

## GENETIC BEHAVIOUR OF SOME QUANTITATIVE PEA TRAITS UNDER SOUTHERN EGYPT CONDITIONS

A.A.A. El-Dakkak and A.H. Hussein

Horticulture Research Institute, Agric. Res. Center. Giza, Egypt

(Received: Jun. 15, 2009)

---

**ABSTRACT:** *This study was carried out during three successive winter seasons 2005/2006 to 2007/2008 at the Agricultural Research Station of Shandaweel, Sohag Governorate. Six populations of two pea crosses were studied to determine the gene actions and heterosis under southern Egypt conditions. The nature of gene action controlling most of the studied traits seems to be more cumulative (non-additive) than additive although the additive genetic variance was important. Potence ratio that measured the average of dominance confirmed the partial dominance for earliness (in both crosses) and over dominance for the remainder growth traits.*

*The range of both types of  $F_1$  heterosis for studied characters indicated that the expression of heterosis varied according to different crosses and characters investigated. It is worth mentioning that heterotic effect was generally more pronounced for pod yield/feddan than any components in the  $F_1$  generation and it was more pronounced in the  $F_1$  than  $F_2$  generation in most traits.*

*The existence of both additive and non-additive effects in the inheritance of yield components demonstrated that a considerable amount of readily fixable variations present and available for the plant breeder to manipulate.*

**Key words:** *Pea, Additive and non-additive gene action, Heterosis and Heritability*

---

### INTRODUCTION

Pea (*Pisum sativum* L.) breeders have a great consent on the notion of existence of potential for enhanced productivity in this crop (Kumaran *et al.*, 1995). Enhancing yield of peas, indeed, is one of the major objectives (Simakov, 1989), regardless of the initial purpose of any breeding program.

So when there are no differences, the breeders should create this variability through various methods of breeding, using six parameters one of these methods, to study additive, dominance, inbreeding depression and heterosis of the economic traits in peas to improve the crop through breeding procedures depends on the presence of genetic variability.

Segregating and non-segregating population could be used for computing the genetic parameters and types of gene action for any quantitative traits to study the relative importance of the additive, non-additive of agronomic traits in peas population.

Breeding studies of peas under southern Egypt conditions were directed mainly to analysis of gene action based on crosses (Abdou *et al.*, 1999, El-Dakkak, 2005, Shalaby, 1974, Waly and Abd El-Aal, 1986, Zayed, 1998, Zayed *et al.*, 2005). The objective of the present study, therefore, was to investigate potential of the variation of some pea genotypes and study the mode of inheritance and gene action for some continuously traits under southern Egypt condition for breeding recombinant homozygous-genotype (s) with enhanced yield and earliness.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

The present study was conducted in the winter seasons of 2005/2006, 2006/2007 and 2007/2008 at the Agricultural Research Station of Shandaweel, Sohag Governorate. The soil in the experimental site was clay loam.

Six populations ( $P_1$ ,  $P_2$ ,  $F_1$ ,  $F_2$ ,  $BC_1$  and  $BC_2$ ) for two pea crosses (Alaska x Dwarf Gray Sugar) and (Alaska x Early Perfection) were used in this study. In the first season (2005/2006), the parents were crossed to produce  $F_1$  hybrids. In the next season of 2006/2007, part of the  $F_1$  seeds was planted and back-crossed to both parents to produce  $BC_1$  ( $F_1 \times P_1$ ) and  $BC_2$  ( $F_1 \times P_2$ ) generations. In addition,  $F_1$  plants were selfed to produce  $F_2$  seeds. In the winter season 2007/2008 dry seed of the six generations ( $P_1$ ,  $P_2$ ,  $F_1$ ,  $F_2$ ,  $BC_1$  and  $BC_2$ ) for the two crosses were planted in November 2007 in pre-irrigated soil, which had about 50% of its available moisture. Mono-super phosphate (15%  $P_2O_5$ ) was broadcasted during soil preparation at rate of 300 kg/feddan.

