# EFFECT OF USING MALIC ACID ON PERFORMANCE OF JAPANESE QUAIL FED OPTIMAL AND SUB-OPTIMAL ENERGY AND PROTEIN LEVELS

#### BY

#### Mohamed, S. Bahnas

Fac. of Agric., Poultry Production Dept., Fayoum Univ., Fayoum, Egypt.

Received: 09/02/2009 Accepted: 16/03/2009

ABSTRACT: An experiment was conducted to study the effectiveness of dietary malic acid (MA) supplementation as a growth promoter on Japanese quail performance, carcass characteristics, intestinal villi and pH, bacteria enumeration, some blood parameters, digestibility coefficients and economical efficiency. A total number of 360 unsexed day-old Japanese quail chicks were equally divided into 4 groups of 6 replicates each. Two starter-grower corn-soybean meal (C-SBM) basal diets were formulated to contain 24 % CP and 2900 kcal ME /kg diet and 22 % CP and 2750 kcal ME /kg diet, respectively. Also, two layer C-SBM basal diets were formulated to contain 20 % CP and 2900 kcal ME /kg diet and 18 % CP and 2750 kcal ME /kg diet, respectively. Each of the 4 basal diets was either unsupplemented or supplemented with 0.05 % malic acid. Therefore, 4 experimental treatments were used in both starting-growing and laying periods. Each chick group fed one of the 4 experimental diets.

At 35 days of age, a slaughter test was performed to determine carcass traits, edible giblets, lymphoid organs and intestinal villi, microflora count and pH. Blood samples were taken and assayed to determine some serum blood parameters. Digestibility trials were conducted to determine nutrients digestibility for starter-grower experimental diets. At laying period, egg number, weight, mass and production rate as well as feed intake and feed conversion were recorded. At the end of the 90-day period, egg samples were taken and broken out to determine internal egg quality and analysis.

From nutritional and economical point of view, it was observed that using malic acid at a level of 0.05 % in Japanese quail diets containing sub-optimal energy and protein levels helped in reducing microflara count, particularly pathogens and in turn, improved quail performance and immunity. However, using MA at a level of 0.05 % in Japanese quail diets

containing optimal energy and protein levels caused an increase in egg mass and a decrease in feed intake and in turn, improving relative economical efficiency percentage.

#### INTRODUCTION

Livestock performance and feed efficiency are closely related with qualitative and quantitative microbial load of host animal, including load in alimentary tract and environment (Garrido et al., 2004). Antibiotic growth promoters (AGP) in poultry diets have been banned for use due to the possibilities of antibiotic residue, the development of drug-resistant bacteria and a reduction in the ability to cure these bacterial diseases in humans (Jensen, 1998). Therefore, searching for alternative products that can be used in poultry feeds and aid in growth promotion, feed utilization improvement, and maintenance of gut health are taking place.

Supplementing poultry diets with organic acids has become an important nutritional strategy aimed to improve performance and health status of poultry fed diets devoid of AGP. Organic acids, as feed additives have received increasing attention as alternative AGP. It has made a tremendous contribution to the profitability in the intensive husbandry and providing people with healthy and nutritious poultry products (Patten, and Waldroup, 1988). Organic acids may stimulate endogenous enzymes, regulate gut microbial flora and help in maintaining animal's health. The key basic principle on the mode of action of organic acids on bacteria is that nondissociated (non-ionised, more lipophilic) organic acids can penetrate the bacteria cell wall and disrupt the normal physiology of certain types of bacteria (Dhawale, 2005).

Malic acid (MA), an alpha-hydroxy organic acid, is a colorless, crystalline compound, COOH·CH<sub>2</sub>·CHOH·COOH, that occurs naturally in a wide variety of unripe fruit, including apples. It is sometimes referred to as a fruit acid. It is also formed in metabolic cycles in plant and animal cells, including chickens. Peripheral malate derives from feed sources and from synthesis in citric acid or Krebs cycle located in cells' mitochondria (Lehninger, 1978 and Van Kol E.M.R. 2005.). Literature on dietary MA effect in poultry is limited and the evidence by which exogenous MA may affect quail performance is also limited. Therefore, the purpose of the current study aimed to evaluate the effects of dietary MA supplementation on Japanese quail (Coturnix Coturnix Japonica) performance, carcass characteristics, intestinal villi and pH, bacteria enumeration as well as economical efficiency.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

## Experimental birds and housing

Three hundred and sixty unsexed day-old Japanese quail chicks were used in a 35 day growing trial. Chicks were individually wing-banded, weighed, and randomly distributed into 4 experimental groups of similar mean body weight (7.62±0.05 g/bird) of 90 birds each, which consists of 6 replicates of 15 birds each. At 35 days of age, birds were transferred to layer quail cages for a 90-day laying trial.

## **Experimental diets**

Two starter-grower corn-soybean meal (C-SBM) basal diets were formulated, from the same batches of components, to contain 24 % CP and 2900 kcal ME /kg diet (HPHE-diet) and 22 % CP and 2750 kcal ME /kg diet (LPLE-diet). Also, two layer C-SBM diets were formulated to contain 20 % CP and 2900 kcal ME /kg diet and 18 % CP and 2750 kcal ME /kg diet. Each of the 4 basal diets was either unsupplemented or supplemented with 0.05 % MA. Therefore, 4 experimental treatments were used in both starting-growing and laying periods. Each chick group was fed one of the 4 experimental diets. The composition and calculated analysis of the experimental diets are shown in Table (1).

## Management

Quail chicks were reared under similar management conditions. Ambient temperature was maintained at 34-36° C during the 1<sup>st</sup> week and weekly decreased by 2° C for the next 3 weeks. During the 5 <sup>th</sup> and 6 <sup>th</sup> week, temperature was maintained at 20-22° C. Birds received continuous artificial daily lighting during growing trial and 17 h afterwards. Chicks were fed the starter-grower diets from 1 to 35 d and the layer diets from 35 to 125 d of age. Mash feed and clean fresh tap water were provided *ad libitum*.

#### Measurements and data collection

## Growth performance

Individual body weight (g) and feed intake (g/bird) were weekly recorded to determine body weight gain (g), feed conversion ratio (g feed/g gain), protein conversion ratio and caloric conversion ratio. Mortality rate % was also calculated on a weekly basis.

## Carcass parameters

At the end of the starting-growing period (35 days), 48 birds (6 6+6 9/treatment) with BW nearly close to the mean were slaughtered to

determine carcass characteristics. Obtained criteria were: eviscerated carcass, dressing, breast and thigh weights. Abdominal fat was removed from gizzard and abdominal region and individually weighed for each carcass. Ovary-oviduct was carefully separated and accurately weighed. Edible giblets (liver, heart and gizzard) were individually separated and weighed. Lymphoid organs (thymus, bursa and spleen) were individually removed, weighed and calculated for each organ as % of live BW.

## Villus height and width

Digesta from gastrointestinal tract were flushed at pH 7.4 to avoid damage to tissues. Intestinal samples of 1 cm in length were taken from the middle of each segment of the duodenum, jejunum, and ileum. Samples were then fixed in 10 % buffered neutral formaldehyde solution (pH 7.4), processed, and cut to  $6-\mu m$  sections that were stained with hematoxylin and eosin and examined with a light microscope. A digital camera was used and villus height was measured from tip to villus bottom. Villus width was measured at villi bottom.

