MANUFACTURE OF YOGHURT FROM COW'S MILK FORTIFIED WITH TRYPSIN MODIFIED WHEY PROTEINS

K. M. K. Kebary, A. I. Hamed, I. I. Bradran, S. A. Hussein and A. M. Gaber

Department of Dairy Sci. and Technology, Faculty of Agriculture, Minufiya University. Shibin El-Kom, Egypt.

(Received: Jun. 11, 2009)

ABSTRACT: Two types of whey protein concentrates (WPC) heat precipitated salted sweet whey (SWPC) ultra filtrated acid whey (FWPC) were hydrolyzed with trypsin at the rate of 0.4 gm / 100 gm protein. Nine treatments of voghurt were made to study the effect of replacing non-fat dry milk with trypsinized WPC on the quality of yoghurt. Replacement of non-fat dry milk with hydrolyzed WPC caused significant (p < 0.05) increase total protein, ash, non-protein nitrogen and diacetyl (DA) and acetyl methyl carbinol (AMC) contents, curd tension and scores of organoleptic properties, while syneresis decreased. On the other hand, fortification of cow's milk with trypsinized whey protein concentrates (mFWPC and mSWPC) did not affect significantly the total solids content, acidity and pH values of the resultant voghurt treatments. The type of WPC did not have significant effect on total solids, total protein, ash and non-protein nitrogen contents, while mFWPC was effectively increased the DA + AMC, scores of organoleptic properties and decrease the syneresis of whey as compared to yoghurt treated with mSWPC. Total solids, total protein, non-protein nitrogen and ash content did not change significantly during the storage period; however, the acidity increased and pH value decreased as the storage period proceeded. DA and AMC increased, while whey syneresis decreased up to the 6th day of storage. thereafter DA + AMC decreased, whilst whey syneresis increased up to the end of storage period. It could be replace non-fat dry milk with mFWPC up to 75% and mSWPC up to 50% without detrimental effects on yoghurt quality made from cow's milk.

Key Words: Cow's milk, yoghurt fortification, modified whey protein concentrate, non-fat dry milk.

INTRODUCTION

Yoghurt is the most popular fermented milk produced in Egypt and worldwide. The consumption of yoghurt has been increased markedly in Egypt. The value of yoghurt in human nutrition is based on the strict nutritive effect of digested milk constituents occurring during lactic acid fermentation and on the beneficial effect of intestinal microflora, prophylactic and heeling effects (Rasic and kurmann, 1978; Agerbaek et al., 1995; Tvede, 1996; Buttriss, 1997 and Hussein and Kebary, 1999).

One of the draw backs of the manufacture of yoghurt from cow's milk is the weak body and texture. Therefore, it has been suggested that fortification of cow's milk with non-fat dry milk, or using stabilizers, ropy culture and whey protein are good methods to improve the body and texture of the resultant yoghurt (Abd El-Salam et al., 1996; Harby and El-Sabie, 2001; Zedan et al., 2001; Kebary et al., 2004; Badawi et al., 2004 and El-Sonbaty et al., 2008). Using non-fat dry milk is the most widely method used.

It has been estimated that, the annual amount of whey and milk permeate could be more than one million ton. This amount is disposed in the sewage system that might cause environmental pollution. According to the environmental law issued recently in Egypt whey should be treated before drainage into sewage system. Therefore, getting whey proteins from whey will be very important for dairy plants. Heat treatment is a common steps used during the production of whey proteins, which might affect the functional properties of the resultant whey proteins and limit their utilization in formulated foods (Morr, 1972). The functional properties of whey proteins (solubility, water absorption, oil absorption, foam and emulsification capacity) have improved by enzyme modification. Ultrafilterd acid whey hydrolyzed with 0.4 gm trypsin / 100 gm protein (Kebary et al., 2009). The best whey proteins gave functionalities.

The objectives of this study were to investigate the effect of replacing non-fat dry milk that used to fortify the cow's milk with trypsin hydrolyzed whey protein on the quality of yoghurt and to follow up the changes during storage of yoghurt quality.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Modified whey proteins:

Two types of dried whey protein concentrates were prepared, one of them was precipitated by heat treatment from salted whey (SWPC) and the other was prepared by ultrafiltration (FWPC) of acid whey. Trypsin concentrate at the rate of 0.4 gm / 100 gm protein (Sigma Chemical Comp., St. Louis, USA) as described by Kebary et al. (2009).

