

## GROWTH RESPONSE OF FABA BEAN GENOTYPES TO RHIZOBIUM INCOULATION IN SALT AFFECTED SOILS

Afaf M. Tolba<sup>1</sup> and F.S. Abd-El-Samie<sup>2</sup>

1- Agron. Dept., Fac. Agric. Ain Shams Univ., Shoubra El-Kheima, Cairo.

2- Agron. Dept., Fac. Agric. Fayoum Univ., Fayoum.

### ABSTRACT

*Four field experiments were carried out in 2003/2004 and 2004/2005 seasons at Demo Research Station, Faculty of Agriculture, Fayoum University, Egypt to study the response of 16 faba bean genotypes to inoculation of four Rhizobium under variable salinity soil conditions. Mean squares due to main effects, i.e. salinity (S), Rhizobium treatments (R), genotypes(G) and S x R, S x G, R x G and S x R x G interactions were significant for most studied characters at different growth stages in both seasons. Most growth characters were affected by salinity stress at different growth stages in both seasons. All growth characters were significantly decreased by soil salinity. Faba bean growth characters were significantly affected by seed inoculation with Rhizobium at different growth stages in both seasons. Significant differences were shown between faba bean genotypes for growth characters at different growth stages in both seasons. Mutant lines 252 and 163 were the best with respect to growth characters in the first and the second seasons. Seed yield/plant showed positive and significant associations with all growth traits at all growth stages under normal soil conditions except no. of nodules at the third sample and nodule dry weight/plant at the first sample. On the contrary seed yield/plant showed negative and highly significant association with stem dry weight/plant at the first sample. Under saline soil conditions, seed yield/plant showed positive and highly significant associations with dry matter of stem, leaf and root at the third sample. These traits were considered as having the most direct positive effects on seed yield/plant.*

Key words: *Faba bean, Rhizobium, Genotypes, Salinity, Growth, Correlation.*

### INTRODUCTION

Faba bean (*Vicia faba*, L.) is considered the major food legume crop in Egypt. Also, it is considered one of the basic sources of protein for different strata with relatively low price. In addition it has good role in enriching and improving chemical, physical and biological properties of the soil.

The legume-*Rhizobium* symbiosis is a highly integrated system. Soil - based stress may affect on the symbiosis indirectly by reducing plant growth and available photosynthesis, or directly by affecting the infection process and/or nodule function. Salt affects the symbiosis by curtailing the growth and survival of *Rhizobium* in soil, restricting root colonization, inhibiting processes of infection and nodule development, or impairing active nodule function. These effects may be mediated through the effect of salt on the host, through a specific effect on the host, or through a specific effect on the microsymbiont itself. Rhizobia are also, widely used in

agriculture for crop improvement because of their ability to fix atmospheric nitrogen. On the other hand, many investigators reported high variability among faba bean varieties for growth and yield characters (Ahmed 1994a and b, Abo El-Kheir *et al* 2000 and Hassanein 2000). Correlation analysis is helpful to the breeder to determine the relative importance of growth characters in enhancing seed yield (Hafiz and El-Kholy 2000 and Saad and El-Kholy 2000).

This work was carried out to investigate the response of sixteen faba bean genotypes to *Rhizobium* inoculation under normal and salinity soil conditions in reproductive stages .

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

Four field experiments were carried out during 2003/2004 and 2004/2005 seasons at Demo Research Station, Faculty of Agriculture, Fayoum University, Egypt. In each season two experiments were conducted under normal and saline affected soil conditions. The physical and chemical properties of soil of the experimental site showed that, the soil is sandy loamy in texture with EC of 2.47 ds/m (1581 ppm) and 2.90 ds/m (1856 ppm) of normal soil conditions and 4.84 ds/m (3098 ppm) and 4.20 ds/m (2688 ppm) of saline soil conditions in the first and second seasons, respectively. The preceding crop was peanut in the 1<sup>st</sup> season and maize in the 2<sup>nd</sup> one. The genetic materials used in each experiment consisted of sixteen genotypes which included seven cultivars, namely; Sakha 2, Giza 40, Giza 429, Giza 3, Nubaria 1, Giza 843 and Giza 716 and nine promising mutant lines selected in 6<sup>th</sup> mutant generation coded: 248, 258, 244, 252, 278, 332, 336, 285 and 163. These lines were derived from irradiating the faba bean seed of the varieties, Giza 461, Nubaria 1, Giza 643, Giza 461, Giza 717, Giza 714 and Giza 2 with gamma rays, in the same order as shown in Table (1).

Four treatments of *Rhizobium leguminosarum* i.e. control (R1), F.b. ARC 200 (R2), F.b. ARC 201 (R3) and F.b. ICARDA (ARC) 448 (R4) were used as seed inoculants. The experimental design was laid out in a split-plot design with three replications for each experiment. *Rhizobium* inoculation was assigned in the main-plots and genotypes were arranged in the sub-plots. The experimental plot consisted of four ridges, 3m long and 60 cm apart. Plants spaced 20 cm within the row and one plant was left per hill in two sides of the ridge. Seeds were treated with inoculants in the field directly before sowing as recommended. Normally cultural practices were followed as usual in faba bean fields. Faba bean seeds were sown on 19 and 8 November in the 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> seasons, respectively. Five bordered and random plant samples were chosen from each treatment at 48, 89 and 115 in the 1<sup>st</sup> season and 53, 84, and 112 days from sowing in the 2<sup>nd</sup> season , to determine the effect of soil salinity and *Rhizobium* inoculation on the

following growth characters: number of nodules/plant, stem dry weight/plant (g), leaf dry weight/plant (g), nodule dry weight/plant (g) and root dry weight/plant (g).

**Table 1. Mutant lines used in the study, their parents and gamma-ray doses**

Line code number	Parents	$\gamma$ -ray doses
248	Giza 461	3 Kr
258	Nubaria 1	3 Kr
244	Giza 643	12 Kr
252	Giza 461	6 Kr
278	Nubaria 1	3 Kr
332	Giza 717	6 Kr
336	Giza 714	3 Kr
285	Giza 643	9 Kr
163	Giza 2	3 Kr

### Statistical procedures

In each season, combined analysis of variance across normal and saline conditions was done according to Snedecor and Cochran (1992) for studied traits. Differences among means were tested using least significant difference (LSD) test.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### Analysis of variance

Mean squares for growth characters of sixteen faba bean genotypes at different growth stages from their combined analysis across two soil salinity levels across 2003/2004 and 2004/2005 seasons are presented in Table (2). Mean squares due to normal and salinity soil conditions were highly significant or significant for all studied traits at different growth stages. These characters exhibited variable degrees of sensitivity to salinity as a consequence of reaction to soil salinity levels. According to effect of *Rhizobium* inoculation treatments mean squares were highly significant or significant differences for all studied traits at different growth stages. Mean squares due to genotypes were highly significant for all growth studied traits at different growth stages in both seasons, except leaf dry weight/plant at second sample in the first season. These results indicated that there is enough genetic variance among the tested genotypes for the studied characters. Mean squares due to the interaction between different factors in this study were highly significant or significant for all growth studied traits at different samples in the two seasons, except S x R interaction for leaf dry weight/plant at the first sample, stem and leaf dry weight/ plant at the second sample in the first season and no. of nodules/plant at the third sample in the second season. These results indicate that a great diversity existed among all and within studied treatments. These results are in agreement with those reported by Katerji *et al* (2001), Darwish *et al* (2003), Omar (2003) and Alghamdi and Ali (2004).

**Table 2. Mean squares for studied characters of sixteen faba bean genotypes at different growth stages from their combined analysis across two soil salinity levels across 2003/2004 and 2004/2005 seasons**

		Mean squares				
		First season - First sample (48 days from sowing)				
S.O.V.	DF	No. of	Dry weight/plant (g)			
		nodules	stem	leaf	nodule	root
Soil salinity (S)	1	51592.1900 **	0.8420 **	1.6910 **	1285866.7730 **	1.4480 **
Replication	4	15.9210	0.0100	0.0130 **	1051.0050	0.0040
Rhizobium (R)	3	308.8430 **	0.3080 **	0.4400 **	31943.2800 **	0.0880 **
SxR	3	118.5440 **	0.0230 *	0.0040	19071.3360 **	0.0340 **
Error	12	21.1220	0.0060	0.0150	409.1650	0.0030
Genotypes (G)	15	236.5610 **	0.0850 **	0.1860 **	15563.1870 **	0.0490 **
SxG	15	150.3400 **	0.0480 **	0.1050 **	14768.6730 **	0.0140 *
RxG	45	130.9630 **	0.0650 **	0.0750 **	12261.4060 **	0.0230 **
SxRxG	45	109.7830 **	0.0700 **	0.0980 **	12095.6290 **	0.0290 **
Error	240	30.0580	0.0160	0.0200	1547.8820	0.0070
Second season - First sample (53 days from sowing)						
Soil salinity (S)	1	600.0000 **	3.0620 **	11.6270 **	83514.5030 **	0.1200 **
Replication	4	38.5650	0.0230	0.0150	418.5810	0.0030
Rhizobium (R)	3	897.6460 **	0.5750 **	0.9900 **	31117.2800 **	0.1930 **
SxR	3	893.3820 **	0.2120 **	0.2710 **	8541.8640 **	0.0700 **
Error	12	28.7680	0.0140	0.0410	1256.1920	0.0030
Genotypes (G)	15	408.4530 **	0.2620 **	0.3420 **	18919.6550 **	0.0740 **
SxG	15	165.2890 **	0.1680 **	0.2600 **	7393.9750 **	0.0150 **
RxG	45	228.2050 **	0.1250 **	0.2790 **	9449.7900 **	0.0260 **
SxRxG	45	159.8040 **	0.1700 **	0.2600 **	9232.0030 **	0.0200 **
Error	240	34.8280	0.0280	0.0470	2103.7950	0.0060

**Table 2. Cont.**

Mean squares						
First season - Second sample (89 days from sowing)						
S.O.V.	DF	No. of nODULES	Dry weight/plant (g)			
			stem	leaf	nodule	root
Soil salinity (S)	1	221472.0940 **	788.2620 **	1393.2980 **	32765908.5940 **	25.8290 **
Replication	4	8.9650	0.6300	0.1870	61857.3440	0.0410
Rhizobium (R)	3	3419.3280 **	9.6030 **	10.7940 **	512098.7260 **	1.1170 **
SxR	3	1057.2940 **	0.5670	2.9860	510176.8230 **	0.2450 *
Error	12	77.3570	0.5670	1.2040	49199.3780	0.0470
Genotypes (G)	15	895.0930 **	4.0600 **	2.2900	273994.0330 **	0.2120 **
SxG	15	633.1180 **	6.7440 **	4.0430 **	173092.7380 **	0.1850 **
RxG	45	585.5440 **	3.6020 **	4.8210 **	323171.7660 **	0.1620 **
SxRxG	45	535.7760 **	3.4290 **	4.6380 **	274610.0410 **	0.1910 **
Error	240	135.6680	1.2880	1.6290	44520.8590	0.0700
Second season -Second sample (84 days from sowing)						
Soil salinity (S)	1	1052.0500 **	122.4980 **	102.4500 **	44520.8590 *	0.5310 **
Replication	4	108.2350	0.1330	0.0260	9164.7210	0.0210
Rhizobium (R)	3	1555.4600 **	6.0330 **	3.7260 **	173097.0300 **	1.0330 **
SxR	3	3339.7240 **	3.3560 **	8.6600 **	298642.2040 **	0.0690 *
Error	12	107.0450	0.0390	0.2680	27654.2210	0.0200
Genotypes (G)	15	1227.1720 **	3.0870 **	4.3820 **	237747.8220 **	0.2610 **
SxG	15	582.2700 **	1.7780 **	1.9730 **	175221.8850 **	0.1270 **
RxG	45	766.1520 **	1.5840 **	1.4720 **	92115.4510 **	0.1670 **
SxRxG	45	440.5990 **	1.3910 **	1.4260 **	100491.4020 **	0.1420 **
Error	240	122.5590	0.3370	0.3580	19363.3520	0.0280

**Table 2. Cont.**

S.O.V.	DF	No. of nODULES	Mean squares			
			First season -Third sample (115 days from sowing)			
			stem	leaf	Dry weight/plant (g) nodule	root
Soil salinity (S)	1	33357.3980 **	3447.5450 **	5490.7150 **	2348127.0420 **	66.8960 **
Replication	4	28.8910 **	6.7780	10.6550	40110.3230	0.0670
Rhizobium (R)	3	761.2940 **	46.7620 **	95.7880 **	220184.4720 **	3.0120 **
SxR	3	515.6000 **	62.3370 **	113.2520 **	116734.4030 *	5.4500 **
Error	12	35.8110	3.9500	8.6090	29386.5310	0.1800
Genotypes (G)	15	326.2070 **	45.3670 **	51.0850 **	183671.6670 *	3.0310 **
SxG	15	211.8710 **	22.5620 *	30.1540 *	199404.7080 **	1.2800 **
RxG	45	132.1740 *	27.4530 **	38.5280 **	150210.7310 **	1.3210 **
SxRxG	45	109.5310 *	30.5690 **	46.5560 **	157340.3660 **	1.3320 **
Error	240	38.4910	13.1750	15.0820	29993.0460	0.4260
Second season -Third sample (112 days from sowing)						
Soil salinity (S)	1	1180.9050 **	279.8930 **	106.1870 **	626862.5650 **	1.1910 **
Replication	4	97.3310	1.1460	0.9370	13729.9870	0.0270
Rhizobium (R)	3	2651.2200 **	32.8360 **	71.3740 **	64383.5720 *	1.5660 **
SxR	3	273.6910 *	28.1590 **	177.6830 **	113747.6350 **	0.7390 **
Error	12	87.0330	0.8140	0.6190	17592.4520	0.0300
Genotypes (G)	15	2391.4800 **	4.2520 **	10.5720 **	368758.6410 **	0.3250 **
SxG	15	982.2260 **	5.7620 **	6.8590 **	111691.7320 **	0.3840 **
RxG	45	1136.5210 **	5.3710 **	4.8560 **	255595.5160 **	0.3060 **
SxRxG	45	841.5890 **	4.3740 **	7.1780 **	223136.0600 **	0.2090 **
Error	240	147.1680	1.1840	1.0750	28718.1530	0.0740

\*and \*\* denote significance at 0.05 and 0.01 of probability levels, respectively.

