# EFFECT OF ORGANIC MANURES AND PHOSPHORUS FERTILIZER ON FABA BEAN PLANT AND SOME PHYSICAL PROPERTIES OF SANDY AND ALLUVIAL CLAY SOILS

El-Shikhah, S. A.; M. M. El-Shouny and S. F. El-Fiki Soils, Water and Environ. Res. Inst. Agric. Center (ARC), Giza, Egypt

## **ABSTRACT**

Two field experiments were carried out during the two winter growing seasons of 2006/2007 and 2007/2008 at the South Tahrir. Agric. Res. Station, (ARC), Ali Moubark village, El-Bustan Region, El-Behira Governorate (Sandy soil) and Abo El-Ghar village, Kafer El-Zayat District, Gharbia Governorate (alluvial clay soil) to study the effect of organic manures (farmyard manure and chicken manure) applied at a rate of 30 m $^3$ /fed and phosphorus fertilizer at rates of 0, 15 and 30 kg  $P_2O_5$ /fed on faba bean crop and some physical properties of the soils under investigation.

#### The obtained results could be summarized as follows:

- All faba bean characteristics (number of seeds/plant, seeds weight/plant and 100-seeds weight), seeds and straw yields and mineral compositions of seed and straw were significantly increased due to the application of organic manures compared to the control. Application of chicken manure induced the superior treatment.
- Raising the phosphorus application rates gradually increased the quantity and quality of faba bean yield.
- Application of chicken manure combined with the high rate of P-fertilizer produced the highest faba bean yield.
- Alluvial clay soil was the best soil for cultivating faba bean and to achieve the highest yield compared to sandy soil.
- Application of organic manures improved the physical soil properties (bulk density, total porosity and hydraulic conductivity), reduced the bulk density values and increased the total porosity % in both sandy and alluvial clay soils. For hydraulic conductivity, the values were increased with the application of organic fertilizers compared to the control in alluvial clay soil. On the contrary, the hydraulic conductivity values were decreased due to the use of fertilizers compared to the control in sandy soil. Application of the chicken manure gave the best results.
- Finally, it could be concluded that the application of chicken manure at a rate of 30 m³/fed combined with 30 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>/fed in both tested sandy and alluvial clay soils gave the best quantity and quality of faba bean crop and improved physical soil properties.

Keywords: Organic manures, P-fertilizers, faba bean, Physical soil properties.

## INTRODUCTION

In Egypt, Faba bean (Vicia faba L.) is widely grown as a source of cheap available protein seed for human and animal feeding. In addition, it increases soil fertility by bacterial nodules. Now, the area cultivated by this crop increased in the Nile Delta and the newly reclaimed soils (sandy and calcareous soils), which are considered as a main aim for future expansion and attention should be taken with respect to their nutritional status and the suitable management of the proper fertilization.

Organic matter application to soils is known to improve soil properties and consequently the plant growth. Among the types of organic matter, (farmyard manure and chicken manure) are natural amendments, which correct and improve both physical and chemical properties of the soils, especially the heavy texture one. Several investigators indicated that the addition of 30 m<sup>3</sup> FYM/fed reduced the bulk density and increased the hydraulic conductivity and total porosity in clay soil (El-Maddah, 2000, Hanna and El-Awag, 2000 and El-Naggar *et al.*, 2002). Organic fertilizer is considered as an important source of humus, macro and micro elements carrier and at the same time increases the activity of the useful microorgamisms (El-Gizy, 1994). Dahdouh et al. (1999) found that organic manures play an important role in nutrients solubility as activate physiological and biochemical processes in plant leading to an increase for the plant growth and nutrients uptake. The best means of maintaining soil fertility and productivity level could be achieved through periodic addition of proper organic materials in combination with inorganic fertilizer (Sakr et al., 1992).

Phosphatic fertilization is important for different crops. This in fact is due to the fundamental role of P in a large numbers of enzymatic reactions depending on phosphorylation and in the synthesis of various organic compounds in the plant (Nassar et al., 2001). Moreover, P has an enhancing impact on plant growth and the resultant crop through its importance as energy storage and transfer necessary for the metabolic processes (Nassar et al., 2005 and El-Shouny et al., 2008).

