

COMPARATIVE EFFECT OF THE ETHANOLIC EXTRACTS OF ARTICHOKE, CURCUMIN AND GINGER AGAINST INFLAMMATION INDUCED BY ACETIC ACID ON RAT KIDNEY

Journal

Nermien, Z. A.; Inas, M. A., and Ibrahim, M. I. ; Teleb, Z. A.

J. Biol. Chem. Environ. Sci., 2009, Vol. 4(3): 483-498 www.acepsag.org National Organization for Drug Control & Research, Department of Molecular Drug Evaluation

ABSTRACT

In this study, the effect of three ethanolic extracts of Artichoke, Curcumin, and Ginger by the two concentrations "250 & 500 mg/kg b.w" as well as Cleicoxib (100mg/kg b.w) as standard antiinflammatory on acetic acid – induced inflammation on rat kidney were investigated. Male albino rats were randomly divided into two parts, the first part received the high dose (500 mg/kg b.w.) of each ethanolic extract, and last group received celicoxib (100 mg/kg b.w.) alone, all extracts were taken in tween 20 (1%) daily for 4 weeks. The second part received the low (250mg) and high (500mg) concentrations of each extract daily administration, and the rats were administered a single dose of acetic acid (1.4%) "1ml/rat" to inducedinjury and inflammation twice/week, negative and positive control was performed.

At the end of experiment, rats were sacrificed, and kidney was taken to prepare the kidney homogenate and the sub-cellular fractions "Mitochondrial, Microsomal, and Cytosolic fractions". The enzyme activity of Glutathione-S-transferase in each fraction was determined. Also, acid phosphatase (ACP) and β -N-acetyl glucosaminidase (β -NAG) of the lysosomal enzymes of rat kidney were investigated. Total protein content and genomic DNA concentration were determined. DNA isolation on agarose 1% was performed.

Results indicated that the extracts by the two concentrations appeared to decrease the enzyme activities of GST, ACP, and β -NAG in rat treatment by acetic acid. Total protein and genomic DNA were significantly enhanced in toxicated rat kidney as compared to control.

Data indicated that the extracts containing active constituents which have a protective effect against acetic acid-induced inflammation on rat kidney. The activities of lysosomal enzymes and GST were affected by inhibitory effect according to the concentration of each extract. Also the inhibitory effect of GST in each sub-cellular fraction was altered according to the cellular particles.

INTRODUCTION

Extensive studies on the adverse effects of the medicinal plants and establishments of a good correlation between biomarkers and plants are essential for ensuring the efficiency and quality of herbal plants (Sen *et al.*, 2007).

Glacial acetic acid was used to induce acute inflammation and to increase vascular permeability (Hosseinzadeh *et al.*, 2002). It was investigated that some plant extracts exhibited inflammatory effect against acetic acid-induced by acetic acid.

Curcumin (the primary active principle in turmeric (*curcuma* longa L.) has been claimed to represent a potential antioxidant and anti-inflammatory effect with phytonutrient and bioprotective properties. However there are lack of molecular studies to demonstrate its cellular action and potential molecular targets (Balasubramanyam *et al.*, 2003).

Increasing evidence in both experimental and clinical studies suggests that oxidative stress plays a major role in the pathogenesis of many diseases (Rosen *et al.*, 2001). Abnormally high levels of free radicals and the simultaneous decline of antioxidant defense mechanisms can lead to damage of cellular organelles and enzymes increased lipid peroxidation. Therefore, oxidative stress is considered a common endpoint of chronic diseases (Baynes, 1991) and is often characterized by an increase in the steady state concentration of reactive oxygen species (ROS) such as H_2O_2 , lipid peroxides, super oxide and hypochlorous acid. The dried rhizome of *Curcuma longa* is a rich source of beneficial phenolic compounds; the curcuminoids (Balasubramanyam *et al.*, 2003).

Glutathione-S-transferase (GST_S) plays an important role in the detoxification of diverse electrophilic chemicals, including anti-cancer drugs. This enzyme catalyzes the conjugation of the abundant intracellular tripeptide glutathione to a broad range of electrophilic drugs and carcinogens (Waxman *et al.*, 1992). GST_S can also inactivate organic peroxides formed by the action of Adriamycin and can quench chloroethylated DNA monoadducts, and DNA hydroperoxides.

Some pungent constituents present in ginger and other zingiberaceous plants have potent antioxidant, anti-inflammatory and some of them exhibit anti-tumor promotional activity in experimental carcinogenesis (Yance and Sagar, 2006). Ginger was shown to produce a greater inhibition on thromboxane formation and pro-aggregatory prostaglandins. It was also significantly reduced platelet lipid peroxide formation (Kiuchi *et al.*, 1992).

The crude extract of *zingibraceae* reduced rat paws and skin edema induced by carragenan or serotonin as demonstrated by Penna *et al.* (2003). The anti-inflammatory activities of flavonols "quercetin, and rutin" and flavanones "hesperetin and hesperidin" were investigated in animal models of acute and chronic inflammation. On neurogenic inflammation induced by xylene, only the flavanones were reported to be effective. The most important compound in reducing paw edema induced by carragenan is quercetin (Rotelli *et al.*, 2003).

Artichoke "*Cynara scolymus L.*" is an edible vegetable from the Mediterranean area. Artichoke extracts showed good efficiency in the inhibition *in-vitro* of lipid peroxidation, radical scavenging activity. The *in-vitro* protective acute of artichoke was confirmed by Jimenez-Fscrig *et al.* (2003). The antioxidant properties of some active principles contained in vegetable and aromatic plants, namely, Cynarin from *Cynara Scolymus* were tested (Cervellati *et al.*, 2002).

The purpose of the present study was to investigate the antiinflammatory effects of the ethanolic extracts of Artichoke, Curcumin and Ginger by determination the activity of glutathione-S-transferase in different sub-cellular particles "Mitochondria, Microsomes, and Cytosolic fractions". Also, the release of lysosomal enzymes "ACP, and β -NAG"; total protein, and genomic DNA in rat kidney in either induced or non-induced treatments were investigated.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Chemicals: All chemicals and celicoxib standard as antiinflammatory drug were purchased from Sigma Chemical Company (st. Louis, Mo.).

