

## BACTERIOLOGICAL ASPECTS OF FRESH FRESHWATER AND FROZEN MARINE FISHES AT MANSOURA CITY

**Elgazzar, M. M. M. and Abd-Elghany, S. M.**

Food Hygiene and Control Department, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Mansoura University.

### ABSTRACT

*A total of 60 sensuously sound fishes consisted of 30 fresh freshwater fishes (10 each of iced *Tilapia nilotica*, iced *Mugil cephalus* and freshly killed *Clarias lazera*) besides 30 frozen marine ones (10 each of Mackerel, Sardine and Herring), were randomly purchased from different markets in Mansoura city. Each fish was represented by aseptically excised back 60 g-muscle. All excised samples were tested bacteriologically.*

*Bacteriological analyses showed the presence of aerobic mesophiles in all (100%) muscle samples by mean levels of  $2.8 \times 10^4$ ,  $2.6 \times 10^4$  and  $4.6 \times 10^4$  organisms/g of fresh freshwater fishes alongside  $5.3 \times 10^3$ ,  $6.4 \times 10^3$  and  $6 \times 10^4$  organisms/g of frozen marine fishes; whereas, psychrotrophic bacterial contamination were recognized in 8 (80%) - 10 (100%) of the same samples by mean of  $3 \times 10^4$ ,  $2.3 \times 10^4$  and  $1.2 \times 10^4$  as well as  $3.6 \times 10^3$ ,  $4.7 \times 10^3$  and  $4.7 \times 10^2$  organisms/g. Similarly, Enterobacteriaceae contamination were recovered from the muscles of 6 (60%)-8 (80%) and 9 (90%)-10 (100%) of both fishes' samples by mean levels of  $2.6 \times 10^2$ ,  $2.4 \times 10^2$ ,  $7.1 \times 10^2$  and  $4.3 \times 10^2$ ,  $8.3 \times 10$ ,  $1.1 \times 10^2$  organisms/g, consecutively; while, *Staphylococcus aureus* organisms could not be detected in all examined samples. Bacteriological analyses could also detect the contamination with *Aeromonas* organisms in 6 (60%) - 8 (80%) of fresh freshwater in addition to 7 (70%) - 10 (100%) of frozen marine fishes by mean of  $2 \times 10^2$ ,  $3.6 \times 10^2$ ,  $3.5 \times 10^2$  and  $3.9 \times 10^2$ ,  $3.1 \times 10^2$ ,  $4.2 \times 10^2$  organisms/g, successively. *Salmonellae* were exclusively found only in one (10%) every of samples taken from iced *Tilapia nilotica* and *Mugil cephalus* alongside freshly killed *Clarias lazera*. The all three strains, obtained from the identical number of aforementioned samples, were serologically identified as *Salmonella* Kottbus. An exclusive investigation of vibrios organisms revealed their occurrence only in one (10%) frozen Mackerel plus 2 (20%) frozen Sardine samples, identified as one *V. cholerae* plus 2 *V. parahaemolyticus* strains.*

*Microbiological risk assessment of all surveyed fishes, through comparing different bacterial populations contained in their tissues with the relevant limits, showed that 3 (30%) out of the overall 30 fresh freshwater fish muscle samples and 1 (10%) out of 10 frozen Sardine muscle samples were harboured *Vibrio cholerae* organisms, thus exceeded the recommended limit (free for both organisms), whereas none of the*

tested samples exceeded the recommended limit for aerobic mesophiles, *Enterobacteriaceae* or *Staphylococcus aureus* organisms.

The types and numbers of bacterial strains-obtained from the surveyed flesh samples of fresh freshwater and frozen marine fishes- after being picked up randomly from the separate colonies each of psychrotrophs grown onto plate count agar, presumptive *Aeromonas* spp on starch-ampicillin agar, presumptive *Salmonella* spp on XLD agar and *Vibrios* spp on TCBS agar, a total of 73 strains distributed as 2 strains of *Acinetobacter calcoaceticus*; 2 and 2 *Aeromonas hydrophila* and *Aeromonas salmonicida*; 2 *Alcaligenes faecalis*; 4 and 3 *Bacillus cereus* and *Bacillus circulans*; 1 *Branhaemilla catarrhalis*; 4 and 3 *Chromobacterium lividum* and *Chromobacterium violaceum*; 4 *Citrobacter freundii*; 2 *Corynebacterium ulcerans*; 2 and 5 *Enterobacter agglomerans* and *Enterobacter cloacae*; 3 *Escherichia coli*; 3 and 2 *Micrococcus luteus* and *Micrococcus varians*; 2 *Niessera flavescens*; 1 *Proteus vulgaris*; 5, 3 and 4 *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, *Pseudomonas alcaligenes* and *Pseudomonas fluorescens*; 3 *Salmonella* Kottbus; 1 *Serratia liquefaciens*; 4 and 3 *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Staphylococcus epidermidis* besides 1 and 2 strains of *Vibrio cholerae* and *Vibrio parahaemolyticus*, successively.

Typing of *Enterobacteriaceae* organisms- picked up randomly from the separate colonies grown onto plates of violet red bile glucose agar and obtained from flesh samples of all tested fresh freshwater and frozen marine fishes- showed a sum of 36 *Enterobacteriaceae* strains identified as 5, 1 and 1 strains of *Citrobacter freundii*, *Citrobacter diversus* and *Citrobacter morgani*; 4, 2 and 8 *Enterobacter agglomerans*, *Enterobacter cloacae* and *Enterobacter hafniae*; 4 *Escherichia coli*; 2, 1 and 2 *Proteus mirabilis*, *Proteus rettgeri* and *Proteus vulgaris*; 1 *Providencia alcalifaciens* plus 3 and 2 strains of *Serratia liquefaciens* and *Serratia rubidaea*, consecutively.

## **INTRODUCTION**

Fish are nutritious food that constitute a desirable components of healthy diet and considered an excellent source of high quality protein containing a good balance of essential amino acids and having a high biological value and containing lipids with high levels of unsaturated fatty acids which contributing to the enhancement of human health by reducing the risk of cardiovascular disease. Likewise, fish are characteristically tender, easily digest and good source of most B-complex vitamins and also contribute significant levels

of minerals including cobalt, iron, copper, zinc, sodium, potassium, magnesium, phosphorous, iodine and fluorine. Familiarity, taste, low-cost and convenience are some of the appealing factors that make fish a substitute for the red meat as a source of animal protein, providing an opportunity for consumers to meet their daily nutritional requirements (NRC, 1998).

Although, the flesh of freshly-caught fishes is sterile and bacteria are only found, in variable numbers, on their skins and gills as well

as in their intestines (Hayes, 1992), most fishes are exposed to animal and/or human wastes from the local environments and can easily be contaminated by a wide variety of pathogenic organisms, thus fishes and other creatures that inhabit waters close to the shores are particularly susceptible to bacterial contamination contained in raw sewage, such as Salmonella and Vibrio organisms (Satin, 2008). The contamination by these pathogens originates mainly from: (1) pathogens are naturally inhabitant in seafoods or in the marine and fresh water environment as (Vibrio and Aeromonas spp), (2) fecal pollution (Salmonella spp, Shigella spp and fecal coliforms) and (3) processing, handling and preparation (V. parahaemolyticus, V. cholerae, Salmonella spp, Shigella spp and Staphylococcus aureus). Many of these pathogens pose a slight risk to normal human populations, but all pose serious risk to specific population groups such as persons with defects in their immune systems (Archer and Young, 1988).

Therefore, the overall objectives of this work were intended to assess the microbiological risk in raw flesh of some common freshwater fishes (iced Tilapia nilotica and freshly killed Clarias lazera plus Mugil cephalus), besides that of some frozen marine fishes (Mackerel, Sardine and Herring) widely consumed in Egypt through fulfilling the following points: (1) Estimation of the total bacterial counts of aerobic mesophiles (APC), psychrotrophs, Enterobacteriaceae, Staphylococcus aureus, Aeromonas spp and halophiles per each gram of the examined fish muscles, (2) Detection and serotyping of the Salmonella organisms particularly those usually implicated

in food-poisoning outbreaks among consumers, (3) Detection and identification of Aeromonas spp particularly pathogenic and food-poisoning types and (4) Detection and identification of Vibrio spp particularly V. parahaemolyticus and V. cholerae.

## **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

### **[A] COLLECTION OF SAMPLES :**

A total of 60 sensuously sound fishes consisted of 30 fresh freshwater fishes (10 each of iced Tilapia nilotica, iced Mugil cephalus and freshly killed Clarias lazera) besides 30 frozen marine ones (10 each of Mackerel, Sardine and Herring), were randomly purchased from different markets in Mansoura city. Each sampled fish was aseptically and individually packed into a polyethylene bag then marked and transferred -in icebox with a minimum of delay- to the laboratory of Food Hygiene and Control Department, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Mansoura University, wherein the bacteriological analyses were done.

### **[B] PREPARATION OF SAMPLES (ICMSF, 1986):**

A sum of 60 g-back was muscle were aseptically excised from every sampled fresh freshwater fish then divided into 3 portions, meanwhile only 35 g-back muscle was similarly taken from frozen marine fish and divided into 2 portions. The first 10 g-muscle portion of each of all fishes was homogenized with 90 ml of 0.1% sterile peptone water (Oxoid CM0009) in a laboratory blender (Type: Moulinex-made in France)/one min for obtaining an original dilution of 1:10. One ml from each of the original dilutions was transferred to sterile test tubes containing 9 ml of the same

diluent to be diluted in a sequential manner preparing a tenfold serial dilutions up to 10<sup>6</sup> for bacterial enumeration of aerobic mesophiles, psychrotrophs, Enterobacteriaceae, *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Aeromonas* in surveyed muscles. The second 25 g-muscle portion, excised from freshwater fishes was investigated for presence of salmonellae by pre-enriching it in 225 ml of sterile buffered peptone water (Oxide CM0509B) for 24 h at 37°C after being blended for one min, whilst identical 25 g-muscle portion taken from frozen marine fishes was directed for detecting both vibrios and *Aeromonas* organisms through enriching it in 225 ml of sterile alkaline peptone water (APW), pH 8.6 (Oxoid CM1028B) for 8 & 24 h at 37°C after blending them for one min. Finally, the third 25 g-muscle portion, only derived from freshwater fishes, was consigned to recognize *Aeromonas* organisms via enriching it in 225 ml of sterile alkaline peptone water, pH 8.6 (Oxoid CM1028B) for 24 h at 37°C.

### **[C] BACTERIOLOGICAL ANALYSES:**

#### **(1) Aerobic plate count (ICMSF, 1986):**

A tenth ml from each prepared serial dilution was transferred and evenly spread over a dry surface of duplicated, previously prepared sterile plate count agar medium (Oxoid CM0325B). The surface of inoculated plates was allowed to dry for 15 min before being placed inverted with control plates in the incubator adjusted at 30°C for 2 days. The bacterial colonies in the countable plates (having 30-300 colonies) were enumerated and the aerobic plate count per each g of the examined samples was calculated and recorded.

