

## **INTERACTION EFFECT OF DATE OF PLANTING, BULB SIZE AND FERTILIZATION ON YIELD AND QUALITY OF TUBEROSE PLANTS.**

**Abdalla, M. Y. A.; M. N. Sharf El-Din; A. M. Hamza and A. A. Hegazy**

**Veget. and Flor. Dept., Fac. of Agriculture Mansoura University Egypt.**

### **ABSTRACT**

A field experiment was carried out on tuberose plant during 2004-2005 and 2005-2006 seasons at a private farm at Demyana near Belqas Dakahlia Governorate. The main objectives of the study were to find out the effect of using NPK compound fertilizer (19:19:19) the common name (High Fertal) fertilizer at three levels (5, 10 and 15g/plant) either alone or combined with compost (150, 200 and 250g/plant). Tuberose bulbs were graded into large, medium and small sizes and planting was during April, May and June of both seasons. Thus, the experiment was a split split plot design with 3 replicates. The obtained results indicated that large size bulbs planted during April and fertilized with the higher levels of NPK +compost gave the maximum number of cut spikes/ pot with more length compared to using medium or small bulbs receiving the same treatment. Large bulbs also produced spikes of more number of florets spike, more spike diameter, and the number of days from planting to opening of the 1<sup>st</sup> florets was reduced. Also, higher levels of NPK or NPK+ compost increased N, P and K contents in the leaves of large bulbs planted during April, while the lowest contents were for June planting. Thus, it is recommended to use large size bulbs for planting during April and application of NPK at balanced levels along with compost for improvement of soil properties a matter reflexes on flower production.

### **INTRODUCTION**

The tuberose (*Polianthus tuberosa L.*) family Amaryllidacea is one of the earliest cultivated plants. Once introduced to Europe, it became part of the moon garden. A collection of white or pastel flowers release an intense fragrance after dusk. One or two open blossoms will fill the air of an entire garden with pleasant fragrance.

The tuberose, a native of Mexico, is widely grown in the plains of India and blooms profusely during the summer and rains, flaunting its fragrance outdoors and indoors. Most artistic garland, floral ornaments, bouquets and buttonholes are made from these flowers. The long spike of flowers is excellent for table decoration. The flowers remain fresh for days together and bathe the atmosphere with their sweet pleasant fragrance Rose (1999).

In Egypt, tuberose flowers are very popular for local and foreign markets. Flower production begins mainly in summer, while autumn is the season for the return flower production resulting in high profits due to lack of other flowers available during this period.

For obtaining tuberose flowers of high quality, good management is of utmost importance. This includes chemical fertilization, use of composts to improve soil properties for increasing vegetative growth and flower production.

To extend the flowering season of tuberose, bulbs can be planted at different dates. Bulb size is also important and of direct effect on vegetative growth and flowering period.

Therefore, the present work was conducted to study the effects of using different NPK levels and compost on tuberose bulbs of different sizes at different dates of planting.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

This study was carried out during two successive seasons 2004/2005 and 2005/2006. The field experiment was located in a private farm at Gemyana village, near Belqas, DK Governorate.

The main objectives of this study were to find out the effects of planting tuberose bulbs in three different dates, using three different bulb sizes and NPK fertilizers at different levels either alone or combined with compost in the two successive seasons.

Tuberose bulbs of the single type were obtained from a well known nursery at Barrages. The bulbs were cleaned from any adherent soil particles and damaged or infected ones were discarded. Only round bulbs were used for the experiment.

Before planting, the bulbs were washed in running water, rinsed in a fungicidal solution containing Rizolex at 0.3 % for 5 minutes.

Planting the bulbs was during 3 different dates each season. The first date was April 7<sup>th</sup>, the second May 9<sup>th</sup> and the third June 4<sup>th</sup> in 1st season and April 5<sup>th</sup>, May 11<sup>th</sup> and June 11<sup>th</sup> in the 2<sup>nd</sup> season of study.

The bulbs were graded according to size (Diameter);

Large ( 6 – 4 cm), Medium ( 4 – 2 cm) and Small ( 2 – 1 cm).

The experiment was a split split design with 3 replicates. There were 3 main plots representing the planting dates with sub-plots representing bulbs size and sub-plots representing the fertilization treatments. NPK compound fertilizer (19:19:19) the common name (High Fertal) was used in the experiment and the assigned amount were added (5g, 10g and 15g) at 3 equal doses. The first dose was applied one month from planting, the second dose was applied before the harvest of flowers and the third dose was added after the harvest of flower. Composts used were prepared from rice straw and farm residues under airoptic conditions and were applied (150g, 200g and 250g) to the soil before planting. Analysis of the used compost to recorded in table (A).

**Table (A): Physical and chemical analysis of compost used at the experiments. \***

Physical analysis		Chemical analysis	
Weight dry (1m3)	590 Kg/m3	Total N	1.4%
Weight wet (1m3)	730 Kg/m3	Organic C	25.2%
Moisture	24%	C : N ratio	1: 18
pH	6.6	Ammonium N	505 ppm
EC	1.6 ds/m	Nitrate N	315 ppm
O.M	58%	Total P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub>	0.6%
Micro-organism	Nil	Total K <sub>2</sub> O	0.79%
Nematode	Nil	Fe	630 ppm
Weed seeds	Nil	Mn	157 ppm
Ash	42%	Cu	30 ppm
		Zn	40 ppm

\*Soil analysis Laboratory, Min. Agri. (2004/05)

Soil of the plots is clay-loam of medium texture. A representing samples were taken from the depth of (0 - 30) cm, and analyzed for the physical and chemical properties shown in Table (B).