Animals: Male albino rats (200-250g) were supplied from laboratory Animal house of NODCAR, and allowed free access to the normal standard diet and tap water. Rats were housed eight per cage and kept under controlled conditions of $22\pm24^{\circ}$ C, 50-60% relative humidity and 12h light-dark cycles throughout the experiments. Rats maintained in these facilities for 1 week before the experiment.

Plant preparations: Samples of Artichoke (*Cynara Scolymus*), Curcumin (*Curcuma longa*), and Ginger (*Zingbar officinalis*) were obtained from the medicinal plant station, Faculty of pharmacy, Cairo University, Egypt.

Fruits of Artichoke and the rhizomes of curcumin and ginger were cleaned, air dried, and grinded to fine powder then extracted by 70% ethanol. All extracts were filtered and lyophilized (Leal *et al.*, 2003). Two concentrations of each extract "250-500 mg/kg b.w" were used and given daily intragastrically for 4 weeks.

Celicoxib was suspended in distilled water with tween 20 (1%), it was prepared freshly each time and administered as a single dose 100 mg/kg b.w daily intragastircally for 4 weeks.

Experimental design: Rats were divided into two parts; the first part: received the high dose of each extract "500 mg/lkg b.w.", last group received celicoxib "100 mg/kg b.w." alone, all extracts were taken in tween 20 (1%) daily for 4 weeks. The second part: received the low and high dose of each extract daily administration and the rats were administered a single dose of acetic acid (1.4%) 1 ml/rat for induced-injury and inflammation (Hosseinzadeh *et al.*, 2002) twice/week, negative and positive control was performed.

All concentrations of extracts were taken according to Thomson *et al.* (2000). After four weeks (overnight fasting), rats were sacrificed by decapitation to isolate the kidney for the following investigation:

Tissue homogenates and fractionation of the sub-cellular particles:

Tissue homogenate were prepared in a ratio of 1 gm of wet tissue per 9 ml of 1.15% kCl, mitochondrial and microsomes of rat kidney were prepared as described by (Hogeboom, 1995) (1 mg of protein / 0.1ml suspension).

Kidney was homogenized in cold sucrose (0.25M), following an initial centrifugation of the homogenate at 9000xg / 15min. Then 3.5 ml of 0.1M Cacl₂ in sucrose buffer was added. Centrifugation at 37000xg for 15min was performed; the yield (cytosol & microsomal pellet) was placed in liquid nitrogen for -80°C (Cha *et al.*, 1983).

Protein determination: Protein content of kidney homogenate; microsomal; mitochondrial, and cytosolic fractions was determined by the method of Lowry *et al.* (1951).

Determination of glutathione-S-transferase activity: The enzyme activity was measured spectrophotometrically using CDNB as a substrate (Habig *et al.*, 1974).

Preparation of lysosomal enzymes in rat kidney: The whole lysosomal fraction was prepared from rat kidney according to Tanaka and Iizuka (1968).

Determination the lysosomal enzymatic activity: The activities of Acid phosphatase (ACP), and β -N-acetyl glucosaminidase (β -NAG) were measured according to Van Hoof and Hers (1968) with some modification by Younan and Rosleff (1974).

Extraction of genomic DNA for determining the purity and concentrations in rat kidney:

Isolation of genomic DNA was performed according to the method described by Sambrook *et al.* (1989) and Blin and Stafford, (1976). DNA identification was carried out on agarose 1% as described by Heilig *et al.* (1994). DNA detection on the gel was visualized by ethidium bromide bands containing as little as 1-10 μ g DNA by UV (Surzycki, 2000).

Statistical analysis: Mean values of each treatment group were compared to the positive control by using non-paired students (t) test. The difference at P<0.05 was considered to be statistically significant (Snedecor and Cochram, 1967).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

We found that acetic acid treatment was significantly increased the inflammation parameters in rat kidney Table (1).In addition, levels of GST in the sub-cellular fractions were significantly increased in acetic acid-induced acute inflammation of kidney. There is a slightly inhibitions of each extract on the activity of GST in different fractions. No significant effect of the extracts on GST activity in the cytosolic fraction. The inhibitory effect of the plant extracts appeared to be variable according to the sub-cellular fraction, this effect was dosedependent.

It was found by Bhagwat *et al.* (1998) that the constitutive expression of GSTs was maximum in the liver cytosol as compared to other tissues, also cytosolic GST activity using (CDNB) as a substrate was 2-4 fold higher than that in the microsomal and mitochondrial fractions. It was appeared that, a selective activator of the microsomal GST and there was a selectivity in the effects of different xenobiotics on the expression and catalytic activity of GST iso-enzymes from different sub-cellular compartments of tissues.

In this study, the effect of ethanolic extracts of Artichoke, Curcumin and ginger by two concentrations on the lysosomal enzymatic release of ACP, β -NAG as compared to the positive control was observed in Table (1)

The results indicated that the enzyme release was significantly increased in acetic acid-induced inflammation in rat kidney. Treatment of rats with the ethanolic extracts significantly decreased the elevation in the activities of acid phosphatase and β -N-acetyl glucosaminidase of lysosomes in rat kidney as compared to control (P<0.05).