#### **(2) Total psychrotrophic count (Cousin et al., 1992):**

The same procedures were taken place as for counting APCs with the exception of incubation temperature / time were 5°C/7 days. Separate colonies of different morphological characters, previously grown on plate count agar plates used for enumeration of psychrotrophs, were picked and inoculated into tryptone soya broth then incubated at 37°C for 24 h, and purified onto nutrient agar slants for identifying them by using different biochemical tests, according to **Holt et al. (1994)**.

#### **(3) Enterobacteriaceae count (ISO, 1993):**

Duplicated sets of sterile Petri dishes were inoculated with 1-ml amounts of the chosen range of prepared dilutions. quantity of about 15 ml of violet red bile glucose agar (Oxoid CM485B), melted and cooled to 45°C, was added to each inoculated Petri dish, then mixed well and allowed to set. Another 5 ml of the same agar/temperature was finally overlain every plate, which left to be solidified, then incubated "inverted" at 30°C for 24 h. Typical colonies of Enterobacteriaceae (red surrounded by precipitation of bile salts in the medium and having 0.5 mm or more in diameter) were enumerated in the countable plates (having 25-250 colonies) and the Enterobacteriaceae count per g of the examined sample was calculated and recorded. Separate colonies were picked and inoculated into tryptone soya broth and incubated at 37°C for 24 h, then purified onto nutrient agar slants for identification according to **MacFaddin (1976)**.

**(4) Staphylococcus aureus count (AOAC, 1984):**

From the previously prepared dilutions, 0.2 ml from the selected dilutions was transferred and evenly spread onto dried surfaces of duplicate plates of Baird-Parker (B-P) selective agar (Oxoid CM275) with egg-yolk tellurite emulsion. Inoculated plates were allowed to dry then incubated at 37°C for 48 h. Colonies exhibiting typical morphology, grey-black to jet black, circular, smooth, convex, 2-3 mm in diameter with a narrow white entire margin surrounded by a zone of clearing extended 2-5 mm in the opaque medium, the Staphylococcus aureus count per g of the examined sample was calculated and recorded.

**(5) Enumeration and identification of Aeromonas species (Palumbo et al., 2001):**

**1- Enumeration of Aeromonas species:**

From the previously prepared dilutions, a tenth ml from the selected dilutions was transferred and evenly spread onto dried surfaces of duplicate plates of starch-ampicillin agar. Inoculated plates with control one were allowed to dry then incubated at 28°C for 24 h. Colonies exhibiting typical morphology (yellow to honey colored, 3 to 5 mm in diameter and having a clear zone surrounding the colony "amylase-positive" after flooding plates with 5 ml Lugol iodine solution) were scored as presumptive Aeromonas species. Five of these colonies were picked up, purified onto nutrient agar slopes, incubated at 37°C /24 h and subjected for confirmatory biochemical identification. The total Aeromonas count per g was then calculated and recorded.

**2- Identification of Aeromonas species:**

Aseptically, 25 g muscle sample was thoroughly blended with 225 ml sterile alkaline peptone water (APW) having pH 8.6 (Oxoid CM1028B) for one min then incubated at 37°C for 24 h. A loopful from each of the enriched homogenate was inoculated onto the dried surface every of duplicate plates of starch-ampicillin agar which then incubated at 28°C for 24 h. Five presumptive Aeromonas colonies (yellow to honey colored, 3 to 5 mm in diameter and having a clear zone surrounding the colony "amylase-positive" after flooding plates with 5 ml Lugol iodine solution) were picked up, purified onto nutrient agar slopes, incubated at 37°C for 24 h and directed for confirmatory identification by using different biochemical tests.

**(6) Isolation and identification of salmonellae (AOAC, 1995):**

Aseptically, 25 g of each fish muscle was thoroughly mixed with 225 ml sterile buffered peptone water (Oxoid CM0509B) for one min then the homogenate was incubated at 37°C for 18-24 h. One ml from each pre-enrichment resuscitation culture was aseptically transferred to sterile tube containing 10 ml Rappaport-Vassiliadis medium (Oxoid CM669) then incubated at 42°C for 18-24 h. A loopful from each of the enriched tubes was inoculated onto the surface of xylose lysine desoxycholate (XLD) agar plates (Oxoid CM0469B) and then the plates were incubated at 37°C for 24h. Presumptive Salmonella colonies (pink colonies with or without black centers on XLD) were picked up and purified on nutrient agar slopes and incubated at 37°C for 24 h for identification through complete biochemical tests, then presumptive

salmonellae were confirmed serologically through determination of O (somatic) and H (flagellar) antigens) by slide agglutination technique which performed, in central laboratories of health ministry in Cairo/Egypt.

#### **(7) Isolation and identification of *Vibrio* species (FDA, 1998):**

Aseptically, 25 g marine fish muscle was thoroughly mixed with 225 ml sterile alkaline peptone water (APW) having pH 8.6 (Oxoid CM1028B) for one min then incubated at 37°C for 8 and 24 h. At 8 and 24 h a loopful (without shaking the flask) from each of the enriched homogenate was inoculated on to the surface of Thiosulfate Citrate Bile Sucrose (TCBS) agar plates (Oxoid CM0333B) and then the plates were incubated at 37°C for 24 h. On TCBS plate, presumptive *V. cholera* appeared as yellow colonies (sucrose positive), whereas probable *V. parahaemolyticus* showed green colonies (sucrose negative). Typical *Vibrio* colonies were picked up and inoculated into tryptone soya broth with 3% NaCl, incubated at 37°C for 24 h, purified onto nutrient agar slants with 3% NaCl and subjected for confirmatory biochemical tests by using different media contained 2.5% NaCl.

The data obtained in this study were statistically analyzed according to methods described by **Snedecor (1989)**.

### **DISCUSSION**

Inspection of data arranged in Table (1) exhibits the omnipresence of aerobic mesophilic bacteria in flesh of all surveyed fishes, as they found in 10 (100%) every of fresh

freshwater fishes; iced *Tilapia nilotica*, iced *Mugil cephalus* and freshly killed *Clarias lazera*, as well as of frozen marine fishes; frozen Mackerel, frozen Sardine and frozen Herring, were harbored those organisms. **Saleh and El-Kewalecy (2008)** detected those contaminants by lower presence (88% & 92%) in flesh samples of both raw *Tilapia nilotica* and frozen Mackerel, respectively, whilst by similar occurrence (100%) in flesh samples of raw *Mugil cephalus*, whereas **Shaaban et al. (2001)** could not find bacterial contamination in any flesh sample of 75 freshly caught *Tilapia* fishes. These noticeable variations in occurrence of aerobic mesophilic bacteria can be explained by corresponding differences in pollution degree of catch waters as well as in handling conditions (**Huss et al., 1974 and Frazier, 1988**).

Intensities of aerobic mesophilic bacteria were estimated in flesh samples of overall fishes, surveyed in this work, expressed as aerobic plate counts which found in fresh freshwater fishes as ranges of  $3 \times 10^2$ - $1.3 \times 10^5$  with a mean of  $2.8 \times 10^4 \pm 1.3 \times 10^4$  organisms/g in iced *Tilapia nilotica*;  $10^2 \times 1.4 \times 10^5$  with a mean of  $2.6 \times 10^4 \pm 1.4 \times 10^4$  organisms/g in iced *Mugil cephalus* in addition to  $2 \times 10^2$ - $1.5 \times 10^5$  with a mean of  $4.6 \times 10^4 \pm 2.3 \times 10^4$  organisms/g in freshly killed *Clarias lazera*, respectively. Whereas these levels in flesh samples taken from frozen marine fishes were  $4 \times 10^2$ - $1.9 \times 10^4$  with a mean of  $5.3 \times 10^3 \times 1.9 \times 10^3$  organisms/g in frozen Mackerel;  $2 \times 10^2$ - $3.2 \times 10^4$  with mean of  $6.4 \times 10^3 \pm 3 \times 10^3$  organisms/g in frozen Sardine besides  $1.2 \times 10^3$ - $2.4 \times 10^5$  with a mean of  $6 \times 10^4 \pm 2.6 \times 10^4$  organisms/g in frozen Herring, successively (Table 2).

Approximately similar levels of aerobic plate counts were estimated in flesh of *Clarias lazera* (mean of  $7.8 \times 10^4$  organisms/g) by **Morshdy (1978)**; of *Tilapia nilotica* and *Mugil cephalus* (mean of  $2 \times 10^5$  and  $10^4$  organisms/g, respectively) by **Saleh and El-Kewaley (2008)**; of atlantic Mackerel (range of  $1.1 \times 10^2$ - $4.5 \times 10^4$  organisms/g) by Kolodziejska et al. (2002) and of frozen Mackerel (mean of  $4 \times 10^3$  organisms/g) by Saleh and El-Kewaley (2008). On the contrary, higher intensities of general bacterial contamination were detected in flesh of *Tilapia nilotica* as ranges of  $2 \times 10^5$  - $4 \times 10^7$ ,  $10^3$ - $8 \times 10^7$  and  $7 \times 10^4$ - $2 \times 10^6$  organisms/g by **Saleh (1990)**, **Awad (1998)** and **Yagoub (2009)**, whilst as mean levels of  $4.6 \times 10^5$  and  $1.6 \times 10^5$  organisms/g by **Abo Samra (2001)** and **Abd-Allah (2004)**, successively. Also, higher aerobic plate counts were evaluated by **Dorho (1998)** as a mean of  $6.8 \times 10^6$  organisms/g in flesh of *Mugil cephalus* fishes, as well as by **Dorho (1998)** and **Abo Samra (2001)** as mean levels of  $1.15 \times 10^7$  and  $6.8 \times 10^5$  organisms/g in flesh of *Clarias lazera*, consecutively. Flesh samples of some marine fishes might also contain aerobic mesophilic bacteria by higher counts than that obtained in this work, where Brando and **Furlanto (1984)** and **Rayasinghe and Rajakaruna (2005)** evaluated the ranges of such contaminants in flesh of 60 fishes of Sardine and Tuna by  $7.9 \times 10^3$ - $4.6 \times 10^6$  and  $3.25 \times 10^2$ - $6.83 \times 10^8$  organisms/g, respectively. Lower levels of aerobic plate counts in fish samples, identical to these investigated in this work, were obtained by many workers too; where **Saad et al. (1991)** found these counts by mean of  $8.3 \times 10^3$  and  $9.9 \times 10^3$  organisms/g of *Tilapia nilotica* and *Clarias lazera* fishes, respectively, as well as **Eves et al. (1995)**

calculated the range of such contaminants in flesh of *Oreochromis niloticus* fishes by  $3.6 \times 10^3$ - $10^4$  organisms/g. Also, **Ahmed and El-Khawas (2008)** detected the same organisms in flesh of frozen Salmon fishes, as a member of marine fishes, by mean levels ranged from  $1.2 \times 10^2$ - $5.4 \times 10^2$ , lower than that found in flesh samples of frozen marine fishes examined in the present study.

