for determining the digestibility (Van Keulent and Young, 1977). Faeces grab samples were collected handily at 12.00 a.m. for three successive days from each animal for chemical analysis.

**Chemical analysis:**

**Feeds:**

Proximate chemical analysis of feeds, ingredients, feces and urine were done according to A.O.A.C. (1990). Fiber fractions were done according to Goering and Van Soest (1970), while digestible energy (DE) and metabolizable energy (ME) MJ/kg DM of the tested rations were calculated according to (MAAF, 1975) equations.

**Aflatoxin:**

The parent compound of extracted AFB<sub>1</sub> from the biological treated roughages was spotted in duplicate on thin layer plates having silica gel of 0.25 mm thick [March, DC-Kieselgel 60 (Dramstadt, GFR)] were used and quantitatively determined using TLC

scanner 3-CAMAG. Assay of aflatoxin B<sub>1</sub> was done according to Shanon *et al.*, 1983 method.

**Statistical Analysis:**

The data for all traits were statistically analyzed according to Snedecor and Cochran, 1980 using program of SAS (1995). The difference between means was tested by Duncen multiple range test, (1955).

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**Chemical composition:**

Chemical composition of the experimental straws were presented in Table (1).The biological treated wheat, bean and clover straws with (*Trichoderma harzinaum*) TH resulted in slight decreasing dry matter (DM) being 0.93 0.97 and 0.96%, respectively. Also, all treated straws were decreased OM, CF and fiber fractions contents, while CP and ash content were increased compared with control. The substantial increase in crude protein (CP) of the biological treated straws against control groups being 5.08 vs.1.81%, 10.71 vs. 5.96% and 11.20% vs. 6.45%, respectively. Similar results were reported by Langer *et al.* (1980) who reported that the fungal treated straw led to decrease of OM and CF contents, while CP and ash contents increased as compared to the untreated wheat straw. Ward and Perry (1982) reported that the treated corn cubs with fungus increased the CP content up to 14%, while Dahanda *et al.*, (1994) mentioned that the CP content of spent straw increased from 3.42% to 6.1%. Biological treatment of straws resulted in reducing NDF, ADF, ADL, cellulose, hemicelluloses and energy content. These observations were agreement with Kholif (2005) and Mahrous (2005).The degradation of various fiber fractions increased with increasing level of hemicelluloses. These observations indicating their influence on hemicelluloses breakdown as the effect of the biological treatment. Dahanda *et al.*, (1994) found that the increase of crude protein in white rot fungi treated straw was due to the capture of excess nitrogen by aerobic microbes and conversion of the same into microbial protein during solid-state fermentation. Generally, the biological treatment with TH was led to crude protein augmentation and reduces the fiber fractions.

**Table (1): Chemical composition, fiber fractions and calculated the experimental rations and gross energy (GE\*\*).**

Item	DM%	Nutrients% (DM basis)										GE, MJ /kg DM	AFB <sub>1</sub> µg/kg DM	
		OM	CP	CF	EE	NFE	Ash	NDF	ADF	ADL	Cell.			Hem.
CFM*	100	91.18	16.84	9.42	4.11	60.81	8.82	33.52	17.88	7.82	10.06	15.64	17.37	
Untreated WS	91.36	89.15	1.81	40.11	0.42	46.81	10.85	77.09	45.28	9.89	35.39	31.81	16.57	
Treated WS	90.51	83.41	5.08	38.01	0.68	39.64	16.59	69.18	40.13	6.29	30.8	23.05	15.74	4.50
Untreated BS	92.68	84.29	5.96	37.79	0.72	44.82	10.71	68.17	49.32	9.60	39.72	18.85	16.83	--
Treated BS	91.78	84.58	10.71	35.53	1.05	37.29	15.42	63.15	45.12	7.50	37.62	18.03	16.27	4.00
Untreated CS	91.60	88.75	6.45	38.15	0.86	43.29	11.25	65.10	51.23	13.35	37.88	13.87	16.79	--
Treated CS	90.72	85.80	11.20	34.18	1.75	38.67	14.20	60.08	48.11	9.17	38.94	11.97	16.65	4.25

\*CFM = Concentrate feed mixture, WS=wheat straw, BS=bean straw and CS=clover straw.

\*\*GE, MJ/kg DM = 0.0226 CP + 0.0407 EE + 0.0192 CF + 0.0177 NFE (MAFF, 1975).