The present study is carried out to investigate the effect of organic fertilizer and phosphorus fertilizer on faba bean yield and some physical properties of sandy and alluvial clay soils under investigation.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

Two field experiments were conducted during the two winter growing seasons of 2006/2007 and 2007/2008 at the south Tahrir Agric. Res. Station Agric, Res. Center (ARC). Ali Moubark Village. El-Bustan Region, El-Behara Governorate (Sandy soil) and Abo El-Ghar Village, Kafer El-Zayat District, Gharbia Governorate (alluvial clay soil). Chemical and physical analyses of the experimental soils were determined and presented in Tables (1a & b) as described by Black (1965). The chemical properties of the applied organic manures (Chapman and Pratt, 1978) are presented in Table (1c). The organic manures (Farmyard manure and chicken manure) and P-fertilizers were basically mixed with the surface soil layer (0-30 cm depth). The layout of the experiment was split plot design with three replicates. Each replicate was divided into two main plots, which were treated with farmyard manure in the first plot and chicken manure in the second plot at a rate of 30 m3/fed each. Each plot was randomly subdivided into three sub plots, which were treated with phosphorus fertilizer as mono calcium phosphate (15.5 % P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>) at rates of 0, 15 and 30 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> fied. The sub plots area was 10.5 m<sup>2</sup> with 5 rows, each of 3.5 m long and 0.6 m apart. Basic application of N and K fertilizers were applied to all plots in forms of ammonium sulphate 20.5% at a

a rate of 30 kg N/fed and potassium sulphate (48% k₂O) at a rate of 100 kg/fed. The other usual cultural processes of faba bean plants were practiced as recommended by the Ministry of Agriculture and Land Reclamation.

Faba bean seeds Giza (2) cultivar were sown at a rate of 80 kg/fed on 10<sup>th</sup> and 15<sup>th</sup> November for the 1<sup>th</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> seasons, respectively. At maturity, ten plants were randomly collected from each plot to determine yield attributes. Both seed and straw yields/fed were estimated. Samples of seeds and straw were taken and their contents of N, P and K were determined according to Chapman and Pratt (1978) and converted into kg/fed. Data obtained were statistically analyzed according to Gomez and Gomez (1984). Means of different treatments were compared by L.S.D. at 5% level.Soil samples were collected from the surface layer of each plot (0-30 cm) to determine soil physical analysis according to Hesse (1971), Lovenday (1974) and Black and Hartage (1986). Total porosity (TP) was calculated from the equation TP= I-BD/PD.

Where particle density PD =  $2.67 \text{ g/cm}^3$  and BD = Bulck density.

Table (1-a): Some physical properties of the experimental soils

Soi! type		artial sta stribution (%)		Soil texture class	O.M. (%)	Total porosity (%)		Hydraulic conductivity (Cm/hr)	
L	Sand	Silt	Clay	Ciass		(70)	(g/cm²)	(Citatii)	
Alluvail	15.75	32.60	51.65	Clayey	2.65	52.60	1.25	0.65	
Sandy	90.05	6.28	3.67	Sandy	0.18	38.9	1.63	11.51	

Table (1-b): Some chemical properties of the experimental soils

Soll	So	(med		15			de ion: eq/L)*	3	Ec*	I PH	C.E.C (meq/ 100	Available NPK (ppm)		
type	Ca <sup>↔</sup>	Mg <sup>™</sup>	Na*	K*	CO	HCO.	cr	so*.	'	susp.	g)	N	P	ĸ
Alluvall	15.10	10.35	5.30	1.15	-	1.22	16.90	13.78	2.65	7.95	60.15	35.60	11.20	350
Sandy	2.75	1.42	4.15	0.29	-	1.1	4.84	2.67	0.68	7.60	7.15	11.50	2.35	80

\* In soll past extract

Table (1-c): Characteristics of different used organic manure

Properties	Farmyard manure	Chicken manure	Properties	Farmyard manure	Chicken manure
PH (1: 10) organic manure: water	7.15	8.10	Avaliable Ca%	0.12	0.05
EC dSm² (1:18) organic manure: water	1.35	2.15	Available Mg%	0.17	0.12
CaCO <sub>3</sub> %	1.30	4.95	Available Fe (ppm)	42.10	90.50
O.M. % O.C. %	8.53 4.95	34,11 19.78	Avaliable Mn (ppm)	78.60	97.30
Total N % C/N Ratio	0.68 1:7	1.55 1 : 13	Avalisble Cu (ppm)	7.35	13.40
Available P %	0.12	0.15	Available Zn (ppm)	25.50	77.30
Available K%	0.85	1.85			

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

## I. Faba bean yield and its components:

Data in Table (2) show the effect of organic manures (FYM or chicken manure) and phosphorus fertilizer rates, their interaction on yields (seeds and straw) as well as yield components of faba bean crop under sandy and alluvial soils. All studied characteristics, seed and straw yields and number of seeds/plant, seed weight/plant and 100-seeds weight were significantly increased by the application of organic manures. However, the application of chicken manure induced the superior treatment for increasing faba bean yields, yield components compared to untreated soil treatments in both sandy and alluvial soils. Similar results were obtained by El-Nagar et al. (2002) and El-Shafie and El-Shikhah (2003). Raising the phosphorus application rate gradually increased the quantity and quality of faba bean yield.