## Bacteria enumeration and intestinal pH

At the time of slaughter test, 3 samples of ileum content for each treatment were taken. Total microflora, colibacillus and lactobacillus of ileum content were enumerated. Lactobacilli/colibacillus ratio was also calculated. The pH of intestinal contents was directly determined by pH-meter.

# Blood serum parameters

At the time of slaughter test, 48 blood samples (6  $\circlearrowleft$  and 6  $\circlearrowleft$  / treatment) were taken and serum was separated by centrifugation for 10 minutes (3000 rpm) and stored in vials at -20  $^{\circ}$ C for later analysis. Frozen serum was thawed and assayed to determine, on individual bases, some biochemical parameters by using suitable commercial diagnostic kits and Atomic Absorption Spectrophotometer, following the same steps as described by manufactures. Colorimetric determination of serum total protein (TP, g/100 ml) was measured according to Henry (1974). Albumin concentration (Alb, g/100 ml) was determined. Globulin concentration (Glo, g/100 ml) was calculated by the difference between TP and Alb, since the fibrinogen usually comprises a negligible fraction (Sturkie, 1986). The Alb/Glo ratio was also calculated. Total lipids (TL, g/100 ml) and cholesterol (Cho, mg/100ml) were also determined.

## Digestibility trials

A total number of 24 adult  $\delta$  quail of 6-wks old were selected at the end of the growing trial and individually housed in metabolic cages for carrying out 4 digestibility trials (6  $\delta$  /treatment) to determine the nutrient digestibility coefficient for dietary treatments in terms of crude protein (CP), crude fiber (CF), ether extract (EE) and nitrogen free extract (NFE) values. Digestibility trials lasted for 7 days, a 4-day preliminary period for adaptation to metabolic cages followed by a 3-day main collection period in which FI was offered on an *ad libitum* basis and excreta output was daily quantitatively collected for each  $\delta$  over 3 consecutive days.

## Egg traits and quality

Eggs were daily collected and weighed. Averages of egg number (EN), egg weight (EW), egg mass (EM) and feed conversion ratio (FCR) per EM were weekly calculated per each replicate for a 90-day laying period. Egg quality was assessed on 5 eggs collected per replicate during 3 days at the end of the 90-day period. Egg shape index (ESI) was determined according to Stadleman (1977). Eggs were broken out and the liquid contents were put a side and shell plus membranes washed to remove adhering albumen. After drying, shell weight % was measured. Shell thickness (STh) was measured by using a micrometer as an average of 3 points (top, medial and base). Egg analysis including albumin protein %, yolk protein %, ether extract % and cholesterol (mg/gm yolk) were performed according to Washburn and Nix (1974).

# Chemical and statistical analysis

Experimental diets and excreta were analyzed following procedures detailed by the Association of Official Analytical Chemists (AOAC 1990) for CP, CF, DM and EE. The NFE was calculated by the difference. Metabolizable energy (ME) of experimental diets was calculated considering the ME values of different feed ingredients (NRC, 1994). Fecal nitrogen was determined according to Jakobsen et al. (1960).

Obtained data were expressed as means  $\pm$  standard error and statistically analyzed by analysis of variance (ANOVA) as a factorial arrangement of 2 x 2 according to **Steel and Torrie (1980)**. Also, the General Linear Model (GLM) procedure of **SPSS (1993)** computer statistical program was used. The significant means were ranked using Duncan's Range Test (**Duncan**, 1955). Statistical significance level was tested at probability of  $P \le 0.05$ .

#### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

## Growth performance

The mean values of growth performance parameters in terms of body weight (BW), feed intake (FI), body weight gain (BWG), feed conversion ratio (FCR), protein conversion ratio, (PCR), caloric conversion ratio (CCR) and mortality rate (MR) % are shown in Table (2). Apart from MA, it was observed that feeding HPHE-diets resulted in significant increase in BW, BWG, FI, PI, CI, PCR and CCR values and significant decrease in MR % as compared to LPLE-diets. However, FCR was not significantly affected. Aside from diet type, feeding MA-supplemented diets gave significant improvement in BW, BWG, FCR, PCR and CCR as well as significant decrease in FI and MR % in comparison to MA-free diets. However, both PI and CI were not significantly affected. Supplementing MA to HPHE-diet had no significant effect on BW, BWG, FCR, PI, CI, PCR and CCR, whereas it significantly decreased FI and MR % as compared to the corresponding control diet. However, supplementing MA to LPLE-diet significantly improved BW, BWG, FCR, PCR and CCR significantly decreased FI and MR %, but it had no significant effect on PI and CI.

These results are in agreement with those which showed that organic acids have positive effects on poultry growth (Chaveerach et al., 2004) and FI was decreased with increasing dietary propionic acid levels (Cave, 1984). The improvement in FC may be due to the acidic conditions that make the nutrients more available (Boling et al., 2001) which monitors better performance. Oppositely, other results have shown that adding MA in drinking water did not show significant difference in BW (Moharrery and Mahzonieh, 2005) and BW was not significantly affected by organic acid treatments (Denli et al., 2003).

#### Carcass characteristics

Data concerning carcass characteristics are presented in Table (3). Regardless of MA, it was noted that birds given HPHE-diet had significantly increased eviscerated carcass %, dressing %, breast % and ovary-oviduct % but significantly decreased abdominal fat % as compared to those fed LPLE-diet. Irrespective of diet type, no significant influence was found due to MA supplementation on carcass parameters except for abdominal fat % that was significantly decreased and ovary-oviduct % that was significantly increased. Adding MA to HPHE-diet had no significant influence on eviscerated carcass %, dressing %, breast %, abdominal fat % and ovary-oviduct % as compared to the corresponding MA- free diet.

However, supplementing MA to LPLE-diet had significantly increased eviscerated carcass %, dressing %, breast % and ovary-oviduct %, but it significantly decreased abdominal fat % as compared to the corresponding MA- free diet.

## Edible giblets and lymphoid organs

The results illustrated in Table (4) indicated that regardless of MA, feeding HPHE-diet had significantly increased liver % and heart %, however, gizzard % was not significantly affected as compared to LPLE-diet. Regardless of diet type, MA supplementation caused no significant effect on edible giblets. Supplementing MA to HPHE- or LPLE-diets had no effect on edible giblets as compared to the corresponding MA-free diet. Concerning lymphoid organs data, it was noticed that neither diet type nor MA showed significant effect, except for thymus gland that was significantly increased by MA supplementation. Adding MA to HPHE- or LPLE-diets caused a significant increase in lymphoid organs % as compared to the corresponding MA-free diet.

The present results are in agreement with those that have shown that liver % was not significantly affected by MA (Moharrery and Mahzonieh, 2005) and organic acids (Denli et al. 2003). Thymus is a good indicator of immune function. Shelat et al. (1997) revealed that thymus size is a sensitive indicator of health and acute or chronic stress response.

# Villus height and width

The mean of intestinal villus height and width are summarized in Table (5). Apart from MA, diet type caused no significant effect on villus height and width in different intestinal segments. Irrespective of diet type, MA supplemented-diets had significantly increased intestinal villus height and width in different intestinal segments as compared to MA-free diets. Supplementing MA to HPHE- or LPLE-diets had significantly increased intestinal villus height and width in different intestinal segments as compared to the corresponding MA-free diets.

