Efficacy Of Albendazole And Ivermectin Suspensions In Treatment Of Gastrointestinal Nematodes In Sheep, A Comparative Study

*Fagr A Mahmoud, **Eman A Ahmed

Dept. of *Pharmacology, and **Medicine Vet. Teaching Hospital, Fac. Vet. Med., Zagazig Univ. Egypt

ABSTRACT

A study was undertaken to investigate the effect of albendazole and Ivermectin suspension (oramectin)[®] in the treatment of sheep naturally infested with gastrointestinal nematodes in Sharkia province. Twenty four sheep aged from 10-12 months and weighing 30-40 kgm were used. They were selected according to the presence of eggs of gastrointestinal (GI) nematodes in their faeces. The animals were classified into four equal groups each of 6 animals and treated as follows: 1st group (G1) was non-infested non-treated and served as negative control, 2^{nd} group (G2) was naturally infested with gastrointestinal(GI) nematodes, non treated and served as positive control, 3rd group (G3) was naturally infested with GI nematodes and treated with albendazole suspension 2.5 % (2 ml/10 kgm bwt.), 4th group (G4) was naturally infested with GI nematodes and treated with oramectin suspension (2.5 ml/10 kgm bwt.).Blood samples were collected for biochemical and haematological findings at zero day, and after 7th,14th,21stand 28th day post treatment(PT), faecal samples were also collected from each animal to detect the drug efficacy which was assessed as a percentage of egg reduction (Faecal egg count reduction percent, FECR%), then at 7th, 14th, 21st and 28th day post treatment (PT). Biochemical and haematological findings were recorded and compared with infected non-treated control. Ivermectin suspension treated group revealed more efficient effect (98.81%) than that of albendazole suspension (91.54%). The effect of tested drugs on liver function and blood picture of tested animals revealed an improvement with no differences between them.

INTRODUCTION

Sheep are among the first domestic animals kept by man for the production of meat, milk, skin and wool. Gastrointestinal (GI) parasites are often observed among our country's livestock and it causes widespread infection leading to important economic losses (1,2). Gastrointestinal nematodes sensitize animals to shortage of trace elements and vitamins (3-6).

Dede et al.(7) recorded significant changes in the biochemical metabolism of hosts attacked by parasites .The changes in clinically important parameters and the activities of enzymes are important findings to indicate pathological situations (8,9).

The" ideal anthelmintic" should be safe, highly effective against adult and immature stages of the important worms, rapidly and completely metabolized, available in a variety of convenient formulations, economical to be use and be compatible with other commonly used compounds (10). Albendazole is one of benzimidazoles which represent a large family of broad spectrum anthelimintics. It is widely used for many years in a wide range of animal species (11). The mode of action of benzimidazoles is by inhibition of nematode tubulin formation (12).

Ivermectin is an avermectin used for elimination of internal and external parasites in cattle, sheep, goats and camels. It is a fermentation product of Streptomyces avermitilis. It acts as a GABA agonist, causing arthropods paralysis of susceptible and nematodes; it also inhibits the enzymes implicated in the glycolytic pathway, the primary source of energy in worm (13).

This study was conducted to compare the efficacy (Faecal egg count reduction percent, FECR %) of Albendazole suspension 2.5% and Ivermectin suspension (Oramectin)®in treatment of GI nematodes in sheep with special references to their biochemical and haematological changes in treated animals.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Material

Animals

The present study was conducted on 24 sheep aged from 10-12 months and weighing 30-40 kgm. They were freely housed in sheds and fed on concentrated ration and tiben, they were belonged to private farm in Kafr Saker, Sharkia province.

Drugs

- 1-Albendazole suspension 2.5%, it is an oral suspension for the treatment of gastrointestinal nematodes in animals. It is manufactured by Pharma Swede Co. Egypt, each ml contain 25 mg of albendazole. Its dose level in sheep is 2 ml /10 kgm bwt.to be given once as drench according to the instructions of the manufacturing company.
- 2-Ivermectin suspension (Oramectin)®.it is an oral solution for the treatment of gastrointestinal nematodes in animals .It is manufactured by Pharma Swede Co. Egypt, each 1 ml contain 0.8 mg of ivermectin.Its dose level is 2.5 ml / 10 kgm bwt (200 μg Ivermectin / kg) to be administered once as a drench or via stomach tube according to the instructions of the manufacturing company.

Experimental design

Animals in this study were grouped into four equal groups (6 animal/each), 1^{st} group (G1) was non-infested non-treated and served as negative control, 2^{nd} group (G2) was naturally infested with gastrointestinal nematodes non treated and kept as positive control, 3^{rd} group (G3) was naturally infested with GI nematodes and treated with albendazole suspension 2.5 % (2 ml/10 kgm Bwt.) and 4^{th} group (G4) was naturally infested with GI nematodes and treated with ivermectin suspension (2.5 ml/10 kgm Bwt.).