**Table (B): Physical and chemical analysis of experimental soil. \***

Physical analysis	2004/05	2005/06
Coarse sand	1.9	2.5
Fine sand	17.7	22.6
Silt	26.6	25.3
Clay	53.8	49.6
Texture	Clayey	Clayey
EC ds.m-1 soil past	3.12	3.71
pH 1:2.5	7.85	7.93
O.M %	2.89	2.37
S.p %	68	65
Chemical analysis		
Ca co <sub>3</sub> %	1.96	1.87
N ppm	47.4	39.3
P ppm	3.91	2.87
K ppm	362	275

\*Soil analysis Laboratory, Min. Agri. (2004/05)

**The fertilization treatments were as the following:**

1. NPK at 0g/ plant (control)
2. NPK at 5g/ plant
3. NPK at 10g/ plant
4. NPK at 15g/ plant
5. Compost at 150g/plant
6. Compost at 200g/plant
7. Compost at 250g/plant
8. NPK at 5g/ plant + 150g/plant compost
9. NPK at 10g/ plant + 200g/plant compost
10. NPK at 15g/ plant + 250g/plant compost

Each treatment contained 10 bulbs in 3 replicates for each date of planting (April, May and June) of the both seasons of the study.

During the two seasons of study, the experimental plots were thoroughly digged and furrows of (1 m. long × 50 cm. wide) were made. The bulbs were planted on both sides of the furrows at 20 cm apart and at the depth of 7- 8 cm.

Regular agricultural practices such as irrigation and weeding were carried out when ever needed.

**The following determinations were carried out:**

- Number of cut spikes/plot (yield of flowers).
- Spike length (cm) from surface of the soil.
- Spike stem diameter (cm) at 10 cm from the base.
- Fresh weight of cut spike (g/plant).
- Number of florets/spike.
- Number of days from planting to opening of the first floret.

- N, P and K content % of the leaves according by Jackson (1967)
- Number of days from planting to opening of the first floret.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

It is clear from the data presented in Table (1) that number of cut spikes per plot of tuberose plant was significantly affected by date of planting and fertilization treatments. All plots produced the maximum number of spikes (10 spikes/plot) from large and medium size bulbs planted during April, May and June of both seasons. Number of cut spikes per plot was significantly reduced by using small bulbs during all dates and the application of NPK or compost in both seasons of the study respectively. This result was expected due to that small bulbs contain less reserve food and some of them failed to produce cut spikes. Patil *et al.* (1999) reported that application of NPK resulted in the increase of flower yield. Similar results were also obtained by Barman *et al.* (2003) using biofertilizers along with NPK.

**Table (1): Number of cut spikes per plot of tuberose plant as affected by date of planting, size of bulbs and fertilization in 2004/05 and 2005/06.**

2004/05											
Date (A)	Size of bulbs (B)	Fertilizer (C)									
		Con.	NPK			Compost			NPK+Compost		
			5g	10g	15g	150g	200g	250g	5g+150g	10g+200g	15g+250g
April	Large	10.00	10.00	10.00	10.00	10.00	10.00	10.00	10.00	10.00	10.00
	Medium	10.00	10.00	10.00	10.00	10.00	10.00	10.00	10.00	10.00	10.00
	Small	6.67	8.00	7.33	7.00	7.00	7.67	10.00	9.67	9.67	10.00
May	Large	9.67	8.00	9.00	10.00	10.00	10.00	10.00	10.00	10.00	10.00
	Medium	10.00	10.00	10.00	10.00	10.00	10.00	10.00	10.00	10.00	10.00
	Small	5.00	5.33	6.33	7.33	7.66	7.67	7.67	7.33	8.00	9.33
June	Large	10.00	10.00	10.00	10.00	10.00	10.00	10.00	10.00	10.00	10.00
	Medium	9.33	9.33	8.66	9.00	10.00	9.67	10.00	10.00	10.00	10.00
	Small	5.33	4.67	6.66	7.33	7.00	9.00	8.33	7.33	8.33	7.00
LSD 5% ABC		0.86									
2005/06											
April	Large	10.00	10.00	10.00	10.00	10.00	10.00	10.00	10.00	10.00	10.00
	Medium	10.00	10.00	10.00	10.00	10.00	10.00	10.00	10.00	10.00	10.00
	Small	5.00	6.33	5.33	4.33	6.33	6.00	9.00	9.33	9.00	10.00
May	Large	9.33	8.33	8.67	10.00	10.00	10.00	10.00	10.00	10.00	10.00
	Medium	10.00	10.00	10.00	10.00	10.00	10.00	10.00	10.00	10.00	10.00
	Small	4.33	4.33	5.33	5.33	5.66	5.67	6.33	6.00	7.33	9.00
June	Large	10.00	10.00	10.00	10.00	10.00	10.00	10.00	10.00	10.00	10.00
	Medium	9.00	8.33	8.33	8.33	9.33	9.00	10.00	10.00	9.33	9.33
	Small	3.33	3.33	4.66	6.00	5.33	7.33	7.33	6.00	7.67	5.67
LSD 5% ABC		0.78									

