# EFFICACY OF CERTAIN COMPOUNDS AGAINST SOME PIERCING SUCKING PESTS ON MEDICINAL AND ORNAMENTAL PLANTS

Abdel Wahab, Horia A.; H. H. Shalaby and Neven F. Mawad Plant Protection Research Institute (ARC)

## **ABSTRACT**

This study was conducted to evaluate some compounds (Crater, Baiocao and Ashook) against *Tetranychus urticae* Koch. on peppermint plants and *Brevipalpus obovatus* Donn. on marigold plants under laboratory and field conditions. This study was carried out at the laboratory of plant protection research institute and at Damietta governorate during seasons 2007and 2008. The results indicated that, Crater was the most effective compound against *T. urticae* with Lc50= 0.019 ml and against *B. obovatus* with Lc50= 0.072 ml. followed by Baiocao and Ashook after 24 hrs. under laboratory conditions. Crater also was the most effective compound against *T. urticae* and *B. obovatus* under field conditions. The general mean reduction of *T. urtica* can be arranged as follows Crater (87.88,86.6; 81.52,80.01& 71.62,73.3%) at (0.6, 0.3 &0.1 mlN) > Ashook (61.32,63.1; 55.79,55.4 &47.07,51.3) at (3.7, 1.7 &0.9 mlN). The general mean reduction of *B. obovatus* individuals can be arranged as follows Crater (78.58, 79.5; 65.88, 66.5 & 64.54, 63.8) at (0.6, 0.3 & 0.1 mlN) > Ashook (56.83, 58.2; 42.57,44.2 & 41.34,42.1%) at (3.7, 1.7 & 0.9 mlN). during 2007 and 2008 seasons.

## INTRODUCTION

Medicinal and ornamental plants are considered as important crops in our agricultural production for human health as well as for export purposes outside the country. Various pests associated with medicinal and aromatic plants especially piercing sucking pests which may cause damage and yield loss. *Tetranychus urticae* and *Brevipalpus obovatus* are considered to be of great important pests Estebanes and Rodriguez (1991) and Abd- El-Rahman (1996) Rezk (2001), Anupam and Putatunda (2003). Feeding by Genus Brevipalpus mites devitalizes the plant and causes the collapse of the inner leaf tissue. Old damage is characterized by browning of the damaged leaf surface. In addition, some hosts exhibit deformed leave. When two potted spider mites remove the sap, the mesophyll tissue collapses and a small chlorotic spot forms at each feeding site. It is estimated that 18 to 22 cells are destroyed per minute. Continued feeding causes a stippled-bleached effect and later, the leaves turn yellow, gray or bronze. Complete defoliation may occur if the mites are not controlled.

## MATRIALS AND METHODS

Three control agents were tested on the two-spotted spider mite, *T.urticae*, on marigold plants, and on *B. obovatus*, on peppermint plants. Diluted suspensions of (Crater, Baiocao and Ashook) were prepared in water for comparison between different concentrations (0.01,0.3,0.6 ml\l in 0.125,0.25,0.5&1.0; 0.4,0.9,1.7&3.7 and 0.05) under laboratory conditions. Leaf-dip technique (Siegler, 1947) was used for determination the effect of tested compounds by dipping peppermint and marigold leaves for 50 seconds

### Abdel Wahab, Horia A. et al.

in the various concentrations of previous compounds, then the leaves were left to dry. Forty adult female of T. urticae were used, for each concentration divided into four replicates (10 adult females for each replicate) and transferred to each leaf disc of peppermint and marigold (one inch in diameter) by means of hair brush; these discs were placed on their lower surface on pads of moist cotton wool in Petri-dishres. Another group of adult females fed on untreated leaves and kept as control. They were maintained at room temperature of about  $27\pm1^{\circ}$ C and  $75\pm5$  % RH), recording the mean number of the mites in the treatment compared with the control according the following equation, Abbott's formula, 1925.