Samples

Faecal samples were collected from each animal directly from the rectum in poly-ethylene sacs and examined for detection of the eggs of gastrointestinal nematodes (GI) and counting the eggs to determine the severity of infestation. Faecal samples were examined by direct smear concentration method and egg count by McMaster technique (15).

Blood collected samples were for biochemical and haematological findings (heparenized for haematological studies and serum collection for biochemical tests) at zero day, then at 7th,14th ,21st and 28th day post treatment (PT).Faecal samples were collected from each animal to detect the drug efficacy which was assessed as a percentage of egg reduction (Faecal egg count reduction percent, FECR%)then at 7th,14th,21st and 28th day PT. Biochemical and haematological findings were recorded and compared with infested non-treated control.

Methods

1) Faecal examination includes

Direct smear method (14) and Mc Master Technique (15).

2) Haematological studies include

Red and white cell counts (16), blood haemoglobin concentration (17), packed cell volume (PCV) (18), differential leucocytic count (19)., Red blood indices (20), Mean corpuscular volume (MCV), Mean corpuscular haemoglobin (MCH) and Mean corpuscular haemoglobin concentration (MCHC).

3) Biochemical studies include

Serum transaminases (AST& ALT) (21), and serum alkaline phosphatase (ALP) (22). Total serum proteins (gm %) (23) and albumin (24), while serum globulins were determined by subtracting serum albumin from total serum proteins.

Sodium and Potassium (25), Calcium (26) phosphorus (mg/dl) (27) and Magnesium (28) were also determined.

Statistical analysis

Data were statistically analyzed according to SPSS program (29)

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The efficacy of tested drugs on sheep naturally infested with GI nematodes

A strong correlation can occur between egg counts and worm burden with the presence of clinical signs of parasitic gastroenteritis (30,31). They added also that counts less than 500-1000 egg/gram faeces mixed species in sheep are considered to be mild ,while over 9000-10000 are considered as heavy infestation.

The clinical signs of infested sheep were chronic body loss, dark green almost soft faeces, anorexia, odema of intermandibular space (bottle jaw), mucosa and conjunctiva are pale, and easily detachable wool. Similar clinical signs were previously recorded (32).

Our results were illustrated in Table.1 which revealed that the mean pre-treatment egg count per gram faeces (epg) of gastrointestinal nematodes (GI) in G3, and G4 at zero time were 2700-8940 (5180) and 2800-9110 (5250) respectively.

The results showed that FECR% (Faecal egg count reduction %) in group(3) was 65.21 %, 79.80 %, 87.24 % and 91.54%, while in group(4) it was 88.23 %, 91.85%, 95.35% and 98.81% at 7^{th} , 14^{th} , 21^{st} and 28^{th} day PT

respectively. These results revealed that ivermectin suspension was more effective than albendazole suspension in treatment of GI nematodes in sheep, which may be due to presence of benzimidazole resistance.

In Alpin goat (33) and in Kasmer vally sheep (34) nearly similar count was recorded.

Ivermectin was 96% effective against gastrointestinal nematodes of Pashmina goats (35). On the other hand albendazole efficacy in commonly grazed sheep in a semi-arid area near Mafikeng, South Africa, from January to March 2006 was more than 80% in most farms and 60-68% in some farms (36). The clinical anthelmintic efficacy of albendazole and ivermectin given to lambs naturally infested with gastrointestinal nematodes was 79.0% and 91.9% respectively (37).

Table 1. The efficacy of oral administration of albendazole suspension 2.5 % (2 ml/10 kgm bwt.), and ivermectin suspension (2.5 ml/10 kgm bwt.) given once on fecal egg count reduction percent (FERC %) and egg count per gram feces (epg) in sheep naturally infested with Gastrointestinal nematodes (Mean ± SE) n=6

| Time | Before treats (Zero tim | Days post treatment | | | | | | | | |
|------------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------|------|-----------------|------------------|-----------|------------------|-----------|------------------|-------|
| | | FERC % | | 7 th | 14 th | | 21 st | | 28 th | |
| Group | epg | | epg | FERC% | epg | FERC % | epg | FERC % | epg | FERC% |
| Albendazole treated group | 2700-8940 (5180) | 0 | 1802 | 65.21 | 1046 | 79.80 | 660 | 87.24 | 438 | 91.54 |
| Ivermectin treated group | 2800-9110 (5250) | 0 | 617 | 88.23 | 427 | 91.85 | 244 | 95.35 | 62 | 98.81 |

Haematological results

Gastrointestinal nematodes can lead to anemia as a result of decrease in the amount of hemoglobin and number of erythrocytes (7). So, eliminating anemia is important for the treatment.

Effects of naturally infested sheep with gastrointestinal nematodes on various haematological parameters have been shown in Table 2. The values of total erythrocytic count ,haemoglobin content ,PCV%, and other haematological indices (MCV,MCH,MCHC) were significantly decreased, while total leucocytic count was significantly increased with eosinophilia in infested groups compared with control non-infested non-treated group, similar results were previously obtained (38).