### Effect of salinity

The respective growth characters response to salinity stress of faba bean plants under normal and salinity soil conditions can be observed in data presented in Table (3). Results clearly indicated that all studied growth traits were significantly differed by exposing faba bean plants to soil salinity stress at different growth stages in both seasons. All studied growth characters were decreased as soil salinity level increased at different growth stages in the both seasons. These responses to salinity are generally consistent with conclusions that N-fixing plants are more sensitive to salinity

**Table 3. Mean performance of studied characters under normal and saline soils conditions of sixteen faba bean genotypes at different growth stages during 2003/2004 and 2004/2005 seasons**

Studied characters	First season						LSD 0.050		
	48 days from sowing		89 days from sowing		115 days from sowing				
	Normal	Salinity	Normal	Salinity	Normal	Salinity			
Number of nodules/plant	26.615	3.432	1.023	55.438	7.391	1.957	21.479	2.839	1.332
Stem dry weight/plant (g)	0.643	0.549	0.017	5.693	2.828	0.167	12.832	6.840	0.442
Leaf dry weight/plant (g)	0.975	0.843	0.028	6.622	2.812	0.244	15.612	8.049	0.653
Nodule dry weight/plant (g)	0.163	0.048	0.008	0.871	0.287	0.049	0.373	0.216	0.038
Root dry weight/plant (g)	0.544	0.421	0.013	1.463	0.944	0.048	2.988	2.153	0.094
Second season									
Studied characters	53 days from sowing		LSD 0.050		84 days from sowing		LSD 0.050		
	Normal	Salinity	Normal	Salinity	Normal	Salinity	Normal	Salinity	
	Number of nodules/ plant	22.719	20.219	1.193	39.594	36.286	2.302	30.480	26.974
Stem dry weight/plant (g)	1.036	0.857	0.026	3.375	2.245	0.044	5.620	3.910	0.201
Leaf dry weight/plant (g)	1.309	0.961	0.045	3.463	2.430	0.115	8.960	7.910	0.175
Nodule dry weight/plant (g)	0.184	0.155	0.008	0.480	0.411	0.037	0.466	0.385	0.030
Root dry weight/plant (g)	0.427	0.391	0.012	0.857	0.782	0.031	1.390	1.280	0.039

than N fertilized plants (Yousef and Sprent 1983 and Cordovilla *et al* 1994 and 1996). Contrasting results may be due to the effect of salinity on the different morphological processes occurred within plant, decreasing its rates as the salinity increased such as, water absorption from soil, water translocation, cell division, cell enlargements and differentiation, photosynthesis and respiration. These conclusions greed with the results of Cordovilla *et al* (1995), Al-Tahir and Al-Abdulsalam (1997) and Cordovilla *et al* (1999). Osmotic adjustment caused by drought (Berg and Turner 1976) or salinity (Shalhev et al 1986) could be an important mechanism for increasing crop tolerance.

#### **Effect of *Rhizobium* inoculation**

Table (4) shows that faba bean growth characters were significantly affected by seed inoculation with *Rhizobium* at different growth stages in both growing seasons. In the first season, plants inoculated with strain ARC200 (R2) significantly increased stem, leaf and root dry weight/plant at the first, second and third samples and number of nodules and nodule dry weight/plant at the third sample compared to uninoculated plants and the other treatments. Plants inoculated with strain ICARDA.448 (R4) significantly exceeded the uninoculated plants for no. of nodules/plant at the

**Table 4. Mean performance of studied characters under different Rhizobium inoculation treatments for sixteen faba bean genotypes at different growth stages during 2003/2004 and 2004/2005 seasons**

Studied characters	First season														
	48 days from sowing				LSD	89 days from sowing				LSD	115 days from sowing				LSD
	R-1	R-2	R-3	R-4	0.050	R-1	R-2	R-3	R-4	0.050	R-1	R-2	R-3	R-4	0.050
Number of nodules/plant	13.60	14.09	14.78	17.61	1.45	24.40	31.08	31.17	39.01	2.77	10.47	16.35	10.59	11.22	1.88
Stem dry weight/plant (g)	0.62	0.66	0.53	0.57	0.03	4.26	4.61	3.85	4.33	0.24	10.17	10.48	8.87	9.83	0.63
Leaf dry weight/plant (g)	0.93	0.99	0.83	0.86	0.04	4.65	5.03	4.27	4.91	0.35	12.27	12.60	10.37	12.09	0.92
Nodule dry weight/plant (g)	0.09	0.09	0.13	0.12	0.01	0.47	0.58	0.64	0.62	0.07	0.27	0.36	0.25	0.30	0.05
Root dry weight/plant (g)	0.44	0.52	0.48	0.50	0.02	1.17	1.34	1.09	1.22	0.07	2.50	2.83	2.43	2.53	0.13
Second season															
Studied characters	53 days from sowing				LSD	84 days from sowing				LSD	112 days from sowing				LSD
	R-1	R-2	R-3	R-4	0.050	R-1	R-2	R-3	R-4	0.050	R-1	R-2	R-3	R-4	0.050
Number of nodules/plant	22.31	25.33	18.30	19.93	1.69	41.74	40.10	32.53	37.39	3.26	24.55	36.42	26.76	27.19	2.94
Stem dry weight/plant (g)	1.04	6.98	0.89	0.87	0.04	3.02	3.02	2.68	2.52	0.06	5.54	4.88	4.44	4.21	0.28
Leaf dry weight/plant (g)	1.28	1.04	1.09	1.14	0.06	3.12	3.11	2.74	2.81	0.16	7.79	7.61	9.31	9.04	0.25
Nodule dry weight/plant (g)	0.18	0.18	0.14	0.17	0.01	0.48	0.46	0.38	0.46	0.05	0.40	0.45	0.45	0.41	0.04
Root dry weight/plant (g)	0.48	0.38	0.40	0.38	0.02	0.95	0.82	0.81	0.70	0.04	1.45	1.31	1.41	1.17	0.06

\* Rhizobium inoculation : R1-Cont., R2- F. b. ARC 200, R3-F.b.ARC 201, R4 - F. b. ICARDA (ARC) 448

first and second samples in the first season. Such effect of seed inoculation may be due to either atmospheric N<sub>2</sub>-fixation or to a greater N-availability for the crop which caused vigorous plant growth. On the Contrary, in the second season, control treatment was significantly increased all studied traits at the three samples, except no. of nodules/plant in the first and third samples and nodule dry weight/plant at the third sample which significantly increased with plants inoculated with strain ARC200 (R2), as well as leaf dry weight/plant at the third sample which significantly increased with plants inoculated with strain ARC201 (R3). A favorable *Rhizosphere* environment is highly important to the interaction between root hairs and *Rhizobium*, as it not only encourages the growth and multiplication of *Rhizobium* but also ensures the healthy development of root hairs. Any environmental stress that affects these processes is also likely to influence infection and nodulation (Alexander 1984). It is worthy to mention that the results were different from the first to second season because the preceding crop in the first season was corn; while in the second season was peanut in which could affect the constituent of the soil *Rhizobium*; also the soil salinity level could affect much the foundation level of the *Rhizobium*. These results are in agreement with those obtained by Monib *et al* (1994), Armanios *et al* (1996) and Hussein *et al* (1997) who reported that *Rhizobium* inoculation significantly increased nodulation of faba bean. Also,

Saleh *et al* (2000), Emara *et al* (2002), El-Khawaga *et al* (2003) and AL-Mutawa (2003) reported that poor symbiotic performance was not due to the inhibition of the growth of *R. leguminosarum* by salinity. On the other hand, Hamaoui *et al* (2001) stated that inoculation with *A. brasilense* significantly enhanced nodulation by native *Rhizobium* and improved root and shoot development, when compared with non-inoculated controls. Moreover, the bacterial treatment was shown to significantly reduce the negative effects on plant growth caused by irrigation with saline water. Cordovilla *et al* (1999) indicated that *Rhizobium leguminosarum* strain GRA19 formed an infective and effective symbiosis with faba bean under saline and non saline conditions, respectively.

### Mean performance of genotypes

Mean performance of sixteen faba bean genotypes for studied growth characters at different growth stages during 2003/2004 and 2004/2005 seasons were recorded in Table (5). The results indicated that the differences among studied faba bean genotypes were significant for all growth characters at different growth stages in the both seasons, except leaf dry weight/plant at the second sample in the first season. In this concern, the rank of genotypes differed from genotype to another for all studied traits in both seasons. In the first season at the first sample, the highest values were recorded by varieties no. 4 and 1 and promising line no.15 for no. of nodules/plant, varieties no. 1, 3, 5, 6 and lines no. 16, 15 and 12, respectively, for stem dry weight/plant, the promising line no. 15 and varieties no. 1 and 4 for leaf dry weight/plant, variety no. 4 and promising line no. 13 for nodule dry weight/plant and varieties no. 5 and 1 for root dry weight/plant compared with the other genotypes. At the second sample, superior and significant values were recorded by varieties no. 4 and 1 and promising line no. 13 for no. of nodules/plant, varieties no.1, 4, 6 and line no. 10 for stem dry weight/plant, genotypes no. 4 and 9 for nodule dry weight/plant, varieties no. 4 and 5 and lines no. 14 and 15 gave higher mean values for these traits than the other lines and check varieties. At the third sample, high mean values were recorded by genotypes no. 4, 16 and 3 for no. of nodules/plant, promising lines no. 12, 13, 15, 11, 8 and 10 and the two varieties no. 5 and 4 for stem dry weight/plant, promising lines no.13, 15, 12, 8, 9, 11 and 10 and variety no. 4 for leaf dry weight/plant, lines no. 8 and 16 for nodule dry weight/plant and promising line no. 9 and variety no. 4 for root dry weight/plant than the other genotypes. In the second season at the first sample, significantly higher mean values were recorded by promising lines no 15, 10, 16 and 13 for no. of nodules/plant, variety no. 6 and promising lines no. 11 and 16 for stem dry weight/plant, genotypes no. 1, 11, 6, 7 and 15 for leaf dry weight/plant, promising lines no. 12 and 15 and the two varieties no.1 and 3 for nodule dry weight/plant and promising

**Table 5. Mean performance of sixteen faba bean genotypes on studied characters at different growth stages during 2003/2004 and 2004/2005 seasons**

**Genotypes Studied characters	First season (2003 / 2004)															LSD 0.05	
	G1	G-2	G-3	G-4	G-5	G-6	G-7	G-8	G-9	G-10	G-11	G-12	G-13	G-14	G-15	G-16	
Number of nodules/ plant	18.75	16.00	16.33	20.54	11.92	9.08	16.88	10.46	14.29	12.92	12.42	14.38	17.00	14.04	18.13	17.25	3.10
Stems dry weight/plant (g)	0.67	0.41	0.65	0.60	0.65	0.61	0.60	0.58	0.57	0.57	0.56	0.61	0.59	0.59	0.64	0.65	0.07
Leaves dry weight/plant (g)	1.02	0.74	0.93	1.02	0.91	0.93	0.90	0.93	0.90	0.88	0.84	0.92	0.82	0.95	1.08	0.78	0.08
Nodules dry weight/plant (g)	0.09	0.10	0.12	0.15	0.08	0.07	0.07	0.10	0.10	0.12	0.11	0.10	0.15	0.09	0.12	0.13	0.02
Roots dry weight/plant (g)	0.54	0.45	0.45	0.53	0.57	0.49	0.51	0.41	0.48	0.48	0.45	0.42	0.51	0.43	0.51	0.50	0.05
Second sample (89 days from sowing )																	
Number of nodules/ plant	41.88	29.67	31.46	42.33	31.92	20.04	27.79	24.58	28.13	31.25	24.63	34.75	38.79	29.13	31.50	34.79	6.59
Stems dry weight/plant (g)	5.14	4.03	4.45	4.83	4.12	4.52	4.47	4.16	4.13	4.51	3.46	4.44	4.29	3.95	3.92	3.76	0.64
Leaves dry weight/plant (g)	5.31	4.38	4.78	5.25	4.92	4.67	4.78	4.61	4.53	4.89	4.09	4.92	4.59	4.40	4.60	4.77	NS
Nodules dry weight/plant (g)	0.52	0.42	0.56	0.79	0.41	0.64	0.46	0.56	0.74	0.64	0.58	0.63	0.67	0.56	0.58	0.49	0.12
Roots dry weight/plant (g)	1.26	1.04	1.11	1.41	1.31	1.05	1.22	1.21	1.20	1.19	1.15	1.17	1.20	1.28	1.29	1.18	0.15
Third sample (115 days from sowing )																	
Number of nodules/ plant	15.08	9.92	15.71	19.17	11.25	8.04	7.50	13.58	10.25	12.96	14.71	12.00	13.79	6.96	7.00	16.63	3.51
Stems dry weight/plant (g)	8.54	7.48	9.71	10.47	10.59	8.14	8.96	10.89	9.57	10.44	10.95	12.03	11.73	8.42	11.02	8.45	2.05
Leaves dry weight/plant (g)	10.16	9.11	12.10	12.52	10.71	9.98	10.76	12.84	12.83	12.44	12.55	13.30	14.30	11.01	13.56	11.12	2.20
Nodules dry weight/plant (g)	0.27	0.23	0.32	0.31	0.26	0.27	0.12	0.49	0.35	0.31	0.22	0.22	0.34	0.37	0.22	0.41	0.10
Roots dry weight/plant (g)	2.22	1.92	2.83	3.08	2.35	2.20	2.42	2.58	3.26	2.38	2.70	2.45	2.85	2.74	2.86	2.30	0.37