Detailed inspection of the mean levels of aerobic plate counts, obtained from the overall flesh samples of surveyed fishes, in relation to those detected by aforementioned researchers in correspondent samples, could explain the noticeable variations among these findings by the literature of **Huss et al. (1974)** who emphasized that bacterial counts decreased in fishes caught from unpolluted waters under hygienic conditions of handling, whilst increased in fishes caught from polluted waters with bad hygienic standards on board-ship during initial handling, in addition to the declaration of **Niewolak and Tucholski (2000)** as muscles of fishes, reared in ponds regularly fertilized with treated sewage, showed increases in their content of aerobic plate counts, alongside the literature of **Liston (1980)** who assured that the aerobic plate counts on fish surfaces varied enormously;  $10^2$ - $10^7$  organisms/cm<sup>2</sup> on skins and  $10^3$ - $10^9$  organisms/cm<sup>2</sup> on gills, besides the reports described the ice-used for refrigerating fishes- as an additional source of fishes contamination with bacteria, by **Vieira et al. (1997)** and **Falcão et al. (2002)** who evaluated the ranges of aerobic plate counts in ice samples by  $3.26 \times 10^2$ - $9.68 \times 10^2$  and  $1.3 \times 10^3$ - $6.1 \times 10^5$  organisms/ml, respectively. Additionally, the fewer aerobic plate counts estimated

in flesh samples of frozen both Mackerel and Sardine fishes may be attributed to the destructive effect of freezing on some but not all microorganisms found in such fishes (**Frazier and Westhoff, 1978**), whilst the relatively high aerobic plate counts recognized in flesh samples of frozen Herring fishes, approaching those calculated in flesh samples of fresh freshwater fishes, perhaps be due to temperature abuse prior to freezing (**ICMSF, 1986**).

Concerning the flesh contamination with psychrotrophic bacteria in fresh freshwater fishes, plates of plate count agar medium-incubated at 5°C/7 days showed the presence of such organisms in 10 (100%) samples every of iced *Tilapia nilotica*, iced *Mugil cephalus* and freshly killed *Clarias lazera* by populations ranged from  $1.1 \times 10^3$ - $8.9 \times 10^4$  with a mean of  $3 \times 10^4 \pm 10^4$ ,  $1.1 \times 10^3$ - $9.3 \times 10^4$  with a mean of  $2.3 \times 10^4 \pm 10^4$  and  $10^2$ - $8.8 \times 10^4$  with a mean of  $1.2 \times 10^4 \pm 0.9 \times 10^4$  organisms/g, respectively. Similarly, the same bacteriological technique could detect these organisms in frozen marine fishes samples in 10 (100%), 9 (90%) and 8 (80%) by counts ranged from  $10^2$ - $2.1 \times 10^4$  with a mean of  $3.6 \times 10^3 \pm 2 \times 10^3$ ,  $0$ - $3.2 \times 10^4$  with a mean of  $4.7 \times 10^3 \pm 3.1 \times 10^3$  and  $0$ - $1.4 \times 10^3$  with a mean of  $4.7 \times 10^2 \pm 1.3 \times 10^2$  organisms/g of frozen Mackerel, frozen Sardine and frozen Herring, successively (Tables 1 & 2).

**Mousa and Mahmoud (1997), Saleh and El-Kewatey (2008) and Mansour and El-Shaboury (2009)** could estimate psychrotrophic bacteria in flesh of *Tilapia nilotica*, *Mugil cephalus*, *Clarias lazera* and frozen Mackerel- collected from Egyptian fish markets- by mean levels of  $3.36 \times 10^4$  &  $2 \times 10^4$ ,

$10^4$ ,  $6.6 \times 10^4$  &  $2.5 \times 10^4$  and  $4 \times 10^3$  organisms/g, successively which were nearly similar to those correspondent levels, obtained in this work, moreover, the latter researchers found these contaminants by lower incidence (72% and 60%) in flesh samples of *Tilapia nilotica* and frozen Mackerel, respectively, whereas by almost equal prevalence (96%) in correspondent samples of *Mugil cephalus*. Higher levels of psychrotrophic bacteria were detected in flesh of iced *Tilapia nilotica* by **Mahmoud (1994) and Awad (1998)** as mean of  $6.9 \times 10^5$  and range of  $3.1 \times 10^3$ - $9 \times 10^6$  organisms/g as well as in flesh of iced *Mugil cephalus* and fresh Sardine by **Farag and Korashy (2009) and Brando and Furlantto (1984)** as ranges of  $1.2 \times 10^4$ - $9 \times 10^5$  and  $3 \times 10^3$ - $3.5 \times 10^6$  organisms/g, meanwhile, lower psychrotrophic bacterial populations were also evaluated as mean values of  $5.6 \times 10$  and  $3.2 \times 10^2$  organisms/g of *Tilapia nilotica* flesh-es by **Mousa and Mahmoud (1991) and Mansour and El-Shaboury (2009)**, consecutively.

Seeing the obtained findings show the widespread of psychrotrophic bacteria in flesh of tested fishes by considerable numbers agreed with that reported by **Marriot (1997)** who attributed the presence of psychrotrophic bacteria in fishes muscle to contamination from the surrounding environment, processing, equipment, workers, containers and boxes, as well as with the findings of **Mousa and Mahmoud (1997)** who emphasized that the prolonged storage and long standing of fishes at shops and markets increased enormously the counts of psychrotrophic bacteria in their flesh, in addition to the results of **Falcão et al. (2002)** who estimated the range mean



levels of psychrotrophic bacterial counts in different types of Brazilian ice, used for refrigerating fishes, by  $1.5 \times 10^5$ – $5.2 \times 10^5$  organisms/ml. Also, the presence of psychrotrophic bacteria in all analyzed flesh samples of frozen fishes by intensities probably approach those determined in fresh raw fishes, agreed with fact mentioned by Frazier and Westhoff (1978) who assured that psychrotrophic bacteria can survive freezing and are always ready to grow on thawing.

Regarding the contamination of surveyed fishes with Enterobacteriaceae organisms, the occurrence of such contaminants were represented by 8 (80%) samples of iced *Tilapia nilotica* with intensities (ranges & mean values) of  $0$ – $1.6 \times 10^3$  ( $2.6 \times 10^2 \pm 1.5 \times 10^2$ ); by 9 (90%) samples of iced *Mugil cephalus* with populations of  $0$ – $7 \times 10^2$  ( $2.4 \times 10^2 \pm 0.7 \times 10^2$ ) as well as by 6 (60%) identical samples of freshly killed *Clarias lazera* with levels of  $0$ – $4.2 \times 10^3$  ( $7.1 \times 10^2 \pm 2.4 \times 10^2$ ) organisms/g, respectively. Approximate presence of these bacterias was also recognized in correspondent samples of frozen marine fishes with levels lower than those estimated in aforementioned flesh samples of fresh freshwater fishes, where it represented by 9 (90%) samples of frozen Mackerel with counts of  $0$ – $3.7 \times 10^3$  ( $4.3 \times 10^2 \pm 1.6 \times 10^2$ ); by 6 (60%) similar samples of frozen Sardine by intensities of  $0$ – $2.9 \times 10^2$  ( $8.3 \times 10 \pm 3.4 \times 10$ ) alongside 10 (100%) samples of frozen Herring with populations of  $2 \times 10$ – $2.5 \times 10^2$  ( $1.1 \times 10^2 \pm 0.2 \times 10^2$ ) organisms/g, successively (Tables 1 & 2).

Nearly equal levels of Enterobacteriaceae populations were detected in fish fillets as a mean of  $10^3$  organisms/g by **Brock et al.**

(1984); in flesh of both *Tilapia nilotica* and *Clarias lazera* fishes as mean values of  $7 \times 10^2$  and  $8 \times 10^2$  organisms/g, respectively by **Abd El-Galil et al. (1988)**; in *Tilapia* fillets as a mean of  $2.04 \times 10^2$  organisms/g **Boari et al. (2008)**; in flesh of *Pagrus* and *Solea* (marine fishes) as mean of  $2 \times 10^2$  and  $2 \times 10^3$  organisms/g, consecutively by **Sheriff (1998)**; in flesh of frozen Mackerel as a mean of  $3 \times 10^2$  organisms/g by **Salch and El-kewatey (2008)**. However, the occurrence of the Enterobacteriaceae contaminants in flesh of tested fishes, obtained by many researchers, was fewer than that estimated in the present study, where **Salch and El-kewatey (2008)** found them in 64% and 88% flesh samples of *Tilapia nilotica* and *Mugil cephalus*, consecutively; while **David et al. (2009)** could recover these bacteria from only 52.5% similar samples of *Tilapia nilotica*, in addition to the finding of **Yagoub (2009)** who recognized such organisms exclusively in 55.3% of the overall samples of gills, intestine, skin and flesh taken from *Tilapia nilotica* fishes, alongside the lower prevalence of these contaminants as a percentage of 12% flesh samples of frozen Mackerel fish obtained by **Salch and El-kewatey (2008)**. On the contrary, higher Enterobacteriaceae counts were determined in flesh samples of *Tilapia nilotica* and *Clarias lazera* during autumn, winter, spring and summer as mean values of  $3 \times 10^3$  and  $2 \times 10^3$ ,  $2 \times 10^3$  and  $10^3$ ,  $8 \times 10^3$  and  $4 \times 10^3$  besides  $7 \times 10^3$  (each) organisms/g, respectively by **Darwish et al. (1991)**; in identical samples of *Clarias lazera* and *Tilapia nilotica* collected from fish markets as mean of  $6.84 \times 10^3$  and  $3.72 \times 10^3$  organisms/g, successively by **Moussa and Mahmoud (1997)**; in flesh of iced *Tilapia nilotica* as a range of  $4.8 \times 10^3$ – $6 \times 10^5$

organisms/g by **Awad (1998)**; in correspondent samples of *Tilapia nilotica* and *Clarias lazera* as mean of  $10^4$  organisms/g, each by **Sheriff (1998)**; in *Tilapia* fish as a range of mean levels  $2.6 \times 10^3$ - $2.1 \times 10^5$  organisms/g by **Metawea and Abd El-Ghaffar (2007)**; in *Tilapia nilotica* and *Mugil cephalus* as mean of  $2 \times 10^4$  and  $7 \times 10^3$  organisms/g, consecutively by **Saleh and El-kewatey (2008)**, successively.

Viewing the findings which described both occurrence and populations of Enterobacteriaceae organisms in tested fishes, obtained in this work, indicates the presence of these contaminants in surveyed fresh freshwater and frozen marine fishes by nearly equal and relatively high percentages, as well as by slightly lower contaminated samples than those identical ones harbored both aerobic mesophilic and psychrotrophic bacteria.

