EFFECT OF EXOGENOUS APPLIED ANTIOXIDANTS ON ENDOGENOUS ENZYMATIC AND NON-ENZYMATIC ANTIOXIDANTS IN PEPPER PLANT GROWN UNDER SALINITY STRESS CONDITIONS

Sakr, M. T. and Reda S.A. Metwally Bot.Dept.Faculty of Agric. Mansoura Uni. Egypt sakmoheb@yahoo.com

ABSTRACT

Two pot experiments were performed at the Experimental Station Farm. Faculty of Agriculture Mansoura university during two successive summer seasons of 2007 and 2008. This work was conducted to study the role of some exogenous applied antioxidant on endogenous enzymatic and non-enzymatic antioxidents under different salinity stress levels of sweet pepper cv. California wonder.

The data show that all salinity levels and each of applied antioxidants(presoaking+foliar spray) as well as their interactions slightly increased the contents of different non-enzymatic antioxidants (phenols, Ascorbic and Glutathione) in both shoot and fruits of pepper plant during the two growth seasons. Moreover SWE combined with highest salinity level treatment was the most effective in this respect.

Moreover each of salinity stress levels (2000, 4000 or 6000 mg/l) increased Super oxide dismutase (SOD) and Ascorbic peroxidase (APX) activity in both shoot and fruits of pepper plants. In addition The data show that any of applied antioxidants (HA, SA, ASA, Tochopherol, Put., SWE) alone or combined with different salinity stress levels also increased the activity of SOD, APX enzymes in both shoot and fruits of pepper plant, during the two growth seasons.

INTRODUCTION

Non-enzymatic antioxidants contents:

Regarding ascorbic acid (ASA), the sequence of events in the plant tissue subjected to drought stress are: increased production of ROS and of oxidized target molecules; increases in the expression of genes for antioxidant functions; increases in the levels of antioxidative systems and antioxidants, and increased scavenging capacity for ROS, resulting in tolerance against the drought stress (Mano, 2002).

The non-enzymatic plant antioxidants can be classified into two major types: (1) AA-like scavengers, and (2) pigments such as carotenoids. ASA is an important antioxidant, which reacts not only with H2O2 but also with O2, OH and lipid hydroperoxidases. On the other hand, ASA has been implicated in several types of biological activities in plants: (1) as an enzyme co-factor, (2) as an antioxidant, and (3) as a donor/ acceptor in electron transport at the plasma membrane or in the chloroplasts, all of which are related to oxidative stress resistance (Conklin, 2001). APX uses ASA and oxidizes it to monodehydroascorbate (MDA). MDA may give rise to dehydroascorbate (DHA). Both MDA and DHA will then be reduced to regenerate the ascorbate pool. This type of scavenging is thought to occur near PSI, thereby

minimizing the risk of escape and reaction of ROS with each other (Foyer and Noctor, 2000). AA is water-soluble and also has an additional role in protecting or regenerating! oxidized carotenoids or tocopherols (Imai, et al. 1999). ASA is a major metabolite in chloroplasts of higher plants and represents about 10% of the soluble carbohydrate pool in leaves (Noctor and Foyer, 1998).

ASA regenerates tocopherol from tocopheroxyl radical providing membrane protection (Thomas, et al., 1992).

As forPhenols,Navarro, et al., (2005), found that pepper plants grown under three saline treatments (0, 15, and 30 mM NaCl) had some antioxidant activity fractions such as, lycopene, β-carotene, ascorbic acid, total phenolic compounds and reducing sugars were enhanced.

Alexieva, (2001), stated that in pea plants the increase in phenols was significantly induced by drought stress.

Hale, et al., (2005), found that total phenolic glycoside concentration were increased due to drought stress.

Regarding, Glutathion, the antioxidant (GSH) defense must keep active oxygen understanding of the key roles of enzymes such as SOD, APX and catalase in antioxidant defense. While these individual enzymes have central roles in the antioxidant defense network, the exploration of the enzymes involved in the synthesis and metabolism of ascorbate and glutathione.

The enzymes ascorbate peroxidase, glutathione reductase, superoxide dismutase and monodehydroascrbate reductasem, among others, are involved in the regeneration of glutathione and ascorbate that are important in detoxification of ROS (Foyer and Mullineaux, 1994).