Starter cultures:

Streptococcus thermophillus EMCC 1043 and Lactobacillus delbrueckii subsp. bulgaricus EMCC 1102 were obtained from Cairo MIRCEN (Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University). These strains were activated separately three successive transfers in sterile skim milk.

Manufacture of yoghurt:

Fresh cow milk (3%) obtained from the herd of Tokh Tanbisha, Faculty of Agrc., Minufiya University was divided into 9 equal portion. One portion was for field with 3.0% non-fat dry milk (Ecoval N.V., Paris, France) used as a

control (Kebary *et al.*, 2004). The other eight portions, non fat dry milk was replaced with hydrolyzed either salted whey protein concentrate (SWPC) or filtrated whey protein concentrate (FWPC), respectively, at the ratio of 25, 50, 75 and 100%, respectively. All batches were heated to 85°C for 20 min. then cooled to 40°C. Yoghurt batches were inoculated with 1% of *Str. thermophilus* + 1% *L. delbrueckii* subsp. *bulgaricus*. The inoculated batches were packed in plastic cups (capacity 100 g) and incubated at 42°C until complete setting (3.0 – 3.5 hr). Resultant yoghurt was stored for 12 days at 6 \pm 2°C. All yoghurt batches were sampled for analysis at days 1, 3, 6, 9 and 12 days. This experimental design was triplicated.

Rheological analysis:

Syneresis was determined according to the methods of Dannenberg and Kessler (1988) with slight modification. Hundred gram yoghurt in plastic cup was cut into four sections and transferred into a funnel fitted with 120 mesh metal screen. The whey was drained into graduated cylinder. The amount of whey drained off was measured after 120 min. at room temperature $(20 \pm 1^{\circ}C)$.

Curd tension was determined by a penetrometer supplied by "Koehler" Instrument company Inc. New York, USA was used. The test was performed as mentioned by El-Shabrawy et al. (2002) as follows: the penetrometer cone was adjusted to touch the surface of yoghurt sample. Then, the cone was released to skin into the sample for 5 sec. The penetration depth was recorded in units of 0.1 mm penetrometer reading which is related inversely to the firmness of sample.

Sensory evaluation:

Yoghurt samples were evaluated for flavour, appearance, acidity and body and texture by 15 panelists of the staff members of Department of Dairy Science and Technology Minufiya University, Shibin El-Kom Egypt according to Nelson and Trout (1981). Samples were presented to judges in plastic cups in random order. Judges were provided with room temperature rinse waster, plastic spoons and score sheets.

Statistical analysis:

Factorial design 2 factors × 3 replicates and the Completely Randomized design were used to analyze all the data, and student Newman Keuls test was followed to make the multiple comparisons (Steel and Torrie, 1980) using COSTAT program. Significant differences were determined at $P \le 0.05$.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Replacement of non-fat dry milk that was used to fortify cows milk with hydrolysed whey protein concentrates (mFWPC and mSWPC) did not affect significantly (P > 0.05) the total solids content of the resultant yoghurt

(Tables 1, 6). Similar results were obtained by Abd El-Baky et al. (1981); El-Neshawy and El-Shafie (1988) and Hofi et al. (1995).

Yoghurt treatments made with mFWPC were not significantly (P > 0.05) different from the corresponding yoghurt treatments made with mSWPC. On the other hand, total solids content of all yoghurt batches did not change significantly (P > 0.05) throughout storage period (Tables 1. 6).

Total protein, non-protein, nitrogen and ash contents of yoghurt treatments increased significantly ($P \le 0.05$) by replacing non fat dry milk with hydrolysed whey protein concentrates (mFWPC and mSWPC). There were no significant differences among corresponding yoghurt treatments made with either mFWPC or mSWPC (Tables 1, 2 and 6). Total protein, non-protein, nitrogen and ash contents of all yoghurt treatments did not change significantly (P > 0.05) during storage of yoghurt for 12 days (Tables 1, 2 and 6) this agree with the finding of Khader (1994).

Changes in the values of titratable acidity of yoghurt treatments are shown in Tables (3, 6). Yoghurt treatments were not significantly (P > 0.05) different from each other. Similar results were obtained by Khader (1994), who increased the total solids of buffalo skim milk with whey protein concentrates to make fat free yoghurt. Titratable acidity of all yoghurt treatments increased gradually (P \leq 0.05) as the storage period progressed (Tables 3, 6). These results are in agreement with those reported by Farooq and Haque (1992), Khader (1994), Salama and Hassan (1994), Abd EI-Salam *et al.* (1996), Kebary *et al.* (1996), Badawi and EI-Sonbaty (1997) and Kebary and Hussein (1999).

