

EFFECT OF *NIGELLA SATIVA* OIL ON THE MICROBIAL QUALITY OF CHILLED CHICKEN FILLETS.

Abd El-Daym Rabie and Marzouk, N. M.

Food Hygiene Department, Animal Health Research Institute Dokki, Giza

ABSTRACT

The present study was conducted to evaluate the effect of addition of different concentration of *Nigella sativa* oil on microbial quality of chicken fillets during refrigeration for 2, 4, 7, and 10 days storage depending on bacteriological assessments. There is no effect on sensory properties (odour and appearance) of treated chicken meat fillets during storage as compared with control one. Bacteriological examinations recorded high initial bacterial counts, Most Probable Number of coliforms, *Staphylococcus aureus* and yeast & molds counts). During refrigeration period, there was increase in bacterial counts .

A series of five different oil concentrations were screened for their antibacterial and antifungal effects at 0.5, 1.0, 1.5, 2.0 and 2.5% (w /w)The results revealed that all oil percentages showed antibacterial activity against bacteria, yeast and molds on this assay. The oil at 2.0% concentration was more effective as compared to other concentrations on the bacterial count, coliforms count (MPN/gm), *Staphylococcus aureus* and yeast and molds counts.

Therefore, *Nigella sativa* oil may be used as an antimicrobial agent in food products to prevent spoilage

and may be used as preservative agent.

INTRODUCTION

The direction to use natural herbs and spices as decontaminants in poultry industry as well as in poultry products have large attention to avoid the human health hazards.

The seeds of *Nigella sativa* are used by the Egyptian public as carminative and flavoring agents in bread. An anti-asthmatic compound, nigellone, was isolated from the volatile oil of the seeds of *N. sativa* (Mahfouz, and El-Dakhakhny. 1960).

The seeds have been thoroughly studied scientifically in the last 3-4 decades and have been reported to possess a number of medicinal properties (Randhawa and Al-Ghamdi, 2002; Ali and Blunden, 2003). Their crude extracts and essential oil have been shown to possess antibacterial activity against several bacteria (Mouhajir and Pedersen, 1999; Halwani et al. 1999 and Ali et al. 2001).

Nigella sativa (family Ranunculaceae) is commonly known as black cumin or black seed. The seed or its oil is used as a carminative, diuretic, lactagogue and vermifuge (Akgul, 1989; Ali and Blunden, 2003). The dried seeds from black cumin are also used for sprinkling on bread or flavouring foods, especially bakery products and cheese (Ustum et al., 1990; Takruri and

Dameh, 1998). *Nigella sativa* seeds contain 36-38% fixed oils, proteins, alkaloids, saponin and 0.4-2.5% essential oil (**Ali and Blunden, 2003**).

The antioxidant, antibacterial and antifungal activities of spices and their derivatives have been investigated by some researchers (**De et al., 1999; Sagdic et al., 2002; Sagdic, 2003**). Many bioactive properties have been attributed to black cumin seed, fixed oil and/or essential oil, including antibacterial (**Akgul, 1989; Hanafy and Hatem, 1991; Farrag et al., 2000**), antifungal (**Akgul, 1989; Khan et al., 2003**) and antioxidant activities (**Burits and Bucar, 2000**).

Therefore, the present study has been made to investigate the antibacterial effect of *Nigella sativa* oil on the microbial quality of chilled chicken fillets; as well as to establish a traditional role for black cumin as preservative, antiseptic and disinfectant.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

1- Sampling:

Twenty five whole fresh broiler chicken fillets (each weight 500 g) were collected from chicken retail shops (poultry's shops) at Cairo and Giza Governorates and individually packed in polyethylene bags. The samples were transferred in an ice box to the laboratory.

2- Preparation of samples:

Samples were divided into five groups; first used as control and the others were mixed with different concentrations of *N. sativa* oil (w.w) (0.5, 1.0, 1.5, 2.0 and 2.5%) and stored at refrigerator (10°C). The

samples were stored and periodically examined at zero time, then at 2nd, 4th, 7th and 10th days.

