

Detection of Mycoplasma infection in cows with pneumonic lesions by conventional and PCR method and measuring the antigenic profile of the isolated mycoplasma

Sahar, E. Ouda; Zeinab, R. Mohamed and Mona, M. Shaker

Animal Health Research Institute, Mycoplasma Department, Dokki, Giza.

Received: 07/10/2009

Accepted: 03/11/2009

SUMMARY

Three different laboratory diagnostic tests were used for rapid and accurate diagnosis and typing of different Mycoplasma strains causing respiratory diseases in cows. A total of hundred lung samples from cows, with pneumonic lesions were collected from El-Basateen abattoir, and examined for the presence of Mycoplasma, by using isolation, polymerase chain reaction (PCR) and measuring the protein profile of the different isolates using (SDS- PAGE). The results indicted that Mycoplasma bovis showed the highest percentage among other mycoplasma isolates (*M. arginin* and *M.bovirhinis* and untyped strains). The result reported that the PCR was more rapid, sensitive and specific for accurate detection of Mycoplasma infection in comparison with culture method that was more specific, most difficult and laborious of time

and effort. The presence of specific protein bands at 112, 96, 65, 50, 41, 30, and 17 KDa in *M.bovis* isolates was characteristic. Slight differences in the expression levels of some proteins could be detected.

INTRODUCTION

Mycoplasma can cause a variety of clinical and pathological signs in cattle, *M.mycoids* subsp.*mycoids* SC is the causative agent of contagious bovine pleruropneumonia. or associated with bovine respiratory tract as normal flora or as pathogens (e.g., *M.bovirhinis*, *M.bovoculi*, *M.arginini* and *M.bovigenitalium*) while, *M.bovis* causes bovine pneumonia, mastitis, abscesses, infectious keratoconjunctivitis and genital tract diseases(Alberto et, al.2006).

Mihai, et, al.(2006) mentioned that,

M.bovis has the ability to persist and to develop chronic pneumonia in the face of an immune response and prolonged antibiotic therapy, because it has the ability to undergo antigenic variation by phenotypic alteration of the immunodominant surface lipoproteins and modulates the host immune response, also it can adhere to, invade and survive in the epithelial and inflammatory cells.

Membrane surface proteins play a fundamental role in the pathogenesis of mycoplasmas; and the attachment of mycoplasmas to host cells is mediated by cytoadhesins (Razin et al., 1998). The minute mycoplasmas possess an impressive capability of maintaining a surface architecture that is antigenically and functionally placed the mycoplasmas in the group of bacterial pathogens and parasites distinguished by remarkable antigenic variability (Rasmussen et al., 1992 ; Robertson and Meyer, 1992). The proteins of Mycoplasma cells of various species produce highly reproducible and species-specific electrophoretic patterns in polyacrylamide gels. These electrophoretic patterns can be used for the rapid identification and classification of Mycoplasma (Razin and Rottem, 1967). Advances in polymerase chain reaction (PCR) technology have greatly improved the detection of mycoplasmas (Nicholas, 2002). The aim of this study was to apply the rapid specific and sensitive methods

to diagnose and type the Mycoplasma infection causing pneumonia in cows.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Mycoplasma studies

I-Samples: A total of hundred lung samples from cows, with pneumonic lesions were collected from El-Basateen abattoir from September 2008 till August 2009 and prepared according to Razin, (1978). The prepared samples were coded and stored at -20°C till examination, for isolation and identification of Mycoplasma

II-Mycoplasma isolation and identification:

1- Liquid and solid media were used for the isolation and propagation of mycoplasma was prepared as described by Sabry and Ahmed (1975).

2-Digitonin sensitivity test was done for the obtained isolates according to Erno and Stipkovits (1973) to differentiate between Mycoplasma and Acholeplasma species.

3-Biochemical characterization was carried out by glucose fermentation and arginine deamination tests and film and spot formation test as described by Erno and Stipkovits (1973)..