Field experiment was carried at Damietta Governorate during the two successive seasons of 2007 and 2008 on peppermint and marigold plants. An area of 1/5 feddan was divided into two plots, the 1<sup>st</sup> one of them for cultivation the peppermint plants and the other for marigold plants. The plots were arranged in randomized block with three replicates for each treatment, and another three replicates as control. Each plot was sprayed with different tested agents using a knapsack sprayer. Control plots were sprayed with water only.

sprays were conducted during Apr.2007 and 2008 seasons on peppermint and marigold plants. Two natural compounds, which gave the good reduction under laboratory tests and were more safe to the predators (Crater with three concentrations 0.1, 0.3 and 0.6 ml/l and Ashook also, with concentrations 3.7, 1.7 and 3.1 ml/l) were sprayed under field conditions. To evaluate the efficacy of these compounds on the number of individuals; the samples were taken before spraying as a pre-count and then after 1, 3, 5 and 7 days as a post count. Each sample was consisted of 30 plants taken randomly from each treatment for peppermint plant; thirty leaves were collected from each treatment for marigold plant. The samples were collected in paper bags and transferred to the laboratory for examination. Individuals were counted under a stereomicroscope, and both surfaces of each leaf were inspected.

## Tested compounds:

1-Crater (Afrasa), Vamectin 1.8 EC at the rate of 30 ml./100 liter water.

**Chemical name**: Abamectin: a mixture containing a minimum 80% Avermectin B, a (5-0-demethyl- Avermectin A, a) and maximum of 20% Avermectin B, b (5-0-demethyl – 25 – de – (1-methylpropyl – 25 – (1-methyl) Avermectin A, a)

# J. Agric. Sci. Mansoura Univ., 34 (7), July, 2009

## Chemicalstructure:-

Trade names: Avid - Acri - Mek - Vertemic - Avomec.

2- Baiocao No.1 (0.36% matrrine aqueous solution) at the rate of 100ml/ 100 liter water.

**Chemical name:** Matriane.SOPHOCARPIDINE(CALLCULATEDAAS OXYMATRINE).

Chemical formula: - C15H24N2O-C15H24N2O2.

## Chemical structure:-



3- Azadirachtin 1500 ppm (Achook 0.15%) [neem kernel based EC containing Azadirachtin 0.15%ww (1500ppm), *Azadirachta indica* A., Fam: Meliaceae]. Produced by Bahar Agrochem and Foods Pvt. Ltd., India.

C35H44O16

The corrected percent mortalities were statistically analyzed according to Finney (1952). The tested compounds were compared for their

efficiency according to their LC<sub>50</sub> and LC<sub>90</sub> of the toxicity lines.

Under field conditions the reduction percentages of infestation by compounds and by predators were calculated according to the equation of Henderson and Tilton (1955).

The Data was subjected to analysis of variance (ANOVA) and the means were compared by L.S.D. test at 0.05 level, using SAS program.

## **RESULTS**

Results indicated that in Table (1), Crater was the most toxic pesticide against T. urticae with reduction percentage 100 % and 75 % at 0.1, 0.3, 0.6 and 0.05 ml \ L, respectively.

Table (1): Efficacy on certain compounds against movable stages of Tetranychusurticae, 24 hours following treatment under laboratory conditions.

100	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	· oonanaan					
		Corrected			Slope	Toxicity index	
Treatments	Conc.	mortality	Lc50	Lc90	s.±D	Lc50	Lc90
	0.05	75.0			2.0	1	
	0.1	100			±		
	0.3	100	ļ		İ		
Crater	0.6	100	0.0002	0.0006	0.431	100	100
	0.125	6.66			2.657		
	0.25	40.0			<u>+</u>	1	
Baicao	0.5	60.0	·		_		
	1.0	86.66	0.375	1.137	0.237	0.05	0.05
	0.4	33.0			0.957		
	0.9	37.0			+		
	1.7	57.0			_		
Ashook	3.7	66.0	1.371	29.933	0.183	0.014	0.002

Lc50 and Lc90 values for Crater were 0.019 and 0.082 ml, respectively, while Toxicity index at (Lc50 and Lc90) was 100 % and slope value was 2.0  $\pm$  0.437 respectively.

Crater pesticide followed by Baiocao with reduction percentages 86.66, 60, 40 and 6.66% at 1,0.,0.5, 0.25 and 0.125ml\L for Baiocao respectively.

