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Nine faba bean lines were screened for resistance to chocolate spot, caused by Botrytis fabac, in vivo, using the detached leaf technique as well as under growth-chamber controlled conditions, and under field conditions during two seasons, i.e. 2006/2007 and 2007/2008, at Maryout Experimental Station.

There were a significant correlation between disease score index and diameter of lesions, using detached leaf assay. The disease progressed gradually by increasing the time of incubation. Genotype Aquadulce recorded the lowest lesion diameter during the tested time with average of 0.18 mm followed by line $\mathrm{L8}$ with 0.25 mm . Genotypes showed different degrees or disease severity using detached leaf assay. Aquadulce and L8 were resistant genotypes $G$ days after inoculation. Under growth chamber-controlled conditions, significant differences were detected among the nine faba bean genotypes against chocolate spot according to their Mass Disease Index (MDI). Most genotypes wre susceptible (s) to moderately susceptible (MS), and the Spain genotype Aquadulce was moderately resistant (MR) to chocolate spot.

Under field conditions, during the two seasons, 2006-2007 and 2007-2008, the tested genotypes showed different degrees of reaction to chocolate spot which ranged from resistant to moderately susceptible according to their MDI. The check variety Giza 461 and the newly bred line NBL2 scored the lowest MD1 (11.1) and classified as resistant, while the other genotypes were classified as moderately resistant to chocolate spot.

Aquaduice genotype recorded the highest values for $100 /$ seed weight ( 88.7 g .). Line NBL3 recorded the highest values of number of pods /plant while line $L 8$ was the lowest one. Among all genotypes, Line NBL4 exhibited the highest means for number of seeds/ pod (4.8) while line L3 recorded the maximum value for seed yield/plant ( 69.54 g ).

DNA analysis of the tested genotypes showed that there is a specific molecular marker limited with disease resistance by using the primer 841. ISSR - PCR of ight primers discriminated the genotypes tested and the SPSS dendrogram classified them into two main clusters.
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Faba bean is cultivated in more than 302,260 feddans of the total area cultivated with leguminous crops in Egypt. In spite of the high potential of this crop and the fact that cultural practices are very well mastered; yield is unstable from one year to another. This instability is attributed mainly to pests and diseases which greatly affect both yield and quality (Ibrahim and Nassib, 1979; and Tivoli et al., 1990) particularly chocolate spot caused by Botrytis fabae and B. cinerea which has been for a long time one of the major limiting factors for development of winter types. Epidemic of this disease can cause severe yield losses (up to $100 \%$ ), especially when favourable conditions prevail (Yi, 1986). However, fungicide application for control of fungal diseases has a public concern as a result of the hazard effect of fungicide on the environment (Dewaard et al., 1993), induced systemic resistance by prior treatment with simple chemical substances against chocolate spot has been succeeded (Aly, 1989). At present, although genetic resistance to these pathogens generally provides partial protection, the use of resistant cultivars remains the major means to reduce yield losses (Tivoli et al., 1992; Rahaem et al., 2002 and Said et al., 2004)

Biotechnology has been used as a tool to increase field crop productivity in the context of sustainable agricuiture (Tecson, 2002). Molecular markers have been used for studying genetic diversity, genotypic identification and for marker assisted selection of major crops such as wheat, barley, canola and faba bean. Moreover, molecular markers such as RAPD and ISSR have recently shown excellent potentiality to assist selection (Afiah et al., 2007a; Afiah et al., 2008 and Torres et al., 2010). The use of molecular markers can increase the efficiency of conventional plant breeding by identifying markers linked to the trait of interest that are difficult to evaluate and/or largely affected by the environment (Stuber, 1992; Semagn et al., 2006 and Khalifallah et al., 2004). So, there is a need to develop a rapid screening method to select the cultivars for chocolate spot resistance.

Tight linkage between molecular markers and genes for disease resistance can be of great benefit to breeding program by allowing the investigator to follow the DNA markers (PCR-based markers) through early generations rather than waiting for phenotypic expression of the resistance genes (Reddy et al., 2002).

The objectives of the present work were to evaluate nine faba bean genotypes for resistance to chocolate spot caused by Botrytis fabae; identify new sources of resistance to chocolate spot; to find the most tolerant genotypes under natural infection conditions and to obtain reliable molecular genetic markers for chocolate spor.

## Materials and Methods

The piriogen isolate:
Virulent isolate of B. fabae, obtained from collections of Plant Protect. Dept., Desert Res. Centre (Ismail, 2004), was used in this study. The fungus was maintained on PDA, transferred to faba bean leaf dextrose agar (FDA) medium (Tivoli $\cdot:$ al., 1986) and incubated at $20 \pm 2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in a cycle of 12 h darkness a:d 12 h near ultraviolet light to induce sporulation. After 14 days of growth, the spore suspension was prepared in sterile distilled water and adjusted to $10^{5}$ spore/ml.

