PHYSIOLOGICAL STUDIES ON CLOVE BASIL PLANT Abdou, M. A. H.¹; M. Y. A. Abdalla²; A. A. Hegazy² and Zeinab S. A. Marzok²

1 Hort. Dept., Fac. of Agric., Minia Univ.

2 Veget. and Flor. Dept., Fac. of Agric., Mansoura Univ.

ABSTRACT

This experiment was conducted at the Floriculture Nursery at the Experimental Farm and the Laboratory of Floriculture, Fac. of Agric., Minia Univ. during the two successive seasons of 2010 and 2011 to study the effect of FYM (Farmyard manure), bio. and / or salicylic acid, as well as, mineral NPK fertilization treatments on vegetative growth, oil production and chemical composition of clove basil plant.

The obtained results indicated that the application of FYM significantly increased plant height (cm), number of branches / plant, herb fresh weight in each cut (g / plant / cut), herb fresh weight in each season (g / plant / season) and total herb fresh weight per feddan for each season (ton. / fed. / season), essential oil (%), essential oil yield / plant / cut (ml) and per plant / season (ml), as well as per fed. / season (liter), content of chlorophyll a, b and carotenoids (mg / g. fresh weight) in the fresh leaves, as well as, the percentages of N, P and K in the dry herb. The superiority in all previous traits was for treatment of FYM at high level (45 m³ / fed.) as compared with the other levels including the control.

The plants treated with mineral NPK or a mixture of effective microorganisms (E.M.) and phosphorein (Phos.) plus salicylic acid gave the best results of all tested parameters in both cuts of the two growing seasons. Regarding the percentage of essential oil in the fresh herb, the treatment of E.M. + Phos. + Sal. was more effective than the other treatments.

The interaction between the main-plots (FYM treatments) and sub-plots (bio, Salicylic acid and NPK treatments) had significant effect on the previous parameters. The highest values, in most cases, were obtained due to the high level of FYM (45 m³ / fed.) in combination with mineral NPK and E.M. + Phos. + Sal.

INTRODUCTION

The clove basil plant (*Ocimum gratissimum*, L.) belonging to Family Lamiaceae is an aromatic, perennial herb. It is used commonly for flavoring many food products, general tonic, anti – diarrhea agent. The leaf oil when mixed with alcohol is applied as a lotion for skin infection and taken internally for bronchitis. The dried leaves are snuffed to alleviate headaches and fever among other uses (Iwu, 1993). Leaves extract used for urinary tract, wound, skin and antibacterial and antifungal. Essential oil is an important insect repellent, it applied against fever, inflammations of the throat, ears or eyes, stomach pain, influenza, diarrhea and skin diseases. It is being tested as an antibiotic and antioxidant activities (Biasi *et al.*, 2009).

Many authors studied the effect of organic manure fertilization treatments on growth, essential oil (percent and yield) and chemical composition of several plant species as Jacoub (1999); El-Gendy et al. (2001); Kandeel et al. (2002); Mohsen (2002) on sweet basil plants; El-

Ghadban et al. (2003) and El-Sanafawy (2007) on Majorana hortensis; Heikal (2005) on Thymus vulgaris; El-Maadawy (2007) on Tagetes erecta; El-Leithy et al. (2007) on Origanum syriacum; Abdalla (2009) on coriander plants and Abdou et al. (2009a) and (2009d) on caraway and fennel plants, respectively who concluded that organic fertilization treatments significantly increased vegetative growth traits, volatile oil parameters and chemical composition compared with control.

The beneficial effects of bio-fertilizer treatments on vegetative growth traits, essential oil and chemical composition of some aromatic plants were obtained by Youssef et al. (2004) on sage plants; Abdou et al. (2004a; 2004b and 2009d) on fennel plants; El-Leithy et al. (2007) on Origanum syriacum; El-Maadawy (2007) on Tagetes erecta; Erika et al. (2008) on marjoram plants, El-Shora (2009) and Abd El-Hadi (2009) on Mentha spp.; Abdou et al. (2009a) on caraway plants. They found that bio-fertilization treatments (N₂ – fixing bacteria and / or phosphate dissolving bacteria) led to an increment in vegetative growth parameters, essential oil (percent and yield), as well as, chemical constituents (chlorophyll a, b and carotenoids contents and N, P and K % in the leaves of plants).

The effect of salicylic acid was investigated on several medicinal and aromatic plants, it was found that the vegetative growth traits, oil (percent and yield) and some chemical composition increased due to salicylic acid application on some various plants, such as *Tagetes minuta* (Ali, 2004); caraway plants (Al–Shareif, 2006 and Abdou et al., 2009a); coriander plants (Ayat, 2007); sweet basil and marjoram plants (Abd El–Lateef, 2007) and geranium plants (ibrahim, 2010).

Many research worker gained best growth, yield, oil percentage, oil yield and chemical constituents for several aromatic plants when mineral NPK was used, such as Khafaga et al. (2000); Mohsen (2002); Singh et al. (2004); Abd El–Lateef (2007); El–Sanafawy (2007) and Rao et al. (2007) on sweet basil; Mahfouz (2003) and El–Hindi and El–Boraie (2005) on marjoram plants; Shala (2007) on sage plants; Abdelaziz (2007) on rosemary plants; Abdalla (2009) on coriander plants; Ardelan et al. (2010) on Satureja hortensis and Ibrahim (2010) on geranium plants.

This study was designed to study the effect of using FYM, bio. and/or salicylic acid, as well as, mineral NPK on the some vegetative growth characteristics, essential oil percent and yield and chemical composition of clove basil plants.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

A field experiment was carried out during the two growing seasons of 2010 and 2011 at the Floriculture Nursery and the Laboratory of Floriculture, Faculty of Agriculture, Minia University to study the response of clove basil plants to FYM, bio. and/or salicylic acid, as well as, mineral NPK fertilization treatments. The seedlings of clove basil plants at the stage of 4-5 leaves and 12-13 cm in height were planted in the experimental field on the middle of March in both seasons.

J. Plant Production, Mansoura Univ., Vol. 2 (11), November, 2011

The layout of this experiment was split plot design with three replicates. The experimental unit (plot) was 2 x 2 m and containing 5 rows, 40 cm apart, and the seedlings were cultivated in hills, 40 cm apart, therefore, each plot contained 25 plants. Farmyard manure levels (0, 25, 35 and 45 m³/fed.) were assigned to the main-plots and seven treatments (control, phosphorein, E.M., E.M. + phosphorein, salicylic acid, E.M. + phosphorein + salicylic acid and mineral NPK) occupied the sub-plots. The physical and chemical analyses of the used soil in both seasons are shown in Table (A). Farmyard manure (FYM) was obtained from a private animal farm and added during preparing the soil to cultivation in the two experimental seasons. The chemical analysis of FYM was done according Black *et al.* (1965) and is shown in Table (B).

Fresh and active two biofertilizers were used in this research. Phosphorein (Phos.), which containing phosphate dissolving bacteria, was obtained from Ministry of Agric., while E.M. (Effective microorganisms) was obtained from Laboratory of Bio., Dept. of Genetics, Fac. of Agric., Minia Univ. The biofertilizers were applied either separately or in a mixture twice to the soil around each plant at 5 kg / fed. of Phos. and 50 ml / plant of E.M. (1 ml contains 10⁷ cells of bacteria). The first dose was added after 2 weeks from transplanting and the second one after 2 weeks from the first cut in both seasons and then plants were irrigated immediately. Salicylic acid (Sal.) at 150 ppm was applied as a foliar spry twice also at the same schedule mentioned in the biofertilizers treatments (the plants were sprayed till run off).

Table (A): Physical and chemical properties of the experimental soil at 0-30 cm depth in 2010 and 2011 seasons

V-30 CIII deptii iii	ZUTU ATTU ZUTT SEASUT	
Soil properties	Vai	lue
	2010	2011
Sand %	28.20	28.98
Silt %	30.70	29.87
Clay %	41.10	41.15
Soil type	Clay loam	Clay loam
Organic matter %	1.62	1.54
Ca Co ₃ %	2.09	2.11
pH (1:2.5)	7.82	7.75
E. C. (m mhos / cm)	1.04	1.08
Total N %	0.08	0.06
Available P %	15.12	15.67
Exch. K ⁺ mg/100 g	2.11	2.85
Exch. Ca ⁺⁺ mg/100 g	31.74	31.12
Exch. Na ⁺ mg/100 g	2.40	2.51
Fe	8.54	8.23
DTPA Cu	2.06	2.01
Ext. ppm Zn	2.75	2.87
Mn	8.26	8.11

Chemical fertilizer was used as a mixture of ammonium nitrate (33.5 % N), calcium superphosphate (15.5 % P_2O_5) and potassium sulphate (48 % K_2O) at the rates of 300, 250 and 150 kg / fed. respectively, and added in two equal doses, also at the same schedule mentioned in the treatments of

biofertilizers and / or Salicylic acid. All other agricultural practices were carried out as prevailing in the region.

Table (B): Chemical analysis of the applied farmyard manure in 2010 and 2011 seasons

Content of FYM	First season (2010)	Second season (2011)
Organic matter %	27.0	27.50
Carbon %	15.70	15.81
Total N %	0.82	0.91
C / N ratio	19.15	17.37
Humidity %	8.01	7.89
P %	0.25	0.28
K %	1.09	1.18
Fe ppm	980.3	838.5
Zn ppm	274.2	271.10
М л ррт	225.4	234.10
pH.	7.44	7.38
E. C. (m. mhose /cm)	1.08	1.06

The plants were harvested twice, the first cut was done on 10th of July and the second cut was done on October 13th in the two growing seasons. The following data were recorded during both seasons:

- Vegetative growth characters: Plant height (cm), number of branches per plant and herb fresh weight (g / plant) were determined for each cut. In addition, total herb fresh weight (g / plant) and (ton / fed.) for each season were calculated.
- Essential oil determination: Essential oil % in fresh herb, according to British Pharmaocopeia (1963), and essential oil yield (ml / plant) were determined for each cut. Moreover, essential oil yield (ml / plant) and (liter / fed.) for each season were calculated.
- Chemical analysis: For each cut, chlorophyll a, b and carotenoids (mg / g fresh weight) in the fresh leaves were determined according to Moran (1982). Nitrogen % was determined by using the modified micro kjeldahl method as described by Wilde et al. (1985). P % was estimated according to Chapman and Pratt (1975), while K % was determined using flame photometer method according to Cottenie et al. (1982). All data were tabulated and statistically analyzed according to MSTAT—C (1986) and the L.S.D. test at 5 % was followed to compare between the means.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Effect on vegetative growth:

Data in Tables (1, 2, 3 and 4) indicated that plant height, number of branches / plant / cut, herb fresh weight / plant / cut and per plant / season, as well as, per fed. / season were significantly increased due to all farmyard manure (FYM) treatments over control (without FYM) in both cuts and in the two growing seasons. The highest values for the five characters were obtained when FYM was added at the rate of 45 m³ / fed. (FYM₃). In addition, significant differences were also detected between the four levels of FYM for the five previous characters, except, between FYM₃ and FYM₂ for herb fresh

weight / plant / cut in the second cut during second season, it was not significant. The superiority of the treatments of FYM in enhancing the vegetative growth may be attributed to the mode of action of organic manure on the physical and chemical soil characters. FYM can improve soil, water and plant relations through modifying bulk density, total porosity and soil water retention (Abd El-Moez et al., 1999). Also, organic materials are degraded in the soil and consequently the nutrients became available which leading to increase the plant growth (Saha et al., 1995). These results are in agreement with those of El-Gendy et al. (2001) and Mohsen (2002) on sweet basil; El-Maadawy (2007) on Tagetes erecta; El-Leithy et al. (2007) on Origanum syriacum and Abdalla (2009) on coriander plants.