PH values of all yoghurt treatments during storage are presented in (Tables 3, 6). There were no significant (P > 0.05) differences among yoghurt treatments which means neither the type nor the concentration of hydrolyzed whey protein concentrates affected significantly (P > 0.05) the pH value of the resultant yoghurt (Tables 2, 6). pH values decreased gradually (P \leq 0.05) as storage period advanced. These results are in accordance with those reported by Badawi and El-Sonbaty (1997). Hussein and Kebary (1999) and Kebary and Hussein (1999).

Replacement of yoghurt with trypsin-treated whey protein concentrates caused a significant ($P \le 0.05$) increase in diacetly and acetyl methyl carbinol content (DA + AMC) and this increase was proportional to the amount added from hydrolyhzed whey protein condcentates (mFWPC and mSWPC) (Tables 3, 6). It was found that yoghurt treatment made with adding mFWPC contained higher ($P \le 0.05$) diacetyl and acetyl methyl carbinol than those yoghurt treatments made with adding of mSWPC.

Diacetyl and acetyl methyl carbinol content of all yoghurt treatments batches increased gradually ($P \le 0.05$) and reached their maximum values at the sixth day of storage, then deceased up to the end of storage period

Table (1): Effect of replacing non-fat dry milk with hydrolyzed dried whey protein concentrate on total
solids and total protein of yoghurt made from cow's milk.

Youhurt					fot	al solids ('	%)			To	tal proteir	1 (%)							
Yoghurt treatments mS; mS, mS,				Sto	rag	je period (days)	-	Storage period (days)											
	1	1		3	т	6	9	12	1	3	6		9	12						
È È		14 62		14 66		14 71	14.73	14.76	4 6 1	4 64	4 66	Ŧ	4.67	4.69						
mS₁		14 68		14 71		14 74	14.75	14 79	5.14	5.18	5 24		5.27	5.28						
mS,		14.72		14 73	1	14.76	14 78	18.82	565	5 68	5.70		5.73	577						
mS;		14.78		14 78	ł	14 81	14.83	14.85	6.28	6.32	633	'	6 37	. 6.39						
mS₄	1	14.88		14.91	1	14 95	14 97	14,98	6.72	6.76	679		6.81	6.85						
mF,**		14.58	1	14 63		14 67	14.67	14.71	5 08	5 14	5 17		5.21	5 24						
mF ₂		14.65		14 69		14 70	14.73	14.73	5 59	5.65	5 67	1	571	5.72						
mF5	ŕ	1474		14 75		14 77	14.80	14.81	6 2 4	6 28	6 32		6.33	6.36						
F4		14.83		14 85		14 85	14 87	14 91	6 69	6.74	6 76		6.79	6.81						

C = yoghurt made from cows milk fortified with 3.0% non fat dry milk.

mS₁, mS₂, mS₃ and mS₄: Yoghurt treatment made by replacing non-fat dry milk with hydrolysed salted whey protein concentrates at the rate of 25, 50, 75 and 100%, respectively.

1529

** mF₁, mF₂, mF₃ and mF₄. Yoghurt treatment made by replacing non-fat dry milk with hydrolyzed filtrated whey protein concentrates at the rate of 25, 50, 75 and 100%, respectively.

Table (2): Effect of replacing non-fat dry milk with hydrolyzed dried whey protein concentrate on ash and non-protein nitrogen contents of yoghurt made from cow's milk.

Yoghurt treatments			sh content (age period (nitrogen co ge period (da		
Gedatients	1	3 3	6	9	12	1 ^T	3	6	9	12
С	0.713	0.714	0716	0.719	0723 [°]	0.136	0 1 3 9	0.139	0,140	0.141
mS ₁ z	0.831	0.834	0 835	0 836	0.838	0.154	0.156	0 157	0.157 i	0.158
mS ₂	0.63	0.965	0.966	0.966	0 967	0.158	0.160	0 162	0.163	0.163
mS₅	i 1.074	1.076	1.077	1 077	1.078	0 177	0.179	0 179	0.180	0.181
mS₄	1.187	1.188	1.189	1.191	1.192	0.168	0.189	0 191	0.191	0.192
mF ₁ **	0.832	0.835	0.836	0.836	0.837	0 156	0.158	0.158	0.159	0.160
mF2	0.969	0.972	0.972	0.973	0.973	0 163	0.166	0 166	0.167	0.167
mF ₃	1.078	1 0 7 9	1.081	1.082	1 082	0.181	0.183	0 184	0.185	0.186
F4	<u>1.191</u>	1 193	1 194	1.195	1.196	0.195	0.196	0 196 🧃	0.197	0.197

C, *. ** see Table (1).