## Evaluation of faba bean genotypers for chocolate spot resistance:

a) Detached leaf tec.trnique:

Leaves of nine faba bean genotypes (Table 1) were cut from 4 week-old plants, surface sterilized by soaking in $2 \%$ sodium hypochlorite solution for 3 min, rinsed twice in distilled water and dried between filter paper before being placed abaxial surface uppermost in Petri dishes ( 15 cm in diameter) containing moistened filter paper. The cut ends of the petioles were wrapped with moisten tissue to prevent desiccation. Leaves were inoculated with $20 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ droplets of $B$. fabae ( $10^{5}$ spore $/ \mathrm{ml}$ ) and incubated at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with 12 h photoperiod provided by florescent Phillips cool white lamps. Lesions diameter were determined 24,48 and 72 h . from inoculation as well as disease severity was measured 1,2 and 6 days after inoculation using a $1-4$ scale according to. Hanounik (1986):

1. Highly resistant: No infection or very small flecks ( $1-25 \%$ necrosis).
2. Resistant: Necrotic flecks with few small lesions ( $\mathbf{~} \mathbf{2 5 - 5 0 \%}$ necrosis) and very poor sporulation.
3. Moderately resistant: Medium coalesced lesions ( $>50-75 \%$ necrosis) with intermediate sporulation.
4. Susceptible: Large coalesced lesions ( $>75-100 \%$ necrosis) with abundant sporulation.

Table 1. Names, pedigree and origin of the parental genotypes

| Genotype name | Pedigree | Origin |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| G461 | G3/1LB938 | Egypt |
| L3 | A2/1LB1179 | ICARDA |
| NBL1 ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | (A2/LLB1179)(LB3879)04SEL-1 | Egypt |
| NBL2 | (A2/1L B1179)(1LB3879)04SEL-2 | Egypt |
| 1, BL3 | G461//A2/LEB1179 | Egypt |
| NBL4 | G716//A2/LLB1179 ${ }^{6}$ | Egypt |
| Aquadulce | LLB 1266, kindly obtained from Darwish, I.H. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | Spain |
| L8 | LB3879 | Canada |
| Nubariya-1 | An individual plant selection from Rina Blanka | Egypt |

a. ICARDA: Intemational Centre for Agriculural Research in the Dry Areas.
b. NBL: Newly bred lines produced through Desert Research Centre Breeding Progrram. (Afiah and Abdel-Aziz, 2003 and Afiah et̨ al., 2007a).
c. G716:G461//842/83 $\times 50 / 455 / 83$.
d. Agron. Dept., Fac. Agric., Shebin El-Kom, Menufiya University.
b) Growth -chamber conditions technique:

The nine faba bean genotypes were tested for chocolate spot resistance under greenhouse in arder to confirm the results obtained in the field. 20 cm . diameter plastic pots, filled with sandy soil were used. Five plants were grown in each pot and four pots werc used for each genotype. The experiment was carried out with a complete randomized design. Inoculation was done by spraying the fungal spore suspension ( $10^{5}$ spore $/ \mathrm{ml}$ ) on the foliage with a high-volume sprayer on 4-week-old plants. The plants were then covered with plastic sheets for 48 h . to insure a high level of humidity and kept in the growth room at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with 12 h . photoperiod provided by florescent Phillips Cool white lamps.

## Disease assessment:

Disease severity was recorded 2 weeks after inoculation for chocolate spot symptoms caused by Botrytis fabae on the foliage using a 0-9 scale according to Ding et al. (1993). The mass disease index (MDI) of genotypes in the field and greenhouse was determined (Ding et al., 1993). MDI was calculated according to the following formula:

$$
M D I=\{[(n 1-0)+(n 2-1)+(n 3-3)+(n 4-5)+(n 5-7)+(n 6-9)] / N-9\}-100
$$

Whereas: $0,1,3,5,7$ and 9 are the disease severity levels on the leaves; $n i$ : the number of plants having the same infection level; $N$ : the total number of plants.

The response of the genotypes was expressed as the MDI values. Six resistance levels were used:

- HR (highly resistant), MDI= ranging between 0 and 2.0
- $R($ resistant $)=\mathrm{MDI}$ ranging between $>2.0-15.0$
- MR (moderately resistant), $=$ MDI ranging between $>15.0-40.0$
- 'MS (moderately susceptible), $=$ MDI ranging between $>40.0-60.0$
- S (susceptible), =MDI ranging between $>60.0-80.0$
- HS (highly susceptible), =MDI ranging between $>80.0-100$
c) Field experiments:

Field experiments were conducted during two growing seasons, i.e. 2006/2007 and 2007/2008 under natural infection conditions at Maryout Experimental Station to evaluate the nine faba been genotypes for chocolate spot resistance. The selected field area has a back history of severe infection with chocolate spot.

Randomized completely block design with four replications was used. The experimental unit consisted of five rows for each genotype. Each row was 3.5 m in length. Row spacing and distance between plants on rows were 50 cm . and 25 cm , respectively. Disease severity was recorded for chocolate spot symptoms on the foliage after the flowering stage using a 0-9 scale according to Ding et al. (1993). Seed yield/plant and its components, i.e. number of pods/plant, number of branches/plant, number of seeds/pod, and 100 -seed weight were recorded for 10 plants of each genotype at harvest.