It is evident from data in Tables (1, 2, 3 and 4) that all six used treatments (sub-plots) significantly increased vegetative growth traits in comparison with the control (without any treatment) in both cuts during the two growing seasons. The highest values were obtained due to the treatment of mineral NPK fertilization followed by the mixture of biofertilizers (E.M. + Phos.) plus salicylic acid without significant differences between them.

The superiority of NPK treatment and the treatment of biofertilizers + salicylic acid in promoting the vegetative growth may be attributed to the increase of N, P and K in root zone from chemical fertilizers. Effective microorganisms (E.M.) increase soil available N. consequently increase formation of metabolites which encourage the plant growth (Sperenat, 1990). Furthermore, the phosphate solublizing bacteria (phosphorein) has enormous potential to solubilize about 50 - 60 % of fixed phosphorus in the soil by secreting organic acids within a short time (Vyas and Vyas, 1994). While, salicylic acid has direct involvement in plant growth (Gorddon et al., 1997). The increase in vegetative growth due to mineral NPK was deduced by Singh et al. (2004) on sweet basil; El-Hindi and El-Boraie (2005) on Majorana hortensis and Ardelan et al. (2010) on Satureja hortensis. Meanwhile, the role of biofertilizers in increasing vegetative growth traits was also stated by Abdou et al. (2009a) and (2009c) and (2009d) on caraway, guar and fennel plants, respectively. In this respect, Ali (2004) on Tagetes minuta; Al-Shareif (2006) on caraway and Ibrahim (2010) on geranium proved that salicylic acid treatment increased all vegetative growth traits.

The interaction between main-plot and sub-plot was significant for the five studied characters of vegetative growth in the two cuts during both seasons. The best interaction treatments were obtained due to FYM3 + NPK or FYM3 + E.M. + Phos. + Sal. (for plant height and number of branches / plant / cut) and FYM3 with NPK or E.M. + Phos. + Sal. or FYM2 with NPK (for fresh weight of herb / plant / cut), as well as, FYM3 or FYM2 in combination with NPK or E.M. + Phos. + Sal. for fresh weight of herb / plant / season and per feddan / season (Tables 1, 2, 3 and 4). In general the best vegetative growth for all treatments was obtained in the second cut in comparison with first cut in the two growing seasons. This may be due to more decomposition of organic materials at the end of the seasons.

1455

Table (1): Effect of FYM, bio. and/or salicylic acid and NPK treatments on plant height of Ocimum gratissimum L. plant in the first and second during 2010 and 2011 seasons

Plant height (cm)

First season (2010) **Treatments** First cut Second cut

	FYM.	FYM ₁	FYM ₂	FYM ₃	Mean A	FYM ₀	FYM,	FYM ₂	FYM,	Mean A
Control	36.03	42.55	47.05	50.55	44.05	37.55	44.15	48.55	51.75	45.50
Phos.	40.28	47.06	51.70	54.70	48.44	41.55	48.36	53.30	55.55	49.69
E.M.	41.55	48.61	52.25	56.10	49.63	42.75	50.01	55.46	57.05	51.32
Phos. + E.M.	42.93	50.22	54.00	57.74	51.22	44.06	51.73	57.31	58.85	52.99
Sai.	43.65	50.95	54.81	58.35	51.94	44.65	52.35	58.02	59.36	53.60
Phos.+E.M.+ Sal.	46.55	54.88	58.33	61.75	55.38	47.28	56.37	61.55	62.95	57.04
NPK	47.28	55.65	59.05	62.15	56.03	48.15	57.05	62.10	64.18	57.87
Mean (B)	42.61	49.99	53.88	57.33		43.71	51.43	56.61	58.53	
L.S.D. at 5 %	A: 0.94		3: 1.30	А	B: 2.66	A: 0.63	8	: 0.99	7	AB: 1.98
[Se	cond se	ason (2	011)			
Control	37.18	43.78	48.49	52.20	45.41	38.30	44.80	49.65	53.50	46.56
Phos.	41.11	47.65	52.25	55.60	49.15	41.00	48.30	52.15	56.82	49.57
E.M.	42.35	49.05	53.95	56.95	50.58	42.68	50.00	54.18	58.67	51.58
Phos. + E.M.	43.70	50.75	55.75	58.86	52.27	44.65	51.65	56.09	60.62	53.25
Sal.	44.30	51.37	56.66	59.48	52,95	45.15	52.25	56.86	61.05	53.83
Phos.+E.M.+ Sal.	47.28	55.48	60.47	63.67	56.73	48.35	56.05	60.95	65.86	57.80
NPK	49.15	56.15	60.98	64.08	57.59	49.55	56.85	61.55	66.25	58.55
Mean (B)	43.58	50.60	55.51	58.69		44.24	51.41	55.92	60.40	
L.S.D. at 5 %	A: 0.87		3: 1.03	-	B: 2.06	A: 0.49	B	0.87		AB: 1.74

Phos. = phosphorein

E.M. = Effective microorganisms

Table (2): Effect of FYM, bio. and/or salicylic acid and NPK treatments on number of branches of Ocimum gratissimum, L. plant in the first and second cut during 2010 and 2011 seasons

	Number of branches / plant											
	<u></u>				er of br	anches						
Treatments	1		First co	ıt_			S	econd	cut			
rreautients				Fi	rst seas	on (20	10)					
L	FYM.	FYM₁	FYM ₂	FYM,	Mean A	FYM _o	FYM,	FYM ₂	FYM,	Mean A		
Control	9.35	10.15	10.75	11.05	10.33	10.25	11.10	11.81	12.22	11.35		
Phos.	9.87	10.70	11.33	11.45	10.84	10.65	11.55	12.44	12.65	11.82		
E.M.	10.10	11.05	11.73	11.90	11.20	10.97	11.95	12.95	13.16	12.26		
Phos. + E.M.	10.57	11.70	12.48	12.70	11.86	11.52	12.65	13.75	14.01	12.98		
Sal.	10.68	11.85	12.66	12.91	12.03	11.70	12.76	13.86	14.12	13.11		
Phos. + E.M. + Sal.	12.05	13.05	13.46	14,06	13.16	12.69	13.77	14.99	15.08	14.14		
NPK	12.40	13.45	13.55	14.16	13.39	13.09	14.17	15.09	15.11	14.37		
Mean (B)	10.71	11.71	12.28	12.61		11.55	12.56	13.56	13.76			
L.S.D. at 5 %	A: 0.12	B: 0	.29	AB: 0	.57	A: 0.18	_ B	: 0.24	A	B: 0.45		
				Sec	ond sea	ason (2	(011)					
Control	9.65	10.53	11.04	11.29	10.63	10.63	11.55	12.30	12.75	11.81		
Phos.	10.06	11.00	11.59	11.70	11.09	11.08	12.06	12.85	13.17	12.29		
E.M.	10.31	11.25	12.02	12.17	11.44	11.43	12.66	13.47	13.84	12.85		
Phos. + E.M.	10.66	11.95	12.83	13.02	12.12	12.05	13.48	14.38	14.75	13.67		
Sal.	10.79	12.08	12.98	13.18	12.26	12.21	13.63	14.46	14.87	13.79		
Phos. + E.M. + Sal.	11.63		13.83	14.19	13.16	13.26	14.59	15.25	15.65	14.69		
NPK	12.73	13.70	13.80	14.40	13.58	14.12	15.11	15.35	15.84	15.11		
Mean (B)	10.83	11.93	12.59	12.85		12.11	13.30	14.01	14.41			
L.S.D. at 5 %	A: 0.25	B: 0	.22	AB:	0.44	A: 0.32	B: (0.23	AB	: 0.46		

Phos. = phosphorein

E.M. = Effective microorganisms

Sal. = salicylic acid

Table (3): Effect of FYM, bio. and/or salicylic acid and NPK treatments on herb fresh weight of Ocimum gratissimum L. plant in the first and second cut during 2010 and 2011 seasons