It was observed that, haemoglobin level returned to its normal level after ivermectin usage (39).

The decrease in RBCs, PCV%, Hb% and blood indices might be due to blood loss from parasitism especially *Haemoncus spp*.as well as reduction of amino acid and impairment in absorption, utilization and assimilation of some elements essential for erythropiosis (40).While increase in WBCs and eosinophilia can be attributed to the reaction and sensitivity of the

host against the secretory products of parasites (41).

Post treatment with the two drugs showed that there was improvement in haematological parameters with no differences between them.

| Table 2. | The effect of oral administration of albendazole suspension 2.5 %(2 ml/10 kgm bwt.), |
|----------|---|
| | and ivermectin suspension (2.5 ml/10 kgm bwt.) given once on blood picture in sheep |
| | naturally infested with Gastrointestinal nematodes (Mean \pm SE) n=6 |

| Group | Control | Infested Albendazole treated gr | | | | group Ivermectin treated group | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|-------|-------|-------|--------------------------------|---------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|--|--|
| Parameter | Non infested (zero time) | Group (zero time) | | | Ĭ | | 7 th day | 14 th day | 21 st day | 28 th day | | |
| | 11.2 | 6.92 | 7.25 | 8.76 | 10.04 | 10.69 | 7.95 | 9.26 | 10.24 | 10.96 | | |
| RBCs (10 ⁶ x | ± a | ± d | ± c | ± c | ± bc | ± b | ± c | ± cb | ±b | ± ab | | |
| cumm) | 0.18 | 0.35 | 0.25 | 0.40 | 0.32 | 0.18 | 0.34 | 0.45 | 0.56 | 0.61 | | |
| | 11.6 | 5.69 | 7.45 | 8.38 | 9.44 | 10.12 | 7.51 | 8.55 | 9.84 | 10.75 | | |
| Haemoglobin (gm | ± a | ± d | ± c | ± cb | ± b | ± ba | ± c | ± cb | ±b | ±a | | |
| %) | 0.54 | 0.43 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.55 | 0.54 | 0.25 | 0.43 | 0.25 | 0.41 | | |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | 32.0 | 20.12 | 24.40 | 26.60 | 29.2 | 30.8 | 25.41 | 27.68 | 29.82 | 31.18 | | |
| PCV % | ± a | ±d | ± c | ± c | ± ab | $\pm a$ | ± c | ± cb | ± ab | ±u | | |
| | 0.85 | 0.36 | 0.24 | 0.25 | 0.81 | 0.85 | 0.25 | 0.43 | 0.75 | 0.85 | | |
| | 30.81 | 26.81 | 27.9 | 29.32 | 29.92 | 30.08 | 28.19 | 29.62 | 30.02 | 30.62 | | |
| MCV(cu u) | ±a | ± c | ± c | ± cb | ± b | ± a | ± cb | ±b | ± ab | ±a | | |
| ine (cu u) | 0.68 | 0.55 | 0.45 | 0.23 | 0.69 | 0.68 | 0.61 | 0.43 | 0.75 | 0.85 | | |
| MCH(u ug) | 9.60 | 8.14 | 8.91 | 9.08 | 9.18 | 9.48 | 8.77 | 9.00 | 9.04 | 9.58 | | |
| | ± a | ± b | ± b | ± ab | ± ab | ± a | ±b | ±b | ± ab | ± ab | | |
| | 0.41 | 0.05 | 0.12 | 0.22 | 0.25 | 0.41 | 0.64 | 0.56 | 0.58 | 0.64 | | |
| MCHC % | 31.08 | 27.99 | 28.42 | 29.14 | 29.88 | 30.5 | 28.57 | 29.09 | 29.75 | 31.00 | | |
| | ±a | ±c | ± c | ± b | ± b | ± ab | ±c | ± cb | ± b | ±a | | |
| | 0.46 | 0.36 | 0.44 | 0.65 | 0.62 | 0.55 | 0.25 | 0.26 | 0.32 | 0.33 | | |
| WDC-/103 | 8.85 | 10.92 | 10.05 | 9.65 | 9.15 | 9.56 | 10.15 | 9.82 | 9.35 | 9.12 | | |
| WBCs $(10^{3}xcu)$ | ± c | ± a | ± ab | ± b | ± b | ±b | ± ab | ± b | ±b | ± b | | |
| mm) | 0.31 | 0.25 | 0.45 | 0.55 | 0.54 | 0.48 | 0.28 | 0.34 | 0.24 | 0.36 | | |
| | 40.5 | 39.2 | 39.9 | 40.3 | 40.5 | 41.5 | 39.7 | 40.13 | 40.35 | 41.05 | | |
| Neutrophils % | ±a | ± ab | ± a | ± a | ± a | ±a | ± ab | ±a | ± a | ±a | | |
| | 0.82 | .0.95 | 0.34 | 0.45 | 0.44 | 0.54 | 1.03 | 0.42 | 0.95 | 0.85 | | |
| | 4.5 | 9.0 | 8.2 | 7.5 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 7.86 | 6.95 | 6.05 | 5.86 | | |
| Eosinophils % | ±c | ±a | ± a | ± b | ± b | ±b | ± ab | ± b | ±b | ± cb | | |
| | 0.28 | 0.24 | 0.25 | 0.30 | 0.42 | 0.52 | 0.24 | 0.25 | 0.22 | 0.32 | | |
| Basophiles % | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.33 | 0.3 | 0.31 | | |
| | ± cb | ±c | ± c | ± c | ± c | ±c | ± c | ±cb | ±c | ±c | | |
| | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | | |
| Lymphocytes% | 50.5 | 47.5 | 47.6 | 48.0 | 48.8 | 49.0 | 47.96 | 48.25 | 49.08 | 49.55 | | |
| | ± b | ± c | ± c | ± c | | ± cb | ± c | ±c | ± cb | ± cb | | |
| | 0.91 | 1.18 | 1.17 | 0.94 | 0.95 | 0.88 | 1.21 | 0.95 | 0.88 | 0.94 | | |
| Monocytes% | 3.4 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.4 | 3.4 | | |
| | ± b | ± cb | ± cb | ± cb | ± b | ± b | ± cb | ±b | ± b | ± b | | |
| | 0.18 | 0.26 | 0.25 | 0.22 | 0.24 | 0.25 | 0.24 | 0.35 | 0.24 | 0.44 | | |