The positive impact of organic manures on faba bean production is mainly due to improving the soil physical and chemical properties, preparing the suitable bed for germination and development of plant growth that reflect on the net yield. Moreover, organic manure is considered as an important source of humus, macro and microelements carrier, and on the same time, increases the activity of the useful microorganisms. Similar results were gained by Nassar et al. (2004), Ali et al. (2005) and El-Shouny et al. (2008). The role of phosphorus for increasing the faba bean yield and its components, this could be attributed to a fundamental role of P in raising the efficiency of prants to photosynthetic metabolic (Marschner, 1998), activating large numbers of enzymatic reactions depending on phosphorylation (Nassar et al., 2005) and increasing the plant meristematic tissues, which absorb much of P in the early stages. As a result, root development as well as macro-and micronutrients uptake by the plant increased yield quantity and quality (Nassar et al., 2001 and Nassar et al., 2005).

Considering the intraction effect between organic fertilization and phosphorus rates, data in Table (2) revealed that the highest value was due the use of 30 kg  $P_2O_3$ /fed combined with chicken manure especially with alkevial soil in both tested seasons. Data also, showed that alluvial clay soil was the best soil for cultivating laba bean plants and to achieve the highest yield compared to sandy soil.

#### N. P and K contents in faba bean seed and straw:

Data inTable (3) show the effect of the applied organic fertilizers and phosphorus fertilizer rates on NPK contents of faba bean seeds and straw. Data revealed that NPK contents of both seeds and straw were increased along with the application of organic fertilization. Application of chicken manure gave the best values of NPK contents compared to FYM application due to both alluvial and sandy soils. Seeds and straw contents of NPK were increased by reising phosphorus rates from 0 to 30 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>/fed in both tested soils. However, altuvial soil was the best soil for cultivating faba bean and to achieve the highest yield compared to sandy soil.

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	piants unde	si Gille	OIL SU		eed ylel	d comp	onents				Γ		Yle	ids		——
Organic fer <u>tilizer</u>	phosphorus fertilizer dose, kg	No. of seeds/ plant			Se	ed weig g) plant	ht		100-seed weight (g		(a	Seed rdab*/ 1			Straw (ton/fee	
(m³/ fed)	dose, kg P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> /fed	Sea	son	Mean	Season		Mean	Sea	Season		Sea	son	Mean	Season		Mean
	r 205 rieu	1	2		1	2		1	2		1 2		-	1	2	
					·	Ali	uvial so	il								
Untreated	soil	47.32	48.71	48.01	31.35	30.48	30.91	66.14	65.31	66.12	4.62	4.83	4.72	1.40	1.42	1.41
Farmyard	0	56.2	54.0	55.1	36.2	34.6	35.4	78.8	80.6	79.7	6.30	6.80	6.55	1.50	1.78	1.64
manure	15	62.4	64.6	65.5	48.2	50.6	49.4	85.8	88.6	87.2	7.80	8.50	8.15	1.80	1.78	1.79
	30	65.6	66.2	65.5	52.5	58.3	55.4	92.5	96.5	94.0	8.80	9.30	9.05	1.92	1.96	1.94
Mean		60.7	61.6	61.5	45.6	47.8	46.7	85.7	88.2	87.0	7.60	8.20	7.91	4.74	1.84	1.74
Chicken	0	55.5	56.3	55.9	38.6	34.2	36.4	75.5	78.5	77.0	6.50	6.32	6.41	1.52	1.66	1.59
manure	15	68.6	68.8	68.7	51.6	56.6	54.1	88.2	90.6	89.4	8.30	8.68	8.48	1.86	1.90	1.88
	30	70.4	72.2	7103	55.2	58.2	56.7	90.6	98.6	94.6	9.20	9.40	9.30	1.94	1.98	1.96
Mean		64.8	65.8	65.3	50.5	49.7	49.1	84.8	89.2	87.0	8.03	8.13	8.06	1.77	1.85	1.84
L.S.D 5%	Organic F*	2.35	2.40	2.37	1.80	1.82	1.81	6.50	5.80	5.65	0.55	0.60	0.57	0.40	0.44	0.42
	P-F	2.42	2.43	4.42	1.86	1.92	1.89	6.40	6.50	6.45	0.72	0.70	0.71	0.35	0.30	0.32
	Interaction	3.15	3.20	3.11	2.15	2.10	2.17	8.50	7.50	8.00	0.70	0.82	0.76	0.62	0.60	0.61
						Si	andy soi	il					٠	- , -		
Untreated:	soil	30,51	32.60	31.28	26.73	27.51	27.72	60.32	61.36	60.97	3.11	3.47	3.18	0.78	0.82	0.80
Farmyard	0	38.5	42.5	40.5	30.5	32.5	31.5	76.5	77.3	76.9	3.50	4.10	3.80	0.95	1.05	1.00
manure	15	40.0	44.0	42.0	32.5	34.5	33.5	78.5	79.3	78.9	3.70	4.10	3.90	1.18	1.20	1.19
	30	44.5	46.5	45.5	34.0	36.0	35.0	80.0	83.6	81.8	3.82	4.20	4.01	1.25	1.33	1.29
Mean		41.0	44.3	42.8	34.3	34.3	33.3	78.3	80.1	79.2	3.73	4.13	3.90	1.14	1.19	1.16
Chicken	0	37.6	43.4	40.5	31.2	33.6	32.4	75.8	76.2	76.0	3.80	4.20	4.00	1.02	0.96	0.99
manute	15	42.3	45.5	43.8	42.5	43.5	43.0	82.2	83.6	82.9	4.20	4.40	4.30	1.26	1.30	1.28
	30	45.4	48.2	46.7	44.0	46.6	45.3	84.4	86.2	85.3	4.36	4.56	4.46	1.36	1.38	1.37
Mean		41.8	45.7	43.7	39.2	41.3	40.2	80.8	82.0	81.4	4.12	4.39	3:92	1.21	1.21	1.21
L.S.D 5%	Organic F	1.80	1.72	1.76	3.50	3.40	3.45	2.15	2.10	2.12	1.25	1.12	1.18	0.25	0.30	0.27
	P-F	3.50	3.42	3.46	3.10	3.08	3.09	1.40	1.38	1.39	1.35	1.30	1.32	0.30	0.32	0.31
	Interaction	1.60	1.75	1.68	2.50	2.40	2.45	2.50	2.45	2.48	1.80	1.75	1.78	0.62	0.60	0.61