As for,Enzymatic antioxidants,plants posses antioxidant systems in the form of enzymes such as SOD, APX, GR, DIIAR, CAT and metabolites viz., ascorbic acid, glutathione, α-tocopherol, carotenoid, flavanoids etc. (Smirnoff ,1995). These antioxidant enzymes and metabolites are reported to increase under various environmental stresses (Yu and Rengel,1999) as well as comparatively higher activity has been reported in tolerant cultivars than the susceptible ones .It is possible that better salinity resistance maintain higher activity of antioxidant enzymes viz., SOD, GR and CAT resulting in lower H2O2 production, lipid peroxidation and higher membrane stability(Sairam, et al., 2002).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Two pot experiments were performed at the Experimental Station Farm , Faculty of Agriculture Mansoura university during two successive summer seasons of 2007 and 2008. This work was conducted to study the role of some antioxidant materials in alleviation the harmful effect of different salinity stress levels on sweet pepper cv. California wonder.

Seed of sweet pepper (*Capsicum annum* L.) cv. California wonder were sown on 15 th February in both 2007 and 2008 seasons. Seedling were transplanted at the age 45days (6.7 leaves) on the 1 st of April in pots (50 cm inner diameter) containing 8 kg of air dried loamy soil at the rate of two plant/

pots. Each pot was supplied limit amounts of 20.5 % N in form ammonium sulphate at the rate (2.5 kg), 15.5 % P_2O_5 in the form calcium superphosphate at the rate (1.5 kg) and 48 % K2O in the form potassium sulphate at the rate (1 kg) before planting and added 1.5 kg ammonium sulphate 30, 60 and 120 days after transplanting.

Four levels of artificial sea water used by dissolving known weight of natural salt crust, in tap water. The natural salt crust was brought directly from the salterns of Rashid, El- Beheira Governorate, Egypt where the Mediterranean sea water is evaporated, air dried, thoroughly crushed using porcelain mortar and pestle. The four salinity levels used:

1)- Tap water (320 mg/l), ,2)- 2000 mg/l, ,3)- 4000 mg/l, ,4)- 6000 mg/l.. The amount of salt for each salinity level was calculated, dissolved in the proper amount of tap water and used for experimental investigation.

Applied antioxidants were: 1)- Tap water.,2)- Humic acid (1000 mg/L).,3)- salicylic acid (250 mg/L)., 4)- Ascorbic acid (250 mg/L).,5)- Putrescince (1 mg/L).,6)- Seaweed extract (1000 mg/L).

The seeds were presoaking in any of applied antioxidants for 8 hours before sowing and the plants were foliar sprayed with any of each applied antioxidants at 30,60,80,120 and 150 after trans planting under salinity stress levels. Plant samples were collected after 80 days to determine the contents of antioxidants as well as enzymatic antioxidant activity.

Non-enzymatic antioxidant determination

Total ascorbate determination:

0.5 g of leaf was ground in 50 ml of 2% (w/v) metaphosphoric acid using mortar and pastel and centrifuged for 30 min at 13 000 rpm at 4°C. The ascorbate content (μ .mol / g FW) was measured in the supernatant at 25°C, .The absorbance of red color was measured at 520 nm A blank value for each sample was obtained by adding a few crystals of ascorbic acid, completely reducing the dye and rendering it colorless according to Omaye et al (1979).

Total glutathione determination

The level of total glutathione(GSH) was determined with Ellman's reagent according to $De\ Vos\ et\ al\ (1992)$. 300 ul of sample buffer were mixed with 630 ul of 0.5 M K_2HPO_4 and 25 ul of mM 5, 5 -dithiobis (2-nitrobenzoic acid) (final pH 7). The Absorbance at 412 nm was read after 2 min. GSH was used as a standard.

Total phenois determination:

1g of dry defeated ground leaves were macerated in 5-10 ml 80% ethanol for at least 24 hours at 0°C, the alcohol was clarified, the remained residue was re-extracted with 5-10 ml 80% ethanol 3 times. At the end, the clarified extract was completed to 50 ml using 80% ethanol. The colorimetric method of Folin-Denis as described by Daniel and George (1972) was employed for the chemical determination of phenolic compounds .quantities were determined by reading the developed blue color at 725 nm. Using 0.5 ml 80% ethanol and reagents only as a blank.(Daniel and Georg, 1972 and A.O.A.C., 1967).

2-Enzymatic antioxidant activity determination:

-Ascorbate peroxidase activity determination:

Ascorbate peroxidase (APX) was assayed spectrophotochemically according to Fielding (1978). The assay was carried out at 25°C in 1.0 cm light path cuvette and the reaction mixture was consisted of 1500 ul phosphate buffer, 20 μ l EDTA, 1000 μ l sodium ascorbate and enzyme extract (20 μ l). After mixing the reaction was initiated by adding the 480 μ l H₂O₂ and decreasing in optical density at 290 nm against blank (without extract) was continuously recorded every minute (for two minutes).