Seed planting was on the northern side of 3m length and 0.7m wide rows. In row space was 20cm. The experiment was randomized complete blocks (RCBs) with three replicates consisted of 4 rows of the first five populations (parents,  $F_1$ ,  $BC_1$  and  $BC_2$ ) as well as 10 rows of  $F_2$  plants. Where 80% to 90% of seeds emerged, a light watering was applied. No nitrogen fertilizer was used in raising plants. Otherwise, all cultural practices were followed as recommended for production of pea crop.

Data were recorded based on competitive plants from each population for number of days to 50% flowering, stem length (cm), number of branches per plant, pod length (cm), number of seeds per pod, total soluble solids and total green-pod yield (ton/feddan).

## Statistical procedures:

Averages representing mean plot values were subjected to the analysis of variance (ANOVA) procedure of RCBs design (Gomes and Gomes, 1984) to test the differences between parental genotypes for studied traits in the two crosses.

## Genetic behaviour of some quantitative pea traits under southern.....

### Biometrical analyses:

Estimates of the arithmetic and geometric gene action were calculated according to Powers and Lyon (1941). Relative potence of gene set was used to determine the direction of dominance according to Smith (1952). Heterosis and inbreeding depression were calculated according to Mather and Jinks (1971).

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### Growth traits:

Stem length (cm) was studied in the two pea crosses. The coefficient of variability for  $P_1$ ,  $P_2$ ,  $F_1$ ,  $F_2$ ,  $BC_1$  and  $BC_2$  generations in (Table 1) was 2.25, 2.86, 2.26, 16.66, 3.17 and 3.40%, respectively for the cross<sub>1</sub> (Alaska x Dwarf Gray Sugar) and was 2.25, 3.62, 2.20, 26.04, 4.87 and 3.76%, respectively for the cross<sub>2</sub> (Alaska x Early Perfection). It was clear that the segregating populations of both crosses, i.e.,  $F_2$ ,  $BC_1$  and  $BC_2$  had a higher coefficient of variability values comparing to the non-segregating ones ( $P_1$ ,  $P_2$  and  $F_1$ ) indicating that variability was largely due to genetic constituents. On the other hand, transegressive segregation was observed in Both direction of the  $F_2$  populations for stem length and tendency towards the high parent of number of branches/plant.

For studied crosses, the expected arithmetic and geometric means of segregating population i.e.,  $F_2$ ,  $BC_1$  and  $BC_2$  for the flowering date trait may ranged as equal (Table 2) and thus the actual corresponding means were lower or higher than each one. Hence the nature of gene action cannot be determined in this case, as both actions, i.e., additive and non-additive were presented in equal amounts. On the other hand, the difference between the observed  $F_1$  mean (cross<sub>1</sub>), and the calculated arithmetic and geometric means were significant, however, the observed  $F_1$  mean of this cross (48.7 days) was more closer to the geometric mean (48.8 days). Under such conditions, the nature of gene action controlling the flowering trait seems to be more cumulative (non-additive) than additive. These results seemed generally to agree with those reported by Katiyar *et al.* (1987), Kumar *et al.*, (1996) and Zayed (1998).

$BC_1$  population kept up its level of ranking and superiority in the earliness in both crosses (Table 2), while  $F_1$  hybrid performed the same trend in both stem length and number of branches followed by  $BC_2$  (stem length),  $BC_2$  and  $F_2$  (number of branches) and  $P_1$  in the earliness of both crosses. Generally, the cross<sub>1</sub> have the best values rather than the cross<sub>2</sub> in each of the growth traits in all populations except number of branches ( $F_1$ ,  $F_2$  and  $BC_1$ ) and stem length ( $BC_1$  and  $BC_2$ ), which exhibited reverse trend.

The comparison between the means of F<sub>1</sub> hybrid (in both crosses) and the two corresponding parents showed that the number of branches in F<sub>1</sub> (4.9 and 5.1 for cross<sub>1</sub> and cross<sub>2</sub>, respectively) was significantly higher than the highest parent (4.0 and 3.3 for cross<sub>1</sub> and cross<sub>2</sub>, respectively), this figure suggest over dominance for the branches numerous plant. The means of F<sub>1</sub> and F<sub>2</sub> exceeded that of high parent by 22.5 and 5%, respectively in the cross<sub>1</sub> and 54.5 and 30.3%, respectively in the cross<sub>2</sub>.