\* F = fertilizer Ardab = 155 kg

Organic	Mineral	Nitrogen						1	Phosphorus						Potassium					
fertilizer (m³/ fed)	fertilizer	Seed				Strav	v		Seed		Straw			Seed				Straw		
	dose, kg	Sea	son	Mean	Season		Mean	Sea	son Mean	Maan	Sea	son Mean	Season Mean		Season		Mean			
(1117,104)	P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> /fed	Ifed 1 2	illean	1	2	Wigaii	1	2	IWOAII	1	2	moan	1	2	moan					
								All	uvial s	oil										
Untrea	ated soil	12.2	13.2	12.9	4.10	4.30	4.20	1.60	1.80	1.70	0.27	0.27	0.27	5.32	4.41	4.86	7.6	8.2	7.90	
Farmyard	0	14.2	16.8	15.4	4.6	5.8	5.2	1.6	2.8	2.1	0.32	0.30	0.31	8.6	8.2	8.4	12.6	12.6	12.6	
manure	15	32.6	38.2	35.4	8.7	8.5	8.6	3.0	3.8	3.4	0.82	0.84	0.83	11.5	12.1	12.3	25.7	27.5	26.6	
	30	40.1	40.3	40.2	9.8	9.8	9.7	5.5	5.7	5.6	0.88	0.92	0.90	13.2	13.6	13.7	32.0	33.2	32.6	
Mean		28,9	35.0	30.33	7.7	8.0	7.8	3.2	4.1	3.7	0.67	0.69	0.68	11.1	11.3	11.2	24.4	24.8	24.9	
Chicken	0	19.8	16.6	16.7	5.8	6.2	6.0	8.2	3.0	3.1	0.38	0.40	0.39	9.2	9.4	9.3	13.8	14.2	14.0	
manure	15	33.5	40.2	36.9	9.6	9.2	9.4	4.6	4.8	4.7	0.86	0.90	0.88	13.6	14.2	13.9	25.6	24.8	24.2	
	30	42.5	41.3	41.9	11.2	11.6	11.3	6.7	6.3	6.5	0.96	0.92	0.94	15.2	15.8	15.5	36.7	33.1	34.9	
Mean		30.9	32.7	31.3	8.5	9.0	8.90	4.8	4.6	4.7	0.68	0.74	0.73	12.6	13.1	12.9	25.4	24.3	24.8	
L.S.D 5%	Organic F*	3.50	3.62	3.56	1.20	1.60	1.30	1.3	1.50	1.40	0.21	0.23	0.22	1.80	1.6	1.60	2.10	2.30	2,20	
	P-F	2.70	2.76	2.73	1.06	1.10	1.80	1.0	0.90	0.95	0.25	0.27	0.26	1.20	1.6	1.30	1.80	2.0	1.90	
	Interaction	3.20	3.40	3.30	1.20	1.16	1.18	1.9	1.20	1.10	0.23	0.26	0.25	1.30	1.36	1.33	1.90	2.0	1.95	
								Sa	ndy so	il	·		<u> </u>							
Untrea	ted soii	11.7	12.1	11.9	3.1	3.0	3.1	1.0	0.98	1.0	0.24	0.26	0.25	3.8	3.6	3.7	6.8	6.6	6.70	
Farmyard	0	13.2	13.6	13.4	3.8	3.6	3.7	1.7	1.3	1.4	0.30	0.32	0.30	5.0	4.6	4.8	8.8	8.2	8.5	
manure	15	21.6	21.8	21.7	5.6	6.2	5.9	2.8	2.8	2.8	0.56	0.50	0.53	10.0	9.2	9.6	11.6	12.6	12.1	
	30	26.2	25.8	26.0	6.2	6.8	6.6	3.2	3.6	3.4	0.62	0.60	0.61	12.5	12.7	12.6	13.0	15.0	14.0	
Mean		20.3	20.4	20.36	5.2	5.5	5.4	2.6	2.5	2.5	0.49	0.47	0.48	9.2	8.3	9.0	11.1	11.9	11.5	
Chicken	0	14.6	15.0	14.8	4.6	4.8	4.7	1.9	2.3	2.2	0.28	0.30	0.29	5.2	5.8	5.4	9.2	9.0	9.1	
manure	15	26.0	28.0	27.0	6.3	6.9	6.6	3.1	2.9	3.0	0.60	0.62	0.61	10.5	11.1	10.8	13.5	15.1	14.3	
	30	29.0	31.2	30.1	7.3	7.7	7.5	4.1	4.3	4.2	0.70	0.68	0.89	12.0	12.6	13.0	12.8	17.2	16.4	
Mean		23.2	24.7	23.9	6.6	6.5	6.5	3.3	3.2	3.2	0.53	0.58	0.56	9.20	9.8	9.50	12.8	13.8	13.2	
S.D 5%	Organic F	2.15	2.31	2.23	2.80	2.92	2.86	1.20	1.30	1.25	0.22	0.18	0.20	1.22	1.20	1.21	1.60	1.40	1.50	
	P-F	2.30	2.10	2.20	1.16	1.20	1.18	0.98	0.90	0.93	0.20	0.22	0.21	1.10	0.90	1.00	1.50	1.96	1.53	
	Interaction	2.20	2.40	2.30	2.80	2.60	2.70	1.60	1.20	1.70	0.23	0.21	0.22	1.20	1.20	1.20	1.88	1.80	1.53	

