# EFFECT OF DIETARY CALCIUM AND VITAMIN D<sub>3</sub> LEVELS ON EGG PRODUCTION AND EGG SHELL QUALITY OF HY-LINE BROWN - EGG TYPE LAYING HENS

By

#### A. Abd El-Maksoud

Anim. and Poult. Nutrition Dep. Desert Research Center. El-Matarey Cairo. Egypt Email:amaksoud2005@yahoo.com

.Received: 16/10/2010 Accepted:11/11/2010

**Abstract:** This experiment was conducted to study the effect of different levels of calcium and vitamin  $D_3$  on egg production, egg shell quality and some blood parameters of laying hens. A total number of 240 hens (Hy-Line Brown-egg type) from 24 to 40 weeks of age were randomly divided into sixteen experimental treatments; 15 hens each. Each treatment was subdivided into five replicates, (three hens each). The experimental design consisted of a 4 X 4 factorial arrangement with four levels of Ca (3.25, 3.50, 3.75 and 4.00 %) and four levels of vitamin D3 (2500, 3000, 3500 and 4000IU/kg diet). Results obtained indicate the following:

- 1 Increased dietary Ca levels up to 4% showed the highest insignificant value of egg production, egg weight and egg mass during the whole experimental period. However, hens fed 4% Ca showed significantly (P>0.01) the highest values of egg production and egg mass t86.73% and 56.69g) respectively from 36 to 40 wk of age. Moreover, hens fed diets containing vitamin D<sub>3</sub> at 3000 or 2500 IU /kg diet showed significantly(P<0.01) the highest values of egg production(76.55% and 84.61%) during 32 to 36 wk and 36 to 40 wk of age period, respectively. In addition, hens fed diets containing 4% Ca with 4000 vitamin D<sub>3</sub> IU /kg diet showed significantly (P<0.01) the highest value of egg mass (58.65g) during 36 to 40 wk of age period.
- 2 Hens fed diets containing 3.75,4.00,3.50 and 4.00% Ca recorded significantly (P<0.01) higher values of feed consumption during the period 24 to 28 wk, 28 to 32 wk, 32 to 36 wk and 36 to 40 wk of age, respectively compared with hens fed 3.25% dietary Ca level. The interactions between dietary levels of Ca and vitamin D<sub>3</sub> had significant (P<0.01) effect on feed consumption during the different interval periods and the whole experimental period.

- 3 Feed conversion was not significantly affected by dietary Ca levels during the different intervals and the whole experimental period, except the hens that fed 4% Ca which showed significantly (P < 0.01) the best value of feed conversion (1.85 g feed/g egg mass) compared with the other levels during the period 36 to 40 wk of age. Moreover, feed conversion was not significantly influenced by increasing dietary vitamin  $D_3$  levels during the different interval periods. However, hens fed diet containing 3000 IU/kg  $D_3$  level during the whole experimental showed significantly (P < 0.01) the best value (2.15g feed/g egg mass) compared to the other levels. Regarding the interaction between dietary Ca and vitamin  $D_3$  had significant (P < 0.01) effect on feed conversion during the period 36 to 40 wk of age. Moreover, hens fed 4% Ca with vitamin  $D_3$  at 4000IU/kg diet showed the best value of feed conversion (1.79 g feed/g egg mass).
- 4 Hens fed diet containing 4% Ca level showed significantly (P<0.01) the highest value of shell weight (8.51g) compared with the other groups. Moreover, the increase of dietary Ca level up to 4% with vitamin  $D_3$  4000IU/kg diet showed the highest ( $P \ge 0.05$ ) values of egg surface area (ESA), egg shell volume (ESV) and shell thickness compared with the other experimental groups.
- 5 Hens fed diet containing vitamin  $D_3$  at 3000, 3500 and 4000 IU/kg showed significantly (P<0.01) the highest value of Ca concentration in plasma compared with the control diet.
- 6 Hens fed diets containing Ca level at 4.00, 3.75 and 3.50% showed significantly (P < 0.01) higher values of Ca concentration in eggshell compared to the control group. Moreover, increasing vitamin  $D_3$  up to 4000 IU/kg diet showed significantly (P < 0.01) the highest value (459.11 mg/g) of Ca concentration in eggshell compared to the other experimental groups. There was significant (P < 0.01) interaction between Ca and vitamin  $D_3$  level on Ca concentration in egg shell, where hens fed diets containing Ca at 3.25, 3.50, 3.75 or 4.00 % with vitamin  $D_3$  at 4000 IU/kg showed higher values of Ca concentration in eggshell.

The results indicated that increasing dietary levels of Ca up to 4% with a level of 4000 IU/kg diet of vitamin D<sub>3</sub> improved eggshell quality without adverse effects on laying performance.

#### INTRODUCTION

Calcium is one of the most important compositions of egg shell. It has a significant effect on performance and egg shell quality of laying hens (William et al., 2006). Egg shell quality is an important factor in poultry production because a large number of eggs with defective shells cause a great economical loss to the producer (Lavelin et ul., 2000). Inadequate Ca significantly decreased egg production, egg weight, egg specific gravity, feed consumption, and bone density and strength (Roland et al., 1996). On the other hand, excess Ca significantly reduced egg production, egg weight and feed consumption (Harms and Waldroup, 1971). The available information about the amount of Ca needed in laying hen diet for maximum performance and egg shell quality is somewhat contradictory. Because there are many factors affect Ca requirement such as, strain, age of birds and temperature. Other nutrients such as, phosphorus, dietary protein and energy may be also involved. NRC (1994) suggested a Ca requirement of 3.6% for Brown laying hens at a feed consumption of 110 g/bird per day, which seems insufficient, because Ca requirement recommended by the commercial management guides of Brown Hy-Line is from 3.75 to 4.25 % for laving hens at a feed consumption of 113 to 100g/bird per day (Hy-Line international, 2008). Moreover, Castillo et al. (2004) indicated that the biological optimum Ca level for maximum egg production was 4.38 % and 4.64% in diet for the best feed conversion and maximum specific gravity. Dietary Ca requirements for maximum egg production and egg mass, and the best feed conversion were 3.52, 3.54 and 3.62% respectively (William et al., 2006). However, Lim et al. (2003) indicated that increased Ca level from 3 to 4% in laying diet did not affect egg production and egg weight but the 4% Ca diet increased egg shell strength. Moreover, egg production, egg weight, egg mass, feed conversion, and shell quality were not influenced by the Ca levels from 2.5 up to 4.5% in laying diet (Clunies et al., 1992; Leeson et al., 1993 and Keshavarz and Scott, 1993)

Vitamin D<sub>3</sub> is necessary for the bird to absorb, transport and utilize calcium and phosphorus through the intestinal wall. Calcium absorption and deposition are controlled by the active metabolite of cholecalciferol which undergoes to sequential hydroxylation in liver to form 25-cholicalciferol and then converted to 1, 25 - dihydroxycholecalciferol in kidney (Norman, 1987). This active form of vitamin D<sub>3</sub> is involved in the biosyntheses of Cabinding protein, which is involved in active transport of Ca across the intestinal wall. Additionally, this active form is promoting absorption of Ca

for bone and egg shell formation (Stevens and Blair, 1984 and Keshavarz, 2003). A number of studies stated the improvement in eggshell quality and bone mineralization by increasing the level of dietary cholicalciferol above the requirement level (Keshavarz, 1996; Hansen et al., 2004; Saban et al., 2005 and Cesar et al., 2010).