Means within the same column carrying different litters are significant at P < 0.05

Biochemical results

The results are illustrated in Table.3, our results revealed that animals naturally infested with GI nematodes showed a non significant effect on serum transaminases (AST&ALT), and alkaline phosphatase (ALP), these results were reinforced with those previously obtained (42). A significant decrease was noticed in total proteins, albumin and globulins in animals naturally infested with GI nematodes the decrease in total proteins may be attributed to the presence of parasites which interfere with absorption of protein intake (43,44). Also may be due to edema that resulted from infestation with GIT nematodes (45,46).

Pathological changes in the intestinal tract, leading to changes in biochemical parameters in animals infested with gastrointestinal nematodes (4, 5, 6, 47).

Kaneko et al.(8), and Karagul et al., (9) recorded that the activities of ALP, ALT and AST enzymes in serum were significantly changed because of degeneration of cellular membrane, loss and collapse of diffuse tissue inflammation. The AST and ALT enzyme activities in sheep infested with gastrointestinal nematodes did not change (6). Ceylan et al. (48) found that there were no significant change in the activities of these enzymes in goats infested naturally with GIT.

Ceylan et al.(48) and Molento et al., (49) found that the total protein levels in some animals such as cattle and goats infested with different GI parasites did not significantly changed; on the other hand there were reports indicating their increases in sheep infested with endoparasites (6) and goat experimentally infested with GI nematodes (50).

Mean values of serum sodium, potassium, calcium, phosphorus and magnesium in sheep naturally infested with GIT nematodes revealed a significant decrease in their levels compared with control non-infested non-treated group (Table4).Macro element shortage observed in parasitic diseases leads to clinical defectiveness, loss of efficiency and death (7,8).The decrease of such elements may be attributed to the inability of the gut in parasitized animal to absorb and assimilate these elements due to change in pH (43).

Moreover, Trichostrongylosis infestation of lambs reduces the absorption of phosphorus and increases the loss of endogenous phosphorus thus leading to phosphorus deficiency (32).

Mbuh and Mbwaye (50) found that the levels of Na and Ca decreased in goat because of parasitism but K level wasn't affected from disease. Ayaz et al. (6) reported that Na, K, Ca levels didn't change in sheep infested with endoparasites while Mg and P were increased. In several studies it was reported that macro element concentrations such as Ca, Na, Mg, K, P changed after ivermectin application in ewes naturally infested with gastrointestinal nematodes (51,52).

Ceylan et al.(48), observed that there were non significant changes in levels of Ca, Na, Mg, K in goats naturally infested with gastrointestinal nematodes and treated with ivermectin.

Post treatment with the two drugs showed an improvement in these biochemical parameters with no differences between them.