Table 1. Range and coefficient of variability (C.V%) for parents, F<sub>1</sub>, F<sub>2</sub>, BC<sub>1</sub> and BC<sub>2</sub> of both pea crosses.

Item	Plant height (cm)		No. of braches/plant		Pod length (cm)		No. of seeds/pod		Yield (ton/fed)		
	Range	C.V%	Range	C.V%	Range	C.V%	Range	C.V%	Range	C.V%	
Cross <sub>1</sub>	P <sub>1</sub>	79.2 - 89.8	2.25	1.7 - 2.6	5.98	5.5 - 7.5	6.31	5 - 8	11.95	1.478 - 1.822	3.19
	P <sub>2</sub>	115 - 131	2.86	3.5 - 4.4	6.34	6 - 8.5	6.63	4 - 7	11.04	2.282 - 2.999	3.10
	F <sub>1</sub>	126 - 138.2	2.26	4.5 - 5.9	6.11	6.3 - 8.1	5.17	5 - 8	11.86	3.506 - 4.595	2.08
	F <sub>2</sub>	66.0 - 141.0	16.66	3.0 - 10.0	28.30	3.5 - 9.2	12.82	2 - 9	29.79	1.274 - 3.731	14.64
	BC <sub>1</sub>	108 - 115.5	3.17	2.1 - 3.1	9.77	6 - 8.1	7.19	5 - 8	12.82	2.466 - 3.840	3.46
	BC <sub>2</sub>	106 - 123.4	3.40	3.8 - 4.9	6.84	6.3 - 8.2	6.86	5 - 8	14.31	2.581 - 2.927	4.11
Cross <sub>2</sub>	P <sub>1</sub>	79.2 - 89.8	2.25	1.7 - 2.6	5.98	7 - 7.5	7.31	5 - 8	11.95	1.478 - 1.822	3.19
	P <sub>2</sub>	88.3 - 101.4	2.62	2.8 - 3.9	8.56	5.3 - 8.5	8.16	4 - 8	12.43	2.965 - 3.953	3.13
	F <sub>1</sub>	125 - 137	2.20	4.5 - 5.8	5.84	6.8 - 9.7	7.44	6 - 9	11.30	3.212 - 4.583	2.51
	F <sub>2</sub>	54 - 150	26.04	2.0 - 12.0	43.48	6 - 9.2	18.89	2 - 9	23.59	1.607 - 4.510	18.04
	BC <sub>1</sub>	116 - 134	4.87	3.0 - 4.0	7.47	6.1 - 8	8.29	4 - 8	18.03	3.046 - 4.454	3.77
	BC <sub>2</sub>	126 - 141	3.76	3.4 - 4.6	7.20	7.6 - 10.4	8.93	5 - 9	15.41	3.941 - 5.318	4.12

## Genetic behaviour of some quantitative pea traits under southern.....

**Table 2. Actual mean for the six populations of both pea crosses for the studied growth traits.**

Populations	Flowering date		Stem length		No. of branches	
	Cross <sub>1</sub>	Cross <sub>2</sub>	Cross <sub>1</sub>	Cross <sub>2</sub>	Cross <sub>1</sub>	Cross <sub>2</sub>
P <sub>1</sub>	40.3	40.3	83.6	83.6	2.2	2.2
P <sub>2</sub>	59.0	62.3	122.8	95.3	4.0	3.3
F <sub>1</sub>	48.7	53.6	131.7	130.3	4.9	5.1
F <sub>2</sub>	53.7	59.0	108.3	100.4	4.2	4.3
BC <sub>1</sub>	37.7	41.0	115.6	120.3	2.7	3.5
BC <sub>2</sub>	56.7	59.0	128.5	133.7	4.3	4.0
MS	230.1**	277.7**	933.4**	1248.9**	3.25**	2.94**
LSD:						
0.05	1.3	1.5	4.6	5.2	0.3	0.3
0.01	1.8	2.1	6.6	7.3	0.4	0.4

MS: Mean square for genotypes.