**Table 5. Cont.....**

**Genotypes	Second season (2004/ 2005)															LSD 0.05	
	G1	G-2	G-3	G-4	G-5	G-6	G-7	G-8	G-9	G-10	G-11	G-12	G-13	G-14	G-15	G-16	
Studied characters	First sample (53 days from sowing)																
Number of nodules/ plant	24.42	18.75	18.88	23.54	17.42	15.50	20.13	16.83	15.00	26.67	22.42	23.67	24.92	21.00	27.79	26.58	3.34
Stems dry weight/plant (g)	0.96	0.76	0.96	0.79	0.80	1.13	0.89	0.89	1.03	0.93	1.08	0.95	0.90	1.01	1.00	1.06	0.10
Leaves dry weight/plant (g)	1.31	0.85	1.14	1.03	1.13	1.27	1.23	1.03	1.14	1.18	1.30	1.13	1.01	1.06	1.20	1.15	0.12
Nodules dry weight/plant (g)	0.22	0.14	0.20	0.15	0.16	0.17	0.13	0.15	0.16	0.16	0.17	0.22	0.16	0.16	0.22	0.16	0.03
Root dry weight/plant (g)	0.44	0.30	0.35	0.40	0.40	0.40	0.39	0.36	0.42	0.42	0.52	0.42	0.44	0.34	0.51	0.42	0.05
Second sample (84 days from sowing)																	
Number of nodules/ plant	44.96	30.67	33.21	40.75	27.46	31.25	33.79	33.42	29.33	43.67	40.46	44.46	43.21	33.08	50.38	46.96	6.26
Stems dry weight/plant (g)	2.95	1.98	2.67	2.72	2.57	2.97	2.77	3.19	2.76	2.57	3.41	2.47	2.81	2.85	3.46	2.81	0.33
Leaves dry weight/plant (g)	3.27	2.13	2.71	2.70	2.31	3.18	2.74	3.15	3.11	2.88	3.75	2.70	2.91	2.85	3.67	3.08	0.34
Nodules dry weight/plant (g)	0.43	0.27	0.52	0.43	0.31	0.34	0.36	0.38	0.44	0.46	0.56	0.53	0.46	0.50	0.65	0.50	0.08
Root dry weight/plant (g)	0.85	0.63	0.76	0.89	0.77	0.80	0.79	0.88	0.82	0.77	0.98	0.69	0.86	0.75	1.06	0.83	0.09
Third sample (112 days from sowing)																	
Number of nodules/ plant	35.75	24.67	22.17	27.04	15.84	20.71	18.58	20.42	17.63	35.38	34.54	40.00	35.13	26.58	33.59	52.46	6.86
Stems dry weight/plant (g)	4.59	4.47	4.13	4.60	5.15	4.95	4.27	5.58	4.87	5.12	4.98	4.30	4.57	4.36	5.39	4.95	0.62
Leaves dry weight/plant (g)	8.48	7.69	7.35	8.48	8.56	8.05	8.20	8.82	8.45	8.62	8.34	7.92	7.92	8.60	10.18	9.35	0.59
Nodules dry weight/plant (g)	0.42	0.42	0.39	0.41	0.17	0.30	0.29	0.51	0.31	0.51	0.58	0.52	0.60	0.31	0.57	0.58	0.10
Root dry weight/plant (g)	1.30	1.13	1.28	1.46	1.32	1.47	1.33	1.39	1.24	1.24	1.49	1.17	1.38	1.26	1.53	1.38	0.15

\*\*Genotypes: Varieties: G1-Sakha 2, G2-Giza 40, G3-Giza 429, G4-Giza 3, G5-Nubaria 1, G6-Giza 843, G7-Giza 716  
 Lines: G8-L. 284, G9-L. 258, G10-L. 244, G11-L. 252, G12-L. 278, G13-L. 332, G14-L. 336, G15-L. 285, G16-L. 163

lines no.11 and 15 for root dry weight/plant, at the second sample, promising line no. 15 had significant higher mean values for all studied traits than the other lines and check varieties, at the third sample, the line no. 16 for no. of nodule/plant, genotypes no. 15, 8, 5, 10 and 11for stem dry weight/plant, line no. 15 for leaf dry weight/plant, lines no. 13, 16, 15, 12, 10 and 8 for nodule dry weight/plant and promising lines no.15, 11 and 8 and the two varieties no. 6 and 4 for root dry weight/plant these genotypes had significantly higher mean values for these traits than the other lines and check varieties. In general, it could be noticed that the superiority of variety no. 4 and promising line no.15 at different samples in both seasons and line no. 11 in the second season across all the rest genotypes for most traits may be due to its superiority in growth traits which led to more physiological and photosynthetic activity, hence more metabolite accumulation, high seed yield/plant and consequently seed yield/fad. Ahmed *et al* (1997) reported that the differences among faba bean cultivars in growth parameters may be due to the differences in number of nodules formed on the roots of the tested cultivars, consequently, the growth of each cultivar may depend mainly on nitrogen fixation. Furthermore, the results of varietal differences, herein are confirmed with those obtained by Hafiz and El-Kholy (2000), Hassanein (2000), Darwish *et al* (2003), El-Khawaga *et al* (2003) and Kassab (2004).

#### **The interaction between soil salinity and *Rhizobium* inoculation**

Data in Table (6) showed that the effect of interaction between soil salinity levels and *Rhizobium* inoculation treatments was significant for all growth traits at different growth stages in both seasons, except stem and leaf dry weight/plant at the second sample in the first season and no. of nodules/plant at the third sample in the second season. In general, *Rhizobium* inoculation treatments interacted differently from trait to another under normal soil conditions compared to salinity soil conditions in the first and second seasons. These results are in harmony with those obtained by Cordovilla *et al* (1999), Katerji *et al* (2001) and El-Khawaga *et al* (2003). In general, plants inoculated with strain ARC 200 in the first season and control treatment (uninoculated plants) in the second season significantly showed the highest values for most studied traits at different samples under normal soil conditions.

#### **The interaction between soil salinity levels and faba bean genotypes**

Tables (7 and 8) revealed that the interaction between soil salinity levels and faba bean genotypes significantly affects all studied traits at different growth stages in both seasons. Results also reported that there were substantial differences between genotypes in their response to salinity soil conditions in the first and the second seasons. In general, genotypes behaved better under normal soil conditions than under saline soil conditions for all

**Table 6. Response of faba bean plants to normal and saline soils and Rhizobium inoculation for recorded characters at different growth stages during 2003/2004 and 2004/2005 seasons**

Studied characters	First season								Second season									
	Normal soil				Salinity soil				Normal soil				Salinity soil				LSD	
	* Rhizobium inoculation		R1	R2	R3	R4	R1	R2	R3	R4	R1	R2	R3	R4	R1	R2	R3	
48 days from sowing																53 days from sowing		
Number of nodules/plant	25.833	26.167	24.708	29.750	1.375	2.021	4.854	5.479	2.045	23.479	20.146	19.458	17.792	21.146	30.521	17.146	22.063	2.387
Stems dry weight/plant (g)	0.685	0.704	0.559	0.624	0.560	0.617	0.505	0.516	0.035	1.084	1.050	1.046	0.963	0.995	0.910	0.738	0.785	0.052
Leaves dry weight/plant (g)	0.997	1.059	0.888	0.957	0.869	0.917	0.771	0.813	0.055	1.481	1.144	1.308	1.305	1.072	0.940	0.865	0.969	0.090
Nodules dry weight/plant (g)	0.156	0.149	0.163	0.186	0.025	0.031	0.088	0.047	0.017	0.204	0.201	0.145	0.187	0.161	0.156	0.141	0.161	0.016
Root dry weight/plant (g)	0.526	0.585	0.532	0.534	0.361	0.446	0.420	0.457	0.025	0.488	0.398	0.451	0.371	0.463	0.365	0.344	0.394	0.025
89 days from sowing																84 days from sowing		
Number of nodules/plant	45.396	57.854	52.458	66.042	3.396	4.313	9.875	11.979	3.914	46.583	34.667	34.229	29.667	36.896	45.542	30.833	45.104	4.604
Stems dry weight/plant (g)	5.665	6.217	5.148	5.742	2.848	3.005	2.542	2.916	NS	3.783	3.408	3.356	2.951	2.251	2.637	1.997	2.095	0.088
Leaves dry weight/plant (g)	6.627	7.106	5.933	6.821	2.679	2.960	2.614	2.994	NS	3.887	3.393	3.531	3.041	2.359	2.824	1.956	2.582	0.230
Nodules dry weight/plant (g)	0.838	0.929	0.861	0.855	0.112	0.237	0.419	0.379	0.099	0.498	0.391	0.392	0.363	0.463	0.528	0.375	0.553	0.074
Root dry weight/plant (g)	1.425	1.656	1.278	1.494	0.906	1.027	0.892	0.953	0.096	0.995	0.845	0.885	0.703	0.903	0.794	0.744	0.687	0.063
115 days from sowing																112 days from sowing		
Number of nodules/plant	18.708	29.146	18.583	19.479	2.229	3.563	2.604	2.958	2.663	24.731	39.792	27.188	30.229	24.375	33.042	26.333	24.146	NS
Stems dry weight/plant (g)	12.960	14.372	10.864	13.133	7.388	6.583	6.872	6.517	0.885	6.948	5.100	5.619	4.812	4.124	4.661	3.265	3.599	0.401
Leaves dry weight/plant (g)	16.566	17.482	12.728	15.671	7.971	7.712	8.004	8.511	1.306	9.174	9.516	10.355	9.182	6.409	5.702	8.268	8.896	0.350
Nodules dry weight/plant (g)	0.333	0.486	0.319	0.353	0.214	0.229	0.172	0.251	0.076	0.400	0.479	0.501	0.489	0.397	0.419	0.393	0.330	0.059
Root dry weight/plant (g)	2.830	3.535	2.573	3.015	2.161	2.124	2.287	2.041	0.189	1.569	1.386	1.516	1.092	1.332	1.236	1.311	1.238	0.077

\* Rhizobium inoculation : R1 - Control, R2 - F.b.ARC 200, R3 - F.b.ARC 201 Studied characters R4 - F.b. TCARDA (ARC) 448

**Table 7. Response of sixteen faba bean genotypes to normal and saline soils for recorded characters at different growth stages during 2003/2004 season**

**Genotypes	G1	G-2	G-3	G-4	G-5	G-6	G-7	G-8	G-9	G-10	G-11	G-12	G-13	G-14	G-15	G-16	LSD
Studied characters	First sample (48 days after sowing )															0.05	
Number of nodules/plant	N	34.42	30.08	28.33	34.92	22.58	16.75	31.33	19.58	26.83	21.08	21.58	25.83	27.25	25.42	32.75	27.08
	S	3.08	1.92	4.33	6.17	1.25	1.42	2.42	1.33	1.75	4.75	3.25	2.92	6.75	2.67	3.50	7.42
Stems dry weight/plant (g)	N	0.68	0.45	0.65	0.67	0.65	0.58	0.64	0.69	0.62	0.57	0.59	0.68	0.71	0.61	0.76	0.74
	S	0.65	0.38	0.65	0.53	0.65	0.63	0.56	0.48	0.51	0.58	0.53	0.54	0.46	0.56	0.53	0.57
Leaves dry weight/plant (g)	N	1.14	0.74	0.97	1.06	0.93	0.94	0.93	1.06	0.94	0.96	0.90	0.96	0.99	0.96	1.33	0.81
	S	0.89	0.74	0.90	0.98	0.89	0.92	0.87	0.80	0.86	0.79	0.78	0.88	0.66	0.93	0.84	0.75
Nodules dry weight/plant (g)	N	0.16	0.16	0.20	0.18	0.14	0.12	0.13	0.19	0.17	0.14	0.17	0.14	0.23	0.16	0.19	0.13
	S	0.02	0.05	0.03	0.12	0.01	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.10	0.05	0.06	0.07	0.01	0.05	0.14
Roots dry weight/plant (g)	N	0.54	0.51	0.53	0.63	0.62	0.58	0.56	0.51	0.54	0.52	0.50	0.46	0.58	0.49	0.58	0.56
	S	0.53	0.40	0.38	0.42	0.53	0.40	0.45	0.31	0.43	0.43	0.40	0.37	0.44	0.38	0.45	0.44
Studied characters	Second sample (89 days after sowing )																
Number of nodules/plant	N	78.00	54.42	54.58	72.92	60.67	36.17	49.83	45.58	51.50	52.50	41.25	60.42	67.33	52.92	54.75	54.17
	S	5.75	4.92	8.33	11.75	3.17	3.92	5.75	3.58	4.75	10.00	8.00	9.08	10.25	5.33	8.25	15.42
Stems dry weight/plant(g)	N	7.93	5.59	5.28	6.19	5.57	6.12	6.11	6.12	5.47	5.79	4.52	6.10	5.92	4.76	5.41	4.20
	S	2.35	2.47	3.61	3.47	2.67	2.91	2.82	2.20	2.79	3.24	2.40	2.78	2.66	3.13	2.44	3.32
Leaves dry weight/plant (g)	N	8.21	5.92	6.42	6.86	7.02	6.66	6.78	7.10	6.06	6.71	5.99	7.03	6.73	5.76	6.57	6.13
	S	2.41	2.84	3.14	3.64	2.82	2.68	2.77	2.12	2.99	3.06	2.19	2.80	2.45	3.05	2.63	3.40
Nodules dry weight /plant(g)	N	0.83	0.62	0.81	1.03	0.76	1.00	0.65	0.98	1.09	0.98	0.95	0.88	1.02	0.93	0.81	0.60
	S	0.21	0.23	0.31	0.55	0.06	0.29	0.26	0.15	0.39	0.30	0.22	0.38	0.33	0.18	0.36	0.38
Roots dry weight /plant (g)	N	1.49	1.33	1.37	1.69	1.51	1.29	1.41	1.72	1.50	1.39	1.28	1.41	1.53	1.42	1.62	1.45
	S	1.03	0.76	0.85	1.13	1.10	0.81	1.03	0.70	0.90	0.98	1.01	0.92	0.86	1.14	0.96	0.91

Table 7. Cont.

