

Microbiological Quality of Shawerma at Fast Food Restaurants

Abd El Rahman, H. A.; Soad.A.S. Ismail and Esmail, M. E¹

¹Dept. of Food hygiene & control, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Suez Canal University, Ismailia, Egypt .¹ Microbiological Department, Royal International Inspection Laboratories, General organization for Export and Import Control, DP world port, Suez, Egypt.

Abstract

This study was performed to evaluate the microbiological quality of Shawerma at fast food restaurants in Suez governorate. A total of 60 samples, about 100 g each were collected randomly and examined for microbiological indices. The mean values of total aerobic, total anaerobic, total aerobic spore forming, total thermophilic colony counts, *Escherichia coli*, *Staphylococcus aureus*, coliforms, Enterobacteriaceae and *Bacillus cereus* were 4.26 ± 0.09 , 2.56 ± 0.10 , 1.25 ± 0.19 , 2.30 ± 0.05 , 0.67 ± 0.12 , 0.82 ± 0.14 , 0.85 ± 0.16 , 1.06 ± 0.20 and 1.06 ± 0.13 (log₁₀ CFU/ g) respectively. While *Clostridium perfringens*, faecal coliforms, yeast and mould counts were <1(log₁₀ CFU/ g), moreover *Salmonella* spp. were not detected in all samples.

From the microbiological quality point of view in comparison with Gilbert et al, 2000 (category No. 2), 18 (30%) of the total examined samples were unsatisfactory, 19(32%) were acceptable and 23(38%) were satisfactory. The unsatisfactory samples were due to *E-coli* (11)18% of count ≥ 2 (log₁₀ CFU/ g) and *Staph. aureus* (12)20% count of $2 < 4$ (log₁₀ CFU/ g).

Introduction

Shawerma is considered as one of the most important and delicious meat products served at fast food restaurant chains. Egyptian ate 46% of meals away from home in 1998, which is considerably more than in 1981 (20%) (Galal, 2002). The influence of restaurant location, type of sandwich, time of day, and initial contamination were consider as important factors for determine the microbiological indices of shawerma. The name shawerma comes from the Turkey word meaning turning, and has its origins in Anatolia. The classic shawerma is a

combination of pita bread, tomato, cucumber and the shaved meat. The heat treatment of processed meat products help in enhancement of desirable texture, flavour and colour, reduction of microbial content (Heinz and Haut zinger 2010). The microbial quality of a certain food is the result of a chain of events that the microbial safety of food can only be guaranteed when the overall processing, including the production of raw materials, distribution and handling by the consumer are taken into consideration (Hofstra et al. 1994).

Microbiological quality problems in shawerma largely depend on low quality of raw meat and/or other ingredients, inefficient cooking process, improper sanitary practices for personnel, and cooking processing utensils (Vazgecer et al. 2004, ICMSF 1988). One or more of these factors may lead to potential health hazards for consumers (Harakeh et al. 2005). Evans, et. al. (1999) reported that during July 1995 an outbreak of Salmonella in South Wales was linked to the consumption of döner kebabs, the investigations pointed to cross-contaminated relishes and dressings as well as undercooked meat as potential vehicles. While Richardson and Stevens (2003) mentioned that there were significant associations between bacteriological quality and temperature of storage. There was a lack of information about the incidence of foodborne diseases related to Shawerma in addition to the absence of microbiological standards for this food product (Nemati et al. 2008). This study aimed to evaluate the microbiological quality of sha-

werma from fast food restaurants in Suez governorate.

Material and methods

A total of 60 Shawerma meat slices samples about 100 g of each were collected from different fast food restaurant services with different sanitary levels at Suez governorate. The samples were analyzed microbiologically as recommended by International Organization for Standardization; ISO (2002a) for total aerobic colony counts, American Public Health Association; APHA (2002) for anaerobic colony counts, total aerobic spore forming counts and total thermophilic counts, Nordic Committee On Food Analysis; NMKL- modified (1996) for *E. coli* count, ISO (2004b) for *Clostridium perfringens* count, ISO (1999a) for *Staphylococcus aureus* count, ISO (2005) for Coliforms count, NMKL (1996) for Faecal coliforms count, ISO (2004c) for Enterobacteriaceae count, ISO (2004a) for *Bacillus cereus* count, (ISO 2008) for Yeast and Mould counts and ISO (2002b) for Salmonella spp. detection. Shawerma can be microbiologically assessed using Public Health Laboratory Service, PHLS microbiological guidance recommended by Gilbert, et. al. (2000).

