

Inheritance of Grain Yield and Other Attributes in New Maize Inbred Lines

M.A. Abd El-Moula

Maize Research Program, Field Crops Research Institute, ARC, Giza, Egypt.

most studied traits. The interactions of locations (environments) with crosses were significant for all the studied traits. Inbreds x Loc. interaction were significant for ear diameter, number of rows ear⁻¹, number of kernels rows⁻¹, number of ears plant⁻¹ and grain yield faddan⁻¹. Testers x Loc were significant for ear length, number of rows ear⁻¹, number of ears plant⁻¹ and grain yield faddan⁻¹. The interactions of L x T x Loc were significant for number of kernels row⁻¹, and number of ears 100 plant⁻¹. These interactions with locations are mainly attributed to the different ranking of genotypes from location to another

Inbred lines L1, L8 and L9 possessed significantly positive GCA effects (desirable) toward producing hybrids of high grain yield. The crosses (L2 x Gm1021), (L5 x Gm-1021), and (L7 x Gm1021) had positive and significant SCA effects for grain yield. The non-additive gene effects were more important than additive gene effects in the inheritance for days to 50% silking, plant height, ear length, ear diameter and grain yield. Furthermore, the non-additive gene action interacted more with the environmental conditions

Abstract

Nine yellow maize inbred lines derived from S₅ generation at Malloway Agricultural Research Station were topcrossed with three testers, i.e., commercial inbred lines Gm1002, and Gm1021 as well as single cross 166 in 2008 season. The 27 topcrosses with three commercial hybrids SC162, SC166 and TWC352 were evaluated at Sakha, and Malloway Research Stations during summer 2009. Number of days to 50% silking, plant height (cm), ear height (cm), ear length (cm), ear diameter (cm), number of rows ear⁻¹, number of kernels per row⁻¹, number of ears 100 plants⁻¹ and grain yield (ard fad⁻¹) were studied.

Mean square due to crosses was significant for all traits, except for number of rows ear⁻¹ and number of ears 100 plants⁻¹. Mean squares due to lines and testers were significant for all of the studied traits, except for ear diameter and number of rows ear⁻¹ of testers. Mean squares due to lines x testers interaction were significant for all the studied traits, except for ear height and number of rows ear⁻¹.

Significant differences were detected among locations for

Received on: 26/3/2011

Accepted for publication on: 30/3/2011

Referees: Prof. Dr. Elsaid A. Hasaballah

Prof. Dr. Ezat E. Mahdi

effects. Hallauer and Miranda (1981) found that the low performing testers gave a better idea of GCA of the lines than high performing testers. Ali and Tepora (1986) found that the inbred line as a narrow genetic base exhibited the highest genetic variation in the test crosses progenies for general combining ability effects for grain yield. The concept of general (GCA) and specific (SCA) combining ability was firstly defined by Sprague and Tatum (1942). They and other investigators (Hassaballa *et al* 1980, El-Morshidy and Hassaballa 1982, Mahmoud 1996, Konak *et al* 1999, Zelleke 2000 and Abd El-Moula and Abd El-Azeem 2008) reported that the variance components due to SCA for grain yield and other agronomic traits were larger than that due to GCA, indicating the importance of non-additive type of gene action in the inheritance of these traits. Mathur *et al* (1998) obtained significant GCA variances for days to 50% silking. On the other hand, the environment x GCA interaction for grain yield was significant for both lines and testers (Hede *et al* 1999, Nass *et al* 2000, El-Zeir *et al* 2000, El-Morshidy *et al* 2003 and Abd El-Moula *et al* 2004). However, Soliman and Osman (2006) revealed that additive gene action component had the major role in the inheritance of grain yield and other traits compared with the non-additive ones. Parvez and Rather (2006) found that the non-additive component of gene ef-

than the additive effects for all of the studied traits except, for silking date, ear length and no of rows per ear.

Four new single crosses i.e. (L1x Gm1002), (L1 x Gm1021), (L5 x Gm1021), and (L7 x Gm1021) out yielded the check hybrid SC162. Eight new three-way crosses i.e.,

(L1x SC166), (L2x SC166), (L3x SC166), (L4x SC166), (L5x SC166), (L6x SC166), (L8x SC166), and (L9x SC166) significantly out yielded the check TWC352. These promising yellow hybrids are prospective genetic materials for yielding ability in future maize breeding program

Key words: *Maize, top-cross, combining ability, additive, non-additive.*

Introduction

Developing high yielding maize hybrids is one of the ultimate goals of the National Maize Research Program (NMRP).

Topcross (test cross) method using broad and/or narrow testers is widely used to evaluate new improved lines for combining ability in maize hybrid breeding programmes. The choice of a tester to test the developed inbred lines is an important decision. In this respect, Lonquist and Lindsey (1964) reported that the use of common tester parent reduced the range of traits expression among the evaluated progenies. Walejko and Russell (1977) stated that the inbred testers are effective for determining general and specific combining ability

row base testers, i.e. inbred lines Gm-1002 and Gm-1021, and a commercial yellow single cross 166 (SC166) at Mallawy Experimental Station. The two tester lines were developed by Maize Research Program and are being used in seed production of commercial single and three-way cross hybrids. The obtained 27 top crosses with the three commercial check hybrids, SC162, SC166 and TWC352 were evaluated in replicated yield trials conducted at Sakha and Mallawy Agric Res. Stns. in 2009 season.

The experimental design was a randomized complete block design with four replications. Plot size was one row, 6 m long and 80 cm apart and hills were spaced 25 cm along the row. Two kernels were planted per hill and thinned later to one plant per hill to provide a population of approximately 21000 plants feddan⁻¹ (Feddan = 4200 m²). All cultural practices for maize production were applied as recommended.

Data were recorded for nine quantitative traits, i.e. number of days to 50% silking, plant height (cm), ear height (cm), ear length (cm), ear diameter (cm), number of rows ear⁻¹, number of kernels per row⁻¹, number of ears 100 plants⁻¹ and grain yield (ard fad⁻¹) adjusted to 15.5% grain moisture content. Analysis of variance was performed for the combined data over locations according to Gomez and Gomez (1984), and Kempthorne (1957). Procedure as explained by Singh and

fect had the major role in the inheritance of plant height, ear length, ear diameter, kernel rows ear⁻¹, 100-kernel weight and grain yield plot⁻¹. El-Hifny *et al.* (2010), found that the grain yield character inheritance was mainly affected by non-additive gene action type.