The current study was conducted to determine the effect of different calcium and vitamin  $D_3$  levels and their interaction on egg production and egg shell quality in Hy – Line Brown – egg type laying hens.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

This experiment was carried out at Maryot Research Station, Alexandria to study the effect of different levels of calcium and vitamin D<sub>3</sub> on performance of laying hens. A total number of 240 hens (Hy-Line Brown-egg type) from 24 up to 40 weeks of age were randomly divided into sixteen experimental groups: 15 hens each. Each group was sub-divided into five replicates, (three hens each). A (4 x 4) factorial experimental design with four levels of Ca (3.25, 3.50, 3.75 and 4.00 %) and four levels of vitamin D<sub>3</sub> (2500, 3000, 3500 and 40001U /kg diet) was tested for 16 weeks. All the experimental diets were iso-nitrogenous and iso-caloric (2750ME/kg and 18% CP) according to NRC (1994). Vitamin D<sub>3</sub> in concentrate 50.5 % was taken into consideration at the time of diet mixing. Composition and calculated analysis of the experimental diets are presented in Table 1. Diets and water were offered *ad lib*. All hens were kept under the same managerial and environmental conditions and artificial light source was used giving a total of 16 hours of light per day through the experimental periods.

Body weights were recorded at the beginning and at the end of the experiment (40 week of age). Egg weight and egg number were recorded daily to calculate the egg production percentage and egg mass (g/hen/day) which was calculated by multiplying egg number by average egg weight. Feed consumption was recorded biweekly and feed conversion values (g feed /g eggs) were calculated as the amount of feed consumed divided by egg mass.

Egg quality parameters were measured using 96 eggs (6 eggs / each treatment group). These measurements involved yolk, albumen and shell weight percentage. However, egg shell thickness was measured in µm using a micrometer. Egg shape index was calculated according to Romanoff and Romanoff (1949) as an egg diameter divided by egg length. Yolk index was calculated according to Funk et al., (1958), as yolk height divided by yolk diameter. Haugh unit was calculated according to Eisen et al. (1962) using

the calculation chart for rapid conversion of egg weight and albumen height. Egg surface area (ESA) was calculated according to Paganelli et al.(1974) as ESA=4.835 x W<sup>0.662</sup> Cm<sup>2</sup> where W=Egg mass in grams. Shell weight per unit surface area (SWUSA) was calculated as shell weight, mg/ESA, Cm<sup>2</sup>. Egg shell volume (ESV) was estimated according to Rahn (1981) as ESA, Cm<sup>2</sup> x Shell thickness. At the end of the experiment, three egg shell samples from each treatment were taken and ground prior to ashing. Calcium was determined by flame photometric procedure (Jackson, 1958). Phosphorus was colorimetrically determined by a Spectrophotometer (Murphy and Riley, 1962).

At the end of the experiment, three blood samples from each treatment were taken from the brachial vein. Plasma total protein and albumin, were measured according to Henry (1964) and Doumas et al. (1971), respectively. Plasma total lipids were measured by the procedure of Zollner and Kirsch (1962). Alanine aminotransferase (ALT) and Aspartate aminotransferase (AST) were measured according to Reitman and Frankel (1957). Plasma triglycerides were estimated according to Fassati and Prencipe (1982). The concentration of plasma globulin was calculated by subtracting plasma albumin from plasma total protein. In this respect, Ca. inorganic P were measured by specific diagnostic kits (Bio Merieux, France) according to guidelines and recommendation of Bogin and Keller (1987).

Data obtained were statistically analyzed using the General Linear Model Procedure (SAS, 1994). Duncan's multiple range test was used to test the significance (P<0.05) of mean differences (Duncan, 1955).

### RESULTS AND DISCUSION

Effect of calcium and vitamin D<sub>3</sub> levels and their interaction on:

## 1-Body weight and egg production parameters:

There were no significant differences in final body weight due to increasing Ca level up to 4% in diet (Table 2). This result influenced agreed with (Keshavarz and Scott, 1993; Abou Egla, 1995 and Chowdhury and Smith, 2002) who reported that Ca level up to 4 % in layer diet had no significant effect on final body weight.

Generally, increased dietary Ca levels up to 4% showed the highest  $(P \ge 0.05)$  values of egg production, egg weight and egg mass during the whole experimental period. However, hens fed diet containing 4% Ca recorded significantly (P<0.01) greater egg production (86.73%) and egg

mass (56.69g) compared with the other treatments during 36 to 40 wk of age (Table 2). The present results were previously confirmed by several studies (Sohail and Roland, 2000; Lim et al., 2003and Castillo et al. 2004) who indicated that increasing Ca level in laying diet did not affect egg production, egg weight and egg mass. In contrast. Chowdhury and Smith (2002); Khidr et al. (2003) and William et al. (2006) stated that, increasing dietary Ca level in laying diet showed a significant increase in egg production and egg mass.

The contradiction among the previous studies and the present study may be due to the difference in Ca levels of the basal diet, strain, and experimental conditions which showed insignificant effect on egg production, egg weight and egg mass. Furthermore, the amount of Ca level (3.25%) in control diet might be sufficient to marginal layer performance and could not have been caused a significant response with increased Ca level in the experimental diets. This fact was confirmed by William et al. (2006) who indicated that many factors can affect Ca requirement of laying hens including other nutrients, strains, age of birds and temperature.

Dietary vitamin  $D_3$  level did not significantly affect final body weight. Moreover, egg production during 24 to 28 wk, 28 to 32 wk of age or during the total experimental period was not influenced by different levels of vitamin  $D_3$ . However, hens fed diets containing vitamin  $D_3$  at 3000 or 2500 IU /kg diet showed significantly (P<0.01) the highest values of egg production(76.55 % and 84.61% )during 32 to 36 wk and 36 to 40 wk of age, respectively. Egg weight and egg mass were not significantly affected by different levels of vitamin  $D_3$  during the different interval periods and through the whole experimental period (Table 2).

The interaction between dietary levels of Ca and D<sub>3</sub> had no significant effect on final body weight, egg production, egg weight and egg mass during the different interval periods and the whole experimental period, except hens fed diet containing 4% Ca with 4000 vitamin D<sub>3</sub> IU /kg diet which showed significantly (P<0.01) the highest value of egg mass (58.65g) during 36 to 40 wk of age (Table 3). This significant interaction might be a consequence of the significant effect of Ca level on egg mass during 36 to 40 wk of age (Table 2), as the increasing vitamin D<sub>3</sub> levels in diets did not significantly affect egg mass during the same period. Moreover, in the current experiment, the level of D<sub>3</sub> used in control diet was 25001U/kg. This level in several times is higher than the recommended level of NRC (1994) being 3001U/kg diet for laying hens and equivalent to that

used by the industry. Consequently, it is surprising that no beneficial effect obtained from increasing level of vitamin D<sub>3</sub> particularly when the Ca level in the diet was plentiful. Similar results were obtained by Soares et al. (1988) and Keshavarz(1996) who reported that egg production, egg weight and egg mass were insignificantly affected by increasing dietary vitamin D<sub>3</sub>.

#### 2- Feed consumption and feed conversion values:

Results in Table (4) showed that feed consumption recorded significantly (P<0.01) a higher value for hens fed diets containing 3.75,4.00,3.50 and 4.00% Ca during the period 24 to 28 wk, 28 to 32 wk . 32 to 36 wk and 36 to 40 wk of age, respectively compared with hens fed 3.25% dietary Ca level. However, feed consumption for the total experimental period was not significantly influenced by increasing dietary Ca levels. Feed conversion was not significantly affected by dietary Ca levels during the different intervals and the whole experimental period, except the hens that fed 4% Ca which showed significantly (P<0.01) the best value of feed conversion (1.85 g feed/g egg mass) compared with the other levels during the period 36 to 40 wk of age. The highest significant value of egg mass was recorded in group fed diet containing 4% Ca during the period from 36 to 40 wk of age (Table 2). These results were in agreement with Clunies et al. (1992) who stated that increasing Ca level from 2.5 to 3.5 and up till 4.5% in laying diet showed significant effect on feed intake, with no differences between 3.5 and 4.5% dietary Ca. Moreover, Castillo et al. (2004) reported that dietary Ca levels (2.98, 3.22, 3.83, 4.31 and 4.82%) had a significant effect on feed consumption. On the other hand, results obtained herein showed insignificant effect of dietary Ca level on feed consumption and feed conversion during the whole experimental period. These results were similarly obtained by **Chowdhury and** Smith (2002) and Kermanshahi and Habavi (2006), who stated that dietary Ca levels had no significant effect on feed consumption and feed conversion.

