

Comparative Study Between The Levels of Some Heavy Metals in Balady Eggs and foreign breed Eggs

Neveen H Abo El-Enaen, Salah-El-Dein W M and Enas M Sami

ABSTRACT

A total of 300 egg samples of balady and the foreign breed eggs (150 for each) were collected from Zagazig city markets during summer 2009 for detection and determination of lead cadmium, manganese, zinc and copper residues and comparing between the residues of these metals in the mentioned examined two egg types. The obtained results revealed that the mean residues of the estimated heavy metals were 0.233, 0.0266, 2.10, 31.84 and 4.58 ppm for lead, cadmium, manganese zinc and copper respectively in the examined balady egg samples; while, in the foreign breed eggs the mean residues of the mentioned heavy metals were 0.156, 0.015, 0.86, 18.04 and 2.636 ppm respectively.

All the estimated heavy metals recorded higher concentrations in eggs produced from the balady flocks than those from the foreign breed flocks. The difference between the two examined egg types in the essential metals (manganese, zinc and copper) was statistically significant. Meanwhile, the non essential heavy metals (lead and cadmium) recorded insignificant higher levels in balady eggs rather than the other examined egg type. The relatively high levels of the examined heavy metals in the balady eggs than those in the foreign breed eggs may be explained by the higher production of the foreign breed flocks than those in balady flocks, and consequently the conversion rate of feed in the foreign breed flocks is higher than those in the balady flocks, it means that the allowance of each balady egg from the feed and feed pollutants in higher than those in the foreign breed egg.

The estimated heavy metals in the examined egg samples recorded low safety levels in most samples of both balady and foreign breed eggs, only few samples exceeded the permissible limits. Also, the calculated daily intake of the estimated metals from the examined egg samples contributed safety and very low rate.

INTRODUCTIN

The effect of environmental pollution on the contamination of foods and on their safety for human consumption is a serious global public concern (1). Heavy metals are unique among pollutants that cause adverse health effect, in that they occur naturally and in many instances ubiquitous in the environment, regardless of how metals are used in the consumer products or industrial processes. Small level of human exposure is in most instances, inevitable. Many heavy metals are biologically essential as manganese, zinc and copper; but, become toxic by exposure to high doses. Moreover, they have the tendency of bioaccumulation in tissues of birds and animals. The common sources of these metals are effluents discharged from metal processing factories, mining products, chemical and sewage sludge effluents (2). Organic manure and decaying plant and animal

residues are considerable sources of these pollutants (3). Furthermore, high concentrations of lead may be found in roadside soil due to previous using of leaded gasoline (4). Also, rocks and soil may be a natural source of these elements (5).

Eggs are important source of the animal protein in the human diet. An egg is composed of about 11% proteins. Further it contains all the amino acids necessary for body metabolism. This makes eggs an essential part of the diet of those who wish to increase weight and build muscles (6). Most of the proteins are concentrated in the white part of the egg, known as albumin or egg white. Furthermore, Eggs are rich in vitamin B, especially vitamin B12, vitamin A, vitamin D, vitamin E and vitamin K. It should be noted that very few food items that contain vitamin D. Furthermore,

eggs are rich in essential minerals as calcium, phosphorus, iodine, zinc and iron (6).

In the Egyptian markets, there are two sources of the table eggs; the first one comes from the native breed flocks which called balady breeds. Although many consumers preferred this type of eggs, the egg productions of the balady flocks are relatively few in number and the egg is small in size. Meanwhile the second source of the eggs is the foreign breeds flocks of the foreign breeds which carry the names of the famous international poultry companies, these flocks give a profuse egg production and relatively large egg size, thus; it represented the most displayed eggs in the Egyptian markets.

Because there are many differences between the circumstances of the production of the two mentioned egg types, the difference between their environmental pollutions with the heavy metals is expected. Therefore, the aim in the current study was to compare between the balady and foreign breed eggs in the levels of some diffused important heavy metals (lead, cadmium, manganese, zinc and copper).

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Collection of samples

A total of 300 egg samples of balady and layer eggs (150 for each) were collected from Zagazig city markets during summer 2009. Each 5 eggs from the same source and collected at the same time were represented as one sample. Egg samples were packed, identified and transferred carefully to the laboratory and stored in the refrigerator at 4- 8 °C till the analysis was conducted (7).

Preparation of samples

The whole albumen and yolk of the each 5 eggs (which represented one sample) were blended with a high speed blender for 5 minutes. Five gm of sub-sample from the blended eggs were taken for analysis. Ten ml conc. nitric acid and 5 ml conc. sulphuric acid were added to the sample and digestion was completed. The digest was diluted to 100 ml deionized water and filtered. The clear filtrate was kept in refrigerator to avoid evaporation (7).