**Genotypes	G1	G-2	G-3	G-4	G-5	G-6	G-7	G-8	G-9	G-10	G-11	G-12	G-13	G-14	G-15	G-16	LSD
Studied characters	Third sample ( 115 days after sowing )																0.05
Number of nodules/ plant	N	28.08	17.58	30.00	32.42	21.25	14.83	13.58	22.08	17.58	24.67	25.08	20.08	23.67	12.50	11.50	28.75 4.96
	S	2.08	2.25	1.42	5.92	1.25	1.25	1.42	5.08	2.92	1.25	4.33	3.92	3.92	1.42	2.50	4.50
Stems dry weight/plant (g)	N	12.92	9.93	12.89	13.18	14.93	11.10	12.32	13.16	11.14	14.17	13.69	16.36	13.66	12.29	13.86	9.72 2.90
	S	4.16	5.03	6.54	7.76	6.25	5.19	5.59	8.61	7.99	6.71	8.21	7.70	9.80	4.54	8.18	7.18
Leaves dry weight/plant (g)	N	15.18	11.26	16.02	15.97	14.69	14.44	15.15	16.34	15.83	16.03	15.10	19.36	16.46	16.32	17.77	13.86 3.11
	S	5.13	6.96	8.18	9.08	6.72	5.52	6.37	9.34	9.83	8.86	10.01	7.24	12.13	5.69	9.35	8.38
Nodules dry weight/plant (g)	N	0.28	0.34	0.50	0.36	0.48	0.42	0.15	0.40	0.37	0.40	0.29	0.33	0.38	0.63	0.31	0.46 0.14
	S	0.25	0.13	0.15	0.27	0.05	0.13	0.09	0.57	0.32	0.21	0.15	0.10	0.30	0.12	0.13	0.36
Roots dry weight/plant (g)	N	2.65	2.53	3.63	3.82	2.72	2.49	2.80	3.01	3.55	2.99	2.93	2.77	2.86	3.35	3.41	2.31 0.52
	S	1.80	1.31	2.03	2.34	1.99	1.90	2.04	2.16	2.98	1.76	2.48	2.14	2.84	2.12	2.30	2.29

\*salinity : N = normal soil S = salinity soil

\*\*Genotypes:

Varieties: G1-Sakha 2, G2-Giza40, G3-Giza 429, G4-Giza 3, G5-Nubaria 1, G6-Giza 843, G7-Giza 716

Lines: G8-L. 284, G9-L. 258, G10-L. 244, G11-L. 252, G12-L. 278, G13-L. 332, G14-L. 336, G15-L.285, G16-L.163

**Table 8. Response of sixteen faba bean genotypes to normal and saline soils for recorded characters at different growth stages during 2004/2005 season**

**Genotypes	G1	G-2	G-3	G-4	G-5	G-6	G-7	G-8	G-9	G-10	G-11	G-12	G-13	G-14	G-15	G-16	LSD	
Studied characters	First sample (53 days from sowing)																	
	*salinity	N	27.42	22.92	20.50	22.67	19.58	17.33	21.75	18.17	15.75	28.42	23.33	29.50	26.00	27.08	27.17	29.58
Number of nodules/plant		S	21.42	14.58	17.25	24.42	15.25	13.67	18.50	15.50	14.25	24.92	21.50	17.83	23.83	14.92	28.42	23.58
Stems dry weight/plant (g)		N	1.12	0.80	1.01	0.80	0.77	1.25	1.01	0.85	1.11	1.06	1.37	0.96	1.02	1.16	1.13	1.17
		S	0.80	0.73	0.91	0.79	0.84	1.01	0.77	0.94	0.96	0.81	0.79	0.94	0.78	0.85	0.88	0.95
Leaves dry weight/plant (g)		N	1.61	0.85	1.29	1.31	1.39	1.55	1.36	1.09	1.22	1.48	1.52	1.17	1.17	1.17	1.52	1.26
		S	1.01	0.86	0.99	0.75	0.88	1.00	1.11	0.96	1.05	0.88	1.08	1.09	0.86	0.95	0.88	1.05
Nodules dry weight/plant (g)		N	0.25	0.15	0.23	0.17	0.16	0.18	0.14	0.13	0.19	0.18	0.18	0.25	0.14	0.18	0.26	0.17
		S	0.19	0.12	0.17	0.13	0.15	0.15	0.13	0.17	0.13	0.15	0.16	0.19	0.18	0.14	0.18	0.16
Roots dry weight/plant (g)		N	0.50	0.31	0.37	0.43	0.41	0.41	0.44	0.36	0.47	0.46	0.51	0.38	0.44	0.38	0.52	0.42
		S	0.38	0.30	0.34	0.38	0.39	0.39	0.34	0.36	0.38	0.38	0.52	0.46	0.43	0.30	0.50	0.41
		Second sample (84 days from sowing)																
Number of nodules/plant		N	45.17	36.25	36.08	37.17	30.92	34.17	34.25	32.67	28.92	35.33	39.17	58.25	41.25	39.75	54.67	49.50
		S	44.75	25.08	30.33	44.33	24.00	28.33	33.33	34.17	29.75	52.00	41.75	30.67	45.17	26.42	46.08	44.42
Stems dry weight/plant (g)		N	3.86	2.17	3.26	3.64	3.18	3.67	3.28	3.50	3.41	3.17	4.41	2.48	3.27	3.58	4.03	3.08
		S	2.04	1.79	2.07	1.80	1.97	2.28	2.26	2.89	2.10	1.96	2.41	2.47	2.35	2.12	2.89	2.53
Leaves dry weight/plant (g)		N	3.91	2.22	3.03	3.65	2.75	3.89	3.12	3.52	3.77	3.62	4.78	2.78	3.47	3.61	4.06	3.25
		S	2.64	2.04	2.39	1.74	1.86	2.47	2.37	2.79	2.44	2.15	2.73	2.62	2.36	2.09	3.29	2.92
Nodules dry weight/plant (g)		N	0.51	0.28	0.63	0.34	0.28	0.37	0.42	0.34	0.51	0.46	0.64	0.74	0.39	0.52	0.82	0.44
		S	0.35	0.25	0.41	0.52	0.34	0.31	0.31	0.42	0.37	0.45	0.48	0.32	0.53	0.47	0.48	0.57
Roots dry weight/plant (g)		N	0.86	0.51	0.76	0.99	0.83	0.91	0.83	0.99	0.87	0.87	1.10	0.65	0.88	0.81	1.13	0.74
		S	0.83	0.76	0.76	0.80	0.72	0.68	0.75	0.77	0.78	0.66	0.86	0.73	0.84	0.68	0.98	0.92

**Table 8. Cont.**

**Genotypes		G1	G-2	G-3	G-4	G-5	G-6	G-7	G-8	G-9	G-10	G-11	G-12	G-13	G-14	G-15	G-16	LSD
Studied characters	*salinity	Third sample ( 112 days after sowing )																0.05
Number of nodules/ plant	N	43.92	28.17	21.33	36.75	17.08	26.25	20.08	26.58	19.25	48.67	37.83	31.00	40.92	31.58	33.75	43.25	9.71
	S	27.58	21.17	23.00	17.33	13.00	15.17	17.08	14.25	16.00	22.08	31.25	49.00	29.33	21.58	33.42	61.67	
Stems dry weight/plant (g)	N	5.96	4.73	4.53	5.30	6.70	6.15	4.85	7.57	5.78	6.20	5.42	4.64	5.15	5.41	5.88	5.64	0.87
	S	3.22	4.21	3.73	3.89	3.61	3.75	3.69	3.58	3.96	4.03	4.54	3.96	3.99	3.30	4.90	4.26	
Leaves dry weight/plant (g)	N	8.56	9.24	8.00	9.45	8.59	8.16	9.15	8.95	8.42	9.37	8.88	9.02	9.19	8.28	10.57	9.60	0.83
	S	8.40	6.13	6.70	7.51	8.53	7.93	7.24	8.69	8.48	7.88	7.81	6.82	6.66	8.92	9.80	9.10	
Nodules dry weight/plant (g)	N	0.60	0.44	0.44	0.56	0.24	0.34	0.35	0.51	0.33	0.39	0.54	0.61	0.57	0.30	0.62	0.63	0.14
	S	0.25	0.41	0.34	0.26	0.11	0.27	0.23	0.51	0.29	0.63	0.46	0.42	0.64	0.31	0.53	0.54	
Roots dry weight/plant (g)	N	1.41	1.10	1.24	1.63	1.50	1.56	1.45	1.56	1.31	1.46	1.59	1.25	1.45	1.36	1.63	1.65	0.22
	S	1.19	1.15	1.32	1.30	1.14	1.38	1.21	1.22	1.17	1.02	1.39	1.10	1.31	1.16	1.44	1.11	

\*salinity :

N = normal soil      S = salinity soil

\*\*Genotypes:

Varieties: G1-Sakha 2, G2-Giza40, G3-Giza 429, G4-Giza 3, G5-Nubaria 1, G6-Giza 843, G7-Giza 716

Lines: G8-L. 284, G9-L. 258, G10-L. 244, G11-L. 252, G12-L. 278, G13-L. 332, G14-L. 336, G15-L.285, G16-L.163

studied traits at different growth stages in both seasons. Data also revealed that the response of different genotypes in this study differed in tolerance to salinity from trait to another. Evidence presented here suggests a need to select under stress. Genetic variation for tolerance in the gene pool of a crop species is of prime importance for the improvement of salt tolerance through selection and breeding. Inter and intra - specific and intra - cultivar variation for tolerance provides a scope for breeding and selection. Similar results are in agreement with the findings of Cordovilla *et al* (1995), El-khawaga *et al* (2003), Hassanein (2000) and Kassab (2004), who found significant differences among the tested faba bean varieties with respect to growth parameters. As for the first order interaction between RxG, significant effects were found for all studied traits at different growth stages in both seasons

#### **The interaction between soil salinity, *Rhizobium* inoculation and genotypes**

Results of the three way interaction for all studied characters at different growth stages in the both seasons are presented in Tables (9 and10). The magnitude of interaction differed from genotype to another. In the first season, results in the Table (9), showed that under normal soil conditions, plants inoculated by strain ICARDA 448 (R4) exhibited superiority with genotype no.7 for no. of nodules/plant and line no.13 for root dry weight/plant at the first sample and line no.9 for nodule dry weight/plant at the second sample as well as genotype no. 8 for nodule dry weight/plant under soil salinity conditions at the third sample. Control treatment (uninoculated plants) gave the highest values under normal soil conditions with genotype no. 1 for stem and leaf dry weight at the first and second samples in both seasons and with mutant line no.8 for root dry weight at the second sample, whereas plants inoculated by strain ARC 201 (R3) had the highest mean values with promising line no.16 under soil salinity conditions for nodule dry weight at the first sample and with genotypes no. 4 for root dry weight/plant and no.1 for no. of nodules/plant at the first and second samples, respectively. At the third sample, control treatment showed superiority with mutant line no. 8 under normal soil conditions for stem, leaf and root dry weight. In the second season, under normal soil salinity conditions, control treatment had the highest mean values with promising lines no. 16 and 11 for stem and leaf dry weight/plant at the first and second samples, respectively, and with promising line no. 15 for nodule dry weight/plant at the first sample as well as with root dry weight/plant at the second and third samples, and the promising line no.13 for no. of nodules/plant at the second sample. Whereas plants inoculated with ARC 201 (R3) showed superiority with line no.15 for root dry weight at the first sample, with line no.8 and Nubaria 1 cv. for stem and leaf dry 201

**Table 9. Response of sixteen faba bean genotypes to normal and saline soils and Rhizobium inoculation for recorded characters at different growth stages during 2003/2004 season**

Studied characters	salinity level	*Rizo biuum	G-1	G-2	G-3	G-4	G-5	G-6	G-7	G-8	G-9	G-10	G-11	G-12	G-13	G-14	G-15	G-16	LSD 0.050
			First sample (48 days from sowing)																
Number of nodules/plant	Normal	R-1	43.67	29.33	20.67	46.67	20.33	14.67	35.33	24.33	25.33	25.00	23.00	18.00	29.33	17.00	23.67	17.00	
		R-2	26.00	24.67	27.67	31.67	29.33	23.33	27.33	18.67	28.67	13.00	35.33	33.67	26.33	23.00	22.00	28.00	
		R-3	30.67	31.67	36.33	34.00	20.33	11.67	11.00	10.33	15.67	20.33	18.00	24.00	24.33	27.00	41.33	38.67	
		R-4	37.33	34.67	28.67	27.33	20.33	17.33	51.67	25.00	37.67	26.00	10.00	27.67	29.00	34.67	44.00	24.67	8.77
	Salinity	R-1	4.00	1.00	0.00	3.33	0.00	1.00	1.33	0.00	1.67	1.67	0.00	1.00	0.67	0.67	1.67	4.00	
		R-2	0.67	1.00	3.33	8.33	0.67	0.67	2.00	0.67	2.33	1.67	1.00	0.00	3.67	0.00	5.00	1.33	
		R-3	0.33	0.33	3.00	4.33	1.33	0.67	1.67	0.00	0.00	10.33	2.67	7.00	15.00	5.67	4.33	21.00	
		R-4	7.33	5.33	11.00	8.67	3.00	3.33	4.67	4.67	3.00	5.33	9.33	3.67	7.67	4.33	3.00	3.33	
Stems dry weight/plant(g)	Normal	R-1	1.10	0.42	0.73	0.83	0.77	0.47	0.87	0.64	0.76	0.51	0.54	0.68	0.83	0.44	0.64	0.72	
		R-2	0.54	0.45	0.79	0.75	0.76	0.68	0.84	0.67	0.57	0.43	0.62	0.81	0.69	0.75	0.88	1.04	
		R-3	0.47	0.57	0.54	0.61	0.47	0.41	0.36	0.58	0.38	0.65	0.63	0.72	0.54	0.56	0.81	0.64	
		R-4	0.62	0.37	0.55	0.49	0.61	0.77	0.49	0.88	0.76	0.67	0.56	0.52	0.78	0.69	0.70	0.54	0.20
	Salinity	R-1	0.66	0.47	0.52	0.46	0.44	0.64	0.59	0.40	0.63	0.70	0.68	0.59	0.36	0.60	0.56	0.46	
		R-2	0.76	0.22	0.72	0.54	0.70	0.83	0.64	0.44	0.40	0.80	0.48	0.79	0.54	0.56	0.75	0.70	
		R-3	0.50	0.41	0.71	0.76	1.03	0.62	0.49	0.41	0.42	0.27	0.38	0.31	0.30	0.59	0.42	0.45	
		R-4	0.66	0.40	0.64	0.38	0.43	0.41	0.50	0.65	0.61	0.55	0.56	0.46	0.46	0.49	0.41	0.66	
Leaves dry weight/plant(g)	Normal	R-1	1.57	0.65	0.96	1.12	1.09	0.87	1.09	1.23	0.99	0.78	0.88	0.88	1.08	0.74	1.35	0.69	
		R-2	1.07	0.86	1.13	1.26	1.00	0.72	1.26	0.95	1.11	0.81	0.91	1.14	0.98	1.20	1.33	1.22	
		R-3	0.96	0.69	0.93	0.97	0.64	0.83	0.51	0.88	0.77	1.07	1.12	1.01	0.76	0.95	1.37	0.74	
		R-4	0.95	0.76	0.87	0.88	0.97	1.32	0.85	1.17	0.88	1.18	0.69	0.80	1.15	0.96	1.25	0.61	0.23
	Salinity	R-1	0.94	0.72	0.87	0.95	0.76	0.93	0.81	0.70	0.94	0.83	0.82	1.11	0.76	1.09	0.96	0.71	
		R-2	0.88	0.74	1.02	1.03	0.74	1.16	0.94	0.89	0.80	0.96	0.96	1.07	0.76	0.88	0.95	0.88	
		R-3	1.10	0.79	0.74	1.21	1.13	0.70	0.82	0.69	0.79	0.70	0.70	0.62	0.39	0.81	0.61	0.55	
		R-4	0.65	0.70	0.95	0.74	0.95	0.90	0.93	0.92	0.89	0.69	0.63	0.70	0.71	0.95	0.86	0.84	

