# LINES BY TESTERS ANALYSIS FOR YIELD AND ITS COMPONENTS IN RICE.

El-Adl, A. M.\*; A. H. Abd El-Hadi<sup>\*</sup>; A. F. Abdelkhalik<sup>\*\*</sup> and Dalia E. Elsharnobi<sup>\*\*</sup>

Genetics Dept., Faculty of Agric., Mansoura Univ., Mansoura Egypt
Rice Res. and Training Center (RRTC), Sakha, Kafr El-Sheikh, Egypt

### **ABSTRACT**

This study was carried out at the farm of Rice Research and Training Center (RRTC), Sakha, Kafr El-Sheikh, Egypt. Three CMS lines as female parents and three restorer lines as male parents were used to produce nine F<sub>1</sub> hybrids by lines x testers mating design to determine the heterosis, as well as gene action of yield and its components. Both general and specific combining ability variances were found to be significant for most of traits. IR69025A/G181R hybrid yielded the highest number of grains/panicle, filled grains panicle<sup>-1</sup> and panicle weight. On the other hand, the number of days to heading, all hybrids exhibited significant negative heterobeltiosis expect the hybrid IR69025A/G181R. These hybrids appeared to be desirable for earliness.

The results also showed that most traits were controlled by the effects of both general combining ability (GCA) and specific combining ability (SCA) for the CMS and restorer lines. The GCA was found to be more effective. In addition, the study revealed the heterosis presence over better parent (BP%), for yield and its components.

# INTRODUCTION

Rice is an important Ifood crop all over the world, especially in the developing countries. Increasing grain yield is the main objective of most plant breeding programs. High yielding rice could be obtained through the utilization of the hybrid vigor which could be attained when diverse parental varieties are hybridized. Accordingly, selection of the parental varieties is very important to obtain high hybrids. Thus, much efforts have been devoted to identify varieties with a high combining ability (Kabaki, 1993).

Breeding strategies based on selection of hybrids require expected level of heterosis as a result of high specific combining ability. In developing high yielding varieties of crop plant, the breeders often face the problem of selecting parents and their crosses to produce hybrids. Combining ability analysis is one of the powerful tools available to estimate the combining ability effects and aids in selecting the desirable parents and crosses for the exploitation of heterosis. Line x tester analysis provides information about general combining ability (GCA) and specific combining ability (SCA) effects of parents and is also helpful in estimating various types of gene actions (Singh and Kumar, 2004).

The genetic basis depends on the presence of significant, dominance and epistatic variances (Mao, 1992), or upon the accumulation of positive alleles from both parents in their corresponding F<sub>1</sub> hybrid (Abdelkhalik *et al*, 2005).

Line x tester analysis in rice has been applied by many researchers such as Young and Virmani (1990), Mishra et al., (1991), Anna Dura (2002), El-Keredy et al., (2003), and Rashid et al., (2007). This method provides very useful information for combining ability and various types of gene actions. Therefore, the main objective of this investigation is to estimate the combining ability and heterosi, for yield and yield component triats.

# **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

The present investigation was carried out at Rice Research and Training Center Experimental Farm, Sakha, Kafr El-Sheikh, Egypt during 2006 and 2007 summer seasons. The line x tester mating design was carried out through three lines namely, IR58025 A. IR69025A and IR70368A in addition to three testers namely, Giza 178R, Giza 181R and Giza 182R (Table 1).

In 2007, six parents, three checks and nine F<sub>1</sub> hybrids were grown in a randomized complete block design with three replications. Each plot consisted of seven rows and single seedlings which were transplanted in hills spaced at 20 cm. Cultural practices were performed as recommended for rice cultivation.

Observations were recorded on an individual plants for ten traits viz. plant height (cm), number of tillers plant<sup>-1</sup>, number of days to heading (days), panicle length (cm), panicle weight (g), number of grains panicle<sup>-1</sup>, 1000-grain weight (g), spikelets fertility %, filled grains panicle<sup>-1</sup> and grain yield plant<sup>-1</sup> (g).

Heterosis relative to the better parent (heterobeltiosis) was calculated according to Mather (1949) and Mather and Jinks (1971).

Line x tester analysis was done as devolved by Kempthorne (1957) to obtain information about general and specific combining ability and to estimate various types of gene effect. Also, heritability estimates were obtained as described by Burton and Devan (1953).

Table 1. Cytoplasmic male sterile lines, restorer and check lines used for the study.