***Digestibility coefficients and nutritive values:***

Results obtained in (Table 2) indicated that all digestibility coefficients, % of nutrients showed higher ( $P<0.05$ ) values with rations contained biological treated roughages as compared with untreated groups (control). The improvement in DM digestibility coefficients% being 5.37, 7.65 and 5.52%, for wheat, clover and bean straws, respectively, while the corresponding values of CP% were 4.97, 8.16 and 10.27%, respectively. The results were in agreement with the results obtained by Wiedmieier *et al.* (1987) who indicated that DM and CP digestibilities of cattle fed diet treated white fungi were higher than the untreated diet. Digestibility coefficients of CF and fiber fractions (NDF, ADF, cellulose and hemicellulose) were increased ( $P<0.05$ ) higher for biological treated straws compared with control groups. The improvement in CP, CF and fiber fractions digestibility coefficients of over a wide range of low quality roughages due to fungus treatments were observed by El-Ashry *et al.* (1997), Fouad *et al.* (1998) and Kholif *et al.* (2005). Gordon (1985) found that roughages subjected to biological treatments increased digestibility of nutrients especially CF because biological treatments degraded crude fiber by cellulose enzymes produced by microorganisms during incubation of roughages. The results agree with Fouad *et al.* (1998) and Mahrous *et al.* (2005) who reported that the NDF, ADF, cellulose and hemicellulose digestibilities of fungal treated roughages were ( $P<0.05$ ) higher than untreated roughages. Deraz and Ismail (2001) and Kholif *et al.* (2005) who mentioned that fungus treatments had the effect of loosening legnocellulitic bonds and solublize some of the hemicelluloses content. The nutritive value of treated rations as TDN were the highest for biological treated wheat straw, bean and clover straw being 64.37%, 66.17% and 69.07%, where they significantly higher ( $P<0.05$ ) than their control groups (60.21%), (62.34%) and (64.03%), respectively. Increasing feed intake of biological treated roughages was accompanied with increasing values of TDN and DCP which mainly attributed to the increase in digestibility of CP and other nutrients. Differences in TDN and DCP ( $P<0.05$ ) values between the biological treated and control rations were 6.91, 6.14 and 7.87% for TDN and 16.40, 16.65 and 24.30% for DCP of wheat, bean and clover straws, respectively. The observed increase in digestibilities of most nutrients of including biological treated roughages may be attributed to its high digestible and metabolizable energy content compared to their contents of control groups (Table 2). Phillips *et al.*, (1995) concluded that increasing diet fat content encouraged digestibility coefficients of all nutrients especially CP and CF by growing lambs. Also, DCP and calculated energy content (digestible and metabolizable energy) were higher ( $P<0.05$ ) with fungus treatments than the control groups. These results agree with Azzam (1992), Singh and Gupta (1994), Hammouda (1996) and Kholif *et al.* (2005). They reported that, biological treatment of roughages could increase the digestibility coefficients for most nutrients and thus their feeding values as TDN and DCP compared with untreated materials.

***Feeding trial:***

Data presented in Table (3) illustrated that DM intake increased with calves consumed biological treated roughages to a level made total feed intake was higher significantly ( $P<0.05$ ) than those fed control groups. The average DM intake expressed as (kg/h/d) increased by 4.96, 5.49 and 8.22% for biological treated wheat, bean and clover straws, respectively, compared with control ration groups. However, when DM intake was related to metabolic body weights ( $\text{kg DM/kg W}^{0.75}$ ) the intake was slightly increased by increasing treated roughages offered. This might be attributed to the higher treated

roughage ratio led to increase DM intake. Increased in feed intake may be attributed to best palatability of biological treated straws. Feed intake expressed as DE or ME (MJ/kg DM) appeared the same previous trend and increased with animals received rations containing biological treated straws. In this respect, Taie *et al* (1998) and Suliman and Marzouk (2006), as they found that feeding high energy diets resulted in greater daily body weight gain.

