

COMPARISON OF SOME SAMPLING METHODS FOR ADULTS OF *Scymnus syriacus* AND *Coccinella undecimpunctata* (COLEOPTERA: COCCINELLIDAE) ON SOYBEAN PLANTINIONS IN MANSOURA DISTRICT.

Abdel-Salam, A. H.¹; A. M. Abou-EI Naga¹; A. A. Ghanim¹; M. E. El-Naggar² and A. M. Mohamed²

1- Economic Entomology Department, Faculty of Agriculture, Mansoura University, Mansoura 35516, Egypt.

E-mail: adhabdelus@yahoo. com.

2- Plant Protection Research Institute, Agricultural Research Center, Ministry of Agriculture, Egypt.

ABSTRACT

Samplings of *S. syriacus* and *C. undecimpunctata* were carried out in Mansoura district, Dakahlia Governorate during 2008 and 2009 seasons. We examined several types of yellow sticky cards (vertically oriented cylinder, vertically oriented, two-sided traps and vertically oriented, one-sided traps) and sweep-net thin indirectly measured adult abundance based on activity and visual examination and whole-plant methods as two direct count sampling methods based on the census of adult on or from the plant.

The count of predator, *S. syriacus* was highly significantly effect by sampling methods. Sweep-net count more significant adult of *S. syriacus* than the other sampling methods during 2008 and 2009 seasons. Furthermore, in 2008 season, there were no significant notes between one sided sticky trap and whole-plant. In addition, there was no significant between cylinder sticky trap and two-sided sticky trap. In 2009 season, there was no significant between cylinder sticky trap, one sided sticky trap, and visual examination.

The relative variation of the sweep-net and one-sided sticky trap methods were significantly less than the other methods for sampling *S. syriacus*. Therefore, it is the optimum sampling methods and indicated greater precision for sampling *S. syriacus*. Sweep-net recorded the highest RNP compared with the other sampling methods. This indicated thin the sweep-net is the greater sampling efficiency.

The count of *C. undecimpunctata* was highly significantly effect by sampling methods in 2008 and 2009 seasons. Visual examination count more insects than sweep-net and whole-plant during 2008 and 2009 seasons. Visual examination had the lowest associated RV value in the first and second seasons (10.90 and 9.61, respectively). Visual examination had the highest calculated RNP value (most efficient) compared with all other sampling methods in the first and second seasons.

INTRODUCTION

Coccinellids are potentially important predatory insect group found throughout the world on many economic crops. Some species may have a significant role in biological control of aphid species, whiteflies, and other soft-bodied insects (El-Adl *et al.*, 1988; Parajulee *et al.*, 1997; Slosser *et al.*, 1998).

Today, biological control is an increasingly important component of integrated pest management (IPM) programs for agriculture as well as for urban environment. Therefore, techniques for mass production of some predators and parasitoids may be relatively help in solving the problem of

insect pests on soybean and reduce the hazard of pesticides to human and environment in Egypt. The role of the predacious insects in suppressing the population of the main insect pests of soybean and other crop has been reported by several investigators (El-Adl *et al.*,1988). Biological control can only be applied in the most efficient way if sampling techniques give reasonably precise estimates of pest densities. Colored sticky traps have been widely used as flight traps to monitor predator population in many agroecosystem (Parajulee and Phillips, 1995; Mensah, 1997; Weston and Barney, 1998).

However, scanty intention has been paid to compare of sampling methods of coccinellids. Therefore, the objective of this study was to compare sampling method techniques of *S. syriacus* and *C. undecimpunctata* adults on soybean plantations in Mansoura district.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Samplings of *S. syriacus* and *C. undecimpunctata* were carried out in Mansoura district, Dakahlia Governorate during 2008 and 2009 seasons. Several types of yellow sticky cards, and sweep-net thin indirectly measured adult abundance based on activity and visual examination (leave-turn method) and whole-plant methods as two direct count sampling methods based on the census of adult on or from the plant.