Genotype	Cytoplasm source	Origin
CMS lines (female parents)		
IR58025A	Wild Abortive	IRRI
IR69025A	Wild Abortive	IRRI
IR70368A	Wild Abortive	IRRI
Restorer lines (male parents)		1
Glza 178 R	Restorer	Egypt
Giza 181R	Restorer	Egypt
Giza 182 R	Restorer	Egypt
Checks		
Sakha 101	Check	Egypt
Sakha 104	Check	Egypt
GZ 6522	Check	Egypt

## **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The mean performance of six parental lines (three CMS lines as females and three testers as males) and their nine F<sub>1</sub> hybrids for all studied traits are presented in Table 2. The mean performance of the studied traits varied form one genotype to another. With respect to plant height, the most desirable mean values towards short stem (less than 110 cm) were only found in four hybrids. Complete or over dominance were observed in most hybrids towards the taller parents. Similary, the longest panicle, highest productive tillers plant<sup>-1</sup>, heaviest panicle weight, highest no. grains panicle<sup>-1</sup> and heaviest 1000- grain weight were affected by the higher parents. However, some of the hybrids showed dominance effects towards the higher parents for filled grains panicle<sup>-1</sup>, higher rate of spikelets fertility %, and higher grain yield plant<sup>-1</sup>.

The highest mean values of grain yield plant (g) were obtained from the hybrid combinations: IR70368A/Giza181R, IR69025A/Giza181R, IR69025A/Giza178R, IR69025A/Giza178R and IR58025A/Giza182R with mean values of 52.5 g, 52.1 g, 49.9 g, 49.5 g and 49.3g, respectively. The lowest values were obtained from the hybrids: IR69025A/Giza182R and IR70368A/Giza182R with mean values of 41.3 g and 41.3 g, respectively. The parental lines Giza178R, Giza181R, Giza182R and IR70368A manifested the highest mean performance of 41.4 g, 38.9 g, 37.6 g and 36.7 g, respectively.

#### Analyses of variance

Highly significant differences among all genotypes were obtained for all yield and its component traits, except for no. of grains panicle<sup>-1</sup> (Table 3). These results indicated the presence of a wide range of genetic variations among the parental groups used in this study. In the same time, the mean squares of parents vs. crosses were found to be highly significant for all grain yield and its components except for spikelets fertility %, 1000-grain weight, no. of grains panicle<sup>-1</sup> and panicle weight. The results also showed that the general combining ability variance of the lines were insignificant for all yield and it component traits except for filled grains panicle<sup>-1</sup>. The general combining ability variance of testers (male parents) were also insignificant for all studied yield and it component traits except for plant height and filled grains panicle<sup>-1</sup>.

# Estimation of general combining ability effects

The results in Tables (4 and 5) show that the values of general combining ability (GCA) effects exhibited significance for all traits. IR58025A and Giza 178R exhibited significantly negative GCA effects for plant height. These indicated that these two parents were good combiners for dwarfness. Similarly, the two parents: IR68025A and Giza 182R exhibited significantly negative GCA for number of days to heading indicating that the two parents also could be considered as a good combiners for earliness. In the same fashion, the parents IR58025A and Giza 182R were a good combiners for number of tillers plant. Moreover, IR58025A and Giza 178R were exhibited significantly negative for 1000-grain weight. Also, IR68025A and Giza178R were excellent combiners for spikelets fertility %.

Table 2. Mean performance of three lines, three testers, three check varieties and nine E. hybrids

Genotype	Plant	No. of	No. of days	Panicle	Panicle	No. of	1000-grain	Spikelets	Filled	Grain
	height (cm)	Tillers	to heading	length	weight (g)	grains	weight (g)	fertility %	grains	yleid
		plant <sup>-1</sup>	]	(cm)		panicle <sup>-1</sup>			panicle 1	plant (g)
CMS lines (females)	1									
R58025A	110.6	23.8	111.7	25.3	3.9	234.9	23.06	89.3	209.7	23.5
1R69025A	105.7	23.7	102.7	23.8	4.0	148.7	26.9	92.7	137.8	32.8
IR70368A	106.7	19.3	105.7	22.8	3.5	157.0	28.63	92.8	145.7	36.7
Rest or (males)							}			
Giza 178R	101.0	16.0	104.3	25.7	4.7	179.0	24.0	94.4	169.0	41.4
Giza 181R	109.7	17.4	114.7	24.5	5.0	166.6	28.3	89.7	149.5	38.9
Giza 182R	100.3	16.8	98.0	22.9	4.3	146.3	27.3	83.7	122.4	37.6
Hybrid combinations			}		1		1 1			1
R58025A/G178R	103.7	18.0	113.7	24.0	5.2	214.5	23.3	85.7	187.3	49.9
G181R	109.3	16.2	110.7	25.0	5.2	203.7	26.3	87.4	174.6	47.0
G182R	112.3	22.2	102.3	26.3	5.2	197.2	27.33	79.3	156.3	49.3
IR69025A/G178R	109.7	16.9	103.7	26.8	5.5	170.8	28.0	92.0	156.4	49.5
G181R	113.7	15.7	105.0	25.9	5.6	168.1	27.3	92.7	155.8	52.0
G182R	108.7	15.5	99.3	24.0	5.9	184.9	27.6	93.3	172.5	41.3
IR70368A/G178R	107.3	17.9	102.7	25.0	5.2	183.1	26.6	98.0	179.5	48.0
G181R	108.7	16.1	101.7	25.8	6.0	201.6	28.6	62.5	126.0	52,4
G182R	114.7	18.3	101.7	25.9	5.4	147.7	27.6	88.5	130.7	41.3
Check varieties	1		1				{			}
Sakha 101	96.3	15.1	110.3	23.2	4.3	137.4	30.0	91.6	125.5	43.5
Sakha 104	114.7	18.5	104.7	21.7	4.3	146.0	30.0	84.9	124.0	47.7
GZ6522	99.7	18.2	95.7	21.7	3.8	150.0	27.0	82.9	124.4	43.3

