PERFORMANCE OF TWO FABA BEAN VARIETIES UNDER DIFFERENT ENVIRONMENTS

N. A. Khalil¹, W. A. Al-Murshidy¹, M. O. Khaity² and R. A. Badawy¹

- 1- Agronomy Department, Faculty of Agriculture, Cairo University, Giza, Egypt.
- 2- Agronomy Department, Faculty of Agriculture, Damascus University, Syria.

ABSTRACT

Two field experiments were carried out at the Agricultural Experimental and Research Station of the Faculty of Agriculture, Cairo University, Giza governorate, Egypt, during the two winter seasons of 2005/06 and 2006/07 to study the Performance of two faba bean varieties under different planting dates, plant densities and their interactions.

The results obtained could be summarized as follows: the best performance of the two varieties was obtained from planting date on 15 November which recorded the highest seed yield and harvest index. While, seed yield was significantly increased with increasing plant density from 22 to 44 plant/m². Generally, Gize-843 surpassed Giza-40 in seed yield/faddan. The interaction between planting date and varieties had a significant effect on seed yield/faddan in the second seasons only. The highest seed yield was obtained from second planting date on 15 November combined with Giza-843. The highest seed yield was recorded from the interaction of 15 November × Giza-843 × 44 plant/m².

Key words: Fuba bean, Vicia faba, Planting date, Population Density, Variety.

INTRODUCTION

Faba bean (Vicia faba L.) is the most important annual pulse crops grown in Egypt. The adoption of improved cultivars performed better under different planting dates, and plant densities play an important role for increasing seed production.

It has been clearly established that time of planting, is a major factor affecting faba bean yield and its components (Confalone et al 2010). Planting faba bean genotypes earlier on October gave higher number of pods/plant (Hatam et al 1999), seed yield than November (Bakheit et al 2001, Hatam et al 1999 and Hussein et al 2002) and biological yield (Hatam et al 1999). In contrast, Abuldahab et al (2002) found that the best yield components i.e., number of pods, seed index, seed number/plant and seed yields and straw yields/fed resulted from sowing in November.

Faba bean variable plant densities can affect differentally the growth, yield and components of various cultivars (Abd Alla and Omran 2002 and Abdel Latif 2008), (Abdel-Aziz and Shalaby 1999, Hatam et al 1999 and Mokhtar 2001), Abdel-Aziz 1999, Abdel Latif 2008, Abd Alla and Omran 2002, Mokhtar 2001), (Abdel-Aziz and Shalaby 1999, Hatam et al 1999 and Hussein et al 2002), (Abdel-Aziz, 1999).

Besides, there is a tendency to start with higher planting seed density to avoid lost plants which may be a considerable than required for insuring reliable yield production. On the other hand, number of pods and seeds per plant decreased with increasing densities (Hussein et al 1999 and Ibrahem 2000), seed yield per faddan increased with increasing plant density (Hussein et al 1999, Ibrahem 2000, Mohamed, 2000 and Abd Alla 2002), biological yield per faddan (Hussein et al 1999, Ibrahem 2000 and Mohamed 2000) and harvest index (Mohamed 2000). In contrast, plant density had insignificant effect on 100-seed weight (Abdel-Aziz 1999, Ibrahem, 2000 and Abd Alla 2002).

However, the optimum number of plants at harvest for each variety is not well known. Also, the time required from planting to harvesting under different plant population densities and different varieties are needed to be accurately established. Generally, Karadavut et al (2010) showed that significant differences between varieties in faba bean characters. Also, marked variations among cultivars were noticed in number of branches per plant (Abou-Taleb 2002), number of pods /plant (Mohamed 2000, Hussein et al 2002 and Nassif, 2002), number of seeds/plant (Mohamed 2000 and Hussein et al 2002), seed index (Bakheit et al 2001 and Nassif, 2002) seed yield/faddan (Bakheit et al 2001). Moreover, cultivars had no effect on number of pods and seeds/plant (Bakheit et al 2001 and Abd Alla et al 2002), branches/plant (Nassif 2002), harvest index (Nassif 2002), seed yield (Nassif 2002).