Preparation of blank solution

Blank solution consists of 10 ml. of nitric acid and 5 ml. sulphuric acid was subjected to digestion, dilution and filtration as previously mentioned in the preparation of the examined egg samples to detect any trace of the studied metals in acids or deionized water used.

Quantitative determination of the examined metal residues

Quantitative determination of lead, cadmium, manganese, zinc and copper residues was conducted by using UNICAM 969 Atomic Absorption Spectrophotometer in toxicology unit, Animal Health Research Institute. The concentrations of metals (ppm) in the examined samples were calculated according the following equation (7):

Concentration of metal in samples = $\frac{Ax B}{W}$, where A= metal concentration (ppm) in the prepared sample from the digital scale reading of Atomic Absorption Spectrophotometer, B= the final volume of the prepared sample, W= weight of sample in gram.

Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis of data was conducted using "Statistic for animal and veterinary science" (8).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Results achieved in Table 1 showed the comparison between the heavy metal residues (ppm) in balady eggs and foreign breed eggs. It is clear that all the estimated heavy metals recorded higher concentrations in eggs produced from the balady flocks than those from the foreign breed flocks. The differences between the two egg types were significance in manganese, zinc and copper levels. Meanwhile, the differences were insignificance about both lead and cadmium residues. The comparison between our estimations and the previous results was declared in Table 2. This comparison exhibited that, although our estimations recorded lower metal levels than those previously Egyptian record (9) and recent Indian (14) studies, the metal concentrations in the present study were higher than those in the most foreigner investigations. These differences attributed to the environmental circumstances which varied from country to other.

Table 1. Comparison between the heavy metal residues (ppm) in balady eggs and foreign breed eggs .

Egg type Metal*	Balady eggs			Foreign breed eggs		
	Range	Mean	±S.E.	Range	Mean	±S.E.
Lead	N.D.- 1.2	0.233	0.061	N.D.- 1.4	0.156	0.0533
Cadmium	N.D.- 0.23	0.0266	0.009	N.D.- 0.08	0.015	0.0044
Manganese	0.1- 5.5	2.10 ^a	0.228	0.1- 3.1	0.86 ^b	0.108
Zinc	4.8- 49.6	31.84 ^a	2.3184	2.3- 40.8	18.04 ^b	1.737
Copper	2.0- 6.9	4.58 ^a	0.2993	N.D.- 5.5	2.636 ^b	0.3117

N.B.: Different letters within the same raw mean highly significant variations between the values of the metal concentrations ($P \leq 0.01$).

*Sixty eggs were used for analysis of each metals , 30 from each egg species

Table 2. Mean concentrations (ppm) of the heavy metal residues in the present study compared with previous results of the published studies.

Result Metal	Present study		Coincided with our estimations	Exceeded our estimations	Below our estimations
	Balady eggs	Foreign breed			
Lead	0.233	0.156	-	1.9 in Egypt (9)	0.081 in Kuwait (1) 0.06 in Slovenia (10)
Cadmium	0.0266	0.015	0.03 in Slovenia (10)	0.99 in Egypt (9)	0.007 in Kuwait (1) 0.0005 in Belgium (11) 0.0014 in Greece(12)
Manganese	2.10	0.86	1.1 in USA (13) 0.96 in India (14)	-	1.1 in USA(13) 0.96 in India(14)
Zinc	31.84	18.04	24.2 in USA (13) (19.2- 20.3) Belgium (11)	102.8 ppm in India(14)	24.2 in USA (13) (19.2- 20.3)Belgium (11) (10- 12 ppm) Spain (15)
Copper	4.58	2.636	-	-	0.9 in USA (13) (0.56- 0.7) Spain (15) (0.43- 0.52) Belgium (11) 1.2 in India (14)

Frequency distribution of the estimated heavy metals showed in Table 3, which indicates that the lead residues were not detected in 11 (36.6%) and 15 (50%) out of the examined balady and foreign breed egg samples respectively, these residues exceeded the permissible limit in 6 (20%) and 3 (10%) in the mentioned two examined types of eggs respectively. On the other hand, cadmium residues were not detected in 16 (53.3%) in both balady and foreign breed egg samples, also; 7 (23.3%) and 6 (20%) out of the two

mentioned examined egg samples respectively were higher than the cadmium permissible limit. Manganese, zinc and copper residues were detected in all the examined egg samples except 3 (10%) out of the foreign breed egg samples were not contained copper residues. On the other aspect, zinc and copper residues were below the permissible limits in all the examined egg samples. Meanwhile, manganese permissible limit was not judged in the available standards.