Relatively widespread occurrence of Enterobacteriaceae organisms in examined flesh samples agreed with the literature of Lerke et al. (1978) who emphasized that such bacteria in fish muscles are derived from the normal flora of skin, gills and intestine; besides the declaration of **Ogbondeminnu and Okoeme (1989)** who reported that about 50% of the bacterial contaminants-recovered from both fishes and waters of earthen ponds fertilized with animal faecal waste-were members of Enterobacteriaceae in addition to both literatures of **Lindberg et al. (1998)** and **González-Rodríguez et al. (2001)** who assured that fishes can acquire the Enterobacteriaceae contaminants from their digestive tracts alongside aquatic and processing environments. Furthermore, the comparatively

higher levels of Enterobacteriaceae organisms in surveyed flesh of freshly killed *Clarias lazera* than those detected in identical samples every of *Tilapia nilotica* and *Mugil cephalus* may be attributed to the higher intensities of such bacteria on the surface of non-scaly fishes (*Clarias lazera*) than those on scaly ones (*Tilapia nilotica* and *Mugil cephalus*) because the absence of scales giving a wide chance for these organisms to penetrate easily into the fish muscles (**Boulenger, 1907**). Also, slightly higher Enterobacteriaceae counts in tested flesh samples of raw freshwater fishes than those recognized in the similar samples of frozen marine ones can be explained by the declaration of **Roberts (1978)** who attributed the higher populations of Enterobacteriaceae organisms in freshwater fishes than those in marine ones, to the habitat of the former types, because fresh water contains higher organic load than that found in sea water thus becomes more favourable for the survival of many bacteria particularly Enterobacteriaceae alongside the salinity of sea water inhibits the growth of such organisms.

With relation to the bacteriological techniques used for investigating the presence and populations of *Staphylococcus aureus* organisms in tested flesh samples, plates of Baird-Parker with egg-yolk tellurite emulsion alongside some laboratory tests could not find such organisms in all tested flesh samples (Tables 1&2). Similarly, **El-Gohary and Samaha (1992)** as well as **Ahmed and El-Khawas (2008)** could not find *Staphylococcus aureus* organisms in flesh samples of fresh *Tilapia nilotica* or frozen Salmon, respectively. On contrary, **Dorho (1998)**, **Abo Samra (2001)**, **Boari et al. (2008)** and **Saleh**

and **El-Kewatey (2008)** could detect these bacteria in the correspondent samples of *Tilapia nilotica*, *Mugil cephalus*, *Clarias lazera*, fresh marine fishes, frozen Mackerel by a variety of occurrence and intensities. Additionally, absence of such bacteria in raw flesh samples every of tested freshwater and frozen marine fishes, could be explained by the literature of **Shewan (1871)** who assured that staphylococcal counts on freshly caught fishes very low.

Plates of starch-ampicillin agar-flooded with lugol iodine solution-showed the presence of *Aeromonas*-contamination in 7 (70%) samples by ranges (mean levels) of  $0-4 \times 10^2$  ( $2 \times 10^2 \pm 0.7 \times 10^2$ ) in iced *Tilapia nilotica*; 8 (80%) identical samples by  $0-8 \times 10^2$  ( $3.6 \times 10^2 \pm 0.9 \times 10^2$ ) in iced *Mugil cephalus* as well as in 6 (60%) similar samples by  $0-9.7 \times 10^2$  ( $3.5 \times 10^2 \pm 1.3 \times 10^2$ ) organisms/g in freshly killed *Clarias lazera*, respectively. Almost equal prevalence and populations of *Aeromonas* contaminants were detected in correspondent flesh samples of frozen marine fishes too, where they found in 9 (90%) samples by  $0-9 \times 10^2$  ( $3.9 \times 10^2 \pm 10^2$ ) in frozen Mackerel; 7 (70%) samples by  $0-6.5 \times 10^2$  ( $3.1 \times 10^2 \pm 0.7 \times 10^2$ ) in frozen Sardine in addition to 10 (100%) samples by  $1.4 \times 10^2-9 \times 10^2$  ( $4.2 \times 10^2 \pm 0.9 \times 10^2$ ) organisms/g in frozen Herring, consecutively (Tables 1 & 2).

An equal incidence of *Aeromonas* organisms (90%) was obtained in fish samples by **Tsat and Chen (1996)** in Switzerland, whilst lower occurrence of these bacteria were evaluated by **Bastawrows and Mohammed (1999)** as 46% and 18% of *Oreochromis niloticus* and *Clarias lazera* samples; **Wang and Silva**

**(1999)** as 36.1% of catfish fillets; **Abo-El-Alla (2000)** as 48% and 36.67% of *Oreochromis niloticus* and *Labeo niloticus* samples; **Davies et al. (2001)** as 40% of Eel, *Mugil cephalus*, Salmon, Mackerel and Sardine samples; **Thayumanavan et al. (2003)** as 37.3% of freshly caught finfishes; **Vivekanandhan et al. (2005)** as 33.58% of *Mugil*, Sardine and Mackerel fishes as well as by **Salch and El-Kewatey (2008)** as 32%, 40% and 16% of *Tilapia nilotica*, *Mugil cephalus* and frozen Mackerel fishes, respectively. Furthermore, approximately similar *Aeromonas* intensities were obtained by **Dorho (1998)** as a mean of  $5 \times 10^5$  in flesh samples of *Mugil cephalus*; alongside by **Boari et al. (2008)** as a mean of  $1.5 \times 10^2$  organisms/g in fresh *Tilapia* fillets. On the contrary, higher *Aeromonas* counts were detected in flesh samples of *Tilapia nilotica* as a mean of  $8.9 \times 10^2$  by **Dorho (1998)**; in *Oreochromis niloticus* and *Clarias lazera* flesh as mean levels of  $3.4 \times 10^4$  and  $4.1 \times 10^3$ , consecutively by **Bastawrows and Mohammed (1999)**; in *Oreochromis niloticus* flesh as a mean of  $3.2 \times 10^2$  by **Abo-El-Alla (2000)** besides in *Tilapia nilotica*, *Mugil cephalus* and frozen Mackerel flesh as mean of  $7 \times 10^3$ ,  $2 \times 10^4$  and  $4 \times 10^3$  organisms/g, respectively by **Salch and El-Kewatey (2008)**.

Analysis of the obtained findings reveals the widespread contamination of tested fish samples with *Aeromonas* organisms; the fact that agree with literatures of **Monfort and Baleux (1990)**, **Adams and Moss (2000)** and **Kirov (2003)** who declared that these bacteria constitute a group of ubiquitous microorganisms of aquatic environments like fresh water, coastal water and sewage alongside the psychrotrophic nature of most them particu-

larly *A. hydrophila* which can grow at cold temperatures up to zero °C for some strains. Also, higher percentages of *Aeromonas*-contaminated samples among surveyed *Tilapia nilotica* than those found in *Clarias lazera* fishes, can precisely explained by the literature of **Akelah (1978)** who attributed the existence of *Aeromonas* organisms in *Oreochromis niloticus* with higher prevalence and intensities than those found in *Clarias lazera*, to presence of them as normal inhabitant in the intestine of former fishes. Additionally, the relative increase of *Aeromonas*-contaminated samples among frozen fishes may give an indication about the resistance of such bacteria against freezing storage.

The exclusive testing of fresh freshwater fishes for presence of salmonellae in their flesh samples, plates of xylose lysine desoxycholate (XLD) agar alongside biochemical and serological tests could detect such organisms only in one (10%) sample from each type of these fishes, after being enriched in both buffered peptone water and Rappaport-Vassiliadis broth, (Tables 1). The obtained salmonellae were further serotyped as 3 strains of *S. kottobus* recovered from contaminated samples (one strain from each type) (Table 4).

By comparison, the findings of many workers agreed with the obtained results, where **Floyd and Jones (1954)** recovered 12 *Salmonella* strains from freshly caught freshwater fishes; **Naser (1991)** obtained salmonellae from 8% out of 25 *Tilapia nilotica* muscles; **Singh et al. (1992)** isolated *S. paratyphi* and other serotypes from the flesh of freshwater fishes; **Dorho (1998)** found *S. typhimurium* in *Clarias lazera* muscles; **Metawca and Abd**

**El-Ghaffar (2007)** recognized salmonellae in 3.3-10% flesh samples of 60 *Tilapia* fishes as well as **David et al. (2009)** isolated *S. typhi* and other food poisoning salmonellae from the flesh of Nile *Tilapia* fishes. Additionally, several results went contrary to those obtained in the present study too, by many researchers where **Dorho (1998)**; **Abo-El-Alla and Bastawrows (1999)**; **Davies et al. (2001)** and **Boari et al. (2008)** could not recover salmonellae from flesh samples of raw freshwater fishes like *Tilapia nilotica*, *Mugil cephalus*, *Clarias lazera*, *Oreochromis niloticus*, *Labeo niloticus* and Eel besides gills and intestinal content of both *Oreochromis niloticus* and *Labeo niloticus* fishes. However, higher occurrence of *Salmonella* were found in 43.3% flesh samples of 101 *Tilapia nilotica* surveyed by **Youssef et al. (1992)**.

Explanation of the obtained findings may be found in the literatures of **Lawson (1970)** who assured that fishes did not normally suffer from *Salmonella* infections, however, they might mechanically carry these organisms if caught from polluted waters alongside the declaration of **Feldhusen (2000)** who attributed the presence of salmonellae in water of fish farms, to the pollution from a nearby poultry farms in addition to some *Salmonella* serovars may be found in fish feed and its ingredients. Additional source of fishes contamination with such bacteria is the ice used for refrigerating fishes, when made from polluted water (**Hobbs, 1954 and Falcão et al., 2002**). Furthermore, the scarcity of *Salmonella*-contaminated samples among surveyed fishes agreed with those reported by **Nastasi et al. (1990)** who mentioned that *Salmonella kottobus* has been an unusual finding at

centers of Enterobacteriaceae in Italy; where among Salmonella strains examined by molecular genetics method between 1982 and 1985, only 0.7%, 0.8% and 0.1% isolates belonged to this serovar, in addition to **Blackburn and McClure (2002)** who stated that if salmonellae present in a food, it is likely to be present only at a low frequency.

Investigating the vibrios was solely performed in samples taken from marine fishes, where plates of thiosulfate citrate bile sucrose (TCBS) agar alongside the confirmatory tests show the occurrence of these bacteria only in one (10%) sample of frozen Mackerel, while this presence was exclusively found in 2 (20%) samples of frozen Sardine, after being enriched in alkaline peptone water. The same bacteriological techniques could not recover these contaminants from frozen Herring (Tables 1). A sum of obtained 3 *Vibrio* strains were further identified as 1 *V. cholerae* plus 2 *V. parahaemolyticus* strains; the former one was recovered from frozen Sardine, whereas the latter 2 strains were obtained from muscles of frozen Mackerel plus frozen Sardine (one strain from each) (Table 4).

By comparison, nearly similar results were obtained by several workers all over the world, where vibrios could be detected by **Baffone et al. (2000)** in 13.15% flesh samples of marine fishes and *V. parahaemolyticus* organisms were obtained in Greece by **Davies et al. (2001)** as a percentage of 14% overall Salmon, Mackerel plus Sardine samples. Meanwhile, higher percentage of *V. parahaemolyticus*-contaminated samples was estimated as 35% by **Davies et al. (2001)** in Portugal for the overall flesh samples of Salmon, Mackerel plus Sardine fishes as well as higher vibrios-

contaminated flesh samples of Sardine were detected in Egypt as 80% by **Farag and Korashy (2007)**.

