EFFECT OF PARITY SEASON AND STAGE OF LACTATION ON SOMATIC CELL COUNT MILK YIELD AND QUALITY OF FRIESIAN COWS

Abu Ei-Hamd, M. A.; M.A.M. Moussa and M. S. Sayah Animal Production Res. Inst., Agric, Res. Center, Dokkl. Egypt.

ABSTRACT

The objective of this study was to evaluate the effect of some factors on somatic cell count (SCC) in milk, milk vield (MY) and milk compassion, as well as he relationship between SCC, MY and milk quality of Friesian cows. A total of 1250 testday were studied for 145 dairy Friesian cows during the consecutive period from March 2009 to April 2010 were collected. All Friesian cows ranged between 400 and 600 kg live body weight, 3 -13 years of age and 1-8 parities. Cows of the experimental period, were fed concentrate feed mixture (CFM), berseem hay, rice straw and corn silage (summer ration) and CFM, fresh berseem and rice straw (winter ration). Throughout the experimental period, cows were machine milked and daily milk vield was individually recorded. Milk composition was determined. Milk samples for SCC determination were collected monthly of calving to the end of lactation. Results revealed that SCC significantly (P<0.05) decreased in second and third- parities, and higher in four and fifth parities. Milk yield was significantly (P<0.05) higher in second parity, and decreased in other parities. The SCC was significantly higher in summer. moderate in spring and lower in winter and autumn seasons. Milk vield was significantly higher in winter, moderate in autumn and decreased in summer and spring seasons. Milk protein and lactose were significantly higher (P<0.01) in summer and lower in winter season. The SCC, milk protein and lactose increased in summer ration, but MY decreased significantly (P<0.05) in summer ration. Somatic cell count increased significantly (P<0.01) at first 30 days of lactation (469×103 /ml) (early lactation), highly significantly (P<0.001) in the end of lactation (597×103 /ml), and deceased after 90 and 180 days of lactation. Milk vield was significantly (P<0.05) decreased by increasing SCC. Protein content was significantly (P<0.05) larger in milk (2.42 to 2.81), when SCC increased (200×103) to 1000×103 cett/ml milk. An Increase in SCC during the late of lactation was accompanied with decrease in MY, fat, lactose percentages and milk quality.

Keywords: Friesian, somatic cell count, milk yield, stage of lactation, season and parity

INTRODUCTION

The total somatic cell count (SCC) of milk can vary because of a number of external factors. Bovine mastitis, or inflammation of the mammary gland is the most important cause in elevating milk SCC, and stage of lactation, season, MY and number of lactations are all also known to influence milk SCC (Kennedy et al., 1982, Brolund, 1985, Verdi, and Barbano 1991 and Harmon 1994). Elevated milk SCC is associated with altered protein distribution, decreased casein and lactose levels (Mitchell, et al., 1986 and Munro, et al., 1984).

Seasonal patterns can also be found in individual cow SCC (ICSCC), with generally the highest ICSCC in July and August (Bodoh et al., 1976;

Salsberg et al., 1984). Green et al. (2006) suggested that part of the seasonal variation of bulk milk SCC (BMSCC) was caused by the larger proportion of cows with prolonged high ICSCC in the summer. Herds with a seasonal calving pattern in the southern hemisphere; for example, in New Zealand, had the highest BMSCC around the calving period in the winter months (July to September). The lowest BMSCC in these herds occurred in September to October, shortly after the calving period, and BMSCC then slowly increased again toward the end of the season in April to May (Clements et al., 2005).

Seasonal effects have also been reported for the incidence rate of clinical mastitis (IRCM), with the highest IRCM for streptococci and coliforms in the summer months of June to August in confined US dairy herds (Erskine et al., 1988; Hogan et al., 1989; Makovec and Ruegg, 2003). Because the epidemiology of each pathogen is unique, the effect on BMSCC and IRCM and its relationship to climatic and environmental factors might be different. Summer humidity and temperature increase coliform counts in bedding material, resulting in an increased coliform IRCM (Smith et al., 1985; Erskine et al., 1988). Zadoks et al. (2005), the proportion of fecal samples containing Strep. uberis was larger during the summer grazing season than during winter.

The SCC is an indicator of the intensity of the cellular immune defense, and it represents a marker of the sanitary state of the udder. During the course of intramammary infection, leucocytes migrate from the blood towards the mammary gland leading to increase SCC in the milk. SCC represents a valuable tool for the prevalence assessment and screening mastitis. A common accepted SCC values had not been established (Gonzalez-Rodriguez et al, 1995 and Gonzalo et al, 1994 and 2002).