## Bacteria enumeration and intestinal pH

The mean of total microflora count, colibacillus, lactobacillus and lactobacillus/colibacillus ratio of the ileum content as well as intestinal pH are given in Table (6). Regardless of MA, diet type caused no significant effect on total microflora count, colibacillus and lactobacillus and lactobacillus ratio of the ileum content as well as intestinal pH. Irrespective of diet type, MA supplementation resulted in significant decrease in total microflora count, colibacillus count and intestinal pH as

well as significant increase in lactobacillus count, lactobacillus/colibacillus ratio as compared to MA-free diets. Supplementing MA to HPHE- or LPLE-diets resulted in significant decrease in total microflora count, colibacillus count and intestinal pH as well as significant increase in lactobacillus count, lactobacillus/colibacillus ratio as compared to the corresponding MA-free diets.

These results are in agreement with those of Moharrery and Mahzonieh, (2005) who found that E. coli count was significantly decreased by MA. This was due to organic acids that can inhibit growth of many bacteria and toxin-producing molds (Roy, 2002). Intestinal pH was not affected by formic and propionic acids (Thompson and Hinton, 1997). The acidic pH allows establishment of microorganisms, particularly Lactobacillus spp. (Sarra et al., 1985) and prevents E. coli growth and these conditions make the absorptive area more beneficial (Dofing and Gottschal, 1997).

## **Blood serum parameters**

Results concerning total protein (TP), albumin (Alb), globulin (Glo), Alb/Glo ratio, total lipids (TL) and cholesterol (Cho) are shown in Table (7). There were no significant differences in either TP or Cho among different treatments. Irrespective of MA, HPHE-diet caused significant increase in Alb and Alb/Glo ratio and significant decrease in Glo and TL. Regardless of diet type, MA supplementation resulted in no significant differences among all studied traits. Supplementing MA to HPHE-diet had similar Alb, Glo, Alb/Glo ratio and TL values to those of the corresponding MA-free diet. The same trend was observed in case of supplementing MA to LPLE-diet.

# Nutrients digestibility coefficients

Data regarding digestibility coefficients of crude protein (CP), crude fiber (CF), ether extract (EE) and nitrogen free extract (NFE) values for experimental starter-grower diets are given in Table (8). There were no significant differences in CF and NFE digestibilities among different treatments. Apart from MA, HPHE-diet caused significant increase in CP and EE digestibility as compared to LPLE-diet. Away from diet type, MA supplementation caused significant increase for only CP digestibility. Supplementing MA to HPHE-diet had similar CP and EE digestibility as compared to the corresponding MA-free diet. However, supplementing MA to LPLE-diet caused a significant increase in CP and similar EE digestibility as compared to the corresponding MA-free diet

In general, the improvement due to adding MA may be attributed to improving intestinal microbial balance. In other words, MA helps to keep the intestinal tract healthy and when the epithelial tissue is healthy, there is improved and better absorption of all nutrients (Kaistha et al., 1996).

## **Economical efficiency**

Economical evaluation parameters for MA supplementation in Japanese quail diets varying in CP and ME in terms of feeding cost of the experimental diets, net revenue, economical efficiency (EE<sub>f</sub>) and relative economical efficiency (REE<sub>f</sub>) of meat production are listed in Table (9).

Results showed that supplementing MA to HPHE-diet failed to increase  $REE_f$  % as compared to the corresponding MA-free diet. The highest  $REE_f$  % was obtained by supplementing MA to LPLE-diet. This may be due to FCR improvement and low FI for birds fed this experimental diet. Other explanation is the beneficial effect of MA which improved absorption of nutrients and depressed harmful bacteria that causes growth depression.

## Laying performance

Results concerning laying performance in terms of egg production (EP) %, egg number (EN), egg weight (EW), egg mass (EM), feed intake (FI) and feed conversion ratio (FCR) values are shown in Table (10). Irrespective of MA, HPHE-diet caused significant improvement in EP %, EN, EW, EM and FCR as well as significant decrease in FI as compared to LPLE-diet. These results are in harmony with those of Abdel-Rahman (1993); Shrivastav et al., (1993); Zanaty et al. (2001); Yakout et al. (2004) and Garcia et al., (2005) who reported that EP, EW, EM and FCR were improved with increasing dietary CP level. However, Garcia et al., (2005) reported that FI was not significantly affected by dietary CP level.

Regardless of diet type, MA supplementation caused significant improvements in EP % and FCR as well as a significant decrease in FI. Supplementing MA to HPHE-diet had similar EP %, EN, EW, EM and FCR to the corresponding MA-free diet. The only exception was FI that was significantly decreased as compared to the corresponding MA-free diet. On the other hand, supplementing MA to LPLE-diet caused significant improvements in EP %, EW, EM and FCR except for FI that was significantly decreased as compared to the MA-free diet.

# Egg quality

Data regarding egg quality in terms of egg shape index (ESI), egg specific gravity (SG), shell thickness (STh), shell weight (S) %, yolk weight

(Y) % and albumin weight (Alb) % are presented in Table (11). There were no significant differences in SG, Y % and Alb % among different treatments. These results are in a relative harmony with the results of Garcia et al., (2005) who reported that dietary CP levels had no effect on Y %. On the contrary, increasing CP level increased Y % and reduced Alb % (Akbar et al., 1983), increased Y % (Yakout et al., 2004) and decreased Y % (Zanaty, 2006).

Regardless of MA, HPHE-diet caused significant increase in ESI, STh and S %. Similar observations have been reported by Yakout et al. (2004) and Zanaty (2006) who found that STh was significantly increased with increasing CP. This may be due to the increase in EW or the enhancing of Ca deposition in the shell matrix.

Irrespective of diet type, MA supplementation caused significant increase in ESI, STh and S %. Supplementing MA to HPHE-diet had similar ESI, STh and S % to those of the corresponding MA-free diet. However, supplementing MA to LPLE-diet caused significant increase in ESI, STh and S % as compared to the corresponding MA-free diet.

## Egg analysis

Results concerning egg analysis in terms of albumin protein  $(Alb_p)$  %, yolk protein  $(Y_p)$  %, yolk ether extract  $(Y_{EE})$  % and yolk cholesterol  $(Y_{Cho})$  are shown in Table (12). Regardless of MA, HPHE-diet caused significant increase in  $Y_p$  % and significant decrease in  $Y_{EE}$  % and  $Y_{Cho}$  %. These results are in agreement with previous studies of Andersson (1979); Akbar et al., (1983) and Garcia et al., (2005) who reported that  $Y_p$  contents increased with higher dietary CP levels.

Irrespective of diet type, MA supplementation caused significant decrease in  $Y_{Cho}$ %. Supplementing MA to HPHE-diet caused similar  $Y_p$ % and  $Y_{EE}$ % as well as significant decrease in  $Y_{Cho}$ % as compared to the corresponding MA-free diet. The same trend was observed in case of supplementing MA to LPLE-diet.

# Economical efficiency

Economical evaluation parameters for MA supplementation in Japanese quail diets varying in CP and ME in terms of feeding cost of the experimental diets, net revenue, economical efficiency (EE<sub>f</sub>) and relative economical efficiency (REE<sub>f</sub>) of egg production are listed in Table (13).

Results showed that the highest REE<sub>f</sub> % was obtained by supplementing MA to LPLE-diet. This may be due to the FCR improvement and low FI for birds fed this experimental diet. Other explanation may be

due to the beneficial effect of MA which improved absorption of nutrients and depressed harmful bacteria that causes egg production depression.