**Table (2): Digestion coefficients and nutritive values of the experimental rations.**

Item	Wheat straw		Bean straw		Clover straw		± SE
	Untreat.	Treated	Untreat.	Treated	Untreat.	Treated	
	R1	R2	R3	R4	R5	R6	
DM	63.07 <sup>c</sup>	66.46 <sup>a</sup>	62.76 <sup>a</sup>	67.56 <sup>b</sup>	63.21 <sup>c</sup>	66.70 <sup>b</sup>	3.22*
OM	71.23 <sup>b</sup>	77.89 <sup>a</sup>	62.44 <sup>a</sup>	68.25 <sup>c</sup>	62.64 <sup>c</sup>	67.13 <sup>d</sup>	3.41*
CP	60.95 <sup>d</sup>	63.98 <sup>b</sup>	62.72 <sup>a</sup>	67.84 <sup>b</sup>	62.55 <sup>c</sup>	68.98 <sup>a</sup>	3.25*
CF	62.82 <sup>d</sup>	67.75 <sup>c</sup>	67.53 <sup>c</sup>	68.88 <sup>b</sup>	62.82 <sup>d</sup>	67.75 <sup>a</sup>	2.42*
EE	72.13 <sup>c</sup>	74.30 <sup>b</sup>	72.12 <sup>c</sup>	77.84 <sup>a</sup>	72.13 <sup>c</sup>	75.30 <sup>b</sup>	3.16*
NFE	63.98 <sup>a</sup>	69.80 <sup>d</sup>	65.58 <sup>a</sup>	72.80 <sup>b</sup>	70.38 <sup>c</sup>	76.80 <sup>a</sup>	2.28*
NDF	66.12 <sup>b</sup>	75.31 <sup>a</sup>	47.65 <sup>d</sup>	54.63 <sup>c</sup>	47.83 <sup>d</sup>	53.76 <sup>c</sup>	3.98*
ADF	64.54 <sup>b</sup>	69.95 <sup>a</sup>	41.32 <sup>d</sup>	43.35 <sup>c</sup>	42.08 <sup>d</sup>	44.15 <sup>c</sup>	4.27*
ADL	41.2 <sup>d</sup>	54.75 <sup>a</sup>	48.45 <sup>c</sup>	50.84 <sup>b</sup>	48.32 <sup>c</sup>	49.70 <sup>ab</sup>	3.57*
Cellulose	69.88 <sup>b</sup>	73.95 <sup>a</sup>	52.21 <sup>d</sup>	58.53 <sup>c</sup>	53.18 <sup>d</sup>	58.61 <sup>c</sup>	2.51*
Hemicellulose	76.59 <sup>b</sup>	82.63 <sup>a</sup>	62.92 <sup>d</sup>	67.62 <sup>c</sup>	62.25 <sup>d</sup>	66.80 <sup>c</sup>	2.11*
<b>Nutritive values:</b>							
DCP%	7.56 <sup>c</sup>	8.80 <sup>b</sup>	8.77 <sup>b</sup>	10.23 <sup>a</sup>	8.89 <sup>b</sup>	11.05 <sup>a</sup>	0.45*
TDN%	60.21 <sup>c</sup>	64.37 <sup>c</sup>	62.34 <sup>d</sup>	66.17 <sup>b</sup>	64.03 <sup>c</sup>	69.07 <sup>a</sup>	3.42*
DE (MJ/kg DM)*	1206.53	1234.39	1059.30	1148.66	1056.27	1121.01	---
ME (MJ/kg DM)**	989.35	1012.20	868.63	941.90	866.14	919.23	---

\*DE and \*\*ME, calculated according to MAAF (1975) using equations being DE (MJ/kg

DM) = Digestible organic matter (DOM X 19) & ME (MJ/kg DM) = DE X 0.82.

a, b, c, d and e Means with different superscripts on the same row are different at (P<0.05).

These results were disagree with those findings by Deraz (1996) and Fouad *et al.* (1998) who observed that chemical and biological treatments increased markedly voluntary DM intake of corn stalks compared with mechanically treated corn stalks, also, Khorshed (2000) and Sabbah *et al.* (2006) who mentioned that reduction in feed intake of biological treated roughages may be attributed to the increased NH<sub>3</sub>-N concentration in blood. Meanwhile, when intake measured as TDN kg/h/d was significantly (P<0.05) increased with calves fed biological treated rations of wheat, bean and clover straws than those fed the control rations. The lowest value was recorded with control group of wheat straw. The differences were significantly (P<0.05). The values of feed intake as TDN kg/h/d were 5.654, 6.099 and 6.804, for biological treated wheat, bean and clover straws, respectively, while there were 5.039, 5.447 and 5.829 kg/h/d, respectively for the control wheat, bean, clover straws groups. Same trend significantly (P<0.05) was observed with DCP intake (kg/h/d) as shown in Table (3).