The mortality percentages for Ashook were 66, 57, 37 and 33% at 3.7, 1.7, 0.9 and 0.4 ml \ L respectively. The recorded  $Lc_{50}$  and  $Lc_{90}$  values for Baiocao were 0.375 and 1.137 ml\ L, respectively, while they were 1.371 and 29.933 ml\ L for Ashook, respectively.

Baiocao recorded slope value 2.657 while it was 0.957 for Ashook. Toxicity index (Ti =100%) at  $Lc_{50}$  and  $Lc_{e0}$  were 0.05 and 0.06% for -Baiocao, while they were 0.014 and 0.002% for Ashook, respectively. These results were agreement with Dybas and Green (1984) Wright *et al.* (1985)

In Table (2) results indicated that, the Crater was the most toxic biotic pesticide against *B. obovatus* adults with reduction percentage 100, 100, 53.3 and 40.6 at 0.6; 0.3; 0.1 and 0.05, mlN, respectively.

 $Lc_{50}$  and  $Lc_{90}$  values for Crater was (0.072 and 0.23 ml), respectively, while slope value and Toxicity index at (Lc50 and  $Lc_{90}$ ) (Ti = 100 %) were 2.552±0.341 and 100; 100% respectively.

Table (2): Efficacy of certain compounds against *Brevipalpus obovatus*24 hours following treatment under laboratory conditions.

[	Conc. Corrected mortality				Slope	Toxicity index		
Treatments			Lc50 Lc90		s. D	Lc50	Lc90	
Crater	0.05 0.1 0.3 0.6	40.6 53.3 100 100	0.0005	0.0009	2.941 ± 0.352	100	100	
Baicao	0.125 0.25 0.5 1.0	86.66 93.33 99 100	0.16	0.27	1.052 ± 0.299	0.31	0.33	
Ashook	0.4 0.9 1.7 3.7	33.3 36.3 58.6 65.9	1.346	28.824	0.963 ± 0.183	0.037	0.003	

Crater pesticide followed by Baiocao and Ashook with reduction percentages 100, 99, 93.33 and 86.66 % at 1,0.,0.5, 0.25 and 0.125ml/L for Baiocao respectively.

The mortality percentages for Ashook were 65.9, 58.6, 36.3 and 33.3 % at 3.7, 1.7, 0.9 and 0.4 ml \ L respectively. The recorded  $Lc_{50}$  and  $Lc_{90}$  values for Baiocao were 0.16 and 0.27ml\ L, respectively, while they were 1.346 and 28.824 ml\ L for Ashook, respectively.

Baiocao recorded slope value 1.052 while it was 0.963 for Ashook. Toxicity index (Ti =100%) at  $Lc_{50}$  and  $Lc_{90}$  were 0.31 and 0.33 % for Baiocao, respectively, while they were 0.037 and 0.003 % for Ashook, respectively.

Results revealed that; mean number of T. urtica was clearly decreased during the three days after treatments to reach (0.90, 1.7 & 3.7) and (10.0; 8.5 & 7.5 individuals /leaf) at the three concentrations tested for Crater and Ashook, respectively. The corresponding reduction rates were (95,78,93,6; 92.40,91.4 &83.32,84.7%) and (72.24,73.02; 66.53,65.5& 55.25,59.3%) for the two compounds during two seasons, respectively. However, the mean number of T. urtica movable stage was slightly increased from the 5th day after spraying to reach (5.0,7.0; 9.3,8.5 & 8.8,10.0) and (11,5.12.4; 11.66,11.9 &12.5,13.5 individuals /leaf) to the 7th day followed the treatment for the two control agents, at the three concentrations, respectively. On country, reduction rates of T. urtica movable stage were decreased and mounted (73.26,69.6; 61.60,60.3 &52.17,53.3%) and (51.45,53.6; 47.63,44.3 &36.2,38.9%) for the treatments, respectively. The general mean reduction of T. urtica was (87.88,86.6; 81.52,80.01& 71.62, 73.3%) without significant difference between the three concentrations and (61.32,63.1; 55.79. 55.37&47.07,51.38) with a significant difference between the third and the first &second concentrations for the two pesticides, respectively. The general mean numbers of movable stage of T. urtica were (2,37,3,45; 4,7,4,77 &

#### Abdel Wahab, Horia A. et al.

5.47,6.37) and  $(9.7,11.025;\ 10.44,10.65\&11.03,12.0\ individuals\ /leaf)$  during 2007 and 2008 seasons,( in Tables 3and 4).