\* F = fertilizer

Similar results were obtained by Behiry (2005) and El-Shikhah and Gaafar (2006). Further perusal of the studied characters, the productivity potential varied at different sites according to the prevailing agroclimatic conditions, the level of soil fertility and the physico-chemical characters of the soil. The order of all studied characters increases was as the following: alluvial soil > sandy soil. Two reasons can be presented to explain this result. The first reason is physical, where water movement in sandy soils is accelerated by the open structure of the soil, and thus water with dissolved fertilizers moves readily from the surface downward. The second reason is chemical, where clayey soils with their extensive surface area offer many sites and pathways for soil chemical reaction with the added fertilizers (Behiry, 1991).

# Effect on some soil physical properties:

# 1. Soil bulk density and total porosity:

Bulk density depends on soil structure and it is an indicator of soil compaction, aeration and development case of roots, especially, in soils with high clay contents. Data of bulk density value (Bd) and total porosity (P) percentage under the organic fertilizers application are presented in Table (4). Results indicated that the application of organic fertilizers led to a reduction in bulk density, while the total porosity percentages were increased. The relatively high value obtained of bulk density was due to untreated soil. The best improved effect was subjected to chicken manure application. This decrease in bulk density may be attributed to the high content of organic matter in chicken manure (Table 1c), which refers to the formation of soil aggregates.

Similar results were obtained by Abdel-Aziz *et al.* (1998), El-Naggar *et al.* (2002) and Celik *et al.* (2004). Concerning total porosity as affected by organic fertilizers in both sandy and alluvial soils, obtained results cleared vice versa with bulk density. These results are in harmony with those recorded by Paul and Clark (1996), Nyakatawa *et al.* (2001) who attributed the lower bulk density value to higher organic matter content. Furthermore, Marinari *et al.* (2000) reported that total soil porosity percentages increased with organic fertilizer and compost depending on the amount of the organic materials applied.

Concerning the effect of phosphorus fertilization rates on bulk density and total porosity, data in Table (4) showed that bulk density tend to decrease as phosphorus rates in the soil increase. Total porosity took the opposite trend. The lowest values of bulk density and the highest parentages of total porosity were due to alluvial soil at 30 kg  $P_2O_5$ /fed. With regard to the interaction between the effect of organic manures and P-fertilizer rates on bulk density and total porosity, data in Table (4) showed that addition of chicken manure with 30 kg  $P_2O_5$ /fed was the superior treatment that influenced the bulk density and total porosity in the soils under study.