The interaction between date of planting, bulb sizes and fertilization on spike length is presented in Table (2). In the 1st season, results revealed that large bulbs planted during April and fertilized with compost (150g, 200g and 250g/plant) or NPK+ compost (5g+150g and 10+200g/plant) gave tuberose spikes of more length (89.63, 87.03 and 86.33 cm) for compost and (87.13

and 86.53 cm) for NPK+ compost, with no significant difference when compared with medium bulbs. While small bulbs receiving the same fertilization treatment gave the least length. On the other hand, all bulb sizes planted during May and June gave short tuberose spikes although received the same fertilization treatment. The obtained data are true for both seasons under study. This conclusion agrees with Nagappa Desai *et al.* (2006) who obtained maximum spike length and superior quality flowers with only FYM application.

**Table (2): Average spike length in cm of tuberose plant as affected by date of planting, sizes of bulbs and fertilization in 2004/05 and 2005/06.**

2004/05											
Date (A)	Size of bulbs (B)	Con.	Fertilizer (C)								
			NPK			Compost			NPK+Compost		
			5g	10g	15g	150g	200g	250g	5g+150g	10g+200g	15g+250g
April	Large	74.76	81.23	79.53	77.43	89.63	87.03	86.33	87.13	86.53	83.43
	Medium	80.83	79.33	79.23	77.33	87.73	85.03	84.63	88.33	86.53	80.93
	Small	78.03	78.63	76.83	75.23	78.93	79.13	81.63	85.63	80.83	80.03
May	Large	69.72	76.57	80.34	75.32	85.03	82.42	81.23	85.39	85.53	79.70
	Medium	77.67	76.65	75.90	79.17	78.66	80.59	80.33	84.91	77.81	78.99
	Small	73.11	78.74	71.65	79.02	77.64	74.84	78.91	75.79	77.66	76.82
June	Large	68.98	71.93	60.42	64.84	81.04	68.52	77.73	77.08	71.94	74.51
	Medium	70.23	72.25	63.75	58.26	72.10	67.83	68.46	72.33	69.88	68.36
	Small	61.98	69.90	56.14	59.73	68.28	67.63	66.78	72.86	63.81	61.87
LSD 5% ABC		3.50									
2005/06											
April	Large	71.76	81.42	78.13	81.79	91.23	85.99	82.91	87.70	80.50	81.30
	Medium	76.88	81.79	83.98	87.20	84.05	82.05	75.05	75.50	81.00	81.71
	Small	77.49	83.58	81.49	86.73	86.99	75.85	73.50	73.70	74.50	72.54
May	Large	70.94	78.07	76.26	72.50	82.05	85.97	75.66	82.85	79.63	75.18
	Medium	65.53	74.56	72.49	70.92	77.79	74.48	76.27	76.74	77.46	74.39
	Small	68.29	69.20	61.90	69.38	70.54	73.12	69.87	71.38	68.45	69.63
June	Large	50.34	67.87	43.66	58.79	73.36	66.82	64.10	74.28	63.09	73.93
	Medium	43.10	68.86	51.43	59.58	68.17	66.93	59.03	61.76	63.99	69.02
	Small	48.04	65.97	45.42	48.34	66.76	58.14	53.91	61.53	59.08	58.79
LSD 5% ABC		5.92									

Data in Table (3) showed the interaction between date of planting, size of bulbs and fertilization on weight of tuberose spikes. It is clear that large bulbs responded greatly to NPK + compost (5g+150g/plant) and produced spikes of more weight (92.20 g) followed by compost (150g/plant) which produced a spike weight of (89.58 g). The obtained data had a similar trend for both seasons under study. This was true for June planting which means that the date of planting is not greatly effective, but the better effect is related to size of bulbs and compost application. Patil *et al.* (1999) and Barman *et al.* (2003)

**Table (3): Fresh weight (g/plant) of cut spikes of tuberose plant as affected by date of planting, size of bulbs and fertilization in 2004/05 and 2005/06.**

2004/05											
Date (A)	Size of bulbs (B)	Fertilizer (C)									
		Con.	NPK			Compost			NPK+Compost		
			5g	10g	15g	150g	200g	250g	5g + 150g	10g + 200g	15g + 250g
April	Large	74.10	74.44	60.18	70.40	89.58	79.14	72.66	92.20	80.41	80.97
	Medium	74.08	68.34	67.07	69.47	76.67	71.14	70.21	77.94	79.48	78.79
	Small	66.67	76.25	67.46	57.90	69.39	66.23	66.99	73.47	75.49	78.74
May	Large	69.08	68.23	63.07	57.91	80.50	70.27	76.71	76.63	75.68	77.55
	Medium	49.72	63.46	63.37	48.46	80.14	74.60	77.00	77.84	80.40	80.30
	Small	62.38	72.66	58.89	56.78	78.39	64.94	67.60	71.69	64.55	66.78
June	Large	46.44	56.34	51.99	56.22	68.21	71.80	63.63	58.33	64.52	61.71
	Medium	45.80	49.86	48.31	51.80	61.34	52.95	62.29	54.05	63.53	59.16
	Small	39.72	46.20	43.18	47.99	50.42	46.63	57.67	51.11	50.15	53.97
LSD 5% ABC		11.08									
2005/06											
April	Large	71.11	71.78	57.67	67.56	87.08	76.43	69.74	89.85	77.52	78.41
	Medium	71.28	65.36	64.31	66.38	73.97	68.13	64.70	74.79	75.90	75.53
	Small	64.16	73.31	64.98	55.55	66.40	63.53	64.64	70.28	73.99	74.30
May	Large	46.91	61.11	60.48	55.15	77.63	79.76	80.40	75.13	74.90	77.64
	Medium	66.58	65.53	60.33	45.99	76.70	67.83	73.82	73.96	79.08	74.71
	Small	59.88	69.95	56.07	54.43	75.78	62.10	65.10	68.97	61.88	64.08
June	Large	44.45	53.63	49.17	53.77	65.32	69.14	61.12	55.83	61.70	59.26
	Medium	43.01	47.36	45.32	49.14	58.83	50.11	59.79	51.34	60.61	56.81
	Small	36.83	43.64	40.68	45.28	47.68	44.17	55.22	48.30	47.75	51.25
LSD 5% ABC		9.80									