Table (1): Effect of Artichoke, Curcumin, and Ginger by the two concentrations, as well as celecoxib as standard anti-inflammatory drug on glutathione-S- transferase activity (GST) "M/min" in the cellular fractions and lysosomal enzymes of ACP, and β -NAG of rat kidney in acetic acid induced inflammation and non- induced treatments. (n=8)

Treatments		Glutathione-S-transferase activity "GST" (M/min)			Total lysosomal enzymatic release "nmole/ml/hr in rat kidnev	
		Mitochondri	Microsomal	Cytosolic	ACP	β-NAG
		al	F.	F.		
		F.				
Positive con	trol	280.20 ±	$458.96 \pm$	546.41 ±	$2249.17 \pm$	2205.23 ± 55.13
% Chang	e	5.604	8.702	8.196	67.96	
Negative con	trol	$218.06 \pm$	$347.50 \pm$	$397.19 \pm$	$1242.50 \pm$	1548.69 ± 54.18
% Chang	e	6.361	6.246	4.758	49.68	↓29.8%*
		↓22.2%*	↓24.3%*	↓27.3%*	↓44.8%***	
Artichoke		$258.90 \pm$	$420.75 \pm$	$524.51 \pm$	642.50 ± 49.26	364.85 ± 18.20
(HD)	ion	5.942	9.108	7.568	↓71.4%***	↓83.5%***
% Change	lati	↓7.6%*	↓8.3%*	↓4.0%*		
Curcumin	uu	$249.34 \pm$	$435.69 \pm$	$527.33 \pm$	$498.34 \pm$	422.33 ± 14.77
(HD)	flar	3.421	5.522	7.610	19.920	↓80.8%***
% Change	Ë.	↓11.0%*	↓5.1%*	↓3.5%†	↓77.8%***	
Ginger	ced	259.54 ±	$440.17 \pm$	535.20 ±	541.67 ± 16.23	463.66 ± 23.15
(HĎ)	que	3.743	8.712	4.637	↓75.9%***	↓79.0%***
% Change	Ĕ.	↓7.4%*	↓4.1%*	↓2.1%†		
Celecoxib	÷.	261.46 ±	443.13 ±	526.60 ±	472.50 ± 11.80	658.43 ± 40.53
% Change	ž	4.515	5.796	4.560	↓79.0%***	↓70.1%***
		↓6.7%*	↓3.4%†	↓3.6%†		
Artichoke		$271.81 \pm$	$448.66 \pm$	$540.42 \pm$	$1185.00 \pm$	$2008.77 \pm$
(HD)		6.273	5.387	6.912	41.48	105.90
% Change		↓3.0%†	↓2.2%†	↓1.1%†	↓47.3%**	↓8.9%*
Artichoke		250.85 ± 5.016	$427.50 \pm$	$530.56 \pm$	$1042.50 \pm$	1671.26 ± 66.84
(LD)		↓10.5%*	5.213	7.821	36.47	↓24.2%*
			↓6.9%*	↓2.9%†	↓53.6%**	
Curcumin	uo	$256.76 \pm$	$454.03 \pm$	$516.09 \pm$	$1745.00 \pm$	2020.98 ± 84.81
(HD)	ati	5.192	6.076	6.931	87.25	↓8.4%*
% Change	mu	↓8.4%*	↓1.1%⊺	↓5.5%*	↓22.4%*	
Curcumin	lan	249.86 ±	$426.11 \pm$	520.70 ±	$1531.67 \pm$	2000.61 ± 84.26
(LD)	inf	6.909	5.721	5.721	61.24	↓9.3%*
Classes	ed	↓10.8% ⁴	↓7.2%0** 120.00 ↓	↓4./%0 ⁺⁺	17(2.22)	2014 57 + 70 40
Ginger	luc	250.11 ±	$429.00 \pm$	0.621	$1/63.33 \pm 70.52$	$2014.3 / \pm /0.49$
(HD) % Change	Ind	4.392	5.805	9.031	/0.52	19.0%*
Ginger		245 13 +	426.67 +	↓2.070 509.79.+	1708 34 ±	1822.96 ± 91.12
(LD)		5 643	420.07 ± 5 575	9 724	102.5	1022.90 ± 91.12
(LD)		12 5%*	17.0%*	16.7%*	102.5	ψ17.570
Celecovib		255 72 +	420 42 +	513 38 +	1542 50 +	1861 92 + 83 75
% Change		10.15	8.762	8.380	61.68	15.6%*
ge		18.7%*	18.4%*	.⊥6.0%*	131.4%*	¥-01070

% change between the treatments and positive control

*: Significant = P<0.0125

** Highly significant = P<0.0025

†: Insignificant = P< 0.2

The enzyme activity of ACP exerted a less inhibitory effect than β - NAG in non-induced group, while the inhibitory effect of ACP intoxicated group appeared to be high than β -NAG. This effect was appeared to be dose-dependent. It has been proposed that the protective effect of the flavonoids on lysosomes subjected to oxygen free radicals dose not only originate from their scavenger and antilipoperoxidant properties; a more direct action on lysosomal membrane making it more resistant to oxidative aggression has to be considered. The prevention by some flavonoids of lysosome osmotic disruption in iso-osmotic glucose could be the result of an inhibition translocation of glucose through the lysosomal membrane (Decharneux et al., 1992).

The results revealed that the total protein of rat kidney was significantly decreased in acetic acid-induced groups. Treatment with the ethanolic extracts under investigation exerted an significant increase in the total protein content in the three fractions of cytosolic, microsomal than mitochondrial (Table 2).

It was showed a significant change (P<0.05) in DNA in acetic acid induced inflammation by decrease the concentration as compared to normal control Table (2) and Figure (1). Ethanolic extracts administration in rat produced highly significant increase in DNA contents in either induced or non-induced toxicity groups (less damage). This enhancement in DNA concentration after treatment with the plant extracts appeared to be highly percentage in non-induced toxicity than intoxicated group. The effect of these extracts on DNA appeared to be dose-dependent.

The obtained data in the present study demonstrated that Artichoke, Curcumin, and Ginger extracts were considered as a potent anti-inflammatory effect on kidney. They could produce a marked increase in total content of protein levels as compared to control. Additionally, extracts could also significantly inhibit the activity of GST as conformed by the results of Ahmed and Fathia (2004).