\*\* Highly significant of F-test at 0.01% probability level.

Regarding stem length trait, the arithmetic and geometric means of the F<sub>1</sub>, F<sub>2</sub>, BC<sub>1</sub> and BC<sub>2</sub> of both studied crosses (Table 3) were close to each other revealing that the genes having additive and non-additive effects controlled this character. Also, F<sub>2</sub> actual means of stem length was found to lie between mid and high parent values with a high tendency towards the high parent in both crosses suggesting that the non-additive genetic variance was predominant and played the major part in the inheritance of stem length than other types of gene action. Singh *et al.* (1987), Zayed (1988, 1998), and Sarawat *et al.* (1994) reported similar results. Actual F<sub>2</sub> mean of branches number was slightly higher than both expected arithmetic and geometric means, and both expected means may be regarded as equal in the all segregated populations of both crosses. This indicates that the number of branches/ plant is controlled by additive and dominance genes.

**Table 3. Expected arithmetic and geometric means for the populations of the pea crosses for the studied growth traits.**

Expected Mean	Flowering date		Stem length		No. of branches	
	Cross <sub>1</sub>	Cross <sub>2</sub>	Cross <sub>1</sub>	Cross <sub>2</sub>	Cross <sub>1</sub>	Cross <sub>2</sub>
Arithmetic:						
F <sub>1</sub>	49.67	51.33	103.22	89.47	3.08	2.73
F <sub>2</sub>	49.17	52.50	117.48	109.87	4.01	3.92
BC <sub>1</sub>	44.50	47.00	107.67	106.93	3.57	3.65
BC <sub>2</sub>	53.83	58.00	127.28	112.80	4.45	4.18
Geometric:						
F <sub>1</sub>	48.78	50.14	101.34	89.27	2.95	2.68
F <sub>2</sub>	48.75	51.88	115.60	107.90	3.82	3.70
BC <sub>1</sub>	44.30	46.52	104.94	104.36	3.29	3.35
BC <sub>2</sub>	53.58	57.84	127.21	111.44	4.42	4.08

**Yield component traits:**

Regarding both the pod length and number of seeds/pod traits, for studied crosses, the expected arithmetic and geometric means of populations may be regarded as equal (Table 4) and thus the actual respective means were lower or higher than each one. This indicates that both additive and dominance are operated in the expression of both traits. On the other hand, actual  $F_1$  (cross<sub>2</sub>) mean for pod length was found to lie between mid and high parent values with a high tendency towards the high parent suggesting that the non-additive genetic variance was predominant and played the major part in the inheritance of pod length than other types, while  $BC_1$  and  $BC_2$  actual means of number of seeds were found to lie between mid and high of respective parent for both crosses with a high tendency towards the high parent ( $BC_1$  of cross<sub>1</sub> and  $BC_2$  of cross<sub>2</sub>) or mid parents ( $BC_1$  of cross<sub>2</sub> and  $BC_2$  of cross<sub>1</sub>) suggesting that the non-additive gene action played the major part in the controlling this traits.

Table 4. Actual mean of the six populations of both pea crosses for yield components traits.

Populations	Pod length (cm)		No. of seeds /pod		TSS		100-seeds weight (gm)		Yield/feddan		
	Cross 1	Cross 2	Cross 1	Cross 2	Cross 1	Cross 2	Cross 1	Cross 2	Cross 1	Cross 2	
	P <sub>1</sub>	6.5	6.5	6.3	6.3	16.5	16.5	34.3	34.3	1.75	1.75
P <sub>2</sub>	7.1	7.9	5.6	6.9	11.7	14.2	28.8	37.3	2.84	3.77	
$F_1$	7.3	7.7	6.9	7.0	17.0	17.3	38.7	38.3	4.13	4.08	
$F_2$	6.4	7.1	5.2	5.5	12.5	14.9	25.6	33.9	3.18	3.82	
$BC_1$	6.8	6.9	6.2	6.5	17.1	17.0	37.3	40.3	3.18	3.91	
$BC_2$	7.3	8.1	6.0	6.8	11.2	15.6	31.8	41.8	3.30	4.96	
MS	0.45**	1.22**	1.01**	0.90**	23.8**	4.48**	75.9**	30.1**	1.80**	3.91**	
LSD											
	0.05	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.16	0.4	0.3	0.7	0.4	0.06	0.06
	0.01	0.4	0.3	0.3	0.23	0.6	0.5	0.9	0.6	0.08	0.08

MS: Mean square for genotypes.