										
L				veight o	f herb (g / plan	<u>t)</u>			
		First cu	ıt		Second cut					
			F	irst seas	son (201	10)				
FYM _o	FYM₁	FYM ₂	FYM ₃	Mean A	FYM.	FYM ₁	FYM ₂	FYM ₃	Mean A	
140.07	167.84	196.76	227.79	183.12	165.33	195.28	225.38	253.91	209.98	
160.26	191.83	223.15	251.42	206.67	183.17	217.86	250.14	273.49	231.17	
177.96	220.3	254.80	280.42	233.37	201.51	248.58	282.73	302.96	258.95	
207.07	254.83	292.17	314.97	267.26	225.9	284.49	320.24	338.93	292.39	
219.25	267.15	305.84	327.10	279.84	235.07	295.19	329.80	346.47	301.63	
260.48	311.72	356.54	371.16	324.98	285.27	340.88	380.27	387.76	348.55	
278.96	327.79	374.16	383.97	341.22	299.06	356.59	398.57	400.95	363.79	
206.29	248.78	286.20	308.12		227.90	277.02	312.45	329.21		
A: 13.7	5 B:	23.0		AB: 46	A: 11.1	0 B: 2	1.05	AB:	42.10	
			Sec	ond sea	son (20	11)				
152.44	182.30	211.99	244.91	197.91	182.65	214.55	245.96	280.99	231.04	
170.07	206.53	237.99	267.25	220.46	202.99	238.28	273.14	301.28	253.92	
188.00	236.55	270.78	293.49	247.21	224.26	271.73	305.89	324.47	281.59	
213.21	272.27	308.15	324.3	279.48	248.93	309.27	346.28	352.80	314.32	
225.72	282.82	320.10	332.62	290.32	262.29	318.97	358.73	362.37	325.59	
265.19	330.80	372.19	377.77	336.49	302.71	367.27	411.41	414.73	374.03	
287.70	345.73	384.49	389.60	351.88	320.47	384.03	429.01	424.60	389.53	
214.62	265.29	300.81	318.56		249.19	300.59	338.63	351.61		
A: 10.6	7 B: 1	9.58	AB: 38.	.32	A: 14.4	2 B: 1	8.6		AB: 37.2	
	FYM ₀ 140.07 160.26 177.96 207.07 219.25 260.48 278.96 206.29 A: 13.7 152.44 170.07 188.00 213.21 225.72 265.19 287.70 214.62	FYM ₀ FYM ₁ 140.07 167.84 160.26 191.83 177.96 220.3 207.07 254.83 219.25 267.15 260.48 311.72 278.96 327.79 206.29 248.78 A: 13.75 B: 152.44 182.30 170.07 206.53 188.00 236.55 213.21 272.27 225.72 282.82 265.19 330.80 287.70 345.73 214.62 265.29 A: 10.67 B: 1	First ci FYMo FYM1 FYM2 140.07 167.84 196.76 160.26 191.83 223.15 177.96 220.3 254.80 207.07 254.83 292.17 219.25 267.15 305.84 260.48 311.72 356.54 278.96 327.79 374.16 206.29 248.78 286.20 A: 13.75 B: 23.0 152.44 182.30 211.99 170.07 206.53 237.99 188.00 236.55 270.78 213.21 272.27 308.15 225.72 282.82 320.10 265.19 330.80 372.19 287.70 345.73 384.49 214.62 265.29 300.81 A: 10.67 B: 19.58	Fresh v First cut FYM ₀ FYM ₁ FYM ₂ FYM ₃ 140.07 167.84 196.76 227.79 160.26 191.83 223.15 251.42 177.96 220.3 254.80 280.42 207.07 254.83 292.17 314.97 219.25 267.15 305.84 327.10 260.48 311.72 356.54 371.16 278.96 327.79 374.16 383.97 206.29 248.78 286.20 308.12 A: 13.75 B: 23.0 Sec 152.44 182.30 211.99 244.91 170.07 206.53 237.99 267.25 188.00 236.55 270.78 293.49 213.21 272.27 308.15 324.3 225.72 282.82 320.10 332.62 265.19 330.80 372.19 377.77 287.70 345.73 384.49 389.60 214.62 265.29 300.81 318.56	Fresh weight of First cut First cut First seases FYMo FYM1 FYM2 FYM3 Mean A 140.07 167.84 196.76 227.79 183.12 160.26 191.83 223.15 251.42 206.67 177.96 220.3 254.80 280.42 233.37 207.07 254.83 292.17 314.97 267.26 219.25 267.15 305.84 327.10 279.84 260.48 311.72 356.54 371.16 324.98 278.96 327.79 374.16 383.97 341.22 206.29 248.78 286.20 308.12 A: 13.75 B: 23.0 AB: 46 Second sea 152.44 182.30 211.99 244.91 197.91 170.07 206.53 237.99 267.25 220.46 188.00 236.55 270.78 293.49 247.21 213.21 272.27 308.15 324.3 279.48 225.72 282.82 320.10 332.62 290.32 265.19 330.80 372.19 377.77 336.49 287.70 345.73 384.49 389.60 351.88 214.62 265.29 300.81 318.56 A: 10.67 B: 19.58 AB: 38.32	Fresh weight of herb (spiral cut) First cut First season (20° 140.07 167.84 196.76 227.79 183.12 165.33 160.26 191.83 223.15 251.42 206.67 183.17 177.96 220.3 254.80 280.42 233.37 201.51 207.07 254.83 292.17 314.97 267.26 225.9 219.25 267.15 305.84 327.10 279.84 235.07 260.48 311.72 356.54 371.16 324.98 285.27 278.96 327.79 374.16 383.97 341.22 299.06 206.29 248.78 286.20 308.12 227.90 A: 13.75 B: 23.0 AB: 46 A: 11.1 Second season (20° 152.44 182.30 211.99 244.91 197.91 182.65 170.07 206.53 237.99 267.25 220.46 202.99 188.00 236.55 270.78 293.49 247.21 224.26 213.21 272.27 308.15 324.3 279.48 248.93 225.72 282.82 320.10 332.62 290.32 262.29 265.19 330.80 372.19 377.77 336.49 302.71 287.70 345.73 384.49 389.60 351.88 320.47 214.62 265.29 300.81 318.56 249.19 A: 10.67 B: 19.58 AB: 38.32 A: 14.4	Fresh weight of herb (g / plan First cut S First season (2010) FYM ₀ FYM ₁ FYM ₂ FYM ₃ Mean A FYM ₀ FYM ₁ 140.07 167.84 196.76 227.79 183.12 165.33 195.28 160.26 191.83 223.15 251.42 206.67 183.17 217.86 177.96 220.3 254.80 280.42 233.37 201.51 248.58 207.07 254.83 292.17 314.97 267.26 225.9 284.49 219.25 267.15 305.84 327.10 279.84 235.07 295.19 260.48 311.72 356.54 371.16 324.98 285.27 340.88 278.96 327.79 374.16 383.97 341.22 299.06 356.59 206.29 248.78 286.20 308.12 227.90 277.02 A: 13.75 B: 23.0 AB: 46 A: 11.10 B: 2 Second season (2011) 152.44 182.30 211.99 244.91 197.91 182.65 214.55 170.07 206.53 237.99 267.25 220.46 202.99 238.28 188.00 236.55 270.78 293.49 247.21 224.26 271.73 213.21 272.27 308.15 324.3 279.48 248.93 309.27 225.72 282.82 320.10 332.62 290.32 262.29 318.97 265.19 330.80 372.19 377.77 336.49 302.77 367.27 287.70 345.73 384.49 389.60 351.88 320.47 384.03 214.62 265.29 300.81 318.56 249.19 300.59 A: 10.67 B: 19.58 AB: 38.32 A: 14.42 B: 1	Fresh weight of herb (g / plant) First cut First season (2010) FYM ₀ FYM ₁ FYM ₂ FYM ₃ Mean A FYM ₀ FYM ₁ FYM ₂ 140.07 167.84 196.76 227.79 183.12 165.33 195.28 225.38 160.26 191.83 223.15 251.42 206.67 183.17 217.86 250.14 177.96 220.3 254.80 280.42 233.37 201.51 248.58 282.73 207.07 254.83 292.17 314.97 267.26 225.9 284.49 320.24 219.25 267.15 305.84 327.10 279.84 235.07 295.19 329.80 260.48 311.72 356.54 371.16 324.98 285.27 340.88 380.27 278.96 327.79 374.16 383.97 341.22 299.06 356.59 398.57 206.29 248.78 286.20 308.12 227.90 277.02 312.45 A: 13.75 B: 23.0 AB: 46 A: 11.10 B: 21.05 Second season (2011) 152.44 182.30 211.99 244.91 197.91 182.65 214.55 245.96 170.07 206.53 237.99 267.25 220.46 202.99 238.28 273.14 188.00 236.55 270.78 293.49 247.21 224.26 271.73 305.89 213.21 272.27 308.15 324.3 279.48 248.93 309.27 346.28 225.72 282.82 320.10 332.62 290.32 262.29 318.97 358.73 265.19 330.80 372.19 377.77 336.49 302.71 367.27 411.41 287.70 345.73 384.49 389.60 351.88 320.47 384.03 429.01 214.62 265.29 30.81 318.56 249.19 300.59 338.63 A: 10.67 B: 19.58 AB: 38.32 A: 14.42 B: 18.6	First cut First season (2010) FYM ₀ FYM ₁ FYM ₂ FYM ₃ Mean A FYM ₀ FYM ₁ FYM ₂ FYM ₃ 140.07 167.84 196.76 227.79 183.12 165.33 195.28 225.38 253.91 160.26 191.83 223.15 251.42 206.67 183.17 217.86 250.14 273.49 177.96 220.3 254.80 280.42 233.37 201.51 248.58 282.73 302.96 207.07 254.83 292.17 314.97 267.26 225.9 284.49 320.24 338.93 219.25 267.15 305.84 327.10 279.84 235.07 295.19 329.80 346.47 260.48 311.72 356.54 371.16 324.98 285.27 340.88 380.27 387.76 278.96 327.79 374.16 383.97 341.22 299.06 356.59 398.57 400.95 206.29 248.78 286.20 308.12 227.90 277.02 312.45 329.21 A: 13.75 B: 23.0 AB: 46 A: 11.10 B: 21.05 AB: Second season (2011) 152.44 182.30 211.99 244.91 197.91 182.65 214.55 245.96 280.99 170.07 206.53 237.99 267.25 220.46 202.99 238.28 273.14 301.28 188.00 236.55 270.78 293.49 247.21 224.26 271.73 305.89 324.47 213.21 272.27 308.15 324.3 279.48 248.93 309.27 346.28 352.80 225.72 282.82 320.10 332.62 290.32 262.29 318.97 358.73 362.37 265.19 330.80 372.19 377.77 336.49 302.71 367.27 411.41 414.73 287.70 345.73 384.49 389.60 351.88 320.47 384.03 429.01 424.60 214.62 265.29 300.81 318.56 249.19 300.59 338.63 351.61 A: 10.67 B: 19.58 AB: 38.32 A: 14.42 B: 18.6	

Phos. = phosphorein E.M. = Effective microorganisms

Sal. = salicylic acid

Table (4): Effect of FYM, bio. and / or salicylic acid and NPK treatments on total herb fresh weight per plant and feddan per season of Ocimum gratissimum L. plant during 2010 and 2011 seasons

			season						n (201	1)
Treatments		Tota	al fresh	weight	of herb	/ plant	seaso	n (g / p	lant)	
L	FYM _o	FYM ₁	FYM ₂	FYM ₃	Mean A	FYM ₀	FYM,	FYM ₂	FYM ₃	Mean A
Control	305.40	363.12	422.14	481.70	393.09	335.09	396.85	457.95	525.90	428.95
Phos.	343.43	409.69	473.29	524.91	437.83	373.06	444.81	511.10	568.53	474.38
E.M.	379.47	468.87	537.53	583.38	492.31	412.26	508.28	576.67	617.96	528.79
Phos. + E.M.	433.97	539.32	612.41	653.90	559.90	462.14	581.54	654.43	677.1	593.80
Sal.	454.32	562.35	635.64	673.57	585.97	488.01	601.79	678.83	694.99	615.91
Phos.+E.M.+ Sal.	545.75	652.60	736.81	758.92	673.52	565.90	698.07	783.60	788.16	708.93
NPK	578.02	684.38	772.73	784.92	705.01	606.58	729.96	813.5	814.20	741.06
Mean (B)	434.34	525.76	598.65	637.33		463.29	565.9	639.44	669.55	
L.S.D. at 5 %	A: 22.0	4 B:	31.51	AE	3: 63.02	A: 29.9	3 B	: 32.18	A	B: 64.36
		Tot	al fresh	weight	of herb	/ fed. /	season	(ton / 1	fed.)	
Control	7.64	9.08	10.58	12.04	9.84	8.38	9.92	11.45	13.15	10.72
Phos.	8.14	10.24	11.83	13.12	10.83	9.33	11.12	12.78	14.21	11.86
E. <u>M.</u>	9.49	11.72	13.44	14.59	12.31	10.31	12.71	14.37	15.45	13.21
Phos. + E.M.	10.82	13.48	15.31	16.35	13.99	11.45	14.54	16.36	16.93	14.82
Sal.	11.36	14.06	15.89	16.84	14.54	12.20	15.04	16.97	17.37	15.40
Phos.+E.M.+ Sal.	13.64	16.32	18.42	18.97	16.84	14.15	17.45	19.59	19.70	17.72
NPK	14.45	17.11	19.32	19.62	17.63	15.16	18.25	20.34	20.36	18.53
Mean (B)	10.79	13.14	14.97	15.93		11.57	14.15	15.98	16.74	
L.S.D. at 5 %	A: 0.93	B: 0	.80	A	B: 1.60	A: 0.71	B: (0.83		AB: 1.66

Phos. = phosphorein

E.M. = Effective microorganisms

Effect on essential oil productivity:

The obtained results in Tables (5, 6 and 7) indicated that FYM as organic fertilizer at the three levels (25, 35 and 45 m³ / fed.) significantly increased essential oil percentage and essential oil yield (ml / plant either / cut or / season and liter / fed. / season) in clove basil fresh herb over those of control plants in the two cuts during both seasons. The highest values were obtained from the high level of FYM (45 m³ / fed.). In general, the values of essential oil % and oil yield / plant / cut in the second cut of both seasons were higher than those in the first cut. This may be due to the direct effect of FYM or indirect effect of environmental conditions, especially temperature and light period on the physiological and biochemical processes in the plants consequently oil percentage that reflect on oil yield. In agreement with these results were those found by Jacoub (1999) and Mohsen (2002) on sweet basil and Abdalla (2009) on coriander plants.