Genotypic correlations among pairs of agronomic traits provide a scope for indirect selection in a crop breeding programme. Abo El-saad *et al.* (1994) found highly significant genotypic correlation coefficients between grain yield per plant, days to 50% silking and plant height.

The main objectives of this investigation were 1) to evaluate of 9 yellow maize inbred lines during topcrosses with three testers over two locations, 2) to determine the importance gene action type. 3) identify the most superior line(s), single and three way crosses to be utilize in hybrid maize breeding program and 4) determination of the phenotypic and genotypic correlation coefficients among grain yield and other studied traits.

Materials And Methods

Nine selected yellow maize inbred lines in S₅ generation derived from different a wide genetic base populations through selection from segregating generations in the disease nursery field at Mallawy Agricultural Research Station were used for the purpose of this study. In 2008 summer season, the 9 lines were topcrossed to each of three nar-

traits in the tested lines. Genotypic and phenotypic correlation coefficients were computed according to Kwon and Torrie (1964).

Chaudhary (1985) was followed to obtain information about the combining ability of the lines and the testers as well as estimate types of gene effects controlling grain yield and other studied

From the expectation of mean squares of analysis of variance, the following variance components were estimated as follows;

$$k^2_l = \frac{MS_L - MS_{L \times Env.}}{rte}$$

$$k^2_t = \frac{MS_T - MS_{T \times Env.}}{rle}$$

$$k^2_{lt} = \frac{MS_{LT} - MS_{LT \times Env.}}{re}$$

$$k^2_{le} = \frac{MS_{L \times Env.} - MS_e}{rt}$$

$$k^2_{te} = \frac{MS_{T \times Env.} - MS_e}{rl}$$

$$k^2_{lte} = \frac{MS_{LT \times Env.} - MS_e}{r}$$

Where:

k^2_l = variance due to lines.

k^2_t = variance due to testers.

k^2_{lt} = variance due to line x tester interaction.

k^2_{le} = variance due to line x location interaction.

k^2_{te} = variance due to tester x location interaction.

k^2_{lte} = variance due to line x tester x location interaction.

The covariance of half-sib (H.S.) and full-sib were estimated as follows:

$$Cov\ H.S. = \frac{t k^2_l + l k^2_t}{l + t}$$

$$Cov\ F.S. = k^2_{lt} + 2\ Cov\ H.S.$$

$$k^2_{gca} = Cov\ H.S.$$

$$k^2_{sca} = (Cov\ F.S. - 2\ Cov\ H.S.)$$

$$k^2_{gca \times E} = \frac{t k^2_{le} + l k^2_{te}}{l + t}$$

$$k^2_{sca \times E} = k^2_{lte}$$

locations for all studied traits of top crosses, except for ear length, ear diameter and number of ears 100 plants⁻¹. These results revealed the presence of markedly variations among two locations in climatic and soil conditions.

Results And Discosions

Analysis of variance:

Combined analysis of variance for the nine studied traits is presented in Table (1). Significant and / or highly significant differences were detected among

The magnitude of the variance due to testers for days to 50 % silking, ear height, ear length, number of kernels row⁻¹, number of ears 100 plants⁻¹, and grain yield (ard feddan⁻¹) were higher than variances due to lines, indicating that the testers contributed much more to the total variation. The magnitude of the variance due to T x Loc were higher than that of L x Loc for days to 50% silking, ear length, number of ears 100 plants⁻¹ and grain yield feddan⁻¹, revealing that the testers were more affected by the environmental conditions than lines. Similar results were obtained by Shehata *et al* (1997), El-Zeir *et al* (2000), El-Morshidy *et al* (2003) and Abd El-Moula *et al* (2004). However, Amer and El-Shenawy (2007) obtained significant interaction between locations, lines and testers for silking date, ear height and grain yield. Also, Gado *et al* (2000), and El-Morshidy *et al* (2003) added that testers were affected much more by the environmental conditions than lines. Abd El-Moula *et al* (2010) found that, testers x Loc. interaction was higher in its magnitude than that of lines x Loc. for plant height, number of ears per 100 plants⁻¹, ear length, and grain yield., indicating that testers were more affected by the environmental conditions than lines for such traits.

Mean performance:

Mean performance of top-crosses along with the check hybrids SC162, SC166 and TWC352 are presented in Table

Mean squares among crosses were significant for all traits, except for number of rows ear⁻¹ and number of ears 100 plants⁻¹. Partitioning the sum of squares due to crosses into its components showed that mean squares due to lines and testers were significant for all traits, except for ear diameter and number of rows ear⁻¹ of testers, revealing that great diversity existed among testers and lines. At the same time, mean squares of the lines x testers interaction were significant for all the studied traits, except for ear height and number of rows ear⁻¹, indicating that the lines (females) differed in order of performance in crosses with each of the testers (males).

The interactions of locations (environments) with crosses were significant for all the studied traits. Lines x Loc. interaction were significant for ear diameter, number of rows ear⁻¹, number of kernels rows⁻¹, number of ears 100 plants⁻¹ and grain yield feddan⁻¹. Testers x Loc were significant for ear length, number of rows ear⁻¹, number of ears 100 plants⁻¹ and grain yield faddan⁻¹. These significant interactions with locations are mainly attributed to the different ranking of genotypes from location to another. The interaction of L x T x Loc were significant for number of kernels row⁻¹, and number of ears 100 plants⁻¹, revealing that the crosses between lines and testers behaved somewhat differently from location to another.

ter, the lowest ear diameter was recorded for crosses (L5 x Gm1002) (L2x Gm1021) and (L2 x SC166) 4.9 cm, while the highest value was 5.20 cm for cross (L3 x Gm1021). Six crosses involving Gm1002, seven crosses involving Gm1021 as tester had significantly surpassed than the check hybrid SC166. Four crosses, (L1 x SC166), (L4 x SC166), (L6 x SC166), and (L8 x SC166) had significantly high ear diameter value than the check hybrid TWC352. Number of rows ear⁻¹ ranged from 14.00 (L9 x SC166) to 15.77 (L4 x Gm1002). Six crosses involving Gm1021 as tester had significantly surpassed than the check hybrid SC166. Two crosses (L3 x SC166) and (L4 x SC166) had significantly surpassed than the check hybrid TWC352.