## Detection of Molecular Markers associated with resistance to chocolate spot:

## I. DNA preparation:

Genomic DNA from each genotype was isolated according to the method of Junhans and Metzlatt (1990).

## 2- ISSR-PCR analysis:

ISSR-PCR reactions were conducted according to Sharma et al.(1995) using eight primers, which were synthesized by Metabion Germany with the sequences shown in Table (2). The reaction conditions were optimized and mixtures were prepared ( $25 \mu \mathrm{l}$ tatal volumes) consisting of the following: $1.0 \mu \mathrm{l}$ dNTPs ( 10 mM ), $1 \mu!\mathrm{Taq}$ DNA polymerase ( $1 \mathrm{U} / 1 \mu \mathrm{l}$ ), $2.5 \mu \mathrm{I} 10 \mathrm{X}$ buffer, $3 \mu \mathrm{I} \mathrm{MgCl} 2(15 \mathrm{mM}$ ),

Table 2. List of ISSR primers; names and their nucleotide sequences

| Primer name | Sequence | Primer name | Sequence |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HB01 | (CAA) 5 | HB09 | $(\mathrm{GA}) 6 \mathrm{GG}$ |
| HB 02 | (CAG) 5 | 17899 A | $(\mathrm{CA}) 6 \mathrm{AG}$ |
| 814 | $(\mathrm{CT}) 8 \mathrm{TG}$ | 17899 B | $(\mathrm{CA}) 6 \mathrm{GG}$ |
| 17898 B | (CA)6GG | HB 12 | (CAC)3 GC |

$1.0 \mu \mathrm{l}$ Primer ( 10 mM ), $1.0 \mu \mathrm{l}$ Template DNA ( $50 \mathrm{ng} / \mu \mathrm{l}$ ) and $15.5 \mu \mathrm{H} \mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{O}$ up to $25 \mu \mathrm{l}$. Amplification was carried out in Stratgene Robocycler Gradient 96 which was programmed for 45 cycles as follows: Denaturation (one cycle) at $94^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 minutes, followed by 30 cycles: as follows $94^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 40 sec ., $44^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 45 sec., $72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 minute and 30 sec. and finally one cycle extension at $72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 20 minutes and $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (infinitive). Agarose Gel electrophoresis (1.2\%) was used for resolving the PCR amplification products. The nun was performed for one hour at $\mathbf{1 2 0}$ volt in Biometra submarine ( $40 \times 20 \mathrm{~cm}$ ). Bands were detected on UV-transilluminator and photographed by Biometra Bio Doc Analyze 2005.

## Statistical analysis:

Recorded data were subjected to statistical analysis using the analysis of variance described by Snedecor and Cochran (1982). Means were separated using Duncan's multiple range test (Duncan, 1995).

## Results and Discussion

Evaluation of faba bean genotypes to chocolate spot resistance,-using detached-leaf technique:

Using the detached leaf, the diameters of chocolate spot lesions (Table 3) were increased gradually with progressing the incubation period. Genotype Aquadulce recorded the lowest lesion diameter with the average of 0.18 mm followed by line L8 with 0.25 mm . While genotype NBL4 recorded the bighest lesion diameter, being 0.58 mm .

Faba bean genotypes showed different degrees of disease severity using detached leaf assay (Table 4) ranged from resistant to susceptible. Genotypes Aquaduice and L8 were (R) while the newly breed lines NBL1 and NBL2 were (MR) after 6 days from inoculation. It is worthy to mention that the susceptibility of most genotypes was increased by increasing the time exposed to the disease pressure under controlled conditions. This may be explained by the fact that older tissues are generally more susceptible to disease than younger ones (Deverall and Wood, 1961; Abou-Zaid, 1978). Moreover, detached-leal within practically complete dominant systems, dominant alleles facilitate fungal penetration and inducing a hypersensitive response within the leaf (Jennifer et al., 1979).

Table 3. Lesions diameter (mm) on detached-leaves of nine faba bean genotypes, artificially inceulated with R. fabae spores

| Geaotype | Lesion diameter (mm) after hours |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 24 | 48 | 72 | Mean |
| G461 | 0.38 | 0.56 | 0.70 | 0.55 |
| L3 | 0.39 | 0.53 | 0.63 | 0.52 |
| NBL1 | 0.16 | 0.36 | 0.48 | 0.33 |
| NBL2 | 0.20 | 0.44 | 0.58 | 0.41 |
| NBL3 | 0.34 | 0.56 | 0.64 | 0.51 |
| NBL4 | 0.47 | 0.54 | 0.74 | 0.58 |
| Aguadulce | 0.02 | 0.18 | 0.35 | 0.18 |
| L8 | 0.09 | 0.28 | 0.37 | 0.25 |
| Nubariya-1 | 0.05 | 0.41 | 0.44 | 0.30 |
| L.S.D. 0.05 | 0.16 | 0.15 | 0.23 | - |