4-Serological identification was conducted by growth inhibition test as described by Clyde (1964). Standard antisera - locally prepared- were kindly supplied by Chief Researcher Dr. Nabil Al-Zeftawi, Mycoplasma Department,

Animal Health Research Institute, Dokki, Giza Governorate, Egypt.

III-- Detection of *Mycoplasma* using Polymerase chain reaction (PCR):

a) Preparation of samples for DNA extraction (Yleana et al., 1995): 5ml of a 24 hour broth cultures of samples were centrifuged for 10 minutes at 12000 r.p.m. The pellet was washed twice in 1 ml of phosphate buffered saline pH 7.2 (PBS) and suspended in 50 µl PBS. The cell suspension was heated directly at 100°C for 10 min. in a heat block to break the cell membranes, and then cooled on ice for 5 min. Finally, the cell suspension was centrifuged for 5 min. and the supernatant containing chromosomal DNA was collected and stored at -20°C until used.

b) Primers used for detection of *M.bovis* (Yleana et al., 1995): Two oligonucleotide primers were selected for the detection of *M.bovis*. The sequence of primer (1) was: 5'- CCT TTT AGA TTG GGA TAG CGG ATG- 3'. The sequence of primer (2) was: 5'- CCG TCA AGG TAG CGT CAT TTC CTA C-3'. the primer was prepared by Sigma.

c) Procedure for DNA amplification (Yleana et al., 1995): PCR amplification was performed in 50 µl reaction mixture consisting of 5 µl of 50 ng from isolated mycoplasma genomic DNA, 10 µl of 10 x Taq buffer (10mM tris- HCl [pH 8.8], 50 mM KCl), 1 µl of 50 pM of each primer, 1.5 mM MgCl₂, 1 µl of 2U of Taq thermosTable DNA polymerase,

1 µl of 50 uM of each dNTP, and 31µl of DNase- RNase- free, deionized water. DNA amplification was carried out in PTC-100 programmable thermal controller (MJ, Research Inc.). The thermal profiles were as follows: Denaturation at 94 oC for 45 seconds, primer annealing at 60oC for 1 min., and extension at 72oC for 2 min.the amplifications were performed for 30 or 35 cycles with a final extention step at 72oC for 3 min. After the reaction, the amplified DNA was electrophoresed on 1.5% agarose gel for 90 min. at 100 volts, DNA Ladders: 100 bp (Pharmacia), Cat. No. 27-4001- 01, USA was added then stained with ethidium bromide. After electrophoresis, the gel was visualized by UV transillumination and photographed. Image analysis was made by ImageQuantTL-V2003.03 (Amersham Biosciences).

d) Primers used for detection of *M.arginini* (Van Kuppeveld et. al,1994) oligonucleotide primers were selected for the detection of *M.arginini*. The sequence of primer (1) was: 5'-GGAGCAAACAGGATTAGATACCCT- 3'. The sequence of primer (2) was: 5'-GCACCATCTGTCACTCTGTAAACCTC- 3'. the primer was prepared by Sigma.

e) Procedure for DNA amplification according to (Van Kuppeveld et. al,1994)

III-Sodium Dodecyl Sulphate - Polyacrylamide Gel Electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE): Cells were harvested from aliquots (100 ml) of late log phase of cultures by

centrifugation at 12000 RPM for 30 min at 4°C. Cell pellets were washed three times in 0.1 M Phosphate Buffer Saline (PBS) pH 7.2 before carrying out protein estimation by Bradford (1976). Samples were boiled for 5 min in sample buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl [pH 6.8], 2% SDS, 10% glycerol, 2% β-mercaptoethanol, 0.1% bromophenol blue) and proteins separated on 10% acrylamide gels by SDS-PAGE applying 3 V/cm for 2 h using Hoefer Scientific Instrument. Gels were stained by 1% Coomassie brilliant blue R and destained with mixture of, 45% methanol and 10% acetic acid (Laemmli, 1970). SDS-PAGE Molecular Weight standards, Low range (catalogue number 161-0304, BIORAD) was used as marker. Image analysis was made by

image QuantTL-V2003 software (Amersham Bioscience).