Improving milk production remains a challenge for tropical and developing countries. Several studies have been done on zebu cattle with the ambition of increasing milk production by improved feeding and management (Coulibaly and Nialibouli, 1998; Bonfoh *et al.*, 2005; Sidibé-Anago *et al.*, 2006). An important management tool in dairy production is milk recording based on knowledge about the relative day-to-day variation in MY and composition.

The objective of this study was to evaluate the effects of parity, season and stage of lactation on SCC in milk, MY and milk compassion, as well as the relationship between SCC, MY and milk quality of Friesian cows.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

A total number of 1250 test-day were studied for 135 dairy Friesian cows during the consecutive period from March 2009 to April 2010 collected from Sakha Animal Production Research Station belonging to Animal Production Research Institute, Agriculture Research Center, Ministry of Agriculture. All cows ranged between 400 and 600 kg live body weight, 3 -13 years of age and 1-8 parities.

Animals were housed in semi open sheds and fed traditional summer ration consisted of concentrate feed mixture, berseem hay, rice straw and corn silage and traditional winter ration consisted of concentrate feed mixture, fresh berseem and rice straw. Cows were fed to cover the recommended requirements according to NRC (2001) for dairy Friesian cows. Cows were fed in group feeding assigned according to live body weight, milk yield and reproductive status. Concentrate feed mixture and rice straw was offered two times daily, while fresh berseem, berseem hay or corn silage was offered once daily. Water was available for animals all the day round.

Cows were machine milked twice daily at 6:00 and 17:00 h. Daily milk yield (morning and evening) was individually recorded for the months of lactation. Milk samples were monthly collected to determine milk composition using Milko-Scan (Model 133B).

After bacteriological plating, SCC were determined for each milk sample with a Fossomatic 90 (A/S N Foss Electric, Hillerød, Denmark) between 24 and 48 h postcollection by the previously described method (Gonzalo et al., 1993).

The obtained data were statistically analyzed using SAS (1990). The significant differences among treatment groups were tested using Duncan's Multiple Range Test (Duncan, 1955). The statistical model was

 $Y_{ii} = U + A_i + e_{ii}$

Where:

Y_{ii} = Observed traits

U = Overall mean

A_i = Experimental

e_{ii} = Random error

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Data in Table (1) showe that SCC significantly (P<0.05) decreased in second and third- parities and was higher in four and fifth parities. Milk yield was significantly (P<0.05) higher in second parity and decreased in other parities. However, milk composition (MC) was not significant.

Table (1): Least squares means (±SE) of SCC, MY and M.C. by parity.

Item	Parities						
	1	2	3	4	≥5		
SCC ×103/ml	397±24°	296±24 ^c	367±27 ⁰⁰	412±27 20	471±18ª		
MY (kg)	12.33±0.3°	13.92±0.2ª	12.65±0.3°	12.61±0.3°	12.55±0.2 ⁵		
M.C.							
Fat %	4.52±0.37	3.85±0.36	3.46±0.42	3.55±0.41	3.41±0.28		
Protein %	2.50±0.2	2.62±0.2	2.49±0.3	2.54±0.2	2.55±0.2		
Lactose %	4.19±0.02	4.21±0.02	4.17±0.02	4.22±0.02	4.18±0.02		

(P<0.05).

The SCC increases with the age and number of factation in infected milk herds. Monardes, (1994) and Gaafar et al, (2010) showed that SCC

increased significantly (P<0.05) with the progress number of lactation or parity. The SCC level considered normal under 200000 cells/ml of milk, although it may be less in the first lactation. Generally, SCC increases with the age and number of lactation of infected cows. The SCC in udder, which is not contaminated, does not seem to vary with the age (Monardes, 1984). However, MY was significantly (P<0.05) increased in second parity and decreased during third to fifen- parities. The present results came in agreement with the findings of Millogo, et al. (2009), who found that high SCC was linked to lower MY. It is a well known fact that increased SCC is correlated to decreased milk yield (Harmon, 1994).

Parity has been identified as a risk indicator for clinical mastitis in several observational studies (Schutz et al., 1990; Weller et al., 1992; Laevens et al., 1997; Barkema et al., 1998; Pryce et al., 1999). All these studies reported that the incidence of clinical mastitis increased with increasing parity.

Generally, SCC increases with increased parity, whereas MY decreased with increased parity.