Data presented in Table (4) showed the interaction between date of planting, size of bulbs and fertilization on spike diameter of tuberose plants. During both seasons of the study, it is clear that large size bulbs planted during April responded greatly to compost (150g and 200g/plant) and NPK + compost (5g+150g and 10g+200g/plant) treatment and produced tuberose spikes of more stem diameter (1.40 and 1.31 cm) for compost and (1.26 and 1.34 cm) for NPK + compost with no significant difference when compared with medium bulbs. This could be explained by that compost play an important role in improving physical properties of the soil and make the nutrients in the plant medium more available. On the other hand, small bulbs produced tuberose spikes of the least stem diameter when planted during June of both seasons of the study. The obtained results are in agreement with those reported by Gurav *et al.* (2005).

**Table (4): Average spike diameter in cm of tuberose plant as affected by date of planting, size of bulbs and fertilization in 2004/05 and 2005/06.**

2004/05											
Date (A)	Size of bulbs (B)	Fertilizer (C)									
		Con.	NPK			Compost			NPK+Compost		
			5g	10g	15g	150g	200g	250g	5g + 150g	10g + 200g	15g + 250g
April	Large	0.88	0.96	0.99	0.89	1.40	1.31	1.03	1.26	1.34	1.10
	Medium	0.80	0.88	0.96	0.88	1.34	1.09	0.99	1.26	0.90	0.80
	Small	0.74	0.72	0.85	0.83	0.92	0.88	0.92	0.81	0.85	0.79
May	Large	0.81	0.85	0.82	0.87	1.01	0.76	1.20	1.23	1.17	1.11
	Medium	0.83	0.83	0.81	0.86	1.06	0.82	0.91	1.03	1.19	1.04
	Small	0.73	0.86	0.82	0.79	0.84	0.82	0.88	0.89	0.89	0.90
June	Large	0.74	0.66	0.71	0.74	0.80	0.86	0.84	0.95	1.06	0.82
	Medium	0.79	0.76	0.68	0.69	0.78	0.77	0.78	0.82	0.79	0.79
	Small	0.65	0.69	0.68	0.69	0.65	0.70	0.76	0.78	0.75	0.80
LSD 5% ABC		0.15									
2005/06											
April	Large	0.70	0.77	0.81	0.70	1.22	1.18	0.90	1.03	1.20	0.86
	Medium	0.60	0.73	0.77	0.70	1.16	0.86	0.79	1.03	1.13	0.88
	Small	0.67	0.66	0.66	0.65	0.83	0.79	0.86	0.91	0.85	0.87
May	Large	0.76	0.77	0.82	0.69	0.83	0.83	0.71	1.00	0.94	0.88
	Medium	0.65	0.91	0.79	0.77	0.89	0.93	0.97	0.80	0.96	0.81
	Small	0.67	0.80	0.77	0.74	0.77	0.77	0.77	0.75	0.78	0.76
June	Large	0.69	0.72	0.65	0.69	0.81	0.81	0.79	0.89	0.83	0.77
	Medium	0.74	0.81	0.74	0.64	0.80	0.72	0.73	0.76	0.73	0.74
	Small	0.59	0.74	0.63	0.67	0.72	0.65	0.71	0.73	0.70	0.75
LSD 5% ABC		0.12									

The interaction between date of planting, size of bulbs and fertilization on number of florets per spike is presented in Table (5). During both seasons of study it is clear that large size bulbs planted during April and May treated with compost (150g and 200g/plant) and NPK + compost (5g+150g and 10g+200g/plant) gave more number of florets per spike (39.90 and 38.80 florets) respectively for compost and (41.40 and 41.50 florets) respectively for NPK + compost. The effect of compost is obvious because of its beneficial effect in improving soil conditions. Similar results were also obtained by El-Shahat, N. S. (1993) and Badawy (1998) who found that sand/composted leaves increased number of florets per spike of tuberose plants.