#### **Daily gain and feed conversion:**

Performance of the growing crossbred Frisian calves (Table 3) indicated that calves fed diet containing biological treated straws (wheat, bean and clover) were heavier (P<0.05) by 5.99, 12.31 and 18.20%, respectively over those fed the control diets. The highest daily gain (kg/d) was obtained with biological treated clover straw (1.721 kg/d)

followed by the biological treated bean straw (1.524 kg/d) and biological treated wheat straw (1.328 k/d), respectively, while the control groups recorded 1.253, 1.357 and 1.456 kg/d for wheat, bean and clover straw, respectively. The differences among groups were significantly ( $P<0.05$ ). These results may be due to their higher intake (total protein and energy intake for treated groups (Table 3). In this respect, these results are in agreement with those reported by Deraz (1996) and Sabbah (2006) showed that growing lambs fed on fungal treated roughages recorded highest daily gain compared with control groups.

Feed conversion expressed as kg DM/kg tended to significantly ( $P<0.05$ ) higher with treated straw. The same significant trend was observed with feed conversion as kg TDN/kg gain with bean and clover straw treatment; while treated wheat straw appeared the opposite trend.

Table (3): Performance of growing crossbred Friesian calves fed biological treated roughages.

Item	Experimental Rations						± SE
	Wheat straw		Bean straw		Clover straw		
	Untreat.	Treated	Untreat.	Treated.	Untreat.	Treated	
	R1	R2	R3	R4	R5	R6	
No. of Animals	8	8	8	8	8	8	—
Duration of trail, days	183	183	183	183	183	183	—
Av. Initial weight, kg	187.5 <sup>a</sup>	189.0 <sup>a</sup>	190.0 <sup>a</sup>	188.0 <sup>a</sup>	189.5 <sup>a</sup>	191.0 <sup>a</sup>	3.58
Av. Final weight, kg	412.5 <sup>c</sup>	432.0 <sup>c</sup>	438.4 <sup>d</sup>	467.0 <sup>b</sup>	455.9 <sup>c</sup>	506.0 <sup>c</sup>	3.45*
Total gain	225.0 <sup>f</sup>	243.0 <sup>e</sup>	248.4 <sup>d</sup>	279.0 <sup>b</sup>	266.4 <sup>c</sup>	315.0 <sup>a</sup>	3.16*
Av. Daily gain, kg	1.253 <sup>a</sup>	1.328 <sup>d</sup>	1.357 <sup>d</sup>	1.524 <sup>b</sup>	1.456 <sup>c</sup>	1.721 <sup>a</sup>	0.60*
Feed consumption: As fed							
Roughage, kg/h/d	3.200 <sup>d</sup>	3.450 <sup>c</sup>	3.292 <sup>d</sup>	3.585 <sup>b</sup>	3.562 <sup>b</sup>	3.905 <sup>a</sup>	0.15*
Concentrate, kg/h/d	6.000 <sup>d</sup>	6.210 <sup>c</sup>	6.284 <sup>c</sup>	6.550 <sup>b</sup>	6.454 <sup>b</sup>	6.970 <sup>a</sup>	0.17*
Av. Daily feed intake, kg/h/d DM basis:							
Roughage	2.924 <sup>d</sup>	3.122 <sup>c</sup>	3.051 <sup>c</sup>	3.290 <sup>b</sup>	3.262 <sup>b</sup>	3.543 <sup>a</sup>	0.13*
Concentrate	5.435 <sup>d</sup>	5.620 <sup>c</sup>	5.687 <sup>c</sup>	5.928 <sup>b</sup>	5.841 <sup>b</sup>	6.308 <sup>a</sup>	0.07*
Total DM intake	8.369 <sup>d</sup>	8.784 <sup>a</sup>	8.738 <sup>a</sup>	9.218 <sup>b</sup>	9.103 <sup>b</sup>	9.851 <sup>a</sup>	0.12*
Daily DM intake, kg W <sup>0.75</sup>	0.090 <sup>b</sup>	0.119 <sup>a</sup>	0.117 <sup>a</sup>	0.120 <sup>a</sup>	0.119 <sup>a</sup>	0.122 <sup>a</sup>	0.04*
Av. Daily TDN intake, kg	5.039 <sup>d</sup>	5.654 <sup>a</sup>	5.447 <sup>c</sup>	6.099 <sup>b</sup>	5.829 <sup>a</sup>	6.804 <sup>a</sup>	0.08*
Av. Daily DCP intake, kg	0.633 <sup>a</sup>	0.773 <sup>d</sup>	0.766 <sup>d</sup>	0.943 <sup>b</sup>	0.809 <sup>b</sup>	1.088 <sup>a</sup>	0.01*
Av. daily DE (MJ/kg DM)	10097	10842	9256	10588	9615	11043	—
Av. daily ME (MJ/kg DM)	8279	8891	7590	8682	7884	9055	—
Feed efficiency:							
Kg DM/kg gain	6.679 <sup>a</sup>	6.614 <sup>c</sup>	6.439 <sup>d</sup>	6.048 <sup>b</sup>	6.252 <sup>c</sup>	5.724 <sup>a</sup>	0.07
Kg TDN/kg gain	4.021 <sup>b</sup>	4.258 <sup>a</sup>	4.014 <sup>b</sup>	4.001 <sup>b</sup>	4.003 <sup>b</sup>	3.953 <sup>b</sup>	0.03
Kg DCP/kg gain	0.505 <sup>a</sup>	0.582 <sup>a</sup>	0.564 <sup>b</sup>	0.618 <sup>d</sup>	0.556 <sup>b</sup>	0.632 <sup>d</sup>	0.01