-Super oxide dismutase (SOD) activity determination:

Leaf samples were collected in a ice bucket and brought to the laboratory. Leaves were then washed with distilled water and surface moisture was wiped out. Leaf samples (0.5g) were homogenized in ice cold 0.1M phosphate buffer (pH 7.5) containing 0.5Mm EDTA with pre-chilled pestle and mortar. The homogenate was transferred to centrifuge tubes and was centrifuged at 4 $^{\circ}\mathrm{C}$ in Beckman refrigerated centrifuge for 15 min at 15000 X g .The supernatant was transferred to 30 ml tubes and referred to enzyme extract .

SOD activity was estimated by recording the decrease in absorbance of superoxide-nitro blue tetrazolium complex by the enzyme. About 3ml of reaction mixture containing 0.1ml of 1.5M sodium carbonate, 0.2mL of 200mM methionine, 0.1ml of 2.25Mm Nitro-blue tetrazolium, 0.1ml of 3mM EDTA, 1.5ml of 100mM potassium phosphate buffer, 1ml distilled water and 0.05 ml of enzyme were taken in test tubes in duplicate from each enzyme sample. Two tubes without enzyme extract were taken as control. The reaction was started by adding 0.1ml riboflavin (60mM) and placing the tubes below a light source of two 15 w florescent lamps for 15 min. reaction was stopped by switching off the light and covering the tube with black cloth. Tubes without enzyme developed maximal color. A non-irradiated complete reaction mixture which did not develop color served as blank. Absorbance was recorded at 560nm and one unit of enzyme activity was taken as the quantity of enzyme which reduced the abaorbance reading of samples 50% of comparison with types lacking enzymes(Dhindsa et al., 1981)

The dates of all experiments were statistically analyzed as technique of the analysis of variance (ANOVA) according to Gomez and Gomez(1984). The treatment means were compared using the least significant differences (LSD).

RESULTS

Non-enzymatic antioxidants contents:

Total phenols, Ascorbic and Glutathione content:

The data in table(1,2,3) show that all salinity levels and each of applied antioxidants as well as their interactions slightly increase the contents of different non-enzymatic antioxidants (phenols, Ascorbic and Glutathione) in both shoot and fruits of pepper plant during the two growth seasons. Moreover SWE combined with highest salinity level treatment was the most effective in this respect.

Table (1): Effect of salinity stress levels and antioxidants (presoaking and foliar spray) as well as their interactions on total phenois (mg/100g F.w) in shoot and fruits of pepper plant

during the two growing seasons (2007 & 2008).

| Treatment | 7 | | | | easuri | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------|----------|--|--------|------------------------|----------|-------------|------|--------|------|----------|--|--|
| A conneur | 1 4 4 4 | 1 6000 | 3448 | Salinity levels (mg/L) | | | | | | | | |
| | 0.00 | 2000 | 4000 | 6000 | Mean | 0.00 | 2000 | 4000 | 6000 | Mean | | |
| | <u>.</u> | Seaso | n 2007 | | , | Season 2008 | | | | 1 MODELL | | |
| Antioxidant (mg/l) | · · | Pepper shoot | | | | | | | | | | |
| Tap water | 46 | 50 | 67 | 73 | 59 | 44 | 49 | 65 | 76 | 58 | | |
| HA (1000) | 43 | 51 | 58 | 71 | 55 | 45 | 54 | 64 | 73 | 59 | | |
| SA (250) | 40 | 45 | 54 | 63 | 50 | 43 | 47 | 57 | 67 | 53 | | |
| ASA (250) | 49 | 54 | 68 | 83 | 63 | 48 | 56 | 71 | 78 | 63 | | |
| Put. (1) | 41 | 45 | 68 | 78 | 58 | 40 | 48 | 60 | 79 | 56 | | |
| SWE (1000) | 65 | 74 | 76 | 93 | 77 | 64 | 79 | 80 | 99 | 80 | | |
| Mean | 45 | 51 | 63 | 74 | <u> </u> | 45 | 52 | 63 | 74 | | | |
| LSD at 5% | Antic | xidant: | | Salinity | y: 3.73 | 4.74 | | | | | | |
| | — | Interaction: 5.65 Interaction: 6.7 Peoper fruits | | | | | | | | | | |
| - | | 7 | | | | | | 1 48 | | | | |
| Tap water | 26 | 31 | 38 | 45 | 35 | 28 | 34 | 40 | 50 | 38 | | |
| HA (1000) | 28 | 30 | 39 | 43 | 35 | 30 | 34 | 42 | 46 | 38 | | |
| SA (250) | 27 | 30 | 44 | 51 | 38 | 28 | 33 | 45 | 54 | 40 | | |
| ASA (250) | 34 | 39 | 45 | 43 | 42 | 35 | 38 | 46 | 52 | 42 | | |
| Put. (1) | 28 | 35 | 40 | 50 | 38 | 30 | 34 | 44 | 51 | 39 | | |
| SWE (1000 | 36 | 42 | 56 | 63 | 49 | 38 | 45 | 57 | 64 | 51 | | |
| Mean | 29. | 34 | 43 | 49 | | 31 | 36 | 45 | 51 | | | |
| LSD at 5% | Antiox | Antioxidant: 3.71 Salinity: 4.73 Antioxidant: 2.71 Salinity Interaction: 6.65 Interaction: 5.7 | | | | | | y: 3.7 | | | | |