3. Preparation of *N. sativa* oil (**Ozcan, 1998**).

One hundred grams of *N. sativa* seeds were ground in an omni mixer and extracted for 10 hours in a Soxhlet extractor with 500 ml n-hexan (Merck-Darmstadt, Germany) at 70°C. The fixed oils were pooled and concentrated in a rotary evaporator (Buchi Rotavapor-RE 111), and then kept in small (10 ml) sterile bottles under refrigerated conditions until use.

4- Examination of samples:

4.1. Organoleptic examination:

The samples were organoleptically examined for changes in colour appearance scores during storage according to method recommended by **Ogunbanwo and Okanlawon (2006)**. The treated chicken meat parts were judged by score a nine-point hedonic scale.

4.2 Bacteriological examination:

4.2.1. Preparation of sample (APHA, 1992).

Twenty five grams from each sample were aseptically placed in a sterile blender with 225 ml of peptone water (1%) and homogenized for two minutes then serial dilution were prepared in sterile peptone water (1%) then, subjected to the following examination:

4.2.2. Bacteriological examination:

4.2.2.1. Total colony count:

From each of the previously prepared serial dilution 0.1 ml was plated onto

EFFECT OF NIGELLA SATIVA OIL ON THE MICROBIAL QUALITY OF CHILLED CHICKEN FILLETS.

standard Plate Count agar in duplicate and incubated at 37°C for 28 hours, and at 10°C for 7 days for mesophilic and Psychrophilic count respectively according to the method recommended by **APHA, (1992)**.

4.2.2.2. Coliforms count (MPN/g):

Using methods recommended by **APHA, (1992)**.

4.2.2.3. *Staphylococcus aureus* count (Coagulase positive):

Staphylococcus aureus (coagulase positive) were enumerated on Baird Parker agar medium according to **APHA, (1992)**.

4.2.2.4. Yeast and mould count:

Enumeration of yeast and mould were performed on Sabaroud's dextrose agar medium supplemented with chloramphenicol 0.05mg/ml and incubated at 25°C for 5 days as described by **Koneman et al., (1994)**.

RESULTS

Table (1) Effect of different concentrations of *N. sativa* on the total bacterial counts at chilled storage

Conc. Time	Untreated sample (Control)	Treated samples with different conc. of <i>N. sativa</i> (w.w)				
		0.5%	1.0%	1.5%	2.0%	2.5%
		Mean±SE	Mean±SE	Mean±SE	Mean±SE	Mean±SE
0 day	4.6×10^5 $\pm 2.0 \times 10^3$	4.6×10^5 $\pm 2.0 \times 10^3$	4.6×10^5 $\pm 2.0 \times 10^3$	4.6×10^5 $\pm 2.0 \times 10^3$	4.5×10^5 $\pm 2.0 \times 10^3$	4.6×10^5 $\pm 2.0 \times 10^3$
2 days	4.8×10^5 $\pm 2.1 \times 10^3$	4.7×10^5 $\pm 2.0 \times 10^3$	4.7×10^5 $\pm 2.1 \times 10^3$	4.0×10^5 $\pm 1.9 \times 10^3$	3.2×10^5 $\pm 1.5 \times 10^3$	3.3×10^5 $\pm 1.4 \times 10^3$
4 days	5.2×10^5 $\pm 2.2 \times 10^3$	4.6×10^5 $\pm 2.0 \times 10^3$	4.2×10^5 $\pm 1.8 \times 10^3$	4.0×10^5 $\pm 1.6 \times 10^3$	3.0×10^4 $\pm 2.0 \times 10^3$	2.8×10^4 $\pm 2.0 \times 10^3$
7 days	6.8×10^5 $\pm 3 \times 10^3$	6.8×10^5 $\pm 3.3 \times 10^3$	6.4×10^5 $\pm 4.1 \times 10^3$	6.2×10^5 $\pm 2.1 \times 10^3$	4.2×10^5 $\pm 3.2 \times 10^3$	5.8×10^4 $\pm 1.5 \times 10^3$
10 days	6.6×10^6 $\pm 2.2 \times 10^4$	6.4×10^6 $\pm 2.4 \times 10^4$	6.2×10^6 $\pm 3.0 \times 10^4$	6.1×10^6 $\pm 2.5 \times 10^4$	6.0×10^6 $\pm 2.6 \times 10^4$	6.0×10^6 $\pm 2.3 \times 10^4$