\*\* Highly significant of F-test at 0.01% probability level.

The coefficient of variability for the six generations of both studied crosses in (Table 5) cleared that the coefficient of variability in  $F_1$  was smaller than parents in pod length (cross<sub>1</sub>), number of seeds/pod (cross<sub>2</sub>) and yield (both crosses), and the greatest variation was thus evident in  $F_2$  of both crosses in all studied traits suggesting that the variability was largely

Genetic behaviour of some quantitative pea traits under southern.....

genetic. Moreover, transgressive segregation was observed in both direction of the  $F_2$  populations for the most of the studied traits.

The observed  $F_2$  means in TSS (cross<sub>1</sub> & cross<sub>2</sub>), 100-seeds weight (cross<sub>1</sub>) was relatively close to the calculated geometric mean than to the arithmetic one, indicating that non-additive gene effects were mostly important than other types of gene action.

Also,  $F_2$  mean of yield (cross<sub>1</sub> & cross<sub>2</sub>) was closer to the arithmetic mean rather than the geometric one indicating that additive gene effect was involved in the genetic behavior of yield. From above mentioned results, both additive and dominance effects controlled the yield components. Therefore, the existence of both additive and non-additive effects demonstrated that a considerable amount of readily fixable variations present and available for the plant breeder to manipulate.

Table 5. Expected arithmetic and geometric means for the populations of the pea crosses for yield components traits.

Expected	Pod length (cm)		No. of seeds/pod		TSS		100-seeds weight(gm)		Yield/feddan(km)	
	Cross 1	Cross 2	Cross 1	Cross 2	Cross 1	Cross 2	Cross 1	Cross 2	Cross 1	Cross 2
Arithmetic										
F <sub>1</sub>	6.78	7.18	5.95	6.60	14.07	15.33	31.55	35.78	2.30	2.76
F <sub>2</sub>	7.03	7.46	6.43	6.82	15.54	16.32	35.14	37.07	3.21	3.42
BC <sub>1</sub>	6.87	7.10	6.60	6.67	16.73	16.88	36.50	36.27	2.94	2.92
BC <sub>2</sub>	7.18	7.82	6.25	6.97	14.33	15.75	33.78	37.78	3.49	3.93
Geometric										
F <sub>1</sub>	6.78	7.16	5.94	6.59	13.86	15.29	31.43	35.75	2.23	2.57
F <sub>2</sub>	7.01	7.43	6.40	6.81	15.35	16.26	34.91	36.98	3.03	3.24
BC <sub>1</sub>	6.86	7.07	6.59	6.66	16.73	16.88	36.43	36.21	2.69	2.67
BC <sub>2</sub>	7.18	7.82	6.27	6.97	14.08	15.67	33.42	37.78	3.43	3.92

The range of both types of  $F_1$  heterosis for studied characters are given in Table 6. The results indicated that the expression of heterosis varied with crosses and characters investigated. Heterosis for pod length varied from 7.4 to -2.5% when both types of heterosis are considered. Desirable positive MP heterosis was observed in both crosses, but the cross<sub>2</sub> showed negative HP heterosis for this trait. For number of seeds/pod, estimates of the two types

of  $F_1$  heterosis varied between 15.0% to 1.4%. Both cross<sub>1</sub> and cross<sub>2</sub> showed positive MP heterosis, whereas only cross<sub>1</sub> exhibited HP heterosis.