Data presented indicated that, the mean number of *B.obovatus* individuals decreased at the first day followed the treatment to reach (18.33,15.00 &126.00) and (51.10, 67.10&95.10) individual/plant, for Crater and Ashook at the three concentrations, respectively, (in Tables 5 and 6).

The corresponding reduction rates were (87.49, 86.86 &45.89%) and (63.11, 45.13 & 38.59%) for the two compounds, respectively. The reduction percentages reached the highest rate at the third day after the treatment to reach (81.33, 70.39 & 73.95 %) at the three concentrations, respectively for Crater. It recorded (68.43, 62.45 & 67.93) for Ashook at (3.7, 1.8 and 0.9 ml) concentrations, respectively. The reduction rate of mite individuals decreased at the 5<sup>th</sup> day to reach (75.35, 58.88 &69.22%) for crater While, it recorded (60.90, 30.59, 35.88) for Ashook at the three concentrations, respectively.

The reduction rate at the 7<sup>th</sup> day for crater recorded (70.16,72.86; 47.39,45.77 & 69.12,67.2%) at the three concentrations, during two seasons respectively. The corresponding mean number at the 7<sup>th</sup> day after treatment for crater at the three concentrations were (95.83,90.4; 131.66,130 &157.66,129) individual/ plant, during two seasons respectively. Data also indicated that, the reduction percentages of mite individuals decreased at 7<sup>th</sup> day after application than the5<sup>th</sup> day to reach (34.90,40.8; 25.13,26.02& 22.98,25.64%) for Ashook at the three concentrations, during two seasons respectively.

The general mean number of mite individuals recorded (58.35,58.75; 74.58,73.5&133.16,110.6) and (108.35,119.25; 124.73,124.6& 135.28,149.37) for the two compounds, at the three concentrations, during two seasons respectively.

The general mean of reductions were (78.58,79.5; 65.88,66.5 & 64.54,63.8) and (56.83,58.2; 42.5744.2 & 41.34,42.1%) with a significant difference between the first and second concentrations and the first and third concentrations of Crater. from these results. Crater was more toxic against mites' individuals than Ashook. during two seasons these results were agreement with Brown and Jesser (1981), Putter *et al.* (1981) and Baranowski (1996).

	ason.	T		C	ays after	rtreatme	nt	Numbe	r/leaf	Reduction (%)	
Compound	Concentra- tion	Reduction	Pre-count	1 <sup>st</sup> day		5 <sup>th</sup> day	7 <sup>th</sup> day	General Mean	L.S.D.	General Mean	
	0.6	Mean No./leaf	10.50	1.00	0.90	2.60	5.00	2.37a		87.88a	
		R. %		94.75	95.78	87.73	73.26			07.00a	
Crater	0.3	Mean No./leaf	13.60	2.40	2.10	5.00	9.30	4.70a	4.17	81.52a	
i		R. %		90.27	92.40	81.79	61.60		}	01.52a	
•	0.1	Mean No./leaf	10.33	5.50	3.50	4.10	8.80	5.47a		71.62a	71.620
		R. %		70.65	83.32	80.34	52.17			7 1.02a	
	3.7	Mean No./leaf	13.30	10.83	7.50	9.00	11.50	9.70a		61.32a	
	<u></u>	R. %		55.11	72.24	66.48	51.45	]	}	01.32a	
Ashook	1.7	Mean No./leaf	12.50	11.83	8.50	9.80	11.66	10.44a	2.46	55.79a	
		R. %		47.83	66.53	61.16	47.63	1		33.18a	
	0.9	Mean No./leaf	11.00	11.33	10.00	10.30	12.50	11.03a		47.07	
		R. %		43.22	55.25	53.61	36.20	1		47.07b	
Con	trol (Mean No./		15.16	27.50	30.80	30.60	27.00	28.97	1		

The means with the same letters at the same column for the same compound are not significantly different at 0.05% level.