Changes in plant density significantly affected performance of faba bean varieties (Matthews et al 2008). While, Matthews et al (2008) recorded significant effect on seed yield/fed due to the interaction between faba bean varieties × plant densities. Meanwhile, Abuldahab et al (2002) reported that the interactions between sowing dates and distribution patterns had a significant effect on seed yield per faddan. On the contrary, Hussein et al (2002) found that the interaction between sowing dates × genotypes × plant densities didn't significantly affect faba bean yield and its components.

Thus, the main objectives of this research were to find out the best planting date and to determine the optimum plant density for two newly released varieties of faba bean.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Two field experiments were carried out at the Agricultural Experiment and Research Station of the Faculty of Agriculture, Cairo University, Giza, Egypt, during 2005/06 and 2006/07 seasons. The soil texture of the experimental area was clay loam with pH 7.4.

The preceding crop was maize in both seasons of the study.

Each experiment included 18 treatments, which were the combinations of:

- 1. Three planting dates, viz., 1, 15 and 30 November (at 2 week intervals).
- 2. Three plant density, i.e., 22, 33 and 44 plant/m².
- 3. Two varieties, i.e., Giza 843 and Giza 40.

A randomized complete block design with spilt-split plot with four replications was used. The main plot was devoted to the planting dates. Subplots were allocated to the varieties, while, sub-sub plots to the plant densities. Each experimental plot consisted of five ridges each was 60 cm apart and 3 meter long. The sub-sub plot area was $9 \text{ m}^2 (3.0 \times 3.0 \text{ m})$.

All cultural practices were conducted according to the recommendations of ARC, Ministry of Agriculture concerning Faba bean production.

Studied characters

Ten plants were randomly taken from each sub-sub plots at harvest to calculate the following characters:

The number of branches per plant, Number of pods per plant, Number of seeds per plant, Seed index (weight of 100 seed, in grams).

Seed yield per faddan (ardab): was determined from the two middle ridges of each sub-sub plot and converted to seed yield, in ardab (ardab = 155 Kg) per faddan.

Harvest index (HI): expressed as following formula:

HI% = (seed yield / biological yield) x100 76 1 30 10 10 420

Data obtained from each season were statistically analyzed according to procedures outlined by Steel et al (1997) using MSTAT-C Computer packages (Freed et al 1989). The differences between treatment means were compared by Least Significant Differences test (LSD) at 0.05 level of probability.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION A HAR ME COACH

Effect of planting dates to the second secon

Results in Table (1) indicated that sowing dates had insignificant effect on number of branches per plant in both seasons. Meanwhile, number of pods and seeds/plant, seed yield/fad and harvest index was significantly affected by planting date in both seasons but seed index was insignificant in second season.

The highest number of pods/plant was recorded by planting on 15 November in both seasons (Table 1). Such increase may be due to more favorable conditions specially temperature at that time. These findings are in harmony with those obtained by Bakheit et al (2001) and Hussein et al (2002).

Also, data showed that the highest number of seeds/plant was obtained from planting on 15 November. Such increase may be due to

increase in number of pods/plant. Similar findings were obtained by Amer et al (1992) and Hussein et al (2002).

Seed index in Table 1 was significantly affected by planting date in early planting (1st November). This agreed Amer *et al* (1992but disagreed with Bakheit *et al* (2001).

Data in Table (1) indicated that the highest seed yield was recorded from planting on 15 November in both seasons. Such increase may be due to more favorable conditions specially temperature at that time; meanwhile, the lowest seed yield (7.95 and 8.55 ardab) was recorded at the last sowing date (30 November) in both seasons. These results are in general agreement with those obtained by Hussein et al (2002) and Abuldahab et al (2002). But do not agree with those obtained by Bakheit et al (2001).

Data in Table (1) indicated that the planting date (15 November) recorded the highest harvest index in both seasons.

the supplies to prove the most fact than it was

Effect of plant densities

Data in Table (2) indicated significant effects of plant density on number of branches, pods and seeds/plant, as well as, seed index, seed yield/fad and harvest index of faba bean during 2005/06 and 2006/07 seasons.