a, b, c, d and e Means with different superscripts on the same row are different at ( $P<0.05$ ).

With respect to kg DCP/kg gain, the control groups showed better efficiency. This might be due to higher DCP consumed with treated groups. Deraz (1996), Fouad *et al* (1998) and Sabbah *et al* (2006) found that animals fed biological treated roughages were the most efficient groups followed by those fed chemically treated roughages. Rates of improvement in feed conversions as kg DM/kg gain were 0.97, 6.07 and 8.44% for groups fed rations containing biological treated wheat, bean and clover straw, respectively.

Biological treatment can utilize lignin along with cellulose and other components of the substrate. These organisms grow slowly and degrade the structural carbohydrates of

crop residues (Langer *et al.*, 1980). In addition, biological treatments are clear environment besides less possible negative Sid effects.

**Economical efficiency:**

Accordingly, feed cost per kg gain and economical efficiency was better with rations containing biological treated bean and clover straws than those containing biological treated wheat straw and other control rations (Table 4). These results due to mainly for, high price of wheat straw 520.00 L.E. compared with the price of bean and clover straws 400 L.E. each (Table 4). It was noticed that the highest in feed cost /kg gain 10.98 L.E. for ration containing biological treated wheat straw, while ration containing clover straw was cheaper than other groups. These results agree with finding with Deraz (1996), Fouad *et al* (1998) and Sabbah *et al* (2006) who noticed that the lowest feed cost was recorded with animals fed biological treated roughages. Also, results in (Table 4) indicated that economic efficiency improved by 19.91 and 28.17% for rations containing bean and clover straws, respectively, while no improvement in rations containing untreated or biological treated wheat straw.

**Table (4): Feed cost and economical efficiency of growing calves fed different types of biological treated roughages and concentrate.**

Item	Experimental Rations					
	Wheat straw		Bean straw		Clover straw	
	Untreat.	Treated	Untreat.	Treated	Untreat.	Treated
	R1	R2	R3	R4	R5	R6
Feed cost/kg gain (L.E)*	10.06	10.98	9.59	9.01	9.24	8.52
Price of the weight gain **	20.05	21.25	21.71	24.38	23.30	27.54
Return	7.47	7.73	8.69	10.65	9.84	12.88
Economical efficiency***	1.99	1.94	2.26	2.71	2.52	3.23

\*Based on free market prices of feed ingredients 2008, the cost of the experimental rations was estimated as the total prices of the ingredients used in the concentrate feed mixture and roughages, bearing, 1820, 520, 480 and 480 L.E., respectively, and the cost of biological treatment was 125 L.E./ton). Prices of one kg body weight on selling 16.00 L.E.

\*\*Economical efficiency= a ratio between price of weight gain and costs of feed consumed.

It could be concluded that, feeding rations containing biological treated straws (wheat, bean and clover) with (*Trichoderma harzinaum F-418* fungi) *ad-libitum* with 2% of LBW concentrate feed mixture (2% of LBW of growing crossbred Friesian calves), resulted in superior nutrition status and better daily gain, feed conversion and economical efficiency, as compared with control groups.

**REFERENCES**

Akila, S. Hamza; F. Thanaa; A. Mohammadi; A. El-Tahan and M.M. EL-Shinnawy (2006). Effect of combining two biological treatments on chemical composition, digestibility and feeding values of cotton stalks fed to sheep. *Egypt. J. Sheep, Goat and Desert Animal Sci.* 1 (1):187-197.

A.O.A.C. (1990). Association of Official Analytical Chemists of Official Methods of Analysis, 15<sup>th</sup> ed., Washington, D.C.