CONCLUSION

Finally we can conclude that oral administration of ivermectin suspension in sheep naturally infested with GI nematodes is efficacious than that of albendazole suspension. The effect of tested drugs on liver function and blood picture of tested animals revealed that the two drugs showed that there was improvement with no differences between them. Table 3. The effect of oral administration of albendazole suspension 2.5 %(2 ml/10 kgm bwt.), and ivermectin suspension (2.5 ml/10 kgm bwt.) given once on liver function parameters in sheep naturally infested with Gastrointestinal nematodes

(Mean \pm SE) n=6

| Group | Control | Infested | Albe | ndazole (| treated g | roup | Ivermectin treated group | | | | |
|-----------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--|
| Parameter | Non infested (zero time) | Group (zero time) | 7 th day | 14 th day | 21 st day | 28 th day | 7 th day | 14 th day | 21 st day | 28 th day | |
| AST | 98.00 | 97.20 | 96.7 | 95.3 | 97.08 | 97.55 | 95.97 | 98.1 | 96.11 | 96.96 | |
| (IU/L) | ± d | ±d | ± d | ± d | ±d | ±d | ± d | ±d | ± d | ±d | |
| | 27.79 | 22.35 | 27.87 | 25.25 | 27.79 | 23.25 | 25.11 | 20.9 | 29.6 | 26.41 | |
| ALT | 16.70 | 15.87 | 16.00 | 16.7 | 16.3 | 16.7 | 16.55 | 15.99 | 16.21 | 16.9 | |
| (IU/L) | ± b | ± b | ± b | ± b | ± b | ± b | ± b | ± b | ± b | ±b | |
| | 7.18 | 7.68 | 7.8 | 8.33 | 7.18 | 8.12 | 8.11 | 7.65 | 7.41 | 8.0 | |
| ALP | 235.5 | 225.47 | 235.8 | 239.5 | 235.50 | 240.5 | 240.7 | 234.55 | 240.47 | 236.28 | |
| (IU/L) | ± d | ± d | ± d | ± d | ± d | ± d | ± d | ± d | ± d | ± d | |
| | 178.62 | 169.26 | 177.94 | 180.68 | 178.62 | 178.88 | 178.2 | 179.4 | 177.88 | 178.36 | |
| Total assume | 6.91 | 5.75 | 5.96 | 6.30 | 6.52 | 6.72 | 6.28 | 6.48 | 6.58 | 6.75 | |
| Total serum | ± a | ±c | ± c | ± cb | ± b | ±a | ± cb | ± ab | ± ab | ±a | |
| protein(gm%) | 0.28 | 0.38 | 0.23 | 0.28 | 0.22 | 0.02 | 0.53 | 0.23 | 0.43 | 0.24 | |
| | 3.71 | 2.84 | 2.99 | 3.19 | 3.30 | 3.47 | 3.19 | 3.31 | 3.35 | 3.5 | |
| Albumin (gm %) | ±a | ± c | ± c | ± cb | ± b | ± ab | ± bc | ± ab | ± ab | ± ab | |
| - | 0.45 | 0.35 | 0.43 | 0.37 | 0.54 | 0.44 | 0.58 | 0.42 | 0.40 | 0.30 | |
| | 3.28 | 2.81 | 2.97 | 3.11 | 3.22 | 3.25 | 3.09 | 3.17 | 3.23 | 3.25 | |
| Globulin (gm %) | ± a | ± c | ± c | ±b | ±b | ± a | ± b | ± b | ± ab | ±α | |
| | 0.51 | 0.55 | 0.32 | 0.42 | 0.53 | 0.52 | 0.45 | 0.22 | 0.18 | 0.22 | |

Means within the same column carrying different litters are significant at P < 0.05

Table 4. The effect of oral administration of albendazole suspension 2.5 % (2 ml/10 kgm bwt.), and ivermectin suspension (2.5 ml / 10 kgm bwt.) given once on sodium, potassium, calcium, phosphorus and magnesium levels in sheep naturally infested with Gastrointestinal nematodes (Mean ± SE) n=6

| Group | Control | Albe | ndazole (| reated g | roup | Ivermectin treated group | | | | |
|-----------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| Parameter | non infested (zero time) | non treated group (zero time) | 7 th day | 14 th day | 21 st day | 28 th day | 7 th day | 14 th day | 21 st day | 28 th day |
| Sodium (mmol/L) | 147.6 ± a 4.8 | 142.85 ± b 5.95 | 143.24 ± b 5.66 | 143.95 ± b 5.41 | 144.85 ± ab 4.9 | 145.96 ± a 4.93 | 143.65 ± b 5.81 | 144.81 ± ab 5.24 | 145.25 ± ab 4.95 | 146.15 ± a 4.65 |
| Potassium (mmol/L) | 5.26 ± a 0.36 | 5.11 ± b 0.63 | 5.14 ± b 0.51 | 5.18 ± ab 0.49 | 5.20 ± a 0.58 | 5.24 ± a 0.54 | 5.11 ± b 0.44 | 5.17 ± ab 0.49 | 5.21 ± a 0.46 | 5.25 ± a 0.55 |
| Calcium (mg/dl) | 11.88 ± a 0.40 | 5.18 ± d 0.15 | 7.90 ± c 0.52 | 8.13 ± c 0.13 | 10.12 ± b 0.42 | 10.88 ± b 0.25 | 7.88 ± c 0.38 | 10.76 ± b 0.45 | 10.88 ± b 0.52 | 10.95 ± ab 0.21 |
| Phosphorus (mg/dl) | 6.91 ± a 0.49 | 4.81 ± c 0.58 | 5.44 ± cb 0.62 | 5.98 ± b 0.23 | 6.55 ± ab 0.32 | 6.59 ± a 0.54 | 5.98 ± b 0.32 | 6.14 ± b 0.25 | 6.35 ± b 0.45 | 6.58 ± ab 0.18 |
| Magnesium (mg/dl) | 2.67 ± a 0.11 | 1.8 ± c 0.32 | 2.04 ± c 0.12 | 2.12 ± b 0.54 | 2.15 ± b 0.21 | 2.29 ± ba 0.24 | 2.17 ± b 0.52 | 2.28 ± b 0.12 | 2.35 ± b 0.18 | 2.40 ± ab 0.10 |