Data indicated that the lowest plant density (22 plant/m²) gave the highest number of branches/plant in both seasons. This result may be due to the better chance for each plant to get nutrients, sunshine and light due to less plant competition wiehin hills. Generally, these results are on line with those of Ibrahem (2000), Mohamed (2000), Bakheit et al (2001), Mokhtar (2001) and Abd Alla (2002).

Results in Table (2) showed that number of pods/plant was significantly increased with decreased plant density from 44 to 22 plant/m² in both seasons. The greatest number of pods/plant was recorded from plant density 22 plant/ m² in both seasons. The reduction in number of pods/plant with increasing plant density may be due to plant competition in hills. These results go in line with those of Mohamed (2000), Bakheit *et al* (2001), Mokhtar (2001), Abd Alla (2002) and Abdel Latif (2008).

Data in Table (2) cleared that the plant density 22 plant/m² recorded the highest number of seeds/plant in both seasons. The increase in number of seeds/plant may be due to increasing number of branches/plant and number of pods/plant. The current view agreed with that reported by Mokhtar (2001), Abd Alla (2002) and Abdel Latif (2008). Seed index was significantly increased by decreasing plant density from 44 to 22 plant/m² in both seasons. The better seed index was obtained from plant density 22 plant/m² in both seasons.

Table 1. Yield and yield components of tow faba bean varieties as affected by planting dates during 2005/2006 and 2006/2007 seasons

| Sowing date | No. of branches/ plant | | No. of pods/plant | | No. of seeds/plant | | Seed index (g) | | Seed yield (ardab/fed.) | | Harvest index % | |
|------------------------------|---------------------------|---------|-------------------|---------|-----------------------|---------|-------------------|---------|----------------------------|---------|-----------------|---------|
|] | 2005/06 | 2006/07 | 2005/06 | 2006/07 | 2005/06 | 2006/07 | 2005/06 | 2006/07 | 2005/06 | 2006/07 | 2005/06 | 2006/07 |
| 1st November | 2.83 | 2.99 | 8.57b | 10.13b | 23.27b | 22.86b | 71.85a | 68.84 | 8.56b | 9.11b | 28.79b | 33.59b |
| 15 th November | 2.81 | 2.95 | 9.69a | 11.75a | 27.07a | 26.50a | 69.49b | 70.20 | 10.22a | 10.61a | 41.73a | 44.46a |
| 30 th November | 2.90 | 2.95 | 8.30ъ | 10.38b | 26.58a | 26.23a | 69.76b | 70.39 | 7.953b | 8.55b | 29.13b | 31.41b |
| LS.D at 0.05 | ns | ns | 0.54 | 0.29 | 0.79 | 2.11 | 1.62 | ns | 0.89 | 1.04 | 4.72 | 6.29 |

Table 2. Yield and yield components of two faba bean varieties as affected by plant density during 2005/2006 and 2006/2007 seasons

| Plant density | No. of branches/ plant | | No. of pods/plant | | No. of seeds/plant | | Seed index (g) | | Seed yield (ardab/fed.) | | Harvest index % | |
|-------------------------|---------------------------|---------|-------------------|---------|-----------------------|---------|-------------------|---------|----------------------------|---------|--------------------|---------|
| | 2005/06 | 2006/07 | 2005/06 | 2006/07 | 2005/06 | 2006/07 | 2005/06 | 2006/07 | 2005/06 | 2006/07 | 2005/06 | 2006/07 |
| 22plants/m² | 3.24a | 3.17a | 10.41a | 12.04a | 29.16a | 28.27a | 73.09a | 72.71a | 7.43c | 7.98c | 30.78b | 34.59b |
| 33plants/m ² | 2.76b | 3.01b | 8.71b | 10.73b | 25.78b | 26.34a | 69.82b | 69.06b | 9.14b | 9.63b | 33.31ab | 36.46ab |
| 44plants/m² | 2.54c | 2.71c | 7.44c | 9.48c | 21.98c | 20.98b | 68.20c | 67.66b | 10.17a | 10.66a | 35.56a | 38.40a |
| LS.D at 0.05 | 0.12 | .015 | 0.50 | 0.55 | 1.12 | 1.95 | 1.44 | 1.77 | 0.53 | 0.61 | 3.54 | 2.36 |

These results agreed with those obtained by Bakheit et al (2001) and Mokhtar (2001) but are contrary to Abdel-Aziz and Shalaby (1999) and Amer et al (1992).