Number of kernels per row (Table 4) ranged from 34.46 for (L5 x Gm1002) to 43.07 for (L9 x SC166). The crosses (L3x SC166), (L4x SC166), (L7x SC166), and (L9x SC166) had significantly surpassed than the check TWC352. Respecting to number of ears 100 plants⁻¹, the lowest value was 88.59 for (L2 x Gm1002), while the highest value was 118.25

(2). Respecting number of days to 50% silking, results showed that, in general, all top crosses involving Gm1002 and Gm1021 as tester were significantly earlier than the commercial check SC166. However, the crosses (L4 x SC166), (L5 x SC166) and (L6 x SC166) were significantly earlier than the check hybrid TWC352. For plant height, the crosses (L2 x Gm1002), (L6 x Gm1002), (L7 x Gm1002) and (L7 x Gm1021) were significantly shorter than the shortest check SC166. Only one cross (L2 x SC166) was significantly shorter than the check hybrid TWC352.

For ear height, results revealed that eight crosses involving Gm1002 and five crosses involving Gm1021 as tester was significantly low ear placement than the check SC166. Only one crosses (L2 x SC166) was significantly low ear placement than the check TWC352.

Regarding ear length, (Table 3) the cross (L4 x Gm1002) had the lowest value 18.8, while (L9 x SC166) recorded the highest value 23.03 cm. All crosses involving SC166 as tester had significantly surpassed than the check TWC352. For ear diame-

Table 1. Mean squares (M.S) for grain yield and other studied traits combined over two locations, 2009 season.

S.O.V	d.f	MS								
		Days to 50% silking	Plant height (cm)	Ear height (cm)	Ear length (cm)	Ear diameter (cm)	Number of rows ear ⁻¹	Number of kernels row ⁻¹	Number of ears 100 plants ⁻¹	Grain yield (ard fad ⁻¹)
Location (E)	1	148.338**	38667.129**	41334.000**	2.227	0.174	26.835**	341.679**	51.724	896.997**
Rep/Loc	6	5.665	1025.281	558.778	6.377	0.141	2.614	26.549	29.326	74.460
Crosses (C)	26	16.253**	1575.859**	742.892**	9.354**	0.057**	2.120	28.107**	242.542	99.153**
Lines (L)	8	25.885**	3768.552**	1506.702**	10.769**	0.072	5.097**	28.057	354.796	135.486**
Testers (T)	2	84.264**	2457.181**	2152.727**	60.948**	0.024*	0.373	130.690**	414.418	288.815**
L x T	16	2.936*	369.347*	184.758	2.197**	0.054*	0.851	15.309	164.931	57.278**
C x Loc	26	1.876	182.533	169.269	1.873**	0.028*	2.307**	12.130**	181.074**	29.573**
L x Loc	8	2.390	282.161	263.074	1.059	0.039*	4.889**	15.436**	260.980**	42.658**
T x Loc	2	4.310	22.282	16.097	12.156**	0.007	3.272**	10.575	399.660**	50.552*
L x T x Loc	16	1.315	152.751	141.503	0.994	0.026	0.896	10.671**	140.797*	20.409
Pooled error	156	1.621	142.066	76.774	0.880	0.017	0.465	4.583	70.027	13.427
Exp. Error***	174	1.814	144.981	79.719	0.683	0.014	0.467	4.548	70.012	14.486
C.V%		2.16	4.67	6.08	4.51	2.67	4.62	5.43	8.17	11.31

*, ** Significant at 0.05 and 0.01 level of probability, respectively.

***Exp. Error derived from analysis of variance of crosses and check hybrids.

Table2. Mean performance of days to 50% silking, plant height and ear height, data combined over locations 2009 season.

Lines	Days to 50%silking			Plant height (cm)			Ear height (cm)		
	Gm1002	Gm1021	SC166	Gm1002	Gm1021	SC166	Gm1002	Gm1021	SC166
L1	58.75	59.00	61.12	258.62	276.00	265.50	137.75	157.37	145.37
L2	58.87	59.37	61.12	228.50	245.87	234.50	118.50	139.37	133.37
L3	59.00	59.62	60.75	251.75	267.50	255.50	138.62	153.37	147.25
L4	57.00	58.62	58.50	251.00	265.37	248.25	136.00	148.87	143.62
L5	56.75	57.25	57.75	247.50	263.62	244.12	139.37	150.50	142.12
L6	57.25	57.12	58.62	241.87	248.00	251.75	133.12	141.87	142.25
L7	57.00	59.75	61.37	240.00	243.62	253.12	136.87	135.50	145.62
L8	57.87	58.62	59.87	254.00	266.62	242.50	147.00	154.87	141.75
L9	58.75	59.87	61.50	286.62	278.37	273.37	159.00	162.87	156.75
Mean	57.91	58.80	60.06	251.09	261.66	252.06	138.47	149.40	144.23
Checks									
SC162		63.75			267.00			165.25	
SC166		61.50			257.75			157.12	
TWC352		60.67			248.00			152.75	
LSD 0.05		1.32			11.80			8.75	

Table 3. Mean performance of ear length, ear diameter and number of rows ear -1 data combined over locations 2009season.

Lines	Ear length (cm)			Ear diameter (cm)			Number of rows ear ⁻¹		
	Gm1002	Gm1021	SC166	Gm1002	Gm1021	SC166	Gm1002	Gm1021	SC166
L1	21.04	21.62	21.92	5.03	4.99	5.10	14.78	13.65	14.28
L2	20.37	21.04	21.53	4.98	4.9	4.9	14.20	14.32	14.12
L3	20.20	21.07	21.67	5.13	5.20	4.95	15.05	15.08	15.27
L4	18.80	19.87	20.97	5.00	4.92	5.12	15.77	15.48	15.6
L5	18.82	19.77	20.43	4.90	5.03	5.00	14.48	15.05	14.65
L6	19.75	20.67	22.02	5.02	5.08	5.12	14.83	14.63	14.52
L7	19.73	19.69	22.44	4.92	5.14	4.97	15.00	15.45	14.50
L8	19.45	20.78	21.97	5.06	4.98	5.11	14.37	14.32	14.85
L9	21.57	20.57	23.03	4.92	5.00	4.98	14.59	14.54	14.00
Mean	19.97	20.56	21.77	4.99	5.02	5.02	14.79	14.72	14.64
Checks									
SC162	21.82			4.67			12.37		
SC166	21.22			4.83			13.87		
TWC352	17.80			4.92			14.2		
LSD 0.05	0.81			0.12			0.67		

Table 4. Mean performance of number of kernels row⁻¹, number of ears 100 plants⁻¹ and grain yield (ard fed⁻¹) data combined over locations 2009 season.