Means within the same column carrying different litters are significant at P < 0.05

REFERENCES

- 1.Altas M M, Sevgili A, Gokcen and Bayburs H C (2006): Prevalence of gastrointestinal nematodes in sheep in the Sanliurfa region. Turk. Parazitol. Derg., 30: 317-321.
- 2.Sartozkan S and Yalcin C(2009): Estimating the production losses due to cystic echinococcosis in ruminants in Turkey. Vet. Parasitol., 163: 330-334.
- 3.0ge S E, Ayaz and Gicik Y (1996): The effects of netobimin and moxidectin against naturally acquired gastrointestinal nematodes in sheep. Kafkas Univ. Vet. Fak. Derg., 2: 199-203.
- 4.Sykes A R and Coop R L (2001): Interactions between nutrition and gastrointestinal parasitism in sheep. New Zealand Vet. J., 49: 222-226.
- 5.Koski K Gand Scott M E (2003): Gastrointestinal nematodes, trace elements and immunity. J. Trace Elem. Exp. Med., 16: 237-251.
- 6.Ayaz E, Ertekin A, Ozdal N, and Tas Z, (2007): Some biochemical parameters in sheep infected with endoparasites Fasciola Sp. Dicrocoelium dendriticum, hydatid cysts, Tricostrongylidae and Protostrongylidae. Acta Parasitol. Turcica, 31: 57-61.
- 7.Dede S, Deger Y, Kahraman T, Deger S, Alkan M and Cemek M (2002): Oxidation products of nitric oxide and the concentrations of antioxidant vitamins in parasitized goats. Acta Vet. Brno, 71: 341-345.
- 8.Kaneko J J, Harvey J W and Bruss M L, (1997): Clinical Biochemistry of Domestic Animals. 5th Edn., Academic Press, San Diego, California, ISBN-13: 9780123963055, pp: 932.
- 9.Karagul H, Altıntas A, Fidancı U R and Sel T (2000): Klinik Biyokimya Uygulamaları. Medisan Yayınevi, Birinci Baskı, Ankara.
- 10.Brunsdon R V(1980) : Principles of helminth control .Vet.Parasit.6:185.

- 11.Prichard R K(1992): Anthelimintic resistance in nematodes extent, recent understanding and future direction of control and research.Int.J.Parasitol.,20:515-523.
- 12.Armour J and Bogmen J A (1982): Anthelimintics for ruminants .British Vet. J. 138:371-382.
- 13.Ikada T(2003): Pharmacological effects of ivermectin, an antiparasitic agent for intestinal strongyloidiasis: its mode of action and clinical efficacy. Vet. Parasitol; 122 (6):527-38.
- 14.Soulsby E J L(1982): Helminthes, Arthropods and Protozoa of Domesticated animals. Baillier Tindall, London, .
- **15.Manual of Veterinary Parasitological Laboratory Techniques (1971):** Technical Bulletin, No 18, Ministry of Agriculture , Fisheries and Food, .
- 16.Wintrobe M M (1961): Clinical Haematology, 6th Ed., Lea and Feibiger.
- 17.Lynch M J, Raphae S D, Meller LD, Spare OD, and Inwood M J H(1969): Medical Laboratory Technology and Clinical Pathology. 2nd Ed. WE, Saunders Co. Philadelphia, London, Toronto.
- 18.Schalm O W (1975): Veterinary Haematology 3rd Ed. Bailliere, Tindall and Cassel Ltd, London, .
- 19. Williams W J, Beutler E, Erslev A J and Lichtaman M A (1983): Text book of Haematology 3rd Ed. McGrow-Hill, Inc. USA.
- 20.Baker F J, Silverton R E and Luclcock E D (1964): An introduction to Medical Laboratory Technology. 4th Ed, London; Butterworths.
- 21.Reitman S and Frankle S (1957): Determination of serum glutamic oxalacetic acid and glutamic pyruvic transaminases. Am.J.Clin.Path..,28:56-58.
- 22.Kind P R and King E G(1954): Colorimeteric determination of alkaline phosphatase activity J. Clin. Path. 7:322,