Feed consumption was significantly (P<0.01) affected by dietary vitamin D<sub>3</sub> levels during the different interval periods. Moreover, this significant effect was inconsistent on feed consumption by dietary vitamin D<sub>3</sub> levels. However, dietary vitamin D<sub>3</sub> levels had no significant effect on feed consumption during the whole experimental period (Table 4). These results were in agreement to those obtained by Keshavarz and Scott (1993) and Keshavarz(1996) who stated that, increasing dietary vitamin D<sub>3</sub> in laying diet had no effect on feed consumption. Similar results were obtained in laying quail hens by Abdel-Azeem and El-Shafei, 2006 and Abdel-Fattah et al., 2007).

Feed conversion was not significantly influenced by increasing dietary vitamin D<sub>3</sub> levels during the different interval periods. However, hens fed diet containing vitamin D<sub>3</sub> at 3000 IU/kg diet showed significantly (P<0.01) the best value (2.15 g feed/g egg mass) compared to the other groups during the whole experimental period (Table 4). These results were confirmed by Abdel-Fattah et al. (2007) who showed that feed conversion improved with increasing vitamin D<sub>3</sub> in laying quail diet.

The interaction between dietary levels of Ca and vitamin  $D_3$  had a significant (P<0.01) effect on feed consumption during the different interval periods and the whole experimental period. Moreover, hens fed diet containing 4% Ca with vitamin  $D_3$  at 2500 1U/kg showed significantly (P<0.01) the highest value of feed consumption during the whole experimental period(Table 5). Feed conversion was insignificantly influenced by the interaction between dietary levels of Ca and vitamin  $D_3$  during the different intervals and the whole experimental period. The only exception during the period 36 to 40 wk feed conversion was significantly (P<0.01) influenced by the interaction between dietary levels of Ca and vitamin  $D_3$  Moreover, hens fed diet containing 4% Ca with vitamin  $D_3$  at 40001U/kg diet showed the best value of feed conversion (1.79 g feed/g egg mass) (Table 5).

## 3- Egg quality measurements:

Egg quality was not significantly affected by different levels of Ca or vitamin D<sub>3</sub> and their interactions in laying diets (Table 6). These results were in agreement with the results obtained by Chowdhury and Smith (2002) who reported that dietary Ca level had no significant effect on yolk weight, albumen height, and haugh units. Moreover, Khidr et al. (2003) showed that dietary Ca levels did not significantly affect yolk weight, albumen weight, shape index and yolk index.

Hens fed diet containing 4% Ca showed significantly (P<0.01) the highest value of shell weight (8.51g) compared to the other experimental groups. Also dietary Ca at 4% level recorded the insignificantly higher values of ESA (62.97 cm²), SWUSA (135.52 mg/cm²), ESV (25.41 cm²) and shell thickness (0.4034µm) compared to the other experimental groups (Table 7). These results were similar to previous study of Clunies et al. (1992) and William et al. (2006) stated that eggshell weight increased linearly (P< 0.05) with increasing level of dietary Ca up to 4%. In this connection, eggshell quality and shell thickness were improved when the

amount of Ca into the diet increased (Roland et al., 1996; Scott et al., 1999 and Kermanshahi and Habavi, 2006).

Egg shell parameters were not significantly influenced by increasing dietary vitamin  $D_3$  levels. Furthermore, interaction between dietary levels of Ca and vitamin  $D_3$  had no significant effect on egg shell parameters. In addition, hens fed diet containing 4.00% Ca with vitamin  $D_3$  at 4000 IU/kg diet showed insignificantly higher values of ESA, ESV, shell weight and shell thickness compared with the other experimental groups (Table 7) .These improvements of eggshell quality may be due to that vitamin  $D_3$  tend to increase the deposition of calcium and phosphorus in egg shell, (Keshavarz, 2003). The present results are agree with the finding of Abdel-Azeem and El-Shafei (2006) who reported that shell quality was maximized when laying quail diet contained vitamin  $D_3$  at 3000 IU/kg diet Furthermore, Frost and Roland (1990) indicated that adding 1,25 (OH) 2 D3 in layer diet increased eggshell percentage, egg breaking strength and shell weight.

### 4-Some plasma blood parameters and mineral content in egg shell:

Plasma blood parameters and some mineral (Ca and P) content in egg shell are shown in (Table 8). There was no significant effect on plasma blood parameters by increasing Ca or vitamin D<sub>3</sub> levels and their interaction in laying diets. On the other hand, hens fed diets containing vitamin D<sub>3</sub> at 3000, 3500 or 4000 IU/kg showed significantly (P<0.01) the highest values of Ca concentrations in blood plasma compared to the control level. This result was similar to those of Abdel-Azeem and El-Shafei (2006) who showed that plasma calcium increased (P<0.05) when the vitamin D<sub>3</sub> increased from 2000 to 3000 IU/kg in laying quail diet.

Hens fed diets containing Ca level at 4.00, 3.75 or 3.50% showed significantly (P<0.01) higher values of Ca concentration in eggshell compared to the control group. Moreover, increasing vitamin D<sub>3</sub> up to 4000 IU/kg diet showed significantly (P<0.01) the highest value (459.11 mg/g) of Ca concentration in eggshell compared to the other experimental groups. These results may be attributed to the increase in eggshell weight when dietary Ca level increased up to 4%. The amount of Ca deposited in eggshell increased, because calcium makes up to 40% of the eggshell. This also confirmed the positive improvement in other eggshell measurements such as shell weight and shell thickness (Table 7). Moreover, Khidr et al. (2003) reported that increasing Ca level up to 4.50% in laying diet increased significantly (P<0.05) Ca concentration in eggshell. In addition, Abdel-Azeem and El-Shafei (2006) showed significant (P<0.05) increase in

calcium level of eggshell when laying quail fed diet containing 3000 1U/kg of vitamin D<sub>3</sub>

There was a significant (P<0.01) interaction between Ca and vitamin D<sub>3</sub> level on Ca concentration in egg shell. Moreover, hens fed diets containing Ca at 3.25, 3.50, 3.75 or 4.00 % with vitamin D<sub>3</sub> at 4000 IU/kg showed higher values of Ca concentration in eggshell. This result may be explained by Rama-Rao et al.(2006) who stated that higher concentration of vitamin D<sub>3</sub> in the diet are known to improve Ca absorption through the gut, because, vitamin D<sub>3</sub> is essential for Ca metabolism. These results cleared the beneficial effect on shell quality which can be obtained by supplementing vitamin D<sub>3</sub> in laying diet. Thus, the recommended level of vitamin D<sub>3</sub> (300 IU/kg) of NRC (1994) may be insufficient for optimal egg shell quality.

However, P concentration in eggshell was not significantly influenced by different levels of Ca or vitamin  $D_3$  and their interactions in laying diets. Similar results were obtained by Khidr et al. (2003) who reported that increasing Ca level up to 4.50% in laying diet had insignificantly (P<0.05) affect P concentration in eggshell.

In conclusion, the results indicated that increasing dietary Ca level up to 4% with vitamin D<sub>3</sub> up to 4000 IU/kg diet improved eggshell quality without adverse effect on laying performance. Moreover, new strains like Hy-Line Brown-egg type might need dietary Ca and vitamin D<sub>3</sub> at higher than those recommended by NRC (1994) for laying hen to meet high egg yield.

Table 1: Composition and calculated analysis of the experimental basal diets.