Data in Table (2) illustrate the effect of season on SCC, MY and M.C.tt could be seen that SCC was significantly higher in the significantly summer, moderate in spring and lower in winter and autumn. However, MY was significantly higher in winter, moderate in autumn and decreased in summer and spring season.

Table (2): Least squares means (±SE) of SCC, MY and milk composition by season.

Seasons ltem Winter Spring Summer Autumn SCC ×103/ml 439±24.4^b 346±17.1° 593±28.8ª 357±18.3^c 15.2±0.29^a 12.1±0.25° 11.17±0.17° MY (kg) 13.5±0.19⁵ Milk composition: Fat % 3.99±0.27 3.64±0.38 3.80±0.44 3.46±0.23 2.45±0.02° 2.55± 0.02° Protein % 2.92±0.03ª 2.49±0.02° 4.06±0.01° 4.06±0.01° 4.43±0.02a Lactose % 4.27±0.02^b

*** ** Means within the same row with different superscripts are significantly different (P<0.05).

The obtained results agree with Bodoh et al., (1976) and Paape et al., (1973), who found that season has been found to affect SCC, with counts generally is being the lowest in winter and highest in summer (Bodoh et al., 1976 and Paape et al., 1973). Waage et al. (1998) indicated that a decrease in BMSCC was associated with an increased risk for clinical mastitis in heifers. Heifers calving in late spring or summer are at a higher risk for developing clinical mastitis than heifers calving during cool weather months (Waage et al., 1998). The status of infection is determined and influenced by the level of the lactation. All the animals which were free from infection have elevated SCC immediately after giving partum; so, a fast decrease is observed after birth in non-infected animals or quarters (Monardes, 1994 and Harmon and Reneau, 1993). Mrode and Swanson (1996) showed a negative

relationship between milk production and SCC in later parities due partly to culling in the first parity on the basis of mastitis and milk production

Milk protein and lactose were significantly (P<0.01) higher in summer and lower in winter. However, increased SCC was due to increased milk protein, and lactose in summer season (Table 2). The results agree with Millogo, et al. (2009), who found that the relative day-to-day variation in protein and lactose contents was larger when SCC increased.

Nutrition significantly affected (P<0.05) on SCC, MY and protein contents Table (3). The SCC, milk protein and lactose increased in summer ration, but, milk yield decreased significantly (P<0.05) in summer ration.

Improving milk production remains a challenge for tropical and developing countries. Several studies have been done on zebu cattle with the ambition of increasing milk production by improved feeding and management (Coulibaly and Nialibouli, 1998; Bonfoh et al., 2005; Sidibé-Anago et al., 2006). An important management tool in dairy production is milk recording based on knowledge about the relative day-to-day variation in MY and composition.

Table (3): Least squares means (±SE) of SCC, MY and M.C. by nutrition.

ltem	Nutrition			
nem	Summer ration	Winter ration		
SCC ×10 ³ /ml	425.1 ±15.7°	376.5 ±14.2°		
MY (kg)	11.84±0.14°	13.97 ±0.16		
Milk composition:				
Fat %	3.56±0.24	3.87±0.22		
Protein %	2.61±0.01 ^a	2.45±0.01°		
Lactose %	4.31±0.01 ^a	4.10±0.01°		

^{*** **} Weans within the same row with different superscripts are significantly different (P<0.05).

Somatic cell count increased significantly (P<0.01) on first 30 day of lactation (469×10³ /ml) (early lactation), and highly significantly (P<0.001) in the end of lactation (597×10³ /ml) (270 day of lactation), but, decreased on 90 and 180 days of lactation (326 and 373 ×10³/ml), respectively, (Table 4).

These results suggest that after controlling for infection status, an increase in SCC at the end of lactation may signify the onset of the initial processes of involution (Kari and Newman, 2008). The SCC is usually elevated at the time of calving and then decreases at a rapid rate, particularly during the first 2 wk of lactation (Dohoo, 1984). Somatic cell count tended to decrease with the progress of lactation up to the peak period (2nd month), and increased significantly (P<0.05) thenafter with the progress month of lactation (Gaafar et al., 2010).