Detailed inspection of the obtained findings exhibits the very little presence of vibrios in surveyed fishes although the widespread occurrence of such organisms in sea water; where **ICMSF (1986)**, **FAO/WHO (2001)** and **Cook et al. (2002)** stated that *V. parahaemolyticus* organisms present naturally in the marine environments and frequently isolated from a variety of seafoods comprised Sardine and Marckel particularly those taken from tropical or subtropical waters or from temperate-zone waters in summertime. However, such decreased occurrence in contaminated samples of frozen marine fishes agreed with the literature of **Shen et al. (2009)** who emphasized the disappearance of *V. parahaemolyticus* organisms in shocked Oysters after 60 days-storage at -18°C; the same degree of most commercial freezing temperatures, although **Ries et al. (1992)** assured that freezing does not kill *V. cholerae* organisms which can survive in ice for 4-5 weeks.

Microbiological risk assessment of all surveyed fishes, through comparing different bacterial populations contained in their tissues with the relevant limits, recommended by **ICMSF (1986)**; **Gilbert et al. (2000)** and **PHLS (2000)**, showed that 3 (10%) out of the overall 30 fresh freshwater fish muscle samples were contaminated with Salmonellae and 1 (10%) out of 10 frozen Sardine muscle samples were harboured *Vibrio cholerae* organisms, thus exceeded the recommended limit (free for both organisms), whereas, none of surveyed fish samples contained aerobic mesophiles, Enterobacteriaceae or Staphylococ-

cus aureus organisms by intensities more than the recommended limits ( $10^7$ ,  $<10^4$  and  $<10^4$  organisms/g, consecutively) (Table 3).

Data arranged in Table (4) exhibit the types and numbers of bacterial strains-obtained from the surveyed flesh samples of fresh freshwater and frozen marine fishes- after being picked up randomly from the separate colonies each of psychrotrophic grown onto plate count agar, presumptive *Aeromonas* spp on starch-ampicillin agar, presumptive *Salmonella* spp on XLD agar and *Vibrios* spp on TCBS agar, a total of 73 strains distributed as 2 strains of *Acinetobacter calcoaceticus*; 2 and 2 *Aeromonas hydrophila* and *Aeromonas salmonicida*; 2 *Alcaligenes faecalis*; 4 and 3 *Bacillus cereus* and *Bacillus circulans*; 1 *Branhaemlla catarrhalis*; 4 and 3 *Chromobacterium lividum* and *Chromobacterium violaceum*; 4 *Citrobacter freundii*; 2 *Corynebacterium ulcerans*; 2 and 5 *Enterobacter agglomerans* and *Enterobacter cloacae*; 3 *Escherichia coli*; 3 and 2 *Micrococcus luteus* and *Micrococcus varians*; 2 *Niessera flavescens*; 1 *Proteus vulgaris*; 5, 3 and 4 *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, *Pseudomonas alcaligenes* and *Pseudomonas fluorescens*; 3 *Salmonella* Kottbus; 1 *Serratia liquefaciens*; 4 and 3 *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Staphylococcus epidermidis* besides 1 and 2 strains of *Vibrio cholerae* and *Vibrio parahaemolyticus*, successively.

Typing of Enterobacteriaceae organisms-picked up randomly from the separate colonies grown onto plates of violet red bile glucose agar and obtained from flesh samples of all tested fresh freshwater and frozen marine fishes- showed a sum of 36 Enterobacteriaceae strains identified as 5, 1 and 1 strains of

*Citrobacter freundii*, *Citrobacter diversus* and *Citrobacter morganii*; 4, 2 and 8 *Enterobacter agglomerans*, *Enterobacter cloacae* and *Enterobacter hafniae*; 4 *Escherichia coli*; 2, 1 and 2 *Proteus mirabilis*, *Proteus rettgeri* and *Proteus vulgaris*; 1 *Providencia alcalifaciens* plus 3 and 2 strains of *Serratia liquefaciens* and *Serratia rubidaea*, consecutively (Table 5).

By comparison, **El-Gohary and Samaha (1992)**, **Mousa and Mahmoud (1997)**, **Sheriff (1998)**, **Abo Samra (2001)**, **Salah and El-Kewatey (2008)**, **David et al. (2009)**, **Farag and Korashy (2009)** and **Yagoub (2009)** could identify bacterial isolates, identical to most of those obtained in this work, as *Acinetobacter* spp, *Aeromonas hydrophila*, *Alcaligenes* spp, *Citrobacter freundii*, *Citrobacter diversus*, *Enterobacter cloacae*, *Escherichia coli*, *Micrococcus* spp, *Proteus mirabilis*, *Proteus rettgeri*, *Proteus vulgaris*, *Providencia alcalifaciens*, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, *Pseudomonas alcaligenes*, *Pseudomonas fluorescens*, *Salmonella* spp, *Serratia liquefaciens*, *Serratia rubidaea*, *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Staphylococcus epidermidis*, recovered from the flesh, skin, gills and intestinal content of the prevalent freshwater fishes included *Tilapia nilotica*, *Clarias lazera*, *Mugil cephalus*, *Oreochromis niloticus* and *Labeo niloticus*. Similarly, some relevant bacterial strains-obtained also from marine fishes included Mackerel, Sardine, Tuna, Pугrus, Solea and Bonito-identified as *Citrobacter freundii*, *Enterobacter agglomerans*, *Enterobacter cloacae*, *Escherichia coli*, *Proteus mirabilis*, *Proteus vulgaris* and *Pseudomonas fluorescens* by **Abd El-Maksoud (1992)**, **Shalaby (1992)**, **Awad and Khalafalla (1993)** and **Sheriff (1998)**.

Results

Table (1): Numbers and percentages of back- muscles of fishes, contaminated with different bacterial populations (n\*=10 each).

No. and % of contaminated muscles		<i>Aerobic mesophiles-contaminated muscles</i>	<i>Psychrotrophs-contaminated muscles</i>	<i>Enterobacteriaceae-contaminated muscles</i>	<i>Staphylococcus aureus-contaminated muscles</i>	<i>Aeromonas-contaminated muscles</i>	<i>Salmonella-contaminated muscles</i>	<i>Vibrio-contaminated muscles</i>
Types of Examined fishes								
Freshwater fishes	Iced Tilapia nilotica	10 (100%)	10 (100%)	8 (80%)	0 (0%)	7 (70%)	1 (10%)	Not tested
	Iced Mugil cephalus	10 (100%)	10 (100%)	9 (90%)	0 (0%)	8 (80%)	1 (10%)	
	Freshly killed Clarias lazera	10 (100%)	10 (100%)	6 (60%)	0 (0%)	6 (60%)	1 (10%)	
Marine fishes	Frozen Mackerel	10 (100%)	10 (100%)	9 (90%)	0 (0%)	9 (90%)	Not tested	1 (10%)
	Frozen Sardine	10 (100%)	9 (90%)	6 (60%)	0 (0%)	7 (70%)		2 (20%)
	Frozen Herring	10 (100%)	8 (80%)	10 (100%)	0 (0%)	10 (100%)		0 (0%)

n\* = number of examined samples.

Table (2): Intensities of bacterial populations per gram of back- muscles of whole fishes (n\*=10 each).

Bacteriological techniques Types of examined Muscles		Aerobic plate counts			Psychrotrophic counts			Enterobacteriaceae counts			Staphylococcus aureus counts			Aeromonas counts		
		Min	Max	Mean ± SE	Min	Max	Mean ± SE	Min	Max	Mean ± SE	Min	Max	Mean ± SE	Min	Max	Mean ± SE
Iced	Tilapia nilotica	3×10 <sup>2</sup>	1.3×10 <sup>5</sup>	2.8×10 <sup>4</sup> ±1.3×10 <sup>4</sup>	1.1×10 <sup>3</sup>	8.9×10 <sup>4</sup>	3×10 <sup>4</sup> ±10 <sup>4</sup>	0	1.6×10 <sup>3</sup>	2.6×10 <sup>2</sup> ±1.5×10 <sup>2</sup>	ND	ND	ND	0	4×10 <sup>2</sup>	2×10 <sup>2</sup> ±0.7×10 <sup>2</sup>
	Mugil cephalus	10 <sup>2</sup>	1.4×10 <sup>5</sup>	2.6×10 <sup>4</sup> ±1.4×10 <sup>4</sup>	1.1×10 <sup>3</sup>	9.3×10 <sup>4</sup>	2.3×10 <sup>4</sup> ±10 <sup>4</sup>	0	7×10 <sup>2</sup>	2.4×10 <sup>2</sup> ±0.7×10 <sup>2</sup>	ND	ND	ND	0	8×10 <sup>2</sup>	3.6×10 <sup>2</sup> ±0.9×10 <sup>2</sup>
Freshly killed Clarias lazera		2×10 <sup>2</sup>	1.5×10 <sup>5</sup>	4.6×10 <sup>4</sup> ±2.3×10 <sup>4</sup>	10 <sup>2</sup>	8.8×10 <sup>4</sup>	1.2×10 <sup>4</sup> ±0.9×10 <sup>4</sup>	0	4.2×10 <sup>3</sup>	7.1×10 <sup>2</sup> ±2.4×10 <sup>2</sup>	ND	ND	ND	0	9.7×10 <sup>2</sup>	3.5×10 <sup>2</sup> ±1.3×10 <sup>2</sup>
Frozen	Mackerel	4×10 <sup>2</sup>	1.9×10 <sup>4</sup>	5.3×10 <sup>3</sup> ±1.9×10 <sup>3</sup>	10 <sup>2</sup>	2.1×10 <sup>4</sup>	3.6×10 <sup>3</sup> ±2×10 <sup>3</sup>	0	3.7×10 <sup>3</sup>	4.3×10 <sup>2</sup> ±1.6×10 <sup>2</sup>	ND	ND	ND	0	9×10 <sup>2</sup>	3.9×10 <sup>2</sup> ±10 <sup>2</sup>
	Sardine	2×10 <sup>2</sup>	3.2×10 <sup>4</sup>	6.4×10 <sup>3</sup> ±3×10 <sup>3</sup>	0	3.2×10 <sup>4</sup>	4.7×10 <sup>3</sup> ±3.1×10 <sup>3</sup>	0	2.9×10 <sup>2</sup>	8.3×10 <sup>1</sup> ±3.4×10 <sup>1</sup>	ND	ND	ND	0	6.5×10 <sup>2</sup>	3.1×10 <sup>2</sup> ±0.7×10 <sup>2</sup>
	Herring	1.2×10 <sup>3</sup>	2.4×10 <sup>5</sup>	6×10 <sup>4</sup> ±2.6×10 <sup>4</sup>	0	1.4×10 <sup>5</sup>	4.7×10 <sup>2</sup> ±1.3×10 <sup>2</sup>	2×10	2.5×10 <sup>2</sup>	1.1×10 <sup>2</sup> ±0.2×10 <sup>2</sup>	ND	ND	ND	1.4×10 <sup>2</sup>	9×10 <sup>2</sup>	4.2×10 <sup>2</sup> ±0.9×10 <sup>2</sup>

n\* = number of examined samples. Min = minimum. Max = maximum. SE = standard error. ND = not detected



Table (3): Microbiological risk assessment of surveyed fishes, through comparing different bacterial populations contained in their tissues with the recommended limits.