		Ca	1%	
Ingredients:	3.25	3.50	3.75	4.00
Yellow corn	62.75	63.00	63.25	63.25
Soybean meal 44%	16.00	16.50	17.00	17.00
Concentrate 50.5%1	10.00	10,00	10.00	10.00
Wheat brain	4,00	2.50	1.03	0.00
Limestone	6.25	7,00	7,72	8.52
Dicalcium P	0.75	0.75	0.75	0.73
Salt(NaCl)	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25
Gluten meal 60%	0,00	00,0	0.00	0.25
Total	100	100	100	100
Calculated analysis:				
ME kcal/kg	2755	2755	2755	2751
CP %	18.05	18.06	18.06	18.06
CF %	3.14	3.02	2.89	2.78
EE %	3.92	3.89	3.86	3.84
Ca %	3.25	3.50	3.75	4,00
Total P%	0.75	0.74	0.73	0.71
Available P %	0.58	0.58	0.58	0.57
Lysine%	0.95	0.96	0.96	0.96
Methionine&Cystine%	0.69	0.69	0.69	0.69
D <sub>1</sub> 1U	2500	2500	2500	2500

<sup>1</sup>Concentrate composition: 50.5% CP. 2442 Kcal ME/Kg. 2% CF, 7.9% EE, 8.50% Calcium, 3.35%, Phosphorus, 1.70% Methionine, 2.4%, Methionine & Cystine and 2.85% Lysine. Vit A 1.200001U, Vit D, 250001U, Vit E 125mg, Vit K 30 mg, Vit B<sub>1</sub>10 mg, Vit B<sub>2</sub>50mg, Vit B<sub>6</sub>20 mg, Vit B<sub>12</sub>120 mic, Biotin 500 mic, Manganese 800 mg, Iron 300 mg, Zinc 500 mg, Copper 100mg, Folic acid 10 mg, Niacin 300 mg, Pantothenic acid 100 mg, Choline Chloride 3120 mg, Selenium 1.5 mg, Cobalt lmg and lodine 4 mg, Product of Pyramid Poultry(Concord).

Table 2. Body weight, egg production, egg weight, and egg mass of laying hens as affected by Ca and vitamin D<sub>3</sub> levels.

Main	effects:	اهاناها	Nigul	Egg Production (%)				Egg Weight(g)				Egg Mass(g)						
*******	*******	body	body							Per	riod in wee	ks						
Ca%	Dill /kg diet	WL(g)	wt.(g)	24-28	28 - 32	32-36	36 -40	Overall	24-28	28-32	32- 36	36-40	Overall	24-28	28-32	32- 36	36-40	Overall
3.25		1720.55	1835.06	72.42	76.31	74.80	80.17	75.92	57.59	59,97	62.05	63,93	60.88	42,34	45.85	46.33	51.25	46.44
3.50		1715.83	1817.86	73.35	73.20	73.06	82,20	75.46	58,10	59.38	61.93	64.43	60.96	42.63	43.42	45.22	52,97	46.06
3.75		1715.50	1826.03	75.95	75,65	71.78	76.81°	74.80	58.35	60.88	62.99	63.70	61.48	44.28	46.02	45.21	48,35"	45.96
4.00		1719.33	1837.12	72.61	77.61	73.75	86.73*	77.68	58,61	61.53	63.07	65.41	62.16	42.46	47.74	46.47	56.69"	48.34
SEM		20.5102	15.5731	2.7862	2,1170	1,6623	1.4160	1.3661	0.8041	0.5864	0.4806	0.5267	0.4168	1.6505	1.3173	1.0361	0.9689	0.8294
P-value		0.9974	0.8082	0.7957	0.5186	0.6192	0.0001	0.4895	0.8292	0.0572	0.2052	0.1113	0.1252	0.8208	0,1522	0.7248	0.0001	0.1574
	2500	1*20,48	1818.30	71.11	73.26	71.19	84.61*	75.13	56.69	60.10	62.63	63,87	60.82	40.41	44.02	4151	54.00	45.73
-	3000	1716.18	1833.72	73.49	76.66	76.55*	82,37*	77.27	58.30	59.93	62.04	64,49	61.19	43.42	45.91	47.46	53.16	47.49
	3500	1718.38	1834,09	74.28	76,19	74.75	78.95	76.94	58.59	60.15	62.57	64,12	61,36	43.56	45.89	46.75	50.68	46,72
	4000	1716.16	1829.96	75.11	76.66	70.92°	79.00	75.42	59.06	61.59	62.80	64,98	62.11	44.32	47.21	44.52	51.42	46.87
SEM		20.5102	15,5731	2.7862	2.1170	1.6623	1.4160	1.3661	0.8041	0.5864	0.4806	0.5267	0.4168	1.6505	1.3173	1.0361	0.9689	0.8294
P-value		0.9986	0.8788	0.8128	0.6192	0.0497	0.0141	0.6950	0.1897	0.1694	0.7089	0.4802	0.1806	0.3578	0.4010	6.1020	0.0679	0.5158

whe Means with different superscripts in same columns for each criterion are significantly different (P≤0.05).

SEM: Standard error mean

Table 3. Body weight, egg production, egg weight and egg mass of laying hens as affected by the interaction between Ca and vitamin D<sub>3</sub> levels.

Trea	tments:	Initial	Final		l.gg	Productio	ti (°a)			lig	gg Weight	(F)	•			Egg Mass	(g)	
*		bods	body							P	eriod in w	eeks						
Ca%	D,IL//kg diet	wt.(g)	까((왕)	24-28	28 · 32 ·	32-36	36 -40	Overall mean	24-28	28-32	32- 36	36-40	Overall mean	24-28	28-32	32- 36	36-40	Overall mean
	2500	1731.33	1806.64	68.10	70.95	70,70	79.76	72.32	54.46	59.14	62.95	62.67	59.80	37.02	41.96	44.31	19.98 <sup>olel</sup>	. 43.23
	3000	1718.33	1851.61	73.25	82.62	80.95	87.14	80.99	57.79	59,24	60.67	63.77	60.37	14.78	48.91	49,06	55.57 <sup>abc</sup>	49.58
3.25	3500	1715.86	1832.98	74.05	76.19	76.38	79.28	76.47	59,13	60.01	62.11	64.41	61.41	44.18	46,17	47.38	51.08°	47.20
	40(8)	1716.66	1845.98	74.29	75.47	71.42	74.52	73,92	58.98	61.49	62.48	64.89	61.96	43.73	46.37	44.58	48.35 <sup>del</sup>	45.76
	2500	1713.33	1823.64	72.48	72.35	70.00	83.44	74.56	58.50	59,25	61.78	64.99	61.13	42.37	42.77	43.25	54.07 <sup>abai</sup>	45.61
	3000	1702.66	1813.98	71.90	71.90	77.85	82.19	75.71	57.86	59.87	61.70	63.46	60,72	41.58	43.00	48.08	51.59bcde	46.06
3.50	3500	1722.67	1827.86	71.67	74 05	73.57	81.05	75.08	58.29	58.91	62.30	64.33	60.96	41.85	43.58	15.86	52.21 hide	45.88
	1(XK)	1724.67	1805.98	77,37	74.52	70.83	K3.13	-76,46	57.74	59.51	61.95			44,72	44.32	43.70	54.01 abril	46.64
	2500	1713.27	1810.31	73.33	67.14	66.90	86.91	73.57	55.69	60.38	64.55	64.81	61.36	41.13	40.46	43.13	56.35***	45.26
	3000	1722.41	1809.64	78.33	78.80	72.14	74.19	75.87	58.27	60.00	61.86	64.01	61.03	45.61	47.27	44.62	47.514	46.25
3.75	3500	1713.67	1822.90	77.61	79.76	77.38	71.43	76.54	58.93	60.48	62.79	62.84	61.26	45.40	48.15	48.55	11.88	46.74
	1000	1712.66	(86± 28	1.53	76.90	70,71	70.71	73.21	60, 19	62.68	62.77	63.14	62.27	45.01	48.21	11.51	11.65	15.60
	2500	1723.99	1832.62	71.90	X2.61	77.37	88.33	80.06	58.12	61.62	61.24	63.02	61.01	41.46	50.88	47.35	55.58 <sup>ahc</sup>	48.82
4.00	3000	1721.33	1856.62	70.47	73.33	75.28	86.95	76.51	59.30	60.62	63.95	66.74	62.65	41.71	44.47	48.07	57.98	48.06
	3500	1721.33	1852.64	73.81	74.76	71.66	83.04	76.07	58.00	61.19	63.07	64.92	61.79	12.81	45.65	45.20	54.55 <sup>2001</sup>	47,05
	4000	1710.67	1806.62	74 29	79,76	70,70	87.62	78.09	59.04	62.68	64.02	66.97	63.18	43.86	49,95	45.27	58.65	49.43
SEM		41.0204	31.1463	5.5724	1.2341	3.3246	2.8320	2.7322	1,6083	1.1729	0.9613	1.0535	0.8336	3.3011	2.6346	2.0723	1.9378	1.6589
l'-value		1.00	0.8752	0.9972	0.2915	0.4285	0.186	0.6385	0.7515	0.9748	0.2637	0.2045	0.8734	0.9677	0.2898	0.7438	0.0032	0.6128

Means with different superscripts in same columns for each criterion are significantly different (P≤ 0.05).