From nutritional and economical point of view, it could be concluded that using MA at a level of 0.05 % in Japanese quail diets containing suboptimal energy and protein levels helped in reducing microflara count, particularly pathogens and in turn, improving quail performance and immunity. However, using MA at a level of 0.05 % in Japanese quail diets containing optimal energy and protein levels caused an increase in EM and a decrease in FI and in turn, improving REE<sub>f</sub> %.

Table (1): Composition and calculated analysis of the experimental starter-grower and lover becal diets

		Percenta	ge (%)	
Ingredients	Starter-grower	r basal diets	Layer bas	al diets
	HPHE	LPLE	HPHE	LPLE
Yellow Corn, ground	56.09	54.54	62.90	61.30
Soybean meal (44% CP)	33.00	32.50	19.22	21.02
Corn gluten meal (62% CP)	7.75	3.30	10.00	4.40
Wheat bran	0.00	6.80	0.00	5.52
Dicalcium phosphate	0.90	0.50	1.23	0.95
Limestone	1.30	1.50	5.68	5.80
Common salt (NaCl)	0.32	0.32	0.32	0.32
Premix"	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.30
DL-Methionine	0.08	0.10	0.07	0.13
L-Lysine	0.26	0.14	0.28	0.26
Total	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
Price (L.E./Ton)	1447	1390	1303	1287
Calculated analysis				
CP %	24.09	22.05	20.00	18.05
ME (kcal/kg)	2903	2763	2907	2757
C/P ratio	120.5	125.3	145.4	152.7
CF %	3.64	4.27	2.86	3.48
Ca %	0.80	0.80	2.50	2.50
Available P %	0.31	0.31	0.35	0.35
Lysine %	1.31	1.20	1.00	1.00
Methionine %	0.50	0.46	0.45	0.45
Methionine + Cyst %	0.90	0.89	0.80	0.81

Starter-grower and layer basal diets were supplemented with either the two levels of MA (0 or

According to Feed Composition Tables for animal & poultry feedstuffs used in Egypt (2001).

<sup>0.05%).

\*\*</sup>Vitamins and minerals premix provides per kilogram of diet: 10000 1U vitamin A. 11.0 1U vitamin E. 1.1

\*\*Privamins and minerals premix provides per kilogram of diet: 10000 1U vitamin A. 11.0 1U vitamin E. 1.1

\*\*Privamins and minerals premix provides per kilogram of diet: 10000 1U vitamin A. 11.0 1U vitamin E. 1.1 mg vitamin B6, 2.2 mg thiamin, 44 mg nicotinic acid, 250 mg choline chloride, 1.55 mg folic acid, 0.11 mg d-biotin. 60 mg Mn, 50 mg Zn, 0.3 mg I, 0. I mg Co, 30 mg Fe. 5 mg Cu and I mg Se.

"The Price (L.E./Ton) of HPHE and LPLE starter-grower basal diets at the year of 2008 were

<sup>2247</sup> and 2190 as well as the Price of HPHE and LPLE laver basal diets were 2102 and 2037. respectively when MA was added.

•	Lapie (.	2): Effect of	dietary trea	atments on perf	ormance of g	rowing Japa	inese quail du	ring ( – :	weeks of age.

Treatments		Initial	Final BW	BWG	FI	FCR	Pi	CI	PCR	· CCR	MR
Starter-Grower Diet	MA (%)	BW (g/bird)	(g/bird)	(g/bird/35 d)	(g/bird/35 d)	(feed: gain)	(g/bird/d)	(kcal/bird/d)	(protein: _gain)_	(kcal: gain)	(%)
нрне	0	7.64±0.06	190.25±2.70*	182.61±1.21*	521.11±2.88*	2.85±0.10 <sup>5</sup>	3.57±0.04	43.18±2.12*	0.68±0.01	8.28±0.06	8.89 <sup>b</sup>
nrne	0.05	7.58±0.05	192.37±3.00°	184.79±1.32*	: 90.20±5.01 <sup>b</sup>	2.71±0.076	3.43±0.02*	41.45±2.34*	0.65±0.04	7.85±0.09°	2.22°
. Di E	0	7.61±0.03	155.10±2.90°	147.49±1.24°	468.51±3.27°	3.18±0.12°	2.94±0.01b	36.81±2.29 <sup>6</sup>	0.70±0.02	8.74±0.08ª	11.11
LPLE	0.05	7.66±0.07	176.75±2.22 <sup>b</sup>	1699±1.29b	447.89±4.10 <sup>d</sup>	2.65±0.04 <sup>b</sup>	2.82±0.03 <sup>6</sup>	35.19±2.40 <sup>6</sup>	0.58±0.02b	7.28±0.11 <sup>b</sup>	3.33°
HPHE		7.61±0.09	191.31±3.12 <sup>A</sup>	183.70±1.40 <sup>A</sup>	510.66±4.16 <sup>A</sup>	2.78±0.09	3.50±0.05 <sup>A</sup>	42.31±2.04 <sup>X</sup>	0.67±0.03 <sup>A</sup>	8.06±0.05 <sup>x</sup>	5.56 <sup>8</sup>
LPLE		7.64±0.04	165.93±3.08 <sup>8</sup>	158.29±1.27 <sup>8</sup>	458.20±4.21 <sup>8</sup>	2.89±0.11	2.88±0.04 <sup>8</sup>	36.00±2.10 <sup>8</sup>	0.64±0.04 <sup>H</sup>	7.96±0.09 <sup>B</sup>	7.22 <sup>A</sup>
	0	7.63±0.03	172.68±2.89 <sup>8</sup>	165.05±1 33 <sup>8</sup>	494.81±3.88	3.00±0.13 <sup>A</sup>	3.25±0.06	39.99±2.17	0.69±0.02*	8.48±0.10 <sup>A</sup>	10.00 <sup>A</sup>
	0.05	7.62±0.07	184.56±3.00 <sup>A</sup>	176.94±1.24 <sup>A</sup>	474.05±2.94 <sup>8</sup>	2.68±0.06 <sup>B</sup>	3.12±0.03	38.32±2.08	0.62±0.02 <sup>B</sup>	7.58±0.07 <sup>8</sup>	2.78 <sup>B</sup>

Means in the same column having different letters are significantly different at p≤0.05. EP: egg production EN: egg number EW: egg weight EM: egg mass FI: feed intake FCR: feed conversion ratio

275

Table (3): Effect of dietary treatments on carcass characteristics of Japanese quail at 5 weeks of age.