Table (4): The mean numbers of movable stages of *T. urticael*leaf infesting marigold plants before and after application of two different compounds and their corresponding reduction percentages during 2008 season.

	Concentra-	ľ	Days after treatment					Numbe	er/leaf	Reduction (%)
Compound	tion	Reduction	Pre-count	1 <sup>st</sup> day	3 <sup>rd</sup> day	5 <sup>th</sup> day	7 <sup>th</sup> day	General Mean	L.S.D.	General Mean
	0.6	Mean No./leaf	12.50	2.00	1.8	3.0	7.0	3.45a		86.6a
		R. %		91.4	93.6	89.5	69.6			
Crater	0.3	Mean No./leaf	11.60	2.80	2.5	5.3	8.5	4.77a	5.33	80.01a
		R. %		87.9	91.4	80.01	60.3		1	
	0.1	Mean No./leaf	11.6	6.0	4.0	5.5	10.0	6.37a		73.3a
		R. %		72.14	84.7	79.3	53.3			
	3.7	Mean No./leaf	14.5	11.0	8.8	11.9	12.4	11.025a		63.1a
		R. %		59.2	73.02	64.1	53.6	1	}	
Ashook	1.7	Mean No./leaf	11.60	11.2	9.0	10.5	11.9	10.65a	3.9	55.37a
		R. %		48.0	65.5	60.4	44.3		1	ļ
	0.9	Mean No./leaf	12.00	11.5	11.0	12.0	13.5	12.0a		51.38b
		R. %		48.1	59.3	56.3	38.9	<u> </u>		
Cont	trol (Mean No./I	eaf)	14.0	26.00	31.50	32.00	25.80	28.8		

The means with the same letters at the same column for the same compound are not significantly different at 0.05% level.

	Concentra-			D	ays after	treatme	nt	Number	/plant	Reduction (%)
Compou	tion	Reduction	Pre-count	1 <sup>st</sup> day	3 <sup>rd</sup> day	5 <sup>th</sup> day	7 <sup>th</sup> day	General Mean	L.S.D.	General Mean
	0.6	Mean No./plant	180.30	18.33	52.60	66.66	95.83	58.35b		78.58b
		R. %		87.49	81.33	75.35	70.16			
Crater	0.3	Mean No./plant	140.50	15.00	65.00	86.66	131.66	74.58b	56.09	65.88a
		R. %		86.86	70.39	58.88	47.39	1		
	0.1	Mean No./plant	286.60	126.00	116.66	132.33	157.66	133.16a		64.54a
		R. %		45.89	73.95	69.22	69.12		1	04.544
	3.7	Mean No./plant	170.50	51.10	84.10	100.00	198.20	108.35a	_	56.83a
	L	R. %		63.11	68.43	60.90	34.90	1		
Ashook	1.8	Mean No./plant	150.50	67.10	88.30	142.33	201.21	124.73a		42.57a
		R. %		45.13	62.45	37.58	25.13			
	0.9	Mean No./plant	190.60	95.10	95.50	183.33	262.31	135.28a		41.34a
		R. %	I.	38.59	67.93	35.88	22.98	]		41.34a
Contro	ol (Mean No./plar	nt)	266.66	216.66	416.66	400.00	475.00	377.08		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

The means with the same letters at the same column for the same compound are not significantly different at 0.05% level.