#### 2. Hydraulic conductivity:

## (a) For alluvial soil:

Results in Table (4) indicated that the hydraulic conductivity in alluvial soil had increased with the application of organic fertilizers compared to the control (untreated soil). This may be due to the possible stimulating effect on formation of soil aggregates. Generally the effect of chicken manure was

better than FYM. The positive effect of the organic fertilizer application on hydraulic conductivity may be related to higher values of total soil porosity. These results are in agreement with those obtained by El-Maddah (2000), El-Naggar et al. (2002) and Othman et al. (2005).

Table (4): Effect of organic manures and phosphorus fertilizer on some physical properties of sandy and alluvial soils

Organic	Phosphorus	,	Alluvial	soil	Sandy					
fertilizer (m³/fed)	fertilizer P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>6</sub> (kg/fed)	er Bulk Total Hydraulic Bulk Tot fed density porosity conductivity density poros		Total porosity (%)	Hydraulic conductivity (cm/hr)					
Untre	ated soil	1.25	53.2	0.65	1.63	38.9	11.51			
Farmyard	0	1.20	55.05	0.75	1.58	40.80	9.35			
manure	15	1.18	55.80	0.91	1.55	41.90	9.32			
	30	1.16	56.50	0.97	1.52	43.07	9.28			
Mean		1.18	55.45	0.88	1.55	41.90	9.32			
Chicken	0	1.19	55.40	0.75	1.55	41.90	8.55			
manure	15	1.16	56.50	0.95	1.51	43.40	8.35			
	30	1.13	57.70	0.98	1.48	44.50	8.25			
Mean		1.16	56.5	0.89	1.54	42.30	8.38			

# (b) For sandy soil:

The results in Table (4) indicated that application of organic fertilizers at 30 m³/fed and increasing the rate of P-fertilizers from 0 to 30 m³  $P_2O_5$ /fed caused a considerable decrease in hydraulic conductivity in soil. This reduction could be attributed to the migration of the fine particles of organic fertilizers causing the clogging of macropores or a reduction in the pore size. Also, results revealed that the reduction was decreased with increasing P-fertilizer. These results may be explained by that organic manure, which led to elevate the soil water holding capacity and consequently increased the soil matrix potential as a result of increasing soil surface area (Hillel, 1982). The interaction effect between organic fertilizer and P-fertilizer gave a positive significant effect on hydraulic conductivity. Similar results were obtained by El-Nagar et al. (2002) and El-Eweddy (2005).

#### Conclusion:

The beneficial effect of studied organic fertilizers on physical properties and faba bean yield may be explained as the following:

- 1-The application of the studied organic fertilizer (FYM and chicken manure) caused an obvious reduction in the bulk density values of the cultivated soils due to several alteration in other related physical properties. The enhancement in the physical properties for organic fertilizers application was expected due to the well known effect of organic matter in coating, cementing and acting active bridges among soil particles (Salem, 2003 and El-Shouny, 2006).
- 2- The positive impact of the organic manures on faba bean yield, yield components and mineral composition are mainly due to improving the soil physical, chemical and biological properties and preparing suitable bed for germination and development of plant growth that is reflected on the net yield. Moreover, the superiority effect of chicken manure may be

- due to high content of organic matter in chicken manure compared to farmyard manure.
- 3- Application of organic manures combined with P-fertilizer tended to produce the highest yield of faba bean.
- 4- The promoting impact of phosphorus on faba bean yield, yield components and mineral composition may be due to the following:
- a- The fundamental role of phosphorus found in all important nucleoproteins and in a large number of enzymatic reactions, which depends on phosphorylation (Nassar and Ismail, 1999).
- b- P stimulates the activity of rhizobia and positively affects on nodules weight. As a results, N<sub>2</sub> fixation increases. This in turn is reflected on plant metabolism and synthesis of various organic compounds (Nassar et al., 2004).
- c- P acts as energy storage and transfer (Osman et al., 2000).

Finally, it could be concluded that the application of chicken manure at a rate of 30 m³/fed combined with 30 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>/fed in both alluvial and sandy soils under investigation gave the best quantity and quality of faba bean yield and improving physical properties.