Data in the same Tables indicated also that the treatments of bio. and / or Sal., as well as, NPK significantly increased oil % and oil yield (per plant / cut, per plant / season and per fed./ season) in the two growing seasons compared with control (without any addition). Concerning the essential oil %, the obtained data showed that the treatment of E.M. + Phos. + Sal. was more effective than other treatments. This treatment gave the highest values of essential oil % followed by Sal. treatment then mineral NPK without significant differences among them.

Table (5): Effect of FYM, bio. and/or salicylic acid and NPK treatments on essential oil percentage of *Ocimum gratissimum* L. plant in the first and second cut during 2010 and 2011 seasons

LIIG II	Jacan	1 3000	/III CU	Luuin	y Lui	Vallu	2011	3 Ca3)!!S
				Essentia	l oil (%)		_	
		First cu	ıt			S	econd	cut	
			Fi	rst seas	on (201	0)			
FYM ₀	FYM ₁	FYM ₂	FYM ₃	Mean A	FYM.	FYM ₁	FYM ₂	FYM ₃	Mean A
0.68	0.71	0.75	0.77	0.727	0.69	0.73	0.76	0.79	0.743
0.69	0.72	0.77	0.79	0.743	0.71	0.77	0.79	0.81	0.771
0.71	0.74	0.80	0.81	0.765	0.73	0.79	0.83	0.85	0.800
0.74	0.75	0.80	0.83	0.780	0.73	0.80	0.85	0.86	0.810
0.76	0.79	0.85	0.87	0.818	0.78	0.85	0.91	0.92	0.845
0.77	0.80	0.86	0.87	0.825	0.79	0.87	0.91	0.93	0.865
0.75	0.78	0.83	0.84	0.800	0.76	0.82	0.89	0.91	0.876
0.729	0.755	0.809	0.826		0.742	0.804	0.849	0.867	
A: 0.01	6 B	0.028	Α	B: 0.056	A: 0.01	2 B:	0.035	AE	3: 0.070
			Sec	ond sea	son (20	011)			
0.69	0.72	0.76	0.78	0.738	0.72	0.77	0.80	0.82	0.778
0.71	0.75	0 <u>.7</u> 9	0.80	0.763	0.75	0.80	0.84	0.87	0.815
0.73	0.78	0.80	0.82	0.783	0.75	0.81	0.85	0.89	0.825
0.74	0.81	0.82	0.84	0.803	0.76	0.83	0.86	0.92	0.843
0.77	0.84	0.86	0.88	0.838	0.79	0.88	0.92	0.98	0.893
0.77	0.85	0.87	0.88	0.843	0.81	0.89	0.94	0.99	0.908
0.76	0.82	0.84	0.85	0.817	0.78	0.86	0.88	0.95	0.868
0.739	0.796	0.820	0.836		0.767	0.835	0.870	0.917	
A: 0.01	5 B: (0.054	A: 0.03	3 B:			: 0.080
	FYM ₀ 0.68 0.69 0.71 0.76 0.77 0.75 0.729 A: 0.01 0.69 0.71 0.73 0.74 0.77 0.77	FYM ₆ FYM ₁ 0.68 0.71 0.69 0.72 0.71 0.74 0.74 0.75 0.76 0.79 0.77 0.80 0.75 0.78 0.729 0.755 A: 0.016 B 0.69 0.72 0.71 0.75 0.73 0.78 0.74 0.81 0.77 0.84 0.77 0.85 0.76 0.82 0.739 0.796	First cu FYM ₀ FYM ₁ FYM ₂ 0.68 0.71 0.75 0.69 0.72 0.77 0.71 0.74 0.80 0.76 0.79 0.85 0.77 0.80 0.86 0.75 0.78 0.83 0.729 0.755 0.809 A: 0.016 B: 0.028 0.69 0.72 0.76 0.71 0.75 0.79 0.73 0.78 0.80 0.74 0.81 0.82 0.77 0.84 0.86 0.77 0.84 0.86 0.77 0.85 0.87 0.76 0.82 0.84 0.739 0.796 0.820 A: 0.015 B: 0.027	First cut Figure 5	First cut	First cut	First cut	First cut	First cut First season (2010) FYM ₀ FYM ₁ FYM ₂ FYM ₃ Mean A FYM ₀ FYM ₁ FYM ₂ FYM ₃ 0.68 0.71 0.75 0.77 0.727 0.69 0.73 0.76 0.79 0.69 0.72 0.77 0.79 0.743 0.71 0.77 0.79 0.81 0.71 0.74 0.80 0.81 0.765 0.73 0.79 0.83 0.85 0.74 0.75 0.80 0.83 0.780 0.73 0.80 0.85 0.86 0.76 0.79 0.85 0.87 0.818 0.78 0.85 0.91 0.92 0.77 0.80 0.86 0.87 0.818 0.78 0.85 0.91 0.93 0.75 0.78 0.83 0.84 0.800 0.76 0.82 0.89 0.91 0.729 0.755 0.809 0.826 0.742 0.804 0.849 0.867 A: 0.016 B: 0.028 AB: 0.056 A: 0.012 B: 0.035 AB Second season (2011) 0.69 0.72 0.76 0.78 0.738 0.72 0.77 0.80 0.82 0.71 0.75 0.79 0.80 0.82 0.783 0.75 0.81 0.85 0.74 0.81 0.82 0.84 0.803 0.75 0.81 0.85 0.89 0.74 0.81 0.82 0.84 0.803 0.76 0.83 0.86 0.92 0.77 0.84 0.86 0.88 0.838 0.79 0.88 0.92 0.98 0.76 0.82 0.84 0.85 0.817 0.78 0.89 0.94 0.99 0.76 0.82 0.84 0.85 0.817 0.78 0.86 0.88 0.95 0.73 0.796 0.820 0.836 0.767 0.835 0.870 0.917 A: 0.015 B: 0.027 AB: 0.054 A: 0.033 B: 0.040 AB

Phos. = phosphorein

E.M. = Effective microorganisms

Table (6): Effect of FYM, bio. and/or salicylic acid and NPK treatments on essential oil yield (ml/plant) of *Ocimum gratissimum* L. plant in the first and second cut during 2010 and 2011 seasons

				Essent	ial oil y	ield (mi	/ plant))			
Treatments			First cu	t			S	econd c	ut		
reaunencs				Fi	rst seas	son (20	10)				
l	FYM ₀	FYM₁	FYM ₂	FYM ₃	Mean A	FYM _o	FYM,	FYM ₂	FYM,	Mean A	
Control	0.95	1.19	1.48	1.75	1.34	1.14	1.43	1.94	2.01	1.63	
Phos.	1.11	1.38	1.72	1.99	1.55	1.30	1.68	1.98	2.22	1.79	
E.M.	1.26	1.63	2.04	2.27	1.80	1.47	1.96	2.35	2.58	2.09	
Phos. + E.M.	1.53	1.91	2.34	2.61	2.10	1.65	2.28	2.72	2.91	2.39	
Sal.	1.67	2.11	2.60	2.85	2.31	1.83	2.51	3.00	3.19	2.63	
Phos.+ E.M. + Sal.	2.01	2.49	3.07	3.23	2.70	2.25	2.97	3.46	3.61	3.07	
NPK	2.09	2.56	3.11	3.23	2.75	2.27	2.92	3.55	3.65	3.10	
Mean (B)	1.52	1.90	2.33	2.56		1.70	2.25	2.71	2.88		
L.S.D. at 5 %	A: 0.02	0 AE	: 0.024	AB:	0.048	A: 0.01	4 B:	0.024	AB:	0.048	
				Sec	ond sea	ason (2	011)				
Control	1.05	1.31	1.61	1.91	1.47	1.32	1.65	1.97	2.30	1.81	
Phos.	1.21	1.55	1.88	2.14	1.69	1.52	1.91	2.29	2.62	2.09	
E.M.	1.37	1.85	2.17	2.41	1.95	1.68	2.20	2.60	2.89	2.34	
Phos. + E.M.	1.58	2.21	2.53	2.72	2.26	1.89	2.57	2.98	3.25	2.67	
Sal.	1.74	2.38	2.75	2.93	2.45	2.07	2.81	3.30	3.55	2.93	
Phos.+E.M.+ Sal.	2.04	2.81	3.24	3.32	2.85	2.45	3.27	3.87	4.11	3.42	
NPK	2.19	2.84	3.23	3.31	2.89	2.50	3.30	3.78	4.03	3.40	
Mean (B)	1.60	2.13	2.49	2.68		1.92	2.53	2.97	3.25		
L.S.D. at 5 %	A: 0.01	8 B:	0.028	AB	: 0.056	A: 0.02	0 E	3: 0.016	AB	: 0.032	
Phos. = phospho	orein										

Table (7): Effect of FYM, bio. and/or salicylic acid and NPK treatments on essential oil yield per plant (ml) and feddan (litre) per season of *Ocimum gratissimum* L. plant during 2010 and 2011 seasons

	20112									
	Γ	First	season	(2010)			Second	seasc	n (201	1)
Treatments			Ess	ential o	il yield /	plant / season (ml)				
	FYM ₀	FYM₁	FYM ₂	FYM ₃	Mean A	FYM _o	FYM ₁	FYM ₂	FYM ₁	Mean A
Control	2.09	2.62	3.42	3.76	2.97	2.37	2.96	3.58	4.21	3.28
Phos.	2.41	3.06	3.70	4.21	3.35	2.73	3.46	4.17	4.76	3.78
E.M.	2.73	3.59	4.39	4.85	3.89	3.05	4.05	4.77	5.30	4.29
Phos. + E.M.	3.18	4.19	5.06	5.52	4.49	3.47	4.78	5.51	5.97	4.93
Sal.	3.50	4.62	5.60	6.04	4.94	3.81	5.19	6.05	6.48	5.38
Phos.+E.M.+ Sal.	4.26	5.46	6.53	6.84	5.77	4.49	6.08	7.11	7.43	6.28
NPK	4.36	5.48	6.66	6.88	5.85	4.69	6.14	7.01	7.34	6.30
Mean (B)	3.22	4.15	5.05	5.44		3.52	4.67	5.46	5.93	
L.S.D. at 5 %	A: 0.03	В:	0.04	Α	B: 0.08	A: 0.04	i	B: 0.05	A	B: 0.010
				Essent	ial oil yie	eld / fec	i. (liter)			
Control	52.25	65.50	85.50	94.00	74.31	59.25	74.00	89.50	105.25	82.00
Phos.	60.25	76.50	92.50	105.25	83.63	68.25	86.50	104.25	119.00	94.50
É.M.	68.25	89.75	109.75	121.25	97.25	76.25	101.25	119.25	132.50	107.31
Phos. + E.M.	79.50	104.75	126.50	138.00	112.19	86.75	119.50	137.75	149.25	123.31
Sal.	87.50	115.50	140.00	151.00	123.50	95.25	129.75	151.25	162.00	134.56
Phos.+E.M.+ Sal.	106.50	136.50	163.25	171.00	144.31	112.25	152.00	177.75	185.75	156.94
NPK	109.00	137.00	166.50	172.00	146.13	117.25	153.50	175.25	183.50	157.38
Mean (B)	80.46	103.64	126.29	136.07		87.89	116.64	136.43	148.18	
L.S.D. at 5 %	A. 2.33	В	: 1.75	Ā	3: 3.50	A: 2.74	В	: 1.18	A	B: 2.36
Phos. = phosphe			1. = Eff		nicroorg	anisms	S	al. = sa	licylic	acid

Regarding essential oil yield (per plant / cut or per plant / season and / fed. / season), the treatment of mineral NPK followed by the treatment of E.M. and Phos. plus Sal. gave significantly the highest values of oil yield in both seasons, in comparison with the other treatments.