Treatments			(% of BW)							
Starter-Grower Diet	MA (%)	BW (g/bird)	Eviscerated carcass	Dressing	Breast	Abdominal fat	Ovary- oviduct			
(IDIT)	0	188.12±2.10 <sup>a</sup>	67.50±2.12ª	74.54±0.37 <sup>a</sup>	36.60±1.12*	2.16±0.02 <sup>b</sup>	7.28±0.19*			
нрне	0.05	191.22±2.04°	67.66±2.30*	73.70±0.91ª	36.90±1.04*	2.01±0.04 <sup>b</sup>	7.41±0.22°			
IDIC	0	152.00±2.35°	58.19±1.96°	64.00±0.46°	25.11±1.10 <sup>c</sup>	3.10±0.01*	4.10±0.11°			
LPLE	0.05	173.62±3.02 <sup>8</sup>	62.47±2.00 <sup>6</sup>	68.55±0.67 <sup>b</sup>	30.96±1.02 <sup>6</sup>	2.11±0.03 <sup>6</sup>	5.23±0.09 <sup>b</sup>			
HPHE		189.67±2.10 <sup>A</sup>	67.58±1.88 <sup>A</sup>	74.12±0.80 <sup>A</sup>	36.75±1.06 <sup>A</sup>	2.09±0.01 <sup>8</sup>	7.35±0.19 <sup>A</sup>			
LPLE		162.81±2.66 <sup>8</sup>	60.33±2.07 <sup>B</sup>	66.28±0.71 <sup>B</sup>	28.04±1.00 <sup>B</sup>	2.61±0.04 <sup>A</sup>	4.67±0.17 <sup>B</sup>			
	0	170.06±2.00 <sup>B</sup>	62.35±2.03	68.78±0.94	30.86±1.08	2.63±0.01 <sup>A</sup>	5.69±0.15 <sup>B</sup>			
	0.05	182.42±2.21 <sup>A</sup>	64.57±2.00	71.16±0.90	27.86±1.05	2.06±0.03 <sup>B</sup>	6.32±0.18 <sup>A</sup>			

<sup>\*</sup> Dressing % = [(Carcass weight + Giblets weight) / (Pre-slaughter weight)] x 100. Means in the same column having different letters are significantly different at p≤0.05

Table (4): Effect of dietary treatments on edible giblets and lymphoid organs % of Japanese quall at 5 weeks of age.

<i>-</i> ,,	CCK3 OI 5			.,			
Treatments		Ed	ible giblets (%	6)	Lym	phoid organs	(%)
Starter-Grower Diet	MA (%)	Liver	Heart	Gizzard	Thymus	Bursa	Spleen
UDUE	0	3.03±0.13ª	1.00±0.05ª	3.01±0.14	0.20±0.02 <sup>b</sup>	0.06±0.01 <sup>6</sup>	0.08±0.03 <sup>b</sup>
HРНЕ	0.05	3.01±0.17ª	1.04±0.07*	3.03±0.11	0.36±0.01*	0.17±0.03*	0.13±0.01°
+ DI E	0	2.00±0.15 <sup>b</sup>	0.79±0.04 <sup>b</sup>	3.02±0.16	0.18±0.03b	0.05±0.02 <sup>6</sup>	0.06±0.02 <sup>b</sup>
LPLE	0.05	2,22±0.10 <sup>b</sup>	0.82±0.08 <sup>b</sup>	3.04±0.19	0.38±0.03*	0.14±0.01*	0.12±0.02ª
НРНЕ		3.02±0.10 <sup>A</sup>	1.02±0.03 <sup>A</sup>	3.02±0.09	0.28±0.01	0.12±0.02	0.11±0.02
LPLE		2.11±0.18 <sup>B</sup>	0.81±0.07 <sup>8</sup>	3.03±0.21	0.28±0.03	0.10±0.02	0.09±0.01
	0	2.52±0.16	0.89±0.05	3.02±0.06	0.19±0.02 <sup>B</sup>	0.06±0.01	0.07±0.01
	0.05	2.62±0.14	0.93±0.06	3.04±0.14	0.37±0.02 <sup>A</sup>	0.16±0.02	0.13±0.02

Means in the same column having different letters are significantly different at p≤0.05.

Table (5): Effect of dietary treatments on the intestinal villi of growing Japanese quail at 5 weeks of age

Treatme	nts			Intestina	l segment			
ł		Duode	enum (	Jeju	num	lleum		
Starter- Grower Diet	MA (%)	Villus height (µm)	Villus Width (µm)	Villus height (µm)	Villus Width (µm)	Villus height (μm)	Villus Width (µm)	
UDUE	0	447.2±2.10 <sup>b</sup>	100.3±1.11 <sup>b</sup>	323.8±3.01 <sup>b</sup>	91.2±2.12b	205.7±2.13 <sup>b</sup>	90.3±1.15 <sup>b</sup>	
НРНЕ	0.05	486.1±5.02ª	124.5±3.03°	361.1±4.00°	113.9±3.03	246.2±2.04*	104.6±1.09*	
I Di C	0	454.4±4.00 <sup>-6</sup>	105.1±2.23 <sup>6</sup>	317.6±2.13 <sup>b</sup>	96.6±2.10 <sup>b</sup>	213.5±1.08 <sup>b</sup>	94.1±1.10 <sup>b</sup>	
LPLE	0.05	479.6±3.22ª	118.7±4.02°	355.3±5.04°	116.7±1.22ª	252.1±1.04ª	110.4±1.31	
HPHE		466.65±4.54	112.40±2.00	342.45±4.11	102.56±2.13	225.95±2.10	97.45±1.19	
LPLE		467.00±3.87	111.90±2.23	336.15±3.46	106.65±2.06	232.80±2.02	102.25±1.14	
	0	450.80±3.70 <sup>8</sup>	102.70±2.17 <sup>B</sup>	320.70±3.80 <sup>B</sup>	93.90±2.11 <sup>B</sup>	209.60±2.15 <sup>B</sup>	92.20±1.07 <sup>B</sup>	
0.05		482.85±5.00 <sup>A</sup>	121.60±3.14 <sup>A</sup>	358.20±3.32 <sup>A</sup>	115.30±2.09 <sup>A</sup>	249.15±2.04 <sup>A</sup>	107.50±1.11 <sup>A</sup>	

Means in the same column having different letters are significantly different at p≤0.05.

Table (6): Effect of dietary treatments on total microflora count, colibacillus, lactobacillus and their ratio of

Treatment	ts	Micr	offers count (Log			
Starter-Grower Diet	MA (%)	Total MicroBora count	Colibacillus	Lactobacillus	Lactobacillus Colibacillus ratio	Intestinal pH
	0	10.83±0.12	6.21±0.10 <sup>4</sup>	4.40±0.18 <sup>b</sup>	0.71±0.12 <sup>6</sup>	6.70±0 09"
HPHE	0.05	8.21±0.09	4.53±0.12 <sup>h</sup>	6.11±0.15°	1.35±0.10°	6.20±0.07
4.51.5	0	11.03±0.14*	6.33±0.13*	4.31±0.10 <sup>b</sup>	0.68±0.11	6.90±0.06
LPLE	0.05	8.32±0.13b	4.37±0.12b	6.24±0 12°	1.43±0.15°	6.10±0.03
HPHE		9.52±0.07	5.37±0.06	5.26±0.14	1.03±0.13	6.45±0.08
LPL£		9.68±0.14	5.35±0.11	5.28±0.11	1.06±0.14	6.50±0.05
	. 0	10.93±0.09 <sup>A</sup>	6.27±0.04 <sup>A</sup>	4.36±0.10 <sup>B</sup>	0.70±0.11	6.80±0.04 <sup>A</sup>
	0.05	8.27±0.15ª	4.45±0.09 <sup>8</sup>	6.18±0.10 <sup>A</sup>	1.39±0.08 <sup>A</sup>	6.15±0.04 <sup>8</sup>

Means in the same column having different letters are significantly different at p≤0.05.