Also, results showed that seed yield per faddan was significantly increased with increasing plant density from 22 to 44 plant/m² in both seasons. This increase in seed yield under higher density may be due to the increase in plant population. These results are in consistence with those reported by Quagliotti et al (1994), El-Douby et al (1996), Loss et al (1998), Mohamed (2000) and Hussein et al (2002) who found that the seed yield increased by increasing plant density.

Harvest index was significantly increased with increased plant density from 22 to 44 plant/m² in both seasons (Table 2). The better harvest index was obtained from plant density 44 plant/m² in both seasons. These results agreed with those obtained by Abdel-Aziz and Shalaby (1999) and Mohamed (2000).

Effect of cultivars

Data in Table (3) showed the effects of cultivars on number of branches, pods and seeds/plant, as well as, seed index, seed yield/fad and harvest index of faba bean in 2005/06 and 2006/07 seasons. Results showed that cultivars had insignificant effect on number of pods and seeds/plant, as well as, harvest index in 2005/06 and 2006/07 seasons. Meanwhile, cultivars had a significant effect on number of branches per plant, seed index and seed yield/fad in both seasons.

It is clear from the results in Table (3) that Giza-843 surpassed Giza-40 in number of branches/plant, seed index and seed yield/faddan in both seasons.

Effect of the interactions

The interaction between planting date and varieties (Table 4) had a significant effect on number of branches per plant in 2006/2007 season only, number of pods and seeds per plant, seed index in both seasons, seed yield/faddan in the second seasons only. Meanwhile, harvest index was not affected by the interaction of planting dates and varieties in both seasons.

The highest number of branches/plant was obtained from second planting date on 15 November combined with Giza-40. Meanwhile, the highest number of pods/plant, seed index and seed yield/faddan were obtained from second planting date on 15 November combined with Giza-843.

The interaction between planting dates and plant densities (Table 5) had a significant effect on number of branches and seeds per plant in season 2005/2006 only. The remaining traits were not affected by the interaction between planting date and plant density.

The interaction between cultivars and plant densities (Table 6) had insignificant effect on all studied traits. Also, the effect of planting dates ×

Table 3. Yield and yield components of two faba bean varieties during 2005/2006 and 2006/2007 seasons

| Varieties | No. of branches/ plant | | No. of pods/plant | | No. of seeds/plant | | Seed index (g) | | Seed yield (ardab/fed.) | | Harvest index % | |
|--------------|---------------------------|---------|-------------------|---------|-----------------------|---------|-------------------|---------|----------------------------|---------|--------------------|---------|
| | 2005/06 | 2006/07 | 2005/06 | 2006/07 | 2005/06 | 2006/07 | 2005/06 | 2006/07 | 2005/06 | 2006/07 | 2005/06 | 2006/07 |
| Giza 843 | 2.92 | 2.87 | 8.66 | 10.48 | 25.93 | 25.26 | 74.91 | 74.23 | 9.58 | 10.03 | 34.58 | 39.04 |
| Giza 40 | 2.77 | 3.06 | 9.05 | 11.03 | 25.36 | 25.13 | 65.83 | 65.39 | 8.24 | 8.81 | 31.84 | 33.92 |
| LS.D at 0.05 | * | • | ns | na | 16.5 | 10.5 | ** | ** | + | * | TLS . | ns |