# REFERENCES

- Abdel Aziz, S. M., F. S. Salem and M. A. Reda (1998). Influnce of some amendments on the clayey soil properties and crop production. Fayium J. Agric, Res. & Devlop., 12 (1): 196-204.
- Ali-Laila, K. M., M. H. Abd El-Salam and N. R. Habashy (2005). Effect of soil amendments on some properties of calcareous soil and its productivity. Minufiya J. Agric. Res. 30 (2): 735-749.
- Behiry, E. M. K. (1991). Movement of water and fertilizers elements in soils. M.Sc. Thesis, Fac. Agric. Zagazig Univ., Egypt.
- Behiry, E. M. K. (2005). Response of faba bean to some micronutrients application by seed coating methods under different soils of Egypt. Egypt. J. Appl. Sci., 20 (6B): 576-585.
- Black, C. A. (1965). Methods of Soil Analysis. Parts 1 and II Amer. Soc. Agron. Inc. Publ. Madison. Wisc., USA.
- Black, G. R. and K. H. Hartge (1986). Bulk density. In: "Methods of Soil Analysis" A. Kiute (Ed.) Part I., Agronomy Monograph, 9: 363-375.
- Celik, I., I. Ortas and S. Kilic (2004). Effect of compost, mycorrhize, manure and fertilizer on some physical properties of a chromoxeret soil. Soil & Till Res., 78: 55-67.
- Chapman, D. H. and P. F. Pratt (1978). Methods of Analysis for soil, Plant and Waters. California Univ., Division of Agric. Sci., USA.
- Dahdouh, S. M. A.; A. A. Fatrna and F. M. Salem (1999). Effect of organic manure and foliar application of some macro and micronutrients on wheat. Zagazig J. Agric. Res., 26 (2): 445-456.
- El-Eweddy, E. A. (2005). Improvement of sandy soil properties under sprinkler irrigation using natural conditioners. J. Agric. Res. Tanta Univ., 31 (3): 502-519.

- El-Gizy, Samira M. (1994). Comparative study for influence of manure sources on growth, yield and pod quality of pea. Minufiya J. Agric. Res., 19 (6): 3243-3257.
- El-Maddah, E. I. (2000). Effect of some amendments on some physical and hydrophysical soil properties J. Agric., Sci. Mansoura Univ., 25 (7): 4765-4775.
- El-Naggar, I. M.; E. I. El-Maddah; M. E. D. El-Sodany and A.Y. El-Tawil (2002). Yield and yield components of sunflower and some physical and chemical properties of different used soils as affected by organic, and mineral fertilization. J. Agric. Sci., Mansoura Univ., 27 (11): 7909-7925.
- El-Shafie Fatma, S. and S. A. El-Shikha (2003). Productivity and nutrients of wheat and faba bean grown on calcareous soil as affected by organic manure and saline irrigation water. Minufiya J. Agric. Res., 28 (3): 1025-1048.
- El-Shikhah, S. A. and N. A. Gaffar (2006). Influence of organic and mineral fertilization on photosynthetic pigment, flowering, yield components and some nutrients content in faba bean. Annals of Agric. Sci. Moshtohor. 44 (4): 1407-1420.
- El-Shouny, M.M. (2006). The effect of some soil amendments on soil properties and wheat production in salt affected soils. Minufiya J. Agric. Res., 31 (4): 1105-1117.
- El-Shouny, M. M.; S. A. El-Shikha and M. Abd El-Warth (2008). Impact of farmyard manure and some mineral fertilizers on some soil properties and its productivity of wheat. Minufiya J. Agric. Res., 33 (5): 1249-1267.
- Gomez, K. A. and A. A. Gomez (1984). Statistical Procedures for Agricultural Research. John Wiley and Sons, Inc., New York, USA.
- Hanna, A. M. and T. I. El-Awag (2000). Effect of tillage treatments and farmyard manure application on some physical properties of the soil and maize production. J. Agric. Sci. Mansoura Univ., 25 (2): 1127-1137.
- Hesse, P.R. (1971). A Text Book of Soil Chemical Analysis. Jhon Murray (Pub.) London, Great Britain.
- Hillel, D. (1982). Introduction to Soil and Physics, 2<sup>nd</sup> ed. Academic Press, Inc., New York, USA.
- Lovenday, J. (1974). Method for Analysis of Irrigated Soils. CAG Fornham Royal Bucks, England.
- Marinari, S.; G. Masciandaro; B. Ceccanti and S. Grego (2000). Influence of organic and mineral fertilizers on soil biological and physical properties. Biorescur. Technol., 72: 9-17.
- Marschner, H. (1998). Mineral Nutrition of Higher plant. Harcourt Brace & Company, Publishers, London, New York, Tokyo.
- Nassar, K. E. and K. M. Ismail (1999). Effect of ascorbic acid and phosphorus on lupin yield grown on sandy soil. Egypt J. Appl. Sci., 14 (10): 537-568.
- Nassar, K. E. M.; A. A. Rahmou and E. M. K. Behiry (2001). Effect of phosphorus fertilization on Egyptian clover. Minufiya J. Agric. Res., 26 (6): 1723-1733.