Table 3: Mean square estimates of line x tester analysis for agronomic, yield and its component characters

Source of		Plant	No. of	No. of days	Panicle	Panicle	No. of grains	1000-grain	Spikelets	Filled grains	Grain yield
variation	d.F	height (cm)	Tillers plant <sup>-1</sup>	to heading	length (cm)	weight (g)	panicle <sup>-1</sup>	weight (g)	fertility %	panicle <sup>-1</sup>	plant <sup>1</sup> (g)
Replications	2	0.9630 ns	37.2339**	0.1296 ns	0.2272 ns	0.1835 <sup>ns</sup>	402.5 <sup>ns</sup>	0.6169 ns	68.6772**	423.3872 ns	23.2057 <sup>ns</sup>
Genotypes	17	86.0741**	21.7974*	73.2865**	6.7310**	1.5160**	1566.825"*	12.2942**	100.6651**	1655.7906**	135.2526
Parents (P)	5	55.3300**	35.1876**	111.0333**	4.3053 ns	1.0703**	2289.859 ns	16.0289**	40.5206**	2599.5396**	36.3062 <sup>ns</sup>
Checks (C)	2	286.1111**	22.8144**	164.1111**	2.3511 ns	0.244 <sup>hs</sup>	302 <sup>ns</sup>	9.0000 <sup>ns</sup>	10.4933 ns	3.0044 ns	18.2344¨
Hybrids .	8	34.3333**	12.8225**	38.2500**	2.5987 hs	0.3044 ns	1221.113 <sup>ns</sup>	7.0833**	135.0384**	1183.4400**	68.2079 <sup>ns</sup>
Parents vs. hybrids	1	182.5333**	46.1280**	40.8333**	14.6068**	12,90363**	717.368 <sup>ns</sup>	4.1813**	251.9135 "*	3.0720**	1527.4846 <sup>ns</sup>
C. <i>v.s</i> (P.H)	1	157.1704**	0.2803**	15.6481**	52.8013**	4.6151**	1215.21 hs	50.0090**	155.4963**	5691.1413**	8.1467 <sup>°°</sup>
Lines	2	12.4444 <sup>ns</sup>	16.9478 ns	19.1111 "	0.5437 ns	0.1911 "*	809.231 ns	12.0000 <sup>ns</sup>	152.0248 ns	66.4811**	33.7737 <sup>ns</sup>
l'esters	2	60.3333**	16.2133 ns	119.1111**	0.3826 <sup>ns</sup>	0.5200 <sup>ns</sup>	1027.374 ns		57.9359 ns	2473.4633*	48.1448 <sup>ns</sup>
Line x Tester	4	32.2778**	9.0644 ns	7.3889**	4.7343 ns	0.2444 <sup>ns</sup>	1523.922 ns	4.7778 ns	15.0965 ns	1096.9078**	95.4565
Error	34	1.6296	3.9402	1.2865	2.4492	0.1712	392.5258	2.1643	9.4536	144.6731	26.7603
C.V %		1.19	10.90	1.08	6.41	8.66	10.27	5.41	3.50	7.98	11.77

including checks
,\*\* Significant at 5% and 1% levels of probability, respectively.

# Estimation of specific combining ability effects

The values of specific combining ability effects (SCA) of nine hybrids for all studied traits are presented in Table (6). Three hybrids exhibited significantly negative SCA effects for plant height, and the best hybrid was IR69025A/Giza182R. Moreover, IR69025A/Giza181R showed significantly negative SCA for number of days to heading. This indicat that this hybrid is anexcellent combination for earliness.