HA: humic acid, SA: Salicylic acid, ASA: Ascorbic acid, Put: Putrescine,

SWE: seaweeds extract

Table (2): Effect of salinity stress levels and antioxidants (presoaking and foliar spray) as well as their interactions on total Ascorbic acid (mg/100g F.w) in shoot and fruits of pepper

plant during the two growing seasons (2007 & 2008). Salinity levels (mg/L) Treatment 2000 4000 0.00 2000 | 4000 | 6000 Mean Mean Season 2007 Season 2008 Antioxidant Pepper shoot (mg/l) Tap water (1000)HA SA (250)ASA (250)Put. (1) (1000) Mean Antioxidant:9.71 Salinity 8.73 Antioxidant: 8.71 Salinity: 9.74 LSD at 5% Interaction: 13.65 Interaction: 12.7 Pepper fruits Tap water HA (1000)SA (250) (250)ASA Put. (1)SWE (1000) Mean 9.73 Antioxidant: 6.71 Antioxidant:7.71 Salinity Salinity: LSD at 5% Interaction: 13.7 Interaction: 12.65

HA: humic acid, SA: Salicylic acid, ASA: Ascorbic acid, Put: Putrescine,

SWE: seaweeds extract

Table (3): Effect of salinity stress levels and antioxidants (presoaking and foliar spray) as well as their interactions on glutathione (u mol/g.F.w in shoot and fruits of pepper plant during the two growing seasons (2007 & 2008).

| | | Pierre | Qui mi | g ui c | | | | | .,,,, | 2000, | • | | | | | | |
|-----------------------|-------------|--------------|---------|-------------------|----------|-----------|-------------|----------|----------|---------|-------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| √ Tre: | atment | | | | Sa | inity le | vels (m | a/L) | | | | | | | | | |
| Antioxidant (mg/l) | | 0.00 | 2000 | 4000 | 6000 | Mean | 0.00 | 2000 | 4000 | 6000 | 14000 | | | | | | |
| | | | Seaso | ก 2007 | | Mean | Season 2008 | | | | Mean | | | | | | |
| | | Pepper shoot | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Tap water | | 220 | 225 | 238 | 246 | 232 | 215 | 220 | 230 | 240 | 226 | | | | | | |
| HA | (1000) | 222 | 227 | 230 | 240 | 229 | 216 | 225 | 230 | 245 | 229 | | | | | | |
| SA | (250) | 227 | 232 | 235 | 242 | 234 | 220 | 230 | 230 | 245 | 231 | | | | | | |
| ASA | (250) | 245 | 260 | 280 | 240 | 256 | 235 | 240 | 275 | 280 | 257 | | | | | | |
| Put. | (1) | 225 | 231 | 250 | 257 | 240 | 215 | 225 | 240 | 250 | 232 | | | | | | |
| SW | /E (1000) | 259 | 270 | 280 | 295 | 276 | 240 | 260 | 270 | 290 | 265 | | | | | | |
| Mean | | 230 | 237 | 251 | 254 | | 222 | 230 | 243 | 255 | | | | | | | |
| LSD at 5% | | Antic | xidant: | 8.71 action: | Salinity | 7: 5.73 | Antiox | ty: 6.74 | | | | | | | | | |
| | | L | 1110 | 40000 | Pepper | fruits | L | 11100 | raction: | | | | | | | | |
| Tap w | ater | 226 | 231 | 244 | 252 | 238 | 221 | 220 | 236 | 246 | 230 | | | | | | |
| HA | (1000) | 228 | 233 | 236 | 246 | 235 | 222 | 225 | 236 | 251 | 233 | | | | | | |
| SA | (250) | 233 | 238 | 241 | 248 | 240 | 226 | 236 | 236 | 246 | 236 | | | | | | |
| ASA | (250) | 251 | 266 | 286 | 296 | 274 | 241 | 246 | 281 | 286 | 263 | | | | | | |
| Put. | (1) | 231 | 237 | 256 | 263 | 246 | 221 | 231 | 246 | 256 | 238 | | | | | | |
| SW | /E***(1000) | | 276 | 286 | 301 | 282 | 246 | 266 | 276 | 296 | 271 | | | | | | |
| Mean | | 236 | 243 | 257 | 265 | | 228 | 235 | 249 | 259 | | | | | | | |
| LSD a | t 5% | Antiox | | 7.71 | | iity:6.73 | | | 7.71 | Salinit | y: 4.74 | | | | | | |