Table (2): Effect of Artichoke, Curcumin, and Ginger by the two concentrations, as well as celecoxib as standard anti-inflammatory drug on total protein "mg/ml" in the cellular fractions and genomic DNA concentration of rat kidney in acetic acid induced inflammation and non- induced treatments. (n=8)

TreatmentsMitochondrial F.Microsomal F.Cytosolic F.Purity A_{260}/A_{280} Concentration µg / mlPositive control % Change 33.71 ± 1.686 25.89 ± 8.702 $17.84 \pm$ 0.714 1.7 790.1 ± 18.2 Negative control % Change 38.29 ± 1.915 $\uparrow 13.59\%^{*}$ 32.50 ± 6.246 $\uparrow 25.53\%^{*}$ 1.014 $\uparrow 42.2\%^{***}$ 1.8 1285.6 ± 14.7 $\uparrow 62.7\%$ Artichoke (HD) % Change 49.42 ± 1.123 $\uparrow 46.6\%^{***}$ 44.80 ± 1.644 $\uparrow 73.04\%^{***}$ $28.62 \pm$ $\uparrow 60.4\%^{***}$ 1.8 1135.9 ± 23.5 $\uparrow 40.0\%$ Curcumin (HD) % Change 47.31 ± 1.306 $\uparrow 40.3\%^{***}$ 42.05 ± 1.502 $\uparrow 62.42\%^{***}$ 1.7 1230.4 ± 10.6 $\uparrow 55.7\%$ Ginger (HD) 41.05 ± 2.053 41.36 ± 2.962 $25.43 \pm$ 1.7 1135.6 ± 18.3
F. F. F. A ₂₆₀ /A ₂₈₀ µg / ml Positive control % Change 33.71 ± 1.686 25.89 ± 8.702 $17.84 \pm$ 1.7 790.1 ± 18.2 Negative control % Change 38.29 ± 1.915 $\uparrow 13.59\%^{*}$ 32.50 ± 6.246 $\uparrow 25.53\%^{*}$ 1.014 $\uparrow 42.2\%^{***}$ 1.8 1285.6 ± 14.7 $\uparrow 62.7\%$ Artichoke (HD) % Change 49.42 ± 1.123 $\uparrow 46.6\%^{***}$ 44.80 ± 1.644 $\uparrow 73.04\%^{***}$ $28.62 \pm$ 1.531 $\uparrow 60.4\%^{***}$ 1.8 1135.9 ± 23.5 $\uparrow 40.0\%$ Curcumin (HD) % Change 47.31 ± 1.306 $\uparrow 40.3\%^{***}$ 42.05 ± 1.502 $\uparrow 62.42\%^{***}$ 1.7 1230.4 ± 10.6 $\uparrow 45.57\%$ Ginger (HD) 41.05 ± 2.053 41.36 ± 2.962 $25.43 \pm$ 1.7 1135.6 ± 18.3
Positive control % Change 33.71 ± 1.686 25.89 ± 8.702 $17.84 \pm$ 1.7 790.1 ± 18.2 Negative control % Change 38.29 ± 1.915 32.50 ± 6.246 $25.36 \pm$ 1.8 1285.6 ± 14.7 Negative control % Change 38.29 ± 1.915 32.50 ± 6.246 $25.36 \pm$ 1.8 1285.6 ± 14.7 Megative control % Change $13.59\%^*$ $125.53\%^*$ 1.014 1.642 1.8 1285.6 ± 14.7 Megative control % Change 49.42 ± 1.123 44.80 ± 1.644 $28.62 \pm$ 1.8 1135.9 ± 23.5 $146.6\%^{***}$ $173.04\%^{***}$ 1.531 $1.60.4\%^{***}$ 1.7 1230.4 ± 10.6 Ginger (HD) 341.05 ± 2.053 41.36 ± 2.962 $25.43 \pm$ 1.7 1135.6 ± 18.3
Positive control % Change 33.71 ± 1.686 25.89 ± 8.702 $17.84 \pm$ 1.7 790.1 ± 18.2 Negative control % Change 38.29 ± 1.915 32.50 ± 6.246 $25.36 \pm$ 1.8 1285.6 ± 14.7 % Change $\uparrow 13.59\%^*$ $\uparrow 25.53\%^*$ 1.014 $\uparrow 62.7\%$ Artichoke (HD) % Change 49.42 ± 1.123 44.80 ± 1.644 $28.62 \pm$ 1.8 1135.9 ± 23.5 Φ (Anage 49.42 ± 1.123 44.80 ± 1.644 $28.62 \pm$ 1.8 1135.9 ± 23.5 Φ (Achow) $\uparrow 46.6\%^{***}$ $\uparrow 73.04\%^{***}$ 1.531 $\uparrow 40.0\%$ Φ (A10.3\%^{***} $\uparrow 62.42\%^{***}$ 1.531 $\uparrow 40.0\%$ Φ (A1.3\%^{***} $\uparrow 62.42\%^{***}$ 1.384 $\uparrow 49.5\%^{***}$ Cinger (HD) Φ Φ Φ Φ Φ Φ Φ $Ginger (HD)$ Φ
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $
Negative control % Change 38.29 ± 1.915 $\uparrow 13.59\%^{*}$ 32.50 ± 6.246 $\uparrow 25.53\%^{*}$ $25.36 \pm$ 1.014 $\uparrow 42.2\%^{***}$ 1.8 1285.6 ± 14.7 $\uparrow 62.7\%$ Artichoke (HD) % Change 49.42 ± 1.123 $\uparrow 46.6\%^{***}$ 44.80 ± 1.644 $\uparrow 73.04\%^{***}$ $28.62 \pm$ 1.531 $\uparrow 60.4\%^{***}$ 1.8 1135.9 ± 23.5 $\uparrow 40.0\%$ Curcumin (HD) % Change 47.31 ± 1.306 $\uparrow 40.3\%^{***}$ 42.05 ± 1.502 $\uparrow 62.42\%^{***}$ $26.67 \pm$ 1.384 $\uparrow 49.5\%^{***}$ 1.7 1230.4 ± 10.6 $\uparrow 49.5\%^{***}$ Ginger (HD) 41.05 ± 2.053 41.36 ± 2.962 $25.43 \pm$ 1.7 1135.6 ± 18.3
Negative control % Change 38.29 ± 1.915 $\uparrow 13.59\%^{*}$ 32.50 ± 6.246 $\uparrow 25.53\%^{*}$ $25.36 \pm$ 1.014 $\uparrow 42.29\%^{***}$ 1.8 1285.6 ± 14.7 $\uparrow 62.7\%$ Artichoke (HD) % Change 9 % Change 49.42 ± 1.123 $\uparrow 46.6\%^{***}$ 44.80 ± 1.644 $\uparrow 73.04\%^{***}$ $28.62 \pm$ 1.531 $\uparrow 60.4\%^{***}$ 1.8 1135.9 ± 23.5 $\uparrow 40.0\%$ Curcumin (HD) % Change 47.31 ± 1.306 $\uparrow 40.3\%^{***}$ 42.05 ± 1.502 $\uparrow 62.42\%^{***}$ $26.67 \pm$ 1.384 $\uparrow 49.5\%^{***}$ 1.7 1230.4 ± 10.6 $\uparrow 49.5\%^{***}$ Ginger (HD) 7 41.05 ± 2.053 41.36 ± 2.962 $25.43 \pm$ 1.7 1135.6 ± 18.3