Table 4. Yield and yield components of faba bean as affected by the interaction between planting dates (P) and varieties (V) during 2005/2006 and 2006/2007 seasons

| Interactions | No. of branches/ plant | | No. of pods/plant | | No. of seeds/plant | | Seed index (g) | | Seed yield (ardab/fed.) | | Harvest index % | |
|--------------------------------|---------------------------|---------|----------------------|---------|--------------------|---------|-------------------|---------|----------------------------|---------|--------------------|---------|
| | 2005/06 | 2006/07 | 2005/06 | 2006/07 | 2005/06 | 2006/07 | 2005/06 | 2006/07 | 2005/06 | 2006/07 | 2005/06 | 2006/07 |
| P ₁ -V ₁ | 2.90 | 2.72c | 8.60b | 9.90c | 24.00cd | 22.20c | 73.78 | 71.48b | 9.11 | 8.96bc | 31.00 | 33.72 |
| P ₁ -V ₂ | 2.77 | 3.27a | 8.53b | 10.35bc | 22.55d | 23.52bc | 69.92 | 66.21c | 8.01 | 9.26bc | 26.58 | 33.45 |
| P ₂ -V ₁ | 2.85 | 2.97ь | 7.47c | 9.45c | 25.55bc | 25.43ab | 75.57 | 75.62a | 11.06 | 11.88a | 43.22 | 50.26 |
| P ₂ -V ₂ | 2.77 | 2.93b | 9.13ab | 11.32ab | 27.62a | 27.57a | 63.42 | 64.79c | 9.38 | 9.33b | 40.24 | 38.65 |
| P ₃ -V ₁ | 3.02 | 2.93ъ | 9.90a | 12.08a | 28.23a | 28.13a | 75.38 | 75.59 | 8.57 | 9.26bc | 29.55 | 33.15 |
| P ₃ -V ₂ | 2.78 | 2.97b | 9.48a | 11.42ab | 25.90b | 24.32bc | 64.15 | 65.18 | 7.34 | 7.85c | 28.71 | 29.66 |
| LS.D at 0.05 | ns | 0.20 | 0.87 | 1.24 | 1.60 | 3.03 | 2.35 | 1.80 | Ŋ3 | 1.42 | T)S | n,s |

 $P_1=1^{tt}$ November $P_2=15^{th}$ November $V_1=Giza$ 843 $V_2=Giza$ 40

P-= 30th November

Table 5. Yield and yield components of two faba bean varieties as affected by the interaction between planting dates (P) and plant densities (D) during 2005/2006 and 2006/2007 seasons

| Interactions | No. of branches/ plant | | No. of pods/plant | | No. of seeds/plant | | Seed index (g) | | Seed yield (ardab/fed.) | | Harvest index % | |
|--------------------------------|---------------------------|---------|-------------------|---------|--------------------|---------|----------------|---------|----------------------------|---------|--------------------|---------|
| | 2005/06 | 2006/07 | 2005/06 | 2006/07 | 2005/06 | 2006/07 | 2005/06 | 2006/07 | 2005/06 | 2006/07 | 2005/06 | 2006/07 |
| P _i -D _i | 3.28 | 3.17 | 10.00 | 11.05 | 28.10 | 25.77 | 74.04 | 72.46 | 7.06 | 7.76 | 25.94 | 32.17 |
| P ₁ -D ₂ | 2.63 | 3.03 | 8.52 | 10.40 | 23.80 | 24.65 | 71.53 | 67.33 | 9.00 | 9.08 | 30.30 | 32.15 |
| P ₁ -D ₃ | 2.60 | 2.78 | 7.18 | 8.93 | 17.92 | 18.15 | 69.98 | 66.75 | 9.62 | 10.49 | 30.13 | 36.44 |
| P _T D ₁ | 3.28 | 3.10 | 9.55 | 11.57 | 29.00 | 28.85 | 73.36 | 72.96 | 8.80 | 8.77 | 41.82 | 42.66 |
| P ₂ -D ₂ | 2.78 | 3.05 | 8.35 | 10.30 | 26.65 | 27.35 | 68.58 | 70.02 | 10.19 | 11.27 | 39.20 | 46.16 |
| P ₂ ·D ₃ | 2.38 | 2.70 | 7.00 | 9.27 | 24.10 | 23.30 | 66.55 | 67.63 | 11.67 | 11.78 | 44.17 | 44.55 |
| P ₃ -D ₁ | 3.17 | 3.25 | 11.68 | 13.50 | 30.38 | 30.17 | 71.86 | 72.72 | 6.43 | 7.41 | 24.60 | 28.93 |
| P3-D2 | 2.88 | 2.95 | 9.25 | 11.50 | 26.90 | 27.02 | 69.36 | 69.83 | 8.22 | 8.55 | 30.44 | 31.07 |
| P ₃ -D ₃ | 2.65 | 2.65 | 8.15 | 10.25 | 23.92 | 21.48 | 68.07 | 68.61 | 9.21 | 9.70 | 32,36 | 34.22 |
| LS.D at 0.05 | 0.21 | ns | ns | 102 | 1.94 | ns | ns | ns | ns | ns | ns | ns |