Lines	Number of kernels row-1			Number of ears 100 plants-1			Grain yield (ard fed-1)		
	Gm1002	Gm1021	SC166	Gm1002	Gm1021	SC166	Gm1002	Gm1021	SC166
L1	41.57	40.07	39.27	104.27	118.25	106.02	36.67	35.30	37.74
L2	38.67	39.53	40.17	88.59	108.70	101.35	22.09	32.39	30.65
L3	39.49	39.82	40.80	107.74	107.17	109.65	26.99	32.94	33.76
L4	37.00	39.32	40.82	102.32	102.36	99.29	30.24	29.50	34.51
L5	34.46	39.47	39.22	100.41	99.96	97.46	28.57	35.03	30.42
L6	35.19	38.62	40.27	101.97	98.25	102.67	31.49	31.18	34.69
L7	37.51	38.24	42.26	98.44	101.04	99.19	31.02	34.72	28.73
L8	37.72	39.34	40.27	96.57	109.00	103.02	31.64	33.37	37.95
L9	40.29	40.20	43.07	101.69	99.96	99.04	32.45	33.42	37.05
Mean	37.99	39.40	40.68	100.22	104.97	101.97	30.12	33.09	33.95
Checks									
SC162	45.12			111.72			33.59		
SC166	43.67			113.83			40.95		
TWC352	38.21			97.37			25.57		
LSD 0.05	2.09			8.20			3.73		

for (L1 x Gm1021). Tow crosses (L1x SC166) and (L3x SC166) surpassed than the check TWC352.

L2 and L9 had negative and significant GCA effects (undesirable) for number of rows ear⁻¹.

Regarding number of kernels row⁻¹, L1 and L9 had positive and significant GCA effects toward high number of kernels, while negative and significant GCA effects toward low number of kernels were obtained for L5 and L6. Inbred lines L1 and L3 exhibited positive and significant GCA effects or number of ears 100 plants⁻¹ toward producing hybrids of more number of ears 100 plants⁻¹.

Regarding grain yield, L1, L8 and L9 showed significantly positive GCA effects (desirable) toward producing hybrids of high grain yield, while L2 showed negative and significant GCA effects (undesirable) toward low grain yield.

Estimates of GCA effects of the three testers Gm-1002, Gm-1021 and SC166 revealed that, tester Gm-1002 was a good general combiner for days to 50% silking, plant height, and ear height. While, tester Gm-1021 had favorable alleles for number of ears 100 plants⁻¹. The tester SC166 had favorable alleles for ear length, number of kernels row⁻¹ and grain yield.

Estimates of SCA effects for number of days to 50% silking, are presented in Table 6. The cross (L7 x Gm1002) showed negative values of SCA (desirable) effects across locations and was earlier in flowering compared to the check hybrids

For grain yield, the lowest value had recorded for crosses (L2 x Gm1002) 22.09, while the greatest value had recorded by (L8 x SC166) 37.95 and faddan⁻¹. Four crosses i.e. (L1x Gm1002), (L1 x Gm1021), (L5 x Gm1021), and (L7 x Gm1021) had out yielded the check hybrid SC162. Eight crosses i.e., (L1x SC166), (L2x SC166), (L3x SC166), (L4x SC166), (L5x SC166), (L6x SC166), (L8x SC166), and (L9x SC166) exhibited significantly out yielded the check TWC352.

General ($\hat{\sigma}_i$) and specific ($\hat{\sigma}_{ij}$) combining ability effects:

General combining ability effects of lines and testers for all of the studied traits are shown in Table 5. Inbred lines L4, L5, and L6 had negative (desirable) and significant values of GCA effects toward earliness. Inbred lines L2, L6 and L7 exhibited negative and significant GCA effects (desirable) toward shortness and low ear placement respectively.

Regarding ear length, positive and significant GCA effects was obtained for inbred lines L1 and L9 toward longest ear, while L4 and L5 were negative and significant GCA effects toward shortest ear. Respecting ear diameter, inbred lines L3 and L6 had positive and significant GCA effects toward thickness ear and L2 and L9 had negative and significant GCA effects toward thinnest ears. Inbred lines L3 and L4 exhibited positive and significant GCA effects toward high number of rows ear⁻¹, while L1,

negative SCA effects toward low ear placement.

The two crosses (L9 x Gm1002), and (L7 x SC166) had positive and significant SCA effects, while the crosses (L7 x Gm1021), (L9 x Gm1021), and (L1 x SC166) had negative and significant SCA effects (undesirable) for ear length. (Table 7). For ear diameter, three

Regarding the combined analysis across locations for plant height (Table 6) two topcrosses i.e. (L7 x Gm1021), and (L8 x SC166) exhibited significant negative SCA effects toward shortness. For ear height Table 7, three topcrosses i.e. (L2x Gm1002), (L7 x Gm1021) and (L8 x SC166) showed significant

Table 5. General combining ability effects (\hat{g}_i) for all of the tested lines and testers for grain yield and other agronomic traits combined across two locations, 2009 growing season.

Lines	Days to 50% silking	Plant height (cm)	Ear height (cm)	Ear length (cm)	Ear diameter (cm)	Number of rows ear ⁻¹	Number of kernels row ⁻¹	Number of ears 100 plants ⁻¹	Grain yield (ard fad ⁻¹)
L1	0.694*	11.764**	2.796	0.757**	0.022	-0.479**	0.949*	7.131**	4.179**
L2	0.861**	-18.653**	-13.620**	0.210	-0.092**	-0.507**	0.099	-2.839	-4.012**
L3	0.861**	3.305	2.379	0.210	0.077**	0.415*	0.677	5.802**	-1.155
L4	-0.889**	-0.069	-1.204	-0.890**	-0.006	0.899**	-0.309	-1.060	-0.972
L5	-1.681**	-3.194	-0.037	-1.096**	-0.039	0.010	-1.642**	-3.106	-1.049
L6	-1.264**	-7.736*	-4.954*	0.040	0.060*	-0.057	-1.334**	-1.419	0.063
L7	0.444	-9.361**	-4.704*	-0.148	-0.003	0.265	-0.023	-2.831	-0.899
L8	-0.139	-0.569	3.838	-0.037	0.032	-0.207	-0.248	0.481	1.928**
L9	1.111**	24.514**	15.504**	0.954**	-0.050*	-0.340*	1.829**	-2.156	1.919**
SE gi	0.259	2.432	1.788	0.191	0.026	0.139	0.436	1.708	0.747
SE gi-gj	0.367	3.440	2.529	0.270	0.037	0.196	0.617	2.415	1.057
Tester Gm1002	-1.014**	-3.847*	-5.565**	-0.800**	-0.020	0.068	-1.368**	-2.162**	-2.259**
Gm1021	-0.125	6.722**	5.366**	-0.205	0.010	0.007	0.043	2.581**	0.704
SC166	1.139**	-2.875	-0.199	1.005**	0.010	-0.075	1.325**	-0.418	1.555**
SE gi	0.150	1.404	1.032	0.110	0.015	0.080	0.252	0.986	0.431
SE gi-gj	0.212	1.986	1.460	0.156	0.021	0.113	0.356	1.394	0.610