The total SCC of milk can vary because of a number of external factors. Bovine mastitis, or inflammation of the mammary gland, is the most important cause of elevated milk SCC, and stage of lactation, season, MY and number of lactations are all also known to influence milk SCC (Kennedy et al., 1982, Brolund, 1985, Verdi, and Barbano 1991 and Harmon 1994). Elevated milk SCC is associated with altered protein distribution, decreased

casein and lactose levels (Mitchell, et al., 1986 and Munro, et al., 1984). These results are in accordance with those obtained by Ceron-Munoz et al. (2002), who found that SCC decreased in the second month of lactation and increased thenafter up to the ninth month of lactation. Farghaly (2002) showed that stage of lactation affected significantly milk SCC, since milk SCC were the highest shortly after calving, dropped to a minimum between 40 and 80 days postpartum and then steadily increased until the end of lactation

Milk yield was significantly higher in 90 days of lactation (17.1 kg), moderate in early lactation (first 30 days) and 180 days of lactation (12.8 and 14.2 kg, respectively) and decreased in 270 days of lactation (9.5 kg, the end of lactation) Table 4.

Table (4): Least squares means (±SE) of SCC, MY and M.C. by stage of lactation

Item	Stage of lactation					
	30 day	90 day	180 day	270 day		
SCC ×10 ³ /ml	469±27.4 ^b	326±19.6°	373± 23.3°	597±28.8ª		
MY (kg)	12.8±0.18°	17.1±0.23 ^a	14.2±0.27°	9.5±0.16 ^a		
Milk composition	i:					
Fat %	3.78±0.29	3.54±0.28	3.60±0.32	3.86±0.27		
Protein %	2.60±0.04 ^{bc}	2.51± 0.03 ^c	2.62±0.03 ^b	2.89±0.03ª		
Lactose %	4.11±0.01 ^D	4.39±0.01 ^a	4.33±0.02 ^a	4.07±0.02 ^b		

(P<0.05).

Milk protein significantly (P<0.05) decreased in 90 days of lactation and increased in 270 days of lactation. However, lactose was higher in 90 and 180 days of lactation, compared with the first and end of lactation (Table 4).

Stage of lactation also influences the risk on occurrence of clinical mastitis, which even differed among heifers and multiparous cows (Barkema et al., 1998). High SCC in early lactation is most likely caused by the presence of minor pathogens, whereas high SCC of cows being more than 90 days in milk is primarily caused by *C. bovis*. The prevalence of major pathogens in quarters with ≥100,000 cells/ml decreased in the course of lactation (De Haas, 2003).

Results in Table (5) show the effect of SCC on MY and M.C. It could be found that milk yield was significantly (P<0.05) decreased by increased SCC.

Table (5): Least squares means (±SE) of MY and milk composition by SCC.

Milk compassion					
MY	Fat	Protein	Lactose		
15.22±0.14 ^a	3.85±0.22	2.42±0.01°	4.24±0.01		
13.39±0.25°	3.64±0.38	2.64±0.02 ^b	4.19±0.02 ab		
12.33±0.28 ^c	3.53±0.42	2.57±0.03 ^a	4.17±0.02 ^b		
11.04±0.29 ^c	3.49±0.45	2.81±0.03 ^a	4.01±0.03 ^c		
	15.22±0.14 ^a 13.39±0.25 ^b	MY Fat 15.22±0.14 ^a 3.85±0.22 13.39±0.25 ^b 3.64±0.38 12.33±0.28 ^c 3.53±0.42	MY Fat Protein 15.22±0.14 ^a 3.85±0.22 2.42±0.01 ^c 13.39±0.25 ^b 3.64±0.38 2.64±0.02 ^b 12.33±0.28 ^c 3.53±0.42 2.57±0.03 ^b		

^{and 8}: Means within the same row with different superscripts are significantly different (P<0.05).</p> The results come in agreement agreement with the Millogo, et al. (2009) and Juozaitiene et al. (2006), who reported lower milk production in cows with high SCC. The two fold increase in SCC above 50,000 cells/ml resulted in a milk yield loss of 0.4 kg/day in primiparous cows and 0.6 kg/day in multiparous cow. The increased SCC indicates the mammary gland inflammation, which are not treated results in the decrease of MY and its quality, and consequently in the economic losses for a farmer (Gardzina et al., 2000; Malinowski, 2001). Roger and Peter (1995) indicated that a herd with a SCC of about 200,000/ml will have minimal losses in MY, but for every increase in SCC of 100,000/ml, there will be a reduction of 2.5% in MY. Gaafar et al., (2010) showed that milk yield decreased significantly (P<0.05) with increasing SCC in milk.

Protein percentage was significantly (P<0.05) larger in milk (2.42 to 2.81), when SCC increased 200,000 to 1,000,000 cell/ml milk, the results were similar to that of Millogo, et al. (2009), who found that the relative day-to-day variation in protein content was larger (15%) when SCC increased.