- Azzam, M.H. (1992). Nutritional studies on some chemically treated poor quality roughages in ruminal rations. M. Sc. Thesis, Fac. Agric., Zagazig Univ.
- Census (1982). Ministry Of Agriculture And Land Reclamation. Economic Affairs Sector – Food Balance Sheet.
- Dahanda, S.; V.K. Kakkar; H.S. Garcha and G.S. Makkar (1994). Biological treatment of paddy straw and its evaluation through ruminant feeding. *Indian J. Anim. Nutr.*, 11 (2), 73-79.
- Deraz, T.A. (1996). The production of microbial protein from some agricultural wastes and its utilization in ruminants. Ph.D.Thesis, Fac. Agric. Ain Shams Univ.
- Deraz, T.A. and H. Ismail (2001). Cotton stalks treated with white-rot fungus for feeding sheep. *Egypt. J. Nutrition and Feeds* 4 (Special Issue); 423-434.
- Duncan, D.B. (1955). Multiple ranges and multiple F test. *J. Biometrics*. 11:1.
- El-Ashry, M.A.; M.F. Ahmed; S.A. El-Saadany; M.E. Youssef; I.A. Gomaa and T.A. Deraz (1997). Effect of mechanical vs. mechano-chemical or mechano-biological treatments of crop residues on their use in ruminant rations, digestibility, nitrogen balance and some blood and rumen parameters of sheep. The 6<sup>th</sup> Conference on Animal Nutrition. 17 – 19 November, (Special Issue) 1:99, El-Minia, Egypt.
- El-Banna, H.M. (1993). Effect of dietary energy and protein and their protein interaction on nutrient utilization by sheep, goats and camels. Ph.D.Thesis, Cairo Univ. Fac. Agric.
- El-Serafy, A.M. (1991). Efficiency of converting Egyptian clover to milk and meat production in two methods of animal production in A.R.E. during years 1985 and 1990. 3<sup>rd</sup> Sci. Symp. On Animal, Poultry and Fish Nutrition, Sakha Kafr El-Sheikh, 26 – 28 Nov. pp 119 (In Arabic).
- EL-Shinnawy, M.M. (1990). The role of fibrous residues in feeding ruminants. 3<sup>rd</sup> International Symp. On Feed Manufacture and Quality Control, 321-326.
- Flegel, T.W. and V. Meevootison (1986). Biological treatments for animal feed. In Proc. Of Intern. Workshop on "rice straw and related feeds in ruminal rations" Kandy SriLank. March 24-28.
- Fouad, R.T.; T.A. Deraz and S.A. Atia-Ismail (1998). Biological versus urea treatment of roughages for sheep. *J. Agric. Sci., Mansoura Univ.*, 23: 103.
- Georing, H.K. and P.J. Van Soest (1970). Forage fiber analysis, apparatus, reagents, procedures and some applications. Agriculture handbook No.379, USDA, ARS, Washington, DC.
- Gorden, G.L. (1985). The potential for manipulation of rumen fungi. *Nutr. Abst. And Rev.* 56 (3): Abstr. 1281.
- Gunter, S.A.; M.L. Galyean and K.J. Malcolm Allis (1998). Factors influencing the performance of feed lot steam limit fed high concentrate diets. *Anim. Sci.* 12: 167-175.
- Hammouda, H.I. (1996). Pretreatments of wheat straw by white-rot fungi: for enzymic saccharification of cellulose. *Eur. J. Appl. Microbial. Biotechnol.*, 18: 350.
- Hathout, M.K. and H. EL-Nouby (1990). Practical application of crop residues treatment in Egypt. 3<sup>rd</sup> International Symp. On Feed Manufacture and Quality Control, 337-348.
- Hungat, E.R. (1966). The rumen and its microbes (Textbook). Academic Press, New York and London.