Table 3. Frequency distribution of the heavy metal concentrations in balady eggs and foreign breed eggs

Metal [#]	Egg type	P.L.** (ppm)	Balady eggs						Foreign breed eggs					
			Not Detected		Within P.L.		Over P.L.		Not Detected		Within P.L.		Over P.L.	
			No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
Lead		0.3 ⁽¹⁶⁾	11	36.6	13	43.3	6	20	15	50	12	40	3	10
Cadmium		0.02 ⁽¹⁶⁾	16	53.3	7	23.3	7	23.3	16	53.3	8	26.6	6	20
Manganes*		-	0.0	0.0	-	-	-	-	0.0	0.0	-	-	-	-
Zinc		50 ⁽¹⁷⁾	0.0	0.0	30	100	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	30	100	0.0	0.0
Copper		20 ⁽¹⁷⁾	0.0	0.0	30	100	0.0	0.0	3	10	27	90	0.0	0.0

*: Permissible limit of manganese in table eggs is not judged in any available standard.

** : P.L.= Permissible Limit.

#Sixty eggs were used for analysis of each metals , 30 from each egg species

Table 4. Comparison of acceptable daily intake (ADI) values of the detected metals with calculated daily intake from the examined balady eggs and foreign breed eggs.

Metal	ADI mg/ 70 kg / person (18)	Mean conc. Of the metals in the present study (mg/kg)		Calculated daily intake from consumption of 100 gm. egg daily (19)			
		Balady eggs	Foreign breed eggs	Balady eggs		Foreign breed eggs	
				mg/day /person	%	mg/day /person	%
Lead	0.50	0.233	0.156	0.0233	4.66	0.0156	3.12
Cadmium	0.07	0.0266	0.015	0.00266	3.8	0.0015	2.14
Manganese	5.0	2.10	0.86	0.21	4.2	0.086	1.72
Zinc	70.00	31.84	18.04	3.18	4.5	1.0804	1.5
Copper	35.00	4.58	2.636	0.458	1.3	0.2636	0.75

Table 4 indicates that the previously mentioned average concentrations of lead, cadmium, manganese, zinc and copper in the examined balady egg samples gave a daily intake of about 0.0233, 0.00266, 0.21, 3.18 and 0.458 mg/ person of the mentioned metals respectively for egg consumers (100 gm/ person) (19) and this contribute of about 4.66%, 3.8%, 4.2%, 4.5% and 1.3 % of the acceptable daily intake (ADI) recommended by FAO/ WHO (18) respectively. Meanwhile; the mean values of lead, cadmium, manganese, zinc and copper residues in the examined egg

samples from the foreign breed flocks gave a daily intake of about 0.0156, 0.0015, 0.086, 1.0804 and 0.2636 mg/ person respectively, and this contributed to about 3.12%, 2.14%, 1.72%, 1.5% and 0.75% of the acceptable daily intake (ADI) recommended by FAO/ WHO respectively. The obtained results revealed that, all the estimated heavy metals in this study recorded higher calculated daily intake from the consumption of balady eggs than those from the foreign breed eggs. On the other hand, although these results indicated low levels of the calculated daily intake of the tested heavy metal which reach to the

consumer body via the egg consumption, another study in Taiwan (20) recorded lower levels than our figures in duck eggs, they estimated 0.0017, 0.0001 and 0.040 mg/person/day of lead, cadmium and copper respectively.

From the obtained results of the present investigation, it is evident that all the estimated heavy metals recorded higher concentrations in eggs produced from the balady flocks than those from the foreign breed flocks. This result may be explained by the higher production of the foreign breed flocks than those in balady flocks, and consequently the conversion rate of feed in the foreign breed flocks is higher than those in the balady flocks, it means that the allowance of each balady egg from the feed and feed pollutants is higher than those the allowance of the foreign breed egg. On the other hand, the differences between the two examined egg types in the essential metal levels (manganese, zinc and copper) were statistically significant because these metals were added to the poultry feed; and subsequently accumulated continuously in eggs; thus, the difference between these metals in the two examined egg types become clear and significant. On contrarily, the non essential heavy metals (lead and cadmium) absolutely were not added to the poultry feed; consequently, these metals were not detected in many examined egg samples as exhibited in Table 3. Therefore, the repetition of not detected lead and cadmium residues leads to – statistically - non significant variations between the two examined egg types (21).