Fat and lactose content were lower in milk with higher SCC. The results agree with the Dang and Anand (2007) and Berglund *et al.* (2007), who reported lower lactose content in connection with higher SCC which was also observed by Millogo, *et al.* (2009). The relative day-to-day variation in MY and milk composition for machine-milked dairy cows has been estimated to be about 6-8% for yield, 5-8% for fat content, 1.5 – 2% for protein content and just above 1% for lactose (Sjaunja, 1986). According to the same author, the variation can be explained by the stage of lactation, parity, health, season and milking interval.

The relative day-to-day variation of SCC was 7.8% with SCC (Log10)= 5.13 as on average. SCC differs in the different milk fractions. Milk yield, milk fat content and lactose content were higher in samples with SCC <200,000 cells/ml, compared to samples with SCC > 200,000 cells/ml (Millogo, et al. 2009) In contrast, milk protein content was lower. The samples with SCC <200,000 cells/ml also showed smaller variations of fraction, the lowest concentration in the first alveolar fraction (Millogo, et al. 2009); SCC levels are also higher during removal of the alveolar milk towards the end of milking (Sarikaya and Bruckmaier, 2006). Hutton et al. (1990) found that to determine mastitis control strategies that were more likely associated with herds with good to excellent (herd average sec <283,000 cells/ml) as compared with herds with fair to poor control of mastitis (herd geometric average sec >283,000 cells/ml). The level of mastitis infection in a dairy herd can have a significant impact on herd profitability. Losses due to mastitis include decrease in milk production, increase in treatment costs, discarded milk, premature culling, death, decreased genetic potential, decreased reproductive performance, load rejection due to violation of SCC or antibiotic residues and loss of milk quality premiums (Fetrow, 2000, Oliver et al., 2000 and Ruegg, and Reinemann 2002).

Somatic cell counts are accepted as the international standard measurement of milk quality and, for this reason, are rapidly being made available in developing countries where they have not previously been utilized.

CONCLUSION

From the current study it could be concluded that that increasing SCC in milk decreased MY and composition and resulted in poor quality of Friesian cows. Somatic cell count in milk was effected by season, parity and stage of lactation.

REFERENCES

- Barkerna, H.W., Y.H. Schukken, T.J.G.M. Lam, M.L. Beiboer, H. Wilmink, G. Benedictus and A. Brand (1998). Incidence of clinical mastitis in dairy herds grouped in three categories by bulk milk somatic cell counts. Journal of Dairy Science 81: 411-419.
- Berglund I, G. Pettersson, K. Östensson and K. Svennersten-Sjaunja (2007).

 Quarter milking for improved detection of increased SCC Reprod.

 Domestic. Anim. 42:427-432
- Bodoh G.H., W.J. Battista, L.H. Schultz and R.P. Johnston (1976). Variation in somatic cell counts in dairy herd improvement milk samples. J Dairy Sci; 59: 1119-1123.
- Bodoh, G. H., W. J. Battista, L. H. Schultz, and R. P. Johnston. (1976). Variation in somatic cell counts in dairy herd improvement milk samples. J. Dairy Sci. 59:1119–1123.
- Bonfoh B., J. Zinsstag, Z. Farah, C.F. Simbé, I.O. Alfaroukh, R. Aebi, R. Badertscher, M. Collomb, J. Meyer and B. Rehberger (2005). Raw milk composition of Malian Zebu cows (Bos indicus) raised under traditional system. J. Food Comp and An. V 18 (1): 29-38.
- Brolund, L. (1985). Cell counts in bovine milk: causes of variation and applicability for diagnosis of subclinical mastitis. Acta Vet. Scand. (Supp. 80):1–123.
- Ceron-Munoz, M., H. Tonhati, J. Duarte, J. Oliveira, M. Mun. oz-Berrocal and H. Jurado-Ga'mez (2002). Factors Affecting Somatic Cell Counts and Their Relations with Milk and Milk Constituent Yield in Buffaloes. J. Dairy Sci., 85: 2885.
- Clements, A.C., D.U. Pfeiffer, and D. Hayes (2005). Bayesian spatiotemporal modeling of national milk-recording data of seasonalcalving New Zealand dairy herds. Prev. Vet. Med. 71:183–196.
- Coulibaly M. and O. Nialibouli (1998). Effect of Suckling Regime on Calf Growth, Milk Production and Offtake of Zebu Cattle in Mali. Trop. Anim. Health. Prod. 30: 179-189.
- Dang A.K. and S.K. Anand (2007). Effect of milking systems on the somatic cell counts and composition. Livest. Res. Rural Dev. 19: (6).
- De Haas, Y., H.W. Barkema, Y.H. Schukken, and R.F. Veerkamp (2003). Genetic associations between pathogen-specific clinical mastitis and somatic cell count patterns. WIAS-Seminar, Wageningen, The Netherlands