RESULTS

Results of Mycoplasma diagnosis

Distinct Mycoplasma colonies were observed in culture seeded with pneumonic lungs. The recovery rate of Mycoplasma from pneumonic lungs of cows was 26% (26/100). Twenty four isolates 92.86% (24/26) were Mycoplasma (digitonin sensitive), two isolates 7.14% (2/26) were Acholeplasma (digitonin resistant). Mycoplasma were further typed biochemically and serologically as shown in table (2).

Table (1): Total recovery rate of Mycoplasma from the examined samples and digitonin sensitivity test of the obtained isolates

SAMPLE	RECOVERY RATE			DIGITONIN SENSITIVITY				
				Sensitive <i>MYCOPLASMA</i>			Resistant <i>ACHOLEPLASMA</i>	
	Exam.	No. +	% +	Exam	No. +	%	No. -	%
Lung tissues from Cows	100	26	26%	26	24	92.86%	2	7.14%

Table (2): Biochemical characterization and serological typing of the obtained Mycoplasma isolates


SAMPLE	NO. EXAMINED	BIOCHEMICAL TYPING			SEROLOGICAL TYPING
		G	A	F & S	
Lung tissue of cows	24	-ve	+ve	-ve	6 <i>M. arginini</i>
		+ve	-ve	-ve	2 <i>M.bovirhinis</i>
		-ve	-ve	+ve	10 <i>M.bovis</i> and 6 Un typed strain

G = glucose fermentation (the change of the color from red to yellow or orange is considered positive)
 A= arginine hydrolysis (the change of color from red to pink is considered positive)
 F&S= film and spot formation (the observation of pearly area clearing around area of growth is considered positive).

PCR analysis was performed on the lung samples using primers specific for *M.bovis* and primers specific for *M.arginini* with appropriate positive PCR control. Ten samples gave a specific band at 360 bp which


is characteristic for *M.bovis*; all other samples gave negative results. Other six samples gave a specific band at 280 bp which is characteristic for *M.arginini* (Figs. 1 and 2).

Fig. (1): Electrophoretic analysis of PCR products of *M bovis* reference strain and the field isolates.



1-100 bp DNA ladder
 2-5 Field isolate gives specific band at 360bp
 6-*M.bovis* reference strain gives specific band at 360bp

Fig. (2): Electrophoretic analysis of PCR products of *M.arginini* reference strain and the field isolates.




Table(3): The protein and antigen profiles of 10 isolates of *Mycoplasma bovis* were compared to the reference *M.bovis* strain by sodium dodecyl sulfate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis

Lane 1	Lane 2	Lane 3	Lane 4	Lane 5	Lane 6	Lane 7	Lane 8	Lane 9	Lane 10	Lane 11	Lane 12	
MW (kd)	MW (kd)	MW (kd)	MW (kd)	MW (kd)	MW (kd)	MW (kd)	MW (kd)	MW (kd)	MW (kd)	MW (kd)	MW (kd)	
2	112.16	116.45	108.37	116.85	116.85	120.07	113.7	114.09	115.66	112.16	118.05	94
3	108.37	111.39	103.27	112.16	110.63	114.09	112.16	111.39	112.16	107.63	112.54	67
4	103.27	109.12	95.66	107.26	70.1	109.12	108.75	109.5	108.37	102.55	107.26	43
5	96.33	94.67	90.76	96	63.92	104.34	103.62	96	105.43	95.66	104.34	30
6	89.18	88.87	85.17	89.18	47.18	96	96.67	87.62	96.67	88.24	99.4	21.1
7	78.45	86.69	77.89	77.61	42.67	88.55	88.24	79.3	79.87	78.45	87.62	14.4
8	73.78	78.73	70.36	71.66	36.42	74.85	65.17	75.13	76.22	71.66	76.5	
9	70.88	70.1	65.36	66.34	33.12	71.66	80.16	65.6	67.32	65.12	71.66	
10	67.07	66.09	59.07	55.79	30.72	65.85	75.95	59.97	56.65	60.2	66.34	
11	59.74	62.5	55.16	53.07	29.1	54.31	70.88	54.73	51.25	54.31	62.27	
12	56.44	56.01	50.45	48.13	26.89	50.45	65.12	51.25	45.88	51.25	59.74	
13	49.47	50.26	46.99	43.54	22.25	44.97	60.42	44.97	40.78	44.79	57.74	
14	47.56	47.18	43.72	41.12	20.29	40.62	55.37	41.29	38.64	41.12	52.05	
15	44.07	42.84	38.48	36.58	18.43	37.36	51.25	38.16	35.35	37.52	48.32	
16	40.28	40.45	37.2	34.6	16.76	33.71	45.15	34.15	32.4	34.3	41.63	
17	37.68	37.2	34.15	30.86		31.27	41.8	31.98	29.1	31.55	37.68	
18	34.6	34.3	32.69	27.79		28.05	37.84	28.57	22.59	28.44	35.65	
19	31.98	32.26	31	26.14		24.21	34.3	21.04	21.37	25.77	34	
20	28.7	31	27.92	22.36		22.36	31.84	17.63	18.23	22.03	32.55	
21	26.14	28.05	26.89	21.15		20.82	28.31	16.38	17.05	20.82	30.31	
22	23.62	22.7	24.8	18.73		18.33	22.03			17.93	28.31	
23	22.36	19.04	22.82	17.44		17.14	20.93			16.76	26.27	
24	19.66	17.93	21.15				18.23				24.21	
25	18.33		18.93				16.86				22.03	
26	17.63		17.63								21.15	
27											18.03	
28											16.95	


Lane 1 to 10 demonstrate the protein profile of the isolates.
 Lane 11 demonstrates the protein profile of the reference *M.bovis* strain.
 Lane 12 SDS-PAGE Molecular Weight standards, Low range

SDS PAGE analysis of *M. bovis* reference strain have revealed 28 protein bands ranged from 118.05-16.95 KDa, while, field isolates of *M. bovis* from cow gave 16- 26 bands ranged from 120.07 – 17.63 KDa .Cow field isolates of *M.bovis* mostly share with


reference strain in the protein profile at 112, 96, 65, 50, 41, 30, and 17 KDa , while isolate no. five showed some differences it had smaller number of bands only 16 bands and lake band at 50KDa.




Lane 1




Lane 2




Lane 3




Lane 4




Lane 5




lane 6




Lane7




Lane 8




Lane 9



Lane10



Lane11 control positive M.bovis strain



Lane 12 The Molecular weight marker




Fig. (3) Comparison of the protein analysis of *M. bovis* reference strain (lane 11) and *M. bovis* field isolates (lane1-10) showing the similarity in most bands using SDSPAGE

DISCUSSION

The need for a rapid and sensitive detection method for efficient determination of Mycoplasma infection in cattle have developed several PCR assays that based on the 16S rRNA. *M.bovis* is a major and often overlooked cause of bovine pneumonia, mastitis and arthritis and has also been reported to cause diseases of the genital tract, abscesses and meningitis (Alberto et. al, 2006). Ten isolates were digitonin positive and proven to be *M. bovis* by biochemical and serological techniques. These results were confirmed by genetic detection using the PCR technique which has the advantage of the ease of use, rapid availability of results, standardization and is more suitable for processing large number of specimens (Foddai et al., 2005). Our results (Fig. 1) revealed the presence of *M.bovis* specific band at 360 bp which agreed with the results reported by Yleana et al., (1995). The genome detection of *M. arginini* using conventional PCR revealed a common band between field isolates of cow at 280bp and the reference strain. As described by Van Kuppeveld et, al.(1994). The electrophoresis profile of *M. bovis* field isolates is almost similar to those of reference strains with few exceptions that

reflect the genetic identity of mycoplasma. Similar results have reported by Sachse et al. (1992) and Eissa et,al.(1994) and El Shater et ,al (1999). It was noted in this study that *M. bovis* reference strain and field isolates have the immunodominant protein P40 (adhesin protein) which is responsible for the close contact between mycoplasma and the target cell membrane (Razin and Jacobs, 1992). Fleury et al. (2002) stated that P40 was expressed as a protein with an apparent molecular mass of 37 KDa on sodium dodecyl sulfate-acrylamide gels by all *M. agalactiae* strains.