On the other hand, IR58025A/Giza182R and IR69025A/ Giza178R significantly positive SCA for panicle showed IR70368A/Giza181R showed highly positive significant for number of grains panicle<sup>-1</sup>. Moreover, IR58025A/Giza178R and IR70368A/Giza181R showed significantly positive for filled grains panicle<sup>1</sup>. The hybrid: IR58025A/Giza 182R could be considered as the best combination for grain yield plant<sup>-1</sup>.

#### **Heterosis**

The negative values of heterosis for plant height were found to be in the favor of plant breeder. The hybrid IR58025A/Giza178R was lower than the BP% and MP% with values of -6.33 and -2.17%, respectively. On the other hand, heterosis over better parent (BP) was found for number of days to heading in all hybrids which exhibited significantly negative values of heterosis expect for the hybrid IR69025A/Giza 178R. These hybrids were desirable for earliness such as the hybrid IR58025A/Giza178R with values of MP% -7.16 and -4.01% for BP% and heterosis IR69025A/Giza182R was over SH% heterosis with a value of -4.97.

The results in Tables (7, 8, and 9) showed that the nine hybrids exhibited desirable heterotic effects for grain yield plant<sup>1</sup> such as IR58025A/G182 and IR70368A/G181R with values of 46.94%. 34.82%. 38.75% and 31.12%, 20.99% over the BP, MP and SH%, 57.77%. respectively. Also, for panicle weight, the results showed significantly positive heterosis for all hybrids over the BP, MP and SH%. The two hybrids IR70368A/Giza182R and IR70368A/Giza181R recorded the highest mean values over BP, MP and SH%, Moreover, IR69025A/Giza178R showed significantly positive heterosis for panicle length with values of 4.02, 8.0 and 4.28% over the BP, MP and SH%. Finally, IR69025A/Giza178R showed values of 4.09, 10.02 and 16.67% for BP, MP and SH% for 1000- grain weight, respectively. Similar results were reported by Rashid et al. (2007) Genetic parameters:

Heritability in both broad and narrow sense and genetic parameters for all studied traits are showed in Table (10). High heritability estimates in broad sense were detected for most studied traits. These results are similar to those obtained by El Abd et al., (2007)

For heritability in narrow sense, the results indicated that it was high for number of days to heading, spikelets fertility% and moderate for grain yield plant<sup>-1</sup>, field grains panicle<sup>-1</sup> and number of tillers.

Relatively, moderate genetic gains were estimated for number of days to heading, plant height, filled grain/panicle and grain yield/plant. Low genetic grains were detected for the other traits.

Line	Plant height (cm)	No. of Tillers plant <sup>-1</sup>	No. of days		Panicie weight (g)	No. of grains	1000- grain	Spikelets fertility %	Filled grains panicle <sup>4</sup>	Grain yield
	inorgine (only	mere plant	to nouting				weight (g)	101111119 70		plant <sup>-1</sup> (g
IR58025A IR68025A IR70368A	-1.3333** 0.8889* 0.4444 ns	1.3667* -1.3778* 0.0111 "	1.3333** -1.5556** 0.2222**	-0.2296 "* 0.2593 "* -0.0296 "*	-0.1333 <sup>ns</sup> -0.0222 <sup>ns</sup> 0.1556 <sup>ns</sup>	10.51111** -7.91111 ** -2.6000 **	-1.3333** 0.6667 "* 0.6667 "*	-7.8926** 5.8185** 2.0741 **	0.4111 ns	2.2296 <sup>ns</sup> -0.9593 <sup>ns</sup> -1.2704 <sup>ns</sup>
L.S.D. 0.05	0.86	1.35	0.78	1.06	0.29	13.5	1.02	2.1	32.9	3.5
0.01	1.2	1.82	1.05	1.43	0.39	18.2	1.4	2.8	44.3	4.7

<sup>\*,\*\*</sup> Significant at 5% and 1% levels of probability, respectively.

Table 5: Estimates of GCA effect of each tester for agronomic, yield and its component characters

	Plant	No. of	No. of	Panicle	Panicle	No. of grains	1000- grain	Spikelets fertility	Filled grains	Grain yield
Tester	height	Tillers	days to	iength	weight (g)	panicle <sup>-1</sup>	weight (g)	%	panicle <sup>-1</sup>	plant (g)
	(cm)	plant <sup>-1</sup>	heading							l
Giza 178R	-2.8889**	0.1778	-0.8889 <sup>18</sup>	-0.185 ht	-0.667 <sup>ns</sup>	11.0667 ***	-1.3333**	2.9296**	14.3556 <sup>ns</sup>	0.6185 ms
Giza 181R	0.7778 **	-1.4222**	4.0000**	0.2148 **	0.2667	-0.8111 <sup>ns</sup>	0.6667 <sup>ns</sup>	-1.4481 <sup>ns</sup>	3.7889 <sup>ns</sup>	1.9407 hs
Giza 182R	2.1111**	1.2444**	-3.1111**	-0.19603 <sup>ns</sup>	-0.2000 <sup>ns</sup>	-10.2556 <sup>ns</sup>	0.6667 **	-1.4815 <sup>ns</sup>	-18.1444 <sup>nz</sup>	-2.5593 ns
'L.S.D. 0.05	0.87	1.35	0.78	1.06	0.29	13.5	1.02	2. 1	32.9	3.5
0.01	1.2	1.82	1.05	1.43	0.39	18.2	1.4	2.8	44.3	4.7