HA: humic acid, SA: Salicylic acid, ASA: Ascorbic acid, Put: Putrescine, SWE: seaweeds extract

Enzymatic antioxidants activity:

Data presented in tables (4,5) show that each of salinity stress levels (2000, 4000 or 6000 mg/l) increased Super oxide dismutase (SOD) and Ascorbic peroxidase (APX) activity in both shoot and fruits of pepper plants.

Table (4): Effect of salinity stress levels and applied antioxidants as well as their interaction on Superoxide dismutase(SOD) activity (units/g frech weight) in shoot and fruits of pepper

plant during the two growing seasons (2007 & 2008). Salinity levels (mg/L)

Mean 0.00 20 **Treatment** 0.00 | 2000 | 4000 | 6000 2000 | 4000 | 6000 Mean Mean Season 2007 Season 2008 Antioxidant Pepper shoot (mg/l) Tap water (1000 (250) (250) HA 322 SA <u>314</u> ASA Put. 380 <u>320</u> (1) SWE (1000 4 0 Mean alinity: 0.73 Antioxidant:5.71 Antioxidant: n.s 4.8 Salinity: 8.74 LSD at 5% Interaction: 11.7 Interaction: 1.65 Pepper fruits 370 | 351 Tap water (1000) 323 HΑ (250)ASA A (250) t. (1) SWE (1 321 368 Put. 318 Mean Salinity: 8.73 Antioxidant: Antioxidant: Salinity: Antioxidant: 7.71 Interaction: 12.65 LSD at 5% Interaction: 13.7

HA: humic acid, SA: Salicylic acid, ASA: Ascorbic acid, Put: Putrescine, SWE: seaweeds extract

Table (5): Effect of salinity stress levels and applied antioxidants as well as their interaction on ascorbic peroxidase (APX) activity (units/g protein/min) in shoot and fruits of pepper plant during the two growing seasons (2007 & 2008).

| Treatment | | Salinity levels (mg/L) | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------|---------------------------------|------------------------|---------|----------|--------|-------------------------------------|------|---------|----------|------|--|--|
| | 0.00 | 2000 | 4000 | 6000 | Mean | 0.00 | 2000 | 4000 | 6000 | Mean | | |
| | Season 2007 | | | | | Season 2008 | | | | | | |
| Antioxidant (mg/l) | | Pepper shoot | | | | | | | | | | |
| Tap water | 125 | 133 | 137 | 141 | 134 | 120 | 125 | 130 | 140 | 128 | | |
| HA (1000) | 130 | 135 | 140 | 146 | 137 | 135 | 139 | 142 | 148 | 141 | | |
| SA (250) | 130 | 145 | 150 | 154 | 144 | 130 | 145 | 150 | 155 | 145 | | |
| ASA (250) | 135 | 155 | 160 | 170 | 155 | 139 | 160 | 165 | 170 | 158 | | |
| Put. (1) | 132 | 135 | 140 | 150 | 139 | 130 | 135 | 140 | 145 | 137 | | |
| SWE (1000) | 140 | 148 | 155 | 160 | 150 | 150 | 155 | 162 | 170 | 159 | | |
| Mean | 131 | 139 | 145 | 152 | | 131 | 139 | 144 | 152 | | | |
| LSD at 5% | Antioxidant: 1,71 Salinity:2,73 | | | | | Antioxidant:1.71 4.8 Salinity: 2.74 | | | | | | |
| LSD at 5% | | Inter | action: | 3.65 | | Interaction: 3.7 | | | | | | |
| | | | | Реррег | fruits | | | | | | | |
| Tap water | 131 | 139 | 143 | 147 | 140 | 126 | 131 | 136 | 146 | 134 | | |
| HA (1000) | 136 | 141 | 146 | 152 | 143 | 141 | 145 | 148 | 154 | 147 | | |
| SA (250) | 136 | 151 | 156 | 160 | 150 | 136 | 151 | 156 | 161 | 151 | | |
| ASA (250) | 141 | 161 | 166 | 176 | 161 | 145 | 166 | 171 | 176 | 164 | | |
| Put. (1) | 138 | 141 | 148 | 156 | 145 | 136 | 141 | 146 | 151 | 143 | | |
| SWE (1000) | 146 | 154 | 161 | 166 | 156 | 156 | 161 | 168 | 176 | 165 | | |
| Mean | 137 | 145 | 151 | 158 | | 137 | 145 | 150 | 158 | | | |
| 1 CD at 50/ | Antic | xidant: | 4.71 | Salinity | : 5.73 | Antioxidant:4.71 Salinity: 5. | | | | | | |
| LSD at 5% | Interaction: 7.65 | | | | | | inte | raction | ı: 7.7 ¯ | | | |