SEM: Standard error mean

Table 4. Feed utilization of laying hens as affected by Ca and vitamin D<sub>3</sub> levels.

N 4 o lon	. That a		Feed consur	nption(g/ h	en/day)		Fee	d conversion	on ( g feed/	g egg mass	)
Main	effects:					Period in	ı weeks		7		
Ca%	DaltJ/kg diet	24- 28	28 - 32	32-36	36 -40	Overall mean	24-28	28-32	32- 36	36-40	Overall mean
3.25		105.94	105.55°	113.86 <sup>b</sup>	103,46 <sup>b</sup>	107.20	2.60	2.37	2.47	2.03 <sup>b</sup>	2.37
3,50		103.03°	106.56 <sup>6</sup>	115.02*	104.13 <sup>ab</sup>	107.19	2.46	2.49	2.57	1.98 <sup>6</sup>	2.22
3.75		107.21	103,92 <sup>d</sup>	113.41	104.37ª	107.22	2.47	2.29	2.53	2.19"	2.37
4.00		103.57 <sup>c</sup>	108.474	113.40 <sup>h</sup>	104.61 <sup>a</sup>	107.51	2.55	2,29	2.45	1.85°	2.29
SEM		0.2384	0.2889	0.2518	0.2663	0.1359	0.1258	0.0805	0.0586	0.0396	0.0502
P-value		<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	0.0212	0.2889	0.8243	0.2829	0.4899	<0.0001	0.1105
	2500	105.69°	104.67	113.24 <sup>b</sup>	105.35*	107.24	2.73	2.41	2.56	1.95	2.42*
	3000	104.97	106.18 <sup>b</sup>	114.31	104.016	107.36	2.44	2.36	2.42	1.98	2.15 <sup>b</sup>
	3500	104.56 <sup>h</sup>	106.54 <sup>ab</sup>	114.07	104.06 <sup>b</sup>	107.30	2.52	2.38	2.46	2.07	2.36ª
	4000	104.52 <sup>6</sup>	107.11 <sup>a</sup>	114.07*	103.16°	107.21	2.39	2.29	2.59	2.04	2.33
SEM		0.2384	0.2889	0.2518	0.2663	0.1359	0.1258	0.0805	0.0586	0.0396	0.0502
P-value		0.0029	< 0.0001	0.0212	< 0.0001	0.8534	0.2418	0.7353	0.1414	0.1496	0.0028

able Means with different superscripts in same columns for each criterion are significantly different (P≤ 0.05). SEM : Standard error mean

Table 5. Feed utilization of laying hens as affected by the interaction between Ca and vitamin D<sub>3</sub> levels.

	i		Feed const	emption(g/ h	en/day)		1 ced	conversion	n ( g feet/	g egg mass	)
	in effects:					Period in we	eks				
(**	Dill /kg diet	24- 28	28 - 32	32-36	36 -40	Overall mean	24-28	28-32	32- 36	36-40	Overall mean
Ca%	Dall /kg diet										
	2500	105,51 <sup>d</sup>	184.69 <sup>d</sup>	113.89 bcd	103.66 cd efe h	106.946	2.88	2.50	2.58	2.07*bc	2.51
	3000	108.33°	103.53 <sup>de</sup>	114.05 hed	105,26 hc	107.79**	2.45	2.12	2.33	1.89 <sup>rd</sup>	2.20
3.25	3500	104.92	106.51	114.29 <sup>bc</sup>	102.86 <sup>5/5</sup>	107.14 6	2.59	2.51	2.44	2.02 hrd	2.39
	4000	105.00	107.50 <sup>be</sup>	113.21	102.06*	106,94 <sup>kr</sup>	2.49	2.33	2.56	2.13*be	2.38
	2500	102.14 <sup>fe</sup>	103.29	116.78*	104.76 <sup>hede</sup>	106,74°	2.45	2.43	2.72	1.9457	2,38
	3000	99.54	112.62*	114.64hc	193.26 defeh	107.52*bc	2.44	2.72	2.41	2.02°cd	1.79
3,50	3500	108.33	102.02*	113.44	105,866	107.41 abc	2,65	2.34	2.48	· 2.045cd	2.38
	1000	102.14	108.33	115.246	192,66 <sup>zh</sup>	107,09 <sup>5c</sup>	2,30	2.47	2.68	1.916	2.34
	2500	104.05 <sup>de</sup>	106,43°	109.79°	108.13*	107,09 <sup>6c</sup>	2,68	2.68	2.55	1.92cd	2.46
	3000	112.62*	100,29 <sup>x</sup>	113.79 <sup>bed</sup>	103.06 <sup>elgh</sup>	107,43abr	2.47	2.13	2.57	2.19 <sup>ab</sup>	2.34
3.75	3500	101.67 <sup>zh</sup>	108,496	115.36**	103.26 <sup>defgh</sup>	107.19 <sup>bc</sup>	2,26	2.26	2.40	2.32"	2.31
	1000	110.48	I (M), 48 F	114.76 bc	103.06 <sup>r7gh</sup>	107.19 <sup>be</sup>	2.47	2,11	2.62	2.32"	2.38
<del></del>	2500	111.07	104,30°	112.54	104,86 <sup>hrd</sup>	108.19*	2,92	2.06	2.42	1.896	2.32
4.00	3000	99.40°	108,29h	114.76he	104,46 bedef	106.73	2.42	2.47	2.39	1.80 <sup>d</sup>	2.27
	3500	103.33 <sup>rt</sup>	109,176	113.211	104.26 bedrie	107.49 <sup>nbc</sup>	2.58	2.40	2.51	1.92°	2.35
	4000	100.48 <sup>h</sup> i	112.14"	113.10 <sup>cd</sup>	104.86 <sup>bc#</sup>	197.64 <sup>shc</sup>	2.31	2.25	2.50	1.794	2,21
SEM		0.4769	0.5779	0.5037	0.5326	0,2719	0.2516	0.1610	0.1173	0.0793	0.1005
P-value		<0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	<0.0001	0,0057	0,9477	0.620	0.8211	0.0381	0.0821

Means with different superscripts in same columns for each criterion are significantly different (P 0.05).

SEM: Standard error mean

**Table6.** Egg quality measurements of laying hens as affected by Ca, vitamin D<sub>3</sub> levels and their interaction.