(Tables 3, 6). Similar trends were obtained by Yousef (1996). The decrease of diacetyl and acetyl methyl carbinol during storage may be attributed to the reduction of these compounds to acetone (Cogan, 1974).

Replacement of non-fat dry milk of yoghurt made from cows milk with hydrolyzed whey protein concentrates (mFWPC and mSWP) caused a pronounced (P < 0.05) reduction of syneresis compared to control yoghurt (Tables 4, 6). Similar results were obtained by Faroog and Hague (1992) who used sugar esters, Khader (1994) who used whey protein concentrates to increase the total solids of fat free yoghurt, Kebary and Hussein (1999) who used fat replaces to make low fat yoghurt. These results might be due to addition of hydrolysed whey protein concentrates which lead to form complex with casein micelles and prevent them from excessive fusion and form a five meshed gel network which is less susceptible to whey separation. These results are in agreement with those reported by (Danneberg and Kessler 1988) and / or increasing water holding capacity as a result of increasing protein content of the fortified cow's milk. There was negative correlation between the rate of replacement and whey syneresis (Table 4, 6). Replacement of non-fat dry milk with mFWPC was more effective to reduce whey separation (syneresis) from the resultant yoghurt than corresponding treatments made with mSWPC. Syneresis from all yoghurt batches decreased gradually (P < 0.05) as storage period progressed and reached their minimum values at the sixth day of storage then increased up to the end of storage period (Tables 4, 6). These results are in agreement with those reported by Faroog and Hague (1992), Abd El-Salam et al. (1990). Khader (1994) and Kebary and Hussein (1999).

Curd tension of yoghurt treatments are presented in Table (4). Substitution of non fat dry milk with hydrolyzed whey protein concentrates (mFWPC and mSWPC) caused an obvious increase in curd tension of the resultant yoghurt. This increase was proportional to the rate of replacement (Tables 4, 6). These results are in accordance with those obtained by Guirguis et al. (1984) and Abd El-Salam et al. (1990). Yoghurt treatments made with mFWPC were firmer than those of correspond yoghurt treatments made with mSWPC (Tables 4, 6).

Manufacture of yoghurt from cow's milk fortified with

Table (3): Effect of replacing non-fat dry milk with hydrolyzed dried whey protein concentrate on titratable acidity, pH values and diacetyl & acetyl methyl carbinol (DA + AMC) of yoghurt made from cow's milk.

Yoghurt		Titrata	ble acid	ity (%)			F	H value	ş			DA + AM	Ĉ(mo	l/100 ml) ;			
treatments		Storage	e period	(days)			Storag	e period	(days)		Storage period (days)							
	1	3	6	9	12	1	3	6	9	12	[1	3	6	9	12			
c i	0 87	0.97	1 08	1 17	1.26	4 69	4 61	4 50	4 2 4	4.10	21 79	26 38	29.91	27.23	23.52			
mS₁*	088	0.98	1 1 1	1 19	1.27	4 68	4 60	4.50	4.25	4.10	28.46	31 16	32 65	31 49	26 72			
mS₂	0 90	0 98	1 14	1 22	1.29	4.68	4.61	4.47	4 23	4.08	31.89	35.85	36 79	35.16	30.96			
mS3	0 91	097	1,12	1 25	1.26	4 66	4.62	4 48	4.22	4.10	32 13	36.58	39.93	37 68	31 79			
mS₄	0.92	0.98	1 1 1	1 2 1	1.26	4 66	4 61	4 51	4 23	4.11	35 29	38 16	40.16	39 67	32.72			
mE1**	0.90	0.99	1.12	1 20	1.30	4.65	4.60	4 4 9	4.22	4.07	30.58	34 27	37.61	35 17	28.18			
mF₂	0.91	1.02	1 13	1 24 '	1.31	4.66	4.58	4.46	4.23	4.06	33 63	36 92	37.72	35.18	31.61			
mF₃	0.92	1 0 3	1 14	1 27	1 29	4.64	4 57	4 47	421	4.08	34 29	38 93	40.28	38.16	32.12			
mF₄	0.92	1.02	1 12	1 21	1.27	4.63	4 58	4 48	4.23	4.10	37.12	41.79	43.55	42 27	33 96			

C. *, ** see Table (1).

1531

Table (4): Effect of replacing non-fat dry milk with hydrolyzed dried whey protein concentrate on whey syneresis and curd tension of yoghurt made from cow's milk.