<sup>&</sup>quot;,\*\* Significant at 5% and 1% levels of probability, respectively.

Table 6: Estimates of SCA effects of the crosses for agronomic, yield and yield component characters

	Plant	No. of	No. of days	Panicle	Panicle	No. of	1000-	Spikelets		
Crosse	height	tillers plant <sup>-1</sup>	to heading	length (cm)	weight (g)	grains	grain	fertility %	panicle <sup>-1</sup>	plant" (g)
	(cm)				L	panicle <sup>-1</sup>	weight (g)			
R58025A/G178R	-1.88889**	-0.98889 hs	-1.00000 MS	0.05556 <sup>ne</sup>	-1.04815**	6.51111 18	-1.33333 ms	2.18148 ns	16.31111*	-1.45185 M
G181R	0.1111	-1.5556 <sup>ns</sup>	-1.11111 M	-0.244444 <sup>ns</sup>	-0.34815 <sup>™</sup>	-23.7111111*	0.22222 **	-0.37407 <sup>ns</sup>	-18.88889*	-5.64074 <sup>™</sup>
G182R	1.777778*	2.144444 ns	-0.11111 <sup>ns</sup>	0.18889 <sup>ns</sup>	1.39630**	17.20000 °S	1.111111 **	-1.80741 <sup>™</sup>	2.577778 ns	7.309259
R69025A/G178R	1.888889*	0.722222 "s	1.8889*	0.211111 **	1.19630**	-1.733333 <sup>™</sup>	1.33333 74	-2.69630 <sup>ns</sup>	-3.77778 <sup>ns</sup>	1.2703 ***
G181R	2.22222**	1.08889 ns	-1.66667*	0.044444 **	0.06296 <sup>ns</sup>	-1.888889 <sup>ns</sup>	-0.77778 <sup>ns</sup>	1.18148 **	-3.477778 <sup>ns</sup>	2.44815 ns
G182R	4.11111**	1.811111 ns	-0.22222 <sup>№</sup>	-0.25556 <sup>ns</sup>	-1.259926**	3.62222 ns	-0.55556 ns	1.51481 na	7.255556 <sup>ns</sup>	-3.71852 M
R70368 A/G178R	0.0000 №	0.26667 ne	-0.888889 °°	-0.26667 ns	-0.14816 ™	-4.7778 <sup>™</sup>	0.00000 **	0.51481 <sup>ns</sup>	-12.53333 <sup>™</sup>	0.184148
G181R	-2.33333**	0.06667 <sup>ns</sup>	0.55556 hs	0.200000 <sup>ns</sup>	0.28519 <sup>ns</sup>	25.600000**	0.55555 ns	-0.80741 ns	22.36667**	3.19259 ns
G182R	2.33333**	-0.33333 ™	0.33333 <sup>ns</sup>	0.066667 na	-0.13704 ht	-20.82221 ns	-0.55556 ns	0.29259 <sup>ns</sup>	-9.833333 ns	-3.37407 na
S.D. 0.05	1.5	2.35	1.34	1.82	0.47	23.4	1.75	3.6	14.2	6.1
0.01	2.04	3.14	1.82	2.45	0.65	31.5	2.4	4.95	18.96	8.2

<sup>\*,\*\*</sup> Significant at 5% and 1% levels of probability, respectively.

Table 7: Estimates of heterosis over the better parent of each cross for all studied characters