Bacteriological findings in relation to limits  Types of examined samples	Aerobic plate counts		Enterobacteriaceae counts		Staphylococcus aureus counts		Salmonella detection		Vibrio cholerae detection	
	Recommended limits*	No. (%) of samples exceeding the limits	Recommended limits*	No. (%) of samples exceeding the limits	Recommended limit*	No. (%) of samples exceeding the limits	Recommended limits* in 25 g-sample	No. (%) of samples exceeding the limits	Recommended limits* in 25 g-sample	No. (%) of samples exceeding the limits
Raw fishes	< 10 <sup>7</sup>	0 (0%)	< 10 <sup>4</sup>	0 (0%)	< 10 <sup>4</sup>	0 (0%)	Free	3 (10 %) out of 30 fresh freshwater fish muscle samples	Free	1 (10%) out of 10 frozen sardine muscle samples

\*= different recommended limits were reported by ICMSF (1986); Gilbert et al. (2000) and PHLS (2000).

Table (4): Types and numbers of bacterial strains isolated from the muscles of fishes.

Types and numbers of bacterial strains	Iced whole			Frozen whole		
	Tilapia nilotica	Mugil cephalus	Freshly killed Whole Clarias lazera	Mackerel	Sardine	Herring
<i>Acinetobacter calcoaceticus</i> (2)	1	-	-	-	1	-
<i>Aeromonas hydrophila</i> (2)	-	1	-	1	-	-
<i>Aeromonas salmonicida</i> (2)	1	-	-	1	-	-
<i>Alcaligenes faecalis</i> (2)	1	-	1	-	-	-
<i>Bacillus cereus</i> (4)	-	-	-	2	-	2
<i>Bacillus circulans</i> (3)	-	-	-	-	2	1
<i>Branhamella catarrhalis</i> (1)	1	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Chromobacterium lividum</i> (4)	1	3	-	-	-	-
<i>Chromobacterium violaceum</i> (3)	1	-	-	2	-	-
<i>Citrobacter freundii</i> (4)	1	-	1	-	2	-
<i>Corynebacterium ulcerans</i> (2)	-	1	1	-	-	-
<i>Enterobacter agglomerans</i> (2)	-	1	-	-	-	1
<i>Enterobacter cloacae</i> (5)	1	2	-	-	-	2
<i>Escherichia coli</i> (3)	1	-	-	2	-	-
<i>Micrococcus luteus</i> (3)	1	1	-	1	-	-
<i>Micrococcus varians</i> (2)	-	1	-	1	-	-
<i>Niesseria flavescens</i> (2)	1	1	-	-	-	-
<i>Proteus vulgaris</i> (1)	-	1	-	-	-	-
<i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i> (5)	-	1	2	1	1	-
<i>Pseudomonas alcaligenes</i> (3)	-	1	-	1	1	-
<i>Pseudomonas fluorescens</i> (4)	-	-	1	1	2	-
<i>Salmonella kottbus</i> (3)	1	1	1	-	-	-
<i>Serratia liquefaciens</i> (1)	1	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i> (4)	1	-	1	1	-	1
<i>Staphylococcus epidermidis</i> (3)	-	-	1	1	1	-
<i>Vibrio cholerae</i> (1)	-	-	-	-	1	-
<i>Vibrio parahaemolyticus</i> (2)	-	-	-	1	1	-
<b>Total strains = (73)*</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>7</b>

\* = these strains were randomly picked up from the psychrotrophs grown onto plate count agar, presumptive *Aeromonas* spp on starch-ampicillin agar, presumptive *Salmonella* spp on XLD

XLD agar and *Vibrio* spp on TCBS agar.

Table (5): Types and numbers of Enterobacteriaceae strains isolated from the muscles of fishes.

Types and numbers of Enterobacteriaceae strains	Iced whole			Frozen whole		
	Tilapia nilotica	Mugil cephalus	Freshly killed Whole Clarias lazera	Mackerel	Sardine	Herring
<i>Citrobacter freundii</i> (5)	1	1	2	1	-	-
<i>Citrobacter diversus</i> (1)	1	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Citrobacter morganii</i> (1)	1	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Enterobacter agglomerans</i> (4)	1	1	1	-	1	-
<i>Enterobacter cloacae</i> (2)	1	-	1	-	-	-
<i>Enterobacter hafniae</i> (8)	2	1	3	-	1	1
<i>Escherichia coli</i> (4)	2	-	2	-	-	-
<i>Proteus mirabilis</i> (2)	-	-	-	1	-	1
<i>Proteus reuberi</i> (1)	-	-	1	-	-	-
<i>Proteus vulgaris</i> (2)	1	-	-	-	1	-
<i>Providencia alcalifaciens</i> (1)	1	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Serratia liquefaciens</i> (3)	1	1	1	-	-	-
<i>Serratia rubidaea</i> (2)	1	-	1	-	-	-
<b>Total strains = (36)*</b>	<b>(13)</b>	<b>(4)</b>	<b>(12)</b>	<b>(2)</b>	<b>(3)</b>	<b>(2)</b>

\* = these obtained strains were randomly picked up from plates of violet red bile glucose agar.

### REFERENCES

**Abd-Allah, M. A. (2004)** : Occurrence of bacterial pollution indicators in Boulti fish (*Tilapia nilotica*) in Alexandria. *Alex. J. Vet. Sci.* 22 (1): 195-203.

**Abd El-Gallil, Y.; Eldaly, E. and Mansour, N. (1988)** : Enteric organisms prevalent in market fish with special reference to *E. coli*. *The Bulletin of the High Institute of Public Health*, Vol. XVIII No. 5.

**Abd El-Maksoud, S. A. (1992)** : Epidemiological studies on some bacterial zoonotic fish-borne diseases. Ph. D. Sc. Thesis, Fac. Vet. Med., Zagazig Univ., Egypt.

**Abo-El-Alla, A. A. and Bastawrows, A. F. (1999)** : Occurrence and significance of some aerobic pathogens in *Oreochromis niloticus* and *Labeo niloticus* fishes. *Assiut Vet. Med. J.* 42 (83): 174-190.

**Abo-El-Alla, A. A. (2000)** : Studies on *Aeromonas hydrophila* in freshwater fish (*Oreochromis niloticus* and *Labeo niloticus*) and smoked fishes (Herring) in Assiut Governorate. *Assiut Vet. Med. J.* 43 (86): 200-208.

**Abo Samra, R. G. (2001)** : Hygienic evaluation of some fishes marketed in Damietta. M. V. Sc. Thesis (Meat Hygiene), Fac. Vet. Med., Zagazig Univ., Egypt.

**Adams, M. R. and Moss, M. O. (2000)** : *Food Microbiology*, 2nd ed. Cambridge: Royal Society of Chemistry.

**Ahmed, A. L. and El-Khawas, K. M. (2008)** : Evaluation of contact surfaces contamination in smoked Salmon plant and its effect on the final product. *Assiut Vet. Med. J.* 54 (119): 104-114.

**Akelah, M. A. (1978)** : Some studies on intestinal flora of the genus *Tilapia* in the Manzalah fish farm. M. V. Sc. Thesis, Fac. Vet. Med., Zagazig Univ., Egypt.

**AOAC (Association of Official Analytical Chemists) (1984)** : Official methods of analysis, 14<sup>th</sup> ed., Washington DC.

**AOAC (Association of Official Analytical Chemists) (1995)**: Bacteriological Analytical Manual, 8<sup>th</sup> ed. Food and Drug Administration, Association of Official Analytical Chemists International, Arlington, Virginia, USA.

**Archer D. L. and Young F. E. (1988)** : Diseases with a food vector. *Clin. Microbiol. Rev.* 1: 377-398.

**Awad, H. A. and Khalafallah, F. A. (1993)** : Histamine level in scombroid fishes. *Vet. Med. J. Giza* 41 (3): 67-71.

**Awad A. E. (1998)** : Shelf life of *Tilapia nilotica* stored in ice. *Assiut Vet. Med. J.* 39 (77): 133-144.

**Baffone, W.; Planetti, A.; Bruscolini, F.; Barbieri, E. and Citterio, B. (2000)** : Occurrence and expression of virulence-related properties of *Vibrio* species isolated from widely consumed seafood products. *Int. J. Food Microbiol.* 54: 9-18.

**Bastawrows, A. F. and Mohammed, A. A. (1999)** : Some Microbiological investigations on *Aeromonas hydrophila* group in *Oreochromis niloticus* and *Clarias lazera* in Assiut Governorate. *Assiut Vet. Med. J.* 40 (80): 197-209.

**Blackburn, C. de W. and McClure, P. J. (2002)** : *Foodborne Pathogens: Hazards, Risk Analysis and Control*. Woodhead Publishing Limited, Abington Hall, Abington, Cambridge CB1, England.

**Boari, C. A.; Pereira, G. I.; Valeriano, C.; Silva, B. C.; Morais, V. M.; Figueiredo, H. C. and Piccoli, R. H. (2008)** : Bacterial ecology of tilapia fresh fillets and some factors that can influence their microbial quality.

Ciênc. Tecnol. Aliment. Campinas. 28 (4) : 863-867.

**Boulenger, G. A. (1907)** : Fishes of the Nile. Anderson's zoology of Egypt. Hugh. Res. Ltd., London.

**Brando, M. L. and Furlantto, S. M. (1984)** : Determination of counts of various microorganisms in Sardines (*Sardinella aurita*) markets in Sao Paulo, Brazil in 1978. *Clenciae Technologia de Alimentos* 4 (2): 158-180.

**Broek, M. J.; Van Den, M.; Mossel, D. E. and Mol, H. (1984)** : Microbiological quality of retail fresh fillets in the Netherlands. *Int. J. Food Microbiol.* 1: 53.

**Cousin, M. A.; Jay, J. M. and Vasavada, P. C. (1992)** : Psychrotrophic microorganisms. In: Vandezant C. and Splittstoesser D. (Eds.), *Compendium of Methods for Microbiological Examination of Foods*, Am. Pub. Health Assoc., Washington, DC. Pp. 153-168.

**Cook, D. W.; Leary, P. O.; Hunsucker, J. C.; Sloan, E. M.; Bowers, J. C.; Blodgett, R. J. and DePaola, A. (2002)** : *Vibrio vulnificus* and *Vibrio parahaemolyticus* in US retail shell oysters: a national survey from June 1998 to July 1999. *J. Food Prot.* 65: 79-87.

**Darwish, A. M.; Saad, Z.; Safwat, S. and Sherif, M. (1991)** : Enterobacteriaceae in locally produced fishes. *Vet. Med. J. Giza* 3: 811-822.

**David, O. M.; Wandili, S.; Kakai, R. and Watndi, E. N. (2009)** : Isolation of *Salmonella* and *Shigella* from fish harvested from the Winam Gulf of Lake Victoria, Kenya. *J. Infect. Developing Countries* 3 (2): 99-104.