Yoghurt treatments				y syneres ge period			<u> </u>	Curd tension (gm/100 gm) after one day
di su di la cinto	. 1		3	 6		9	12	gin, and, one day
С	45	•	41	 36		37	39	21.25
mS ₁ `	40		37	31		33	38	23.50
mS ₂	36	i	33	28		29	32	24 30
mS3	34		30	27		28	30	26.10
mS₄	32		29	25		26	28	27.50
mF₁**	. 38		35	31	1	32	34	24 00
m F ₂	34	1	31	29		28	30	25.00
m F _a	30		28	25		26	29	26 70
mF4	29		27	23		24	28	28.50

C. *, ** see Table (1).

Scores of organoleptic properties (flavour, body and texture, appearance and acidity) during storage of yoghurt treatments are presented in Tables (5, 6).

Replacement of non-fat dry milk up to 50% with mSWPC increased (P < 0.05) the scores of body and texture, appearance and acidity of the resultant yoghurt (mS1 and mS2) compared with those of control yoghurt, while the scores for flavour and total scores were not significantly (P > 0.05) different from those of control yoghurt. Increasing the replacement rate to 75 and 100% with mSWPC decreased (P < 0.05) the scores of organoleptic properties and the total scores (Tales 5, 6). On the other hand, increasing the replacement rate of mFWPC up to 50% increased the scores of all orgnaoleptic properties (flavour, body and texture, appearance, acidity and total scores). Increasing the replacement rate to 100% of mFWPC caused a significant (P < 0.05) decrease in the score, of organoleptic properties compared with those of control yoghurt. These results revealed that yoghurt made with 50% mFWPC gained the highest scores of organoleptic properties and was significantly (P < 0.05) different from all yoghurt treatments, while yoghurt treatments made with replacement rate 50% with mSWPC was not significantly different from control yoghurt. However, yoghurt treatments that made with replacement rate of 75% with mFWPC was not significantly different from control yoghurt.

Yoghurt treatment made with mFWPC was more acceptable than corresponding yoghurt treatments made with mSWPC. Replacing non-fat dry milk with mSWPC up to 50% and with mFWPC up to 75% could be used without significant effect on yoghurt quality.

It could be concluded that replacement of non-fat dry milk with modified whey protein concentrates to make yoghurt cause a pronounced increase in total nitrogen, non-protein nitrogen, diacetyl and acetyl methyl carbinol contents, and curd tension, while decreased whey syneresis. Also, addition of hydrolyzed whey protein concentrates up to 50% increased the acceptability of yoghurt. Therefore, it could be replace non-fat dry milk with mFWPC up to 75% and mSWPC up to 50% without detrimental effects on yoghurt quality.

Manufacture of yoghurt from cow's milk fortified with
X
цБ(
unt
Ŧ
E
m cov
¥.
milk
fortified
with

Table (5): Scores of organoleptic properties during storage period of yoghurt made with hydrolyzed whey protein concentrates.

Treatments	- <u>-</u> . SI	Fla [.] orage	vour vour perio	<u> </u>	s)	-		nd text	·			Appe lorage				Ste		idity e perio	(10) od (da	 ys)			score Perio		
	1	3.	6	9	2	1	3	Б	9	12	1	3	6	9	12	1	3	ь	9,	12	1	3	5	9	12
С	42	40	41	39	35	25	26	26	25	23	11	11	11	8	8	8	8	В	8	6	86	85	86	78	72
mS _	42	41	40	38	36	26	26	21	23	23	12	12	12	10	8	8	8	9	7	6	86	86	85	77	72
mS,	41	42	40	39	35	26	25	26	25	25	13	12	12	10	' <u>8</u>	9	9	9	8	5	89	86	85	79	• 71
mS,	37	36	37	34	31	24	22	23	21	18	8	8	9	6	5	8	8	8	6	5	79 /	76	77	56	' SO
mS.	35	33	35	29	26	21	21	20	18	15	7	8	8	6	5	7	7	7	4	4	71	68	71	57	50 ¦
mE	42	41	42	38	- 14	25	25	26	26	25	12	11	' 1 1	. 11	9	9	9	8	8	7	88	86	85	81	75
mF.	42	42	42	37	-34	27	26	26	25	25	13	12	11	11	10	9	9	<u>່</u> ຈ	8	7	91 -	90	87	80	77
mF,	39	40	37	36	34	-25	24	24	25	22	9	9	10	7	6	9	8	9	7	6	82	81	79	76	68
F	37	38	37	30	28	24	24	24	22	20_	8	8	8	1	6	8	7	7	6	5	77	79	76	65	59