% Change $\uparrow 13.59\%^{*}$ $\uparrow 25.53\%^{*}$ 1.014 $\uparrow 62.7\%$ Artichoke (HD) 9% 49.42 ± 1.123 44.80 ± 1.644 $28.62 \pm$ 1.8 1135.9 ± 23.5 % Change 9% $\uparrow 46.6\%^{***}$ $\uparrow 73.04\%^{***}$ 1.531 $\uparrow 40.0\%$ Curcumin (HD) 9% 47.31 ± 1.306 42.05 ± 1.502 $26.67 \pm$ 1.7 1230.4 ± 10.6 % Change 9 41.05 ± 2.053 41.36 ± 2.962 $25.43 \pm$ 1.7 1135.6 ± 18.3
Artichoke (HD) 49.42 ± 1.123 44.80 ± 1.644 $28.62 \pm$ 1.8 1135.9 ± 23.5 % Change $\frac{9}{14}$ $\frac{1}{135.9\pm 23.5}$ $\frac{1}{135.9\pm 23.5}$ $\frac{1}{140.0\%}$ Curcumin (HD) $\frac{9}{14}$ $\frac{47.31 \pm 1.306}{1.3\%^{***}}$ $\frac{42.05 \pm 1.502}{1.502}$ $26.67 \pm$ 1.7 1230.4 ± 10.6 $\frac{1}{105} \pm 2.053$ $\frac{1}{135} \pm 2.053$ $\frac{1}{135} \pm 2.053$ $\frac{1}{135} \pm 2.053$ $\frac{1}{135} \pm 1.336$ Cinger (HD) $\frac{1}{135} \pm 2.053$ $\frac{1}{135} \pm 2.962$ $25.43 \pm$ 1.7 1135.6 ± 18.3
Artichoke (HD) 49.42 ± 1.123 44.80 ± 1.644 28.62 ± 1.8 1135.9±23.5 % Change $\uparrow 46.6\%^{***}$ $\uparrow 73.04\%^{***}$ 1.531 $\uparrow 40.0\%$ Curcumin (HD) 47.31 ± 1.306 42.05 ± 1.502 26.67 ± 1.7 1230.4±10.6 % Change $\uparrow 40.3\%^{***}$ $\uparrow 62.42\%^{***}$ 1.384 $\uparrow 55.7\%$ Ginger (HD) \neg 41.05 ± 2.053 41.36 ± 2.962 $25.43 \pm$ 1.7 1135.6 ± 18.3
% Change $\cdot \overline{0}$ $\uparrow 46.6\%^{***}$ $\uparrow 73.04\%^{***}$ 1.531 $\uparrow 40.0\%$ Curcumin (HD) $\downarrow 1$ $\uparrow 40.3\%^{***}$ $\uparrow 62.42\%^{***}$ 1.531 $\uparrow 40.0\%$ % Change $\downarrow 1$ $\uparrow 40.3\%^{***}$ $\uparrow 62.42\%^{***}$ 1.384 $\uparrow 55.7\%$ Ginger (HD) \neg 41.05 ± 2.053 41.36 ± 2.962 $25.43 \pm$ 1.7 1135.6 ± 18.3
Curcumin (HD) $760.4\%^{***}$ % Change 47.31 ± 1.306 42.05 ± 1.502 26.67 ± 1.7 1230.4±10.6 % Change $140.3\%^{***}$ $162.42\%^{***}$ 1.384 155.7% Ginger (HD) 7 41.05 ± 2.053 41.36 ± 2.962 $25.43 \pm$ 1.7 1135.6 ± 18.3
Curcumin (HD) $\frac{1}{2}$ 47.31 ± 1.306 42.05 ± 1.502 $26.67 \pm$ 1.7 1230.4 ± 10.6 % Change $\frac{1}{2}$ $140.3\%^{***}$ $\uparrow 62.42\%^{***}$ 1.384 $\uparrow 55.7\%$ Ginger (HD) $\frac{1}{2}$ 41.05 ± 2.053 41.36 ± 2.962 $25.43 \pm$ 1.7 1135.6 ± 18.3
% Change 5 \$\$\$ \$\$\$ \$\$\$ \$\$\$ \$\$\$ \$\$\$ \$\$\$ \$\$\$\$ \$\$\$\$
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $
Ginger (HD) \Box 41.05 + 2.053 41.36 + 2.962 25.43 + 1.7 1135.6+18.3
Omger (III) 0 41.00 ± 2.000 41.00 ± 2.002 20.45 ± 1.7 1155.0±10.5
% Change ≦ ↑21.8%** ↑59.75%*** 1.350 ↑43.7%
Celecoxib 43.76 ± 1.750 42.46 ± 1.938 $24.77 \pm$ 1.7 1115.1 ± 20.4
% Change 2 ↑29.8%** ↑64.00%*** 1.160 ↑41.1%
<u>↑</u> 38.3% ^{###}
Artichoke (HD) 38.39 ± 1.816 40.82 ± 2.691 27.88 ± 0.06 1.9 975.2 ± 11.80
% Change $\uparrow 13.9\%^*$ $\uparrow 57.67\%^{***}$ $\uparrow 56.3\%^{***}$ $\uparrow 23.4\%$
Artichoke (LD) 36.43 ±1.485 38.80 ± 2.466 25.44 ± 0.84 1.8 925.8±40.23
$\uparrow 8.1\%^*$ $\uparrow 49.86\%^{***}$ $\uparrow 42.6\%^{***}$ $\uparrow 17.2\%$
Curcumin (HD) 37.40 ± 2.120 37.15 ± 1.926 $26.17 \pm$ 1.9 909.1 ± 66.84
% Change 5 $\uparrow 10.9\%^*$ $\uparrow 43.49\%^{***}$ 1.359 15.1%
<u>↑</u> 46.7%6***
Curcumin (LD) $= 35.05 \pm 1.297$ 34.36 ± 0.083 25.96 ± 2.0 980.6 ± 38.70
π [4.0%] [32.72%] 1.498 [24.1%]
Ginger (HD) $30.2/\pm 2.214$ 35.22 ± 2.001 $24.19\pm$ 2.0 960.7 ± 10.5
%0 Change $\tilde{\mathbf{J}}$ /.0%0 ^{**} 30.04%0 ^{**} 1.088 [21.0%]
$\begin{array}{c c} \hline & & & & \\ \hline & & & \\ \hline & & & \\ \hline \hline & & \\ \hline \\ \hline$
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
2.0%0 10.395%0° 1.102 14.4%0 ↑?3.50/***
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $
% Change $\uparrow 6.5\%^{*}$ $\uparrow 18.12\%^{*}$ 0.706 $\uparrow 13.5\%$
10.570 10.270 0.700 10.570 10.570