The improvement in the essential oil % in the fresh herb as a result of application the bio-fertilization with salicylic acid could be explained through the interpretations of Youssef et al. (2004) on sage and El–Shora (2009) on spearmint plants, who demonstrated that the growth hormones producing by microorganisms improved essential oil content and yield. Moreover, Ibrahim (2010) on geranium plants stated that salicylic acid and its chemical derivatives (acetylsalicylic acid) have been reported to enhance the productivity of some secondary metabolites, also it enhance vegetative growth of plants and consequently reflected on the productivity of oil.

The role of mineral NPK in promoting essential oil yield was reported by Mahfouz (2003) on marjoram plants; Abd El-Lateef (2007); Rao et al. (2007) on Ocimum basilicum and Ardelan et al. (2010) on Satureja hortensis. While, the increase of oil yield as a result of used biofertilizers, was also reported by Youssef et al. (2004) on sage plants; Erika et al. (2008) on marjoram plants and El-Shora (2009) on Mentha piperita. In the meantime, Abdou et al. (2009a) on caraway plants and Abd El-Lateef (2007) on sweet basil and marjoram plants proved that the essential oil yield / plant for three cutting increased about two fold on a fresh weight with salicylic acid at 10⁻⁴ M in case of basil and 10⁻³ M in marjoram relative to untreated control.

The interaction between main-plot (FYM) and sub-plot (bio and/or salicylic acid, as well as, NPK fertilization) was significant in both cuts in the two seasons for essential oil % or essential oil yield (per plant / cut, per plant / season and per fed./ season) as illustrated in Tables (5, 6 and 7). The interaction treatment of FYM $_3$ x E.M. + Phos. + Sal. resulted the highest essential oil percentages, while the highest values of essential oil yield (per plant / cut, per plant / season and per feddan / season) were obtained due to adding FYM at the highest level (45 m 3 / fed.) in combination with mineral NPK or E.M. + Phos. + Sal.

Effect on chemical constituent:

Photosynthetic pigments:

Data in Tables (8, 9 and 10) indicated that all three FYM treatments significantly improved the contents of chlorophyll a, b and carotenoids (mg / g fresh weight) in the fresh leaves of clove basil plants in the two cuts during both experimental seasons over those of the untreated control plants. Among such three FYM fertilization treatments, the high level (45 m³ / fed.) gave the highest values in both cuts during the two seasons. Similar results were obtained by Kandeel et al. (2002) on sweet basil plants; Sakr (2005) on Cassia acutifolia; Abdalla (2009) on coriander plants and Abdou et al. (2009d) on fennel plants.

Table (8): Effect of FYM, bio. and/or salicylic acid and NPK treatments on chlorophyll a content (mg/g fresh weight) in the leaves of Ocimum gratissimum L. plant in the first and second cut during 2010 and 2011 seasons

during 2010 and 2011 seasons													
			Chlore	phyli a	content	(mg / g	fresh	weight)					
T44.			First cu	rt			S	econd	cut				
Treatments				Fi	rst seas	on (201	0)						
	FYM _o	FYM ₁	FYM ₂	FYM ₃	Mean A	FYM.	FYM ₁	FYM ₂	FYM,	Mean A			
Control	2.104	2.116	2.140	2.161	2.130	2.318	2.354	2.378	2.390	2.360			
Phos.	2.139	2.162	2.188	2.203	2.173	2.343	2.381	2.413	2.423	2.390			
E.M.	2,151	2.177	2.203	2.221	2.188	2.355	2.396	2.425	2.436	2.403			
Phos. + E.M.	2.166	2.195	2.221	2.239	2.205	2.375	2.420	2.445	2.457	2.424			
Sal.	2.184	2.216	2.245	2.266	2.228	2.404	2.451	2.474	2.485	2.454			
Phos,+E.M.+ Sal.	2.205	2.240	2.271	2.291	2.252	2.425	2.476	2.495	2.516	2.478			
NPK	2.219	2.255	2.287	2.305	2.267	2.441	2.494	2.521	2.528	2.496			
Mean (B)	2.167	2.194	2.222	2.241		2.380	2.425	2.450	2.462				
L.S.D. at 5 %	A: 0.02	2 B: 0	0.026	AB	: 0.052	A: 0.02	4 E	3: 0.027	A	B: 0.054			
				Sec	ond sea	son (20	111)						
Control	2.256	2.304	2.335	2.358	2.313	2.382	2.434	2.467	2,491	2.442			
Phos.	2.282	2.342	2.371	2.392	2.347	2.413	2.489	2.505	2.518	2.481			
E.M.	2.295	2.360	2.387	2.407	2.362	2.431	2.510	2.525	2.539	2.502			
Phos. + E.M.	2.306	2.376	2.401	2.421	2.376	2.447	2.528	2.544	2.561	2.521			
Sal.	2.330	2.412	2.435	2.455	2.408	2.479	2.569	2.584	2.603	2.559			
Phos.+E.M.+ Sal.	2.348	2.431	2.456	2.476	2.428	2.501	2.594	2.609	2.623	2.582			
NPK	2.360	2.447	2.468	2.487	2.441	2.513	2.608	2.615	2.628	2.591			
Mean (B)	2.311	2.382	2.408	2.428		2.452	2.5.33	2.550	2.566				
L.S.D. at 5 %	A: 0.02	4 E	: 0.026	A	B: 0.052	A: 0.01	7 B:	0.019	A	B: 0.038			
Phos. = phospho	orein	E.M	1. = Effe	ctive m	icroorga	nisms	S	al. = sa	licylic a	acid			

Table (9): Effect of FYM, bio. and/or salicylic acid and NPK treatments on chlorophyll b content (mg/g fresh weight) in the leaves of Ocimum gratissimum L. plant in the first and second cut during 2010 and 2011 seasons

<u> </u>	ing zu										
			Chloro	phyll b	content	(mg / g	fresh v	weight)			
Treatments			First cu	ıt		T	S	Second cut			
116aniidiica		-		Fi	rst seas	on (201	1 (2010)				
i	FYM ₀	FYM.	FYM ₂	FYM,	Mean A	FYM.	FYM ₁	FYM ₂	FYM,	Mean A	
Control	0.691	0.696	0.705	0.714	0.702	0.757	0.772	0.781	0.787	0.774	
Phos.	0.700	0.712	0.722	0.730	0.716	0.768	0.782	0.791	0.796	0.784	
E.M.	0.708	0.716	0.727	0.738	0.722	0.774	0.791	0.798	0.801	0.791	
Phos. + E.M.	0.714	0.723	0.734	0.742	0.728	0.782	0.799	0.807	0.807	0.799	
Sal.	0.721	0.731	0.743	0.752	0.737	0.794	0.810	0.818	0.818	0.810	
Phos.+E.M.+ Sal.	0.729	0.740	0.753	0.761	0.746	0.801	0.819	0.827	0.824	0.818	
NPK	0.736	0.746	0.758	0.765	0.751	0.807	0.826	0.833	0.829	0.824	
Mean (B)	0.714	0.723	0.735	0.743		0.783			0.809		
L.S.D. at 5 %	A: 0.00	8 B: (0.016	AE	: N.S	A: 0.01	<u>6</u> B	: 0.017		AB: N.S	
				Sec	ond sea						
Control	0.730	0.750	0.761	0.767	0.752	0.783	0.801	0.813	0.817	0.803	
Phos.											
	0.742	0.764	0.774	0.777	0.764	0.796	0.820	0.831	0.840	0.822	
E.M.	0.748	0.771	0.779	0.783	0.764 0.770				0.847	0.829	
E.M. Phos. + E.M.	0.748 0.754	0.771 0.778		0.783 0.791	0.770 0.777	0.796	0.820	0.831		0.829 0.837	
E.M.	0.748	0.771 0.778 0.794	0.779 0.786 0.801	0.783 0.791 0.812	0.770 0.777 0.793	0.796 0.808 0.814 0.821	0.820 0.825	0.831 0.836	0.847	0.829 0.837 0.850	
E.M. Phos. + E.M. Sal. Phos.+E.M.+ Sal.	0.748 0.754 0.765 0.771	0.771 0.778 0.794 0.802	0.779 0.786 0.801 0.809	0.783 0.791 0.812 0.818	0.770 0.777 0.793 0.800	0.796 0.808 0.814 0.821 0.830	0.820 0.825 0.837 0.849 0.853	0.831 0.836 0.841 0.858 0.865	0.847 0.855 0.871 0.879	0.829 0.837 0.850 0.857	
E.M. Phos. + E.M. Sal. Phos.+E.M.+ Sal. NPK	0.748 0.754 0.765 0.771 0.777	0.771 0.778 0.794 0.802 0.808	0.779 0.786 0.801 0.809 0.814	0.783 0.791 0.812 0.818 0.821	0.770 0.777 0.793	0.796 0.808 0.814 0.821 0.830 0.834	0.820 0.825 0.837 0.849 0.853 0.859	0.831 0.838 0.841 0.858 0.865 0.869	0.847 0.855 0.871 0.879 0.884	0.829 0.837 0.850	
E.M. Phos. + E.M. Sal. Phos.+E.M.+ Sal. NPK Mean (B)	0.748 0.754 0.765 0.771 0.777 0.755	0.771 0.778 0.794 0.802 0.808 0.781	0.779 0.786 0.801 0.809 0.814 0.789	0.783 0.791 0.812 0.818 0.821 0.795	0.770 0.777 0.793 0.800 0.805	0.796 0.808 0.814 0.821 0.830 0.834 0.812	0.820 0.825 0.837 0.849 0.853 0.859 0.835	0.831 0.836 0.841 0.858 0.865 0.869 0.845	0.847 0.855 0.871 0.879 0.884 0.856	0.829 0.837 0.850 0.857 0.862	
E.M. Phos. + E.M. Sal. Phos.+E.M.+ Sal. NPK	0.748 0.754 0.765 0.771 0.777	0.771 0.778 0.794 0.802 0.808 0.781 2 B	0.779 0.786 0.801 0.809 0.814 0.789 : 0.015	0.783 0.791 0.812 0.818 0.821 0.795	0.770 0.777 0.793 0.800	0.796 0.808 0.814 0.821 0.830 0.834 0.812 A: 0.01	0.820 0.825 0.837 0.849 0.853 0.859 0.835	0.831 0.838 0.841 0.858 0.865 0.869	0.847 0.855 0.871 0.879 0.884 0.856	0.829 0.837 0.850 0.857 0.862 B: 0.024	