- Dohoo, I. R., A. H. Meek and S. W. Martin (1984). Somatic cell counts in bovine milk: relationships to production and clinical episodes of mastitis. Can. J. Comp. Med. 48:130-135.
- Duncan, D. B. (1955). Multiple rang and multiple F test. Biometrics 11: 1-42.
- Erskine, R.J., R.J. Eberhart, L.J. Hutchinson, S.B. Spencer and M.A. Campbell (1988). Incidence and types of clinical mastitis in dairy herds with high and low somatic cell counts. Journal of the American Veterinary Medical Association 192: 761-765.
- Farghaly, H.M. (2002). Comparison among different mathematical models of lactation curves to describe the somatic cell counts in Fleckvieh milk. Zagazig J. agric. Res., 29: 211.
- Fetrow, J. (2000). Mastitis: an economic consideration. Proc. 29th Annual Meeting of the National Mastitis Council, Atlanta, GA. NMC, Inc., Madison, Wl. pp. 3-47.
- Gaafar, H. M. A., M. I. Bassiouni, M. F. E. Ali, A. A. Shitta and A. Sh. E. Shamas (2010). Effect of zinc methionine supplementation on somatic cell count in milk and mastitis in Friesian cows. Archiva Zootechnica 13:2, 36-46.
- Gardzina E., M. Ormian and A. Węglarz (2000). Changes in the level of raw milk quality biological indicators in the aspect of mammary gland soundness (in Polish). Roczniki Naukowe Zootechniki. 5: 22-26.
- Gonzalez-Rodriguez M.C., C. Gonzalo, F. San Primitivo and P. Carmenes (1995), Relationship between somatic cell count and intramammary infection of the half udder in dairy ewes. Journal of Dairy Science, 78: 2753-2759.
- Gonzalo, C., A. Ariznabarreta, J.A. Carriedoand and F. San Primitivo (2002). Mammary pathogens and their relationship to somatic cell count and milk yield losses in dairy ewes. J. of Dairy Sci., 85: 1460-1467.
- Gonzalo, C., J. A. Baro, J. A. Carriedo and F. San Primitivo (1993). Use of the Fossomatic method to determine somatic cell counts in sheep milk. J. Dairy Sci. 76:115-119.
- Gonzalo, C., J.A. Carriedo, J.A. Baro and F. San Primitivo (1994). Factors influencing variation of test day milk yield, somatic cell count, fat and protein in dairy sheep. J. of Dairy Sci., 77, 1537–1542.
- Green, M. J., A. J. Bradley, H. Newton, and W. J. Browne (2006). Seasonal variation of bulk milk somatic cell counts in UK dairy herds: Investigations of the summer rise. Prev. Vet. Med. 74:293–308.
- Harmon, R. J. (1994). Physiology of mastitis and factors affecting somatic cell counts. J. Dairy Sci. 77:2103–2112.
- Harmon, R.J. and J.K. Reneau (1993). Factors affecting the somatic cell count in milk. National Mastitis Council Annual Meeting Proceedings, pp. 48-54.
- Hogan, J.S., K.L. Smith, K.H. Hoblet, P.S. Schoenberger, D.A. Todhunter, W.D. Hueston, D.E. Pritchard, G.L. Bowman, L.E. Heider, B.L. Brockett, and H.R. Conrad (1989). Field survey of clinical mastitis in low somatic cell count herds. J. of Dairy Sci., 72: 1547-1556.