i abie 7: Estimate									Terret A	
	Plant		No. of days to	Panicle	Panicle	No. of	1000-grain		Filled grains	
Crosse	height (cm)	tillers plant <sup>-1</sup>	heading	length	weight (g)	grains	weight (g)	fertility %	panicle <sup>-1</sup>	plant (g)
	' '			(cm)		panicle <sup>-1</sup>			<u> </u>	
R58025A/G178R	-6.33**	-24.40**	-7.16**	-6.61 "*	10.64**	0.087 hs	-2.78 ns	-11.29**	-2.16 <sup>ns</sup>	20.61**
G181R	-1.20 <sup>ns</sup>	-31.84**	-3.49**	-1.06 <sup>ns</sup>	1.95**	0.133 "*	-7.06**	-13.61**	-25.94*	21.10**
G182R	1.51 <sup>ns</sup>	-6.73**	-8.64**	4.23**	20.93**	-0.160 <sup>ns</sup>	0.00 ns	-15.28**	-26.18*	46.94**
IR69025A/G178R	3.79**	-28.45**	-0.64 <sup>ns</sup>	4.02**	16.31**	-0.058 <sup>ns</sup>	4.09**	-3.30 <sup>ns</sup>	-0.89 <sup>ns</sup>	19.48**
G181R	3.65**	-33.66**	-8.43**	5.72**	9.74**	0.0090 <sup>ns</sup>	-3.53**	-3.83 <sup>ns</sup>	-3.29 <sup>ns</sup>	33.70**
G182R	2.84*	-34.65**	-3.25**	1.26 <sup>ns</sup>	13.18**	0.243 ns	1.22 ns	-3.51 <sup>ns</sup>	-10.24 ns	9.74*
IR70368A/G178R	0.62 <sup>ns</sup>	-6.29**	-2.84**	-2.33 <sup>ns</sup>	9.93**	0.023 <sup>ns</sup>	-6.87**	-2.37 <sup>ns</sup>	-8.09 <sup>ns</sup>	16.10**
G181R	-0.91 <sup>ns</sup>	-15.73**	-4.94**	5.45**	16.23**	0.21 <sup>ns</sup>	0.12 ns	-8.15**	7.17 ns	34.82**
G182R	7.50**	-3.85 *	-3.79**	9.02**	24.81**	-0.059 <sup>ns</sup>	-3.38**	-7.00**	-25.35*	9.83*
L.S.D. 0.05	2.1	3.3	1.9	2. 6	0.68	33.02	2.5	5.1	20.1	8.6
0.01	2.9	4.5	2.5	3 .5	0.94	44.6	3.3	6.9	26.95	11.6

<sup>\*,\*\*</sup> Significant at 5% and 1% levels of probability, respectively.

Table 8: Estimates of heterosis over mid-parent of agronomic yield and yield component characters

	Plant	No. of	No. of days to	Panicle	Panicle	No. of	1000-grain	Spikelets	Filled	Grain yield
Crosse	height (cm)	Tillers	heading	length	weight (g)		weight (g)	fertility %	grains	plant (g)
	' '	plant <sup>-1</sup>		(cm)		panicle <sup>-1</sup>	"		panicle <sup>-1</sup>	
R58025A/G178R	-2.17**	-9.94**	-4.01**	-5.69**	20.93**	0.036 hs	-0.85 ns	-8.57*	4.58 <sup>ns</sup>	35.20**
G181R	-0.83ns	-21.36**	-2.21**	0.47 <sup>ns</sup>	15.87**	0.069 <sup>ns</sup>	2.46 ns	-12.71*	-14.29 <sup>ns</sup>	31.96**
G182R	6.83**	9.29**	-2.38**	9.28**	26.83**	0.26 <sup>ns</sup>	8.47**	-15.27**	-4.86 <sup>ns</sup>	57.77**
R69025A/G178R	6.33**	-14.91**	0.16 <sup>ns</sup>	8.00**	25.67**	0.042 ns	10.02**	-2.55**	1.05 <sup>ns</sup>	33.27**
G181R	6.00**	-23.60**	-3.37**	7.11**	23.36**	0.066 <sup>ns</sup>	-1.03 ns	0.86 <sup>ns</sup>	3.46 <sup>ns</sup>	44.96**
G182R	5.67**	-23.56**	-1.00ns	3.28**	17.27**	0.25 <sup>ns</sup>	2.03 ns	0.12 ns	8.21 <sup>ns</sup>	17.22**
R70368A/G178R	3.50**	1.52ns	-2.22**	3.50**	26.53**	0.008 <sup>ns</sup>	1.33 <sup>ns</sup>	-2.18*	-7.77 <sup>ns</sup>	23.14**
G181R	0.50ns	-11.96**	-1.06 <sup>ns</sup>	9.09**	38.76**	0.25 <sup>ns</sup>	0.64 ns	-4.55**	16.37 <sup>ns</sup>	38.75**
G182R	11.17**	2.23 <sup>ns</sup>	-0.16 <sup>ns</sup>	9.18**	38.20**	-0.026 <sup>ns</sup>	-1.13 <sup>ns</sup>	-4.30**	-8.85 <sup>ns</sup>	11.26**
S.D. 0.05	1.8	2.9	1.63	2.24	0.58	28.9	4.4	1.75	17.4	7.5
0.01	2.5	3.9	2.2	3.03	0.80	38.5	6.1	2.36	23.4	10.2

<sup>\*,\*\*</sup> Significant at 5% and 1% levels of probability, respectively.