**Table (5): Average number of florets per spike of tuberose plant as affected by date of planting, size of bulbs and fertilization in 2004/05 and 2005/06.**

2004/05											
Date (A)	Size of bulbs (B)	Fertilizer (C)									
		Con.	NPK			Compost			NPK + Compost		
			5g	10g	15g	150g	200g	250g	5g + 150g	10g + 200g	15g + 250g
April	Large	30.80	33.90	32.80	32.90	39.90	38.80	35.80	41.40	41.50	38.00
	Medium	26.60	32.00	31.20	32.40	37.40	37.80	35.00	37.40	35.20	33.40
	Small	27.70	28.70	30.40	29.90	33.20	34.40	32.90	34.20	33.00	33.50
May	Large	29.50	30.60	31.60	30.80	40.30	37.20	37.10	40.20	35.70	35.60
	Medium	26.70	28.10	26.70	25.30	36.20	35.70	30.50	35.40	34.50	33.20
	Small	21.10	26.60	30.20	27.60	34.80	33.90	28.10	34.70	31.00	28.00
June	Large	22.40	35.30	30.80	29.30	35.60	36.70	32.70	35.50	33.90	34.10
	Medium	24.70	35.40	31.40	25.80	34.90	35.30	34.60	34.90	32.70	33.30
	Small	21.60	29.20	25.70	25.20	34.80	33.40	28.70	31.60	30.70	32.30
LSD 5% ABC		2.79									
2005/06											
April	Large	28.70	31.80	30.70	30.80	37.80	36.70	33.70	39.30	39.40	35.90
	Medium	24.30	29.90	29.10	30.30	34.10	35.70	32.90	38.10	33.10	31.30
	Small	25.60	26.60	28.30	27.80	31.10	32.30	30.80	32.10	30.90	31.40
May	Large	27.40	28.50	29.50	28.70	38.20	35.10	35.00	35.30	33.80	32.00
	Medium	24.60	26.00	28.10	25.50	33.50	33.60	28.40	33.30	32.40	31.10
	Small	19.00	24.50	24.60	23.20	32.70	31.80	26.00	32.60	28.90	25.90
June	Large	20.30	33.20	28.70	27.20	35.30	34.60	30.60	33.40	31.80	32.90
	Medium	22.60	33.30	29.30	23.70	32.80	33.20	32.50	32.80	30.60	31.20
	Small	19.70	28.20	23.60	23.10	32.70	31.30	26.60	29.50	28.60	30.20
LSD 5% ABC		3.67									

The interaction of date of planting, size of bulbs and fertilization on number of days from planting to opening of the first floret is presented in Table (6). It is clear that large bulbs planted during April responded greatly to fertilization with compost (150g, 200g and 250g/plant) or NPK+ compost (5g+150g, 10g + 200g and 15+250g/plant) since the time needed for opening of the floret on the spike was the least (66.67, 67.00 and 65.67 days) for compost and (66.00, 64.67 and 65.33 days) for NPK+ compost in the 1st season. On the other hand, small bulbs planted during May or June and received the same treatment of compost or NPK + compost took longer time for the opening of the first florets on the spike. Pathak *et al.* (1980) found that number of days required for 100 % sprouting was reduced for large size bulbs. Also, Ramesh Kumar *et al.* (2003) reported that large bulbs resulted in the earliest spike emergence.



**Table (6): Number of days from planting to opening of the first floret of tuberose spike as affected by date of planting, size of bulbs and fertilization in 2004/05 and 2005/06.**

2004/05											
Date (A)	Size of bulbs (B)	Fertilizer (C)									
		Con.	NPK			Compost			NPK + Compost		
			5g	10g	15g	150g	200g	250g	5g + 150g	10g + 200g	15g + 250g
April	Large	72.33	70.33	70.00	71.67	66.67	67.00	65.67	66.00	64.67	65.33
	Medium	73.33	71.33	71.00	73.00	69.00	67.67	68.00	68.33	69.33	69.33
	Small	77.00	76.67	76.00	75.00	72.33	74.33	72.00	72.67	72.33	72.00
May	Large	71.67	72.33	70.00	71.00	69.33	67.33	69.33	66.67	69.00	68.67
	Medium	74.33	72.00	72.00	74.33	70.67	69.33	69.00	70.67	71.33	70.33
	Small	75.67	73.33	72.67	74.00	75.67	75.67	74.00	72.33	71.67	71.00
June	Large	75.00	70.00	71.33	73.67	71.67	70.00	70.33	70.33	70.67	70.33
	Medium	75.00	74.33	74.67	74.00	73.00	73.00	73.33	71.67	71.33	72.00
	Small	81.33	81.67	82.33	78.00	75.67	75.00	74.00	73.00	75.00	74.00
LSD 5% ABC		2.96									
2005/06											
April	Large	74.00	75.00	72.00	70.00	67.00	66.33	67.33	66.00	65.00	67.33
	Medium	76.00	76.67	73.67	72.00	68.00	69.00	68.33	69.67	79.00	79.33
	Small	80.33	79.67	79.33	78.00	75.00	78.67	75.67	74.00	78.00	77.00
May	Large	79.33	79.67	81.33	75.33	70.67	70.33	72.33	70.00	74.00	71.33
	Medium	82.00	80.33	80.33	82.33	75.33	73.33	72.33	76.00	81.67	81.00
	Small	85.33	84.33	79.33	88.33	73.67	75.00	74.00	73.67	85.00	85.67
June	Large	86.33	84.33	84.67	84.00	80.00	80.33	84.00	77.00	81.00	82.00
	Medium	88.00	87.33	87.00	89.00	87.00	82.33	80.00	79.33	82.00	84.00
	Small	88.00	89.00	86.00	82.33	87.67	87.67	87.67	82.67	86.00	88.00
LSD 5% ABC		5.11									