- Hutton, C.T., L.K. Fox and D.D. Hancock (1990). Mastitis control practices: Differences between herds with high and low somatic cell counts. J. Dairy Sci., 73: 1135-1143
- Juozaitiene V., A. Juozaitis and R. Micikeviciene (2006). Relationship between somatic cell count and Milk Production or Morphological Traits of udder in Black-and-white cows. Turk J. Vet. Anim Sci. 30:47-51.
- Kari A. and B.S. Newman (2008). Factors affecting lactoferrin concentration at drying off and infection status at calving in dairy cows on an intermittent milking schedule prior to drying off. J. Dairy Sci. 90:
- Kennedy, B.W., M.S. Sethat, A.K.W. Tong, J.E. Moxley, and B.R. Downey (1982). Environmental factors influencing test-day somatic cell counts in Holsteins. J. Dairy Sci. 65:275–280.
- Laevens, H., H. Deluyker, Y.H. Schukken, L. De Meulemeester, R. Vandermeersch, E. De Muelenaere and A. De Kruif (1997). Influence of parity and stage of lactation on the somatic cell count in bacteriologically negative dairy cows. J. of Dairy Sci., 80: 3219-3226.
- Makovec, J. A., and P. L. Ruegg (2003). Results of milk samples submitted for microbiological examination in Wisconsin from 1994 to 2001. J. Dairy Sci. 86:3466–3472.
- Malinowski E. (2001). Somatic cells in milk (in Polish). Veterinary Medicine. 57(1): 13-17.
- Millogo V., G. A. Ouédraogo, S. Agenas and K. Svennersten-Sjaunj (2009). Day-to-day variation in yield, composition and somatic cell count of saleable milk in hand-milked zebu dairy cattle. African Journal of Agricultural Research Vol. 4 (3), pp. 151-155.
- Mitchell, G. E., S. A. Rogers, D. B. Houlihan, and V. C. Tucker (1986). The relationship between somatic cell count, composition and manufacturing properties of bulk milk. 1. Composition of farm bulk milk. Aust. J. Dairy Tech. 41:9–12.
- Monardes, H.G. (1984). Genetic and phenotypic parameters of lactation cell count in different lactation of Holstein cows. Thesis (Doctoral in Animal Science) McDonald Campus of McGill Univ., Ste. Anne de Bellevue, Quebec. Canada.
- Monardes, H.G. (1994). Somatic cell counting and genetic improvement of resistance to mastitis. In: Simpósio internacional de produção de ruminantes, 31, 1994, Maringá. Anais. Maringá: sbz, p. 1-19.
- Mrode, R.A. and C.J.T. Swanson (1996). Genetic and statistical properties of somatic cell count and its suitability as an indirect means of reducing the incidence of mastitis in dairy cattle. Anim. Breeding Abst., 64: 847
- Munro G. L., P. A. Grieve and B. J. Kitchen (1984). Effects of mastitis on milk yield, milk composition, processing properties and yield and quality of milk products. Aust. J. Dairy Tech. 39:7–16.
- National Research Council. (2001). Pages in Nutrient Requirements of Dairy Cattle. 7th rev. ed. Natl. Acad. Sci. WashingtonDC.
- Oliver, S.P., F.N. Schrick, M.E. Hockett and H.H. Dowlen (2000). Clinical and subclinical mastitis during early lactation impairs reproductive performance of dairy cows. Proc Regional Meeting of the National Mastitis Council, pp. 34-51.

- Paape M.J., W.D. Schultze, R.H. Millar and J.W. Smith (1973). Thermal stress and circulating erythrocytes, leucocytes and milk somatic cells. J Dairy Sci; 56: 84-91.
- Pryce, J.E., B.L. Nielsen, R.F. Veerkamp, and G. Simm (1999). Genotype and feeding system effects and interactions for health and fertility traits in dairy cattle. Livestock Production Science 57: 193-201.
- Roger, B. and E. Peter (1995). Mastitis control in dairy herds an illustrated and practical guide. 1st Edition. Farming Press Publications, UK.
- Ruegg, P.L. and D.J. Reinemann (2002) Milk quality and mastitis tests. University of Wisconsin Extension Service.
- Salsberg, E., A.H. Meek and S.W. Martin (1984). Somatic cell counts: Associated factors and relationship to production. Canadian Journal of Comparative Medicine 48: 251-257.
- Sarikaya H. and R.M. Bruckmaier (2006). Importance of the sampled milk fraction for the prediction of total quarter somatic cell count. J. Dairy Sci. 89:4246-4250.
- SAS (1990). SAS user's guide. Statistical Analysis System. Institute, Inc. Cary., NC.
- Schutz, M.M., L.B. Hansen, G.R. Steuernagel and A.L. Kuck (1990). Variation of milk, fat, protein, and somatic cells for dairy cattle. J. of Dairy Sci., 73: 484-493.
- Sidibé-Anago A.G., G.A. Ouédraogo and I. Ledir. (2006). Effect of party replacing cottonseed cake with Mucuna spp. (Var Ghana) hay on feed intake and digestibility, milk yield and milk composition of zebu cows. Trop. Anim. Health. Prod., 38: 563-570.
- Sjaunja L.O. (1986). Day-to-day variation in milk yield, milk composition and somatic cell count. International Committee for Recording the Productivity of Milk Animals (ICRPMA), 25th Session.
- Smith, K.L., D.A. Todhunter and P.S. Schoenberger (1985). Environmental pathogens and intramammary infection during the dry period. J. of Dairy Sci., 68: 402-417.
- Verdi, R. J., and D. M. Barbano (1991). Properties of proteases from milk somatic cells and blood leukocytes. J. Dairy Sci. 74:2077–2081.
- Waage, S., S. Sviland, and S. A. Ødegaard (1998). Identification of risk factors for clinical mastitis in dairy heifers. J. Dairy Sci. 81:1275-1284.
- Weller, J.I., A. Saran, and Y. Zeliger (1992). Genetic and environmental relationships among somatic cell count, bacterial infection, and clinical mastitis. J. of Dairy Sci., 75: 2532-2540.
- Zadoks, R.N., L.L. Tikofsky, and K.J. Boor (2005). Ribotyping of Streptococcus uberis from a dairy's environment, bovine feces and milk. Vet. Microbiol. 109:257–265.