*, ** Significant at 0.05 and 0.01 level of probability, respectively.

Table6. Specific combining ability effects (\hat{s}_{ij}) for days to 50% silking, plant and ear height, data combined over locations 2009 season.,

Lines	Days to 50% silking			Plant height (cm)			Ear height (cm)		
	Gm1002	Gm1021	SC166	Gm1002	Gm1021	SC166	Gm1002	Gm1021	SC166
L1	0.139	-0.500	0.361	-4.236	2.569	1.667	-3.518	5.176	-1.657
L2	0.097	-0.291	0.194	-3.944	2.861	1.083	-6.352*	3.592	2.759
L3	0.222	-0.042	-0.181	-2.652	2.528	0.125	-2.227	1.593	0.634
L4	-0.028	0.708	-0.681	-0.028	3.777	-3.750	-1.268	0.676	0.592
L5	0.514	0.125	-0.639	-0.403	5.153	-4.750	0.939	1.134	-2.074
L6	0.597	-0.417	-0.181	-1.486	-5.930	7.416	-0.393	-2.574	2.967
L7	-1.361**	0.500	0.861	-1.736	-8.680*	10.416*	3.106	-9.199*	6.092*
L8	0.097	-0.042	-0.055	3.472	5.527	-9.000*	4.689	1.634	6.324*
L9	-0.278	-0.042	0.319	11.014**	-7.806	-3.208	5.023	-2.032	-2.991
SE sij	0.450			4.214			3.097		
SE sij-sik	0.636			5.959			4.381		

Table 7. Specific combining ability effects (\hat{s}_{ij}) for ear length, ear diameter and number of rows ear⁻¹, data combined over locations 2009 season,

Lines	Ear length (cm)			Ear diameter (cm)			Number of rows ear ⁻¹		
	Gm1002	Gm1021	SC166	Gm1002	Gm1021	SC166	Gm1002	Gm1021	SC166
L1	0.314	0.302	-0.616*	0.015	-0.058	0.042	0.476*	-0.596*	0.120
L2	0.186	0.266	-0.452	0.071	-0.035	-0.036	-0.079	0.098	-0.019
L3	0.019	0.291	-0.311	0.059	0.095*	-0.154**	-0.151	-0.057	0.208
L4	-0.280	0.199	0.081	0.009	-0.105**	0.095*	0.082	-0.140	0.058
L5	-0.049	0.297	-0.247	-0.057	0.045	0.012	-0.312	0.315	0.003
L6	-0.261	0.061	0.200	-0.032	-0.005	0.037	0.104	-0.035	0.069
L7	-0.088	-0.726*	0.814**	-0.067	0.117**	-0.049	-0.047	0.47*	-0.400
L8	-0.483	0.255	0.228	0.029	-0.077	0.048	-0.212	-0.201	0.413
L9	0.642*	-0.945**	0.303	-0.029	0.023	0.006	0.146	0.157	-0.303
SE sij	0.330			0.046			0.240		
SE sij-sik	0.469			0.065			0.340		

*, ** Significant at 0.05 and 0.01 level of probability, respectively.

Table 8. Specific combining ability effects (\hat{s}_{ij}) for number of kernels row⁻¹, number of ears 100 plants⁻¹, and grain yield (ard fed⁻¹), data combined over locations 2009 season

Lines	Number of kernels row ⁻¹			Number of ears 100 plants ⁻¹			Grain yield (ard fed ⁻¹)		
	Gm1002	Gm1021	SC166	Gm1002	Gm1021	SC166	Gm1002	Gm1021	SC166
L1	2.635**	-0.276	2.358**	-3.079	6.152*	-3.072	2.357	-1.973	-0.385
L2	0.585	0.032	-0.617	-8.796**	6.573*	2.223	-4.028**	3.311**	0.717
L3	0.824	-0.262	-0.564	1.712	-3.593	1.881	-1.980	1.006	0.974
L4	-0.682	0.263	0.449	3.162	-1.543	-1.618	1.084	-2.624*	1.540
L5	-1.890*	1.707*	0.183	3.295	-1.897	-1.397	-0.509	2.982*	-2.473
L6	-1.465	0.549	0.916	3.170	-5.297	2.127	1.301	-1.979	0.678
L7	-0.459	-1.137	1.597*	1.045	-1.097	0.052	1.795	2.534*	-4.329**
L8	-0.018	0.188	-0.170	-4.129	3.552	0.577	-0.422	-1.655	2.077
L9	0.471	-1.032	0.561	3.620	-2.847	-0.772	0.402	-1.591	1.189
SE sij	0.756			2.958			1.295		
SE sij-sik	1.070			4.184			1.832		

*, ** Significant at 0.05 and 0.01 level of probability, respectively.

cific combination. Shehata *et al* (1997), reported that inbred testers were more effective to select lines that combine well with unrelated testers. Moreover, they emphasized that inbred testers were more effective in detecting small differences in combining ability more than wide genetic base testers.