- 23.Doumas B T (1975): Colorimetric determination of total proteins. Clin. Chem., 21: 1159 1166.
- 24.Doumas B T, Waston W A, and Biggs H S (1971): Albumin standards and the measurement of serum albumin with bromocresol green. Clin. Chem. Acta, 31: 87-96.
- 25.A.O.A.C (1990): Association of Official Analytical Chemists. Offacial Methods of Analysis 15th ed.Vol/1.Arlington,Virginia USA.247pp.
- 26.Gindler M (1972): Colorimetric determination of serum calcium. Am.J.Clin.Path.58:376-382
- 27.Goldenbarg H (1966): Colorimetric determination of serum phosphorus. Clin. Chem.12:871
- 28.Bohuon C (1962): Colorimetric determination of serum magnesium. Anal. Chem. Acta. 7:811-817
- 29.SPSS (2001) : Spss/pc+2001,for the pc/xt. Spss INC.
- 30.Armour J (1980): The epidemiology of helminth disease in farm animals. Vet. Parasit., 6:7.
- 31.Shawkat E M,Abdel-Halim M,Kubesy A, Rakha G M and El-Faumy(1991): Clinical and Therapeutic studies on parasitic gastroenteritis in sheep. Vet. Med. J. Giza 39,No 2:237-254.
- 32.Blood D C, Radositis O N, Raund J H and Gay C C (1990): Vet. Med. Textbook of the diseases of cattle ,sheep ,pigs ,goats and horses. Baillier, Tindall, London, Philadelphia, Sydney, Tokyo.
- 33.Singh R J, Pandit B A and Khan H M (2001): Comparative efficacy of Ivermectin, Albendazole and Nilzan (Oxyclozanide + Tetramizole) against GI nematodiasis in Alpine goats. Ind. Vet. J. Small Ruminants, 7(2), 116-117.
- 34.Nasreen S, Ghulam Jeelani and Sheikh F D (2007): Efficacy Of Different Anthelmintics Against Gastro-Intestinal Nematodes of

Sheep in Kasmir Valley . On line Veterinary Journal Vol 2 No 1, Article 13.

- 35.Ram H, Rasool T J, Sharma A K, Meena H **R** and Singh S K (2007): Comparative efficacy of different anthelmintics against fenbendazole-resistant nematodes of pashmina goats. Vet. Res .Commun ; 31(6):719-23.
- 36.Bakunzi F R (2008): Efficacy of 3 anthelminitics in commonly grazed sheep as reflected by feacal egg count reduction tests in a semi-aried area of South Africa.J S Afr Vet Assoc.,79(1):54-5
- 37.Entrocasso C, Alvarez L, Manazza J, Lifschitz A, Borda B, Virkel G, Mottier L and Lanusse C (2008). Clinical efficacy assessment of the albendazole-ivermectin combination in lambs parasitized with resistant nematodes. Vet Parasitol. 17;155(3-4):249-56.
- 38.Skogerboe T L,Karle-Smith L L and Derozier C L(2000): The persistent efficacy of doramectin pour-on against biting and sucking louse infestations of cattle. Vet. Parasitol., 87: 183-192.
- 39.Albers G A and Lejambere L F (1993): Erythrocyte potassium concentration :a simple ,parameter for erythropiosis in sheep infected with Haemonchus contortus .Res.Vet..Sci.,35:273-276.
- 40.Doxey D L(1971): Veterinary Clinical Pathology 1st Ed.. Bailliere Tindal and Cow, London.
- **41.Schalm O W (1986):** A Text Book of Physiology.5th Ed.Lea and Febiger, Philadelphia, USA.
- 42.Amin M R, Mostafa M,Awal M A and Sultana M A (2008): Effect of Garlic, Turmeric and Betel leaf against gastrointestinal nematodes in cattle. Bangladesh, J Vet. Med.Vol (6).No 1
- 43.Zin Al-Abdin Y,Abd Al-Rahman M S, Hamza S and Raga Abd El-Wahab (1975): Comparative studies on some serum constituent and some serum enzyme

activities of normal and nematode infested camel. Egypt. J.Vet.Since,Vol.12(1):31-43.

- 44.Fawzia F A , Abdalla M Selim and Wafaa M Abdel Razek (1994): Nematodiasis in sheep at Sharkia Governorate, Prevalence, some Biochemical studies and efficacy of treatment. Alex. J. Vet. Science, Vol.10 (1): 45-50.
- 45.Perry B D, Randolph R F, McDermott J J, Sones K R and Thornton P K (2002): Investing in animal health research to alleviate poverty.International Livestock Research Institute (ILRI), Nairobi, Kenya, 148 pp.137.
- 46.Peter J, Wallera and Chandrawathani P B (2005): Haemonchus contortus: Parasite problem No. 1 fromTropics Polar Circle. Problems and prospects for control based on epidemiology. Tropical Biomedicine 22(2): 131–137.
- 47.Suttle N F and Jones D G (1989): Recent developments in trace element metabolism and function: trace elements, disease resistance and immune responsiveness in ruminants. J. Nutr., 119: 1055-1061.