Table (10): Effect of FYM, bio. and/or salicylic acid and NPK treatments on carotenoids content (mg/g fresh weight) in the leaves of Ocimum gratissimum L. plant in the first and second cut during 2010 and 2011 seasons

ut	iring 2	.UIU a									
r	1		Carot	enoids	content	(mg / g	fresh v	weight)			
Treatments			First cu	ıt		Second cut					
Heading				F	irst seas	on (20	10)				
	FYM ₀	FYM,	FYM ₂	FYM ₃	Mean A	FYM.	FYM ₁	FYM ₂	FYM ₃	Mean A	
Control	0.721	0.731	0.744	0.745	0.735	0.739	0.776	0.798	0.812	0.781	
Phos.	0.731	0.743	0.760	0.761	0.749	0.750	0.784	0.812	0.824	0.793	
E.M.	0.736	0.754	0.765	0.768	0.756	0.756	0.787	0.813	0.827	0.796	
Phos. + E.M.	0.742	0.760	0.770	0.775	0.762	0.770	0.792	0.816	0.828	0.802	
Sal.	0.748	0.767	0.780	0.783	0.770	0.786	0.805	0.829	0.839	0.815	
Phos.+E.M.+ Sal.	0.755	0.774	0.788	0.793	0.778	0.799	0.807	0.830	0.841	0.819	
NPK	0.761	0.780	0.791	0.797	0.782	0.807	0.810	0.832	0.843	0.823	
Mean (B)	0.742	0.758	0.771	0.775		0.772	0.794	0.819	0.831		
L.S.D. at 5 %	A: 0.00	9	B: 0.01		AB: N.S			800.0		AB: N.S	
				Se	cond sea						
Control	0.767	0.778	0.794	0.802	0.785	0.820	0.841	0.852	0.872	0.846	
Phos.	0.773	0.790	0.808	0.817	0.797	0.833	0.862	0.874	0.881	0.863	
E.M.	0.779	0.801	0.815	0.822	0.804	0.840	0.869	0.881	0.888	0.869	
Phos. + E.M.	0.785	0.809	0.822	0.836	0.813	0.852	0.872	0.887	0.895	0.876	
Sal.	0.797	0.824	0.836	0.847	0.826	0.864	0.889	0.899	0.909	0.890	
Phos.+E.M.+ Sal.	0.804	0.830	0.842	0.858	0.834	0.873	0.894	0.907	0.916	0.897	
NPK	0.805	0.831	0.841	0.861	0.834	0.876	0.899	0.914	0.918	0.902	
Mean (B)	0.787	0.809		0.834		0.851	0.875	0.888	0.897		
L.S.D. at 5 %	A: 0.01		0.011		AB: N.S			B: 0.01	3	AB: N.S	
Phos. = phospho	nein	F.N	4. = Eff	ective r	nicroorg	anisms	. S	al. = sa	licylic :	acid	

It is evident from data in Tables (8, 9 and 10) that all six tested treatments of bio. and / or salicylic acid, as well as, NPK significantly increased chlorophyll a, b and carotenoids contents over control treatment in the two cuts during the two growing seasons, except the treatment of phosphorein during second cut of first season for chlorophyll b, as there was no significant difference. The highest values of chlorophyll a were obtained from treatments of mineral NPK followed by E.M. + Phos. + Sal. While, the maximum contents of chlorophyll b and carotenoids in both cuts and in both seasons were obtained due to the treatments of NPK followed by E.M. + Phos. + Sal. then Sal. without significant differences among them.

The greatest effect of NPK on increasing photosynthetic pigments was recorded by Khafaga et al. (2000) and Mohsen (2002) on sweet basil plants; Shala (2007) on Salvia officinalis; Abdalla (2009) on coriander and Ibrahim (2010) on geranium plants. Biofertilization treatments were effective in increasing pigments contents as reported by Abdou et al. (2004a) and (2004b) on fennel plants; Abd El-Hadi et al. (2009) on Mentha spp. and Ashour (2010) on jojoba plants. Moreover, Al-Shareif (2006) and Abdou et al. (2009a) on caraway plants; Ayat (2007) on coriander plants; Abd El-Lateef (2007) on sweet basil and marjoram plants and Ibrahim (2010) on geranium plants pointed out that salicylic acid treatments enhanced the photosynthetic pigments in the fresh leaves of plants.

The interaction between main-plot and sub-plot (A x B) was significant in both cuts, in both seasons for chlorophyll a, it was also significant, only in the second cut during the second season for chlorophyll b, while, it was not significant for carotenoids in all cases. The highest values of

chlorophyll a were obtained from the interaction treatment of FYM $_3$ + NPK, while adding FYM $_3$ in combination with NPK followed by E.M. + Phos. + Sal. then Sal. or adding FYM $_2$ with NPK gave the highest values of chlorophyll b. N, P and K %:

Data in Tables (11, 12 and 13) indicated that fertilizing clove basil plants with FYM at three levels of 25, 35 and 45 $\rm m^3$ / fed. significantly led to enhance the percentages of the three elements of N, P and K in the dry herb of plants in comparison with the control plants (without fertilizers). The highest values were obtained from the treatment of high level of FYM (45 $\rm m^3$ / fed.).

The promoting effect of organic manure was found by many authors such as, El-Ghadban et al. (2003) and El-Sanafawy (2007) on marjoram plants; Heikal (2005) on *Thymus vulgaris*; Abdalia (2009) on coriander plants and Abdou et al. (2009a), (2009b) and (2009d) on caraway, borage and fennel plants.

Data in Tables (11, 12 and 13) also indicated that all six tested treatments (Phos., E.M., E.M. + Phos., Sal., E.M. + Phos. + Sal. and NPK) significantly increased N, P and K % in the dry herb of plants over the control in both cuts during the two growing seasons. The highest values of N and K % were obtained due to the treatments of NPK followed by the mixture of the two biofertilizers plus salicylic acid. Regarding the phosphorus percentage, significantly highest values were found in the dry herb of plants which fertilized by NPK, biofertilizers plus salicylic acid, the mixture of biofertilizers only and phosphorein.

Table (11): Effect of FYM, bio. and/or salicylic acid and NPK treatments on nitrogen % in dry herb of *Ocimum gratissimum* L. plant in the first and second cut during 2010 and 2011 seasons

	30030	114									
	Nitrogen %										
Treatments			Second cut								
	First season (2010)										
	FYM.	FYM ₁	FYM ₂	FYM ₃	Mean A	FYM.	FYM ₁	FYM ₂	FYM ₃	Mean A	
Control	1.621	1.632	1.651	1.674	1.645	1.725	1.742	1.797	1.828	1.773	
Phos.	1.652	1.673	1.682	1.695	1.676	1.756	1.785	1.835	1.857	1.808	
E.M.	1.744	1.785	1.805	1.818	1.788	1.821	1.859	1.914	1.945	1.885	
Phos. + E.M.	1.755	1.796	1.825	1.833	1.802	1.854	1.898	1.958	1.967	1.919	
Sal.	1.713	1.744	1.766	1.797	1.755	1.864	1.910	1.965	1.981	1.930	
Phos.+E.M.+ Sal.	1.786	1.837	1.867	1.878	1.842	1.897	1.956	1.978	1.985	1.954	
NPK	1.807	1.858	1.871	1.883	1.855	1.921	1.979	1.981	1.988	1.967	
Mean (B)	1.725	1.761	1.781	1.797		1.732	1.876	1.918	1.936		
L.S.D. at 5 %	A: 0.01	4	3: 0.015		AB: N.S			0.019		AB: N.S	
					Second	season	(2011)				
Control	1.673	1.687	1.724	1.751	1.709	1.724	1.748	1.779	1.792	1.761	
Phos.	1.704	1.729	1.759	1.776	1.742	1.757	1.791	1.805	1.818	1.793	
E.M.	1.792	1.822	1.855	1.891	1.840	1.856	1.887	1.910	1.934	1.897	
Phos. + E.M.	1.799	1.842	1.882	1.899	1.856	1.867	1.897	1.937	1.941	1.911	
Sal.	1.810	1.853	1.895	1.907	1.866	1.880	1.908	1.955	1.963	1.927	
Phos.+E.M.+ Sal.	1.841	1.897	1.923	1.932	1.898	1.897	1.957	1.978	1.988	1.955	
NPK	1.864	1.919	1.928	1.936	1.912	1.934	1.969	1.988	1.999	1.973	
Mean (B)	1.783	1.821	1.852	1.870		1.845	1.880	1.907	1.919		
L.S.D. at 5 %	A: 0.01	5 B:	0.018	Al	B: 0.036	A: 0.01	2 B	0.015	A	B: 0.030	

Phos. = phosphorein

E.M. = Effective microorganisms

Table (12): Effect of FYM, bio. and/or salicylic acid and NPK treatments on phosphorus % in dry herb of *Ocimum gratissimum* L. plant in the first and second cut during 2010 and 2011 seasons

	Phosphorus %										
Treatments	First cut Second cut										
	First season (2010)										
	FYM _o	FYM ₁	FYM ₂		Mean A			FYM₂	FYM,	Mean A	
Control	0.101	0.116	0.127	0.139	0.121	0.131	0.145	0.157	0.166	0.150	
Phos.	0.152	0.164	0.175	0.189	0.170	0.184	0.199	0.212	0.222	0.204	
E.M.	0.143	0.155	0.168	0.176	0.161	0.175	0.189	0.201	0.210	0.194	
Phos. + E.M.	0.159	0.173	0.187	0.198	0.179	0.192	0.208	0.222	0.233	0.214	
Sal.	0.124	0.141	0.152	0.168	0.146	0.158	0.172	0.185	0.194	0.177	
Phos.+E.M.+ Sal.	0.162	0.171	0.189	0.197	0.180	0.197	0.214	0.229	0.241	0.220	
NPK	0.169	0.178	0.189	0.199	0.184	0.204	0.221	0.236	0.248	0.227	
Mean (B)	0.144	0.157	0.170	0.181		0.177	0.193	0.206	0.216		
L.S.D. at 5 %	A: 0.01	1 <u>B</u>	: 0.021		3: 0.042			: 0.024	A	B: 0.048	
			_		cond sea		,				
Control	0.112	0.124	0.137	0.150	0.131	0.140	0.150	0.161	0.172	0.156	
Phos.	0.163	0.176	0.190	0.205	0.184	0.194	0.220	0.226	0.233	0.218	
E.M.	0.154	0.166	0.179	0.192	0.173	0.184	0.194	0.205	0.216	0.200	
Phos. + E.M.	0.172	0.186	0.201	0.217	0.194	0.203	0.216	0.230	0.245	0.224	
Sal.	0.135	0.147	0.160	0.173	0.154	0.167	0.177	0.188	0.200	0.183	
Phos.+E.M.+ Sal.	0.176	0.191	0.207	0.224	0.200	0.212	0.228	0.245	0.263	0.237	
NPK	0.183	0.198	0.214	0.231	0.207	0.219	0.235	0.252	0.271	0.244	
Mean (B)		0.170		0.199			0.203	0.215			
L.S.D. at 5 %	A: 0.01	3 B	0.023	AE	3: 0.046	A: 0.01	2 E	3: 0.027	/ A	B: 0.054	
Phos. = phosphorein E.M. = Effective microorganisms Sal. = salicylic acid											