HA: humic acid, SA: Salicylic acid, ASA: Ascorbic acid, Put: Putrescine,

SWE ; seaweeds extract

In addition The data show that any of applied antioxidants (HA, SA, ASA, Tochopherol, GSH, Citric , Put., SWE) alone or combined with different salinity stress levels also increased the activity of SOD, APX on enzymes in both shoot and fruits of pepper plant, during the two growth seasons.

DISCUSSION

Enzymatic antioxidant activity:

The metabolism of active oxygen, peroxidases in plants are also involved in the biosynthesis of cell wall including lignification and suberization. Considerable evidence shows that high peroxidase activity is correlated with the reduction of plant growth. This might be attributed to peroxidase catalysis of ferulic acid conversion to diferulic acid on polysaccharides, the feruleylation of hemicelluloses, or the insolubilization of hydroxyproline-rich glycoprotein causing cell wall stiffening. Morphologically, the most typical symptom of saline injury to a plant is retarded growth due to inhibition of cell elongation, resulting in a stunted plant.

To mitigate and repair damage initiated by AOS. Treated plants have developed a complex antioxidant system. The primary components of this

system include carotenoids, ascorbate, glutathione and tocopherols, and enzymes such as superoxidase dismutase(SOD), catalase(CAT), glutathione peroxidase(GPX), peroxidase(APX) and the enzymes involved in the ascorbate glutathione cycle. Ascorbate peroxidase, dehydroascorbate reductase, monodehydroascorbate reductase and glutathione reductase. Many components of these antioxidant defence system can be found in different subcelluler compartments.

Vaidyanathan, et al., (2003). Plants posses antioxidant systems in the form of enzymes such as SOD, APX, GR, DIIAR, CAT and metabolites viz., ascorbic acid, glutathione, α-tocopherol, carotenoid, flavanoids etc. Smirnoff, (1995). These antioxidant enzymes and metabolites are reported to increase under various environmental stresses. Yu and Rengel, (1999) as well as comparatively higher activity has been reported in tolerant cultivars than the susceptible ones, Sairam, et al., (2002).

Non enzymatic antioxidants:

1- Ascorbic acid (AA) contents:

The non-enzymatic plant antioxidants can be classified into two major types: (1) AA-like scavengers, and (2) pigments such as carotenoids. ASA is an important antioxidant, which reacts not only with H₂O₂ but also with O₂, OH and lipid hydroperoxidases. On the other hand, ASA has been implicated in several types of biological activities in plants: (1) as an enzyme co-factor, (2) as an antioxidant, and (3) as a donor/ acceptor in electron transport at the plasma membrane or in the chloroplasts, all of which are related to oxidative stress resistance (Conklin, 2001). APX uses ASA and oxidizes it to monodehydroascorbate (MDA). MDA may give rise to dehydroascorbate (DHA). Both MDA and DHA will then be reduced to regenerate the ascorbate pool. This type of scavenging is thought to occur near PSI, thereby minimizing the risk of escape and reaction of ROS with each other (Foyer and Noctor, 2000). ASA is a major metabolite in chloroplasts of higher plants and represents about 10% of the soluble carbohydrate pool in leaves (Noctor and Foyer, 1998).

2- Total phenol contents:

Phenolics are diverse secondary metabolites (flavonoids, tannins. hydroxycinnamate esters and lignin) abundant in plant tissues (Grace and Logan, 2000). Polyphenols possess ideal structural chemistry for free radical scavenging activity, and they have been shown to be more effective antioxidants in vitro than tocopherols and ascorbate.

Another mechanism underlying the antioxidative properties of phenolics is the ability of flavonoids to alter peroxidation kinetics by modification of the lipid packing order and to decrease fluidity of the membranes (Amor, et al., 2000). These changes could sterically hinder diffusion of free radicals and restrict peroxidative reactions. Moreover, it has been shown recently that phenolic compounds can be involved in the hydrogen peroxide scavenging cascade in plant cells.

Phenolic compounds retard or inhibit lipid autoxidation by acting as radical scavengers (Namiki, 1990) and, consequently, are essential antioxidants that protect against propagation of the oxidative chain.