It is clear from the data presented in Table (7) that N content in the leaves of tuberose plants was increased by using higher levels of NPK or NPK + compost for large, medium and small size bulbs planted during April, May and June of both seasons of the study. The effect of NPK+ compost was more obvious than using NPK (3.38 %) alone when compared with control. Control bulbs exhibited the least N content in the leaves (2.36 %). It is also, noticed that N content in the leaves was more for April planting followed by May and the lowest for June planting. This means that climatic conditions have affected the vegetative growth of the plants and N content in the leaves of all sizes receiving different fertilization treatments. Similar results were obtained by El-Maadawy (1988) and Mohanasundaram *et al.* (2003).

Table (7): N content % in the leaves of *Polianthes tuberosa* L. as affected by date of planting, size of bulbs and fertilization in 2004/05 and 2005/06.

2004/05											
Date (A)	Size of bulbs (B)	Fertilizer (C)									
		Con.	NPK			Compost			NPK + Compost		
			5g	10g	15g	150g	200g	250g	5g + 150g	10g + 200g	15g + 250g
April	Large	2.36	2.64	2.88	3.16	2.92	3.31	3.38	3.19	2.42	2.71
	Medium	2.11	2.34	2.53	2.83	2.68	2.95	3.06	2.24	2.42	2.79
	Small	1.96	2.20	2.37	2.63	2.45	2.71	2.80	2.07	2.29	2.52
May	Large	2.20	2.43	2.63	2.91	2.68	3.03	3.15	2.32	2.54	2.85
	Medium	1.92	2.09	2.32	2.58	2.41	2.63	2.72	2.01	2.22	2.51
	Small	1.82	2.04	2.22	2.45	2.29	2.56	2.63	1.95	2.11	2.37
June	Large	1.83	2.04	2.20	2.47	2.32	2.57	2.63	1.99	2.14	2.43
	Medium	1.67	1.80	2.02	2.23	2.10	2.29	2.35	1.74	1.93	2.14
	Small	1.66	1.84	1.99	2.22	2.07	2.30	2.34	1.73	1.90	2.11
LSD 5% ABC		0.10									
2005/06											
April	Large	2.30	2.57	2.81	3.08	2.84	3.22	3.29	3.10	2.36	2.64
	Medium	2.06	2.28	2.46	2.76	2.61	2.87	2.98	2.18	2.36	2.72
	Small	1.90	2.14	2.31	2.56	2.39	2.64	2.73	2.02	2.23	2.45
May	Large	2.13	2.36	2.55	2.82	2.60	2.94	3.05	2.25	2.47	2.76
	Medium	1.87	2.03	2.25	2.50	2.33	2.55	2.64	1.95	2.16	2.43
	Small	1.77	1.98	2.15	2.37	2.22	2.48	2.55	1.89	2.04	2.30
June	Large	1.76	1.96	2.12	2.38	2.23	2.48	2.53	1.91	2.06	2.34
	Medium	1.61	1.73	1.94	2.14	2.02	2.20	2.26	1.68	1.85	2.06
	Small	1.59	1.77	1.91	2.13	1.99	2.21	2.25	1.67	1.83	2.03
LSD 5% ABC		0.13									

Data presented in Table (8) showed that P content in the leaves of tuberosa plants followed the same trend of N content and was the least for June planting. The effect of bulb size was obvious since the P content in the leaves was higher in leaves of large size bulbs particularly during April planting. It is also noticed that P content in the leaves was the highest (0.408 % and 0.387 %) by using compost at (200g and 250g/plant respectively) in the 1st season and was (0.402 %) by using compost at (250g/plant) in the 2nd season. The use of NPK + compost gave lower P content in the leaves compared to using NPK or compost alone. These results favor the use of compost for soil improvement which is reflected in more absorption of P by the plant. El-Maadawy (1988) found that P content in the leaves was decreased by the fertilization treatments of N, P and K applied alone or in combination.

**Table (8): P content % in the leaves of *Polianthes tuberosa* L. as affected by date of planting, size of bulbs and fertilization in 2004/05 and 2005/06.**