Table (7): Effect of dietary treatments on some serum blood parameters of growing Japanese quail at 5 weeks of

Treatments		TP	Alb	Glo	Alb/Glo	7.	Cha
Starter-Grower Diet	MA (%)	(g/100 ml)	(g/100 ml)	(g/100 ml)	ratio	TL (g/100 ml)	(mg/100 ml)
Diar	0	4.40±0.05	1.91±0.10°	2.49±0.17b	0.77±0.16°	1.53±0.14	110.00±7.10
HPHE	0.05	4.42±0.11	1.86±0.13*	2.56±0.13 <sup>b</sup>	0.73±0.14°	1.56±0.12	107.11±6.21
	0	4.40±0.05	1.00±0.10 <sup>b</sup>	3,40±0.11*	0.29±0.026	2.00±0.11	109.14±5.16
LPLE	0.05	4.43±0.10	1.07±0.14 <sup>8</sup>	3.36±0.19"	0.45±0.16h	1.96±0.19*	106.24±6.96
HPHE		4.41±0.09	1.89±0.06 <sup>A</sup>	2.52±0.13 <sup>8</sup>	0.75±0.04 <sup>A</sup>	1.55±0.14	108.56±5.43
LPLE		4.42±0.10	1.04±0.08	3.38±0.11 <sup>A</sup>	0.31±0.09 <sup>8</sup>	1.98±0.13 <sup>A</sup>	107.69±8.00
	0	4.40±0.07	1.46±0.04	2.94±0.14	0.50±0.12	1.77±0.05	109.57±4.94
	0.05	4.43±0.12	1.47±0.11	2.96±0.05	0.50±0.10	1.76±0.07	106.68+6.11

Means in the same column having different letters are significantly different at p≤0.05.

TP: total protein

Alb: albumin

Glo: globulin

TL: total lipids Cha: cholesterol

Table (8): Effect of dietary treatments on the digestibility coefficients,

Treatments			Digestibility coefficients (%)						
Starter-Grower Diet	MA (%)	СР	CF	EE	NFE				
НРНЕ	0	90.01±1.16	20.09±1.12	73.52±1.31*	78.20±1.21				
нинь і	0.05	90.05±1.14*	20.11±1.14	73.66±1.23*	78.14±1.10				
LPLE	0	79.60±1.10°	20.13±1,33	68.04±1.11 <sup>b</sup>	78.17±1.34				
LPLE	0.05	84.36±1.30°	20.07±1.28	67.19±1.34b	78.16±1.13				
HPHE		90.03±1.22	20.10±1.10	73.59±1.20	78.17±1.08				
LPLE		81.98±1.18 <sup>8</sup>	20.10±1.07	67.62±1.32B	78.17±1.05				
	0	84.81±1.37 <sup>B</sup>	20.11±1.23	70.78±1.13	78.19±1.21				
	0.05	87.21±1.06 <sup>A</sup>	20.09±1,20	70.43±1.17	78.15±1.31				

Means in the same column having different letters are significantly different at p≤0.05.

CP: crude protein

CF: crude fiber

EE: ether extract

NFE: nitrogen free extract

Table (9): Input-output analysis and economical efficiency ratio of experimental treatments during the starter-growing period.

	Total	Total	Total	Total	Mr. 4		REE,
A Livability*	Ft (kg/treatment)	FI price (L.£/treatment)**	BW gain (kg/treatment)	BW gain price (L.E/treatment)	Net revenue (LE/treatment)	EE, ""	(%)
91.11	42.73	90.59	14.97	224.61	134.02	1.48	100
97.78	44.02	99.05	16.26	243.92	144.88	1.46	99
88.89	37.48	77.58	11.80	176.99	99.41	1.28	87
96.67	38.97	85.34	14.71	220.66	135.32	1.59	107
	91.11 95 97.78 88.89	(kg/treatment) 91.11 42.73 95 97.78 44.02 88.89 37.48	(kg/treatment) (L.E/treatment) (1.5 (1.5 (1.5 (1.5 (1.5 (1.5 (1.5 (1.5	(kg/treatment)         (L.E/treatment)**         (kg/treatment)           91.11         42.73         90.59         14.97           95         97.78         44.02         99.05         16.26           88.89         37.48         77.58         11.80	(kg/treatment)         (LE/treatment)         (kg/treatment)         (LE/treatment)           91.11         42.73         90.59         14.97         224.61           95         97.78         44.02         99.05         16.26         243.92           88.89         37.48         77.58         11.80         176.99	(kg/treatment)         (L.E/treatment)         (kg/treatment)         (L.E/treatment)         (L.E/treatment)           91.11         42.73         90.59         14.97         224.61         134.02           95         97.78         44.02         99.05         16.26         243.92         144.88           88.89         37.48         77.58         11.80         176.99         99.41	(kg/treatment)         (LE/treatment)         (kg/treatment)         (LE/treatment)         (LE/treatment)           91.11         42.73         90.59         14.97         224.61         134.02         1.48           15         97.78         44.02         99.05         16.26         243.92         144.88         1.46           88.89         37.48         77.58         11.80         176.99         99.41         1.28

Table (10): Effect of dietary treatments on performance of laying Japanese quail from 8 to 20 weeks of see

		20 WEEKS OF	ze.					
Treatm	ents	EP	EN	EW	EM	F1	FCR	
Layer Diet	MA (%)	(%)	(No./hen/day)	(g)	(g/hen/day)	(g/hen/day)	(g feed/g egg)	
******	0	82.07±3.22*	0.82±0.02*	10.10±0.06*	8.28±0.04*	20.24±0.21	2.44±0.02**	
HPHE	0.05	81.78±2.44*	0.82±0.03"	10.12±0.09	8.30±0.02*	18.01±0.37°	2.17±0.01°	
	0	72.05±4.04°	0.75±0.04 <sup>b</sup>	7.93±0.04°	5.95±0.02°	22.50±0.224	3.78±0.02*	
LPLE	0.05	78.09±3.14 <sup>6</sup>	0.78±0.03b	9.00±0.03 <sup>b</sup>	7.02±0.02 <sup>b</sup>	20.04±0.32b	2.85±0.03b	
HPHE		81.93±2.91*	0.82±0.05 <sup>x</sup>	10.1 (±0.05 <sup>A</sup>	8.29±0.05 <sup>A</sup>	19.13±0.20 <sup>0</sup>	2.31±0.01	
LPLE		75.07±2.20 <sup>B</sup>	0.77±0.07 <sup>8</sup>	8.47±0.07 <sup>8</sup>	6.52±0.07	21.27±0.27 <sup>A</sup>	3.26±0.03 <sup>A</sup>	
	0	77.06±3.09 <sup>B</sup>	0.79±0.04	9.02±0.04	7.13±0.04	21.37±0.30 <sup>4</sup>	3.00±0.02 <sup>A</sup>	
	0.05	79.94±2.70 <sup>A</sup>	0.80±0.06	9.56±0.06	7.65±0.09	19.03±0.12 <sup>B</sup>	2.49±0.01 <sup>B</sup>	

Means in the same column having different letters are significantly different at p≤0.05.