C, T, ** see Table (1)

153 33

Table (6). Statistical analysis of Zabady properties.

				Ē	fect o	ftrea	atmen	ts								lays)*
Zabady properties	Means square			M	ultiple	e con	nparis	on			Means square	M	ultipl	есоп	npari	son
		С	mS;	mS_2	mS	mŠ.	mF.	• mF₂	mF ₂	mF ₄		1	3	6	9	12
T.S	24.10464665	Α	À	A	A	΄ Α	Α	A	Α	A	0.25299333	A	Ä	A	A	A
Protein	1.52082531	Ε	D	С	'В	A	· D	С	в	ι A	0.044173333	A	Α.	, A	Α	Α
Ash	0 3825283	E	; D	С	в	A	, D	С	В	Α	8 7074121	Α	A	A	A	΄ Α
NPN	4.96725°	E	D	С	в	A	· D	С	В	' A	6.91	. Α	A	; A	A	, A
Acidity	6.746667	Α	I A	Α	Α	A	A	Α	' A	A	0.63469333	, E	D	C	÷ 8	A
pH	0.002317	Α	A	Α	Α	A	A	, A	А	A	0.75 5555 *	ίA	8	' C	i D	' E
Diacetyl and acetyl methyl carbinol	238 3666061	- T	чΗ,	F	D	В	G	Ē	С	Α	253,3571067*	С	B	Α	С	D
Synersis	298.8666666*	Α	в	D	F	G	С	, E	, H	1 H -	227.5666666671	Α	в	E	С	D
Curd tension	15.008333341	1	, н	F	D	В	G	ĘΕ	C	A			1			
Organoleptc properties.			-		!		1	i –		1						
Falvour	144 56666361	Α	A	Α	C	ιE	' A	Α	- 8	D	250 56666667	Α	A	A	в	I C
Body and texture	74.166666663	С	ΙC.	В	F	G	I B	, A	D	įΕ	34.93333331	Α	A	' A	В	C
Appearance	50,9999999	D	С	В	F	G	В	' A	3	· F	51.433333333	Α	A	Α	· 8	С
Acidity	9.26%666665*	В	, В	Α	С	Е	Α	Α	В	D	37.733333333	A	Α	I A	В	С
Total scores	748.3499999	BC	BC	₿	D	F	(B	A	C	E	1295 066667	А	 AB	AB	В	; C

C, *, ** see Table (1).

* Significant at 0.05 level.

* For each different letters (the same row) means the multiple comparison are different from each others letter A is the highest followed by B. C... etc.