**Davies, A. R.; Capell, C.; Jehanno, D.; Nychas, G. J. and Kirby, R. M. (2001)**: Incidence of foodborne pathogens on European fish. *Food Control* 12: 67-71.

**Dorho, E. H. (1998)** : Sanitary evaluation of some freshwater fish marketed at Damietta city. M. V. Sc. Thesis, Fac. Vet. Med., Zagazig Univ., Egypt.

**El-Gohary, A. H. and Samaha, H. A. (1992)** : The zoonotic importance of some bacterial and fungal pathogens isolated from fish at Alexandria governorate. Proc. 5th sci. Cong. Fac. Vet. Med., Assiut Univ., Egypt 159-170.

**Eves, A.; Turner, C.; Yakupittyageb, A.; Tongdeeb, N. and Ponzab, S. (1995)** : The microbiological and sensory quality of septag-erased Nile Tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*). *Aquaculture* 132: 261-272.

**Falcão, J. P.; Dias, A. M. and Correa, E. F. (2002)** : Microbiological quality of ice used to refrigerate foods. *Food Microbiol.* 19 : 269-276.

**FAO/WHO (Food and Agriculture Organization/World Health Organization) (2001)**: Risk Assessment of *Listeria monocytogenes* in ready-to-eat foods. Preliminary report. Authors Buchanan, R., R. Lindqvist, T. Ross, E. Todd, M. Smith and R.C. Whiting. FAO/WHO, Rome, Italy.

**Farag, H. S. and Korashy, N. T. (2007)** : Incidence of halophilic *Vibrio* species in some seafoods and their public health significance in Port-Said city. *Assiut Vet. Med. J.* 53 (112): 62-77.

**Farag, H. S. and Korashy, N. T. (2009)** : Prevalence of hydrogen sulfide producing psychrophilic bacteria in chilled *Mugil cephalus* "Mullet" fish and their public health significance. *Assiut Vet. Med. J.* 55 (122): 156-168.

**FDA (Food and Drug Administration) (1998)** : *Bacteriological Analytical Manual*. 8th ed. AOAC International, Gaithersburg, USA.

**Feldhusen, F. (2000)** : The role of seafood in bacterial foodborne diseases. *Microbe Infection* 2: 1651-1660.

**Floyd, T. M. and Jones, G. B. (1954)** : Isolation of Shigella and Salmonella organisms from Nile fish. *Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg.* 3: 475-480.

**Frazier, W. C. and Westhoff, D. C. (1978)**: Growth of microorganisms at low temperature. In: *Food Microbiology*, 2nd ed. McGraw-Hill publisher Co., Inc. N. Y.

**Frazier W. S. (1988)** : *Food Microbiology*, 4<sup>th</sup> Ed. McGraw Hill Pub. Comp, Bombay, New Delhi.

**Gilbert, R. J.; de Louvois, J.; Donovan, T.; Little, C.; Nye, K.; Ribeiro, C. D.; Richards, J.; Roberts, D. and Bolton, F. J. (2000)** : Guidelines for the microbiological quality of some ready-to-eat foods sampled at the point of sale. *Commun. Dis. Publ. Health.* 3: 163-167.

**González-Rodríguez, M. N.; Sanz, J. J.; Santos, J. A.; Otero, A. and García-López, M. L. (2001)** : Bacteriological quality of aquacultured freshwater fish portions in prepackaged trays stored at 3°C. *J. Food Prot.* 64: 1399-1404.

**Hayes, P. R. (1992)** : *Food Microbiology and Hygiene*, 2nd ed. Elsevier Science Publishers Ltd.

**Hobbs, B. C. (1954)** : The bacterial contamination of foods. *Food Sci. Abstr.* 26: 601-612.

**Holt, J. G.; Kreig, N. R. and Sneath, P. H. (1994)** : *Bergey's Manual of Determinative Bacteriology*, 9<sup>th</sup> ed. Baltimore, Maryland: Williams and Wilkins.

**Huss, H. H.; Pedersen, A. and Cann, D. C. (1974)** : The incidence of Clostridium botulinum in Danish trout farms. I. Distribution

in fish and their environment. *J. Food Technol.* 9: 445-450.

**ICMSF (International Commission on Microbiological Specifications for Foods) (1986)** : Sampling for microbiological analysis: Principles and specific applications. In: *Microorganisms in Foods 2*, 2<sup>nd</sup> ed. Pp. 182.

**ISO (International Organization for Standardization) (1993)**: *Microbiology-General guidance for the enumeration of Enterobacteriaceae without resuscitation-MPN technique and colony-count technique; Standard 7402.*

**Kirov, S. M. (2003)** : Aeromonas species. In: Hocking A. D. (Ed.), *Foodborne microorganisms of Public Health Significance*, 6th ed. AIFST Inc. (NSW Branch), Pp. 553-575.

**Kolodziejaska, I.; Nleciikowska, C.; Januszewska, E. and Sikorski, Z. (2002)** : The microbiological and sensory quality of Mackerel hot smoked in mild conditions. *Lebensm.-Wiss. U.-Technol.* 35 (1): 87-92.

**Lawson, J. B. (1970)** : Some aspects of fish inspection and public health. *Vet. Rec.* 87: 525-528.

**Lerke, P. A.; Wenner, S. B.; Taylor, S. L. and Guthertz, L. S. (1978)** : Scombroïd poisoning. Report of an outbreak. *West J. Med.* 129: 611-618.

**Lindberg, A. M.; Ljungh, A.; Aherné, S.; Löfdahl, S. and Molin, G. (1998)** : Enterobacteriaceae found in high numbers in fish, minced meat and pasteurised milk or cream and the presence of toxin encoding genes. *Int. J. Food Microbiol.* 39: 11-17.

**Liston, J. (1980)** : *Microbiology in fishery science*. In: Connell J. J. (Ed.), *Advances in Fishery Science and Technology*. Fishing News Books, Farnham, UK, Pp. 138-157.

**MacFaddin, J. F. (1976)** : *Biochemical tests of identification of medical bacteria*, 1<sup>st</sup>

ed. Williams and Wilkins Co., 428 E. Pereston sheet, Baltimore, Md. 21202, USA.

**Mahmoud, Y. E. (1994)** : Studies on frozen fish. Ph. D. Sc. Thesis. Fac. Vet. Med., Moshtohor. Zagazig Univ., Egypt.

**Mansour and El-Shaboury (2009)**: Prevalence of psychrotrophic foodborne pathogens in fish in Alexandria markets. Assiut Vet. Med. J. 55 (121): 154-161.

**Marriot, N. G. (1997)** : Essential of food sanitation. Gill Roberston Chapman and hall 115, 5th avenue, New York.

**Metawea, Y. F. and Abd El-Ghaffar, T. A. (2007)** : Bacteriological studies on surface water and tilapia fish at Minufiya Governorate. 5th Int. Sci. Conf. Mansoura. 1: 73-95.

**Monfort, P. and Baleux, B. (1990)** : Dynamics of *Aeromonas hydrophila*, *Aeromonas sobria* and *Aeromonas caviae* in sewage treatment pond. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 56: 1999-2006.

**Morshdy, A. E. (1978)** : Studies on the sanitary condition of some Nile fishes in Zagazig markets. M. V. Sc. Thesis, Fac. Vet. Med., Zagazig Univ., Egypt.

**Mousa, M. M. and Mahmoud, Y. E. (1991)**: Hygienic quality of newly caught fresh fish. Assiut Vet. Med. J. 73: 219-233.

**Mousa, M. M. and Mahmoud, Y. E. (1997)** : Hygienic quality of newly caught fresh fish. Assiut Vet. Med. J. 37 (73): 219-233.

**Naser, G. N. (1991)** : Occurrence of some food poisoning microorganisms in natural and farm fishes. M. V. Sc. Thesis, Fac. Vet. Med., Alex. Univ., Egypt.

**Nastasi, A.; Mammina, C.; Villafrate, M.; R.; Pontello, M. and Corbellini, M. (1990)** : rRNA probing of chromosomal DNA of epidemic and sporadic isolates of *Salmonella enterica*

subsp. *Enterica* serovar *kottbus* from Northern and Southern Italy. Eur. J. Epidemiol. 6 (4): 407-411.

**Niewolak, S. and Tucholski, S. (2000)** : Sanitary and bacteriological evaluation of common carp, tench and crucian carp reared in a pond supplied with biologically treated sewage. Arch. Pol. Fish. 8 (1): 35-48.

**NRC (National Research Council) (1998)**: Implication for reducing chronic disease risk. Committee on Diet and Health. National Academy of Sciences, Washington, DC., p. 794.

**Ogbondemtnu, F. S and Okaeme, A. N. (1989)** : Comparative analysis of bacterial flora associated with water and fish in manured pond. Biosci. Res. Comm. 1: 103-108.

**Palumbo, S. A.; Abeyte, C., Stelma, G.; Wesley, I. W.; Wei, C.; Koberger, J. A.; Franklin, S. K.; Schroeder-Tucker, L. and Murano, E. A. (2001)** : *Aeromonas*, *Archo-bacter* and *Plesiomonas*. In: Downes F. P. and Ito K. (Eds.), Compendium of Methods for the Microbiological Examination of Foods, 4th ed., chapter 30. Amer. Public Health Assoc., Washington DC, USA, Pp. 283-292.

**PHLS (Public Health Laboratory Service) (2000)** : guidelines for the microbiological quality of ready to eat foods sampled at the point of sale. Working group of the PHLS Advisory Committee for Food and Dairy Products, (Communicable disease and public health), 3 (3): 163.

**Rayasinghe, P. S. and Rajakaruna, R. M. (2005)** : Bacterial contamination of fish sold in fish markets in the central province of Sri Lanka. J. Nat. Sci. Foundation 33 (3): 219-221.

**Ries, A. A.; Vugia, D. J.; Beingolea, L.; Palacios, A. M.; Vasquez, E.; Wells, J. G.; Baca, N. G. and Swerdlow, D. L. (1992)** :

Cholerae in Piura, Peru: a modern urban epidemic. *J. Infect. Dis.* 145: 242-247.

**Roberts, R. J. (1978)** : Fish pathology, 1st ed. Bailliere and Tindall, London.

**Saad, M. S.; Abo Bakr, M. L. and Yhia, E. A. (1991)** : Microbiological evaluation of some Nile fishes marketed in Kalyobia province. *Zagazig Vet. J.*, 19 (1): 233-242.

**Shaaban, A. I., Tarios, A. I. and El-Bialy, A. I. (2001)** : Bacteriological examination of Tilapia fish and water from Aswan, Cairo and Lake Manzalah. *J. Egypt. Vet. Med. Assoc.* 61 (4): 241-249.

**Shalaby, A. M. (1992)** : Some studies on intestinal microflora of some marine fishes. M. V. Sc. Thesis, Fac. Vet. Med., Suez Canal Univ., Egypt.

**Saleh, E. A. (1990)** : Sanitary status of Tilapia nilotica marketed in Zagazig city. *Zagazig Vet. J.* 18 (3): 107-113.