Table (13): Effect of FYM, bio. and/or salicylic acid and NPK treatments

on potassium % in dry herb of Ocimum gratissimum L. plant in the first and second cut during 2010 and 2011

seasons

	3 5 030	119									
	Potassium %										
Treatments		_	First cu	ıt		Second cut					
	First season (2010)										
	FYM ₀	FYM₁	FYM ₂	FYM;	Mean A	FYM.	FYM,	FYM ₂	FYM ₃	Mean A	
Control	1.222	1.235	1.240	1.250	1.237	1.231	1.243	1.249	1.257	1.245	
Phos.	1.253	1.256	1.255	1.260	1.256	1.264	1.268	1.277	1.286	1.274	
E.M.	1.258	1.275	1.280	1.288	1.275	1.270	1.288	1.296	1.306	1.290	
Phos. + E.M.	1.302	1.332	1.338	1.344	1.329	1.315	1.346	1.358	1.369	1.347	
Sal.	1.344	1.345	1.349	1.353	1.348	1.358	1.359	1.369	1.381	1.367	
Phos.+E.M.+ Sal.	1.356	1.375	1.380	1.389	1.375	1.371	1.390	1.402	1.416	1.395	
NPK	1.362	1.385	1.384	1.396	1.382	1.377	1.400	1.413	1.426	1.404	
Mean (B)	1.300	1.315	1.318	1.326		1.312	1.328	1.338	1.349		
L.S.D. at 5 %	A: 0.00	7 B:	0.011	AE	3: N.S	A: 0.00	9 B	0.015	Al	B: 0.030	
				Se	cond sea	ason (2	011)				
Control	1.227	1.239	1.245	1.254	1.241	1.238	1.251	1.267	1.279	1.259	
Phos.	1.259	1.262	1.266	1.283	1.268	1.271	1.275	1.285	1.298	1.282	
E.M.	1.264	1.282	1.290	1.307	1.286	1.275	1.296	1.305	1.319	1.299	
Phos. + E.M.	1.309	1.339	1.351	1.367	1.342	1.320	1.354	1.377	1.392	1.361	
Sal.	1.351	1.352	1.365	1.377	1.361	1.362	1.366	1.382	1.398	1.377	
Phos.+E.M. + Sal.	1.364	1.383	1.398	1.408	1.388	1.376	1.398	1.413	1.428	1.404	
NPK	1.370	1.393	1.407	1.416	1.397	1.384	1.408	1.418	1.433	1.411	
Mean (B)	1.306	1.321	1.332	1.345		1.318	1.335	1.350	1.364		
L.S.D. at 5 %	A: 0.010 B: 0.014 AB: 0.028 A: 0.012 B: 0.016 AB: 0.032									3: 0.032	
Phoe = phoenhomin F M = Effective microomanisms Sal = salicylic acid											

Phos. = phosphorein

E.M. = Effective microorganisms

Many authors came to similar results that NPK fertilization augmented N, P and K % in leaves and herb of different plants such as, Ocimum basilicum (Mohsen, 2002 and El-Sanafawy, 2007) and Rosmarinus officinalis (Abdelaziz et al., 2007). While, the roles of biofertilizers in promoting N, P and K % were also reported by many authors on different plants such as borage (Hafez, 2003 and Abdou et al., 2009b); Origanum syriacum (El-Leithy et al., 2007); Tagetes erecta (El-Maadawy, 2007); guar (Abdou et al., 2009c) and moghat plants (Hussain, 2011). The effect of salicylic acid on N, P and K % was also disclosed by Al-Shareif (2006) and Abdou et al. (2009a) on Carum carvi; Ayat (2007) on coriander plants and Ibrahim (2010) on geranium plant.

The interaction between FYM and bio. and / or Sal., as well as, NPK was significant for N % (only in the two cuts during second season), and also for P % (in the two cuts during both seasons), as well as, it was significant in the second cut of the first season and in the two cuts of the second one for K %. The highest values of N % were obtained from adding any level of FYM with NPK, the high and medium level of FYM with biofertilizers plus salicylic acid. The highest values of P % were obtained due to the interaction treatments of FYM₃ + NPK, FYM₃ + biofertilizers + Sal. and FYM₃ + biofertilizers, as well as, FYM₃ + Phos. (in the second season). While, fertilized plants with any level of FYM with NPK or using the high or medium levels of FYM in combination with E.M. + Phos. + Sal. led to maximum K % in the dry herb of Ocimum gratissimum plants.

Finally, it could be recommended to supply clove basil plants with FYM at 45 or 35 m 3 / fed. in combination with mineral NPK fertilization (300 kg / fed of ammonium nitrate + 250 kg / fed. of calcium superphosphate + 150 kg / fed. of potassium sulphate) for the traditional cultivation system. On the other hand, for bio-organic cultivation system (clean agriculture). It could be recommended, economically and environmentally, to adding FYM at 45 m 3 / fed. to the soil of clove basil plants and treating the plants with a mixture of E.M. + phosphorein + salicylic acid at 150 ppm.

REFERENCES

- Abdalla, M. Y. A. (2009). Effect of organic, bio-and mineral fertilization on growth, yield, oil productivity and chemical constituents of coriander plant. J. Agric. Sci., Mansoura Univ., 34 (5): 5195-5208.
- Abdelaziz, M.; R. Pokluda and M. Abdelwahab (2007). Influence of compost, microorganisms and NPK fertilizer upon growth, chemical composition and essential oil production of *Rosmarinus officinalis*, L. Not Bot Hort. Agrobot. Cluj, 2007 volume 35, Issue 1 Print ISSN 0255 965 x; Electronic ISSN 1842–4309, p. 86–90.
- Abd El-Hadi, N. I. M.; H. K. Abo El-Ala and W. M. Abd El-Azim (2009). Response of some *Mentha* species to plant growth promoting bacteria (PGPB) isolated from soil rhizosphere. Australian J. of Basic and Applied Sciences, 3 (4): 4437–4448.

- Abd El–Lateef, F. G. (2007). Effect of salicylic acid on the growth, metabolic activities and oil content of basil and marjoram. Inter. J. of Agric. and Bio., 1560 8530 / 2007 / 09 2 294 301.
- Abd El-Moez, M. R.; A. L. Saleh and Sh. A. H. Wanas (1999). Influence of some organic compost on yield, nutrients uptake and consumptive use of fennel and coriander plants and some oil properties. J. Agric. Sci., Mansoura Univ., 24 (10): 6237–6253.
- Abdou, M. A. H.; El A. A.Sayed; F. S. Badran and R M.Salah El Deen (2004a). Effect of planting density and chemical and biofertilization on vegetative growth, yield and chemical composition of fennel (Foeniculum vulgare, Miller). 1- Effect of planting density and some chemical (nofatrin) and biochemical (biogein) fertilizers. Annals of Agric. Sci., Moshtohor, 42 (4): 1907–1927.
- Abdou, M. A. H.; A. A. El-Sayed; F. S. Badran and R.M. Salah El-Deen (2004b). Effect of planting density and chemical and biofertilization on vegetative growth, yield and chemical composition of fennel (Foeniculum vulgare, Miller). II- Effect of NPK chemical fertilization and biofertilization treatments. Annals of Agric. Sci., Moshtohor, 42 (4): 1928–1937.
- Abdou, M. A. H.; F. A. Attia; E. T. Ahmed and L. Abd El-Naeem (2009a). Response of caraway plants to some organic, antioxidants and biofertilization treatments. The 4th Inter. Enveron. Conf., Mansoura Univ. on Environmental and Healthy Safety, 28–29 October, 2009.
- Abdou, M. A. H.; M. K. Aly; K. A. Zaki; A. A. Sadek and R. El-Husseiny (2009b). Response of borage plants to some organic and biofertilization treatments. The 5th Inter. of Sustain, Agric. and Develop., Fac. of Agric., Fayoum Univ., 21 23 December.
- Abdou, M. A. H.; F. A. Attia; R. A. Taha and A. Shehata (2009c). Physiological studies on guar plants. The 5th Inter. of Sustain, Agric. and Develop., Fac. of Agric., Fayoum Univ., 21–23 December.
- Abdou, M. A. H.; F. A. Attia; R. A. Taha and C. Tanious (2009d). Effect of some organic, biofertilization and antioxidant treatments on fennel plants. The 5th Inter. of Sustain, Agric. and Develop., Fac. of Agric., Fayoum Univ., 21–23 December.
- Al-Shareif, A. M. O. (2006). Response of caraway plants grown in sandy soil under drip irrigation system to some biofertilization and antioxidant treatments. M. Sc. Thesis, Fac. of Agric., Minia Univ.
- Ali, A. F. (2004). The benefits of using some natural sources of phosphate and salicylic acid on *Tagetes minuta*, L. plants. Minia J. of Agric. Res. Develop., 24 (4): 621–648.
- Ardelan, A.; K. Morteza; J. Katayon; F. Omidreza; T. Enayatollah and K. Ahmad (2010). Effect of fertilizer on yield, essential oil composition, total phanolic content and antioxidant activity in Satureja hortensis, L. (Lamiaceae) cultivated in Iran. J. of Medicinal Plants Res., 4 (1): 033–040.
- Ashour, R. M. I. (2010). Response of jojoba plants to some organic and biofertilization treatments. Ph. D. Thesis, Fac. of Agric., Minia Univ.
- Ayat, A. (2007). Effect of fertilization with macro, micro nutrients and antioxidants on coriander (*Coriandrum sativum*, L.) plants grown in new reclaimed land. M. Sc. Thesis, Fac. of Agric., Minia Univ.