% change between the treatments and positive control ** Highly significant = P<0.0025 ***: Very highly significant =P<0.0005 *: Significant = P<0.0125

†: Insignificant = P< 0.25



Fig. 1. Genomic DNA of rat kidney isolated from different treatments compared against control and Ladder DNA (50 bp) on agarose 1%. Gel was stained by ethidium bromide and visualized by U.V.

It was reported that Artichoke extract revealed a good efficiency in the inhibition *in-vitro* of lipid peroxidation, and free radical scavenging activity (Jimenez-Fscrig *et al.*, 2003). Also, it has been found that ginger attenuated the induction of lipid peroxidation and the oxidative stress in rat induced hepatotoxicity (Ahmed *et al.*, 2000).

Also, Curcumin and other extracts exerted an stabilizing effect on the enzyme activities of ACP and β -NAG of lysosomal enzymes, this effect are in agreement with the results of Redely and Lokesh (1994) who found that curcumin has a membrane stabilizing effect. Moreover, it has been reported that curcumin may exert a cytoprotective effect by inducing liver glutathione-S-transferase (Piper *et al.*, 1998).

It was indicated that the free radicals which produced intoxicated processes caused a variety of reactive oxygen species including superoxide radical, hydrogen peroxide and hypochlorous acid (Roos, 1991). These radicals react with biological molecules such as DNA, protein and phospholipids and eventually damage membranes and other tissues (Vuillume, 1987).

The free radical trapping capacity of curcumin resides mainly due to its phenolic contents besides a β -diketone moiety (Masuda *et al.*, 1999). These compounds possibly prevent the reactive oxygen species from acting on DNA (Srinivas *et al.*, 1992), suggesting a possible role of curcumin as a chain breaking antioxidant against lipid peroxidation (Rajakrishnan *et al.*, 1999). The activated oxygen species can induce cellular events such as enzyme inactivation, DNA strands cleavage and also membrane lipid peroxidation (Pratibha *et al.*, 2006).

It was investigated that free radicals like superoxide anion and hydroxyl radical exerted their toxic effect by acting on DNA, membrane proteins and lipids (Bhattacharya *et al.*, 2003), while under the effect of plant extracts, DNA was less affected. Also, Ippoushi *et al.* (2003) found that ginger has an inhibitory activity against DNA damage induced by reactive oxygen species (ROS), this effect of ginger may due to the presence of phenolic compounds. It was well known that malondialdehyde from lipid peroxidation reacts with DNA bases and induces mutagenic lesions (Benamira, 1995).

Conclusion In conclusion, this study provides a preliminary data on the anti-inflammatory activity of Artichoke, Curcumin, and Ginger extracts. Also the results supported information that these plant extracts represent a potential antioxidant and anti-inflammatory response on kidney tissue. This effect appeared to be dose-dependent. Further studies are necessary to assess the role of anti-inflammatory active ingredients in defense mechanisms against inflammatory process in kidney tissues.

REFERENCES

- Ahmed, H. H., and Fathia, M. (2004): Curcumin as an effective protective agent against ethinyl estradiol-induced hepatocellular cholestasis. Egypt J. Med. Lab. Sci., (ESIC), 13(2):25-36
- Ahmed, R. S.; Seth, V.; Pasha, S. T., and Banerjee, B. D. (2000): Influence of dietary ginger (*Ziniber officinalis Rosc*) on oxidative stress induced by malathion in rats. Food and Chemical Toxicol., 38(5): 443-450.
- Balasubramanyam, M.; Koteswari, A. A.; Kumar, R. S.; Monickaraj, S. F.; Maheswari, J. U., and Mohan, V. (2003): Curcumin –

induced inhibition of cellular reactive oxygen species generation: Novel therapeutic implications. J. Bio. Sci. 28: 715-721.