2004/05											
Date (A)	Size of bulbs (B)	Fertilizer (C)									
		Con.	NPK			Compost			NPK+Compost		
			5g	10g	15g	150g	200g	250g	5g + 150g	10g + 200g	15g + 250g
April	Large	0.303	0.329	0.358	0.376	0.376	0.408	0.387	0.321	0.354	0.332
	Medium	0.253	0.276	0.304	0.318	0.319	0.345	0.324	0.268	0.298	0.309
	Small	0.244	0.261	0.283	0.296	0.301	0.325	0.305	0.250	0.274	0.285
May	Large	0.248	0.272	0.290	0.323	0.303	0.342	0.352	0.267	0.285	0.316
	Medium	0.208	0.224	0.243	0.269	0.255	0.286	0.298	0.217	0.233	0.265
	Small	0.198	0.212	0.233	0.256	0.243	0.272	0.282	0.209	0.225	0.253
June	Large	0.209	0.227	0.244	0.269	0.255	0.285	0.295	0.221	0.240	0.267
	Medium	0.178	0.194	0.210	0.232	0.219	0.245	0.255	0.186	0.203	0.228
	Small	0.175	0.189	0.206	0.226	0.213	0.240	0.249	0.189	0.197	0.221
<b>LSD 5% ABC</b>		0.026									
2005/06											
April	Large	0.279	0.307	0.329	0.365	0.345	0.383	0.402	0.299	0.324	0.361
	Medium	0.235	0.255	0.280	0.309	0.292	0.324	0.339	0.248	0.273	0.302
	Small	0.226	0.242	0.262	0.286	0.277	0.304	0.318	0.234	0.247	0.289
May	Large	0.241	0.264	0.282	0.314	0.294	0.332	0.342	0.259	0.277	0.307
	Medium	0.202	0.217	0.236	0.262	0.248	0.277	0.289	0.211	0.226	0.258
	Small	0.193	0.206	0.227	0.249	0.236	0.265	0.274	0.203	0.218	0.246
June	Large	0.201	0.218	0.235	0.259	0.246	0.274	0.284	0.212	0.231	0.257
	Medium	0.171	0.186	0.202	0.223	0.210	0.235	0.245	0.179	0.195	0.219
	Small	0.168	0.182	0.198	0.217	0.205	0.231	0.239	0.181	0.189	0.212
<b>LSD 5% ABC</b>		0.004									

Data presented in Table (9) showed that K content in the leaves of tuberosa plants was higher from large bulb sizes planted during April and was the least from June planting. The effect of using compost or NPK + compost is obvious for increasing K content in the leaves as seen in case of N and P. Using compost alone at (200g/plant) gave the highest K values in both seasons of the study (3.86 % & 3.71 %) respectively. The application of NPK + compost at (5g + 150g/plant) was also effective for increasing K content in the leaves in both seasons of the study (3.97 % & 3.82 %) respectively. The role of using compost is most important for making K uptake more pronounced. Some reports showed that increasing NPK level increased K content in the leaves El-Shahat (1993).

Table (9): K content % in the leaves of *Polianthes tuberosa* L. as affected by date of planting, size of bulbs and fertilization in 2004/05 and 2005/06.

2004/05											
Date (A)	Size of bulbs (B)	Con.	Fertilizer (C)								
			NPK			Compost			NPK+Compost		
			5g	10g	15g	150g	200g	250g	5g+150g	10g+200g	15g+250g
April	Large	2.87	3.06	3.30	3.63	3.46	3.86	3.00	3.97	3.18	3.51
	Medium	2.41	2.62	2.80	3.08	2.88	3.23	3.23	3.37	3.39	3.68
	Small	2.38	2.56	2.76	3.04	2.79	3.39	3.23	3.36	3.37	2.84
May	Large	2.49	2.68	2.88	3.14	2.99	3.37	3.31	3.50	2.81	3.06
	Medium	2.11	2.31	2.45	2.69	2.56	2.87	2.22	2.96	2.37	2.63
	Small	2.06	2.22	2.34	2.58	2.46	2.76	2.16	2.84	2.28	2.50
June	Large	1.99	2.13	2.31	2.51	2.39	2.69	2.09	2.78	2.22	2.44
	Medium	1.82	1.97	2.11	2.32	2.22	2.49	1.71	2.55	2.06	2.27
	Small	1.85	1.97	2.13	2.28	2.19	2.49	1.95	2.55	2.10	2.22
LSD 5% ABC		0.26									
2005/06											
April	Large	2.76	2.94	3.17	3.49	3.33	3.71	2.89	3.82	3.06	3.37
	Medium	2.32	2.52	2.69	2.96	2.77	3.11	3.11	3.24	3.26	3.54
	Small	2.29	2.46	2.65	2.92	2.68	3.26	3.11	3.23	3.25	2.73
May	Large	2.39	2.57	2.76	3.01	2.87	3.23	3.18	3.35	2.69	2.94
	Medium	2.02	2.22	2.36	2.58	2.46	2.75	2.13	2.84	2.28	2.52
	Small	1.98	2.14	2.25	2.48	2.36	2.65	2.08	2.73	2.19	2.40
June	Large	1.94	2.08	2.26	2.45	2.33	2.63	2.04	2.72	2.17	2.39
	Medium	1.78	1.92	2.06	2.27	2.17	2.44	1.67	2.49	2.02	2.22
	Small	1.80	1.92	2.08	2.23	2.14	2.43	1.90	2.49	2.05	2.17
LSD 5% ABC		0.27									