Table 4. Chocolate spot reactions on detached-lenves of nime faba bean gemotypes (neder laboratory conditions)

| Genotype | Disease severity ${ }^{4}$ atter days |  | Reaction ${ }^{6}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 |  |  |
| G461 | 2.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | S |
| L3 | 2.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | S |
| NBL1 | 1.0 | 2.0 | 3.0 | MR |
| NBL2 | 1.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | MR |
| NBL3 | 2.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | S |
| NBLA | 3.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | S |
| Aquadulce | 1.0 | 1.0 | 2.0 | R |
| L8 | 1.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | R |
| Nubariya 1 | 1.0 | 2.0 | 4.0 | S |
| L.S.D. 0.05 | 0.01 | 0.0 | 0.87 | - |

$4=$ Disease severity scored as a 1-4 scale according to Hanounik (1986).
be Reaction: 1-Higthy resistant (HR), 2-Resistant (R), 3-Moderately resistant (MR) and 4- Susceptible (S)

## Evaluation of faba bean genotypes under growth-chamber conditions

Significamt differences were detected among the nine faba bean genotypes to chocolate spot according to their (MDI) under growth-chamber conditions (Table 5). However, most genotypes scored as $\mathbf{S}$ to MS for chocolate spot resistance and were significantly differed with the check genotype Giza 461 which was classified as HS one.

Table 5. Reaction of the nige faba bean genotypes to chocolate spot aceording to MDI values under growth-chamber conditions

| Genotype | MDI $^{2}$ | Reaction |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| G461 | 100.00 | HS |
| L3 | 55.56 | MS |
| NBL1 | 44.44 | MS |
| NBL2 | 52.22 | MS |
| NBL3 | 60.56 | S |
| NBL4 | 67.78 | S |
| Aquadulce | 32.78 | MR |
| L8 | 50.00 | MS |
| Nubariya-1 | 53.89 | MS |
| MEAN | 57.47 | - |
| L.S.D. 0.05 | 36.55 | - |

* MDI: Mass disease index on foliage, 7 days aftor inoculation.
${ }^{6}$ Resction: (MR) Moderately resistant, (MS) Moderwely susceptibie, (S) Susceptible and (HS) Highly susceptible.

It is valuable to state that the Spain genotype Aquaduice scored as MR to chocolate spot. These results are in the same line with those recorded for the detached leaf assay (Table 5).
Eyaluation of faba bean genotypes mnder field conditions:
Data presented in Table (6) show the means of MDI values of the nine genotypes grown during two winter seasons 2006/2007 and 2007/2008, in the field. Data are presented as means of two seasons bocause the reactions of all genotypes in both seasons were greatly similar. Data indicate that genotypes, L3, NBL1, NBL3, NBLA, Aquadulce, 18 and Nubariya-1 were classified as (MR) to chocolate spot. While, genotype Giza 461 and the newly bred line NBL2 scored the lowest (MDI) values and classified as ( $R$ ).

It is valuable to mention that the check genotype Giza 461 was recorded as susceptible and highly susceptible when it was evaluated using the detached leaf assay and under growth-chamber conditions, respectively. The susceptibility to chocolate spot shown under growth-chamber conditions by different genotypes than in the field may be due to that conditions in the growth-chamber were more favourable to the disease. Also, the tolerance shown by some genotypes in the field could be broken under certain conditions of temperature and light, which may make these genotypes susceptible (Tivoli et al., 1992; Rahaem et al., 2002 and Said et al., 2004). Moreover, a field trial mimics a natural infection and takes place more gradually and more slowly than under the controlled conditions of the green house, revealing more clearly the overall resistance of the plant, and the interaction of the pathogen with different plant organs at different stages of disease progression (Tivoli et al., 1986).

Table 6. Reaction of nine faba bean genotypes to chocolate spot according to MDI values, under field conditions

| Genotype | MDI | Reaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| G461 | 11.1 | R |
| L3 | 33.3 | MR |
| NBL1 | 25.9 | MR |
| NBL2 | 11.1 | R |
| NBL3 | 37.0 | MR |
| NBL4 | 37.0 | MR |
| Aquadulce | 37.0 | MR |
| L8 | 33.3 | MR |
| Nubariya-1 | 25.9 | MR |
| MEAN | 28.39 |  |
| L.S.D. 0.05 | 11.10 |  |

${ }^{2}$ MDI mass disease index on foliage after flowering.
${ }^{\text {b }}$ Reaction: (R) Resistant and (MR) Moderately resistant.

Coefficients of correlation between lesions diameter and disease severity on detached-leaves as well as MDI values recorded under growth-chamber and field experiments of the nine faba bean genotypes are indicated in Table (7). Data reveal that the disease severity values recorded under growth chamber conditions were significantly correlated with both lesions diameter and disease severity assessed on detached leaf. On the other hand, there was a poor correlation between disease severity recorded in the field and the most lesion diameter values as well as disease severity that determined either in the laboratory or in the growth-chamber.