The heterosis expression for TSS varied from 20.6% to 3.0% for the two types of  $F_1$  heterosis (Table 6). Both cross<sub>1</sub> and cross<sub>2</sub> showed positive heterosis (over mid and high parent) Regarding 100 seeds weight, the magnitude of significant positive heterosis was up to 22.5% over MP and 12.8% over HP. Concerning yield/fed., significant heterosis up to 79.6% over MP and 45.4% over HP was recorded.

Table 6. Potence ratio,  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  heterosis over mid (MP) and high (HP) parent and inbreeding depression (I.D) for studied traits of both crosses.

Traits	Cross	Potence ratio	Heterosis %				I.D
			$F_1$ over		$F_2$ over		
			MP	HP	MP	HP	
Flowering date	Cross <sub>1</sub>	-0.1	-2.0	20.7	8.05	33.08	10.4
	Cross <sub>2</sub>	0.21	4.7	33.3	14.94	46.29	9.9
Stem length	Cross <sub>1</sub>	1.45	27.6	7.3	4.92	-11.83	-17.8
	Cross <sub>2</sub>	6.96	45.6	36.7	12.25	5.35	-22.9
No. of branches	Cross <sub>1</sub>	2.1	58.1	22.5	35.92	5.8	-14.9
	Cross <sub>2</sub>	4.4	8.9	54.5	58.93	31.5	-15.7
Pod length	Cross <sub>1</sub>	1.5	7.4	2.8	-5.74	-9.86	-11.9
	Cross <sub>2</sub>	0.8	6.9	-2.5	-1.60	-10.51	-8.6
No. of seeds	Cross <sub>1</sub>	2.7	15.0	9.5	-12.10	-16.98	-24.2
	Cross <sub>2</sub>	1.4	6.1	1.43	-16.21	-19.86	-21.3
TSS	Cross <sub>1</sub>	1.2	20.6	3.0	-11.37	-24.29	-26.7
	Cross <sub>2</sub>	1.7	13.1	4.8	-2.87	-9.53	-13.9
100-seeds weight	Cross <sub>1</sub>	2.6	22.5	12.8	-18.86	-25.3	-33.9
	Cross <sub>2</sub>	1.6	7.0	2.7	-5.20	-9.04	-11.3
Yield /feddan	Cross <sub>1</sub>	3.4	79.6	45.4	38.26	11.97	-23.0
	Cross <sub>2</sub>	1.3	47.8	8.2	38.41	1.33	-0.26



## Genetic behaviour of some quantitative pea traits under southern.....

As shown in Table (6), the maximum significant MP and HP heterosis in desirable direction (79.6% & 45.4% respectively) were recorded for seed yield/fed.. Prakash *et al.*, 1993 recorded high magnitude of positive heterosis over the mid-parental value for yield.

Both crosses in all the traits of yield component showed significantly negative heterotic effect in the  $F_2$  except seed yield, which exhibited significantly positive effects in both crosses. It is worth mentioning that heterotic effect was generally more pronounced for yield/fed., than any components in the  $F_1$  generation and it was more pronounced in the  $F_1$  than  $F_2$  generation in most traits.

Potence ratio (Table 6) that measured the average of dominance confirmed the partial dominance for flowering dates (in both crosses). Over-dominance was detected for remainder growth traits (stem length and number of branches) in both studied crosses. These results agree with Zayed 1998 and Zayed *et al.*, 2005 for flowering date and stem length.

Heterosis effects of the  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  populations for the three studied growth traits in both crosses are presented in Table 6. Negative value of heterosis for flowering date is the desirable value, since earliness is an important objective for the pea breeder. It was found that heterosis effects in terms of deviation from both mid and high parent recorded desirable significant values for  $F_1$  stem length and number of branches traits in the two crosses and flowering date in cross<sub>1</sub> only over mid-parent. Mishra *et al.*, 1993 observed heterosis for earliness in pea. On the other hand, significant positive heterotic effects were obtained in the  $F_2$  generation of both crosses relative to both mid and high parent in all the three studied growth traits except the stem length (cross<sub>1</sub>) over high parent.