Table (2) Effect of different concentrations of *N. sativa* on the total coliforms (MPN) count at chilled storage

Conc. Time	Untreated sample (Control)	Treated samples with different conc. of <i>N. sativa</i> (w.w)				
		0.5%	1.0%	1.5%	2.0%	2.5%
		Mean±SE	Mean±SE	Mean±SE	Mean±SE	Mean±SE
0 day	2.9×10^4 $\pm 1.1 \times 10^3$	2.6×10^4 $\pm 2.1 \times 10^3$	1.9×10^4 $\pm 2.0 \times 10^3$	2.0×10^4 $\pm 2.0 \times 10^3$	2.0×10^4 $\pm 2.0 \times 10^3$	2.1×10^4 $\pm 2.0 \times 10^3$
2 days	3.2×10^4 $\pm 2.1 \times 10^3$	3.3×10^4 $\pm 2.0 \times 10^3$	3.5×10^4 $\pm 2.1 \times 10^3$	3.0×10^4 $\pm 1.9 \times 10^3$	2.2×10^4 $\pm 1.5 \times 10^3$	2.2×10^4 $\pm 1.4 \times 10^3$
4 days	3.6×10^4 $\pm 2.2 \times 10^3$	3.8×10^5 $\pm 2.0 \times 10^3$	4.0×10^5 $\pm 1.8 \times 10^3$	4.0×10^5 $\pm 1.6 \times 10^3$	3.0×10^4 $\pm 2.0 \times 10^3$	3.1×10^4 $\pm 2.0 \times 10^3$
7 days	4.0×10^5 $\pm 3.0 \times 10^3$	4.0×10^5 $\pm 3.0 \times 10^3$	4.2×10^5 $\pm 4.1 \times 10^3$	3.8×10^5 $\pm 2.1 \times 10^3$	3.1×10^5 $\pm 3.2 \times 10^3$	3.2×10^4 $\pm 1.5 \times 10^3$
10 days	6.2×10^6 $\pm 2.0 \times 10^4$	6.1×10^6 $\pm 2.2 \times 10^4$	6.1×10^6 $\pm 2.2 \times 10^4$	5.8×10^6 $\pm 2.0 \times 10^4$	5.4×10^6 $\pm 2.2 \times 10^4$	5.4×10^6 $\pm 2.2 \times 10^4$

Table (3) Effect of different concentrations of *N. sativa* on *S. aureus* count at chilled storage