ſ		Concentra-	1	Days after treatment						/plant	Reduction (%)
	Compou	tion	Reduction	Pre-count	1 <sup>st</sup> day	3 <sup>rd</sup> day	5 <sup>th</sup> day	7 <sup>th</sup> day	General Mean	L.S.D.	General Mean
		0.6	Mean No./plant	185.5	19.5	55.0	70.5	90.4	58.75b		70.505
١			R. %		87.44	80.97	76.8	72.86			79.50b
	Crater	0.3	Mean No./plant	133.50	16	57	91.0	130.0	73.50b	55.3	00.5-
-		İ	R. %	<del></del>	85.69	72,6	58.4	45.77			66.5a
		0.1	Mean No./plant	226.00	101.0	91.5	121.0	129.0	110.6a		
			R. %	ļ	46.67	74.01	67.33	67.2			63.8a
		3.7	Mean No./plant	190.50	59	93.0	123.5	201.5	119.25a		50.0-
			R. %		63.04	68.67	60.45	40.8	7		58.2a
	Ashook	1.8	Mean No./plant	149.8	64.5	89.0	146.0	199.0	124.6a	111.4	
			R. %		48.6	61.8	40.5	26.02	-	] .	44.2a
		0.9	Mean No./plant	176.0	90.0	92.0	180.5	235.0	149.37a		42.1a
		L	R. %		38.97	66.45	37.43	25.64			74.10
į	Control	(Mean No./plar	nt)	250.6	210.0	390.5	410.8	451.00	365.57	]	

The means with the same letters at the same column for the same compound are not significantly different

## REFERENCES

- Abbott, W. S. (1925): A method of computing the effectiveness of an insecticide. J. Econ. Ent., 18: 265-267.
- Abd-El-Rahman, S.I. (1996): Studies on some Tetranychid mites. Ph.D. Thesis, Fac. Agric. Cairo, Univ., 296 PP.
- Anupam,T. and B.N. Putatunda (2003): Mites associated with some ornamental plants at Hisar, Hayana. Pest manag. and Econ. Zool. 11(1): 37-44.
- Baranowski, T. (1996): Laboratory evaluation of toxicity of Abamectin to some pests. Roczniki Akademii Rolniczeg Poznaniu Ogrodnic two, 24: 5 11.
- Brown, R.D. and M.L. Jesser (1981): Citrus red mite control in Riverside Insectic and Acaric Tests, 6: 44.
- Dybas, R.A. and A.St.J. Green (1984): Avermectin: their chemistry and pesticidal activity. British Crop Protection Conference, Pests and dis. 3: 947 954.
- Estebanes, G.M.L. and N.S. Rodriguez (1991): Observations on some mites of the families Tetranychidae, Eriophyidae, Acaridae and Tarsonemidae, (Acari), in horticultural crops from Mexico. Folia. Ent. Mexicana., 83: 199 212.
- Finney, D. J. (1952): Probit analysis (second Edition) Cambridge univ. press, London, pp 1-661.
- Henderson, C.F. and W.A.Tiliton (1955): Test with acaricides against the wheat mite.J. of Econ. Ent.49:157-161.
- Putter, I.; J.G. Macconelly; F.A. Preiser; A.A. Haidri; S.S. Ristich and R.A. Dybas (1981): Avermectin novel insecticides, acaricides and nematicides from a soil microorganism. Experientia, 37: 963 964.
- Rezk, H.A. (2001): The false spider mite *Brevipalpus obovatus* Donnadieu (Acari: Tenuipalpidea): host related biology, seasonal abundance and control. Acarol., 291-294.
- Siegler, E. H. (1947): Leaf disc technique for laboratory tests of acaricides. J. Econ. Ent., 40: 441-442.
- Wright, D.J.; A. Loy; A.St.J. Green and R.A. Dybas (1985): The translaminar activity of Abamectin (MK 936) against mites and aphids. Med. Fac. I, and bouww. Ri jksuniv. Gent., 50 (2): 595 601.

تقييم بعض المركبات ضد بعض الافات الثاقبة الماصة على بعض النباتات الطبية والعطرية

حورية على عبد الوهاب ، حسن حسن شلبى و نيفين فوزى معوض معهد بحوث وقاية النباتات – مركز البحوث الزراعية – الدقى – الجيزة

أجريت تجربتان أحدهما فى معمل معهد بحوث وقاية النباتات بالدقى على بعض المركبات الطبيعيه (كرتر وبايوكا وأشك)ضد أكاروس العنكبوت الاحمر وأكاروس المسوالح الكاذب فسى محافظة دمياط خلال السنتين ٢٠٠٨، ٢٠٠٧