I. Sampling techniques:

1. Yellow sticky traps:

Three basic types of yellow sticky traps were evaluated during the 2008-2009 seasons; vertically oriented cylinder, vertically oriented, two-sided traps and vertically oriented, one-sided traps. The height and placement of the traps relative to the field were varied over the study. The cylinder traps was made by wrapping a sticky trap (22 by 10 cm) around a plastic pipe thin was then placed on a wooden stake. The two-sided trap measured (11 by 10 cm) and was positioned vertically on a wooden stake. The one-sided trap measured (11 by 10 cm) and was oriented horizontally with the sticky surface facing skyward. The one-and two-sided traps were stapled to plastic pot stakes and then attached to wooden stakes in the field. The stakes were placed within the row and plants were cleared as necessary to ensure thin leaves did not become entangled on the sticky surface. The trap height was adjusted as needed throughout the season.

2. Visual examination (leaf-turn method):

The visual examination method involved examination of the terminal and all structures beginning in the terminal and working down through the plant. Adults of *S. syriacus* and *C. undecimpunctata* on leaves and stems also were recorded on 10 plants selected randomly during the examination.

3. Whole plant:

The sample unit consisted of two plants chosen randomly from interior rows of a Soybean plot. A white cylindrical bag of nylon (1 m diameter and 1.5 m long) with a drawstring in each end was lowered over the plant and folded flat on the ground so thin it surrounded the base of the plant. The

lower drawstring was tightened around the base of plant and the plant was cut in ground level and the bag containing the plant and insects was taken to laboratory. The bag and plants were frozen to kill the insects and then plants and bag were examined and counted all the insects (Byerly *et al.*, 1978).

4. Sweep-net:

Hundred double strokes were taken weekly from plants. Each collected sample was put into plastic bags and transferred to the laboratory. Specimens were anaesthetized by diethyl ether and examined. Adults of *S. syriacus* and *C. undecimpunctata* were counted.

II. Stinistical analysis:

Mean values for counts of *S. syriacus* and *C. undecimpunctata* for all sampling methods were determined using analysis of variance (ANOVA) (CoStat, 2004).

The level of precision per unit of cost (Buntin, 1994) was compared among the sampling methods. Relative variation (RV) was used to measure the precision of the sampling method. RV was calculated as the percentage of mean standard error relative to the mean:

$$RV = (SE / m) * 100$$

Where SE is the standard error of the sample mean and *m* is the sample mean. Therefore, a smaller RV indicated greater precision. Southwood (1978) reported that $RV \leq 25$ was suitable for extensive sampling programs. Relative net precision (RNP) was calculated and used to measure the efficiency of the sampling method (Buntin1994). RNP is measure that equally considers the precision of the sampling method and its cost typically expressed in labor time. It was calculated as follows:

$$RNP = [1 / (RVm) (C)] * 100$$

Where RVm is the mean relative variation and C is the cost to process one sample. Cost values were determined by averaging the time required to collect by averaging the time required to collect, and count.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

1. *Scymnus syriacus*

As shown in Table (1), the count of predator, *S. syriacus* was highly significantly effect by sampling methods.

Sweep-net count more significant adults of *S. syriacus* than the other sampling methods during 2008 and 2009 seasons (Figure 2). These findings agree with the result of Parajulee and Slosser (2003) who pointed out the sticky traps captured significantly more of *Hippodamia convergens* and *Scymnus loewii* and greater capture efficiency and more affectively detected lady beetles compared with vacuum sampler. Furthermore, in 2008 season, there were no significant notes between one sided sticky trap and whole-plant. In addition, there was no significant between Cylinder sticky trap and two-sided sticky trap. But, in 2009 season, there was no significant between Cylinder sticky, one sided sticky traps, and visual examination.

Data in Figure (1) showed the relative population trends of *S. syriacus* estimated with cylinder sticky trap, two-sided sticky trap, one sided sticky trap, whole-plant, sweep-net, and visual examination.

Table (1): One way analysis of variance (ANOVA) for the impact of sampling method on the numbers of *S. syriacus* during seasons of 2008 and 2009 in Mansoura district.

Factor	Sum of squares	Degrees of freedom	Mean square	F. Test	P
2008					
Method	1190.61	5	238.12	12.82	.0000 ***
Error	1225.16	66	18.563		
2009					
Method	589.20	5	117.8	5.2244	.0004 ***
Error	1488.70	66	22.55		




Figure (1): Relative population trends of *S. syriacus* estimated with cylinder sticky trap, two-sided sticky trap, one-sided sticky trap, whole-plant, sweep-net and visual examination on soybean plants during 2008 and 2009 seasons in Mansoura district.