Variance components

Estimates of combining ability variances for tested lines and testers (k^2_{GCA}) and of crosses (k^2_{SCA}) for grain yield and other agronomic traits of topcrosses across locations are presented in Table 9. Results revealed that estimates of k^2_{GCA} for lines (L) were higher than those of k^2_{GCA} for testers (T) for plant and ear height and, ear diameter, no of rows per ear, number of ears 100 plants⁻¹ and grain yield. These results indicate that most of the total variance was due to GCA of the lines. The variance interaction of k^2_{GCA} lines x Loc. was larger than that of k^2_{GCA} testers x Loc. for all studied traits, except for days to 50% silking and ear length. These results indicating that k^2_{GCA} for lines were more affected by environmental conditions than that for testers. The k^2_{SCA} variance was larger than that of k^2_{GCA} for days to 50% silking, plant height, ear length, ear diameter and grain yield. These results indicate that the non-additive gene was more important than additive gene effects in these traits inheritance.

crosses i.e. (L3 x Gm1021), (L7 x Gm1021), and (L4 x SC166) had positive, while the crosses (L4 x Gm1021), and (L3 x SC166) had negative and significant SCA effects.

The crosses (L1 x Gm1002) and (L7 x Gm1021) had positive, while (L1 x Gm1021) had negative and significant SCA effects for number of rows ear⁻¹. For number of kernels row⁻¹ Table 8, the crosses (L1 x Gm1002), (L5 x Gm1021), and (L7 x SC166) exhibited positive, while (L5 x Gm1002), and (L1 x SC166) had negative and significant SCA effects.

Out of the 27 studied topcrosses, two crosses, (L1 x Gm1021) and (L2 x Gm1021) possessed significant positive SCA effects toward higher number of ears per 100 plants, which is desirable. On the other hand (L2 x Gm1002) possessed significant negative SCA effects toward lower number of ears per plants, which is undesirable..

Respecting grain yield, data in Table 8, showed that the crosses (L2 x Gm1021), (L5 x Gm-1021), and (L7 x Gm1021) had positive and significant SCA effects for grain yield. On the other hand the crosses (L2 x Gm1002), (L5 x Gm1021), and (L7 x SC166) showed significant negative SCA effects for grain yield. In this connection, Sprague and Tatum (1942) emphasized the importance of single and three-way cross trials for determining the most productive spe-

to 50% silking and grain yield was positive and non-significant both at the genotypic and phenotypic levels (El-Nagouli *et al.*, 1983).

Correlation coefficients had positive and significant among plant height and ear height, ear length, number of kernels row⁻¹, and grain yield both at the genotypic and phenotypic levels. Also positive and significant genotypic and phenotypic correlation had been detected among ear length, number of kernels row⁻¹ and grain yield; ear diameter and number of kernels row⁻¹; and grain yield; and among grain yield and number of ears 100 plants⁻¹. These results indicating that the five traits (plant height, ear height, ear length, number of kernels row⁻¹ and number of ears 100 plants⁻¹) had related and any increase in ear length, number of kernels row⁻¹ and number of ears 100 plants⁻¹ lead to an increase in grain yield and vice versa. Therefore, indirect selection for linked traits with grain yield would be useful and effective for improving grain yield. Similar results were obtained by El-Sherbienny *et al.* (1994) and Muhammad and Saleem (2001). Sadek and Abdel-Azeem (2005) found positive and highly significant correlation among grain yield and plant height, ear height and ear length.

Furthermore, the magnitude of $k^2_{SCA} \times Loc.$ interaction was greater than that of $k^2_{GCA} \times Loc$ interaction for all the studied traits except, for silking date, ear length and no of rows per ear, indicating that the non-additive gene action interacted more with the environmental conditions than the additive gene effects for this trait. These results are in agreement with the findings of several investigators who reported specific combining ability variance is more sensitive to environmental changes than general combining ability variance (Gilbert, 1958). Also, Sadek *et al* (2000 and 2002) and Parvez and Rather (2006) also found that the non-additive genetic variation interacted more with the environment than the additive component. On the other hand, El-Itrby *et al* (1990), and Soliman *et al* (2001) reported that the additive types of gene action were more affected by the environment than non-additive ones.

Genotypic correlation:

Estimates of genotypic correlation among grain yield and other studied traits are presented in Table 10. Data showed that positive and significant correlation coefficients among days to 50% silking and plant height, ear height, ear length, and number of kernels row⁻¹ both at the genotypic and phenotypic levels. Correlation between number of days

Table 9. Estimates of the variance due to general combining ability GCA, specific combining ability SCA and their interaction with locations for nine traits, data combined over locations 2009 season.

Parameters	Days to 50% silking	Ear height (cm)	Plant height (cm)	Ear length (cm)	Ear diameter (cm)	Number of rows ear ⁻¹	Number of kernels row ⁻¹	Number of ears 100 plants ⁻¹	Grain yield (ard fad ⁻¹)
K ² lines	0.979	145.266	51.817	0.405	0.001	0.009	0.526	3.909	3.867
K ² testers	1.110	33.818	29.675	0.678	0.0002	-0.040	1.668	0.202	3.309
K ² GCA	1.044	57.167	34.309	0.584	-0.0001	-0.027	1.286	0.628	2.681
K ² SCA	0.203	27.074	5.407	0.150	0.004	-0.006	0.579	3.017	4.608
K ² L x Loc	0.064	11.674	15.525	0.015	0.002	0.369	0.904	15.912	2.436
K ² T x Loc	0.075	-3.327	-1.685	0.313	-0.0001	0.078	0.166	9.156	1.031
K ² GCA x Loc	0.075	-0.022	-0.079	0.234	-0.0001	0.133	0.097	7.896	1.091
K ² SCA x Loc	-0.076	2.672	16.182	0.028	0.002	0.108	1.522	17.692	1.746

All negative estimates of variance were considered equal zero

Table 10. Genotypic (above) and phenotypic correlation coefficients (down) diagonal among grain yield and other studied traits, data combined over locations 2009 season.

Characters	Days to 50 % silking	Plant height (cm)	Ear height (cm)	Ear length (cm)	Ear diameter (cm)	Number of rows ear ⁻¹	Number of kernels row ⁻¹	Ears 100 plants ⁻¹	Grain yield (ard fad ⁻¹)
Days to 50 % silking		0.763**	0.703**	0.859**	0.479	-0.166	0.974**	-0.036	0.391
Plant height (cm)	0.305**		0.996**	0.806**	0.347	-0.236	0.854**	0.164	0.799**
Ear height (cm)	0.269**	0.878**		0.813**	0.529	-0.355	0.865**	0.267	0.861**
Ear length (cm)	0.349**	0.451**	0.476**		0.645**	-0.238	1.009**	0.062	0.714**
Ear diameter (cm)	0.012	0.021	0.141	0.265**		-0.104	0.793**	-0.226	0.312
Number of rows ear ⁻¹	-0.038	-0.042	-0.074	-0.096	0.113		-0.138	-0.049	-0.226
Number of kernels row ⁻¹	0.227*	0.305**	0.324**	0.710**	0.308**	-0.070		0.273	0.687**
Ears 100 plants ⁻¹	-0.008	0.096	0.159	0.069	-0.044	-0.046	0.072		0.339**
Grain yield (ard/fed)	0.031	0.412**	0.469**	0.408**	0.148*	-0.029	0.229**	0.557**	

*, ** Significant at 0.05 and 0.01 level of probability, respectively.