- Baynes, J. W. (1991). Role of oxidative stress in development of complications in diabetes. Diabetes, 40: 405-412.
- Benamira, M. (1995): Induction of mutations by replication of MDAmodified MB DNA in E. Coli, determination of the extent of DNA modification, genetic requirement for mutagenesis and types of mutation induced. Carcinogenesis, 16:93.
- Bhagwat, S. V.; Mullick, J.; Avadhani, N. G., and Raza, H. (1998): Differential response of cytosolic, microsomal, and mitochondrial glutathione-S-transferase to xenobiotic. Int. J. Oncol., 13(2): 281-288.
- Bhattacharya, A.; Lawrence, R. A.; Krishnun, A.; Zaman, K.; Sun, D., and Fernandes, G. (2003): Effect of dietary n⁻³ and n⁻⁶ oils with and without food restriction on activity of antioxidant enzymes and lipid peroxidation in livers of cyclophosphamide treated autoimmune- prone NZB/W female mice. J. of The Amer. Collage of Nutri., 22 (5): 388-399.
- Blin, N., and Stafford, D. W. (1976): A general method for isolation of high molecular weight DNA from eukaryotes. Nucleic Acids Res., 3:2303.
- Cervellati, R.; Renzulli, C.; Guerra, M. C., and Speroni, E. (2002): Evaluation of antioxidant of some natural polyphenolic compounds using the Briggs-Rancher reaction method. J. Agric Food Chem., 18: 50 (26): 7504-7509.
- Cha, Y. N.; Heine, H. S., and Ansher, S. (1983): Comparative effects of dietary administration of antioxidants and inducers on the activities of several hepatic enzymes in mice. Drug-Nutrient Interactions. 2:35-45.
- Decharneux, T.; Dubois, F.; Beauloye, C.; Wattiax-De, Coninck, S., and Wattiaux, R. (1992): Effect of various flavonoids on lysosomes subjected to an oxidative or an osmotic stress. Biochem. Pharmacol., Oct. 6; 44(7): 1243-1248.

- Habig W. H.; Pabst M. J., and Jacoby W. B. (1974): Glutathione S-Transferases. The first enzymatic step in mercapturic acid formation. J. of Biolo. Chem. 249(22): 7130-7139
- Heilig, J. S.; Lech, K., and Brent, R. (1994): Large-Scale preparation of plasmid DNA. In: Current protocols in molecular Biology. Vol. 1 ed. Jassen, K. Current Protocol Publisher.
- Hogeboom, G. H. (1995):In methods of enzymology (Colowic, K. S. P., and Kaplan, N. O., eds.), vol. 1:16-19. Academic Press, New York.
- Hosseinzadeh, H.; Ramezani, M.; Fadishei, M., and Mahmoudi, M. (2002): Antinociceptive, anti-inflammatory and acute toxicity effects of *Zhumera majdae* extracts in mice and rats. Phytomedicine. 9(2): 135-141.
- Ippoushi, K.; Ito, H.; Horic, H., and Higashio, H. (2003): (6) gingerol inhibits nitric oxide synthesis in activated J774.1 mouse macrophages and prevents peroxynitrite induced oxidation and nitration reactions. Life Sci., 73(26): 3427-3437.
- Jimenez-Fscrig, A.; Dragsed, L. O.; Daneshvar, B.; Pulido, R., and Sanra-Calixto, F. (2003): In-vitro antioxidant activities of edible Artichoke (*Cynara Scolymus L.*): and effect on biomarker of antioxidants in rats. J. Agric. Food Chem., 51(18): 5540-5545.
- Kiuchi, F.; Iwakawi, S.; Shibuya, M.; Hanaoka, F., and Sankawa, U. (1992): Inhibition of prostaglandin and leukotriene biosynthesis by gingirols and diarylheptanoids. Chem. Pharm. Bull., 40: 754-757.
- Leal, P. E.; Braga, M. E.; Sato, D. N.; Carvalho, J. E.; Marqes, M. O., and Meireles, M. (2003): Functional properties of spice extracts obtained via supercritical fluid extraction. J. Agric. Food Chem., 51(9): 2520-2525.
- Lowry, O. H.; Rosebrough, N. J.; Farr, A. L., and Randall, R. J. J. (1951): Protein measurement with the Folin phenol reagent. J. Biol. Chem., 193: 265-275.
- Mausda, T.; Hidaka, K.; Shinohara, A.; Maekawa, T.; Takeda, Y., and Yamaguchi, H. (1999): Chemical studies opn antioxidant mechanism of curcuminoid: analysis of radical reaction products from curcumin. J. Agric. Food Chem., 47:71-77.

- Penna, S. C.; Medeiros, M. V.; Aimbire, F. S.; Farianeto, H. C.; Sertie, J. A.; Lope, E., and Martins, R. A. (2003): Anti-inflammatory effect of the hydroalcoholic extract of Z. officinalis rhizomes on rat paw and skin edema. Phytomedicine, 10(5): 381-385.
- Piper, J. T.; Singhal, S. S.; Salameh, M. S.; Torman, R. T.; Awasthi, Y. C. (1998): Mechanisms of anti-carcinogenic properties of curcumin: The effect of curcumin on glutathione linked detoxification enzymes in rat liver. The international J. of Biochem. And Cell Biol., 30 (4): 445-456.
- Pratibha, R.; Sameer, R.; Rataboli, P. V.; Bhiwgade, D. A., and Dhume, C. Y. (2006): Enzymatic studies of cisplatin induced oxidative stress in hepatic tissues of rats. Euro. J. of Pharmacol., 532:290-293.
- Rajakrishnan, V.; Viswanathan, P.; Rajasekharan, K. N., and Menon, V. P. (1999): Neuroprotective role of curcumin from *Curcuma longa* on ethanol-induced brain damage. Phytother. Res., 13: 571-574.
- Redely, A. C., and Lokesh, B. R. (1994). Studies on the inhibitory effects of curcumin and eugenol on the formation of reactive oxygen species and the oxidation of ferrous iron. Moll. Cell Biochem. 137 (1): 1-8.
- Roos, D. (1991): The involvement of oxygen radicals in microbicidal mechanisms of leukocytes and macrophages. Klim Wochenscher, 69: 975-980.
- Rosen, P.; Nawroth, P. P.; King, G.; Moller, W.; Tritschler, H. J., and Packer, L. (2001): The role of oxidative stress in the onset and progression of diabetes and its complications; a summary of a congress series sponsored by UNESCOMCBN, the American Diabetes Association and the German Diabetes Society. Diabetes Metab. Res. Rev., 17: 189-212.
- Rotelli, A. E.; Guardia, T.; Juarez, A. O.; de la Rocha, N. E., and Pelzer, L. E. (2003): Comparative study of flavonoids in experimental models of inflammation. Pharmacol. Res., 448(6): 601-606.