 $P_i = 1^{st}$ November $D_i = 22$ plants/m²

 $P_2 = 15^{th}$ November $D_2 = 33$ plants/m²

 $P_3 = 30^{th}$ November $D_3 = 44$ plants/m²

Table 6. Yield and yield components of two faba bean varieties as affected by the interaction between varieties (V) and plant densities (D) during 2005/2006 and 2006/2007 seasons

| Interactions | No. of branches/ plant | | No. of pods/plant | | No. of seeds/plant | | Seed index (g) | | Seed yield (ardab/fed.) | | Harvest index % | |
|--------------------------------|---------------------------|---------|-------------------|---------|-----------------------|-------------|----------------|---------|----------------------------|---------|--------------------|-------------|
| | 2005/06 | 2006/07 | 2005/06 | 2006/07 | 2005/06 | 2006/07 | 2005/06 | 2006/07 | 2005/06 | 2006/07 | 2005/06 | 2006/07 |
| V ₁ -D ₁ | 3.40 | 3.13 | 9.98 | 11.60 | 29.67 | 28.23 | 77.20 | 77.40 | 8.03 | 8.34 | 32.66 | 37.72 |
| V ₁ -D ₂ | 2.77 | 2.97 | 8.60 | 10.48 | 26.15 | 26.35 | 74.88 | 73.31 | 9.68 | 10.40 | 34.32 | 38.38 |
| V ₁ -D ₃ | 2.60 | 2.52 | 7.38 | 9.35 | 21.97 | 21.18 | 72.65 | 71.98 | 11.04 | 11.36 | 36.78 | 41.03 |
| V ₂ -D ₁ | 3.08 | 3.22 | 10.83 | 12.48 | 28.65 | 28.30 | 68.97 | 68.03 | 6.84 | 7.62 | 28.91 | 31.46 |
| V ₂ -D ₂ | 2.75 | 3.05 | 8.82 | 10.98 | 25.42 | 26.33 | 64.77 | 64.81 | 8.60 | 8.87 | 32.30 | 34.54 |
| V ₂ -D ₃ | 2.48 | 2.90 | 7.50 | 9.62 | 22.00 | 20.77 | 63.76 | 63.34 | 9.30 | 9.95 | 34.33 | 35.77 |
| LS.D at 0.05 | ns | ns | ns | ns | R.S | ns | ns | n.s | ns | ns | ns | ns |
| 2 20 1 1 1 2 | · | | <u> </u> | \ | ^ | | | | | | | |

 $D_1 = 22 \text{ plants/m}^2$ $V_1 = \text{Giza 843}$ $D_2=33 \text{ plants/m}^2$ $V_1=\text{Giza 40}$ D₅= 44 plants/m²

plant densities × cultivars interaction had insignificant effect on all studied traits except number of seeds/plant only in the first season which was highly significant. While, planting G 843 variety in November 15th with 44 plant / m² gave the highest seed yield / faddan (12.83 ardab / faddan).

Giza 843 cultivar recorded the highest seed yield under the plant density 44 plants /m² when sowing date was 15th November.