In conclusion, *M.bovis* was the main causative agents for pneumonia followed by *M.arginini*. There was a similar genetic and antigenic relatedness between *M.bovis* isolates as the genetic detection by PCR were expressed as proteins which appear by SDS-PAGE. *Mycoplasma bovis* strains from cattle came to slaughter at El-Basateen abattoir showed remarkable homogeneity with some exception that characterize each strain. Further studies involving more strains from different known localities are suggested to have more data on the genetic relatedness between strains and to facilitate epidemiological monitoring and control of the infections.

REFERENCES

- Alberto,A.;MariaF.A.;Bernardo,C.;Tiziana,C.Margherita,P.;Sergio,R.;Angelo,R.;Marco, P. (2006) : Molecular and antigenic characterization of a *Mycoplasma bovis* strain causing an outbreak of infectious keratoconjunctivitis. *J Vet. Diagn. Invest.*18:41-51
- Bradford, M. M. (1976): A rapid and sensitive method for the quantitation of microgram quantities of protein utilizing the principle of protein-dye binding. *Anal. Biochem.*, 72:248-254.
- Clyde, W.A. (1964): "Mycoplasma species identification based upon growth inhibition by specific antisera." *J. Immunol.*, 92: 958-965.
- Erno, H. and Stipkovits, L., (1973): Bovine mycoplasmas , cultural and biochemical studies. *Acta. Vet. Scand.*, 14: 450-463.
- Eissa ,S.I Zaitoune,A.M.; El-Shater,SA. And Al-Zeftawwi, N.M. (1994a): Comparative study between reference and field strains of *M.bovis* and *M.bovigenitalium* by SDS_ PAGE. *Vet. Assuit. Med.J.*,31:251-257
- El-Shater,SA.A.;Eissa ,S.I.; Dardeer, M.A.A.; El-Shabiny, L.M.T.(1999): Antigenic aariability among mycoplasma isolated from cattle.Buffaloes and camels by SDS - PAGE and Immunoblot. 5th Sci.Cong.,Egyptian Society for cattle Diseases,28-30nove.1999,Assiut, Egypt
- Fleury, B.; Bergonier, D.; Berthelot, X.; Peterhans, E.; Frey, J. and Vilei, E. M. (2002): Characterization of P40, a Cytadhesin of *Mycoplasma agalactiae*. *Infection and Immunity.* 70 (10): 5612-5621.
- Foddai, A.; Idini, G.; Fusco, M.; Rosa, N.; de la Fe, C.; Zinellu, S.; Corona, L. and Tola,S.(2005):"Rapid differential diagnosis of *Mycoplasma agalactiae* and *Mycoplasma bovis* based on a multiplex-PCR and a PCR-RELP" *Mol.Cell probes*,19(3);207-212.
- Laemmli, U.K. (1970): Cleavage of structural proteins during the assembly of the head of bacteriophage T4. *Nature (Lond.)*, 227: 680-685.
- Mihai I.G., Kenneth G. B. Tony V. D., Beverly J.M. , Susy C.,Marie A. Rachel A.S., Jeff L.C. (2006) : Diseases and pathogens associated with mortality in Ontario beef feedlots *J.Vet Diagn Invest* 18:18-28.
- Nicholas, R.A.J. (2002): Improvements in the diagnosis and control of diseases of small ruminants caused by mycoplasmas. *Small Rum. Res.*, 45: 145-149.
- Rasmussen, O. F.; Shirvan, M. H.; Margalit, H.; Christiansen, C. and Rottem, S. (1992): Nucleotide sequence, organization, and characterization of the *atp* genes and the encoded subunits of *Mycoplasma gallisepticum* ATPase. *Biochem. J.*, 285:881-888.
- Razin,S.(1978):Methods in Mycoplasmology, *Mycoplasma characterization* Acad. Press New York.
- Razin, S. and Jacobs, E. (1992): *Mycoplasma* adhesion. *J. Gen. Microbiol.*, 138:407-422.
- Razin, S. and Rottem, S. (1967): Identification of *Mycoplasma* and other microorganisms by polyacrylamide - gel electrophoresis of cell proteins. *J Bacteriol.*, 94(6):1807-10.
- Razin, S.; Yogev, D. and Naot, Y. (1998): Molecular biology and pathogenicity of mycoplasmas. *Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev.*, 62:1094-1156.
- Robertson, B. D. and Meyer, T. F. (1992): Genetic variation in pathogenic bacteria. *Trends Genet.*, 8:422-427.
- Sabry, M. Z. and Ahmed, A. A. (1975): Evaluation of media and cultural procedure for the primary isolation of