REFERENCES

- Abd El-Salam, M. H., H. M. El-Etriby and N. M. Shahein (1996). Influence of some stabilizers on some chemical and physical properties of yoghurt. Egyptian J. Dairy Sic., 24: 25 – 36.
- Abd El-Salam, M.H., S. El-Shibiny, M. B. Mahfouz, H. F. El-Dein, H. El-Atriby and V. Antila (1990). "Preparation of whey protein concentrate form salted whey and its use in yoghurt" XXIII International Diary Congress. Montreal. Vol. 11 Brussels, Belgium No. 1501, 15 pp.
- Abdel Baki, A. A., M. M. Ashour, M. M. Omar and A.A. El-Neshwey (1981). Quality of Zabadi made from milk fortified with protein concentrate. Research-Bulletin. Faculty of Agriculture, Ain-Shams-University, No. 1501, 15 pp.
- Agerbaek, M., L. V. Gerdes and B. Richelsen (1995). Hypocholesterol-aemic effect of a new fermented milk product in healthy middle-aged men. Europe. J. Clinical Nutr., 49: 346 – 352.
- Badawi, R. M., K. M. K. Kebary and S. A. Hussein (2004). Impact of substituting non-fat dry milk with pectin on cow's milk yoghurt quality. Proc. 9th Egyptian Conf. for Dairy Sci. and Tech., pp. 133 151.
- Badawi, R. M. and A. H. El-Sonbaty (1997). Viability of *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Escherichia coli* in Zabady made with Bifidobacteria. Egyptian J. Dairy Sci., 255 (2): 217 – 233.
- Badran, I. I., S. A. Hussein and R. M. Badawi (2004). Manufacture of probiotic frozen Egyptian yoghurt (zabady) with whey protein powder (serigel LP). Minufiya J. Agric. Res., 23 (1): 83 – 95.
- Buttriss, J. (1997). Nutritional properties of fermented milk products. Int. J. Diary Technol., 50 (1): 21 27.
- Cogan, T. (1974). Aroma and flavour production by starters. Dairy Res. Rev. Ser., (6): 5.
- Dannenberg, F. and H.G. Kessler (1988). Effect of denaturation of β -lactoglobulin on texture properties of set-style nonfat yoghurt. I-Syneresis. Milchwissenschaft, 43 (10): 632 635
- El-Neshawy, A. A. and N.M. El-Shafie (1988). Quality of Zabadi made from cows milk fortified with whey and soy protein. Nahrugn., 32; 10, 939 943.
- El-Shabrawy, S. A., R. A. Awad and S. A. Saad (2002). Manufacture and properties of flavoured processed cheese spread with different fruit flavour, J. Annal. Agric, Sci., Ain, Shams Univ., Cairo, 10: 641.
- El-Sonbaty, A. H., Seham, Ł Farag, R. M. Badawi, S. A. Hussein and A. M. Shahine (2008). Preparation of goat's and camel's milk yoghurts fortified with skim milk powder and whey protein concentrate. Proc. 3rd International Conference on Food Sci. and Nutrition, pp. 220 235.
- Farooq, K. and Z. U. Haque (1992). Effect of sugar estrers on the textural properties of nonfat low calorie yoghurt. J. Dairy Sci., 75: 2676 2680.

Manufacture of yoghurt from cow's milk fortified with

- Guirguis, N., M. C. Broome and M. W. Hickey (1984). The effect of partial replacement of skim milk powder with whey protein concentrate on the viscosity and syneresis of yoghurt. Australian J. Dairy-Technol., 39 (1): 33 – 35.
- Harby, S. and W. El-Sabie (2001). Studies on skim milk yoghurt using some stabilizers. Proc. 8th Egyptian Conf. Dairy Sci. and Techn., pp. 537.
- Hofi, M.A., M. A. Khorshid, S.A. Khalid and A.A. Ismail (1995). The use of ultrafiltrated whey protein concentrate in the manufacture of Zabady. Egyptian J. Food Sci., 20 (2): 189 200.
- Hussein, S. A. and K. M. K. Kebary (1999). Improving viability of Bifidobactertia by microentrapment and their effect on some pathogenic bacteria in stirred yoghurt. Acta Alimentaria, 28 (2): 113 – 131.
- Kebary, K. M. K. and S. Hussein (1999). Manufacture of low fat Zabady using different fat substitutes. Acta Alimentaria, 28 (1): 1 14.
- Kebary, K. M. K., A. M. Mousa, I. I. Badaran, S. A. Hussein and A. M. Gaber (2009). Functional properties of enzymatic modified whey protein. Egyptian J. Dairy Sci.(Submitted).
- Kebary, K. M. K., S. A. Hussein and R. M. Badawi (2004). Impact of tortification of cow's milk with a modified starch on yoghurt quality. Egyptian J. Dairy Sci., 32 (1): 111 – 124.
- Kebary, K.M.K., A. M. Moussa, A.I. Hamed and E.T. Yousef (1996). Quality of Zabadi made with *Bifidobacterium bifidium* DJ. Processing of the 36th week of Since, Alepo Univ., Syria.
- Khader, S.A. (1994). Studies on the special dairy products. M.Sc. Thesis, Faculty of Agric., Minutiya Univ., Egypt.
- Morr, C.V. (1972). Some functional properties of whey proteins. Whey products conference, pp. 19 to 33, Agr. Res. Serv., USDA, ERRL. No. 3779.
- Nelson, J. A. and G.M. Trout (1981). Juding dairy products. 4th ed. AVI Publishing Company. Inc.; Westport. Connecticut, pp. 295 – 313.
- Rasic, J. L. and J.A. Kurmann (1978). Yoghurt. Scientific grounds, technology, manufacture and preparations. Technical Diary Publishing House, Copenhagen, pp. 99 – 137.
- Salama, F.M.M., F.A.M. sand Hassan (1994). Manufacture of new yoghurt like products. Egyptian J. Dairy Sci., 22: 31 38.
- Steel, R.G.D. and J. H. Torrie (1980). Principle and procedures of statistics. A biometrical approach. 2nd, Ed. McGraw-Hill Book Co. New York.
- Tvede, M. (1996). Potential of probiotic strains in stabilizing intestinal microflora to prevent gastrointestinal infection. Newsletter Int. Dairy Federation, 145, 30.
- Yousef, E.T. (1996). Studies on some fermented dairy products. M.Sc. Thesis, Faculty of Agric., Minufiya Univ., Egypt.
- Zedan, M. A., A. N. Zedan, K.M.K. Kebary and Mahmoud (2001). Effects of fortification of cow's milk with acetylated whey protein concentrates on the quality of set yoghurt. Egyptian J. Dairy Sci., 29: 285 – 297.