REFERENCES

- Abd Alla, A. A. and M. M. Omran (2002). Response of some faba bean varieties to irrigation intervals and plant spacing in the new sandy reclaimed lands. Munufiya J. Agric. Res. 27: 525-540
- Abdel-Aziz, E. A. and F. H. Shalaby (1999). Physiological studies on response of new released faba bean varieties to different plant populations. Zagazig J. Agric. Res. 26 (5):1229-1244.
- Abdel Latif, Y. I. (2008) Effect of seed size and plant spacing on yield and yield components of faba bean (*Vicia faba* L.). Res. J. Agric. Biol. Sci. 4(2): 146-148.
- Abou-Taleb, S. M. (2002). Morphological variation and dry matter distribution in some faba bean (Vicia faba L.) cultivars under different sowing dates. Proc. Recent Tec. Fac. Agric, Cairo Univ., Bull. Fac. Agric. Cairo Univ., Egypt (IV): 864-874.
- Abuldahab, A. A., W. A. El-Murshedy and Gamalat O. Mohamed (2002). Phenological response of faba bean (*Vicia faba*, L.) to climatologically effects under different sowing dates and plant distributions. J. Agric. Sci. Mansoura Univ. 27 (4): 1989-2003.
- AL-Riface, M. T. and A. Tawaha (2004) Effect of seed size and plant population density on yield and yield components of local faba bean (*Vicia faba* L. Major). Int. J. Agri. Biol. 6: 294-299.
- Amer, M. I. A., M. A. El-Boari and M. M Radi (1992). Response of three faba bean (*Vicia fāba* L.) cultivars to three sowing dates under different plant densities in North Delta. J. Agric. Res., Tanta Univ. 18 (4): 591-599.
- Bakheit, B. R., A. Y. Allam and A. H. Galal (2001). Response of some faba bean (*Vicia faba* L.) cultivars to planting dates and population densities. Assiut J. Agric. Sci. 32 (2): 85-101.
- Confalone, A., , J. I Lizaso, R. N. Benigno and F.C. Federico (2010). Growth, PAR use efficiency, and yield components of field-grown Vicia faba L. under different temperature and photoperiod regimes. Field Crops Res. 115:140-148
- El-Douby, K. A., K. E. El-Habbak, F. M. S. El-Nasr, S. A. Basal, and F. M. Sef El-Nasr (1996). Effect of tillage system and plant density under different phosphoric fertilization level on the productivity of faba bean (*Vicia faba*, L.). Annals Agric. Sci. Moshtohor 34 (3): 907-916.

- Freed, R., S. P. S. Einensmith, S. Gutez, D. Reicosky, V. W. Smail and P. Wolberg (1989). User's guide to MSTAT-C analysis of agronomic research experiments program. Michigan Univ., East Lansing, USA.
- Hatam, M., K. M. Khattak, and A. Amanuallah (1999). Effect of sowing date and sowing geometry on growth and yield of faba bean (*Vicia faba*, L.). FABIS Newsletter 42: 26-28.
- Hussein, A. H. A., K. A. AL-Assily, M. A. El-Deeb, S. R. Saleib, and H. A. Saber (1999). Response of three new faba bean (*Vicia faba L.*) varieties to different plant densities on both old and new reclaimed lands in Upper Egypt. Egypt J. Appl. Sci. 14 (2): 110-116.
- Hussein, A. H. A. M. A. El-Deeb and El-Yamani. (2002). Response of new faba bean genotypes to different sowing dates and plant densities in the newly reclaimed lands in Upper Egypt. National Ann. Coordination meeting, ICARDA/EC. Cairo, 70-74.
- Ibrahem, N. T. (2000). Spectral reflectance of faba bean plants in relation to growth and yield. M.Sc. Thesis, Fac. Agric. Ain Shams Univ., Egypt.
- Karadavut, U., C. Palta, Z. Kavurmaci and Y. Bolek (2010). Some grain yield parameters of multi-environmental trials in faba bean (Vicia faba) genotypes. Int. J. Agric, Biol. 12: 217–220
- Loss, S. P., K. H. M. Siddique, L. D. Martin and A. Crombie (1998). Response of faba bean (*Vicia faba*, L.) to sowing date in South Western Australia. I. Seed yield and economic plant density. Aust. J. Agric. Res. 49 (6): 989-997.
- Matthews, P. W., E. L. Armstrong, C. J. Lisle, I. D. Menz, P. L. Shephard and B. C. Armstrong (2008). The effect of faba bean plant population on yield, seed quality and plant architecture under irrigation in southern NSW. Proc. of the 14th Australian Agronomy Conference. September: 120-124.
- Mohamed, W. K. H. (2000). Response of two faba bean varieties to different plant densities and distributions. Proc. 10th conf. Agron. —Suze Canal. Univ. Fac Environ. Agric. Sci. (El Arish) Egypt. 759-773
- Mokhtar, S. A. (2001). Response of yield and yield components of faba bean (Vicia faba.) to increasing levels of nitrogen phosphorus under two level of plant density. Egypt. Annals Agric. Sci., Ain Shams Univ. 46 (1): 143-154.
- Nassif, A. A. M. (2002). Evaluation of some varieties and lines of chickpea, faba bean and lentils. M.Sc. Thesis, Fac. Agric., Cairo Univ., Egypt.
- Quagliotti, L., S. Lotito, P. Belletti and E. Nada (1994). Environmental effects on flowering and seed setting in *Vicia faba* Equine pers. Int. Symp. on Agrotechnics and Storage of Vegetable and Ornamental Seeds. Bari, Italy. Act Hort., 362: 105-122. (C. a. CAB Abste.: 1995).
- Steel, R. G. D., J. H. Torrie and D. A. Dickey (1997). Principles and Procedures of Statistics: A Biometrical Approach, 3rd ed., McGraw-Hill, New York.