أظهرت الدراسة أنّ مبيد الكراتر كانُ أكثر المركبات تاثيرا حيث أعطى نسبة خفض١٠٠% عنـــد الثلاث تركيزات الاولى ١٠٠ و ٢٠٠ و ٢٠٠ مل/لتر و ٧٥ % عند ٢٠٠٠ مل/لتر

يلية بيوكًا بنسبة خفض ٢٠,٦٠، ٢٠,٠٠ و ٢٠,٦٠ %عنــد تركيــزات١ ، ٥,٠ ، ٥٠,٠٠ و ١٠,٠٠ ملاتر على التوالى وأخيرا مبيد أشوك بنسبة خفض٢٦ (٣٧ و ٣٣ % عند تركيــزات ٣٠,٧ (١٠ و ٥٠,٠٠ مل/لتر على التوالى على الاكاروس العنكبوت الاحمر بعــد ٢٤ ســاعة مــن المعاملة .

وأن مبيد كراتر كان أكثر المركبات تأثيرا حيث أعطى نسبة خفض٠٠٠ % عند التركيزات ٣٠٠ و ٢٠٠ ماالتر على التوالى و٣٠٣ و ٢٠٠ % عند التركيزات ١٠٠ و ٥٠٠٠ ماالتر على التوالى يلية بيوكا بنسبة خفض ١٠٠ ' ٩٩ ' ٩٩,٣٣ و ٢٦,٦٦ % عند تركيزات ١،٠٠ ، ٥٠، ، ١٠٠ و ١٠٠٠ ماالتر على التوالى وأخيرا مبيد أشوك بنسبة خفض ٢٠٠٩ ' ٢٥،٦ ، ٣٦٣ و ٣٣,٣ % عند تركيزات ٣٠،٢ ' ١٠،٧ ' و ٤٠٠ ماالتر على التوالى على الاكاروس الموالح الكاذب بعد ٢٤ ساعة من المعاملة في المعمل.

وأن مبيد الكراتر أعطى أعلى نسبة خفض حيث كانت نسبة متوسـط الخفـض عمومـــا ٨٧,٨٨ ، ٢.٦٨و ٨١,٥١ ، ٨٠,١٨ و ٧٣,٣٠ ، ٣٣,٣٠ عند تركيزات ٨٠,١، ، ، ، ، ، ، ملالتـــر على التوالى على الاكاروس العنكبوت الاحمر على نبات الاقحوان خلال السنتين ٢٠٠٧و مردد في الحقل.

بينما كانت نسبة متوسط الخفض لمبيد أشوك ٦٦,١،٣١ و ٦٢,٥ ، ٥٠,٧٥ و بينما كانت نسبة متوسط الخفض لمبيد أشوك ٦١,٣٢ ، ١١,٣١ و ٥٠,٣٨ ، ١٠٠٧ و ١٠٠٨ مل التر على التوالي على العنكبوت الاحمر على نبسات الاقحوان خلال السنتين ٢٠٠٧ و ٢٠٠٨ في الحقل .

وأن مبيد الكراتر أعطى أعلى نسبة خفض حيث كانت نسبة متوسط الخفض عموما ٧٩,٥، ٧٨,٥٨ و ٧٩,٥، ٧٨,٥٨ و ٧٩,٥، ٧٨,٥٨ هي عند تركيد زات ٢٠٠١ و ١٠،٠ و ١٠٠١ ملاتر على التوالي على اكاروس الموالح الكاذب على نبات النعناع خلال السنتين ٢٠٠٧و ٢٠٠٨ في الحقل.

بينما كان متوسط نسبة الخفض لمبيــد اشــوك ٦٠,٨٣٥ ، ٨٥,٢ و ٤٢,٥٠ ، ٤١,٣٤. ٤١,٣٤ ، ٤٢,١ % عند تركيزات ٣,٧ ،٧، ١ و ٠,٩ ملاتر على التوالى على اكاروس المـــوالح الكاذب على نبات النعناع خلال السنتين ٢٠٠٧ و ٢٠٠٨ في الحقل.