- 48.Ceylan E, Ragbetli C and Tanritanir P (2010): Evaluating the effect of the treatment of doramectin on some biochemical parameters in goats naturally infected with gastrointestinal nematodes. Asian J. Anim. Vet. Adv., 5: 162-168.
- 49.Molento M B C, Trubeau R K, Prichard G L, Zimmerman E G, Johnson S and Conder G A, (1999): Persistent efficacy of doramectin pour-on against artificially induced infections of nematodes in cattle. Vet. Parasitol., 82: 297-303.
- 50.Mbuh J V and Mbwaye J (2005): Serological changes in goats experimentally infected with Fasciola gigantica in Buea sub-division of S.W.P. Cameroon. Vet. Parasitol., 131: 255-259.
- 51.Kuzner J L, Kolar M, Nemec C, Borko and Marc I (2005): Tolerance of therapeutic doses of abamectin and doramectin in Istrian Pramenka sheep. J. Vet. Med. Ser. A, 52: 525-528.
- 52.Kolar L V C, Flajs J, Kuzner I, Marc and Pogacnik M (2006): Time profile of abamectin and doramectin excretion and degradation in sheep faeces. Environ. Pollut, 144:197-202.

كفاءة الالبندازول والايفر مكتين في علاج الإصابة بالديدان المعوية في الاغنام (دراسة مقارنة)

*فجرعبدالكريم محمود ، ** إيمان عبد الحميد أحمد
* قسم الفار ماكولوجيا و ** قسم الباطنة
المستشفى التعليمى البيطرى- كلية الطب البيطرى- جامعة الزقازيق- مصر

أجريت هذه الدراسة على قطيع من الأغنام المصاب طبيعيا بالديدان المعوية فى مزرعة تابعة لمحافظة الشرقية لعمل مقارنة بين تأثير كلا من الالبندازول والايفر مكتين بالفم فى علاج الديدان المعوية فى الأغنام وقد استخدم فى هذه الدراسة عدد ٢٤ رأس من الأغنام يتراوح اعمارهم من ١٠-١٢ شهر ويتراوح أوزانهم من ٣٠- ٤٠ كجم/حيوان ، فى اليوم الأول تم اخذ عينات دم وروث من الحيوانات وتم تحليل الروث لتحديد درجة الإصابة وأيضا تم تحليل الدم وأظهرت نتائج التحاليل الدموية للحيوانات المصابة انخفاضا معنويا فى عدد كرات الدم الحمراء و تركيز الهيموجلوبين و حجم الخلايا المضيعية المضابية انخفاضا زيادة معنوية في عدد كرات الدم البيضاء. أو ضحت التحاليل البيو كيمانية لمصل الدم لنفس الحيوانات عدم وجود اختلافات معنوية في وظائف الكبد(AST,ALP,ALT) بينما أظهرت النتائج نقصا معنويا في تركيز البروتين الكلى والألبيومين وأيضا نقصا ملحوظا في نسبة الصوديوم والبوتاسيوم والكالسيوم والفوسفور والماغنيسيوم وأوضحت الدراسة إن التغيرات الدموية والكيميانية في الحيوانات المصابة ماهي إلا انعكاسا لدرجة الاصابه وقد تم تقسيم الحيوانات إلى اربع مجموعات كل مجموعة تتكون من ٦ حيوانات على النحو التالي : المجموعة الأولى غير مصابة وغير معالجة (الضابطة) ، المجموعة الثانية مصابة وغير معالجة ، المجموعة الثالثة مصابة ومعالجة بالألبندازول (٢ مل/١٠ كجم من وزن الحيوان) بالفم ،المجموعة الرابعة مصابة ومعالجة بالايفرمكتين (أورامكتين) (٢,٥ مل /كجم من وزن الحيوان) بالفم . تم جمع عينات روث من الحيوانات المعالجة بعد العلاج ب ٤،٣،٢،١ أسابيع وكذلك عينات دم لمتابعة تطور الحالة بعد العلاج بعد انتهاء التجربة تم تقييم فعالية الأدوية كنسبة منوية من الحد من عدد البويضات (الديدان المعوية) في روث الحيوانات المصابة والمعالجة (FECR ٪). وأظهرت النتائج أن FECR ٪ في المجموعة (٣)كانت (٩١,٥٤، ٨٧,٢٤، ٨٧,٢٤، ٩١,٥٤) ، في المجموعة (٤) كانت (٩١,٨٥، ٨٨,٢٣) ، ٩٨,٨١، ٩٥,٥٣) مما سبق يمكننا القول بأن مستحلب الأيفر مكتين الذي يعطى بالفم اظهر كفاءة أعلى من مستحلب الالبنداز ول في علاج الديدان المعوية في الاغنام. فيما يتعلق بتأثير كلا الدو ائين على التغير ات اليبو كيمائية لمصل الدم فقد أو ضحت الدر اسة انهما اظهر ا تحسنا ملحوظا في هذة النسب بدون اختلافات ملحوظة بينهما