Total phenols in pepper tended increase gradually with increasing salinity levels in soil. This increase showed some tendency to adjust osmotically against salt stress. Moreover, stress condition leads to an increase in phenolic compounds (Amor, et al., 2000). These phenolic compounds could be a cellular adaptive mechanism for scavenging oxygen free radicals during stress and this free radical scavenger and others such as ascorbate could be readily oxidized in the system of tissue representing subcelluler damages. In addition, Kurup, et al., (1994), pointed out that stressed marigold plants contained higher concentrations of free phenolic compounds, due to enhanced biosynthesis and formation of lignin than controls.

Reduced Glutathion contents:

GSH can function as an antioxidant in many ways. (1)It can react chemically with singlet oxygen, superoxide and hydroxyl radicals and therefore function directly as a free radical scavenger Noctor and Foyer, 1998). (2) GSH may stabilise membrane structure by removing acyl peroxides formed by lipid peroxidation reactions (Price, et al., 1990). (3)GSH is the reducing agent that recycles ascorbic acid from its oxidised to its reduced form by the enzyme dehydroascorbate reductase (Loewus, 1988). (4) GSH can also reduce dehydroascorbate by a non-enzymatic mechanism at pH > 7 and GSH concentrations greater than 1 mM. This may be a significant pathway in chloroplasts whose stromal pH in the light is about 8 and GSH concentrations may be as high as 5 mM (Foyer and Halliwell, 1976).

REFERENCES

- A.O.A.C. (1967). Official Methods of Analysis of the association of official analytical chemists. Washington D.C., U.S.A.
- Alexieva, V., Sergiev, I. Mapelli, S. and Karanov1Acad. M. Popov,A. (2001). The effect of drought and ultraviolet radiation on growth and stress markers in pea and wheat Plant, Cell & EnvironmentVolume 24 Issue 12 Page 1337.
- Amor, Y.; Chevion, M and Levinc, A. (2000). Anoxia pretrealment protects soybean cells against H₂O₂-induced cell death: possible involvement of peroxidases and of alternative oxidase& Technol., 25: 511-521.
- Conklin, P., (2001). Recent advances in the role and biosynthesis of ascorbic acid in plants. Plant Cell Environ; 24:383-94.
- Daniel, H.D. and. George, C. M (1972). Peach seed dormancy in relation to indogenous inhibitors and applied growth substances. J. Amer. Soc. Hort Sci. 97:651-654
- De Vos, C. H.; Vonk,; M. J. Vooijs, . R. and Hek, S. (1992). Glutathione depletion due to copper-induced phytochelation synthesis causes oxidative stress in silene cucblus. Plant physiology. 98: 859-858.

- Dhindsa, R.S., Plumb-Dhindsa, P.and Throne, T.A. (1981). Leaf senescence: correlated with increased levels of membrane permeability and lipid peroxidation and decreased levels of superoxide dismutase and catalase. J.Exp.Bot 32, pp. 93-101.
- Fielding, J. (1978). A biochemical and cytochemical study of peroxidase activity in root of *Pisum* sativum. J. of Experimental Botany. 29: 969-981
- Foyer, C.H. and Mullineaux, P. (1994). Causes of Photooxidative Stress and Amelioration of Defense Systems in Plants. CRC Press. Boca Raton. FL. ISBN 0-8493-5443-9.
- Foyer, C.H. and Noctor, G. (2000). Oxygen processing in photosynthesis: regulation Foyer and signaling. New Phytol.; 146: 359-388.
- Grace. S. C. & Logan. B. A. (1996). Acclimation of folair antioxidant systems to growth irradiance in three broad-leaved evergreen species. Plant Physiol. 112: 1631-1640.
- Hale, K. H., Herms, D. A. Hansen, R. C. Clausen, T. P. and Arnold, D. (2005). Effects of Drought Stress and Nutrient Availability on Dry Matter Allocation, Phenolic Glycosides, and Rapid Induced Resistance of Poplar to Two Lymantriid Defoliators. J. Amer. Ecol. 5: 2601-2620.
- Kurup, S. S.; Nalwadi, U.G. and Geibel, M. (1994). Phenolic biosynthesis in relation to moisture stress in marigold. Acta Hort., 381: 488-493.
- Loewus, F.A., (1988). Ascorbic acid and its metabolic products. In: The Biochemistry of Plants, Vol. 14. Preiss, J. (ed) Academic Press, New York, p. 85-107.
- Mano, J., (2002). Early events in environmental stress. Taylor Francis pub. 217-45.
- Nabati, D. A., R.E.Schmidt, and D.J. Parrish. (2005). Alleviation of salinity stress in Kentucky bluegrass by plant growth regulators and iron. Crop Science 34:198-202.
- Namiki, M. (1990). Antioxidants/antimutagens in food. CRC Critical Reviews in Food Science and Nutrition, 29, 273–300.
- Navarro, J. M.; P. Flores,; C. Garrido, and V. Martinez (2006). Changes in the contents of antioxidant compounds in pepper fruits at different ripening stages, as affected by salinity. Food Chemistry 96 (2006) 66–73.
- Navarro, J. M.; P. Flores,; C. Garrido, and V. Martinez (2006). Changes in the contents of antioxidant compounds in pepper fruits at different ripening stages, as affected by salinity. Food Chemistry 96 (2006) 66–73.
- Noctor, G. and Foyer, C.H. (1998). Ascorbate and glutathione: keeping active oxygen under control. Annual Review of Plant Physiology and Plant Molecular Biology 49: 249–279.
- Omaye, S. T., Turnbm, J. D. and Saubermch, H. E. (1979). Selected methods for the determination of ascorbic acid in animal cells, tissues and fluids. Methods in Enzymologyi. Vol. 62. Academic Press. New York. Pp 1-
- Price, A.H. and G.A.F. Hendry. (1991). Iron-catalysed oxygen radicalformation and its possible contribution to drought damage in nine native grasses and three cereals. Plant, Cell and Environment 14:477-484.