All sampling methods indicated similar population trends throughout the two seasons. For all methods, adult populations were low early and increase linear during 2008 and 2009 seasons. The relative variation of the sweep-net and one-sided sticky trap methods were significantly less than of the other four methods for sampling *S. syriacus* (Table 2). Therefore, it is the optimum sampling methods and indicated greater precision for sampling *S. syriacus*. Sweep-net recorded the highest RNP compared with the other five sampling methods. This indicated thin the sweep-net is the greater sampling efficiency. Parajulee and Slosser (2003) also reported increasing in capture efficiency of sticky trap sample for *S. syriacus* compared with the vacuum sampler. Stephens and Losey (2004) also reported thin the sticky cards caught significantly more coccinellids than sweep-net and visual searching.

During the course of this study, only six sampling methods capture the predator, *S. syriacus*. Percent of sampling methods capture to *S. syriacus* on soybean plants during 2008 and 2009 seasons is shown in Figure (3).




Figure (2): Mean number ± SE of *S. syriacus* adults collected with cylinder sticky trap, two-sided sticky trap, one-sided sticky trap, whole-plant, sweep-net, and visual examination on soybean plants during 2008 and 2009 seasons in Mansoura district.




Figure (3): A comparison of percent of sampling methods capture to *S. syriacus* on soybean plants during 2008 and 2009 seasons in Mansoura district.

Table (2): Mean number of *S. syriacus* \pm SE, relative variation (R.V.), sampling cost (C), and relative net precision (R.N.P.) for six sampling technique on soybean plants during 2008 and 2009 seasons in Mansoura district.

Sampling methods	Means \pm SE	R.V	C	R.N.P
2008				
Cylinder	2.66 \pm 0.6	22.55	0.016	277.77
Two-sided	3.91 \pm 0.8	20.46	0.016	305.80
One-sided	2.16 \pm 0.8	20.46	0.014	349.60
Visual examination	6.33 \pm 1.4	22.116	0.027	167.50
Sweep-net	13.5 \pm 2.3	13.03	0.015	400.00
Whole-plant	1.75 \pm 0.3	17.14	0.017	344.80
2009				
Cylinder	4.83 \pm 1.3	26.91	0.018	208.33
Two-sided	6.58 \pm 1.9	28.875	0.017	204.8
One-sided	3.83 \pm 0.6	15.66	0.015	434.78
Visual examination	5.33 \pm 1.1	20.637	0.028	173.30
Sweep-net	10.91 \pm 1.9	17.41	0.016	359.70
Whole-plant	1.58 \pm 0.4	25.315	0.015	263.85

2- *Coccinella undecimpunctata*

Results in Table (2) showed one way analysis of variance (ANOVA) for the impact of sampling methods on the numbers of *C. undecimpunctata*. The count of *C. undecimpunctata* was highly significantly effect by sampling methods in 2008 and 2009 seasons. Data in Figure (4) indicated that there were considerable changes in the total number of the insect caught with all sampling methods. Visual examination count more insect than sweep-net and

whole-plant during 2008 and 2009 seasons. Population estimated with visual examination recorded three peaks in the 3rd week of July, 2nd week of August, and 1st week of September but the population caught with seep-net peaked in the 4th week July, 1st week of August, and 1st week of September, but the population caught with whole-plant peaked in 4th week June, 4th week of July, and 1st week August during 2008 seasons. Moreover, in 2009 season, the population estimated with visual examination recorded two peaks in the 1st week of August and 2nd week of September but the population caught with sweep-net peaked in the 4th week July, 4th week of August, and 3rd week of September. Meanwhile, the population caught with whole-plant peaked in 2nd week July, and 3rd week of August during 2008 season.

Table (3): One way analysis of variance (ANOVA) for the impact of sampling method on the numbers of *C. undecimpunctata* during seasons of 2008 and 2009 in Mansoura district.

Factor	Sum of squares	Degrees of freedom	Mean square	F. Test	P
2008					
Method	110.72	2	55.36	10.56	0.000 ***
Error	172.91	33	5.23		
2009					
Method	70.22	2	35.11	8.7117	0.000 ***
Error	133	33	4.03		

Table (4): Mean number \pm SEM of *C. undecimpunctata*, relative variation (R.V.), sampling cost (C), and relative net precision (R.N.P.) for three sampling technique of *C. undecimpunctata* on soybean plants during 2008 and 2009 seasons in Mansoura district.