Table (11): Effect of dietary treatments on egg quality of laying Japanese quait from 8 to 20 weeks of

Treate	n en ts		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	STh		% of EW	
Layer Diet	MA (%)	ESI	SG	(μ)	s	Y	Alb
HOME	Ö	78.00±0.21	1.03±0.001	321.30±0.02*	10.44±0.11*	30.09±0.23	59.47±0.81
HPHE	0.05	79.00±0.18*	1.08±0.003	321.81±0.01	10.51±0.10	30.32±0.41	60.40±0.92
	0	65.00±0.21°	1.02±0.002	200.10±0.03	9.00±0.14°	33.54±0.32	56.78±0.74
LPLE	0.05	73.00±0.20°	1.10±0.002	314,63±0.01	9.60±0.12 <sup>b</sup>	33.21±0.43	57.09±0.61
HP	HE	78.50±0.16 <sup>^</sup>	1.06±0.03	321.56±0.04 <sup>A</sup>	10.48±0.07 <sup>A</sup>	30.21±0.23	59.94±0.55
LP	LE	69.00±0.19 <sup>8</sup>	1.06±0.02	257.37±0.03 <sup>8</sup>	9.30±0.13 <sup>8</sup>	33.38±0.20	56.94±0.74
	0	71.50±0.24 <sup>8</sup>	1.03±0.01	260.70±0.01	9.72±0.11B	31.82±0.24	58.13±0.69
	0.05	76.00±0.11	1.09±0.03	318.22±0.018	10.06±0.09 <sup>A</sup>	31.77±0.20	58.75±0.71

Means in the same column having different letters are significantly different at p≤0.05.

ESI: egg shape index

SG: egg specific gravity

STh: shell thickness

S: shell weight

Y: yolk weight

Alb: albumin weight

Table (12): Effect of dietary treatments on egg analysis of laying Japanese quail from 8 to 20

Treatments						
Layer Diet	MA (%)	Alb <sub>p</sub> (%)	(%)	Y <sub>EE</sub> (%)	Y <sub>Che</sub> (mg/gm yolk)	
HPHE	0	79.68±0.80	31.62±0.53	57.89±0.716	24.03±0.47°	
	0.05	79.71±0.78	31.71±0.77°	57. <b>99±0.66</b> b	20.71±0.78°	
LPLE	0	79.52±0.67	29.84±0.91°	60.41±0.581	26.05±0.83*	
	0.05	79.68±0.51	29.99±0.66°	60.62±0.82*	23.06±0.50 <sup>5</sup>	
HPHE		79.70±0.49	31.67±0.72	57.94±0.52	22.36±0.39 <sup>8</sup>	
LPLE		79.60±0.91	29.92±0.60 <sup>8</sup>	60.52±0.90 <sup>A</sup>	24.56±0.61 <sup>A</sup>	
	0	79.60±0.55	30.73±0.81	59.15±0.76	25.04±0.48 <sup>A</sup>	
	0.05	79.70±0.70	30.85±0.73	59.31±0.80	21.89±0.33	

Means in the same column having different letters are significantly different at p≤0.05.

Albo: albumin protein

Y,: yolk protein Y<sub>Cho</sub>: yolk cholesterol

Mohamed, S. Bahnas

Table (13): Input-output analysis and economical efficiency ratio of experimental treatments during the period of 8 to 20 weeks of age.

Treatments		Total	Total	Total	Total			
Starter-Grower Diet	MA (%)	FI (kg/bird/ period)	Fl price (L.E /bird)	EM (kg/bird/period)	EM price (L.E /bird/period) **	Net revenue (LE /bird)	EEr"	REE <sub>f</sub> ***** (%)
нрне	0	1.82	3,60	0.70	10.43	6.83	1.89	100
	0.05	1.62	3.40	0.70	10.46	7.06	2.07	110
LPLE	0	2.03	3.88	0.50	7.50	3.62	0.93	49
	0.05	1.80	3.67	0.59	8.85	5.18	1.41	75

<sup>\*</sup>According to the local market price of feed ingredients at the year of 2008.

\*\*According to the local market price of one kg of egg which was 15 L.E at the year of 2008.

\*\*\*EEf: Economical efficiency, net revenue per unit of total feed cost.

\*\*\*\*Relative economic efficiency, assuming that the control treatment = 100 %.

#### REFERENCES

- A.O.A.C. (1990). Association of Official Analytical Chemists. Official Methods of Analysis 15th Ed., published by Assoc. Office. Anal. Chem., Washington, D.C., USA.
- Abdel-Rahman, S.A. (1993). Effect of dietary protein level with antibiotic or probiotic supplementation on performance of laying hens. Egypt. Poult. Sci. 13: 501-519.
- Akbar M.K; J.S. Gavora; G.W. Friars and R.S. Gowe (1983). Composition of eggs by commercial size categories: Effects of genetic group, age and diet. Poult. Sci.; 62:925-933.
- Andersson K. (1979). Some unconventional feedstuffs to laying hens 1. Effects on production and gross chemical composition of eggs. Swedish Journal of Agricultural; 9:29-36.
- Boling, S.D.; J.L. Snow; C.M. Parsons and D.H. Baker, (2001). The effect of citric acid on calcium and phosphorus requirements of chicks fed corn soybean meal diets. Poult. Sc., 80: 783-788.
- Cave, N.A.G., (1984). Effect of dietary propionic and lactic acid on feed intake by chicks. Poult. Sci., 63: 131-134.
- Chaveerach, P.; D.A. Keuzenkamp; L.J. Lipman and F.V an Knapen, (2004). Effect of organic acids in drinking water for young broilers on Campylobacter infection, volatile fatty acid production, gut microflora and histological cell changes. Poult. Sci., 83: 330-334.
- Denli, M.; F. Okan and K. Celik, (2003). Effect of dietary probiotic, organic acid and antibiotic supplementation to diets on broiler performance and carcass yield. Pak. J. Nutr., 2: 89-91.
- **Dhawale, A., (2005).** Better eggshell quality with a gut acidifier. Poult. Int., 44: 18-21.
- Dofing, J. and P. Gottschal, (1997). Microbe-microbe interactions. Pages 373-389 in Gastrointestinal microbiology. Mackie, R.I. ed. Chapman and Hall. New York.
- Duncan, D.B. (1955). Multiple range and multiple F test. Biometrics, 11: 1-42.
- Feed Composition Tables for animal & poultry feedstuffs used in Egypt (2001). Technical bulletin No. 1, Central Lab for Feed and Food; Ministry of Agriculture, Egypt.

- Garcia E.A; A.A. Mendes; C.C. Pizzolante; E.S. Saldanha; J. Moreira; C. Mori and A.C. Pavan (2005). Protein, methionine+cystine and lysine levels for Japanese quails during the production phase. Rev. Bras. Cienc. Avic. vol.7 no.1
- Garrido, M.N.; M. Skjervheim; H. Oppegaard and H. Sorum (2004).

  Acidified litter benefits the intestinal flora balance of broiler chickens. Appl. Environ. Microbiol., 70: 5208-5213.
- Henry, R.F. (1974). "Clinical Chemistry Principles and Technics" 2 nd Ed., Harper and Row, Hagerstein, MD.
- Jakobsen, P.E.; Kirston, S.G; K.S. Gertovey and H. Nielson (1960).

  Digestibility trials with poultry. 322 beretning Fraforsgs labooratoriet udgivet of statens. Husdybugsudvalg, Kobenhaven, 56:1-34.
- **Jensen, B.B., (1998).** The impact of feed additives on the microbial ecology of the gut in young pigs. J. Anim. Feed Sci., 7: 45-64.
- Kaistha, M.; S. Katoch; B.S. Katoch; M. Kumari; K.K. Dogra and C.R. Sharma (1996). Effect of dietary supplementation of useful microbes isolated from luffa cylindrical (luffa aegytiaca) and momordica charantia on the performance of broilers. Indian J. Poult. Sci., 31: 156-162.
- Lehninger, A.L., (1978). Biochemistry. Worth publishing. 509-542.
- Moharrery and M. Mahzonieh (2005). Effect of malic acid on visceral characteristics and coliform counts in small intestine in the broiler and layer chickens. International Poult. Sci., 4: 761-764.
- National Research Council, N.R.C. (1994). Nutrient Requirements of Poultry. 9th Revised. Ed., National Academy of Sciences Press, Washington, D.C., USA.
- Patten, J.D. and P.W. Waldroup, (1988). Use of organic acids in broiler diets. Poult. Sci., 67: 1178-1182.
- Roy, D.P. (2002). Influence of a propionic acid feed additive on performance of turkey poults with experimentally induced poult enteritis and mortality syndrome. North Carolina State University, Department of Poultry Science, Raleigh, 7635-7695.
- Sarra, P.G.; F. Dellaglio and V. Bottazzi, (1985). Taxonomy of lactobacilli isolated from the alimentary tract of chickens. System Appl. Microbiol., 6: 86-89.