Table 9: Standard heterosis for agronomic, yield and yield com
--

dbio or ouritain		1	100, 91010		T=		1 4000	TA 10 1 1 1		T .
	Plant		No. of days to	Panicie	Panicle	No. of	1000-	Spikelets	Filled	Grain
Crosse	height (cm)	tillers plant	heading	length	weight	grains	grain	fertility %	grains	yield
		į	1	(cm)	(g)	panicle <sup>-1</sup>	weight (g)		panicle <sup>-1</sup>	plant 1 (g)
R58025A/G178R	46.24**	1.25 <sup>ns</sup>	-0.575 ns	6.615**	10.64**	19.83 <sup>ns</sup>	-2.9 ns	-7.42**	10.83 ns	20.53**
G181R	8.22**	1.25 <sup>ns</sup>	6.14**	2.72 <sup>ns</sup>	10.64**	13.79 <sup>ns</sup>	8.75**	1.17 <sup>ns</sup>	3.31 <sup>ns</sup>	13.53**
G182R	11.28**	38.75**	-1.95*	2.33 ns	10.64**	10.27 ns	13.88**	-2.5 <sup>ns</sup>	-8.13 <sup>ns</sup>	31.12**
R69025A/G178R	8.614**	5.63**	-0.575°°	4.28**	17.02**	-4.58 <sup>ns</sup>	16.67**	1.80 ns	-8.06 <sup>ns</sup>	19.57**
G181R	12.57**	-1.875 ns	0.67 ns	0.78 <sup>ns</sup>	25.53**	-6.09 <sup>ns</sup>	13.75**	1.17 <sup>ns</sup>	7.81 <sup>ns</sup>	2.56 <sup>ns</sup>
G182R	7.62**	-3.13 <sup>ns</sup>	-4.79**	-6.61**	25.53**	2.85 <sup>n*</sup>	15.0**	-1.16 ns	2.03 <sup>ns</sup>	1.59 ns
R70368A/G178R	6.24**	11.88**	-1.53 <sup>ns</sup>	2.72 ns	10.64**	2.29 <sup>ns</sup>	10.83**	3.67 <sup>ns</sup>	6.21 <sup>ns</sup>	15.94**
G181R	7.62**	0.63 "	-2.49*	0.39 <sup>ns</sup>	27.66**	-12.63 <sup>ns</sup>	9.09**	-12.61**	-1.78 <sup>ns</sup>	20.99**
G182R	13.56**	14.38**	-2.49*	0.79 <sup>ns</sup>	14.89**	-17.56 <sup>ns</sup>	15.0**	-6.25*	22.66*	0.24 <sup>ns</sup>
S.D. 0.05	2.1	3.3	1.9	2. 6	0.68	33.02	2.5	5.1	20.1	8.6
0.01	2.9	4.5	2.5	3. 5	0.94	44.6	3.3	6.9	26.95	11.6

<sup>\*,\*\*</sup> Significant at 5% and 1% levels of probability, respectively.

Table 10: Genetic parameters for agronomic, yield and yield components characters

Genetic parameter	Plant height (cm)	No. of tillers plant <sup>1</sup>	No. of days to heading	Panicle length (cm)	Panicle weight (g)	No. of grains panicle <sup>-1</sup>	1000-grain weight (g)	Spikelets fertility %	Filled grains panicle <sup>-1</sup>	Grain yield plant (g)
s²A	0.9132	1.6703	23.5	-0.0938	0.024	-24.0	0.838	53.32	261.997	30.714
r <sup>2</sup> D	14.129	1.708	2.034	0.762	0.0244	125.7	0.8712	1.88	317.4	20.29
<sup>2</sup> E	1.6296	3.9402	1.2865	2.449	0.1712	392.258	2.1643	9.4536	144.6731	26.7603
<sup>2</sup> G	· 14.963	3.378	25.831	0.667	0.0484	101.7	1.7092	55.2	579.397	51.004
<sup>2</sup> P	16.67	5.648	26.8205	3,117	0.2196	493.96	3.874	64.654	724.0701	77.76
<u> </u>	89.76	59.808	95.19	0.214	22.04	205.88	44.12	85.38	80.019	65.59
h²ь) % ь² \ %	5.4781	29.57	87.62	-3.010	10.93	-4.556	21.63	82.46	36.18	39.49
h²n) % SCA %	6.10	49.45	24.69	-14063	49.6	-23.298	49.03	96.59	45.22	60.22
SCA %	94.43	50.56	7.97	114.242	50.41	123.598	50.97	3.41	54.78	39.78