**Saleh, O. A. and El-Kewatey, I. A. (2008)** : Microbial assessment of some marketed fish in Damanhour city. *Assiut Vet. Med. J.* 54 (118): 80-95.

**Satin, M. (2008)** : FOOD ALERT! The Ultimate Sourcebook for Food Safety, 2<sup>nd</sup> ed. Morton Satin, New York, NY, USA, p. 62.

**Shen X., Cai Y., Liu C., Liu W., Hui Y. and Su Y. (2009)**: Effect of temperature on uptake and survival of *Vibrio parahaemolyticus* in oysters (*Crassostrea plicatula*). *Int. J. Food Microbiol.* 136: 129-132.

**Sheriff, M. M. (1998)** : Relation between bacterial load and histamine level in fish and their public health significance. *Vet. Med. J. Giza.* 46 (3): 256-266.

**Shewan, J. M. (1971)** : The microbiology of fish and fishery products. *J. Appl. Bacteriol.* 34 (2): 299-315.

**Singh, B. R.; Kulshretha, S. B.; Kapoor K. N. and Paltwal, O. P. (1992)** : Occurrence of Salmonella from fish/seafoods and detection of their enterotoxigenicity. 3rd World Congress of Foodborne Infections and Intoxications, Berlin, Pp. 331-333.

**Snedecor, G. W. (1989)**: Statistical Methods, 8<sup>th</sup> ed. The Iowa State Univ. Press.

**Thayumanavan, T. V.; Vivekanandhan, G.; Savithamani, K.; Subashkumar, R. and Lakshmanaperumalsamy, P. (2003)** : Incidence of haemolysin-positive and drug-resistant *Aeromonas hydrophila* in freshly caught finfish and prawn collected from major commercial fishes of coastal South India. *FEMS Immu. Med. Microbiol.* 36: 41-45.

**Tsai, G. J. and Chen, T. H. (1996)** : Incidence and toxigenicity of *Aeromonas hydrophila* in seafood. *Int. J. Food Microbiol.* 31: 121-131.

**Vieira, R. H.; de Souza, O. V. and Patel, T. R. (1997)**: Bacteriological quality of ice used in Mucuripe Market, Fortaleza and Brazil. *Food Control* 8 (2): 83-85.

**Vivekanandhan, G.; Hatha, A. and Lakshmanaperumalsamy, P. (2005)** : Prevalence of *Aeromonas hydrophila* in fish and prawns from the seafood market of Coimbatore, South India. *Food Microbiol.* 22 : 133-137.

**Yagoub, S. O. (2009)** : Isolation of Enterobacteriaceae and *Pseudomonas* spp. from raw fish sold in fish market in Khartoum state. *J. Bacteriol. Res.* 1 (7): 85-88.

**Youssef, H.; El-Timawy, A. M. and Ahmed, S. (1992)** : Role of aerobic intestinal pathogens of freshwater fish in transmission of human diseases. *J. Food Prot.* 55 (9): 739-740.

**Wong, H. C.; Liu, S. H.; Ku, L. W.; Lee, I.**

**Y.; Wang, T. K.; Lee, Y. S.; Lee, C. L.; Kuo, L. P. and Shin, D. Y. (2000) :** Characterization of *Vibrio parahaemolyticus* isolates ob-

tained from foodborne illness outbreaks during 1992 to 1995 in Taiwan. *J. Food Prot.* 63: 900-906.



## الملخص العربي

## السمات الجراثيمية لأسماك المياه العذبة الطازجة والأسماك البحرية المجمدة بمدينة المنصورة

أ. د / محمد محمد محمد إبراهيم الجزار ط. ب / سمير محمد عبدالغنى عبدالله

قسم الرقابة الصحية على الأغذية - كلية الطب البيطرى - جامعة المنصورة

تناولت الدراسة الفحص الجرثومى لعدد ستين عينة من الأسماك السليمة حسيماً الأكثر استهلاكاً فى مصر وهى ثلاثون عينة من كل من أسماك المياه العذبة الطازجة (عشر عينات من كل من البلطى النيلى المحفوظ بالثلج، البورى الأصيل المحفوظ بالثلج والقرموط اللازير الميت حديثاً) وأسماك المياه المالحة المجمدة (عشر عينات من كل من الماكريل، السردين والرنجة) والتي تم شراؤها من الأسواق المنتشرة فى مدينة المنصورة وقد مثلت كل واحدة من الأسماك المختبرة بـ 60 جرام من عضلة الظهر والتي تم استئصالها تحت ظروف صحية معقمة، وقد أظهرت أطباق العد الجرثومى Plate count agar تواجد الجراثيم الهوائية المحبة للحرارة المعتدلة aerobic mesophiles فى جميع العينات المختبرة (100%) بمتوسطات  $4 \times 10^2.8$ ،  $4 \times 10^2.6$ ،  $4 \times 10^4.6$  جرثوم/جرام من أسماك المياه العذبة الطازجة، فى حين كانت  $3 \times 10^5.3$ ،  $3 \times 10^6.4$ ،  $4 \times 10^6$  جرثوم/جرام من الأسماك المالحة المجمدة، على التوالى، وقد أظهرت أطباق العد الجرثومى plate count agar تواجد الجراثيم الصامدة للبرودة psychrotrophs فى 8(80%) - 10(100%) من نفس العينات بمتوسطات  $3 \times 10^3$ ،  $4 \times 10^2.3$ ،  $4 \times 10^1.2$  بالإضافة إلى  $3.6 \times 10^3$ ،  $4.7 \times 10^3$ ،  $4.7 \times 10^2$  جرثوم/جرام، فى حين تواجدت الجراثيم المعوية Enterobacteriaceae بنسب 6(60%) - 8(80%)، 9(90%) - 10(100%) فى كلتا النوعين من الأسماك بمتوسطات  $2.6 \times 10^2$ ،  $2.4 \times 10^2$ ،  $7.1 \times 10^2$ ،  $4.3 \times 10^2$ ،  $8.3 \times 10^1$ ،  $1.1 \times 10^2$  جرثوم/جرام، على التوالى، بينما لم تواجدت جراثيم المكور العنقودى الذهبى Staphylococcus aureus فى أى من الأسماك المختبرة على الإطلاق، وقد أظهرت الاختبارات الجراثيمية تواجد جراثيم الزوائف الهوائية Aeromonas spp فى 6(60%) - 8(80%) من أسماك المياه العذبة الطازجة و 7(70%) - 10(100%) من أسماك المياه المالحة المجمدة بمتوسطات

$2 \times 10^2$ ،  $3.6 \times 10^2$ ،  $3.5 \times 10^2$ ،  $3.9 \times 10^2$ ،  $3.1 \times 10^2$ ،  $4.2 \times 10^2$  جرثوم/جرام، على الترتيب، وبالنسبة إلى جراثيم سالمونيلا Salmonella فقد تواجدت فى 1(10%) من كل من عينات البلطى النيلى المحفوظة بالثلج، البورى الأصيل المحفوظة بالثلج والقرموط اللازير الميت حديثاً، وكانت العترات الثلاثة المعزولة من نوع سالمونيلا كوتباس S.kottbus بينما تواجدت جراثيم فيبريو Vibrio spp فقط فى 1(10%) من الماكريل المجمد بالإضافة إلى 2(20%) من السردين المجمد والتي صنفت إلى عترة واحدة من الفيبريو كوليرا V.colerae عتريتين من الفيبريو باراهيموليتيكاس V.parahaemolyticus.

وفيما يتعلق بتقييم الخطر الميكروبيولوجى المحتمل للأسماك المختبرة من خلال مقارنة التجمعات الجراثيمية الموجودة بأسجتها مع تلك الحدود المسموح بها لكل نوع من هذه الجراثيم، فقد أسفر عن تجاوز 3(30%) من إجمالى 30 عينة من أسماك المياه العذبة الطازجة بالنسبة لتواجد الجراثيم سالمونيلا، إلى بجانب 1(10%) من 10 عينات من أسماك السردين المجمد بالنسبة لجراثيم فيبريو كوليرا عن الحد المسموح

به (عدم تواجد تلك الجراثيم على الإطلاق)، بينما لم تتخطى أى من عينات الأسماك المختبرة بالنسبة للجراثيم الهوائية المحبة للحرارة المعتدلة، الجراثيم المعوية وجراثيم المكور العنقودي الذهبى الحد المسموح به (أقل من  $10^7$ ,  $10^4$ ,  $10^4$  جرثوم/جرام، على التوالي).

وقد تم أخذ ثلاثة وسبعين عترة من الأطباق الخاصة بكل من الجراثيم الصامدة للبرودة والزوائف الهوائية وسالمونيلا والفيبريو المعزولة من عينات الأسماك المختبرة لتصنيفها والذي أسفر عن تصنيف : 2 عترات اسنيتوباكتر كالكواستييكاس، 2,2 ايروموناس هيدروفيليا، وايروموناس سالمونسيديا، 2 الكاليجينيس فيكالييس، 3,4 باسيلاس سيريس وباسيلاس سيركيولانس، 1 برانهيملا كاتاراليس، 3,4 كروموباكتيريام ليفيدام وكروموباكتيريام فيولاشيام، 4 ستروباكتر فروندى، 2 كورينيياكتيريام السرانس، 5,2 أنتيوباكتر اجلومييرانس وانتيروباكتر كلواكى، 3 ايشيريشيا كولاي، 2,3 ميكروكوكاس ليوتياس وميكروكوكاس فاريانس، 2 نيسيريا فلاكيسينس، 1 بروتيس فالجاريز، 4,3,5 سيدوموناس ايروجينوزا، سيدوموناس الكاليجينيس وسيدوموناس فلوريسنس، 3 سالمونيلا كوتباس، 1 سيراتيا ليكوفيشانس، 4,3 تافيلوكوكاس أورياس وستافيلوكوكاس إييدرميديس، بالإضافة إلى 2,1 عترات من فيبريو كوليرا و فيبريو باراهيموليتييكاس، على التوالي، ومن جهة أخرى تم أخذ عدد ست وثلاثون عترة من الأطباق الخاصة بالجراثيم المعوية من عينات الأسماك المختبرة لتصنيفها والذي أسفر عن تصنيف : 1,1,5 ستروباكتر فروندى، ستروباكتر دافيرساس وستروباكتر مورجانى 8,2,4 أنتيوباكتر أجلومييرانس، أنتيروباكتر كلواكى وأنتيروباكتر هافنيا، 4 ايشيريشيا كولاي 2,1,2 بروتيس ميرابيلس، بروتيس ريتيجرى وپروتيس فالجاريز، 1 بروفيدينشيا الكاليفاشيانس، بالإضافة إلى 2,3 سيراتيا ليكوفيشانس وسيراتيا روبيديا، على الترتيب.

هذا وقد تمت مناقشة الأهمية الصحية والاقتصادية للجراثيم المعزولة وكذلك النصائح الهادفة لمنع أو تقليل التلوث الجرثومى للأسماك المختبرة إلى أدنى مستوى له لضمان أقصى درجات الأمان الصحى للمستهلكين.