- of gene action in yellow maize. (*Zea mays* L.). *Assiut J. of Agric. Sci.* 41 (1):1-72
- El-Itirby, H.A., H.Y. El-Sherbieny, M.M. Ragheb and M.A.K. Shalaby. 1990. Estimation of combining ability of maize inbred lines in top crosses and its interaction with environments. *Egypt. J. Appl. Sci.* 5(8):354-370.
- El-Morshidy, M.A. and E.A. Hassaballa. 1982. Relative values of five testers in evaluating combining ability of maize inbred lines. *Assiut J. of Agric. Sci.* 13 (1):95-102.
- El-Morshidy, M.A., E.A. Hassaballa, Sh.F. Abou-Elsaad and M.A. Abd El-Moula. 2003. Combining ability and type of gene action in maize under favorable and water stress environments. *Proceed. Pl. Breed. Con.* April 26, 2003: 55-57.
- El-Nagouli, O.O., M.A. Abul Fadi, A.A. Ismail and M. Khamis. 1983. Genotypic and phenotypic correlations and path analysis in maize and their implications in selection. *Agron. Abst. Amer. Soc. Agron.*, 62-3.
- El-Sherbieny, H.Y. Sh, S. E. Sadek, A.A. Abd El-Azize and H. El. Gado. 1994. Correlation and path coefficient analysis in fourteen white maize (*Zea mays* L.). *J. Agric. Sci. Mansoura Univ.*, 19(12): 4133-4142.
- El-Zeir, F.A., E.A. Amer, A.A. Abdel Aziz and A.A. Mah-
- Referances:**
- Abd El -Moula. M.A., A.A. Barakat and A.A. Ahmed. 2004. Combining ability and type of gene action for grain yield and other attributes in maize (*Zea mays* L.) *Assiut J. of Agric. Sci.* 35(3):129-142
- Abd El -Moula, M. A., Abdallah, T.A. El-Sayed and Moushera, S.E. Sadek 2010. Utilization of narrow base testers to estimate combining ability of maize inbred lines. *Egypt. J. Agric. Res.*, 88(1): 47-62
- Abd El -Moula. M.A. and Abd El-Azeem, M.E.M. 2008. Selection among S_3 yellow maize using combining ability. *Assiut J. of Agric. Sci.* 39(4):1-17
- Abo El-Saad, S.F.A., M.M.A. Regheb and A.A.A. Aziz. 1994. Genetic variance and correlation studies in a yellow maize population. *Bull. Fac. Agric., Univ. Cairo*, 45: 811-816.
- Ali, M.L. and N.M. Tepora. 1986. Comparative performance of four types of testers for evaluating corn inbred lines from two populations. *Crop Sci.* 11 (3): 175179.
- Amer. E.A. and A.A. El-Shenawy. 2007. Combining ability for new twenty yellow maize inbred lines. *J. of Agric. Sci.* 32 (9):7053-7062
- El-Hifny. M.Z, E.A. Hassaballa, M.A. Abd El-Moula and Kh.A.M. Ibrahim. 2010. Combining ability and types

- Konak, G., A. Unay, E. Serter and H. Basal. 1999. Estimation of combining ability effects, heterosis and heterobeltiosis by line x tester method in maize. *Turkish J. of Field Crops.* 4(1): 1-9 [C.F.Pl.Br.Abst. 69(11):10711].
- Kwon, S.H. and J.H. Torrie, 1964. Heritability and inter-relationship among traits of two soybean populations. *Crop Sci.*, 4: 196-8.
- Lonnquist, J.H. and M.F. Lindsey. 1964. Top cross versus S₁ lines performance in corn (*Zea mays* L). *Crop.Sci.* 4:580-584.
- Mahmoud, A.A.1996. Evaluation of combining ability of new-developed inbred lines of maize. Ph.D.Thesis, Fac.Agric.,Cairo Univ.
- Muhammad, Y. and M. Saleem. 2001. Correlation Analysis of S₁ Families of Maize for Grain Yield. *Int. J. of Agric. & Biol.*, 3(4): 387-388.
- Mathur, R.K., Chunilal, S.K. Bhatnagar and V.Singh. 1998. Combining ability for yield, phonological and ear characters in white seeded maize. *Indian J. of Genet. & Pl.Br.*58(2): 177-182.
- Nass, L.L., M.Lima, R.Vencovesky and P.B.Gallo.2000.Combining ability of maize inbred lines evaluated in three environments in Brazil. *Scientia Agricola*, 57(1): 129-134.
- Parvez Sofi and A.G. Rather. 2006. Genetic analysis of mould. 2000. Combining ability of new maize inbred lines and type of gene action using top crosses of maize. *Egypt.J.Appl.Sci.* 15(2): 116-128.
- Gado, H.E., M.S.M.Soliman and M.A.K.Shalaby.2000.Combining ability analysis of white maize (*Zea mays* L.) inbred lines. *J.Agric.Sci. Mansoura Univ.*25:3719-3729
- Gilbert, N.E.G.(1958). Diallel cross in plant breeding. *Hereditiy* 12:477-492.
- Gomez, K.A. and A.A.Gomez. 1984. *Statistical Procedure for Agricultural Research.* A Wiley Interscience Publication, John Wiley Sons Inc., New York.
- Hallauer, A.R. and J.E. Miranda. 1981. *Quantitative Genetics in Maize Breeding.* 2nd ed. Iowa State Univ.press, Ames. USA.
- Hassaballa, E.S., M.A.El-Morshidy, M.Khalifa and E.M.Shalaby. 1980. Combining ability analysis in maize. I-Flowering. *Res.Bull.Fac.of Agric.*, in Shams Univ., 1291,8pp.
- Hede, A.R., G.Srinivasan, G. Stollen and S.K. Vasal.1999. Identification of heterotic pattern in tropical inbred maize lines using broad-base synthetic testers. *Maydica* 44(4):325-331.
- Kempthorne, O.1957. *An introduction to genetic statistics* .John Wiley and Sons Inc., NY, USA.