Conc. Time	Untreated sample (Control)	Treated samples with different conc. of <i>N. sativa</i> (w.w)				
		0.5%	1.0%	1.5%	2.0%	2.5%
		Mean±SE	Mean±SE	Mean±SE	Mean±SE	Mean±SE
0 day	3.5×10^4 $\pm 10^3$	3.1×10^4 $\pm 2.1 \times 10^3$	2.8×10^4 $\pm 2.0 \times 10^3$	9.4×10^3 $\pm 2.0 \times 10^2$	3.3×10^3 $\pm 2.0 \times 10^2$	3.2×10^3 $\pm 2.0 \times 10^2$
2 days	3.5×10^4 $\pm 2.3 \times 10^3$	3.0×10^4 $\pm 10^3$	2.5×10^4 $\pm 1.1 \times 10^3$	2.0×10^4 $\pm 10^3$	1.4×10^3 $\pm 10^2$	1.2×10^3 $\pm 10^2$
4 days	3.8×10^4 $\pm 1.2 \times 10^3$	3.6×10^4 $\pm 1.3 \times 10^3$	3.1×10^4 $\pm 1.8 \times 10^3$	2.2×10^4 $\pm 1.2 \times 10^3$	2.1×10^3 $\pm 10^3$	2.4×10^3 $\pm 1.1 \times 10^2$
7 days	4.0×10^4 $\pm 1.2 \times 10^3$	3.8×10^4 $\pm 1.1 \times 10^3$	3.8×10^4 $\pm 1.1 \times 10^3$	3.2×10^4 $\pm 10^3$	2.3×10^3 $\pm 10^2$	2.3×10^3 $\pm 10^2$
10 days	4×10^5 $\pm 10^4$	4.1×10^5 $\pm 10^4$	3.8×10^5 $\pm 1.1 \times 10^4$	3.6×10^5 $\pm 10^4$	4.0×10^4 $\pm 10^3$	4.2×10^4 $\pm 1.1 \times 10^3$

Table (4) Effect of different concentration of *N. sativa* on the Yeast and mould count at chilled storage

Conc. Time	Untreated sample (Control)	Treated samples with different conc. of <i>N. sativa</i> (w.w)				
		0.5%	1.0%	1.5%	2.0%	2.5%
		Mean±SE	Mean±SE	Mean±SE	Mean±SE	Mean±SE
0 day	5.5×10^3 1.1×10^2	5.4×10^3 $\pm 2.1 \times 10^2$	5.4×10^3 $\pm 2.0 \times 10^2$	5.0×10^3 $\pm 2.0 \times 10^2$	5.1×10^3 $\pm 2.0 \times 10^2$	5.2×10^3 $\pm 2.0 \times 10^2$
2 days	5.6×10^3 $\pm 2.3 \times 10^2$	5.7×10^3 $\pm 10^2$	5.7×10^3 $\pm 1.1 \times 10^2$	5.0×10^3 2.2×10^2	4.8×10^3 2.4×10^2	4.8×10^3 3.1×10^2
4 days	5.8×10^4 $\pm 1.2 \times 10^3$	5.6×10^4 $\pm 1.1 \times 10^3$	5.5×10^4 $\pm 1.1 \times 10^3$	5.2×10^4 $\pm 10^3$	4.1×10^3 $\pm 10^2$	4.1×10^3 $\pm 10^2$
7 days	6.0×10^4 $\pm 1.5 \times 10^3$	5.8×10^4 $\pm 1.1 \times 10^3$	5.8×10^4 $\pm 1.2 \times 10^3$	5.2×10^4 $\pm 10^3$	4.3×10^3 $\pm 10^2$	2.3×10^3 $\pm 10^2$
10 days	1.2×10^5 $\pm 10^4$	1.2×10^5 $\pm 10^4$	1.0×10^5 $\pm 1.1 \times 10^4$	3.6×10^5 $\pm 10^4$	3.4×10^4 $\pm 10^3$	3.4×10^4 $\pm 1.1 \times 10^3$

DISCUSSION

There is no marked changes in each of odour and appearance of samples during chilled storage. This may be attributed to that the extraction of *Nigella sativa* is a volatile oil with slightly odour. However the storage time has a great effect on stability of odour.

The average initial bacterial count was $4.6 \times 10^5 \pm 2.0 \times 10^3$ cfu/g (0 day) Table (1). Fresh broilers fillets can be expected to have initial counts of 10^4 to 10^5 cfu/g (Brune and Cunningham, 1971).

The present results fell within this range and were nearly similar to that obtained by Bailey et al., (2000) and Patsias et al., (2006). In this study total bacterial count reached $6.6 \times 10^6 \pm 2.2 \times 10^4$ cfu, $6.8 \times 10^5 \pm 3 \times 10^3$, $5.2 \times 10^5 \pm 2.2 \times 10^3$ and $4.8 \times 10^5 \pm 2.1 \times 10^3$ on day

10th, 7th and 4th of chilled storage respectively and it considered as maximum acceptability limit for fresh poultry meat as defined by ICMSF (1986). In this respects Capita et al., (2001) and Patsias et al. (2005) showed that the initial microbial count was affected on shelf life of chilled poultry.