K.M.K. Kebary, A.I. Hamed, I.I. Bradran, S.A. Hussein and A. M. Gaber

تصنيع اليوجورت من اللبن البقرى المدعم ببروتينات الشرش المعدلة بإنزيم التربسين

خميس محمد كامل كعبارى - عبده إبراهيم حامد - إبراهيم إبراهيم بدران -سامى عبد الرحمن حسين - عبد الستار محمد جبر قسم علوم وتكنولوجيا الابان - كليه الزراعة - جامعة المنوضة - شبين الكوم

الملخص العربى

تم تحضير نوعين من مركزات بروتينات الشرش . إحداها بالترسيب الحرارى من الــشرش المُملح (SWPC) والأخرى بالترشيح الفانق للشرش الحامضي (FWPC) .

ولقد تم تحليل هذه المركزات بابتزيم التربسين بتركيز ٤٠٠ جرام / ١٠٠ جـرام يـروتين وهـى (mSWPC) و (mFWPC) . وتم تصنيع ٩ معاملات من اليوجورت لدراسة تأثير استبدال اللـبن الفرز المُجفف المستخدم فى تدعيم اللبن البقرى ببروتينات الشرش المُعدلة إنزيميـا علـى صـفات اليوجورت ، ولقد أوضحت النتائج المتحصل عليها بعد تحليلها إحصانيا ما يلى :

- أدى استبدال اللبن الفرز المجفف بواسطة مركزات بروتينات الشرش المعدلة لزيادة نسب
 كل من البروتين الكلى الرماد النيتروجين الغير بروتينى الداى أسبيتيل ميتيل
 كاربينول وقوة الخثرة ودرجات التحكيم بينما الخفضت نسبة انفصال الشرش .
- لم يُؤثر استبدال اللبن الفرز المُحقف بواسطة بروتينات التّرش المُعدلة الزيميا على نسب
 كل من الجو امد الصلبة الكلية والحموضة وقيم الـ pH
- لم يُؤتَّر نوع مركزات بروتينات الشرش المعدلة معنويا على نسب كل من الجوامد الصلبة الكلية والبروتين الكلى والرماد والنيتروجين الغير بروتينى ، بينما احتوت المعاملة المصنعة بإضافة (mFWPC) على نسب أعلى من الداى أسيتيل والأسيتيل ميثيل كربيتول ونسب أقل من الشرش المنفصل عن المعاملات المقابلة لها المصنعة بإضافة (mSWPC).
- ثم تتغير نسب كل من الجوامد الصلبة الكلية والبروتين الكلى والنيتروجين الغير بروتيني
 والرماد أثناء التخزين ، بينما ازدادت الحموضة .

Manufacture of yoghurt from cow's milk fortified with

 از دادت نسبة الداى أسيتيل والأسيتيل ميثيل كربينول بينما انخفضت نسبة الفصال المشرش حتى اليوم السادس من التخزين وبعد ذلك انخفضت نسبة الداى أسيتيل والاسميتيل مينمان
 كربينول بينما ازداد انفصال الشرش حتى نهاية فترة التخزين .

ومن النتائج يمكن استبدال ٧٥% من اللبن الفرز المجفف بواسطة (mFWPC) . ٥٠% من النبن الفرز المجفف بواسطة (mSWPC) دون اي تأثيرات معنوية على صفات اليوجورت النائج .