Table 7. Coefficients of correlation between lesions diameter and disease severity on detached-leaves as well as MDI values recorded under growth-chamber and field experiments of the nine faba bean genotypes

| Treatment | L.D. |  |  | D.S. |  |  | MDI-1 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 24 h. | 48 h. | 72 h. | 1 day | 2 days | 6 days | 1 |
| L.D. -48 h. | $0.925^{* *}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| L.D. -72 h | $0.961^{* *}$ | $0.958^{* *}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| D.S.-1 day | $0.860^{* *}$ | $0.721^{*}$ | $0.785^{*}$ |  |  |  |  |
| D.S. -2 days | $0.885^{* *}$ | $0.853^{* *}$ | $0.838^{* *}$ | 0.654 |  |  |  |
| D.S.-6 days | $0.730^{*}$ | $0.747^{*}$ | $0.675^{*}$ | $0.703^{*}$ | $0.770^{*}$ |  |  |
| MDI-1 | $0.740^{*}$ | $0.799^{* *}$ | $0.773^{*}$ | 0.542 | 0.665 | $0.748^{*}$ |  |
| MDI-2 | -0.530 | $-0.745^{*}$ | -0.638 | -0.214 | -0.596 | -0.357 | -0.546 |

L.D. $=$ Lesion diameter, D.S. $=$ Disease severity, $\mathrm{MDI}-\mathrm{I}=$ Mass disease index of growth chamber experiment, $\mathbf{M D I}-2=$ Mass disease index of field experiment.

The differences between field and growth chamber tested leaves, or a possible interaction between genotype and environment may account for this lack of correlation (Harrison, 1981).

Data presented in Table (8) show the mean performance of yield and its attributes for the nine genotypes of faba bean during 2006/2007 and 2007/2008 seasons. The differences among genotypes for the studied growth parameters were highly significant except the No. of branches /plant which was not significant (Table 8). The results indicate that genotype Aquadulce recorded the highest values for $100 /$ seed weight ( 88.7 g ) as well as genotypes G461 and NBL1 ( 62.4 and 79.3 g 0 respectively). For the number of pods/piant Line NBL 3 recorded the highest values ( 43.3 pod) while line L8 recorded the lowest values ( 9.3 pod) and No. of branches /plant ( 3.7 branch).

Among all genotypes, Line NBLA exhibited the highest means for the No. of seeds/ pod ( 4.8 seed). On the other hand, Line L3 exhibited the highest seed yield/plant ( 69.54 g ), followed by Nubariya-1 ( 67.28 g ).

Table 8. Mean performance of yield and its attributes for aine genotypes of faba bean during 2006/2007 and 2007/2008 seasons

| Genotype | $100 / S e e d$ <br> weight (g.) | No of pods/ <br> plant | No. of Branches <br> / plant | No of seeds <br> /pod | Seed yield/ <br> Plant (g.) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| G461 | $62.4 \mathrm{~d} *$ | $26.0 \mathrm{~b}-\mathrm{d}$ | 5.3 a | $3.50 \mathrm{c}-\mathrm{e}$ | 44.00 de |
| L3 | 78.3 b | $16.5 \mathrm{c}-\mathrm{e}$ | 5.3 a | 4.17 b | 69.54 a |
| NBL1 | 79.3 b | $22.0 \mathrm{~b}-\mathrm{e}$ | 5.9 a | 3.83 bc | $48.44 \mathrm{c}-\mathrm{e}$ |
| NBL2 | 74.0 c | $19.3 \mathrm{~b}-\mathrm{c}$ | 5.3 a | 3.17 de | $48.07 \mathrm{c}-\mathrm{e}$ |
| NBL3 | 65.7 d | 43.3 a | 5.3 a | $3.67 \mathrm{~b}-\mathrm{d}$ | 55.74 bc |
| NBL4 | 72.1 c | 27.8 bc | 5.3 a | 4.77 a | 56.62 b |
| Aquadulce | 88.7 d | 12.8 de | 5.3 a | $3.50 \mathrm{c}-\mathrm{e}$ | 50.59 cd |
| L8 | 65.3 a | 9.3 e | 3.7 a | 3.00 e | 38.58 e |
| Nubariya-1 | 73.7 c | 31.1 ab | 5.1 a | 2.40 f | 67.28 ab |
| MEAN | 73.29 | 23.13 | 5.19 | 3.56 | 53.21 |

* Each figure represents the mean of two seasons.
** Values followed by the same letter ( $s$ ) are not significantly different according to Duncan's
- Multiple Range Test ( $\mathrm{P}=0.05$ ).