Sampling methods	Means \pm SE	R.V	C	R.N.P
2008				
Visual examination	5.5 \pm 0.6	10.90	0.033	277.77
Sweep-net	2.83 \pm 0.8	28.268	0.033	107.50
Whole-plant	1.25 \pm 0.3	24	0.033	126.58
2009				
Visual examination	4.16 \pm 0.4	9.61	0.033	322.58
Sweep-net	1.83 \pm 0.8	43.715	0.033	69.44
Whole-plant	0.83 \pm 0.3	36.14	0.033	84.03

Data in Figure (5) showed the mean number \pm SE of adult *C. undecimpunctata* collected with whole-plant, sweep-net, and visual examination. There were significant differences between sampling methods. Visual examination had the most adult of insect during the two seasons. Moreover, there were no significant differences between whole-plant and sweep-net.

Visual examination recorded the highest percent of capture *C. undecimpunctata* 2008 and 2009 (Figure 6). Visual examination had the lowest associated RV value in the first and second seasons (10.90 and 9.61, respectively). Moreover, the sweep-net had the highest calculated RV value in the 2008 and 2009 seasons. Our results were similar to those reported from visual counts on grain sorghum by Michels and Behle (1992). Visual

Abdel-Salam, A. H. et al.

examination had the highest calculated RNP value (most efficient) compared with all other sampling methods in the first and second seasons (Table 2). Knutson *et al.* (2008) showed that sweep-net was the most cost reliable for sampling adults of Coccinellidae.




Figure (4): Relative population trends of *C. undecimpunctata* estimated with whole-plant, sweep-net, and visual examination on soybean plants during 2008 and 2009 seasons in Mansoura district.




Figure (5): Mean number \pm SE of adult *C. undecimpunctata* collected with whole-plant, sweep-net, and visual examination on soybean plants during 2008 and 2009 seasons in Mansoura district.




Figure (6): A comparison of percent of sampling methods capture to *C. undecimpunctata* on soybean plants during 2008 and 2009 seasons in Mansoura district.

REFERENCES

- Buntin, G. D. (1994). Developing a primary sampling program, pp. 99-115. In L.P. Pedigo and G. D. Buntin [eds.], Handbook of sampling methods for arthropods in agriculture. CRC, Boca Raton, Fl.
- Byerly, K. F., A. P. Gutierrez, R. E. Jones and R.F. Luck. (1978). A comparison of sampling methods for some arthropod population in cotton. *Hilgardia* 46: 257-282.
- CoStat Software. 2004. CoStat. www.cohort.com. Monterey, California, USA.
- El-Adl, M. A.; A. A. Ghanim and A. A. A. Said (1988). Field trials on predatory insects inhabiting soybean and their susceptibility to some insecticides and their combinations with two IGR's IN Mansoura, Egypt. *J. Agric. Sci. Mansoura Univ.* 13:1427-1434.
- Knutson, A. E; M.A Muegge; L.T. Wilson and S. E. Naranjo (2008). Evaluation of sampling methods and development of sample plans for estimating predator densities in cotton. *J. Econ. Entomol.* 101(4): 1501-1509.
- Mensah, R. K. (1997). Yellow traps can be used to monitor population of *Coccinella transversalis* (F.) and *Adalia bipunctata* (L.) (Coleoptera: Coccinellidae) in cotton crops. *Aust. J. Entomol.* 36:377-381.
- Michels, G.J. ,Jr. and R.W. Behle (1992). Evaluation of sampling methods for lady beetles (Coleoptera: Coccinellidae) in grain sorghum. *J. Econ. Entomol.*, 85(6): 2251-2257
- Parajulee, M. N; and T. W. Phillips. (1995). Seasonal abundance and spatial patterns of the predator, *Lyctocoris campestris* in stored corn. *Entomol. Exp. Appl.*, 75:33-42.
- Parajulee, M. N; and J. E. Slosser (2003). Potential of yellow sticky traps for lady beetle survey in cotton. *J. Econ. Entomol.*, 96(1): 239-245.