**Table 9. Cont.**

Studied characters	salinity level	*Rizo blum	**Genotypes												LSD 0.050			
			G-2	G-3	G-4	G-5	G-6	G-7	G-8	G-9	0.050	G-11	G-12	G-13	G-14	G-16		
Nodules dry weight/plant(g)	Normal	R-1	0.22	0.16	0.32	0.22	0.12	0.08	0.11	0.14	0.23	0.13	0.16	0.05	0.10	0.09	0.20	0.18
		R-2	0.11	0.10	0.17	0.15	0.17	0.17	0.14	0.14	0.15	0.04	0.16	0.20	0.13	0.20	0.17	0.18
		R-3	0.18	0.14	0.18	0.20	0.12	0.12	0.16	0.24	0.13	0.17	0.14	0.16	0.33	0.15	0.19	0.05
		R-4	0.13	0.24	0.15	0.16	0.16	0.11	0.15	0.23	0.18	0.20	0.21	0.17	0.36	0.21	0.18	0.13
	Salinity	R-1	0.03	0.11	0.00	0.05	0.00	0.02	0.01	0.00	0.01	0.03	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.03	0.09
		R-2	0.01	0.05	0.03	0.19	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.03	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.03	0.00	0.10	0.01
		R-3	0.01	0.00	0.03	0.18	0.01	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.30	0.02	0.19	0.21	0.02	0.03	0.41
		R-4	0.02	0.05	0.07	0.07	0.02	0.04	0.04	0.05	0.04	0.06	0.15	0.03	0.84	0.02	0.03	0.04
Roots dry weight/plant(g)	Normal	R-1	0.58	0.45	0.46	0.66	0.59	0.41	0.55	0.52	0.60	0.37	0.68	0.35	0.56	0.52	0.51	0.62
		R-2	0.53	0.57	0.66	0.54	0.70	0.74	0.66	0.54	0.63	0.56	0.50	0.61	0.60	0.49	0.57	0.47
		R-3	0.50	0.56	0.44	0.76	0.69	0.55	0.65	0.50	0.50	0.52	0.40	0.53	0.41	0.38	0.63	0.50
		R-4	0.56	0.44	0.55	0.58	0.50	0.60	0.37	0.49	0.42	0.65	0.43	0.37	0.76	0.56	0.61	0.66
	Salinity	R-1	0.51	0.35	0.32	0.41	0.33	0.31	0.37	0.24	0.29	0.36	0.38	0.34	0.28	0.28	0.53	0.47
		R-2	0.61	0.48	0.34	0.41	0.65	0.54	0.54	0.35	0.25	0.44	0.43	0.41	0.41	0.47	0.43	0.47
		R-3	0.44	0.29	0.46	0.49	0.61	0.35	0.38	0.27	0.59	0.44	0.41	0.28	0.55	0.43	0.35	0.44
		R-4	0.56	0.54	0.46	0.38	0.51	0.42	0.52	0.37	0.56	0.47	0.38	0.44	0.50	0.32	0.47	0.40

Table 9. Cont

Studied characters	salinity level	Rizum	**Genotypes												LSD 0.050			
			G-1	G-2	G-3	G-4	G-5	G-6	G-7	G-8	G-9	G-10	G-11	G-12	G-13	G-14	G-15	G-16
Second sample (29 days from sowing)																		
Number of nodules/plant	Normal	R-1	55.67	43.00	33.67	69.00	38.33	34.33	32.00	75.33	33.33	39.67	32.00	37.00	63.00	27.33	48.67	42.00
		R-2	83.67	34.33	64.33	91.33	68.67	48.33	36.33	29.67	66.67	28.00	75.67	84.00	68.33	44.33	26.00	75.00
		R-3	109.00	55.33	52.67	86.33	53.00	21.00	33.33	24.33	27.67	55.33	31.00	53.00	62.67	56.33	76.67	61.67
	Salinity	R-4	63.67	34.00	67.67	65.00	32.67	41.00	77.67	53.00	76.33	87.00	26.33	67.67	75.33	83.67	67.67	38.00
		R-1	2.33	2.00	1.33	4.00	0.33	3.00	3.33	0.66	5.00	5.33	1.33	4.67	1.00	1.33	6.67	10.67
		R-2	1.00	2.33	6.00	15.67	2.00	3.33	3.67	2.00	3.67	2.00	5.67	2.67	5.00	0.66	9.00	5.00
Stems dry weight/plant (g)	Normal	R-3	2.67	0.67	5.67	9.33	3.33	1.67	5.00	1.67	2.00	19.33	12.00	19.00	22.67	9.33	9.00	34.67
		R-4	17.00	14.67	20.33	16.00	7.00	7.67	11.00	10.67	8.33	13.33	13.00	16.00	12.33	10.67	8.33	11.33
		R-1	9.73	3.74	5.51	6.54	5.43	8.85	5.28	8.57	3.26	5.95	4.76	5.47	6.60	2.14	6.47	2.27
	Salinity	R-2	8.00	6.37	7.17	5.84	5.99	5.80	6.32	5.30	7.61	5.15	5.76	5.18	6.03	7.89	5.06	5.89
		R-3	7.37	5.22	4.85	7.95	4.69	4.33	6.32	4.57	3.84	4.87	3.95	6.07	5.71	3.73	5.51	3.89
		R-4	6.56	7.04	3.90	4.82	6.09	5.31	6.52	6.03	7.19	7.18	3.62	7.67	5.34	5.27	4.57	4.76
Leaves dry weight/plant (g)	Normal	R-1	1.79	2.07	3.19	2.71	2.34	2.55	3.14	2.55	3.15	3.87	1.77	2.73	3.80	2.67	3.63	3.66
		R-2	2.61	2.41	3.00	4.72	1.98	4.91	2.88	2.47	3.29	3.50	2.65	3.06	2.90	3.44	2.56	2.78
		R-3	2.84	2.24	3.73	3.69	2.76	1.88	2.71	1.66	1.82	3.07	2.43	2.22	2.19	3.03	1.31	3.02
	Salinity	R-4	2.14	3.05	4.53	2.75	3.78	3.23	2.56	3.10	2.92	2.53	2.74	3.10	1.74	3.40	2.24	3.87
		R-1	9.41	3.30	7.86	5.31	6.61	8.66	6.44	9.14	5.59	6.24	5.45	6.64	7.61	3.03	8.20	6.61
		R-2	9.42	6.95	8.11	6.91	8.52	7.22	5.01	6.69	4.84	5.23	7.07	7.17	7.93	8.16	5.61	8.86
Roots dry weight/plant (g)	Normal	R-3	6.50	5.61	5.36	8.89	5.38	6.83	8.41	8.25	4.76	6.03	6.68	6.70	5.53	4.14	5.89	3.76
		R-4	7.50	7.82	4.33	6.32	7.57	4.80	7.24	7.32	9.05	9.36	4.77	7.61	8.86	7.70	6.59	5.30
		R-1	2.41	2.24	1.79	2.97	2.47	2.98	3.02	2.17	3.43	3.07	1.63	3.01	3.56	2.24	3.39	3.27
	Salinity	R-2	2.99	3.37	2.77	4.47	1.66	3.20	2.34	2.33	3.38	3.62	2.65	2.94	2.78	3.34	2.89	2.63
		R-3	2.65	1.99	4.36	4.22	3.21	1.53	3.00	1.67	2.27	2.00	1.58	2.36	1.92	3.44	1.37	3.45
		R-4	1.58	3.76	3.63	3.30	3.91	3.42	2.74	2.29	2.89	2.76	2.88	2.90	1.55	3.18	2.85	4.26

Table 3. Cont.

Studied characters	Salinity level	Salinity × Bio												LSD					
		Mean	G1	G2	G3	G4	G5	G6	G7	G8	G9	G-10	G-11	G-12	G-13	G-14	G-15	G-16	G-17
Nodules dry weight/plant (g)	Normal	B-1	1.18	0.45	0.63	0.77	0.39	1.42	0.70	1.41	0.51	1.10	0.51	0.56	1.29	0.43	0.34	0.42	
	Normal	B-2	0.93	0.41	1.05	1.00	0.49	1.05	0.59	1.27	1.14	0.74	0.65	0.55	1.30	1.57	0.67	0.74	
	Normal	B-3	0.60	0.40	0.55	1.05	1.08	0.95	0.76	0.84	0.62	1.06	1.07	0.68	1.04	0.64	1.08	0.67	
	Normal	B-4	0.51	0.32	0.40	0.70	1.17	0.57	0.61	0.39	2.00	1.05	1.35	1.04	0.65	1.09	0.65	0.66	
	Normal	B-1	0.85	0.20	0.42	0.13	0.41	0.37	0.25	0.10	0.31	0.25	0.25	0.13	0.20	0.25	0.16	0.16	0.24
	Salinity	B-2	0.61	0.11	0.45	0.73	0.49	0.48	0.17	0.25	0.38	0.25	0.25	0.32	0.26	0.20	0.37	0.16	
	Salinity	B-3	0.18	0.02	0.24	0.73	0.04	0.05	0.17	0.11	0.34	1.05	0.30	0.28	0.48	0.44	0.32	1.39	
	Salinity	B-4	0.58	0.38	0.50	0.52	0.18	0.27	0.67	0.19	0.50	0.03	0.45	0.49	0.37	0.24	0.18	0.18	
Roots dry weight/plant (g)	Normal	B-1	1.20	1.10	1.21	1.36	1.38	1.06	1.28	2.100	1.32	1.30	1.35	1.35	1.70	1.16	1.30	1.27	
	Normal	B-2	1.88	1.62	1.82	1.60	1.45	1.38	1.38	1.05	1.05	1.17	1.62	1.39	1.65	1.99	1.98	2.07	
	Normal	B-3	1.16	1.17	1.01	2.00	1.20	1.00	1.39	1.39	0.29	1.32	1.29	1.27	1.38	0.90	1.81	1.18	
	Normal	B-4	1.71	1.46	1.46	1.57	1.82	1.58	1.71	1.98	1.74	0.88	1.52	1.45	1.61	1.17	1.27	0.42	
	Salinity	B-1	1.13	0.90	0.62	0.91	0.75	0.51	0.82	0.68	0.94	0.95	0.24	1.08	0.93	1.52	1.64	0.78	
	Salinity	B-2	1.18	1.05	0.60	1.08	1.24	0.89	1.40	0.80	0.79	1.20	1.22	0.97	0.99	1.12	0.95	0.94	
	Salinity	B-3	0.95	0.58	1.17	1.45	1.00	0.66	0.94	0.56	0.56	0.90	0.92	0.75	0.75	1.02	0.94	0.79	0.90
	Salinity	B-4	0.99	0.90	1.03	1.09	1.42	0.73	0.97	0.77	0.98	0.75	1.18	0.89	0.82	0.95	1.06	1.03	

Table 9. Cont.

Studied characters	salinity level	^ Rice biatum	**Genotypes														LSD 0.050	
			G-1	G-2	G-3	G-4	G-5	G-6	G-7	G-8	G-9	G-10	G-11	G-12	G-13	G-14	G-15	
			Third sample (115 days from sowing)															
Number of nodules/plant	Normal	R-1	35.00	8.00	36.33	28.33	15.67	16.33	12.67	21.33	13.00	15.67	32.33	9.67	12.33	9.00	7.67	26.00
		R-2	25.67	31.33	35.00	35.33	45.00	20.00	16.00	18.67	20.67	29.33	35.00	31.33	25.33	17.33	21.33	39.00
		R-3	20.67	9.00	35.33	29.33	18.33	9.00	7.00	32.33	18.67	16.00	23.00	19.67	36.00	9.33	6.00	15.67
		R-4	31.00	22.00	13.33	16.67	14.00	14.00	18.67	16.00	18.00	37.67	18.00	19.67	21.00	14.33	11.00	34.33
	Salinity	R-1	1.67	1.33	1.00	6.00	2.67	6.33	1.67	2.33	5.33	1.00	6.33	0.67	6.33	6.00	3.00	8.80
		R-2	3.67	4.00	2.67	6.33	6.00	1.67	1.33	1.33	6.67	2.00	15.33	7.00	5.33	1.33	3.67	0.67
		R-3	0.00	0.00	2.00	1.67	2.33	6.67	2.67	9.33	3.33	1.67	6.00	3.00	7.67	0.00	2.67	4.67
		R-4	3.00	3.67	0.00	9.67	0.00	2.33	8.00	7.33	2.33	0.33	1.67	5.00	2.33	4.33	0.67	4.67
Stems dry weight/plant (g)	Normal	R-1	14.47	5.03	12.16	15.94	18.56	10.81	8.23	23.74	9.32	12.32	11.94	16.91	13.15	15.80	11.68	7.41
		R-2	13.06	15.16	19.67	14.62	10.24	10.23	19.96	12.09	13.39	14.70	16.67	18.48	10.33	12.46	12.88	16.87
		R-3	9.02	7.91	10.56	11.23	18.03	10.82	10.65	6.36	4.94	11.86	16.41	13.45	11.60	9.33	14.87	7.18
		R-4	15.13	11.63	8.77	10.94	12.88	13.32	18.44	10.45	16.91	17.89	9.75	16.59	19.56	11.64	15.99	8.22
	Salinity	R-1	3.19	3.57	6.53	10.20	4.84	3.82	6.86	9.63	6.86	9.43	9.28	7.68	11.04	3.61	11.60	10.08
		R-2	5.34	8.02	8.66	6.15	3.75	5.05	4.64	5.43	4.59	4.65	7.84	10.87	12.23	6.78	7.47	3.87
		R-3	3.91	3.29	5.66	7.83	6.03	5.22	6.12	10.45	8.44	8.88	7.90	7.45	9.78	3.96	7.34	8.48
		R-4	4.26	5.24	5.30	7.66	10.39	6.66	4.74	8.94	12.87	3.88	7.84	4.81	6.13	3.82	6.30	6.30
Leaves dry weight/plant (g)	Normal	R-1	16.37	5.24	17.50	18.97	18.45	16.97	12.83	23.42	21.89	9.61	14.85	20.97	14.47	23.49	15.23	14.57
		R-2	15.76	20.36	19.17	18.16	12.42	12.83	22.92	17.69	15.34	20.86	16.81	19.84	17.38	15.54	16.80	19.41
		R-3	9.69	9.13	16.83	12.31	15.24	10.85	11.45	6.74	6.90	13.41	18.77	16.94	11.89	11.18	22.85	9.46
		R-4	18.90	10.32	10.56	14.44	12.66	17.89	14.19	15.82	19.39	21.82	10.77	19.67	22.12	15.09	16.21	11.98
	Salinity	R-1	2.88	6.31	6.72	11.74	5.66	3.86	7.30	7.68	4.88	7.98	11.90	7.01	13.03	3.80	12.09	14.79
		R-2	5.89	10.72	13.23	8.03	4.57	5.32	3.66	7.86	5.64	4.79	9.29	8.86	14.56	8.53	7.81	5.49
		R-3	5.43	4.32	6.72	8.20	4.38	5.66	9.02	9.85	12.68	8.76	10.87	8.32	13.95	6.05	7.64	7.82
		R-4	6.34	6.51	6.05	8.34	12.27	8.82	5.49	12.79	16.22	13.90	8.76	5.63	6.97	4.39	9.08	5.41