Bacterial Indices log count	Satisfactory	Acceptable	Unsatisfactory
Total aerobic colony counts	<4	4 - <5	≥5
<i>E-coli</i>	<1.3	1.3 - <2	≥2
<i>Clostridium perfringens</i>	<1.3	1.3 - <2	2 - <4
<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>	<1.3	1.3 - <2	2 - <4
<i>Enterobacteriaceae</i>	<2	2 - <4	≥4
<i>Bacillus cereus</i>	<3	3 - <4	4 - <5
<i>Salmonella</i> spp /25g	not detect/25 g		Detected/25 g

Results and discussion:

The data shown in table (1) revealed that the mean± S.E values of total aerobic colony counts, total anaerobic colony counts, total aerobic spore forming counts, total thermophilic counts, *Escherichia coli*, *Staphylococcus aureus*, coliforms, *Enterobacteriaceae* and *Bacillus cereus* were 4.26± 0.09, 2.56± 0.10, 1.25± 0.19, 2.30± 0.05, 0.67± 0.12, 0.82± 0.14, 0.85± 0.16, 1.06± 0.20 and 1.06± 0.13 (log₁₀ CFU/ g) respectively.

The data achieved in table (2) illustrated that the frequency distribution of total aerobic colony counts, total anaerobic colony counts, total aerobic spore forming counts, total thermophilic counts, *Escherichia coli*, *Staphylococcus aureus*, coliforms, *Enterobacteriaceae* and *Bacillus cereus* were 3->6, 1- <6, 1-<4, 1-<4, 1-<3, 1-<4, 1-<4, 1-<4 and 1-<3 (log₁₀ CFU/g) respectively, while all of *Clostridium perfringens*, faecal coliforms, yeast and mould were <1(log₁₀ CFU/ g),

moreover *Salmonella* spp. were not detected in all of the examined *Shawerma* samples.

Table (3) presented that in comparison with the *PHLS* (2000) category No. 2, the unsatisfactory samples were due to *E-coli* (11)18% of count ≥2 (log₁₀ CFU/ g) and *Staph. aureus* (12)20% count of 2-< 4 (log₁₀ CFU/ g). The obtained result seems to be higher than that reported by *Gormely et al.* (2010). *B. cereus* results come satisfactory to all the samples 60(100%) count of <3 (log₁₀ CFU/ g). *Clostridium perfringens*, faecal coliforms, yeast and mould were <1(log₁₀ CFU/ g), *Salmonella* spp. were not detected in any of the samples. The presented data demonstrated in table (4) revealed that 18 (30%) of the total examined samples were unsatisfactory, 19 (32%) were acceptable and 23 (38%) were satisfactory. The non-satisfactory results of this study seems to be higher than that reported by *Burgess and Little* (2004) and *Nichols, G. et al.* (2004). On the other

hand, the acceptable result seems to be lower than that reported by *New South Wales Food Authority, NSW (2008)*. These variations in the results were attributed to the quality of raw materials which include meat and meat additives and the hygiene state adopted during processing and preparation of the product

Recommendations:

To improve the quality of Shawerma and to safeguard the consumer health the following recommendations must be considered:

1. Application of good hygienic practice with a selection of high quality raw meat and meat additives.
2. Cooking shawerma thoroughly.
3. The leftover shawerma must be treated correctly and the leftover slices must not allowed to be used in the next day, the leftover block must be stored at -18°C and reheated at $+75^{\circ}\text{C}$.
4. The governmental authority must be issued a standard for a microbiological guideline for the Egyptian Shawerma.

References

APHA (2002): Compendium of methods for microbiological examination of food 4th ED., APHA Technical Committee on Microbiological Methods for Foods. Washington, D.C., USA.

Burgess, F. and Little, C. L. (2004): Environmental and Enteric Diseases Department, HPA CDSC.

Evans, M. R.; Salmon, R. L.; Nehaul, L.; Mably, S.; Wafford, L.; Nolan-Farrell, M. Z.; Gardner, D. and Ribeiro, C. D. (1999): An outbreak of *Salmonella typhimurium* DT170 associated with kebab meat and yogurt relish. *Epidemiol Infect*; 122 (3): 377-383.

Galal, O. M. (2002): The nutrition transition in Egypt: obesity, under nutrition and the food consumption context. *Public Health Nutrition*; 5:141-48.

Gormley, j. F.; Little, L. C.; Grant, A. K.; Pinna, E. and McLauchlin, J. (2010): The microbiological safety of ready-to-eat specialty meats from markets and specialty food shops: A UK wide study with a focus on *Salmonella* and *Listeria monocytogenes*. *J. Food Microbiology*; 27(2): 243-2.

Gilbert, J. L.; Donovan, T.; Little, C.; Nye, K.; Ribeiro, C. D.; Richards, J.; Roberts, D and Bolton, F. J. (2000): Guidelines for the microbiological quality of some ready-to-eat foods sampled at the point of sale. PHLIS Advisory Committee for Food and Dairy Products Working Group, Communicable Disease and Public Health; 3(3):163-167.