- Parajulee, M. N; R. Montandon and J. E. Slosser. (1997). Relay intercropping to enhance abundance of insect predators of cotton aphid (*Aphis gossypii* Glover) in Texas cotton. Int. J. Pest Mange., 43:227-232.
- Southwood, T. R. E. (1978). Ecological methods with particular reference to the study of insect population, 2nd ed. Chapman Hall, London, United Kingdom.
- Slosser, J. E., W. E. Pinchak, and D. R. Rummel. (1998). Biotic and a biotic regulation of *Aphis gossypii* Glover in west Texas dry land cotton. Southwest. Entomol. 23:31-65.
- Stephens, E. J. and J. E. Losey. (2004). Comparison of sticky cards, visual and sweep sampling of coccinellid populations in alfalfa. Environ. Entomol., 33(3): 535-539.
- Weston, P. A., and R. J. Barney (1998). Comparison of three trap types for monitoring insect population in stored grains. J. Econ. Entomol., 91:1449-1457.

مقارنة بعض طرق أخذ العينات لكل من الحشرة الكاملة للمفترسين الإسكمنس الأسود وأبو العيد ذوا الإحدى عشرة نقطة على نباتات فول الصويا في منطقة المنصورة.

عادل حسن عبدالسلام¹ ، أحمد محمود أبو النجاشي² ، عبد البديع عبد الحميد غاتم¹ ، محمود السيد النجار¹ وعبدالفتاح محمود محمد²

١- قسم الحشرات الاقتصادية - كلية الزراعة - جامعة المنصورة

٢- معهد بحوث وقاية النباتات - مركز البحوث الزراعية - وزارة الزراعة

أجريت هذه الدراسة لمقارنة بعض طرق أخذ العينات لكل من الحشرة الكاملة للمفترسين الإسكمنس الأسود وأبو العيد ذوا الإحدى عشرة نقطة على فول الصويا خلال موسمي ٢٠٠٨ و ٢٠٠٩ لتحديد مدى دقة وكفاءة هذه الطرق في تحديد التعداد الحقيقي في الحقل. تم تقييم ثلاثة أنواع من المصائد اللاصقة الصفراء (على شكل إسطواني ، المصائد ذات الوجهين اللاصقين، وذات الوجه الواحد اللاصق) ، شبكة الجمع كطرق للعدّ الغير مباشر والتي تعتمد على نشاط الحشرة والفحص المباشر و Whole-plant كطرق مباشرة للعدّ والتي تعتمد على التواجد الفعلي على النبات.

بينت النتائج أن هناك فروق عالية المعنوية بين طرق أخذ العينات للمفترس الإسكمنس الأسود حيث سجلت شبكة الجمع أعلى تعداد للمفترس مقارنة بالطرق الأخرى خلال موسمي الدراسة وكذلك عدم وجود معنوية بين المصائد ذات الوجه الواحد اللاصق ، Whole-plant وكذلك عدم وجود معنوية بين المصائد اللاصقة على شكل إسطواني والمصائد ذات الوجهين اللاصقين خلال الموسم الأول. بينما في الموسم الثاني أشارت النتائج عدم وجود فروق معنوية بين الفحص المباشر والمصائد اللاصقة على شكل إسطواني والمصائد ذات الوجه الواحد اللاصق. بينما سجلت طريقة شبكة الجمع والمصائد ذات الوجه الواحد اللاصق أقل قيمة للإختلاف المطلق خلال موسمي الدراسة. كذلك سجلت شبكة الجمع أعلى قيمة لقيمة الدقة النسبية مما يدل على أنها أكثر الطرق كفاءة لتقدير التعداد الحقيقي للمفترس الإسكمنس الأسود. أشارت النتائج أيضا وجود فروق معنوية بين طرق أخذ العينات للمفترس أبو العيد ذوا الإحدى عشرة نقطة خلال موسمي الدراسة حيث سجلت طريقة الفحص المباشر أعلى تعداد للمفترس خلال موسمي الدراسة وكذلك سجلت طريقة الفحص المباشر أقل قيمة للإختلاف المطلق خلال موسمي الدراسة كما سجلت أيضا أعلى قيمة لقيمة الدقة النسبية مما يدل على إنها أكثر الطرق كفاءة لتقدير التعداد الحقيقي للمفترس على نباتات فول الصويا.

قام بتحكيم البحث

كلية الزراعة - جامعة المنصورة

كلية الزراعة - جامعة القاهرة

أ.د / سمير صالح إبراهيم عوض الله

أ.د / محمود السيد نور