In general, the estimated heavy metals in the examined egg samples recorded low safety levels in the most of samples of both balady and foreign breed eggs, only few samples exceeded the permissible limits. Also, the calculated daily intake of the estimated metals from the egg production contributed very low and safety rate.

REFERENCES

1. *Husain A, Al-Rashdan A, AL-Awadhi, Mahgoub B and Al-Amri H (1996):* Toxic metals in food products originating from locally reared animal in Kuwait. Bull.
2. *Daoud J R , Fatma E Gaber and Abdel Fattah Sh A (2002):* Chemical evaluation of some heavy metal residues in some meat and fish products. J. Egypt Vet. Med. Ass. 62, No.3: 107- 117.
3. *Goel P K (1997):* Water pollution (cause, effects and control) Published by H.S. Poplai for new age international (p) limited, New Delhi, India.
4. *Underwood E J (1977):* Trace elements in human and animal nutrition. Academic Press. New York, San. Francisco, London, A Subsidiary of harcourt brace Jovanovich, Publisher.
5. *Moalla S N and Pulford I D (1995):* Mobility of metals in Egyptian desert soils subject to inundation by Lake Nasser. Soil use and management Volume 11 Issue 2 Page 94-98, June.
6. *Nutritional values of egg and egg white(2010):* In web site: <http://www.organicfacts.net/health-benefits/home-remedies/>
7. *Analytical Methods for Atomic Absorption Spectrophotometer (1982):* Analysis of foodstuffs, Norwalk, Connecticut, U.S.A.
8. *Petric A and Watson P (1999):* Statistics for Veterinary and Animal science. 1st Ed. , pp. 90-99. The Blackwell science Ltd, United Kingdom.
9. *Ahmed W M S (2002):* Studies on heavy metals pollution in poultry farms in relation to production performance. Ph.D. Thesis, Animal, Poultry and Environ. Hygiene, Fac. Of Vet. Med. Zag. Univ.
10. *Doganoc D Z (1996):* Distribution of lead, cadmium and zinc in tissues of hens and chickens from Slovenia. Bull. Environ. Contam. Toxicol. 57: 932-937.
11. *Waegeneers N, Hoenig M, Goeyens L and Ludwig De Temmerman LD (2009):* Trace elements in home-produced eggs in Belgium: Levels and spatiotemporal

- distribution. *Science of the Total Environment*. Volume 407, Issue 15: 4397-4402.
- 12. Karavoltsos S, Sakellari A, Dimopoulos M, Dasenakis M and Scoullos M (2002):** Cadmium content in foodstuffs from Greek markets. *Food Additives and Contaminants*, Vol. 19, No. 10: 954- 962.
- 13. Tryfonas AE, Tucker JK, Brunkow PE, Johnson KA, Hussein HS and Lin ZQ (2006):** Metal accumulation in eggs of the red-eared slider (*Trachemys scripta elegans*) in the Lower Illinois River. *Chemosphere*, Volume 63, Issue 1, : 39-44.
- 14. Singh KB and Taneja SK (2010):** Concentration of Zn, Cu and Mn in vegetables and meat foodstuffs commonly available in Manipur: a north eastern state of India. *Electronic Journal of Environmental, Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 9 (3): 610-616.
- 15. Gómara B, Gómez G, Díaz-Paniagua C, Marco A and González MJ(2007):** PCB, DDT, arsenic, and heavy metal (Cd, Cu, Pb, and Zn) concentrations in chameleon (*Chamaeleo chamaeleon*) eggs from Southwest Spain. *Chemosphere* Volume 68, Issue 1, 25-31.
- 16. Code of the Hungarian Health Ministry (1985):** Prevention of the chemical contamination of the foodstuffs. S/ 1985. (X.21.) EUM. *Mezogazdasági és Élelmiszerügyi Értesítő* 22: 530-532, cited after Husain et al, 1996.
- 17. Pearson et al (1976):** The chemical analysis of food. Churhill Livingstone, London, cited after Ahmed, 2002.
- 18. FAO/WHO, Joint Expert committee on food additives, WHO Technical Report Series No. 505 (1972), No. 555 (1972c), No. 647 (1980), No. 683(1982), No. 751(1987) and No. 776 (1989):** Evaluation of certain food additives and contaminants
- 19. Nutritional Institute Cairo Egypt (1996):** The guide of healthy food (diet) for Egyptian family.
- 20. Jeng SL and Yang CP (1995):** Determination of lead, cadmium, mercury and copper concentrations in duck eggs in Taiwan. *Poultry Science*, 74: 178- 193.
- 21. Stephens M and Balding DJ (2009):** Bayesian Statistical method for genetic association studies. *Nature Review Genetics*. 10, 681- 690.