أداء صنفين من الفول البلدى تحت ظروف ببئية مختلفة نبيل على خليل - وجيه عبد العظيم المرشدى - مأمون عمر خيطى . رمضان عبدالواحد بدوى ا

١ - قسم المحاصيل - كلية الزراعة - جامعة القاهرة - الجيزة- مصر
 ٢ - قسم المحاصيل - كلية الزراعة - جامعة دمشق - سوريا

نطنت تجريتان حقليتان منفصلتان بمحطة التجارب والبحوث الزراعية لكلية الزراعة جامعية القاهرة، محلطة الجيزة، محسر خلال الموسمين الشتويين ١٠٠٧/٢٠٠٥، ٢٠٠١/٢٠٠٥ لدراسة أداء صنفين من القسول البلدي (جيزة ١٨٤٣ وجيزة ٥٠٠) بحث مواعيد زراعة (١١ و ١٠ توفمبر) وكفافات تباتية مختلفية (٢٧ و٣٣ و٣٣ و ٤٠ نبات / م٢) وكذلك تأثير التفاعل بينهم. كان الهدف من هذه الدراسة البحث عن إيجاد أفضل موسعة الزراعسة وتحديد الكثافة النباتية المثلي لصنفي من القول البلدي الحديثة الاستنباط. استخدم تصميم القطع المنشعة مسرتين موزعة في قطاعات كاملة المشوالية.

ويمكن تلخيص أهم النتائج فيما يلي: كان أفضل اداء لكلا الصنفين من الزراعة في منتصبف تبوالانير والتي حقات أعلي محصول البذرة وبليل الحصاد. وأظهرت النتائج أيضاً زيادة معنوية المحصول البائرة وبليل الحصاد. وأظهرت النتائج أيضاً زيادة معنوية المحصول البائرة وبليبائم". ويصفة عامة تفرق الصنف جيرة - ٤٠ معلى جيسزة - ٤٠ بالنسبية المحصول البئور الفدان. ويما يتعلق بالتفاعل بين مواعيد الزراعة والاصناف أظهرت النتائج وجود تسائير معلوي الهذا التفاعل على محصول البئرة عند الزراعة ألهدان وثلك في الموسم الثاني فقط. وتحقق أعلى محصول البئرة عند الزراعية في منتصف توفير الصنف جيزة - ١٤٣. بمحل كثافة نبائية ٤٤ نبات أم"

المجله المصرية لتربية النبات ١٥ (٣): ١ - ١٢ (٢٠١١)