Main e	ffects:			V-10	CL.D		*/- **	12
Си%	D <sub>3</sub> HU/k g diet	£gg wt.(g)	Albumen wt.(%)	Yolk wt.(%)	Shell wt.(%)	Shape index	Yolk index	Haugh unit
3.25		65,80	64.10	23.91	11.98	76.83	49.36	90.29
3.50		66,52	63,62	24.16	12.26	77,22	49.22	90.46
3.75		65,09	63.36	24.17	12.45	78,24	49.08	90.12
4.00		68.17	63.04	24.21	12.46	77,49	49.70	90.91
SEM		0.9548	0.5049	.0.4475	0.2167	0.7353	0.8250	0.2311
P-value		0.1405	0.5113	0.9627	0,3660	0.5849	0.9571	0.1052
	2500	64,99	63.83	24.02	12.13	77.03	48.80	90.09
	3000	67.51	63,46	24.18	12.26	77.36	49.70	90.73
	3500	66.57	63.34	24.13	12.3	77,51	49.13	90.51
·	4000	66.48	63.48	24.12	12.42	77.88	49.73	90.43
SEM		0.9548	0,5049	0.4475	0.2167	0.7353	0.8250	0.2311
P-value		0.3219	0.9122	0.9959	0.8133	0.8766	0.8248	0.2888
			Ттез	tments :				
Ca%	D <sub>3</sub> 1U/k g diet	! !	1					
	2500	62.27	64.57	23.66	11.76	77.23	49.08	89.40
	3000	69.02	63.99	24.04	11.95	76.97	49.91	91.08
3,25	3500	67.10	63.96	23.99	12.04	75.38	48,95	90.62
	4000	64.80	63,87	23.94	12.18	77.73	49.49	90.04
	2500	67.10	63.82	24.03	12.14	75,88	48,03	90.60
	3000	66.25	63,71	24,04	12.24	77.78	49.55	90.41
3,50	3500	65.95	63.34	24.38	12.26	78.01	49.65	90.34
	4000	66.77	63.58	24.19	12.37	77.21	49.68	90.49
	2500	65,25	63,65	24.01	12.33	77.63	48.73	90.18
	3000	64.97	63.10	24.44	12.45	78.49	49.41	90.09
3,75	3500	65,45	63.34	24.16	12.48	79.04	48.25	90.21
	4000	64,67	63.35	24,08	12.56	77,79	49.94	89.99
	2500	65,35	63.29	24.39	12.31	77.37	49.38	90.20
4.00	3000	69.82	63.03	24.18	12.42	76.22	49.95	91.32
	3500	67.80	62.72	23.98	12.53	77.61	49.67	90.89
	4000	69.70	63.12	24.29	12.59	78.77	49.82	91.22
SEM		1.9096	1.0098	0.8950	0.4335	1.4706	1.6500	0,4622
P-value	T	0,6049	1.000	1.000	1.000	0.8969	0.9998	0.5887

No significant differences were observed among treatments in all criteria.

SEM = Standard error mean

Table 7. Egg shell quality measurements of laying hens as affected by Ca, vitamin  $D_3$  levels and their interaction

Main e	ffects:			SWUSA	,	Shell thickness
Ca%	D <sub>3</sub> IU/kg diet	Shell wt.	ESA(cm²)	(mg/cm <sup>2</sup> )	ESV(cm <sup>2</sup> )	(μm )
3.25		7.87 <sup>6</sup>	61.29	128.75	24.57	0.4006
3.50		8.13 <sup>6</sup>	61.00	133.63	24.17	0.3968
3.75		8.08	60.91	133.10	24.32	0.4023
4.00		8.51 <sup>a</sup>	62.97	135.52	25.41	0.4034
SEM		0.1206	0.7283	2.2451	0.4492	0.0072
P-value		0,0046	0.1625	0.1921	0.2192	0.9239
	2500	7.87	60,70	129.97	23.68	0.3900
	3000	8.28	62.24	133.32	24.66	0.3995
	3500	8.21	61.54	133.90	24.91	0.4046
	4000	8.23	61.69	133.81	25.22	0.4089
SEM		0.1206	0.7283	2.2451	0.4492	0.0072
P-value		0.0838	0.5191	0.5601	0.0990	0.2953
			Treatm	ents:		
Ca%	D <sub>3</sub> IU/kg			[	1	}
C 2770	diet	<u> </u>			<u> </u>	]
	2500	7.29	58.52	124.73	22.70	0.3880
	3000	8.25	64.07	128.88	25.41	0.3967
3.25	3500	8.05	61.86	131.35	25.22	0.4072
	4000	7.88	60.71	130.03	24.96	0.4105
			Ţ		1	
	2500	8.13	60.61	134.32	23.40	0.3860
	3000	8.10	60,96	133.71	23.93	0.3937
3.50	3500	8.07	60.85	132.55	24.50	0.4030
	4000	8.24	61.56	133.96	24.85	0.4047
		1			1	
, <u></u>	2500	8.05	60.30	133.91	23.89	0.3960
	3000	8.05	61.19	131.75	23.89	0.4025
3.75	3500	8.15	61.59	132.82	24.83	0.4032
	4000	8.07	60.56	133.94	24.67	0.4075
			†	<del>                                     </del>		
<del></del>	2500	8.03	63.37	126.94	24.75	0.3902
4.00	3000	8.70	62.75	138.93	25.42	0.4052
	3500	8.57	61.85	138.87	25.07	0.4052
	4000	8.75	63,92	137.32	26.39	0.4130
SEM	1	0.2412	1.45676	4.4903	0.8985	0.0144
P-value	T	0.5576	0.6273	0,8816	0.9225	1.000
a.b 3.4	1. CC		· · · · ·	ne columns f		<del></del>

<sup>a,b</sup> Means with different superscripts in same columns for each criterion are significantly different ( $P \le 0.05$ ). SEM = Standard error mean

Table 8. Plasma blood constituents and mineral content of egg shell of laying hens as affected by Ca. vitamin D<sub>3</sub> levels and their interaction.

Main	effects :	AST.U/dl	ALT,U/dl	Total	Albumine	Globuline	Triglycrides	Lipids	Ca	P	Mineral co egg s	
Ca%	D <sub>M</sub> U/kg diet	AS1,0/01	ALI,UMI	protein,g/dl	.g/dl	,g/di	mg/dl	g/dl	mg/dl	mg/di	Ca, mg/g	P,mg/g
3.25		24.58	10.65	5.64	1.84	3.80	425.86	3.72	18.73	6.58	405.24 <sup>b</sup>	1.38
3.50		24.16	10.91	5.45	1.85	3.60	452.71	3.88	19.75	6.17	409.40°b	1.37
3.75		24.16	11.72	5.44	1.87	3.56	420.34	3.63	19.92	6.15	416.01"	1.35
4.00		24.66	11.33	5,60	1.95	3.65	415.98	3.73	19.18	6.25	418.41"	1.33
SEM		0.5921	0.5288	0.1693	0.1316	0.2081	13.3650	0.1260	0.3782	0.1873	3.4313	0.0145
P-value		0.8936	0.5115	0.7689	0.9373	0.8650	0.2273	0.5582	0.8621	0.3441	0.0407	0.2367
	2500	23.66	10.47	5.56	1.85	3.71	418.05	3.68	17.17 <sup>b</sup>	6.43	351.47	1.37
	3000	24.41	11.75	5,65	1.86	3.79	433.63	3.71	19.17*	6.06	406.80	1.36
	3500	24.66	11.24	5.55	1.91	3.64	435.36	3.82	19.44"	6.39	431.67	1.36
	4000	24.83	11.166	5,39	1.92	3.47	427.85	3.75	19.74"	6.27	459.11	1.35
SEM		0.5921	0.5288	0.1693	0.1316	0.2081	13.3650	0.1260	0.3782	0.1873	3.4313	0.0145
-value		0.5263	0.4117	0.7482	0.9758	0.7352	0.7957	0.8799	0.0001	0.5108	<.0001	0.8731

A. Abd El-Maksoud.

Table 8. (Cont.)