The antibacterial effects of the different concentration of *N. sativa* oil were nearly similar to each other but, the most effective concentration of the oil on the bacterial count were 2 and 2.5% at 4th day which reduce the counts; each constituting; $3.0 \times 10^4 \pm 2.0 \times 10^3$, $2.8 \times 10^4 \pm 2.0 \times 10^3$ cfu/g respectively. These results agreed with Ozcan et al., (2003) and Sagdic et al., (2002). In contrast, the obtained results were higher than those obtained by Umit et al., (2005) and Akgul, (1989) who found that 1% concentration was more effective.

Coliform bacteria usually gain access to chicken meat during evisceration, as they constitute part of the normal intestinal flora of poultry (**Notermans et al., 1980**).

Mean value of coliform (MPN/g) were relatively constant during storage chilled storage. The growth took place at reasonable rate, especially at the 4th day of storage. The chilled samples reached the unacceptable limit of coliforms count during storage after 2 day. Nearly similar results were obtained by **Russell, (1997)** and **Bailey et al., (2000)**. The most effective concentration of *N. sativa* oil on the coliforms count (MPN/g) was 2% at day 4th which was $3.0 \times 10^4 \pm 2.0 \times 10^3$ (Table 2). However **Farag et al., (2000)** found that the oil of *N. sativa* had an inhibitory effect against Gram negative bacteria.

S. aureus is important in relation to poultry meat hygiene because of its ability to produce enterotoxins which may cause food poisoning in human beings. Staphylococcal food poisoning is one of the major cause of food borne illness throughout the world. (**Waldroup, 1996; Jablonski and Bohach, 1997**).

The initial *S. aureus* counts obtained in this study was $3.5 \times 10^4 \pm 10^3$ cfu/gm and began to increase at day 7th ($4.0 \times 10^4 \pm 1.2 \times 10^3$) and reach its maximum at day 10th ($4 \times 10^5 \pm 10^4$). The most effective concentration of *N. sativa* oil on *S. aureus* count was 2% at day 7th which was $2.3 \times 10^3 \pm 10^2$, (Table 3). Similar results were obtained by **Umit et al., (2005)**.

The average initial yeast and mould counts were ($5.5 \times 10^3 \pm 1.1 \times 10^2$ cfu). The mean values of yeast count showed an increase in samples stored

chilling till 4th day while, it were highly increased during at day 10th. These finding was higher than those obtained by **Gardner and Golan (1976)** and **Izat et al., (1989)**. Nearly similar levels were obtained by **Viljoen et al., (1998)**. The most effective concentration of *Nigella sativa* oil on yeast and mould count was 2.5% at day 7th which was $2.3 \times 10^3 \pm 10^2$, (Table 4). Similar results were obtained by **De et al., (1999)**.

De et al. (1999), Khan et al. (2003) and **Al-Jabre et al., (2003)** reported that the extract from *Nigella sativa* seeds had antifungal activity against *Aspergillus parasiticus*, *Candida albicans* and *Aspergillus niger*, respectively.

Many components of black cumin were characterized by **Burits and Bucar (2000)** using GC-MS, but the major ones were thymoquinone, p-cymene and carvacrol. All of these compounds had antibacterial effect (**Ali and Blunden, 2003**). Hence, the antibacterial effects of our samples may be closely related to their high percentage of these compounds.

In conclusion, antibacterial activities of the *Nigella sativa* oil against food spoilage is an important finding. Therefore, the oil of *Nigella sativa* may be used in food as a preservative.