Harakeh, S.; Yassine, H.; Gharios, M.; Barbour, E.; Hajjar, S.; El-Fadel, M.; Toufeili, I. and Tannous, R. (2005): Isolation, molecular characterization and antimicrobial resistance patterns of *Salmonella* and *Escherichia coli* isolates from meat-

based fast food in Lebanon. *Science of the Total Environment*; 341(1-3, 1): 33-44.

Heinz, G. and Hautzinger, P. (2010): Meat processing technology for small-to medium-scale producers. FAO 2010.

Hofstra, H.; Vossen, M. B. M.; and Plas, J. (1994): Microbes in food processing technology. *FEMS Microbiology Reviews*; 15(2-3): 175-183.

ICMSF (1988): Microorganisms in food. 4 application of the hazard analysis critical control point (HACCP) system to ensure microbiological safety and quality. Black well, Oxford, London.

ISO (1999a): Horizontal method for the enumeration of Coagulase positive staphylococcus (staphylococcus aureus and other species). Part 1: Technique using Barid – Parker Agar medium. ISO 6888-1:1999 (E), Geneva, Switzerland.

ISO (2002a): Horizontal method for the enumeration of micro-organisms, colony count technique at 30 °C. ISO 4833:2002 (E), Geneva, Switzerland.

ISO (2002b): Horizontal method for the detection of Salmonella spp. ISO 6579:2002(E), Geneva, Switzerland.

ISO (2004a): Horizontal method for the enumeration of presumptive *Bacillus cereus* - colony count technique at 30°C. ISO 7932:2004, Geneva, Switzerland.

ISO (2004b): Horizontal method for the enumeration of *Clostridium perfringens* – colony count technique. ISO 7937:2004, Geneva, Switzerland.

ISO (2004c): Horizontal method for the detection and enumeration of Enterobacteriaceae – Part 2: colony count method. ISO 21528-2:2004, Geneva, Switzerland.

ISO (2005): Horizontal method for the enumeration of Coliforms – colony count technique. ISO 4832:2005 (E), Geneva, Switzerland.

ISO (2008): Horizontal method for the enumeration of yeasts and moulds Part 2: Colony count technique in products with water activity less than or equal to 0, 95. ISO 21527-2:2008, Geneva, Switzerland.

Nemati, M.; Ghorbanpour, H., Razaivieh, V. S. and Hoseini, M. (2008): Chemical composition and microbiological quality of the Bonab kebabs sold in Tabriz market. *J. of Food Safety*, 28(3):315-323.

Nichols, G.; Monsey, H. and Louvois, J. (2004): Microbiological Control Of Döner Kebabs. Advisory Committee on The Microbiological Safety of Food; ACM/702

Nordic Committee On Food Analysis (1996): Thermo tolerant Coliforms bacteria. Enumeration in foods. NMKL No. 125, 3rd edition, 1996

Richardson, R. I. and Stevens, M. A. (2003): Microbiological examination of ready-to-eat stuffing from retail premises in the north-east of England.

The 'Get Stuffed' survey. J. of Applied Microbiology, 94(4):733-737.

Evans, M. R.; Salmon, R. L.; Nehaul, L.; Mably, S.; Wafford, L.; Nolan-Farrell, M. Z.; Gardner, D. and Ribeiro, C. D.(1999): An outbreak of Salmonella typhimurium DT170 associated with kebab meat and yogurt

relish. Epidemiol Infect; 122(3): 377-383.

Vazgecer, B.; Ulu, H. and Oztan, A. (2004): Microbiological and chemical qualities of chicken döner kebab re-tailed on the Turkish restaurants. J. Food Control; 15 (4): 261-264.

Table (1):- Statistical Analytical results of maximum, minimum and mean (\log_{10} CFU/ g) values in the examined shawerma samples

Microbiological counts	No.	Min.	Max.	mean	S.E.
Total aerobic colony counts	60	3	6.04	4.26	0.09
Total anaerobic colony counts	60	1.30	5.48	2.56	0.10
Total spore forming counts	60	<1	5.40	1.25	0.19
Total thermophilic counts	60	1.60	3.26	2.30	0.05
<i>E-coli</i> counts	60	<1	2.26	0.67	0.12
<i>Clostridium perfringens</i> counts	60	<1	0.00	0.00	0.00
<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i> counts	60	<1	3.00	0.82	0.14
Coliforms count	60	<1	3.85	0.85	0.16
Faecal coliforms count	60	<1	0.00	0.00	0.00
Enterobacteriaceae counts	60	<1	4.95	1.06	0.20
<i>Bacillus cerues</i> counts	60.	<1	2.48	1.06	0.13
Yeast counts	60	<1	0.00	0.00	0.00
Mould counts	60	<1	0.00	0.00	0.00