## ISSR polymorphism in nine faba bean genotypes

For ISSR analysis, DNAs of the nine faba bean genotypes were subjected to PCR against eight primers ( 17898 B, 17899 A, 17899 B, 814, HB01, HB02, HB09 and HB12) as described in Table (9) and Figure (1). A total of 104 amplicons (amplified fragments) were generated by the eight primers in which 79 of them were polymorphic ( $75.9 \%$ ). The number of amplicons per primer varied from eight (17898 A) to twenty one (HB01). The size of the amplified fragments ranged from 185 bp (AF12) to 2100 bp (AF52). High number of monomorphic amplicons (eight) was scored for HB12 primer (Table 10).

Table 9. ISSR polymorphism in nine faba bean genotypes tested using ISSRPCR with eight primers

| bp | Amplicon | Primer | NBL4 | L8 | L3 | NBL2 | Nubariyal | Aquadulce | G461 | NBL3 | NBL1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1745 | AF01 | 17898B | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 1345 | AF02 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 1200 | AF03 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 1083 | AF04 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 900 | AF05 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 686 | AF06 |  | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 621 | AF07 |  | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 556 | AF08 |  | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 539 | AF09 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 501 | AF10 |  | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0. | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 437 | AF11 |  | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 185 | AF12 |  | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1721 | AF13 | 17899A | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1055 | AF14 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 965 | AF15 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 741 | AF16 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 544 | AF17 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 476 | AF18 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 350 | AF19 |  | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 300 | AF20 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1734 | AF21 | 17899B | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1335 | AF22 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1111 | AF23 |  | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1056 | AF24 |  | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 945 | AF25 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 784 | AF26 |  | 0 | - 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 749 | AF27 |  | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 671 | AF28 |  | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 573 | AF29 |  |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 470 | AF30 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 453 | AF31 |  |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 270 | AF32 |  | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 2000 | AF33 | 814 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 1950 | AF34 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1900 | AF35 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1600 | AF36 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1550 | AF37 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 1300 | AF38 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1280 | AF39 |  | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 1090 | AF40 |  | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | I | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 948 | AF41 |  | 1. | , | 1 | 1 | 1 | . | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 845 | AF42 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 800 | AF43 |  | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | . | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 727 | AF44 |  | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 700 | AF45 |  | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 620 | AF46 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 510 | AF47 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 430 | AF48 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 |

Table 9. Continued:

| 20 | AF49 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 390 | AF50 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 350 | AF51 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 2100 | AF52 | HB01 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | J | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1650 | AF53 |  | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1480 | AF54 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1380 | AF55 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 1148 | AF56 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1103 | AF57 |  | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 995 | AF58 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 962 | AF59 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 891 | AF60 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 810 | AF61 |  | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 780 | AF62 |  | 1 | , | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 660 | AF63 |  | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 640 | AF64 |  | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 570 | AF65 |  | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 560 | AF66 |  | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 490 | AF67 |  | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1. | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 470 | AF68 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 430 | AF69 |  | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 405 | AF70 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 390 | AF71 |  | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | I | I | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 300 | AF72 |  | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1781 | AF73 | HB02 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1009 | AF74 |  | 1 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 891 | AF75 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 855 | AF76 |  | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 770 | AF77 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 694 | AF78 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 687 | AF79 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 572 | AF80 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 460 | AF81 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2100 | AF82 | HB09 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2000 | AF83 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1190 | AF84 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1044 | AF85 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1130 | AF86 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 971 | AF87 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 941 | AF88 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 840 | AF89 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 740 | AF90 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 660 | AF91 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 570 | AF92 |  | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 480 | AF93 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | a | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 390 | AF94 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 8 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1317 | AF95 | H812 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1071 | AF96 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 890 | AF97 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | $!$ | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 700 | AF98 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | $!$ | 1 | 1 | 1 |

Table 9. ISSR polymorphism in nine faba bean genotypes tested using ISSRPCR with eight primers

| bp | Amplicon | Primer | NBL4 | L8 | L3 | NBL2 | Nubariyal | Aquadutce | G461 | NBL 3 | NBL1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1745 | AF01 | 17898B | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 1345 | AF02 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 1200 | AF03 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 1083 | AF04 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 900 | AF05 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 686 | AF06 |  | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | F | 1 |
| 621 | AF07 |  | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 556 | AF08 |  | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 539, | AF09 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 园 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 501 | AF10 |  | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0. | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 437 | AF11 |  | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 185 | AF12 |  | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1721 | AF13 | 17899A | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1055 | AF14 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 965 | AF15 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 741 | AF16 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 544 | AF17 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 476 | AF18 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 350 | AF19 |  | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 300 | AF20 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1734 | AF21 | 17899B | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1335 | AF22 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1111 | AF23 |  | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1056 | AF24 |  | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 945 | AF25 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 784 | AF26 |  | 0 | . 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 749 | AF27 |  | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 671 | AF28 |  | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 573 | AF29 |  | 8 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 470 | AF30 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 453 | AF31 |  |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 270 | AF32 |  | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 2000 | AF33 | 814 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 1950 | AF34 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1900 | AF35 |  | 0 | 0 | , | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1600 | AF36 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1550 | AF37 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 1300 | AF38 |  | $1{ }^{1}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1280 | AF39 |  | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 1090 | AF40 |  | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | I | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 948 | AF41 |  | 1. | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | ! | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 845 | AF42 |  | 衰 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 800 | AF43 |  | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | ! | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 727 | AF44 |  | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 700 | AF45 |  | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 620 | AF46 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 510 | AF47 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 430 | AF48 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 0 |