REFERENCES

- Akgul, A. (1989):** Antimicrobial activity of black cumin (*Nigella sativa*) essential oil. Gazi J. of Fac. of Pharmacol. 6, 63-68.
- Al-Jabre, S.; Al-Akloby, O. M.; Al-Qurashi, A. R.; Akhtar, N.; Al-Dossary A. and Randhawa, M. A. (2003):** Thymoquinone, an active principle of *Nigella sativa*,

inhibited *Aspergillus niger*. Pakistan J. Med. Res. 42, 11-17.

Ali, B. H. and Blunden, G. (2003): Pharmacological and toxicological properties of *Nigella sativa*. Phytotherapy Res. 17, 299–305.

Ali, N. A.; Julich, W. D.; Kusnick, C. and Lindequist, U. (2001): Screening of Yemeni medicinal plants for antibacterial and cytotoxic activities. J. Ethnopharmacol 74, 173-179.

APHA (American Public Health Association) (1992): Compendium of Methods for the Microbiological Examination of Foods. 3rd Ed. PAHA Technical committee on Microbiological Methods for Foods. Washington, D. C., USA.

Bailey, J. S.; Lyon, B. G.; Lyon, C. E. and Windham, W. R. (2000): The microbiological profile of chilled and frozen chicken. J. Food Prot. 63, 1228-1230.

Brune, E. M. and Cunningham, F. E. (1971): A review of microbiological aspects of poultry processing. World's Poultry Sci. J. 27: 223-240.

Burits, M. and Bucar, F. (2000): Antioxidant activity of *Nigella sativa* essential oil. Phytotherapy Res., 14, 323–328.

Capita, R.; Alonso-Calleja, C.; Garcia-Fernandez, M. C. and Moreno, B. (2001): Microbiological quality of retail poultry carcasses in Spain. Food Prot. 64, 1961-1966.

De, M.; De, A. K. and Banerjee, A. B. (1999): Antimicrobial screening of some Indian Spices. Phytotherapy Res. 13, 616–618.

Farrag, H.A.; El-Bazza, Z. E. M.; El-Fouly, M. E. D. and El-Tablawy, S. Y.

M. (2000): Effect of gamma radiation on the bacterial flora of *Nigella sativa* seeds and its oil constituents. Acta Pharmacol., 50, 195-207.

Gardner, F. A. and Golan, F. A. (1976): Environmental protection technology series. EPA-600/2-76-340, p. 338-355. In Proceeding of the 7th National Symposium on Food Processing Wastes. Environmental Protection Agency, Cincinnati, Ohio.

Halwani, R.; Habbal, M. Z. and Abdelnoor, A. M. (1999): The antibacterial effect of some constituents of oil. Arab J. of Pharmaceutical Sci., 1, 87-96.

Hanafy, M. S. M and Hatem, M. E. (1991): Studies on the antimicrobial activity of (black cumin). J. Ethnopharmacol., 34, 275-278.

ICMSF, (1986): International Commission on Microbiological Specification for Foods. In: Microorganisms in Foods Sampling for Microbiological Analysis. Principle and Scientific Applications, Vol2, 2nd ed. Univ. Of Toronto Press, Tronto, pp. 181-196.

Izat, A. L.; Colberg, M.; Diggers, C. D. and Thomas, R. A. (1989): Effect of sampling method and feed withdrawal period on recovery of microorganisms from poultry carcasses. J. Food Prot. 52: 480-483.

Jablonski, L. M. and Bohach, G. A. (1997): *Staphylococcus aureus*. P. 353-375. In Doyle, L. R. Beuchat. Fundamentals and Frontiers. American Society for Microbiology, Washington. D. C.

Khan, M. A. U.; Ashfaq, M. K.; Zuberi, H. S.; Mahmood, M. S. and Gilani, A. H. (2003): The in vivo

antifungal activity of the aqueous extract from *Nigella sativa* seeds. *Phytotherapy Res.* 17, 183–186.

Koneman, E.; Allen, S.; Janda, M.; Schreckenberger, P. and Winn, W. (1994): Diagnostic Microbiology. 6th Ed. JB Lippincott, Philadelphia.