					Ťi	reatments:						
Ca%	D <sub>3</sub> 1 U/kg diet											
	2500	23.00	8.00	5.69	1.85	3.83	386.19	3.64	1680	6.81	338.02	1.39
	3000	25.00	12.00	5.59	1.80	3.78	440.74	3.68	19.06	6.06	410.94 <sup>d</sup>	1.36
3.25	3500	25.66	11.96	5.64	1.84	3.80	448.83	3.92	19.40	6.71	420.66 <sup>rd</sup>	1.38
	4000	24.66	10.66	5.66	1.88	3.77	427.70	3.63	19.66	6.75	451.35 <sup>nb</sup>	1.37
	2500	24.33	10.66	5.58	1.80	3.78	454.66	3.74	16.84	6.63	375.65	1.37
	3000	23.66	11.66	5.76	1.88	3.87	464.29	3.95	19.14	5.90	385.99	1.38
3.50	3500	24.00	10.66	5.31	1.9	3.40	449.29	3.94	19.30	6.15	415.86	1.36
	4000	24.66	10.66	5.16	1.82	3.34	442.61	3.91	19.71	6.01	460.10°b	1.35
	2500	24.00	11.89	5.17	1,75	3.41	427.96	3.57	17.35	6.23	338.53	1.35
	3000	24,33	11.66	5.39	1.77	3.62	414.63	3.68	19.22	6.26	411.11	1.36
3,75	3500	24.00	12.00	5.97	2.06	3.90	421.70	3.69	19.39	5.95	450.89°b	1.35
	4000	24.33	11,33	5.24	1.91	3.32	417.86	3.58	19.72	6.15	463.51*	1.34
	2500	23.3	11.33	5,79	1.97	3.81	403,39	3.77	17.71	6.06	353,67	1.34
4.00	3000	24.66	11.66	5.86	1,96	3.90	414.85	3.53	19.28	6.03	419.18 <sup>rd</sup>	1.33
	3500	25.00	10,33	5.27	1.81	3.46	421,64	3.72	19.68	6.76	439.29 <sup>6c</sup>	1.34
	4000	25.66	12.00	5.50	2.05	3.45	424,05	3.89	19.86	6.17	461.49"	1.33
SEM		1.1843	1.0577	0.3386	0.2633	0.4163	26.7300	0.2521	0.7565	0.3746	6.8627	0.0290
-value	T T	0.9565	0.4779	0.7218	0.9980	0.9879	0.9531	0.9896	0,9999	0.7921	0.0005	0.9996

Ance Means with different superscripts in same columns for each criterion are significantly different (P≤ 0.05). SEM = Standard error mean

### REFERENCES

- Abdel-Azeem, F. A. and El-Shafei, A. A. (2006). Effect of different levels of cholecalciferol (vitamin D3)on performance and skeletal bone formation of laying Japanese quail reared under hot climate region. Egypt Poult. Sci. 26: 221-234.
- Abdel-Fattah, S. A.; Naglaa K. Soliman and Afifi, Sh. F. (2007). Effect of dietary cholecalciferol levels and gibberellic acid on productive and reproductive traits.serum profile and bon minerlization of laying quail. Egypt Poult. Sci., 27: 785-803.
- Abou Egla, E. (1995). Economic evaluation of productive traits, egg production and egg quality for dual purposes hens fed different calcium and energy levels. Egypt. Poult. Sci., 15:383-420.
- Bogin, E. and Keller, P. (1987). Application of clinical biochemistry to medically relevant animal models and standardization and quality control in animal biochemistry. J. Clin. Biochem. 25:873-878.
- Castillo, C., Cuca, M., Pro, A., Gonza'lez, M.and Morales, E. (2004) Biological and economic optimum level of calcium in white leghorn laying hens. Poult.Sci., 83:868–872.
- Cesar, C.; Cerate, S.; Wang, Z.; Yan, F.; Min, Y.; Costa, F.P. and Waldroup, P.W. (2010). Effect of source and level of vitamin D on the performance of breeder hens and the carryover to the progeny.Int. J. of Poult.Sci., 9 (7): 623-633.
- Chowdhury S. R and Smith, T. K. (2002). Dietary interaction of 1.4-Diaminobutane (Putrescine) and calcium on eggshell quality and performance in laying hens. Poult. Sci., 81:84-91.
- Clunies, M., Parks, D.and Leeson, S., (1992). Calcium and phosphorus metabolism and eggshell formation of hens fed different amounts of calcium. Poultry Sci. 71:482–489.
- Doumas, B.; Wabson, W. and Biggs, H. (1971). Albumin standards and measurement of serum with bromocresol green. Clin. Chem. Acta, 31:87.
- Duncan, D.B. (1955). Multiple F range and Multiple Test. Biometrics, 11:1-42.
- Eisen, E.J.; Bohren, B.B. and Mckean, H.E. (1962). The Haugh unit as a measure of egg albumen quality. Poultry Sci., 41:1461-1468.
- Fassati, P. and Prencipe, L. (1982). Clin. Chemistry, 28.2077.

- Frost, J. J. and Roland, D. A. S. R. (1990). Influence of vitamin D3, 1a-Hydroxyvitamin D3 and 1,25-dihydroxyvitamin D3 on egg shell quality, Tibia strength, and various production in commercial laying hens. Poultry Sci., 69: 2008 – 2016.
- Funk, E.M.; Froning, G.; Grottes, G.; Forward, R. and Kinder, J. (1958). Quality of eggs laid by caged layers. World Poult.Sci.J., 15:207.
- Hansen, K. K.; Beck., M. M.; Scheideler, S. E. and Blankenship, E. E. (2004). Exogenous estrogen boost circulating estradiol concentrations and calcium uptake by duodenal tissue in heat stressed hens. Poultry Sci., 83: 895-900.
- Harms, R.H. and Waldroup, P.W. (1971). The effect of high dietary calcium on the performance of laying hens. Poult. Sci., 50: 967-969.
- Henry, R.J. (1964). Clinical Chemistry, Harper & Row Publishers, New York P.181.
- Hy-Line International.(2008). Brown Hy-Line, commercial management guides2007-2008. Hy-Line International, West Lakes Parkway West Des Moines, U.S.A.
- Jackson, M.L.(1958). Soil Chemical Analysis. Prentice-Hall, Inc. Englewood Cliffs, N.J., U.S.A.
- Kermanshahi, H. and Hadavi, A. (2006). Effect of added extra calcium carbonate into the diets, one hour before starting dark period on performance and egg quality of laying hens. International Journal of Poultry Sci., 5: 946-948.
- Keshavarz, K. (1996): The effect of different levels of vitamin C and cholecalciferol with adequate or marginal levels of dietary calcium on performance and egg shell quality of laying hens. Poultry Sci., 75: 1227-1235.
- Keshavarz, K., (2003). A comparison between cholecalciferol and 25-OH-cholecalciferol on performance and eggshell quality. Poult. Sci., 72:144-153.
- Keshavarz, K.and Scott, M. L. (1993). The effect of solubility and particle size of calcium sources on shell quality and bone mineralization. J. Appl. Poultry Res. 2:259-267.

- Khidr,R.E., AbdEl-Maksoud, A. A. and Hammad, A.M.S. (2003). Effect of different levels of calcium without or with zinc bacitracin on body weight, egg production, feed utilization and egg quality of hen layers in sinai. Egypt Journal of Desert Research, 53: 193-378.
- Lavelin, I., N. Meiri, and Pines, M.( 2000). New insight in eggshell formation. Poult. Sci., 79:1014-1017.
- Leeson, S., Summers, D., and Caston, L. (1993). Response of brown-egg strain layers to dietary calcium or phosphorus. Poult. Sci., 72:1510-1514.
- Lim, H. S., Namkung, H.and Paikl, I. K. (2003) Effects of phytase supplementation on the performance, egg quality, and phosphorous excretion of laying hens fed different levels of dietary calcium and nonphytate Phosphorous. Poult. Sci., 82:92–99.
- Murphy, J. and Riley, J. R. (1962). A modified single solution method for the determination of phosphate in natural water. Anal. Chem. Acta, 27:31.
- National Research Council (1994). In "Nutrient Requirements of Poultry "9th Rev. Ed. National Academy Press, Washington, D.C., U.S.A.
- Norman, A.W. (1987). Studies on the vitamin D endocrine system in the avian. J. Nutri., 117: 797-807.
- Paganelli, C.V.; Olszowka, A. and Ar, A. (1974). "The avian egg" surface area, valume and density. The Condor, 79: 319-325.
- Rahn,H. (1981). Gas exchange of avian eggs with special reference to turkey eggs. Poult.Sci., 60: 1971-1980.
- Rama-Rao, S. V. M.; Raju, V. L. N.; Panda, A. K.; Shyam Sunder, G. and Sharma, R. P. (2006). Effect of High Concentrations of Cholecalciferol on Growth, Bone Mineralization, and Mineral Retention in Broiler Chicks Fed Suboptimal Concentrations of Calcium and Nonphytate Phosphorus, J. Appl. Poult, Res., 15: 493-501.
- Reitman, S.and Frankel, S. (1957) Amer, J. Clin. Path., 28:56.
- Roland, D. A., Sr., Bryant, M. M. and Rabon, H. W. (1996). Influence of calcium and environmental temperature on performance of first-cycle (phase 1) commercial leghorns. Poult.Sci., 75:62-68.
- Romanoff, A.L. and Romanoff, A.J. (1949). In "The Avian egg "John Wiley and Sons; Inc., New York, U.S.A.