Table 9. Continued:

| 20 | AF49 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 390 | AF50 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 350 | AF51 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 2100 | AF52 | HB01 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1650 | AF53 |  | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1480 | AF54 |  | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1380 | AF55 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 1148 | AF56 |  | I | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1103 | AF57 |  | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 995 | AF58 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 962 | AF59 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 891 | AF60 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 810 | AF61 |  | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 780 | AF62 |  | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 660 | AF63 |  | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 640 | AF64 |  | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 570 | AF65 |  | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 560 | AF66 |  | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 490 | AF67 |  | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1. | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 470 | AF68 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 430 | AF69 |  | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 405 | AF70 |  | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 390 | AF71 |  | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 300 | AF72 |  | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1781 | AF73 | HB02 | 1 | 13 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1009 | AF74 |  | 1 | 1. | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 891 | AF75 |  | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 855 | AF76 |  | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 770 | AF77 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 694 | AF78 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 687 | AF79 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 572 | AF80 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 460 | AF81 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2100 | AF82 | HB09 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2000 | AF83 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | F | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1190 | AF84 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1044 | AF85 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | I | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1130 | AF86 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 971 | AF87 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 941 | AF88 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 840 | AF89 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 740 | AF90 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 660 | AF91 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 570 | AF92 |  | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 480 | AF93 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 390 | AF94 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1317 | AF95 | HB12 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1071 | AF96 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 890 | AF97 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | $!$ | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 700 | AF98 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |

Table 9. Continued:

| 649 | AF99 | Pina | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 500 | AF100 | 1 | , | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 450 | AF101 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 380 | AF 102 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 300 | AF103 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 270 | AF104 | 0 | 1 | . | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |



Fig. 1. ISSR fingerprints of the nine faba bean genotypes using eight primers. Lane M: is the stal lard DNA marker. Lanes 1-9: are Genotypes NBL4, L8, L3, NBL2, Nubariya-I, Aquadulces, G461, NBL3 and NBL1.

Primer 17898 B produced 12 bands in which fragment sizes ranged from 1745 to $185 \mathrm{bp}, 12$ of which were polymorphic ( $100 \%$ polymorphism). Primer 17899A produced 8 bands in which fragments sizes ranged from 1721 to 300 bp and 3 of them were polymorphic. Primer 17899B produced 12 bands with fragment sizes ranged from 1734 to 270 bp . Primer 814 yieided 21 bands with the fragment sizes of 2000 to $350 \mathrm{bp}, 15$ of them were polymorphic. While, the two primers. HB01, and HB02 produced 21 and 9 bands with fragment sized 2100 to 300 bp and 1781 to 460 bp , respectively and primer HB09 produced 13 bands in which fragments sized ranged from 2100 to 390 bp ( $92.3 \%$ polymorphism). Primer HB12 produced 10 bands in which fragment sized ranged from 1370 to 270 bp , with lowest polymorphism (20.0\%) among all primers. A total of 32 for genotypic unique bands were identified out of the polymorphic among the primers under study as shown in Table (10).

Table 10. Amplification results of the eight ISSR primers in nine faba bean genotypes tested using ISSR-PCR ISSR polymorphism

| Primercode | TAF | PB | P\% | Genotype |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | NBIA |  | 18 |  | 13 |  | NBL2 |  | Nubariyn-1 |  | Aquadula |  | (9461 |  | NBL3 |  | NBL1 |  | SM |
|  |  |  |  | AF | SM | AF | SM | AF | SM | AF | SM | AF | SM | AF | SM | AF | SM | AF | SM | AF | SM |  |
| 178988 | 12 | 12 | 100.0 | 2 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 2 |
| 17899A | 8 | 3 | 37.5 | 6 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 |
| 17899 B | 12 | 9 | 75.0 | 6 | 3 | 8. | 0 | 7 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 8. | 0 | 6 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 3 |
| 814 | 19 | 15 | 78.9 | 10 | 4 | 8 | 2 | 9 | 1 | 8 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 8 |
| HB01 | 21 | 19 | 90.5 | 10 | 1 | 6 | 1 | 9 | 1 | 11 | 1 | 14 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 4 |
| HB02 | 9 | 7 | 77.8 | 6 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 7 | 1 | 5 |
| HB09 | 13 | 12 | 92.3 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 5 | 7 | 6 | 0 | 7 | 1 | 7 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 8 |
| HB12 | 10 | 2 | 20.0 | 8 | 2 | 10 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 2 |
| Total | 104 | 79 | - | 54 | 11 | 53 | 7 | 54 | 2 | 61 | 2 | 59 | 7 | 57 | 1 | 60 | 1 | 62 | 0 | 66 | 1 | 32 |

$\mathrm{TAF}=$ Total number of amplified fragments, $\mathrm{PB}=$ Polymorphic bands, $\mathrm{P} \%=$ polymorphism percentage, $\mathrm{AF}=$ Amplified fragments / genotype, $\mathrm{SM}=\mathrm{Genotype}$ - specific marker including either the presence or absence of a given band, TSM= Total number of specific markers.