Mahfouz, M., and El-Dakhkhny, M. (1960): Some chemical and pharmacological properties of the new antihistaminic drug "Nigellone." *Egypt. Pharmaceut. Bull.* 42, 411-424.

Mouhajir, F. and Pedersen, J. A. (1999): Antimicrobial thymohydroquinones of Moroccan *Nigella sativa* seeds detected by electron spin resonance. *Pharmaceutical Biol.* 37, 391-395.

Notermans, S.; Terbijhe, R. J.; Van Schothorst, M. (1980): Removing faecal contamination of broiler by spray-cleaning during evisceration. *British Poultry Sci.* 21, 115-121.

Ogunbanwo, S. T. and Okanlawon, B. M. (2006): Microbial and sensory changes during the cold storage of chicken meat treated with Bacteriocin from *L. Bervis* OG!. *Pakistan. J. Nutr.* 5, 601-605.

Ozcan, M. (1998): Inhibition of pathogenic bacteria by essential oil at different concentration. *Food Sci. Technol. Inter.*, 9, 85-88.

Ozcan, G.; Sagdic, O. and Ozcan, M. (2003): Inhibition of pathogenic bacteria by essential oils at different concentrations. *Food Sci. Technol. Inter.* 9, 85-88.

Patsias, A.; Chouliara, I.; Paleogos, A. ; Savvaidis, I. N. and Kontominas, M. G. (2005): Relation of biogenic amines to microbial and sensory changes of precooked chicken meat

stored aerobically and under modified atmosphere packaging at 4°C. *J. Food Prot.* 68, 2032-2036.

Patsias, A.; Chouliara, I.; Badeka, A.; Savvaidis, I. N. and Kontominas, M. G. (2006): Shelf-life precooked chicken product stored in air and under modified atmosphere: microbiological, chemical and sensory attributes. *Food Microbiol.* 23, 23-429.

Randhawa, M. A and Al- Ghamdi, M. J. (2002): A review of pharmacotherapeutic effects of *Nigella sativa*. *Pak J. of Med. Res.*, 41, 77-83.

Russell, S. M. (1997): A rapid method for predicting the potential shelf-life of fresh broiler chicken carcasses. *J. Food. Prot.* 60, 148152.

Sagdic, O. (2003): Sensitivity of four pathogenic bacteria to Turkish thyme and oregano hydrosols. *Food Sci. Technol. - LWT* 36, 467-473.

Sagdic, O.; Kuscu, A.; Ozcan, M. and Ozcelik, S. (2002). Effects of Turkish spice extracts at various concentrations on the growth of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7. *Food Microbiol.* 19, 473-480.

Takruri, H. R. H. and Dameh, M. A. F. (1998): Study of the nutritional value of black cumin seeds (*Nigella sativa*). *J. Sci. Food Agric.*, 76, 404-410.

Umit, G.; Sagdic, O. and Arici, M. (2005): Antibacterial effect of Turkish black cumin (*N. sativa*) oils. *Grasas Y. Aceites*, 56, 259-262.

Ustum, G.; Kent, L.; Chekin, N. and Civelekoglu, H. (1990): Investigation of the technological properties of *Nigella sativa* (black cumin) seeds oil. *J. Assoc. Off. Anal. Chemists.*, 67, 958-960.

EFFECT OF NIGELLA SATIVA OIL ON THE MICROBIAL QUALITY OF
CHILLED CHICKEN FILLETS.

Viljoen, B. C.; Geornaras, I.
Lamprecht, A. and Von Holy, A.
(1998): Yeast populations associated
with processed poultry. Food.
Microbiol. 15: 113-117.

Waldroup, A. L. (1996):
Contamination of raw poultry with
pathogens. World Poult. Sci. J. 52, 7-
25, 84, 90, 93.

Received: December 29, 2009.

Accepted for Publ. : January 23, 2010.