- Nassar, K. E. M.; M. M. El-Shonny and S. F. El-Fiki (2005). Untraditional methods of P-application for raising its efficiency on sandy soil. Arab. Univ. J. Agric. Sci. Ain Shams Univ., Cairo. 13 (2): 285-296.
- Nassar, K. E. M.; M. M. El-Shouny and E. M. K. Behiry (2004). Improving the quantity and quality of wheat in salt affected soils. Zagazig J. Agric. Res., 31 (6): 2861-2883.
- Nyakatawa, E. Z.; K. C. Reddy and K.R. Sistani (2001). Tillage, cover cropping, and poultry litter effect on selected soil chemical properties. Soil Till. Res., 58: 69-79.
- Osman, A. S., Y. M.Y. Abido and S. M. M. Alla, (2000). Response of soybean to phosphorus and zinc fertilization under irrigation regime. Annal. Agric. Sci. Ain Shams Univ., 45 (1): 229-239.
- Othman, A. Sanaa; A. M. M. Shehata and I. M. El-Naggar (2005). Effect of Rice straw compost and N-fertilization on maize production and some soil physical properties. Minufiya J. Agric. Res., 30 (6): 1853-1863.
- Paul, E. A. and F. E. Clark (1996). Soil Microbiology and Biochemistry, 2<sup>nd</sup> ed. Academic press, San Diego, CA., USA.
- Sakr, A. A.; S. A. Rizk and A. S. El-Sebaay (1992). Effect of organic manures on plant growth and NPK uptake by wheat and maize plants. Egypt. J. Soil Sci., 32 (2): 249-263.
- Salem, F. S. (2003). Effect of some soll amendments on the clayey soil properties and some crops production. Minufiya J. Agric. Res., 28 (5): 1705-1715.
- تأثير إضافة الأسمدة العضوية و الفوسفور على نبسات الفول البلدي ويعسض الخواص الطبيعية لكل من الأراضي الرملية و الرسوبية الطينية
  - سلمي عبد الحكم الشيخة مطاوع مطاوع الشوني و سمير فتوح الفقي معهد بحوث الأراضي والمباه والبيئة مركز البحوث الزراعية الجيزة مصر
- أجريت تجربتين حقليتين خلال موسمي النمو الشنويين ٢٠٠٧/٢٠٠١ ، ٢٠٠٠/٢٠٠١ الأولسي بمحطة بحوث جنوب التحرير (قرية على مبارك -- منطقة البستان -- محافظة البحيرة) معظه المارسي المحطة بحوث جنوب التحرير (قرية على مبارك -- محافظة المغربية معناة المبراحتي المطينية وذلك الدراسة المرملية والمناتون المعاد المعنوي (معاد المزرعة -- معاد الدواجن) بمعدل ٢٠٥ قدان والسماد المعنوي (سماد المعنوي (سماد المعنوي (سماد المعنوي (معاد المررعة -- معاد الدواجن) بمعدل ١٥٠ و ٣٠٠ كجم أو بأه إدان والسماد المعنوي (سماد السوير أوسفات الأحدي ١٥٠ قرباء) بمعدلات صغر ١٥٠ و ٣٠ كجم أو بأه إدان والمارسة .
- ريستن معيدين المهادة المضوية النباتات القول البلاي إلى زيادة معنوية المحصول البنور ومعظم المحمقات المحصولية المخروسة في كل من موسمي النمو مقارئة بمعاملة الكنترولي وقد أظهرت التنسائج نفسوق سماد الدولجن على سماد المزرعة في تأثيره على تأثي المحفات .
- ــ قدت المتنافة المسماد الفرسفاتي الي زيادة معنوية في جميع الصفات المدروسة وكذلك المحتوي العنــصـري المنافة المروم الأ وكانت الزيادة تدريجية مع زيادة معدلات الإضافة.
- ــ إضافة المستوى الأعلى من القوسفور مع سماد اللولجن متحدة معا أعطت تلضل التناتيج المتحسل عليهــا وتقوقت الأرض الطينية على الأرض الرملية في زراعة للقول البلدي والعصول على أعلى معصول .
- أنت إضافة الأسمنة المضوية إلى تحسين خواص التربة الطبيعية حيث انتخصت تيم الكاف النظاهرية وزادت فيم المسامية الكلية التربة أما بالنسبة للتوصول الهيدروليكي فقد زادت اليمنة في التربة الطينيسة والتنفسسة فيمنة في التربة المطينية والمنتخدام مسال المتحسمات عليها عسن المستخدام مسال الدولجن وخاصة في التربة الطينية ومن ثم يمكن القول بالله عند استخدام مسال السدولجن بمعنل ٣٠٠ أبدان مع ٢٠ كجم فر بأر أبدان لكل من التربة الطينية أو الرماية تحت الدراسسة يستضمن المحسول على أعلى إنتاجية أمحصول القول البلدي وكذلك تحمين خواص التربة الطينية.