Table 9. Continued

Studied characters	salinity level	Rice biom	**Genotypes														LSD 0.050	
			G1	G-2	G-3	G-4	G-6	G-6	G-7	G-8	G-9	G-10	G-11	G-12	G-13	G-14	G-15	
Nodules dry weight/plant (g)	Normal	R-1	0.21	0.07	0.69	0.45	0.12	0.55	0.05	0.64	0.43	0.21	0.22	0.27	0.19	0.59	0.38	0.27
		R-2	0.34	0.58	0.53	0.36	0.57	0.89	0.32	0.36	0.31	0.20	0.44	0.50	0.48	0.74	0.53	0.64
		R-3	0.28	0.40	0.47	0.31	0.44	0.06	0.89	0.23	0.49	0.41	0.33	0.15	0.58	0.38	0.25	0.28
		R-4	0.25	0.30	0.29	0.30	0.77	0.16	0.16	0.39	0.26	0.79	0.19	0.40	0.26	0.79	0.10	0.24
	Salinity	R-1	0.55	0.01	0.04	0.11	0.13	0.00	0.34	0.23	0.67	0.26	0.01	0.01	0.20	0.00	0.11	0.75
		R-2	0.03	0.27	0.19	0.10	0.00	0.29	0.01	0.31	0.25	0.13	0.55	0.13	0.78	0.16	0.39	0.85
		R-3	0.00	0.00	0.37	0.15	0.05	0.06	0.01	0.44	0.04	0.45	0.00	0.20	0.09	0.00	0.00	0.88
		R-4	0.54	0.23	0.00	0.72	0.00	0.13	0.00	1.31	0.34	0.02	0.02	0.88	0.12	0.33	0.03	0.14
Roots dry weight/plant (g)	Normal	R-1	2.10	1.20	3.55	4.39	2.79	2.24	2.20	5.07	3.34	2.04	2.20	2.74	3.05	2.84	2.62	2.93
		R-2	3.48	2.66	3.99	3.45	3.15	3.73	4.30	2.62	4.39	3.77	3.96	3.34	3.35	4.13	3.04	3.20
		R-3	2.06	3.63	3.60	4.11	2.15	1.96	1.79	1.86	3.19	2.56	2.97	1.92	2.31	2.13	3.55	1.45
		R-4	3.81	2.62	3.37	3.35	2.78	2.84	2.91	2.51	3.27	3.68	2.57	3.08	2.73	4.32	4.45	1.66
	Salinity	R-1	2.22	0.79	2.11	2.81	1.86	1.50	2.34	1.79	1.95	1.82	2.88	1.96	3.12	1.97	2.22	3.23
		R-2	1.83	2.05	2.06	2.39	1.54	1.55	1.83	2.03	1.86	1.67	2.49	2.66	3.56	2.82	1.87	1.78
		R-3	1.45	1.99	2.16	2.05	1.68	2.11	2.54	2.22	4.86	2.06	2.58	2.56	3.04	1.55	2.46	2.18
		R-4	1.69	1.30	1.77	2.12	2.87	2.42	1.47	2.58	3.24	1.50	1.96	1.36	1.64	2.13	2.64	1.97

**Table 10. Response of sixteen faba bean genotypes to normal and saline soils and Rizobium inoculation for recorded characters at different growth stages during 2004/2005 season**

Studied characters	salinity level	* Rizo blum	**Genotypes														LSD 0.050	
			G1	G-2	G-3	G-4	G-5	G-6	G-7	G-8	G-9	G-10	G-11	G-12	G-13	G-14	G-15	
First sample (53 days from sowing)																		
Number of nodules/plant	Normal	R-1	18.67	9.00	18.67	39.67	22.00	20.33	26.00	22.00	14.67	24.67	30.00	29.33	40.33	19.67	18.00	45.00
		R-2	35.00	40.33	42.00	30.67	25.67	27.33	32.67	21.33	19.00	25.67	20.33	44.00	23.67	28.67	36.00	30.67
		R-3	19.67	9.33	20.00	25.67	14.33	14.33	16.00	10.00	12.00	36.00	15.00	16.00	23.00	33.00	30.33	13.00
		R-4	45.67	17.33	14.33	8.67	13.33	12.00	20.67	19.33	17.33	27.33	20.67	33.00	20.33	27.00	24.33	29.67
Number of nodules/plant	Salinity	R-1	13.33	8.67	16.67	12.33	19.33	15.00	13.67	9.67	14.00	17.33	26.00	25.00	37.00	16.33	40.00	31.67
		R-2	31.00	22.67	18.00	23.67	14.00	13.33	23.67	19.00	13.33	33.00	26.33	10.00	17.33	21.67	20.00	20.67
		R-3	6.00	14.67	2.67	22.33	11.00	8.00	20.33	19.33	9.00	24.33	21.33	11.67	27.67	11.33	41.33	27.00
		R-4	26.00	28.00	18.67	25.33	19.67	13.67	8.00	14.00	20.67	25.00	19.67	20.33	10.00	10.33	12.33	15.00
Stems dry weight/plant (g)	Normal	R-1	0.99	1.00	1.11	0.91	1.15	1.35	0.76	1.19	1.18	1.24	1.70	1.16	1.12	1.08	1.20	1.73
		R-2	0.55	0.81	0.94	0.76	0.63	1.09	1.20	0.86	1.18	1.35	1.32	0.40	1.13	1.38	1.03	1.22
		R-3	0.81	0.48	1.04	0.75	1.04	1.25	1.12	0.56	1.18	0.70	1.16	1.29	1.18	1.24	1.49	1.03
		R-4	0.83	0.61	0.94	0.94	0.74	1.53	0.94	0.78	0.88	0.96	1.28	0.99	0.66	0.96	0.79	0.71
Stems dry weight/plant (g)	Salinity	R-1	0.63	0.72	1.06	0.75	0.65	1.12	0.61	1.13	1.17	0.81	0.75	0.90	0.86	0.89	1.13	1.21
		R-2	1.46	0.87	0.95	0.74	1.00	0.76	1.01	1.03	0.84	0.62	1.06	1.13	0.95	0.91	1.13	1.07
		R-3	0.87	0.83	0.73	0.72	0.51	1.03	0.75	0.66	0.94	0.94	0.71	0.76	0.47	1.04	0.61	0.65
		R-4	1.52	0.77	0.90	0.77	0.69	0.88	0.70	0.93	0.87	0.86	0.65	0.95	0.85	0.55	0.64	0.87
Leaves dry weight/plant (g)	Normal	R-1	1.43	0.93	1.71	1.53	1.20	1.88	1.30	1.50	1.39	1.94	1.84	1.37	1.43	1.33	1.49	2.17
		R-2	1.95	1.07	1.03	1.03	1.09	1.29	1.39	0.81	0.92	1.58	1.21	0.56	0.92	1.10	1.62	1.07
		R-3	1.01	0.60	1.09	1.54	1.75	1.30	1.40	1.19	1.74	1.02	1.63	1.60	0.94	1.26	2.03	0.82
		R-4	2.04	1.24	1.60	1.12	1.52	1.72	1.34	0.87	1.23	1.37	1.39	1.17	1.38	1.00	0.93	0.98
Leaves dry weight/plant (g)	Salinity	R-1	1.13	0.69	1.46	0.94	0.79	1.40	1.17	0.75	1.13	1.05	0.88	1.32	0.90	1.12	0.56	1.12
		R-2	1.27	0.88	0.90	0.67	0.82	0.46	1.08	0.79	0.79	0.75	1.38	1.11	0.84	0.64	1.07	1.27
		R-3	0.99	0.50	0.53	0.58	0.77	1.12	1.28	1.32	0.66	0.62	1.03	0.88	0.96	0.82	1.03	0.75
		R-4	0.65	0.93	0.80	0.81	1.13	1.01	0.91	1.00	1.25	1.09	1.01	1.05	0.73	1.22	0.86	1.04

**Table 10. Cont.**

Studied characters	salinity level	Rizobium	**Genotypes												LSD 0.050			
			G1	G-2	G-3	G-4	G-5	G-6	G-7	G-8	G-9	G-10	G-11	G-12	G-13	G-14	G-15	G-16
Nodules dry weight/plant (g)	Normal	R-1	0.36	0.17	0.30	0.16	0.21	0.18	0.16	0.20	0.26	0.19	0.18	0.22	0.19	0.19	0.39	0.24
		R-2	0.21	0.13	0.19	0.16	0.16	0.14	0.25	0.16	0.18	0.15	0.24	0.18	0.16	0.27	0.12	
		R-3	0.17	0.10	0.09	0.09	0.12	0.15	0.15	0.08	0.11	0.18	0.19	0.27	0.19	0.18	0.19	0.14
		R-4	0.16	0.18	0.22	0.13	0.13	0.16	0.14	0.14	0.12	0.14	0.10	0.38	0.16	0.20	0.20	0.12
	Salinity	R-1	0.22	0.08	0.17	0.14	0.18	0.15	0.08	0.09	0.17	0.14	0.14	0.14	0.15	0.13	0.16	0.10
		R-2	0.20	0.17	0.37	0.15	0.19	0.22	0.16	0.11	0.11	0.14	0.21	0.06	0.19	0.13	0.22	0.24
		R-3	0.12	0.15	0.04	0.21	0.12	0.13	0.11	0.16	0.09	0.14	0.23	0.17	0.09	0.17	0.16	0.10
		R-4	0.31	0.11	0.21	0.16	0.14	0.20	0.11	0.15	0.26	0.20	0.13	0.26	0.12	0.14	0.16	0.23
Roots dry weight/plant (g)	Normal	R-1	0.50	0.28	0.57	0.59	0.45	0.63	0.44	0.54	0.53	0.56	0.59	0.56	0.56	0.34	0.59	0.61
		R-2	0.57	0.31	0.31	0.36	0.32	0.43	0.50	0.31	0.31	0.47	0.55	0.51	0.41	0.36	0.56	0.47
		R-3	0.35	0.36	0.41	0.41	0.60	0.38	0.41	0.32	0.56	0.39	0.45	0.52	0.52	0.48	0.70	0.34
		R-4	0.59	0.31	0.38	0.35	0.28	0.34	0.46	0.27	0.49	0.44	0.46	0.27	0.38	0.34	0.31	0.28
	Salinity	R-1	0.43	0.26	0.38	0.43	0.34	0.50	0.41	0.38	0.43	0.47	0.59	0.44	0.54	0.30	0.58	0.46
		R-2	0.25	0.28	0.26	0.39	0.40	0.26	0.37	0.28	0.38	0.25	0.50	0.28	0.32	0.25	0.49	0.50
		R-3	0.44	0.31	0.20	0.33	0.47	0.31	0.24	0.35	0.23	0.40	0.41	0.44	0.26	0.34	0.50	0.26
		R-4	0.41	0.31	0.31	0.38	0.36	0.38	0.32	0.41	0.47	0.42	0.58	0.39	0.49	0.31	0.35	0.41

Table 10. Cont.