The primer 17898B gave two positive markers (AF04 and AF09, respectively) for NBL2 and Aquadulce genotypes. The primer 17898B gave two negative bands (AF29 and AF31) and one positive unique band (AF30) for genotype NBLA. Primer 814 gave eight specific marker, four positive marker (AF34 AF36, AF38 and AF49, respectively) for line NBL4. While, the same primer gave one negative marker (AF41) and one positive marker (AF50) for genotype L8. Also, there were two positive markers (AF35 and AF48) for genotypes L3 and G461, respectively.

Meanwhile, primer HB01 gave four positive markers (AF54, AF56, AF60 and AF70) for genotypes L8, NBL4, L3, and NBL2, respectively. While, primer HB02 gave one positive marker (AF81) for genotype NBLA and two markers for genotype L8, one negative marker (AF73) and one positive marker (AF75) respectively, as well as one positive marker (AF78) for genotype NBL1. For primer HB09, genotype L8 has one positive marker (AF90) will genotype Nubariya-1 has four positive markers (AF82, AF83, AF85 and AF86) and three negative markers (AF83 AF93 and AF94). While primer HB12 gave only two negative markers (AF99 and AF104) for genotype NBL4.

It is worthy to note that G461 and NBL2 were classified as resistant genotypes to chocolate spot under the field conditions (Table 6). Such superiority in mass disease index over the nine genotypes tested is correlated with the appearance of AF33 specific band of the ISSR primer. Therefore, based on the hypothesis that genotype with overall resistance may represent interesting sources of resistance, thus, NBL2 genotype could be merited inclusion in breeding programs (Tivoli et al., 1992).

Based on ISSR marker polymorphisms, similarity matrix was developed by SPSS computer package (Table 11). The analysis was based on the number of markers that were differentiated between any given pair of genotypes.

Table 11. Similarity matrices for nine faba bean genotypes using eight primers based on ISSR analysis

| Genotype | NBL4 | L8 | L3 | NBL2 | Nubariya-1 | Aquadulce | G461 | NBL3 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| L8 | 0.776 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| L3 | 0.812 | 0.805 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NBL2 | 0.819 | 0.826 | 0.846 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nubariya-1 | 0.745 | 0.738 | 0.832 | 0.798 |  |  |  |  |
| Aquadulce | 0.819 | 0.839 | 0.872 | 0.878 | 0.890 |  |  |  |
| G461 | 0.798 | 0.846 | 0.878 | 0.884 | 0.832 | 0.896 |  |  |
| NBL3 | 0.776 | 0.852 | 0.832 | 0.865 | 0.783 | 0.852 | 0.896 |  |
| NBL1 | 0.761 | 0.826 | 0.805 | 0.852 | 0.812 | 0.852 | 0.896 | 0.969 |

The closest relationship was scored between the two genotypes; Line NBL1 and Line NBL3 followed by Nubariya-1 and Aquadulce (similarity of 0.969 and 0.890 , respectively). It is worthy to note that, the closely related lines NBL4 and NBL3 shared in their ancestor line ILB1179 as shown in Table (1). On the other hand, the most distant relationship was scored between the check variety Nubariya-1 and each of Line 8 and Line NBL4 (similarity of 0.738 and 0.745 ), respectively.

Except genotypes L8 and NBLA the dendrogram classified the other genotypes into two main clusters (Fig. 2). The first cluster was separated into two sub-clusters comprised 4 faba bean genotypes (NBL1, NBL3, G461 and NBL2), while the second sub-cluster, consisted of Nubariya 1, Aquadulce and L3.


Fig. 2. Dendrogram of the nine faba bean genotypes using eight primers based on ISSR analysis.

This concept has been advocated by several investigators who stated that molecular markers have several advantages over the traditional phenotypic markers that were previously available to plant geneticists. They offer great scope for improving the efficiency of conventional plant breeding by carrying out selection not directly on the trait of interest but on molecular marker linked to that trait (Afiah et al., 2007 b and Torres et al., 2010).

In summation, the results of this investigation provided some ISSR molecular markers associated either positively or negatively with faba bean genotypes productivity. They could be used to enhance breeding programs aimed to improve its disease tolerance by pyramiding genes controlling this polygenic character by the aid of marker-assisted selection. At least, the ISSR marker developed from this study can consequently be used in any further study to identify stress-tolerant genotypes in faba bean or any other field crop.
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الفظه تحليل نتلتع اللتخريد الجزينم للحامض اللنورى DNA اللتراكيب
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