- Shelat, S.G.; F. Arid and E. Redei, (1997). Exposure to dehydroepiandrosterone in utero affect T cell function in males only. Neuroimmunomodulation, 4: 154-162.
- Shrivastav A.K; M.V. Raju and T.S. John (1993). Effect of varied dietary protein on certain production traits in breeding Japanese quail. Indian Journal Poultry Science 1993; 28(1):20-5.
- SPSS (1993). SPSS Statistical software Package for the social sciences. 2 nd ed. HA33-N48. McGrow-Hill, Inc., USA.
- Stadleman, W. J. (1977). Quality identification of shell egg in: Egg Science and Technology. 2<sup>nd</sup> Ed by W. J. Stadleman and O. J. Cotterill pub by AVI publishing company Inc. Connecticut USA.
- Steel, R.G.D. and J.H. Torrie (1980). Principles and procedures of statistics: A Biometrical 2<sup>nd</sup> ed. McGraw-Hill Book Co., Inc., New York, USA.
- Sturkie, P.D. (1986). "Avain Physiology". 4th ed. Springer-Verlag, New York Inc. USA.
- Thompson, J.L. and M. Hinton, (1997). Antibacterial activity of formic and propionic acids in the diet of hens on Salmonellas in the crop. Br. Poult. Sci., 1997 Mar, 38: 59-65.
- Van Kol E.M.R. (2005). Organic acids and essential oils in AGF free diets, P7. Antimicrobial Growth Promoters: Worldwide Ban on the Horizon. Noordwijk aan Zee, The Netherlands, Jan 31-Feb 1.
- Washburn, K.W. and D.F. Nix (1974). A rapid technical for extraction of yolk cholesterol. Poult. Sci. 53: 1118-1122.
- Yakout, H.M.; M.E. Omara; Y. Marie and R.A. Hassan (2004). Effect of incorporating growth promoters and different dietary protein levels into Mandarah hens layer's diets. Egypt. Poult. Sci. 24 (IV): 977-994.
- Zanaty, G.A.; A.S. Rady; A.M. Abou-Ashour and F.H. Abdou (2001).

  Productive performance of Norfa chickens as affected by dietary protein level, brooding system and season. Egypt. Poult. Sci. Vol. 21 (1): 237-254.
- Zanaty, G.A. (2006). Optimum dietary protein and energy levels for Norfa hens during the laying period. Egypt Poult. Sci. Vol (26) (I): (207-220).

# الملخص العربي

# تأثير استخدام حمض الماليك على أداء السمان الياباني المغذى على مستويات مثلى وتحت المثلى من الطاقة والبروتين

#### مخمد سعد يهتس

كلية الزراعة بالفيوم - قسم إنتاج الدواجن - جامعة الفيوم - الفيوم ، مصر

استخدم في هذه الدراسة ٣٦٠ كتكوت سمان ياباني غير مجنس عمر يوم تم توزيعها بالتساوى على ٤ معاملات غذائية (٦ مكررات / معاملة) استمرت حتى عمر ٢٠ أسبوع وذلك بهدف معرفة تأثير إضافة حمض الماليك على أداء النمو والأداء الإنتاجي وبعض صفات الذبيحة ومقاييس الدم وكذا الكفاءة الاقتصادية للسمان الياباني.

تم تكوين عليقتين نمو (كنترول) الأولى تحتوى على ٢٤٪ بروتين خام و ٢٩٠٠ كيلو كالورى طاقة ممثلة / كجم علف لتغطى الاحتياجات الغذائية للسمان اليابانى طبقا للمجلس القومى الأمريكي للبحوث (NRC) سنة ١٩٩٤ والثانية تحتوى على ٢٧٪ بروتين خام و ٢٧٠٠ كيلو كالورى طاقة ممثلة / كجم علف وذلك لتغنية الكتاكيت خلال فترة النمو (١-٣٥ يوم). تم تكوين عليقتين بياض (كنترول) الأولى تحتوى على ٢٠٪ بروتين خام و ٢٠٠٠ كيلو كالورى طاقة ممثلة / كجم علف لتغطى الاحتياجات الغذائية للسمان اليابائي طبقا للمجلس القومى الأمريكي للبحوث (NRC) سنة 1٩٩٤ والثانية تحتوى على ١٨٪ بروتين خام و ٢٠٥٠ كيلو كالورى طاقة ممثلة / كجم علف وذلك لتغذية الكتاكيت خلال فترة البياض (٦-٢٠ أسبوع). تم إضافة مستويين من حمض الماليك (صغر أو البياض.

في نهاية فترة النمو (عمر ٣٥ يوم) تم ذبح ١٢ طائر (٦ إناث + ٦ ذكور) من كل معاملة (أنشي + ذكر/مكرر) لتقدير صفات الذبيحة كما تم جمع ١٢ عينة دم من طيور كل معاملة وقت الذبح لتقدير بعض مكونات سيرم الدم كما تم أيضا إجراء تجربة هضم في نهاية فترة النمو لتقدير معاملات هضم المركبات الغذائية باستخدام ٦ ديوك من كل معاملة. وفي فترة البياض (١-٢٠ أسبوع) تم تسجيل عدد ووزن وكتلة البيض ومعدل انتاج البيض والغذاء المأكول ومعدل تحويل الغذاء كما تم تكسير عدد ١٠ بيضات من كل معاملة في نهاية فترة الـ ٩٠ يوم من إنتاج البيض لتقدير جودة البيض وكذا التحليل الكيماوي للبيض.

من وجهة النظر الغذائية والأقتصادية يمكن أن يستخلص من النتائج تحت ظروف التجرية الحالية أن إضافة حمض الماليك بمعدل ٥٠٠٠٪ إلى علائق السمان الميابائي المحتوية على مستويات تحت المثلى من البروتين والطاقة ساعدت في تقليل أعداد الكائنات الحية الدقيقة الضارة الموجودة بلمعاء الطيور وهذا بدوره يحسن أداء ومناعة الطيور كما يخفف أيضا من الأعباء المالية على المزرعة وبعبارة أخرى إذا استخدم حمض الماليك بطريقة صحيحة مع ظروف جيدة من التغذية والرعاية والأمان الحيوى فإن حمض الماليك يصبح أداة قوية في المحافظة على صحة القناة المهضمية مما يحسن من أداء الطيور. بينما وجد أن إضافة حمض الماليك بمعدل ٥٠٠٠٪ إلى علائق السمان الياباني المحتوية على مستويات المثلي من البروتين والطاقة أدت إلى زيادة كتلة البيض وتقليل العلف المأكول مما أدى بدوره إلى تحسين الكفاءة الأقتصادية.