تأثير عدد الولادات، الموسم ومرحلة الحليب على عدد الخلايا الجسسية وإنتاج وجودة اللين للأيقار الفريزيان

محمد عوض أبو الحمد، محمد عرفة محمد موسى ومحمود سيد صياح معهد بحوث الإنتاج الحيواني – مركز البحوث الزراعية– النقي – الجيزة– مصر

تهدف هذه الدراسة إلى معرفة تأثير عد الولادات، الموسم ومرحلة الحليب على عدد الخلايا الجسدية وابتاج وتركيب اللين وكذلك علاقة عدد الخلايا الجسدية بابتاج وجودة اللبن في أبقار الفريزيان. استخدم في هذه الدراسة ١٢٥٠ لختبار يومي احدد ١٣٥ بقرة فريزيان خلال عام من مارس ٢٠٠٩ حتى أبريل ٢٠١٠. الأبقار يتراوح أوزاتها من٤٠ إلى ٢٠٠٠ كجم وعدد ولادات من ١-٨ ولادة. غذيت الأبقار في الفترة التجريبية على علف مركز ودريس برميم وسيلاج أفرة وقش أرز (عليقه صيفية) وعلف مركز وبرسيم وقش أرز (عليقه شتوية). و وكان يتم حلب الأبقار خلب الي وتسجيل اللبن لكل بقرة يوميا. وتم أخذ عينات اللبن مرة كل شهر لتقدير عدد الخلايا الجسدية ومكونات اللبن.

ويمكن تلخيص النتائج المتحصل عليها فيما يلي:

لتخفض عدد الحلايا الجسدية الخفاض معنوي (P<0.05) خلال موسم الولادة الثاني والثالث والزداد بعد ذلك بزيادة عدد الولادات. أما إنتاج اللبن فقد زاد زيادة معنوية (P<0.05) في الموسم الثاني والخفض في باقي المواسم.

أ زائت عد الخلايا الجسدية زيادة معنوية في فصل الصيف واتخفضت في فصلي الشتاء والخريف بينما كانت متوسطة في فصل الربيع. أما انتاج اللبن فقد ارتفع في الشتاء واتخفض في الصيف. كما ارتفع معنويا (P<0.01) كل من البروتين واللاكتوز في الصيف واتخفضا في الشتاء.</p>

 أدّت الطيقه الصيغية إلى زيادة عدد الخلايا الجمدية ويروتين والاكتوز-اللبن بينما الخفض معنويا (P<0.05) إنتاج اللبن.

ولا عدد الخلايا الجسدية زيادة معنوية (P<0.001) خلال الشهر الأول من الحليب ٢١٥×١٥ / إمل بينما انخفضت أثناء اليوم ٩٠ و١٨٠ من مرحلة الحليب. بينما انخفض إنتاج اللبن بزيادة عدد الخلايا الجسدية.</p>

ارتفع بروتین البن من ۲.٤٢ إلي ۲.۸۱ عندما زاد عدد الخلایا الجمدیة في اللبن من ۲۰×۲۰ إلى ۲۰۰۱> الله لبن.

 أدت زيادة عدد الخلايا الجمدية في اللبن إلي انخفاض إنتاج البن ومكونات اللبن من الدهن واللاكتوز وكذلك انخفاض جودة اللبن.

كلية الزراعة - جامعة المنصورة مركز البحوث الزراعية قام بتحكيم البحث أد / طه عبد الحليم نصيب أد / عبد العاطى كامل السيد قرله