- Sairam, R.K. and Srivastava, G.C. (2002). Changes in antioxidant activity in sub-cellular fractions of tolerant and susceptible wheat genotypes in response to long term salt stress, Plant Sci 162 (2002), pp. 897–904.
- Sies, H. (1991). Oxidative stress: Oxidant and Antioxidant. London: Academic Press.
- Thomas, C.E., McLean, L.R. Parker R.A and Ohlweiler D.F. (1992). Ascorbate and phenolic antioxidant interactions in prevention of liposomal oxidation. Lipids 27: 543–550.
- Vaidyanathan, H., P. Sivakumar, R. Chakrabarty, and G. Thomas, (2003). Scavenging of reactive oxygen species in NaCl-stressed rice (Oryza sativa L.)—differential response in salt-tolerantand sensitive varieties. Plant Science 165: 1411–1418.

تأثير المعاملة بمضادات الأكسدة على المحتسوى السداخلي لمسضادات الأكسدة الإنزيمية وغير الإنزيمية في نبات الفلفل النامي تحت ظروف الاجهاد الملحي محب طه صقر و رضا سيد أحمد متولى قسم النبات الزراعي – كلية الزراعة – جامعة المنصورة

أجريت تجربتى أصص فى مزرعة محطة البحوث بكلية الزراعة -جامعة المنصورة فى الموسم الصيفى لعامى ٢٠٠٨ لاراسة دور بعض مضادات الأكسدة (معاملة خارجية) على المحتوى الداخلى لمضادات الأكسدة الإنزيمية و غي الإنزيمية فى نبات الفلفال صنف كاليفورنيا النامى تحت ظروف الإجهاد الملحى .

أظهرت النتائج أن كلاً من مستويات الاجهاد الملحى المختلفة وكذلك المعاملة نقعا ورشا بأى من مضادات الأكسدة وكذلك معاملات التداخل من مستويات الاجهاد الملحى و مسضادات الأكسدة أدت الى زيادات واضحة فى المحتوى الداخلى من مضادات الأكسدة غير الانزيميسة (الفينولات - الاسكوربيك - الجلوتاثيون) فى كل من المجموع الخضرى والثمار لنبات الفلفل خلال موسمى الزراعة.

ولوحظ أن المعاملة SWE (مستخلص أعشاب البحر) بالتداخل مع المستوى المرتفع من الاجهاد الملحى (٢٠٠٠ مج/لتر) كانت المعاملة الاكثر فاعلية فيهذا الشأن .

ولوحظ أن مستويات الأجهاد الملحى المختلفة (٤٠٠٠ ٤٤٠٠٠ ٥٠٠ مج /لتـر) ادت الى زيادة النشاط الانزيمي لكلب من انزيمي SOD (سوبر أكـسيد ديزميـوتيز) & APX (سيوبر بيك بيروكسيديز) في كل من المجموع الخضري وثمار نبات الفلفل

كما لوحظ أن المعاملة بأى من مضات الاكسدة (هيوميك - سالسيليك - توكوفيرول - بتروسين - مستخلص أعشاب البحر) منفردة أو بالتداخل مع أى من مستويات الاجهاد الملحلي المختلفة الى زيادة المنشاط الانزيميل لانزيمي SOD) & APX في كل من المجموع الخضري وثمار الفلفل صنف كاليفورنيا خلال موسمى ٢٠٠٨ &٢٠٠٧.