σ<sup>2</sup>A=Additive variance, σ<sup>2</sup>D= Dominant variance, σ<sup>2</sup>E = environment variance, σ<sup>2</sup>G= Genotypic variance, (h<sup>2</sup><sub>b</sub>) %= Broad sence heritability, (h<sup>2</sup><sub>n</sub>) · %=Narrow sence heritability

These results are agree with those obtained by Johnson *et al.* (1955) who pointed out that the heritability estimates along with genetic gain from selection were more valuable than the former alone in predicting the effect of selection. Moderate estimates of both narrow sense heritability and genetic advance were obtained for spikelets fertility % and grain yield/plant. These results indicated that low gain was associated with low narrow sense heritability values. The ratio of SCA and GCA variances was very high and more than one for all studied traits which revealed the preponderance of non-additive gene action over the additive gene action.

Thus, the results at this study indicated that dominance variance played a major role in the inheritance of these traits. It would be indicated that the results obtained in the study were in general agreement with the results reported by Ramalingam *et al.*, (1997) and El-Mowafi and Abd El-Hadi (2005).

#### REFERENCES

- Abdelkhalik, A.F., R. Shishido, K. Nomura and H. Ikehashi (2005). QTL-based analysis of leaf senescence in an indica/japonica hybrid in rice (Oryza sativa L.), Theor. Appl. Genet. 110 (7): 1126-1235.
- Anna Dura, A. (2002). Heterosis for physical triats in hybrid rice(Oryza sativa L.) Indica J. Genet.,64 (4): 331-333.
- Burton G.W. and E.H. Devan (1953). Estimating heritability in all Fescue (Festuce arundinacea) from replication clonal material. Agron. J., 45:478-481.
- El Abd, A.B.; A.A. Abd Allah, S.M. Shehata, A.S.M. Abd El Lateef and B.A. Zayed (2007). Heterosis and combining ability for yield and some root characters in rice under water stress conditions. Proc. 5<sup>th</sup> Plant Breed. Conf., Giza, Egypt., May 27, Vol., 11(2):593-609.
- El- Keredy, M.S.A.G. Abdel- Hafez, M.M. El-Wehishy and I. S. El-Degwy (2003). High yielding medium grain hybrids with good milling quality. Proc. 10<sup>th</sup> Conf. Agron. Fac. Environ. Agric., Sci. El-Arish, Suez Canal. Univ. 7-10 October, Egypt.
- El-Mowafi, H.F.A.H. Abd El-Hadi (2005). Studies on heterosis of some maintainer and restorer lines for cytopasmic Male sterile system in hybrid rice. Egyptain J. Agric. Res., 83 (SA): 169-182.
- Johnson, H.W., H.F. Robinson and R.E. Comstock (1955). Estimates of genetic and environmental variability in soybean. Agron. J., 47:314-318.
- Kabaki (1993). Growth and yield of japonica-indica hybrid rice. JARQ, 27 II, John Wiley& Sons Inc., New York. 545pp.
- Kempthorne, O. (1957). An introduction to genetic statistics 17 II, John Wiley 8 Sons Inc., New York. 545pp.
- Mao, C.X. (1992). Genetic analysis of two heterotic rice hybrids. Heterosis and hybrid rice breeding. Springer Verlag, Berlin, 189 pp.
- Mather, K. (1949). Biometrical genetics. Dover Pouble. Inc. London.

### El-Adl, A. M. et al.

- Mather, K. and J.L. Jinks (1971). Biometrical genetics. Cornel Univ. Press. Ithaca, N.Y., 231pp.
- Mishra, S.B., C.H. Misbra and C.N. Chaabery (1991). Combining ability of some rice cultivars with selected cytoplasmic male sterile (CMS) Lines. IRRN 16(3): 6.
- Ramalingam J.; N. Nadarajan, G. Vanniarajan and P. Rangaswamy (1997). Combining ability studies involving CMS lines in rice. Oryza, 34: 4-7.
- Rashid M, A.A. Cheema and M. Ashraf (2007). Line x Tester Analysis in Basmati Rice. Pakistan Journal of Botany, 39 (6): 2042-2053.
- Singh, N.K. and Kumar (2004). Combining ability analysis to identify suitable parents for heterotic rice hybrid breeding. IRRN. 29(1): 21-22.3
- Young, J. and S.S. Virmani (1990). Heterosis in rice over environments. Euphtica, 51 (1): 87-93.

تحليل السلالة X الكشاف لبعض صفات المحصول ومكوناته فى الأرز على ماهر العدل'، أشرف حسين عبد الهسادى'، عمرو فساروق عبد الخسالق'و داليا السيد الشرنوبي

١ - قسم الوراثة - كلية الزراعة - جامعة المنصورة.

٧ - مركز البحوث والتدريب في الأرز - سخا- مصر.