- Khattab, H.M.; H.M. El-Sayed; S.A. Abo El-Nor, M.Y. Saad and O.H. Abd El-Shaffy (1999).** Evaluation of Agro-industrial by-products mixture fed to goats. *Egyptian J. Nutr. And Feeds*, 2 (Special Issue): 243.
- Kholif, A.M.; M.A. El-Ashry; H.A., El-Alamy; H.M. El-Sayed; M.Fadel and S.Kholif (2005).** Biological treatments banana wastes for feeding lactating goats. *Egypt. J.Nut. and Feeds*, 8 (2): 149 – 162.
- Khorshed, M.M. (2000).** Different treatments for improving nutritional quality of some crop residues used in ruminal nutrition. Ph.D. Thesis, Fac.Agric. Ain Shams Univ.
- Langer, P.N.; J.P. Sehagal and H.S. Garcha (1980).** Chemical changes in wheat straw and paddy straw after fungal cultivation. *Indian J. Anim. Sci.*, 50 (11): 492-946.
- MAAF (1975).** Ministry of Agriculture, Fisheries and Food Energy Allowances and Feeding System for Ruminants. Technical Bulletin, 99 London, H.M. 50.
- Mahrous, A.A. (2005).** Effect of fungus treatments of cotton stalks on sheep performance. *Egypt. J. Nut. And Feeds*, 8 (2): 139 – 148.
- Mehrez, A.Z.; M.M., El-Shinnawy; M.A., El-Ashry and H. M. E., Ead (1983).** Assessment of the associative effect of roughages and concentrates. Diamond Jubilee of Amr. Soc. Anim. Sci. Pulman, Washington State Univ.
- Ministry of Agriculture (2005).** Ministry of Agriculture and Land Reclamation. Economic Affairs Sector .
- Philips, W.A.; G.W. Horn and M.E., Smith (1995).** Effect of protein supplementation on forage intake and nitrogen balance of lambs fed freshly harvested wheat forage. *J. Anim. Sci.*, 73: 2687-2693.
- Sabbah, M. Allam; Hoda, M. El-Hosseiny; M. Fadel; H. M. EL-Banna and A.R.Refai (2006).** Nutrients utilization and growth performance of lambs fed rations containing corn stover treated chemically and biologically. *J. Agric. Sci. Mansoura Univ.*, 31 (4): 1993 – 2007.
- SAS (1995).** SAS User's Guide: Statistical. SAS Inst. Inc., Cary, NC.
- Shanon, G. M.; O. L. Shotwell and F. K. William (1983).** Extraction and thin layer chromatography of aflatoxin B<sub>1</sub> in mixed feeds. *J. Assoc. of Anal, Chem.* 66: 582.
- Shkolnik A.; B. Maltz and S. Gordia (1980).** Desert conditions on goat milk production. *J. Dairy Sci.* 63:1749.
- Singh, G. P. and B. N. Gupta (1994).** Evaluation of nutritive quality of fungal treated straw and further modification of kernel process cereal. *Ind.Anim. Sci.* 64: 857-865.
- Snedecor, G.W. and W.G. Cochran (1980).** *Statistical Methods .7th Ed.* Allied Pacific, Bombay.
- Suliman, A. I. A. (1994).** Improvement of Some Ossimi Productive and reproductive Traits Through with Chios breed Sheep. *M. Sci., Anim. Prod. Dept. Fac. of Agric. EL-Minia Univ.* (1994).
- Suliman, A. I. A. and. K, M, Marzouk (2006).** Nutritional value and economical efficiency of whole maize silage for fattening lambs and carcass Characteristics. *J. Agric. Sci. Mansoura Univ.*, 31(10): 6207-6215.
- Taie, H.T.; M. M. Abdel-Rahman; B.M. Ahmed and S.M., Awara (1998).** Effect of dietary energy on digestibility, rumen fermentation, gestation Kinetic, performance and carcass traits of sheep. *First International Conference on Animal Production and Health in Semi – Arid Areas.* 1-3 September PP. 134, EL-Arish – North Sinai, Egypt

*Egyptian J. Nutrition and Feeds (2009)*

- Van Keulent, J. and B. Young (1977).** Evaluation of acid insoluble ash as a natural marker in ruminant digestibility studies. *J. Anim. Sci.*, 44:282-287.
- Ward, I. W. and T.W. Perry (1982).** Enzymatic conversion of corn cobs to glucose with *Trichoderma viride* fungus and the effect on nutritional value of the corn cobs. *J. Anim. Sci.*, 54 (3): 609-617.
- Wiedmieier, R.D.; M.J. Arambel and L. Walters (1987).** Effect of yeast culture and *Aspergillus oryza* fermentation extract on ruminal characteristics and nutrient digestibility. *J. dairy Sci.*, 70: 2063-2068.

## الأداء الانتاجي للعجول الفريزيان المغذاة على أعلاف خشنة معاملة ١- القيمة الغذائية وأداء الحيوانات

أحمد على محمد سليمان<sup>١</sup> - محمد السميد<sup>٢</sup> - أمين عبد المبدى حجازي<sup>٣</sup> - محمد فاضل<sup>٤</sup> - مصطفى جبر زويل<sup>٥</sup>

<sup>١</sup> معهد بحوث الإنتاج الحيواني - مركز البحوث الزراعية - النقي - جيزة - مصر.