- Biasi, L. A.; E. M. Machado; A. P. Kowalski; D. Signor; M. A. Alves; F. I. Lima; C. Deschamps; L. C. Cocco and A. Scheer (2009). Organic fertilization in the production, yield and chemical composition of basil chemotype eugenol. Horticultura Brasilieira. 27 (1): 35–39. [J. article. ISSN: 0102 0536].
- Black, C. A.; D. D. Evans; L. E. Evsminger; J. L. White and F. E. Clark (1965). Methods of Soils Analysis. Amercian Society of Agronomy. Inc. Publ. Madison, Wisconsin, USA, p. 1162–1168.
- British Pharmacopoeia (1963). Determination of volatile oil drugs. The Pharmaceutical Press, London.
- Chapman, H. D. and P. F. Pratt (1975). Methods of Analysis for Soil, Plant and Water. Calif Univ. Division of Agric. Sci., 172 17.
- Cottenie, A.; M. Verloo; M. Velghe and R. Camerlynck (1982). Chemical Analysis of Plant and Soil. Laboratory of Analytical and Agro chemistry. State Univ. Ghent, Belgium.
- El-Gendy, S. A.; A. M. Hosni; S. S. Ahmed and R. M. Saber (2001). Sweet basil (*Ocimum basilicum* L.) productivity under different organic fertilization and inter-plant spacing levels in a newly reclaimed land in Egypt. Ann. Agric. Sci., Ain Shams Univ., 46 (1): 319–338.
- El-Ghadban, E. A. E.; A. M. Ghallab and A. F. Abdel-Wahab (2003). Effect of some organic fertilizer and biofertilization on growth, yield and chemical composition of marjoram plants under newly reclaimed soil conditions. J. Agric. Sci., Mansoura Univ., 28 (9): 6957–6973.
- El-Hindi, K. M. and E. A. El-Boraie (2005). Effect of some biofertilizers on the growth, essential oil and chemical composition of marjoram plants. J. Agric. Sci., Mansoura Univ., 30 (12): 7912–7928.
- El-Leithy, A. S.; S. H. M. El-Hanafy; G. E. Ahmed; M. A. Etman and M. Y. M. C. Ali (2007). Effect of compost, bio-fertilizer and active dry yeast on Origanum syriacum, L. plants under Sinia conditions. J. Product. & Dev., 12 (1): 153–171.
- El-Maadawy, E. I. (2007). Response of summer annual flowering plants to chemical, organic and bio-fertilization treatments. II- African marigold (*Tagetes erecta*, L.) plants. J. Product. & Dev., 12 (1): 173–199.
- El-Sanafawy, S. E. A. (2007). Effect of some fertilization treatments on Ocimum basilicum and Origanum majoranum. Ph. D. Thesis, Fac. Agric., Kafr El-Sheikh, Tanta Univ., Egypt.
- El-Shora, S. H. A. (2009). Physiological studies on *Mentha* spp. (fertilization-post harvest treatments). M. Sc. Thesis, Fac. Agric., Moshtohor, Benha Univ.
- Erika, B.; C. B. Pablo; Z. Julio and G. Walter (2008). Plant growth promoting rhizobacteria improve growth and essential oil yield in *Origanum majorana*, L. Biochemical Systematics and Ecology, 36: 766–771.
- Hafez, Y. A. (2003). Effects of sow spacings, nitrogenous and biofertilization treatments on growth, yield and chemical composition of *Borago officinalis*, L. Ph. D. Thesis, Fac. of Agric., Fayoum, Cairo Univ.
- Heikal, A. M. (2005). Effect of organic and biofertilization on the growth production and composition of thyme (*Thymus vulgaris*, L.) plants. M. Sc. Thesis, Fac. Agric., Cairo Univ. Egypt.

- Hussain, M. A. (2011). Effect of chemical, organic and biofertilization treatments on moghat (*Glossostemon bruguieri*, Desf.) plants. Ph. D. Thesis, Fac. Agric., Minia Univ., Egypt.
- ibrahim, T. I. E. (2010). Physiological studies on geranium plants. M. Sc. Thesis, Fac. Agric. Minia Univ., Egypt.
- Iwu, M. M. (1993). Handbook of African Medicinal Plants. CRC Press Inc. Boca Raton, Floridamm.
- Jacoub, R.W. (1999). Effect of some organic and non-organic fertilizers on growth, oil yield and chemical composition of *Ocimum basilicum*, L. and *Thymus vulgaris*, L. plants. Ph. D. Thesis, Fac. Agric., Cairo Univ., Egypt.
- Kandeel, A. M.; N. S. Abou–Taleb and A. A. Sadek (2002). Effect of biofertilizers on the growth, volatile oil yield and chemical composition of *Ocimum basilicum* L. plant. Annals Agric. Sci., Ain Snams Univ., Cairo, 47 (1): 351–371.
- Khafaga, E. R.; A. M. Abed; R. A. Medani and R. A. Agamy (2000). Botanical characters and yield and components of sweet basil (*Ocimum basilicum*, L.) as affected by treatment with some fertilizers and growth regulators. Annals of Agricultural Science, Moshtohor, 38 (4): 1983–2018.
- Mahfouz, S. A. S. (2003). Effect of biofertilization on growth and oil production of marjoram (*Majorana hortensis*, Moench) plant. Ph. D. Thesis, Fac. Agric., Cairo Univ., Egypt.
- Mohsen, M. M. A. (2002). Sweet basil herb and oil production as affected by chemical and organic fertilization. M. Sc. Thesis, Fac. Agric., Cairo Univ. Egypt.
- Moran, R. (1982). Formula determination of chlorophyllous pigments extracted with N–N –dimethyl–Formamide. Plant Physiol., 69: 1376-1381.
- MSTAT-C (1986). A microcomputer program for the design management and analysis of Agronomic Research Experiments (version 4.0), Michigan State Univ., U.S.A.
- Rao, E. V.; K. Puttanna; R. S. Rao and S. Ramesh (2007). Nitrogen and potassium nutrition of French basil. (*Ocimum basilicum*, Lina.). J. of Spices and Aromatic (rops, 16 (2): 99–105. [J. article ISSN: 0971 3328].
- Saha, N.; A. C. Das and D. C. Mukherjee (1995). Effect of decomposition of organic matter on activities of microorganisms and availability of nitrogen, phosphorus and sulphur in soil. J. of the Indian Society of Soil Science, 43: 210–215.
- Sakr, W. R. A. S. (2005). Effect of organic and biofertilization on growth and active constituents production of senna plants. Ph. D. Diss., Fac. of Agric. Cairo Univ.
- Shala, A. Y. E. (2007). Physiological studies on sage plant. M. Sc. Thesis, Fac. of Agric. Kafr El-Sheikh Univ.
- Singh, K.; P. Singh; S. Beg; D. Kumar and D. Patra (2004). Effect of NPK fertilizers on growth, oil yield and quality of French basil (*Ocimum basilicum*, L.). J. of Spices and Aromatic Crops, 13 (1): 52–54.
- Sperenat, M. (1990). Nitrogen Fixing Organisms. P. S. Chapman and Hall, London.

- Wilde, S. A.; R. P. Covey; J. C. Lyer and G. K. Voigt (1985). Soil and Plant Analysis for Tree Culture. Oxford IBH. Publishing Co., New Delhi, India.
- Vyas, S. C. and A. C. Vyas (1994). Potential of biofertilizers in crop production in Indian Agriculture. In: Organic Farming – Indore Madiya Pradesh, pp. 19–26.
- Youssef, A. A.; A. E. Edris and A. M. Gomaa (2004). A comparative study between some plant growth microorganisms on growth and essential oil composition of *Salvia officinalis* L. plants. Ann. of Agric. Sci., Cairo, 44 (1): 229–311.

دراسات فسيولوجية على نبات الريحان القرنفلي

محمود عبدالهادى حسن عبده'، محمد يونس على عبد الله'، أحمد عبد العال حجسازى' و زينب سلطان أحمد مرزوق'

١- قسم البساتين، كلية الزراعة، جامعة المنيا

٧ - قسم الخضر والزينة، كلية الزراعة، جامعة المنصورة

أجرى هذا البحث في مشتل ومعمل الزينة بكلية الزراعة جامعة المنيا خـــلال موســمي الزراعــة المعنى ٢٠١٠ و ٢٠١١ لدراسة تأثير التسميد البلدى والسماد الحيوى و/أو حمض السالساليك وكذلك التسميد المعنى (NPK) على النمو الخضرى وإنتاج الزيت والتركيب الكيماوى لنبات الريحان القرنفلي. ولقد أوضحت النتاج المتحصل عليها الأتي:

- أنت إضافة المعماد البلدى إلى زيادة معنوية في ارتفاع النبات (سم)، عدد الأقرع / نبات، الوزن الطازج العشب في الحشة (جم / نبات / حشة)، الوزن الطازج العشب في الموسم (جم / نبات / موسم)، السوزن الطازج العشب في الموسم لفدان (طن / فدان / موسم)، النسبة المنوية للزيت الطيسار، محسول الزيت الطيار للنبات في الموسم (مليلتر / نبات / حشة)، محصول الزيت الطيار للنبات في الموسم (مليلتر / نبات / حشة)، محسول الذيت الطيار المنازق من الموسم القدان (لتر / فدان)، محتوى الأوراق من كلورفيل أ ، ب والكاروتينويدات (مجم / جم وزن طازج) وكذلك النمية المنوية لكل مسن النيتروجين، الفوسفور والبوتاسيوم في العشب الجاف. ولقد كان التفوق في كل الخصائص السابق ذكرها للمعاملة بالمعتوى العالى من السماد البلدى (٤٥ م م / فدان) مقارنة بالمعاملات الأخرى.
- أعطت النباتات المعاملة بالتسميد المعدني (NPK) أو خليط الكانسات الدقيقة النشطة (E.M.) و الفوسفورين مع حمض السالساليك أفضل النتائج في جميع القياسات المدروسة في الحسنين خسلال موسمي النمو. فيما يتعلق بالنسبة المنوية للزيت في العشب الطازج، فلقد كانت المعاملة بخليط الحيوي + حمض السالساليك أكثر فاعلية من المعاملات الأخرى.
- كانت معاملات التفاعل بين العامل الرئيسي (السماد البلدي) والعامل الثانوي (التسميد الحيوى والمعدني وحمض السالساليك) الأكثر فاعلية على نتائج القياسات. حيث سجلت أعلى القيم في معظم القياسات محدل الدراسة نتيجة استخدام المستوى العالى من السماد البلدي (٤٥ م / / فدان) مع التسميد المعدني (NPK) أو خليط السماد الحيوى + حمض السالساليك.
- في ظل نظام الزراعة التقليدية، فإنه يمكن التوصية بتسميد نباتات الريحان القرنفلي بسماد الحيوان بمعدل
 أو ٣٥ م / فدان مع السماد المعدني (٣٠٠ كجم / فدان نترات الأمونيوم + ٢٥٠ كجم / فدان كالسيوم سوبر قوسفات + ١٥٠ كجم / فدان سلفات البوتاسيوم).
- أما فيما يتعلق بالزراعة العيوية العضوية (الزراعة النظيفة)، فإنه من الناحية الاقتصادية والبيئية يمكن التوصية بإضافة المسماد الحيواني عند ٤٠ م / فدان للتربة مع معاملة النباتات بخليط من الفوسفوريين (٥ كجم / فدان) + .M. (٥٠ مليلتر / نبات) ورشها بحمض السالساليك بتركيز ١٥٠ جزء فــى المليــون للحصول على أفضل النتائج.

قلم بتحكيم البحث

كلية الزراعة - جامعة المنصورة كلية الزراعة - جامعة المنيا

أد / حسين على احمد أد / محمد كمال عبد العال