Mycoplasma from female genitalia of farm animals. J. Egypt. Vet. Med. Assoc., 35: 18-36.

- Sachse, K.; Grajetzaki, C. ; Pftzner, H and Hass, R. (1992) : Comparison of mycoplasma bovis strains based on SDS-PAGE and immunoblot protein patterns. Zbl.Vet.Med.B.,93:246-252
- Van Kuppeveld, F.J.M. ;Johansson ,K.E.; Galama, J.M.D; Kissing,J.; Bolsk, G.;Van Der Logt, J.T.M.and Melchers, W.J.G.

(1994): Detection of Mycoplasma Contamination in cell culture by a Mycoplasma Group-specific PCR. Applied and environmental microbiology, Jan. p.149-152.

- Yleana, R.; Chavez G.;Carlos R. B.; Goran, B.; Jens G. M.; Carmen F.; Molina K.; Erik J. (1995): In vitro amplification of the 16 S r RNA genes from Mycoplasma bovis and Mycoplasma agalactiae by PCR. Vet. Microbiol., 47: 183-190.

تحديد عدوى الميكوبلازما بالطريقة التقليدية وبأختبار تفاعل أنزيم البلمرة المتسلسل في أبقار تعاني من أعراض رئوية وقياس الصورة البروتينية للميكوبلازما المعزولة

سحر السيد عودة - د. زينب رشدى محمد - د.منى محمد شاکر

قسم بحوث الميكوبلازما - معهد بحوث صحة الحيوان - الدقي

تم استخدام ثلاثة طرق تشخيصية مختلفة للتشخيص السريع الدقيق مع التصنيف للمعزولات التى تسبب أعراض تنفسية فى الأبقار. فى هذه الدراسة تم تجميع عدد 100 عينة من أبقار مصابة بأعراض تنفسية من مجزر البساتين لفحص وجود الميكوبلازما المسببة لهذه الأعراض. عن طريق عزل الميكروب و توضيح مدى ارتباط المعزولات من مصادر مختلفة عن طريق صورة البروتين وتفاعل أنزيم البلمرة العشوائي . وقد اوضحت الدراسة ان ميكوبلازما بوفيس اظهرت اعلى نسبة فى العزل بالمقارنة بالمعزولات الاخرى (ميكروب ميكوبلازما أرجيني و ميكروب ميكوبلازما بوفيرينسس، كما تم عزل ميكروبات ميكوبلازما غير مصنفة). وقد اثبتت الدراسة ان تفاعل أنزيم البلمرة العشوائي كان اكثر سرعة وحساسية وتخصص للتشخيص الدقيق للميكوبلازما بالمقارنة بطريقة العزل التى كانت اكثر تخصصا ولكن اكثر فى الصعوبة والمجهود والوقت. وايضا تم توضيح مدى ارتباط المعزولات من مصادر مختلفة عن طريق صورة البروتين. وكانت وجود الحزم البروتينية عند 96-112-65-50-41-30 و17 كيلودالتون مميزا للميكوبلازما بوفيس. وقد أظهرت العترات المفحوصة تجانسا ملحوظا بين عترات ميكوبلازما بوفيس مع وجود بعض الاختلافات فى المستوى التعبيري للبروتينات .