- Analysis. Kalyani Publishers. New Delhi, 3rd Ed., P.3968
- Soliman, M.S.M, A.A.Mahmoud, F.A. El-Zeir, Afaf A.I. Gaber and F.H.Soliman. 2001 . Utilization of narrow base tester for evaluating combining ability of newly developed maize inbred lines (*Zea mays* L.). Egypt.J.Plant Breed. 5:61-76.
- Soliman, M.S.M. and M.M.A.Osman. 2006. Type of gene action for grain yield using test cross analysis in new developed maize inbred lines. J.Agric.Sci.Mansoura Univ., 31(5):2615- 2630.
- Sprague, G.F. and L.A.Tatum.1942.General vs. specific combining ability in single crosses of corn.J.Am.Soc.,Agron.,34:92 3-932.
- Walejko, R.N. and W.A. Russell. 1977. Evaluation of recurrent selection for specific combining ability in two open pollinated varieties. Crop.Sci. 13:647-651.
- Zelleke, H.2000.Combining ability for grain yield and other agronomic characters in inbred lines of maize (*Zea mays* L.). Indian J.of Genet. & Pl.Br. 60(1): 63-70.
- yield traits in local and cimmyt inbred line crosses using line x tester analysis in maize (*Zea mays* L.). Asian. J.Plant Sci., 5(6):1039-1042.
- Sadek, S.E. and Abd El-Azeem. M.E.M. 2005. Correlation and path coefficient analysis in nine yellow maize (*Zea mays* L.) genotypes. Assiut J. of Agric. Sci., 36(5): 1-13.
- Sadek, E.S., H.E.Gado and M.S.M.Soliman.2000. Combining ability and type of gene action for maize grain yield and other attributes. J. Agric. Sci. Mansoura Univ., 25 (5):2491-2502.
- Sadek, S.E., M.S.M. Soliman, A.A. Barakat and K.I. Khalifa.2002. Top-crosses analysis for selecting maize lines in the early self generations. Minufiya J. Agric. Res., 27:197-213.
- Shehata, A.M., F.A.El-Zeir and E.A.Amer.1997.Influence of tester lines on evaluating combining ability of some new maize inbred lines. J.Agric.Sci. Mansoura Univ., 25(5): 2491- 2502.
- Singh,I.S. and D.B. Chaudhary. 1985. Biometrical Methods in Quantitative Genetic

وراثة المحصول وبعض الصفات المرتبطة في بعض سلالات الذرة

الشامية الصفراء

مجدي احمد عبد المولى

قسم بحوث الذرة الشامية - معهد المحاصيل الحقلية - مركز البحوث الزراعية

تم تهجين تسعة سلالات صفراء من الذرة الشامية في الجيل الذاتي الخامس مع اثنين من السلالات الكشافة المختلفة وراثيا وهى جيميزة 1002 وجيميزة 1021 والهجين الفردي 166 بمحطة البحوث الزراعية بملوي موسم 2008. تم تقييم الـ 27 هجين قمى الناتجة من التهجين بالاضافة الى ثلاث هجن تجارية هي هـ ف 162 وهـ ف 166 وهجين ثلاثي 352 وتم التقييم بمحطات البحوث الزراعية بسخا وملوي موسم 2009. تم دراسة صفات (عدد الأيام حتى ظهور 50% من الحراير، ارتفاع النبات و الكوز، طول الكوز، قطر الكوز، عدد الصفوف بالكوز، عدد الحبوب بالصف، عدد الكيزان / 100 نبات، محصول الحبوب بالأردب / فدان . وكانت أهم النتائج مايلي :-
- وجدت اختلافات معنوية بين الهجن لجميع الصفات. وكانت الاختلافات الراجعة للسلالات والكشافات معنوية لجميع الصفات ما عدا صفة قطر الكوز وعدد صفوف الكوز للكشافات.

كذلك وجدت اختلافات معنوية بين الموقعين لجميع الصفات المدروسة مما يدل عل ان الموقعين مختلفين في الظروف البيئية. وكان تباين التفاعل بين المواقع والهجن القمية معنويا لجميع الصفات المدروسة. كما كان تباين التفاعل بين السلالات والمواقع معنويا لصفات قطر الكوز، عدد الصفوف بالكوز، عدد الحبوب بالصف، عدد الكيزان لكل 100 نبات و محصول الحبوب . كما كان تباين التفاعل بين الكشافات والمواقع معنويا لصفات طول الكوز، عدد الصفوف بالكوز، عدد الكيزان لكل 100 نبات و محصول الحبوب .

- أظهرت السلالات الآتية تأثيرات مرغوبة ومعنوية للقدرة العامة على التآلف وهى سلالة 9و6و12 والسلالات 1، 8 و 9 نصفه محصول الحبوب. كما أظهرت الهجن القمية التالية أحسن التأثيرات الموجبة والمعنوية لصفة محصول الحبوب وهى L7 x Gm 1021 و L2 x Gm1021, L5 x Gm-1021 .

- لعب التباين الوراثي الغير مضيف الدور الأهم في وراثه صفات عدد الأيام حتى ظهور 50% من الحراير، ارتفاع النبات، طول الكوز، قطر الكوز، و محصول الحبوب. و كان سلوك التباين الوراثي الغير إضافي أكثر تأثرا بالمواقع في معظم الصفات المدروسة

- أظهرت الهجن القمية لفرديه الآتية أحسن أداء وبتوقا وهى L1 x Gm 1021 و L1 x Gm 1002 و L1 x Gm 1021 و L5 x Gm 1021 و L7 x Gm 1021 على هجين المقارنة هـ. فـ. 162 وتفق 8 هجن ثلاثية هي L1x SC166, L2x SC166, L3x SC166, L4x SC166, L5x SC166, L6x SC166, L8x SC166, L9x SC166 بدرجه معنوية عن هجين المقارنة هـ. ثـ. 352 لصفة محصول الحبوب مما يشير إلى أهمية هذه الهجن الصفراء كمواد وراثية مثمرة للقدرة المحصولية العالية في البرامج المستقبلية لتربية الذرة الشامية.