Studied characters	salinity level	* Rizobium	Second sample (84 days from sowing)													LSD 0.050		
			**Genotypes															
			G-1	G-2	G-3	G-4	G-5	G-6	G-7	G-8	G-9	G-10	G-11	G-12	G-13	G-14	G-15	G-16
Number of nodules/plant	Normal	R-1	31.33	19.33	37.33	62.67	34.67	50.00	41.33	40.67	29.33	60.67	77.00	47.00	85.67	34.67	67.00	75.33
		R-2	63.67	51.67	57.33	46.00	19.00	43.00	47.33	27.00	33.00	33.33	39.33	59.67	41.67	42.67	54.67	44.33
		R-3	29.67	15.67	38.33	45.00	20.33	24.67	33.00	29.67	28.33	68.00	31.67	28.00	39.67	44.33	47.67	31.00
		R-4	70.00	46.00	35.33	41.33	40.67	25.67	28.33	39.33	28.33	46.00	31.33	100.33	35.00	44.33	76.00	47.33
	Salinity	R-1	30.00	15.33	31.33	19.67	32.67	46.67	32.00	15.00	27.33	25.00	54.33	45.00	48.67	27.67	40.33	50.67
		R-2	59.67	30.67	31.33	49.33	36.00	18.00	40.00	35.00	21.33	41.67	32.33	18.00	36.00	38.33	37.67	54.33
		R-3	15.67	28.00	14.33	38.33	14.33	18.00	36.33	43.67	28.00	34.33	24.67	23.00	41.00	16.00	63.67	46.67
		R-4	59.67	38.67	20.33	23.67	22.00	24.00	12.00	37.00	39.00	40.33	33.00	34.67	18.00	16.67	16.00	26.00
Stems dry weight/plant (g)	Normal	R-1	3.53	2.38	3.11	3.92	3.70	4.36	3.40	3.54	4.73	3.64	6.47	2.82	3.97	3.64	4.94	3.04
		R-2	4.76	2.34	3.34	3.54	2.78	2.88	4.89	3.49	3.03	2.57	4.39	2.54	3.44	4.30	3.44	2.93
		R-3	2.74	2.73	2.81	4.72	3.18	3.39	2.43	3.74	2.63	3.29	3.56	2.82	2.74	4.44	5.02	3.45
		R-4	4.41	2.03	3.79	2.38	3.05	4.04	2.41	3.21	3.27	3.18	3.21	1.75	2.93	1.95	2.70	2.90
	Salinity	R-1	2.54	1.70	1.84	2.28	3.16	2.99	2.77	2.39	1.70	2.01	1.99	2.40	2.44	1.53	1.80	1.80
		R-2	3.18	2.21	3.28	1.45	1.78	2.01	3.28	2.60	1.79	1.93	2.69	2.42	3.16	2.43	4.30	3.55
		R-3	0.90	1.14	1.64	1.74	1.27	2.37	1.83	3.28	2.58	2.03	1.59	2.75	1.47	2.45	2.54	2.38
		R-4	1.54	1.32	1.54	1.72	1.65	1.75	1.17	3.30	2.32	1.87	3.38	2.30	2.33	2.05	2.90	2.39
Leaves dry weight/plant (g)	Normal	R-1	4.11	1.52	2.99	3.61	2.72	4.32	3.82	3.03	5.28	4.67	6.75	2.88	3.90	3.93	5.39	3.25
		R-2	5.51	2.08	3.33	3.39	2.69	3.08	3.61	3.03	2.93	2.68	4.56	2.85	3.43	4.68	3.16	3.29
		R-3	2.61	2.48	2.34	5.24	3.13	4.04	2.55	4.08	3.49	4.01	3.63	2.94	3.44	3.60	5.04	3.88
		R-4	3.39	2.81	3.45	2.36	2.46	4.13	2.48	3.92	3.39	3.12	4.17	2.45	3.10	2.19	2.65	2.58
	Salinity	R-1	2.73	2.34	2.79	2.28	1.34	3.41	2.55	2.10	2.05	2.58	2.27	2.36	2.42	1.78	2.72	2.03
		R-2	3.54	3.05	2.99	1.35	2.38	2.73	2.87	2.41	2.76	1.87	3.42	3.32	2.43	2.63	4.43	3.42
		R-3	1.75	1.25	1.95	1.28	1.76	2.01	2.46	2.64	2.24	1.76	1.56	2.43	1.94	1.53	2.43	2.28
		R-4	2.53	1.52	2.24	2.05	1.97	1.74	1.61	4.00	2.70	2.38	3.66	2.35	2.63	2.40	3.58	3.95

**Table10. Cont.**

Studied characters	salinity level	biom	**Genotypes												LSD 0.050			
			G1	G-2	G-3	G-4	G-5	G-6	G-7	G-8	G-9	G-10	G-11	G-12	G-13	G-14	G-15	G-16
Nodules dry weight/plant (g)	Normal	R-1	0.48	0.28	0.46	0.60	0.44	0.50	0.61	0.76	0.48	0.70	0.71	0.52	0.58	0.59	0.97	0.34
		R-2	0.51	0.32	1.17	0.62	0.29	0.37	0.50	0.35	0.38	0.55	0.45	0.82	0.38	0.40	0.98	0.78
		R-3	0.30	0.22	0.23	0.51	0.27	0.39	0.21	0.28	0.28	0.30	0.49	0.68	0.27	0.55	0.67	0.38
		R-4	0.74	0.32	0.65	0.35	0.38	0.22	0.35	0.27	0.32	0.25	0.29	0.95	0.33	0.34	0.43	0.70
	Salinity	R-1	0.45	0.26	0.33	0.31	0.36	0.35	0.34	0.25	0.44	0.40	0.47	0.33	0.87	0.42	0.46	0.30
		R-2	0.27	0.18	0.28	0.43	0.25	0.28	0.34	0.28	0.43	0.28	0.33	0.20	0.41	0.62	0.36	0.89
		R-3	0.40	0.19	0.54	0.33	0.24	0.24	0.32	0.40	0.33	0.30	1.20	0.29	0.51	0.41	0.37	0.16
		R-4	0.29	0.36	0.48	0.31	0.25	0.35	0.23	0.40	0.83	0.86	0.58	0.46	0.33	0.63	0.96	0.46
Roots dry weight/plant (g)	Normal	R-1	0.86	0.54	1.42	1.23	0.84	1.18	0.98	0.92	1.23	1.11	1.31	0.91	1.28	0.92	1.46	1.29
		R-2	1.09	0.65	0.74	1.03	1.10	0.66	0.70	1.32	0.69	0.58	1.12	0.69	0.81	0.92	1.11	0.92
		R-3	0.65	1.26	0.67	1.12	0.85	0.96	0.90	0.94	0.74	0.85	1.20	0.75	0.94	0.79	1.34	0.88
		R-4	0.86	0.57	0.79	0.56	0.53	0.85	0.71	0.78	0.81	0.96	0.77	0.62	0.67	0.61	0.63	0.63
	Salinity	R-1	0.57	0.46	0.97	0.71	0.41	1.17	0.83	0.85	0.83	0.82	0.96	0.66	1.11	0.76	1.08	0.69
		R-2	0.74	0.48	0.60	1.13	0.58	0.50	0.96	0.84	0.63	0.49	1.03	0.58	0.79	0.68	1.33	0.75
		R-3	1.28	0.61	0.41	0.85	1.20	0.61	0.64	0.63	0.81	0.50	0.56	0.80	0.50	0.75	0.69	0.41
		R-4	0.73	0.48	0.47	0.52	0.69	0.44	0.55	0.76	0.83	0.83	0.88	0.51	0.77	0.55	0.83	1.05

Table 10. Cont.

Studied characters	salinity level	* Rice Name	Third sample (112 days from sowing)													LSD 0.05			
			**Genotypes																
			G-1	G-2	G-3	G-4	G-5	G-6	G-7	G-8	G-9	G-10	G-11	G-12	G-13	G-14	G-15	G-16	
Number of nodules/plant	Normal	R-1	24.60	11.00	14.67	12.67	17.67	24.67	7.33	39.67	20.33	18.67	24.33	30.67	33.33	48.00	41.03	79.67	
		R-2	91.33	51.33	59.67	66.67	5.00	5.33	44.00	40.00	31.33	44.67	58.00	32.33	43.67	47.67	25.67	69.33	
		R-3	29.67	12.00	7.67	37.67	32.00	68.33	11.00	12.67	14.00	66.67	25.00	20.00	68.67	15.00	36.33	65.67	
		R-4	46.67	55.33	44.00	30.00	13.67	6.67	18.00	14.00	16.33	64.67	57.00	63.00	41.67	29.67	38.67	32.00	
	Salinity	R-1	8.00	8.00	3.67	4.67	2.00	24.00	7.33	3.00	18.00	17.00	18.33	49.00	14.00	5.33	34.67	71.80	19.41
		R-2	21.67	37.33	36.00	36.67	15.67	14.67	38.00	28.67	18.00	52.33	26.00	29.33	20.00	18.00	39.67	34.67	
		R-3	43.67	3.67	1.00	4.33	2.33	15.33	13.00	9.00	9.33	7.67	23.67	26.00	41.67	36.33	43.67	42.00	
		R-4	21.00	18.67	16.67	23.67	32.00	6.67	18.00	16.33	13.67	11.33	44.00	19.67	18.00	12.67	17.00	25.33	
Stems dry weight/plant (g)	Normal	R-1	4.84	5.30	5.07	6.70	9.94	8.10	5.79	8.27	6.41	7.76	7.37	7.82	5.88	5.64	8.33	8.43	
		R-2	8.55	4.03	4.28	5.38	3.33	3.90	6.10	6.57	5.01	6.10	4.77	3.58	5.83	5.30	4.24	4.65	
		R-3	4.72	3.33	3.44	6.16	8.19	8.06	3.87	10.01	4.80	5.34	4.72	4.57	4.14	5.43	6.80	6.11	
		R-4	5.96	6.05	5.99	2.97	5.34	4.53	3.65	5.45	6.89	5.61	4.82	2.60	4.76	5.28	4.14	3.37	
	Salinity	R-1	4.99	3.53	4.83	3.09	4.76	5.05	4.64	2.76	4.37	2.86	3.88	4.66	4.26	3.66	5.43	3.13	1.74
		R-2	4.22	5.44	4.40	6.38	3.27	4.05	3.63	3.37	4.99	3.73	5.30	5.08	4.34	3.16	7.61	5.80	
		R-3	1.88	2.98	3.00	3.13	3.76	3.13	3.29	4.57	3.65	3.38	3.11	3.28	2.53	3.38	2.90	4.27	
		R-4	1.91	4.89	2.47	2.96	2.64	2.75	3.20	3.61	3.23	6.16	5.65	2.80	4.83	3.00	3.66	3.83	
Leaves dry weight/plant (g)	Normal	R-1	9.44	9.85	10.67	9.95	8.69	9.53	9.48	8.81	9.34	9.99	8.83	9.25	7.68	8.83	11.64	9.16	
		R-2	8.95	10.27	7.06	11.93	8.98	8.13	9.74	9.82	9.09	8.14	9.80	10.56	9.67	7.44	12.56	11.02	
		R-3	10.99	8.14	7.35	11.56	14.28	12.59	9.23	13.24	10.73	10.94	8.23	8.88	6.19	9.85	12.64	10.03	
		R-4	10.28	10.19	10.81	8.94	9.06	7.95	8.33	10.27	11.39	7.75	9.01	7.94	8.80	9.68	9.39	8.30	
	Salinity	R-1	6.51	2.99	4.63	5.51	6.71	6.82	5.98	6.29	6.76	6.43	8.48	6.69	5.72	6.72	7.66	8.30	1.66
		R-2	5.80	3.21	4.60	4.03	4.07	4.37	5.51	4.97	5.04	6.39	5.41	3.78	5.94	9.44	9.52	9.75	
		R-3	8.02	8.12	6.93	7.14	8.81	7.16	7.41	8.57	7.78	10.03	9.06	8.16	10.48	9.10	8.19	8.94	
		R-4	7.83	9.52	7.34	9.18	8.74	7.83	9.98	9.41	7.45	11.31	7.93	8.12	8.91	8.53	9.88	9.30	

**Table10. Continued**

Studied characters	salinity level	* Rizo blum	**Genotypes												LSD			
			G1	G-2	G-3	G-4	G-5	G-6	G-7	G-8	G-9	G-10	G-11	G-12	G-13	G-14	G-15	G-16
Nodules dry weight/plant (g)	Normal	R-1	0.42	1.21	0.35	0.09	0.32	0.27	0.20	0.42	0.35	0.45	0.48	0.92	0.19	0.46	1.02	0.94
		R-2	0.79	0.70	0.71	0.66	0.67	0.54	0.81	0.43	0.31	0.64	0.28	0.35	0.91	0.18	0.33	0.61
		R-3	0.47	0.27	0.25	0.73	0.41	0.93	0.69	0.67	0.17	0.89	0.62	0.64	0.83	0.19	0.59	0.82
		R-4	0.71	0.52	0.75	0.96	0.16	0.07	0.30	0.51	0.49	0.85	0.78	0.86	0.88	0.39	0.52	0.26
	Salinity	R-1	0.27	0.29	0.05	0.88	0.82	0.26	0.12	0.05	0.35	0.44	0.31	0.60	0.16	0.26	0.60	0.81
		R-2	0.14	0.30	0.46	0.46	0.97	0.09	0.28	0.19	0.47	0.32	0.79	0.17	0.74	0.29	0.86	0.41
		R-3	0.50	0.83	0.19	0.83	0.81	0.24	0.22	1.24	0.89	0.31	0.29	0.42	0.75	0.36	0.42	0.63
		R-4	0.97	0.88	0.36	0.23	0.32	0.81	0.30	0.56	0.26	0.17	0.47	0.18	0.37	0.32	0.23	0.16
Roots dry weight/plant (g)	Normal	R-1	1.34	0.95	1.80	1.62	1.46	1.78	1.58	1.59	1.48	1.66	2.11	1.79	1.87	1.32	2.41	1.14
		R-2	1.60	1.21	1.30	1.77	1.67	1.35	1.29	1.60	1.33	1.32	1.60	1.05	1.43	1.35	1.39	1.13
		R-3	1.26	1.55	1.14	1.99	1.72	2.22	1.79	1.60	1.32	1.45	1.69	1.13	1.03	1.32	1.75	1.30
		R-4	1.45	0.99	1.07	1.12	1.28	0.95	1.13	1.46	1.11	1.42	0.95	1.01	0.93	1.52	0.96	0.88
	Salinity	R-1	0.75	0.85	1.44	1.87	1.03	1.71	0.90	1.41	1.46	1.17	1.23	1.54	1.63	1.11	1.48	1.78
		R-2	1.06	1.81	1.05	1.65	0.88	0.97	1.41	1.27	1.30	0.65	1.63	1.03	1.42	0.80	1.75	1.75
		R-3	1.53	1.54	1.40	1.61	1.61	1.25	1.48	1.19	0.87	0.92	1.27	1.12	1.08	1.78	1.15	1.17
		R-4	1.48	0.92	1.03	0.85	1.03	1.51	1.87	1.00	1.06	1.32	1.42	0.69	1.66	0.86	1.37	1.89

\* Rizobium inoculation : R1 - Control ; R2- F.B. ARC 200, R3 - F.B. ARC 2001 , R4 - F.B. TCARDA (ARC) 448

\*\*Genotypes:

Varieties: G1-Sakha 2, G2-Giza40, G3-Giza 429, G4-Giza 3, G5-Nabarla 1, G6-Giza 843, G7-Giza 716

Lines: G8-L. 284, G9-L. 258, G10-L. 244, G11-L. 252, G12-L. 278, G13-L. 332, G14-L. 336, G15-L.285, G16-L.163

weight/plant, respectively, at the third sample. Plants inoculated with ARC weight/plant, respectively, at the third sample. Plants inoculated with ARC showed superiority under soil salinity conditions with the promising lines no. 11 and 8 for nodule dry weight/plant at the second and third samples, respectively. Plants inoculated by strains ICARDA 448 (R4) and ARC 200 (R2) had the highest mean values with Sakha 2cv. for no. of nodule/plant at the first and third samples, respectively.