- Sambrook, J.; Fritsch, E. F., and Maniatis, T. (1989): In molecular cloning No. 1, Lab manual, 2nd cold spring Harbor Laboratory Press. Printed in USA.
- Sen, A.; Sahin, B.; Agus, H. H.; Bayar, M.; Sevim, H., and Semiz, A. (2007): Prevention of carbon tetra chloride-induced hepatotoxicity by *Urtica urens* in rats. J. of App. Biolo. Sci., 1(3): 29-32.
- Snedecor, G. W., and Cochram, G. C. (1967): In, Statistical methods. Lower state University Press, Lowe, U.S.A.
- Srinivas, L. Shalini, V. K., and Shylaja, M. (1992): Turmerin: A water soluble antioxidant peptide from turmeric (*Curcuma longa*). Archives Biochem. Biophys., 292:617-623.
- Surzycki, S. (2000): Basic techniques in molecular biology, chapt. (1): 22-24. General aspects of DNA isolation and purification" and chapt. 8, Agarose gel electrophoresis of DNA. Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg, Germany.
- Tanaka, K. and Iizuka, Y. (1968): Suppression of enzyme release from isolated rat liver lysosomes by non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs. Biochem. Pharmacol., 17: 2033 – 2032.
- Thomson, M.; Al-qattan. K. K.;Al-Sawan, S.M.; Alnaqeeb, M.A.; Khan, I., and Ali, M. (2000): The use of Curcumin (*curcuma longa*) as a potential antioxidant and anti-inflammatory agent. Prostaglandins leukot Essent Fatty Acids. 67(6): 475-478.
- Van Hoof, F., and Hers, H. G. (1968). The abnormalities of lysosomal enzymes in mucopolysaccharides. European. J. Biochem., 7: 34-44.
- Vuillume, M. (1987): Reduced oxygen species, mutation, induction and cancer initiation. Mutation Res., 186: 43-72.
- Waxman, D. J.; Sundseth, S. S.; Srivastava, P. K., and Lapenson, D. P. (1992): Gene-specific oligonucleotide probes for α , μ , π and microsomal rat glutathione-S-transferase: Analysis of liver transferase expression and its modulation by hepatic enzyme inducers and platinum anticancer drugs. Cancer Res., 52:5797-5802.
- Yance, D. R., and Sagar, S. M. (2006): Targeting angiogenesis with integrative cancer therapies. Inter. Cancer. Ther., 5 (1): 9-29.

Younan, E. A., Rosleff, F. (1974). Changes of lysosomal enzymatic activities in human skin fibroblasts at various pas *Saliva officinals*. J. Drug Res. Egypt, 6(3): 137.

التأثير المقارن للمستخلصات الأيثانولية للخرشوف ، الكركم و الزنجبيل ضد الألتهاب المستحدث بواسطة حمض الخليك فى كلى الجرذان نيرمين زكريا ، أيناس محمد و ماجد ابراهيم ، زكريا طلب الهيئة القومية للرقابة والبحوث الدوائية- شعبة التقييم الدوائى الجزيئى

تم داسة تأثير ثلاث مستخلصات (الخرشوف، الكركم و الزنجبيل) بتركيزين (250 ، 500 ملليجم / كجم وزن الجسم) وأيضا Celicoxib بجرعة واحدة (100 ملليجم / كجم وزن الجسم) كمادة قياسية مضادة للألتهاب ضد الألتهاب الناتج عن استخدام حمض الخليك فى كلى الجرذان، قسمت الجرزان لجزئين رئيسين: الأول أعطى التركيز العالى لكل مستخلص على حده والمجموعة الأخيرة أعطت الـ Cleicoxib بتركيز واحد لمدة أربعة أسابيع ، وتم أعطاء الجزء الجزء الثانى التركيز (المنخفض والعالى) لكل مستخلص يوميا وتم اعطاء الجرزان حمض الخليك بتركيز واحد (1.4%) (1 ملل/ جرز) مرتين فى الأسبوع لحدوث الألتهاب.

فى نهاية التجربة تم ذبح الجرذان وعزل الكلية لفصل الجزيئات تحت الخلوية (متجانس الكلية - ميتوكندريا – ميكروزوم – سيتوزول) وتم تقدير نشاط أنزيمات الجلوتاثيون – أس – تر انسفير ايز (GST) فى كل جزء، وكذلك تقدير النشاط الأنزيمى لجسيمات الليسوسوم وهى الفوسفاتيز الحامضى "ACP" و تم تقدير محتوى البروتين والحمض النووى DNA وتم عزل الحمض النووى MAR وفصله على الأجاروز .%1

أوضحت النتائج أن معالجة الجرزان بالمستخلصات الأيثانولية بالتركيزين أدت الى خفض فى نشاط أنزيم الجلوت اثيون – أس – تران سفيرايز (GST) و النشاط الأنزيمى لأنزيمات الفوسفاتيز الحامضى "ACP" و بيتا-ن-جلوكوز أمينيديز "β-NAG" فى الجرذان التى أعطت حمض الخليك. وقد ارتفع المحتوى من البروتين والحمض النووى فى الجرذان التى حدث لها التهاب مقارنة بالمجموعة الضابطة.

وقد أوضحت النتائج أن المستخلصات تحتوى على مركبات فعالة لها تأثير واقى كمضادات للألتهاب الناتجة عن حمض الخليك فى كلى الجرذان. وقد تأثر النشاط الأنزيمى لأنزيمات الليسوزوم و الجلوتاثيون – أس – ترانسفيرايز) حسب التركيز لكل مستخلص وكذلك حسب نوع الخلايا المفصولة من ميتوكندريا، سيتوزول، وميكروسوم فى كلى الجرذان.