- Saban Ç. S., Canan, B. and Necati, U. (2005). The influence of dietary phosphorus level on plasma calcium and phosphorus, eggshell calcium and phosphorus. Int. J. of Poult.Sci., 4 (7): 497-499.
- SAS Institute (1994). SAS User's Guide; Statistics, Ver. 6.04, Fourth Edition, SAS institute. Inc., Carry, NC, U.S.A.
- Scott, T.A., Kampen, R. and Silver Sides, F.G. (1999). The effect of phosphorous, phytase enzyme, and calcium on the performance of layers fed corn soy bean based diets. Poult. Sci., 78: 1742-1746.
- Soares, J. H. Jr.; Ottinger, M. A. and Buss, E. G. (1988). Potential role of 1,25 dihydroxycholecalciferol in egg shell calcification. Poult. Sci., 67: 1322 1328.
- Sohail, S.S. and Roland, SR, D.A. (2000). Influence of phytase on calcium utilization in commercial layers. J. Appl. Poult. Res., 9:81-87.
- Stevens, V. and Blair, R. (1984). Influence of maternal vitamin D carryover on kidney 25 Hydroxy vitamin 3 D3-1-Hydroxylase activity of poults. Poult. Sci., 63:765-774.
- William, N. S., Horacio, S.R., Paulo, R.S., Luis, F.U., and Marcelo, A.S. (2006). Nutritional requirement of calcium in white laying hens from 46 to 62 Wk of age. Int. J. of Poult. Sci., 2: 181-184.
- Zollner, N. and Kirsch, K. (1962). Z. ges. exp. Med. 135:545.

## الملخص العربي

تأثير مستوى الكالسيوم وفيتامين د- في العليقة على إنتاج البيض وجودة القشرة للدجاج البياض هاى لاين البني

## أحمد عبد المقصود

قسم تغذية الحيوان والدواجن مركز بحوت الصحراء المطرية القاهرة

أجريت هذه الدراسة ابحث تأثير المستويات المختلفة من الكالسيوم و فيتامين دم في العليقة على أنتاج البيض وجودة القشرة للدجاج البياض. أستخدم في هذه التجربة عدد ٢٤٠ دجاجة هاى لاين البنى عمر ٢٤ أسبوع وزعت على ١٦ مجموعة تجريبية بمعدل ١٥ دجاجة لكل معاملة مقسمة على خمس مكررات بكل مكررة ثلاث دجاجات. أجريت التجربة في تصميم إحصائي متداخل ٤ ٪ ٤ بإستخدام أربع مستويات كالسيوم (٣,٧٥، ٣,٥، ٣,٥٠ %) وأربع مستويات فيتامين دم كجم عليقه ). أشارت النتائج إلى :

ا - زيادة مستوى الكالسيوم حتى 3% أعطى أعلى قيم غير معنوية لإنتاج البيض، وزن البيض و كتلة وزن البيض خلال الفترة الكلية للبحث. بينما أعطى أعلى قيمة معنوية لإنتاج البيض و كتلة وزن البيض (٨٦,٧٣ %و ٢٩,٦٩جم) على التوالي خلال الفترة من ٣٦ الى ٤٠ أسبوع. من ناحية أخرى سجل الدجاج المغذى على عليقة محتوية على فيتامين د، بمعدل ٢٥٠٠ أو ٢٥٠٠

وحدة دولية /كجم علف أعلى قيمة معنوية لإنتاج البيض (٥٥ / ٢١ ، ١٦ / ٨٤) خلال الفترة من ٢٦ الى ٢٦ أسبوع ، ٣٦ الى ٤٠ أسبوع على التوالى. أضافة الى ذلك الدجاج المعذى على ٤% كالسيوم مع ٤٠٠٠ وحدة دولية فيتلمين د٣ أظهرت أعلى قيمة معنوية لكتلة وزن البيض (٦٠,٥٠ جم) خلال الفترة من ٣٦ الى ٤٠ أسبوع.

٢ - سجل الدجاج المغذى على عليقة معتوية على (٣٠٥، ٤، ٣٥، ٤ %) كالسيوم أعلى قيمة معنوية للغذاء المستهلك خلال الفترة من ٢٤ الى ٢٨ ، ٢٨ الى ٢٦ الى ٣٦ الى ٣٦ الى ٣٦ الى ٤٠ الميوم وفيتامين د. تأثير ا معنوبا على الغذاء المستهلك خلال الفترات المختلفة للبحث والفترة الكلية.

٣ لم يتأثر معامل التحويل الغذائي معنويا بمستوى الكالسيوم خلال الفترات المغتلفة للبحث والفترة الكلية، ماعدا الدجاج المغذى على 3% كالسيوم حيث أظهر أحسن قيمه معنوية لمعامل التحويل الغذائي (٨٥ جم/جم بيض) مقارنة مع باقى المستويات خلال الفترة من ٣٦ الى ٤٠ أسبوع لم يتأثر أيضا معامل التحويل الغذائي معنويا بمستوى فيتامين د- خلال الفترات المختلفة للبحث ، بينما أظهر الدجاج المغذى على ٢٠٠٠ وحدة دولية فيتامين د- خلال الفترة الكلية للبحث أحسن قيمة معنوية لمعامل التحويل الغذائي (٢,١٥ جم/جم بيض) مقارنة مع المستويات الأخرى وكان للتداخل بين الكالسيوم وفيتامين د- تأثيرا معنويا على معامل التحويل الغذائي خلال الفترة من ٢٦٠١ المعنويا على معامل التحويل الغذائي خدى المنازية مع ددة دولية فيتامين د٣ أحسن قيمة لمعامل التحويل الغذائي (١٠٥ جم/جم بيض).

٤ - سجل الدجاج المغذى على عليقة محتوية على ٤% كالسيوم أحسن قيمة لوزن القشره (١٥٨ جم) مقارنة مع المجاميع الأخرى ، وبزيادة كالسيوم العليقة الى مستوى ٤% مع ٤٠٠٠ وحدة دولية فيتامين دم سجل الدجاج أعلى قيمة غير معنوية لمساحة القشرة ، حجم القشرة وسمك القشرة مقارنة مع المجلميع الأخرى.

سجل الدجاج المغذى على عليقة محتوية على ٣٠٠٠، ٣٥٠٠، ٢٥٠٠ وحدة دولية فيتامين د- أعلى قيمة لتركيز الكالسيوم في بالازما الدم مقارنة مع مجموعة الكنترول.

آ - سجل الدجاج المغذى على عليقة محتوية على ٤%، ٣,٧٥ % و ٣,٥ % كالسيوم أعلى قيمة معنوية لتركيز الكالسيوم فى قشرة البيض مقارنة بمجموعة الكنترول ، وبزيادة فيتامين د، الى مستوى ٤٠٠٠ وحدة دولية سجل الدجاج أحسن قيمة معنوية لتركيز الكالسيوم فى قشرة البيضة (١١) ٥٠٤ ملجم/جم قشرة) مقارنة مع المجاميع الأخرى ، وكان للتداخل بين الكالسيوم وفيتامين د، تأثيرا معنويا على تركيز كالسيوم قشرة البيضة حيث سجل المجاج المغذى على أى من مستويات الكالسيوم المستخدمة فى الدراسة مع ٤٠٠٠ وحدة دولية/ كجم علف أحسن قيمة معنوية لتركيز كالسيوم قشرة البيضة.

تشير النتائج الى أن زيادة مستوى الكالسيوم الى ٤% مع استخدام فيتامين دم بمستوى وحدة دولية كجم علف حسن من جودة قشرة البيضة دون تأثير سلبى على الأداء الإنتاجي للدجاج البياض هاى لاين البغى