The heterotic effects were generally, more pronounced for all growth traits of both crosses in the  $F_1$  than  $F_2$  generation, except stem length and number of branches in the cross<sub>2</sub> (relative to mid-parent), which exhibited reverse trend. Very striking inbreeding depression values were observed in the  $F_2$  generation for stem length followed by number of branches and flowering date resulted in reducing of the non-additive variance and increases the additive one.

Potence ratio values indicate the existence of over dominance in both crosses in all characters except pod length (cross<sub>2</sub>), which exhibited partial dominance. These results were in agreement with those obtained by Zayed (1998) and Zayed and Faris (1998).

In the  $F_1$  data, the cross<sub>1</sub> was the earliest for flowering date and it had the highest values for stem length, 100-seeds weight and yield /fed., while the other cross was later in flowering and had the highest values for stem length, number of branches and pod length.

In the  $F_2$  data, cross<sub>2</sub> had the highest values for all yield and its components than the cross<sub>1</sub>. Better information could be obtained when both

F<sub>2</sub> and F<sub>1</sub> generations are compared in the same year. However, the performance of the F<sub>2</sub> crosses was less in values than the corresponding F<sub>1</sub> crosses for all traits, indicating very striking inbreeding depression in the F<sub>2</sub> generation.

Inbreeding depression value was ranging from -33.9% to -0.26% for both crosses in all characters. Cross<sub>1</sub> exhibited inbreeding depression value more than cross<sub>2</sub> in all quality traits.

## REFERENCES

- Abdou, A.A. and N.M. Kandeel (1999). Potential variation in a garden pea collection amenable to breeding recombinant homozygous genotypes with enhanced earliness and pod-yield. *Assiut J. Agric. Sci.*, 30(4): 173-192.
- El-Dakkak, A.A.A. (2005). Estimation of the components of the genetic variation using triple test cross analysis in peas (*Pisum sativum* L.) under Upper Egypt conditions. Ph.D. Thesis, Assiut University. pp.146.
- Gomes, K.A. and A.A. Gomes (1984). Statistical procedures for agricultural research 2<sup>nd</sup> ed. John Wiley & Sons. NY.
- Katiyar, R.P., R.S. Ram and D.M. Maurya (1987). Combining ability for phenological and traits in pea. *Indian J. Genet.* 47 (3): 281-289.
- Kumar, S., K.P. Singh and P.K. Panda (1996). Combining ability analysis for green pod yield and its components in garden pea (*Pisum sativum* L.). *Orissa J. Hort.* 24 (1/2) 21-25. (C.F. Plant Breed. Abst. 67, 6059).
- Kumaran, S.S., S. Natarajan and S. Thamburaj (1995). Genetic variability in peas (*Pisum sativum* L.) South Indian. *Hort.* 43 (112): 10-13 (C.F. plant breed. Abstr. 67, 1527).
- Mather, K. and J.L. Jinks (1971). *Biometrical Genetics*. 3<sup>rd</sup> ed. Chapman and Hall Ltd., London.
- Mishra, S.P., A.N. Asthana and L. Yadav (1993). Heterosis for yield and yield components in field pea. P.42-43. In: M.M. Verma, D.S. Virk and G.S. Chahal (eds.). Heterosis breeding in crop plant-theory and application: short communications. symposium Ludhiana, crop improv. Soc. Indian. Ludhiana, India, 23-24 Feb., 1993.
- Powers, I. and C. B. Lyon (1941). Inheritance studies on duration of developmental stages in cross within the gene *lycopsicon*. *J. Agric. Res.*, 63:129.
- Prakash, O., G.C. Bajpai and A.K. Singh (1993). Study on the hybrid vigour and inbreeding in pea. *Bratiya Krishi Anusondhan Patrika* 8 (2): 90-94. (C.F. Plant Breed. Abst. 65, 6230).
- Sarawat, P., F.L. Stoddard, D.R. Marshall and S.M. Ali (1994). Heterosis for yield and related characters in pea. *Euphytica* 80 (1-2): 39-48. (C.F. Plant Breed. Abstr. 65, 520).