## REFERENCES

- Badawy, O. E. F. (1998): Physiological and anatomical studies on tuberose bulbs. Ph.D. Thesis, Fac. Agric. Cairo Unvi.
- Barman, D.; Datta, M.; De, L. C.; Banik, S. (2003): Efficacy of phosphate-solubilizing and phytohormone-producing bacteria on the growth and yield of tuberose in acid soil of Tripura. Indian Journal of Horticulture. Horticultural Society of India, New Delhi, India: 60: 3, 303-306. 6 ref.
- El-Maadawy, E. I. I. (1988): Physiological studies on (*Polianthes tuberosa* L.). Plant. Ph. D. Thesis, Fac. Of Agric. Cairo Unvi.
- El-Shahat, N. S. (1993): Effect of chemical fertilization and saline water irrigation on growth, flowering and chemical composition of tuberose plants. (*Polianthes tuberosa* L.) M. Sc. Thesis, Fac. of Agric. Cairo Univ.
- Gurav, S. B.; Singh, B. R.; Desai, U. T.; Katwate, S. M.; Kakade, D. S.; Dhane, A. V.; Kanade, V. D. (2005): Influence of planting time on the yield and quality of tuberose. Indian Journal of Horticulture. Horticultural Society of India, New Delhi, India: 62: 2, 216-217. 3 ref.
- Jackson, M. L. (1967): Soil chemical analysis. Printice-Hall of India.
- Mohanasundaram, S.; Dahiya, S. S.; Sukhbir Singh(2003): Effect of nitrogen and phosphorus on the nutrient content of tuberose (*Polianthes tuberosa* L) Haryana Journal of Horticultural Sciences. Horticultural Society of Haryana, Hisar, India: 32: 1/2, 64-66. 4 ref.

- Nagappa Desai; Vasundhara, M.; Gayithri, H. N.; Biradar, S. L. (2006): Studies on effect of spacing and fertilizer levels on flowering and concrete yield in tuberose (*Polianthes tuberosa*) cv. Shringars . Biomed. Society for Advancement of Medicinal and Aromatic Plants to the Mankind (SAMARPAN), Bangalore, India: 1: 2, 135-140. 8 ref .
- Pathak, S.; M. A. Choudhuri and S. K. Chatterjee (1980): Germination and flowering in different size bulbs of tuberose (*Polianthes tuberosa* L.) Indian Journal of plant Physiology, 23(1): 47-54. (Hort. Abst. 51: 7954).
- Patil, P. R.; Reddy, B. S.; Patil, S. R.; Kulkarni, B. S. (1999): Effect of community planting and fertilizer levels on growth and flower yield of tuberose (*Polyanthes tuberosa* L.) cv. Double. South Indian Horticulture. South Indian Horticultural Association, Coimbatore, India: 47: 1/6, 335-338. 5 ref .
- Ramesh Kumar; Sheo Gobind; Yadav, D. S. (2003): Growth, flowering and bulb production of tuberose as influenced by different bulb size, spacing and depth of planting . Haryana Journal of Horticultural Sciences. Horticultural Society of Haryana, Hisar, India: 32: 1/2, 66-69. 9 ref .
- Rose, Jeanne (1999): Essential Oils and Hydrosols. Frog Ltd. pp 375.

تأثير مواعيد الزراعة و حجم الأصيل والتسميد على محصول وجودة نباتات التبروز.  
محمد يونس على عبد الله ، محمد نزيه شرف الدين ، على منصور حمزة و  
أحمد عبد العال حجازي.  
قسم الخضار والزينة - كلية الزراعة - جامعة المنصورة.

أجريت هذه التجربة الحقلية على نباتات التبروز خلال موسمي ٢٠٠٤/٢٠٠٥ و ٢٠٠٥/٢٠٠٦ بمزرعة خاصة بناحية دميانة مركز بلقاس - محافظة الدقهلية .  
وكان الغرض الرئيسي للدراسة هو معرفة تأثير استخدام ثلاث تركيبات مختلفة من (النتروجين - الفسفور - البوتاسيوم) ١٠ - ١٥ - ٥ جم للنبات وكذلك تأثير استخدام ثلاث تركيبات مختلفة من الكميوست ١٥٠ - ٢٠٠ - ٢٥٠ جم للنبات على الإنتاجية وخصائص الجودة - وقد تم تدرج الأصيل إلى ثلاثة أحجام (كبيرة ٦ - ٤ سم - متوسطة ٤ - ٢ سم - صغيرة ٢ - ١ سم) وأجريت الزرعة في ثلاثة عروات هي (إبريل - مايو - يونيو) خلال الموسمين في تجربة عاملين منشقة و ٣ مكررات.  
أوضحت النتائج تفوق الأصيل كبيرة الحجم والتي زرعت في إبريل وسمدت بالعناصر (النتروجين - الفسفور - البوتاسيوم) أو الكميوست حيث أعطت أكبر محصول من الشماريخ الزهرية والأكثر طولاً وسمكا مقارنة باستعمال الأصيل المتوسطة الحجم أو الصغيرة تحت ظروف نفس المعاملة.  
أعطت الأصيل الكبيرة شماريخ زهرية هي الأكثر سمكا وعليها العدد الأكبر من الزهيرات وكان تفتح أول زهرة أكثر تبيكرا حيث قل عدد الأيام من الزراعة حتى التفتح.  
تشير النتائج إلى أن محتوى الأوراق من عناصر النيتروجين - الفوسفور - البوتاسيوم كان الأعلى من الأصيل كبيرة الحجم والمنزرعة خلال شهر إبريل بينما قل محتوى الأوراق من هذه العناصر عند الزراعة في شهر يونيو.  
لذلك توصي الدراسة باستعمال الأصيل الكبيرة للحجم وتكون الزراعة خلال إبريل مع إضافة كميات متوازنة من عناصر (النتروجين - الفسفور - البوتاسيوم) والكميوست لتحسين خواص التربة.

### قام بتحكيم البحث

كلية الزراعة - جامعة المنصورة  
كلية الزراعة - جامعة كفر الشيخ

أ. د/ أميمة محمد عبد الكافي  
أ. د/ يوسف محمد رفعت قنديل