In general, in the both seasons results showed that high values were obtained from mutant lines R8, 11 and 18 under normal soil, as well as control treatment of *Rhizogium* inoculation. On the other hand, Sakha 2 cv. and line R8, 8 gave the highest values under soil salinity conditions and control treatment of *Rhizobium* inoculation. Finally, evidence presented here suggests the need for selecting faba bean genotypes that are tolerant to salt stress for breeding programs in order to improve the symbiotic performance of *F. Leguminosum* b10var. Vicias.

#### Correlation analysis

Estimates of simple correlation coefficients between seed yield/plant and studied characters across all treatments at different growth stages under normal and saline soils across two seasons are presented in Table 1. The results obtained under normal soil conditions revealed that seed yield/plant was positively and highly significant correlated with root dry weight/plant at the first sample, with all studied characters at the second sample and with stem, leaf and root dry weight/plant at the third sample. Under salinity soil conditions, the results indicated not significant correlated were found between seed yield/plant and all studied characters at the three samples except stem and root dry weight/plant at the third sample. These results agreed with those of Amer (1986), Saad and El - Kholy (2000) and Hafiz and El - Kholy (2000).

**Table 1.** Simple correlation coefficients between seed yield/plant and studied characters across all treatments at different growth stages under normal and saline soils across two seasons

Studied characters	Normal soil		
	Sample 1 Sample 1	Sample 2 Sample 2	Sample 3 Sample 3
Number of nodules/plant	0.167	NS	-0.008 NS
Number of nodules/plant	0.167	NS	-0.008 NS
Stem dry weight/plant (g)	-0.163	NS	0.478 **
Leaf dry weight/plant (g)	-0.163	NS	0.478 **
Leaf dry weight/plant (g)	-0.122	NS	0.541 **
Leaf dry weight/plant (g)	0.122	NS	0.540 **
Nodule dry weight/plant (g)	0.038	NS	0.347 **
Nodule dry weight/plant (g)	0.038	NS	0.347 **
Root dry weight/plant (g)	0.342	**	0.514 **
Root dry weight/plant (g)	0.342	**	0.514 **
Saline soil			
Number of nodules/plant	0.011	NS	-0.045 NS
Number of nodules/plant	0.011	NS	-0.045 NS
Stem dry weight/plant (g)	-0.120	NS	0.463 NS
Leaf dry weight/plant (g)	-0.120	NS	0.463 NS
Leaf dry weight/plant (g)	-0.112	NS	0.479 NS
Leaf dry weight/plant (g)	-0.112	NS	0.479 NS
Nodule dry weight/plant (g)	0.026	NS	0.131 NS
Nodule dry weight/plant (g)	0.026	NS	0.131 NS
Root dry weight/plant (g)	0.082	NS	0.090 NS
Root dry weight/plant (g)	0.082	NS	0.090 NS

## REFERENCES

- Abo El-Kheir, M.S.A., A.A.Abo-Ellil and H.A.El-Zein (2000). Effect of water stress at different growth stages on three faba bean cultivars. J.Agric. Sic., Mansoura Univ. 25 (3):1485-1493.
- Ahmed, M.A. (1994 a). Effect of nitrogen fertilizer level on yield and its components of some faba bean varieties *Vicia faba* L. Egypt J. Appl Sci. 9(12):747-758.
- Ahmed, M.A. (1994b).Growth response of some faba bean (*Vicia faba*, L.).Varieties for nitrogen fertilization. Egypt J.Appl. Sci. 9(12):759-769.
- Ahmed, M.A., M.S. Haasanein and Nabil, M. Zaki (1997). Yield capacity of some faba bean varieties *Vicia faba* L. Egypt J. Appl Sci. 12(1):134-154.
- Alexander, M., (1984). Ecology of *Rhizobium*. In Alexander, M., (Ed.), Biological Nitrogen Fixation: Ecology. Technology and Physiology. Plenum Press, New York :39-50.
- Alghamdi, S.S. and A.K.Ali (2004). Performance of several newly bred faba bean lines. Egypt J. Plant Breed. 8:189-200.
- AL-Tahir, O.A. and M.A. AL-Abdulsalam (1997).Growth of faba bean (*Vicia faba* L.) as influenced by irrigation water salinity and time of salinization. Agric. Water Manag. 34:161-167.
- Amer, M.I.A. (1986). Effect of some agronomic practices productivity of some faba bean varieties. Ph.D. Thesis, Fac of agric., Zagazig Univ., Egypt.
- Armanios, R.R.,Y.B. Besada and R.Y. Rizk (1996). The potentiality of two symbionts (*Rhizobium* and VA- Mycorrhiza) on the growth of faba bean. Egypt J. Appl Sci. 11(11).
- Berg, J.E. and N.C. Turner (1976). Crop water deficits. Adv. Agron. 28:161-217.
- Cordovilla M.P., F.C. Ligero and L.C. Luch (1994). The effect of salinity on N fixation and assimilation in *Vicia faba*. J. of Exper. Bot. 27:1483 -1488
- Cordovilla M.P., F.C. Ligero and L.C. Luch (1995). Influence of host genotypes on growth, symbiotic performance and nitrogen assimilation in faba bean *Vicia faba* L. under salt stress, Plant and Soil 172:289-297.
- Cordovilla, M. Del P., A. Ocana, F. Liger and L.C. Luch, (1996). Growth and symbiotic performance of faba bean inoculated with *Rhizobium legumeinosarum* biovar. *Vicia* strains tolerant to salt. Soil Sci. Plant Nutr.42 (1):133-140.
- Cordovilla, M. Del. P., F. Liger and L.C. Luch (1999). Effect of salinity on growth, nodulation and nitrogen assimilation in nodules of faba bean (*Vicia faba* L.). Applied-Soil-Ecology 11(11):1-7.
- Darwish, D.S., M.M.F. Abdalla, S.A. Mahmoud and T.S. Elmarsafawy (2003). Investigations on faba beans *Vicia faba* L. 18- Performance of some breeding material in saline – affected soils. Egypt J. Plant Breed. 7(1): 347-361.
- El-Khawaga, A.A.H, M.M. EL-Naggar, A.A.A. Assey and Yousrya, S.A. Metwally (2003). Influence of *Rhizobial* inoculation and nitrogen,

- phosphorus biochemical fertilization regimes on growth and nodulation of two faba bean cultivars. Zagazig J. Agric. Res. 30 (3): 651-675.
- Emara, H.A., A.A. Abo EL- Soud, Nabila M. Radwan and S.A. EL-Sayed (2002).** Faba bean yield response to *Rhizobial* inoculation, plant-growth promoting rhizobacteria and yeast. J. Agric Sci. Mansoura Univ. 27(5):3477-3483.
- Hafiz, S.I. and M.A. EL- Kholy (2000).** Response of two lupine cultivars to phosphorus fertilization and foliar application of potassium and magnesium. Egypt. J. Agron. 22 : 85-105.
- Hamaoui ,B. , J. M. Abbadi, S. Burdman, Adnan-Rashid, S. Sarig and Y. Okon, (2001) .** Effect of inoculation with *Azospirillum brasiliense* on (*Cicer arietinum*) and faba bean (*Vicia faba*) under different growth conditions. Egypt. J. Agron 21(617): 553-560.
- Hassanein, M.S. (2000).** Response of some faba bean varieties to water supply. Annals. of Agric.Sci. , Moshtohor 38(3):1383-1398.
- Hussein A.H.A.; S.A. Saleh; M.A., EL-Deeb and W. Kadry (1997).** Effect of *Rhizobium* inoculation, phosphorus and potassium fertilization on growth nodulation and yield of faba bean cultivated in the newly reclaimed soils of middle Egypt. Bull. Fac. Agric. Univ. Cairo 48:201-214.
- Kassab, O.M. (2004).** Response of some faba bean varieties to water stress at some growth stages. Egypt. J. Appl. Sci. 19(5)111-120.
- Katerji N., J. W. van Hoorn, A. Hamdy and M. Mastrorilli (2001).** Salt tolerance of crops according to three classification methods and examination of some hypotheses about salt tolerance. Agricultural Water Management 47:1-8.
- Monib, M., M. Higazy, M.E. Hassan and A.A. Ragab (1994).** Possibility of magnifying broad bean productivity in fertile Nile Valley soils. Annals Agric. Sci., Ain Shams Univ., Cairo 39(1): 53-63.
- Omar S.A. (2003).** Breeding faba bean for environmental stress conditions. 1- Selection under water stress, heritability and drought susceptibility index. Egypt J. Plant Breed. 7(1): 77-89.
- Saad, A.O.M., and M.A. El- Kholy (2000).** Response of some faba bean cultivars to phosphorus and magnesium fertilization. Egypt. J. Agron. 22 : 19-38.
- Saleh, S.A., M.A. EL-Deeb and A.A. Ragab (2000).** Response of faba bean (*Vicia faba* L.) to *Rhizobium* inoculation as affected by nitrogen and phosphorus fertilization . Bull. Fac. Agric., Cairo Univ. 51:17-30.
- Shalhevett, J. and Th. C. Hsiao (1986).** Salinity and drought. A comparison of their effect on osmotic adjustment, assimilation, transpiration and growth. Irrig. Sci. 7:249-264.
- Snedecor, G.W. and W. G. Cochran (1992).** Statistical Methods. 8<sup>th</sup> Ed. Iowa State Univ., Press, Ames. Iowa, USA.
- Yousef A.N. and J.I. Sprent (1983).** Effect of NaCl on growth, nitrogen incorporation and chemical composition of inoculated and NH<sub>4</sub> NO<sub>3</sub> fertilized *Vicia faba* L. plants. J. Exp. Bot. 143 :941-950.

# استجابة صفات النمو لبعض التراكيب الوراثية من القول البالدى للسعف بالريزوبىم في أراضى متلأة بالملوحة

عطف محمد طلبة<sup>١</sup> - فوزى سيد عبد السميع<sup>٢</sup>

- ١- قسم المحاصيل - كلية الزراعة - جامعة عين شمس - شبرا الخيمة - القاهرة
- ٢- قسم المحاصيل - كلية الزراعة - جامعة الفيوم - الفيوم

- نفتلت أربع تجارب مطرقة خلال موسم ٢٠٠٤ / ٢٠٠٥ و ٢٠٠٥ / ٢٠٠٦ بمختبر بحوث دمو - القاهرة  
لكلية الزراعة - جامعة الفيوم. دراسة استجابة بعض صفات النمو لستة عشر تركيب وراثي من القول البالدى  
(سبعة أصناف تجارية وساعي سلالات طفرية مبشرة) لتأثير التناقض بأربع سلالات مختلفة الريزوبىم تحت ظروف  
التربية العادية والتربية المتلأة بالملوحة على. ويمكن تلخيص أهم النتائج فيما يلى:-
- ١- أوضح تأثير التباين لمستويات ملوحة التربية والتناقض بالريزوبىم والتراكيب الوراثية وكذلك التفاعلات  
المختلفة بينها وجود تأثيرات معنوية لمعظم الصفات المدروسة عند مراحل النمو المختلفة في المواسم.
  - ٢- تأثرت نباتات القول البالدى بالإجهاد الملحى معنويًا في جميع الصفات المدروسة عند مرحلة النمو المختلفة  
خلال المواسم. كما حدث نفس معنوى لجميع الصفات المدروسة بزيادة ملوحة التربية في جميع مراحل  
النمو المختلفة للمواسم.
  - ٣- ظهرت اختلافات معنوية لجميع الصفات المدروسة باستخدام التناقض بالريزوبىم خلال مراحل النمو المختلفة في  
المواسم.
  - ٤- وجدت اختلافات معنوية بين التراكيب الوراثية المستخدمة للصفات المختلفة في جميع مراحل النمو في  
الموسمين - مما يبرهن على وجود اختلافات وراثية بين هذه التراكيب . كما أوضحت النتائج أن السلالات  
المبشرة أرقام ١٦١١ و ١٦١٢ على التوالى هي أكثر التراكيب الوراثية التي يمكن الاستفادة منها في برامج التربية  
لتحسين المحصول.
  - ٥- أوضحت النتائج أن تباين التفاعلات المختلفة بين العمليات المستخدمة في الدراسة كان معنويًا لمعظم  
الصفات المدروسة خلال المراحل المختلفة للنمو في المواسم . كما أوضحت النتائج تفوق السلالتين رقم ١١  
و ١٦ تحت ظروف التربية العادية ومعاملة الكترونول للريزوبىم . بينما تفوق الصنف التجارى سخا ٢ والسلالة  
رقم ٨ عند معاملة الكترونول للريزوبىم تحت ظروف التربية الملحية.
  - ٦- أوضحت نتائج معامل الارتباط تحت ظروف التربية العادية أن هناك ارتباط موجب وعالي المعنوية بين محصول  
البنور بالنبات والوزن الجاف للجذور عند العينة الأولى، جميع الصفات المدروسة عند العينة الثانية وكذلك  
الوزن الجاف لكل من السلال، الأوراق والجذور عند العينة الثالثة. على الجانب الآخر أوضحت النتائج تحت  
ظروف التربية الملحية أن هناك ارتباط موجب وعالي المعنوية بين محصول البنور بالنبات والوزن الجاف لكل  
من الساقان والأوراق و الجذور عند العينة الثالثة. لذلك تعتبر هذه الصفات أكثر الصفات أسهلًا في الحصول  
ما يجعل الاختيار لهذه الصفات أو أي منها مفيدًا في تحسين الكفاءة المحصولية للقول البالدى.