**Genetic behaviour of some quantitative pea traits under southern.....**

- Shalaby, G.I. (1974). Estimates of genetic and environmental variability in some cultivars of pea (*Pisum sativum* L.). Assiut J. Agric. Sci. 5(2): 73-80.
- Simakov, G.A. (1989). Collection of pea varieties in breeding for yield. Seleksia 1 Semenovdstvo (Moskva) No.6. 11-13. (C.F. Plant Breed. Abstr. 60, 10667).
- Singh, K.N., U.S. Santoshi and H.G. Singh (1987). Genetic analysis of yield components and protein content in pea: analysis of general and specific combining ability. Indian J. Genet. 47 (2): 115-118.
- Smith, H.H. (1952). Fixing transgressive vigour in *Nicotiana rustica*. Iowa State College Press. Ames. Iowa, p 161-174.
- Waly, E. A. and S.A. Abd El-Aal (1986). Genetic studies in garden pea (*Pisum sativum* L.). Assiut J. Agric. Sci., 17: 241-250.
- Zayed, G.A. (1998). Inheritance of some quantitative characters in peas. Egypt. J. Appl. Sci. 13(3): 242-253.
- Zayed, G.A., F.S. and Faris (1998). Estimates of heterosis and relative Potence of gene set in pea (*Pisum sativum* L.) at Upper Egypt. Egypt J. Appl. Sci., 13 (6): 187-200.
- Zayed, G.A. (1988). Genetic studies in pea (*Pisum sativum* L.). M.SC. Thesis, Assiut Univ., Egypt.
- Zayed, A.A., F.A. Helal and S.T. Farag (2005). The genetic performance of some continuously variable characteristics of pea under different locations. Annals Agric. Sci., Moshtohor, 43: 337-346.

السلوك الوراثي لبعض الصفات الكمية في البسلة تحت ظروف جنوب مصر

أبو بكر عبد العظيم الدقاق ، أحمد حلمي حسين

معهد بحوث انبساطين - مركز البحوث الزراعية - الجيزة .

### الملخص العربي

أجريت هذه الدراسة بمحطة البحوث الزراعية - شندويل - سوهاج خلال الموسم الشتوي لالعوام ٢٠٠٥ إلى ٢٠٠٨ . حيث تم استخدام ٦ عشائر من كلا الهجينين والتي قيمت في الموسم الشتوي ٢٠٠٧/٢٠٠٨ وهذه الهجن هي (الأسكا × دوارف جرای شوجر) . (الأسكا × إيرلي بيرفكشن) وقد تم اختيار الصنف الأسكا لتمييزه بانتكبير في الأزهار بينما اصنفين الآخرين تميزهما في المحصول ومكوناته .

زرعت بذور العشائر في تجربة قطاعات كاملة عشوائية ذات ثلاث مكررات . وتم تسجيل بيانات على عدد الأيام لازهار ٥٠% من النباتات . طول الساق ، عدد الفروع بالنبات وطول القرن . عدد البذور بالقرن ، المواد الصلبة الذائبة الكلية بالبذور الخضراء . وزن ١٠٠ بذرة خضراء والمحصول الكلي من القرون الخضراء .

وقد أظهرت النتائج ما يلي:

- ١- وجود اختلافات وراثية بين التراكيب الوراثية المختلفة لكل الصفات .
- ٢- رغم وجود فعل الجين الإضافي إلا أن فعل الجين السيادة كان له الأثر في التحكم في معظم الصفات تحت الدراسة
- ٣- ظهرت أنواعا مختلفة من السيادة الجينية ذات التأثير على الصفات حيث السيادة الجزئية كانت هي المؤثرة في الأزهار ، بينما السيادة الفارقة في صفات النمو الأخرى .
- ٤- من دراسة قوة الهجين في الصفات المختلفة تبين أنها اختلفت بين الهجن والصفات تحسب الدراسة وقد ظهر عامة أن محصول القرون أظهر قوة هجين اعلى من أي من مكونات المحصول الأخرى في الجيل الأول والذي كان واضحا أنه أكبر كذلك من الجيل الثاني في معظم الصفات .