No. is the number of samples

Min. is the minimum values

Max. is the maximum values

S.E. is the stander errors

Table (2) Statistical analytical results of the frequency distribution (log₁₀ CFU/ g) of the microbiological counts of shawerma (n = 60)

Microbiological counts	<1	1-<2	2-<3	3-<4	4-<5	5-<6	>6
Total aerobic colony counts	0	0	0	24	24	11	1
Total anaerobic colony counts	0	15	30	12	2	1	0
Total spore forming counts	33	0	21	5	0	1	0
Total thermophilic counts	0	16	39	5	0	0	0
<i>E-coli</i> counts	40	9	11	0	0	0	0
<i>Clostridium perfringens</i> counts	60	0	0	0	0	0	0
<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i> counts	37	11	11	1	0	0	0
Coliforms count	40	4	11	5	0	0	0
Faecal coliforms count	60	0	0	0	0	0	0
Enterobacteriaceae counts	40	4	11	5	0	0	0
<i>Bacillus cerues</i> counts	29	15	16	0	0	0	0
Yeast counts	60	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mould counts	60	0	0	0	0	0	0
<i>Salmonella</i> spp /25g	Detected			Not detected			
	0			60			

Table (3) Microbiological quality of shawerma using microbiological guidelines Gilbert, et. al. (2000) category No. 2 (n=60).

Satisfactory		Acceptable		Unsatisfactory	
No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
23	38	19	32	18	30

Table (4) Microbiological criteria of shawerma in comparison with Gilbert, et. al. (2000) category No. 2 category 2 (n=60).

Bacterial Indices	Satisfactory	Acceptable	Unsatisfactory
Total aerobic colony counts	24 (40%)	24 (40%)	12 (20%)
<i>E-coli</i>	40 (66.7%)	9 (15%)	11 (18.3%)
<i>Clostridium perfringens</i>	60 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>	37 (61.7%)	11 (18.3%)	12 (20%)
<i>Enterobacteriaceae</i>	44 (73.3%)	16 (26.7%)	0 (0%)
<i>Bacillus cereus</i>	60 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
<i>Salmonella</i> spp /25g	60 (100%)		0 (0%)

الملخص العربي

الجودة الميكروبيولوجية للشاورما في مطاعم الوجبات السريعة
د.حسني عبداللطيف عبد الرحمن، د.سعاد احمد سليمان، طب/اسماعيل محمد اسماعيل

اجريت هذه الدراسة للوقوف علي جودة وسلامة لحوم الشاورما المتداولة في مطاعم الوجبات السريعة بمحافظة السويس من الناحية الميكروبيولوجية، وقد تم تجميع عدد ٦٠ عينة عشوائيا" وتم فحصها ميكوبيولوجيا". وقد أظهرت الدراسة أن القيمَ المتوسطةَ لكل من الجراثيم الهوائية، و اللاهوائية، و المتجرثمة الهوائية، والمحبة للحرارة، ميكروب الإيشيريشيا كولاي، وجراثيم المكور العنقودي الذهبي، وجراثيم شبه العصية القولونية، المجموعة القولونية و جراثيم العصويات المتجرثمة في عينات الشاورما المَفحُوصة هي $٤,٢٦ \pm ٠,٠٩$ ، $٢,٥٦ \pm ٠,١٠$ ، $١,٢٥ \pm ٠,١٩$ ، $٢,٣٠ \pm ٠,٠٥$ ، $٠,٦٧ \pm ٠,١٢$ ، $٠,٨٢ \pm ٠,١٤$ ، $٠,٨٥ \pm ٠,١٦$ ، $١,٠٦ \pm ٠,٢٠$ و $١,٠٦ \pm ٠,١٣$ لوغار يتم ١٠ جرثومة لكل جرام على التوالي. و لم يتم عزل جراثيم شبه العصية القولونية البرازية ، الكوليسـتريـديم برفرنجينس ، الفطر ، الخمائر وميكروب السالمونيلا من كل من العينات المختبرة. وبالتحليل الإحصائي للنتائج بالمقارنة مع الدليل الميكروبيولوجي كانت ١٨ عينة (٣٠%) من العينات مقبولة ميكروبيولوجيا"، ١٩ عينة (٣٢%) مرضية بينما كانت ٢٣ عينة (٣٨%) غير مقبولة ميكوبيولوجيا" وذلك نتيجة وجود ميكروبات الايشيريشيا كولاي بنسبة ١٨ % والمكور العنقودي الذهبي بنسبة ٢٠% عن الحدود المقررة بالدليل.