<sup>٢</sup> قسم الانتاج الحيواني - كلية الزراعة - جامعة الأزهر - مدينة نصر - مصر.

<sup>٣</sup> قسم الكيمياء التحليلية - المركز القومي للبحوث - النقي - جيزة - مصر.

تهدف هذه الدراسة إلى اختبار تأثير التقنية على الأعلاف الخشنة المعاملة بيولوجيا مع مخلوط العلف المركز في علائق العجول الفريزيان الخليطة النامية على القيمة الغذائية و أداء الحيوانات . استخدم في هذه الدراسة ٤٨ عجل فريزيان خليط بعمر ٦- ٧ شهور ومتوسط وزن حى ١٨٩.١٧ ± ٣.٥٨ كجم. قسمت الحيوانات إلى ثلاثة مجموعات تجريبية كل منها ١٦ عجل وقسمت كل مجموعة إلى تحت مجموعتين ( ٨ حيوانات في المجموعة )، وزعت المجموعات التجريبية على ستة علائق تجريبية: (الأولى والثالثة والخامسة) عليقة مقارنة (كنترول) غنيت على ٢% من وزن الجسم مخلوط علف مركز + تين قمح و تين فول و تين برسيم حتى الشبع على التوالي. بينما غنيت المجموعات الثانية والرابعة والسادسة على العلف المركز بنسب ٢% من وزن الجسم الحى + الأتيان السابقة المعاملة بيولوجيا بظفر (*Trichoderma harzinaum F-418 fungi*) على التوالي حتى الشبع. امتدت تجربة التقنية إلى ١٨٣ يوم حيث تضمنت تقدير كمية الفناء المأكول ومعاملات الهضم والقيمة الغذائية ومعدلات النمو والكفاءة التحويلية والاقتصادية للحيوانات وكان من النتائج المتحصل عليها من هذه الدراسة. زادت معاملات الهضم الظاهري لكل المركبات الغذائية وكذلك القيمة الغذائية والطاقة الممتلئة للعلائق المحتوية على أتيان معاملة بيولوجيا بدرجة معنوية (٥%) عن مجموعات الكنترول وكانت معاملات هضم الألياف الخام ومكونات الألياف للعلائق المحتوية على أتيان معاملة بيولوجيا أعلى بدرجة معنوية (٥%) عن علائق الكنترول. كان المأكول اليومي معبرا عنه بالكجم / رأس / يوم أو كجم مادة جافة منسوية لحيز الجسم التمثيلي أعلى معنويا (٥%) مع العجول التي غنيت على علائق تحتوى على أتيان معاملة بيولوجيا عن العجول التي غنيت على علائق المقارنة. سجلت العجول التي غنيت على علائق على أتيان معاملة بيولوجيا زيادة معنوية (٥%) عن مجموعات المقارنة وكان متوسط النمو المحقق (١.٣٢٨ & ١.٥٢٤ و ١.٧٢١) كجم / يوم للحيوانات التي غنيت على على أتيان معاملة بيولوجيا مقابل (١.٢٥٣ و ١.٣٥٧ و ١.٤٥٦) كجم/ يوم لمجموعات الكنترول (الأولى والثالثة والخامسة) على التوالي. كانت الكفاءة التحويلية محسوبة ككمادة جافة لكل كجم نمو في عجول العليقة الثانية و والرابعة والسادسة و المحتوية على علائق معاملة بيولوجيا أفضل عن العجول التي غنيت على علائق الكنترول وعند حساب الكفاءة على أساس المركبات الغذائية المهضومة / كجم نمو كانت الكفاءة مع كل من تين الفول و تين البرسيم بينما لم تظهر كفاءة مع تين القمح . كانت الكفاءة الاقتصادية بالنسبة للعجول التي تم تغنيها على علائق تحتوى أتيان معاملة بيولوجيا ( ما عدا تين القمح) أفضل من العجول التي غنيت على العلائق الأخرى. من هنا الدراسة يمكن أن يوصى بالتغذية على الأتيان المعاملة بيولوجيا حتى الشبع ومخلوط العلف المركز (٢% من وزن الجسم الحى) في علائق عجول الفريزيان الخليطة النامية حيث تستطيع تحسين القيمة الغذائية والنمو اليومي والكفاءة الغذائية والاقتصادية