Bacterial And Fungal Causes Of Bovine Mastitis In Menoufiea Governorate

Elham I Atwa^{*} and Flourage M Rady^{**}

*Bacteriology and **Mycology Department, Animal Health Research Institute Shebin El- Kom-Menoufiea

ABSTRACT

Incidence of bacterial and fungal causes in both clinical and sub-clinical cases of bovine mastitis were detected. Isolation ,biotyping and serotyping of causative agents were analyzed in addition to confirmation by PCR.

Clinical mastitis was more prevalent in cows, meanwhile subclinical mastitis was more prevalent in buffaloes. Infections by one or two causative agents were observed. *Staph. Aureus*, *Strept. agalactia*, *Strept. dysgalactia*, *Staph. epidermidis*, *E. coli*, *P. aeruginosa*, *K. pneumonia*, *Proteus vulgaris*, *Candid* spp., *Aspergillus* spp., *Penicillium* spp. and *Alternaria* spp. were isolated from all clinical and subclinical cases of mastitis, there was incidence variation in isolation of microorganisms from cows and buffaloes.

Serogrouping revealed 6 types in E.coli and 5 types in *P. aeruginosa*. Antibiogram revealed that norfloxacin and gentamycin are the most effective antibacterial, Meanwhile amoxicillin was less effective than any antimicrobial. *Staph. Aureus* coagulase gene were detected by PCR using specific primers.

INTRODUCTION

Mastitis is a worldwide disease affecting animals, causing a lot of economic losses in milk quality and quantity (1). It still remains one of the most costly disease to animal agriculture throughout much of the world (2). Most cases of bovine mastitis result from infection by microorganisms that invade the udder through the teats, however the udder may also become infected by organism already present in the animal's systems.

Mastitis is considered the most important disease affecting dairy herds and individual lactating animal. It means inflammation of mammary gland associated with changes in tissues and produced milk and may take subacute, acute or chronic form which are only detected by careful manipulation of the udder. From public health a consumption of affected milk(apparently normal) associated with many zoonotic diseases in which milk act as a source of infection to human. Subclinical form is considered the most serious one as infected quarter showed no symptoms either in udder or in milk for long time and the causative organism act as invisible source for spreading infection in the herd and to humans drinking this milk (3,4).

Bacteria causing mastitis can be divide into two main groups contagious and environmental. The contagious bacteria transmitted from infected quarters to other quarters primarily during milking as Strept. agalactiae, Staph. aureus and Corynebacterium bovis. While, the environmental bacteria as E. coli, Klebsiella ,Citrobacter, Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Proteus Vulgaris, Strept. dysgalactiae and Strept. uberis . Animals mostly infected from locations in the bedding and reach the teat end from environmental then mammary gland and cause mastitis (5 - 8). Mastitis may occur by single or mixed bacteria cooperated with other to produce diseases. Bacterial culture is the standard method for identification of intramammary infection (9, 10).

Staph. aureus is most prevalent contagious mammary pathogens associated with clinical and subclinical bovine mastitis with serious economic loss and herd management problems, which rapidly and easily transmitted ,as well as it is a zoonotic disease which transmitted to human being, it produces enterotoxines which cause food poisoning (11, 12).

Coliforms represents one of the most important environmental pathogens causing mastitis, *E. coli* is the predominant coliform species reported as causing intra mammary infections in most studies (13). E.coli causes inflammation of the mammary gland in dairy animals around parturition and during early lactation with striking local and sometimes severe systemic clinical symptoms. This disease affects mainly high producing animals in dairy herds and may cause several cases of death per year in the most severe cases (8).

Strept. agalactia and Strept. dysgalactiae, were the most predominant microorganisms which causes subclinical bovine mastitis (14, 15).

Infection of the mammary gland by yeasts should be suspected, when there was a history of unsuccessful antibiotic treatment which might aggravate fungal mastitis such as infection with Candida spp. which are penicillin and tetracycline utilizers as a source of nitrogen (16 ,17). Mycotic infections of mammary glands usually occur as sporadic cases affecting a small percentage, or as outbreaks affecting the majority of animals. The serious of infection depends on the number of organisms present in the glands and the species of yeast involved (18,19). All of the fungal isolates were yeasts of the genera Candida spp. Rhodotorula spp. Cryptococcus spp. and Trichosporon. Moulds classified in the following genera Aspergillus spp., Alternaria spp. and Penicillium spp. (20 ,21).

Yeasts are microorganisms which present in the surrounding nature and normal inhabitants of the skin of the udder and teats. They can invade mammary glands where they are opportunistic, producing disease when normal defense mechanisms are lowered or when entrance in large numbers and cause clinical and subclinical mastitis, especially *Candida krusei*, *Candida albicans*, and *Cryptococcus neoformans* (22 -24).

Molecular biology techniques have become integrated into the practice of infection disease epidemiology. In particular Polymerase chain reaction is an *in vitro* amplification technique for enzymatic synthesis of specific DNA sequences using two oligonucleotide primers that hybridize to opposite strands and flank the region of interest in target DNA (25).

Identification of *Staph. aureus* and *Candida* albicans by isolation was time consuming and the cultures need to be handled with care because of the zoonotic potential. So we are using PCR assay as an alternative method in routine diagnosis for rapid, sensitive, and specific simultaneous detection of *Staph. aureus* and *Candida albicans* in milk samples (26 - 28).

The aim of the present study was isolation and identification of bacteria and fungi causing mastitis in apparently healthy and mastitic buffaloes and cows. Besides, serological identification of isolated *E. coli* their susceptibility to chemotherapeutic agents as an aid to overcome this problem and reduce losses. Also, using polymerase chain reaction (PCR) test to substitute the conventional cultural methods and rapid diagnosis of *Staph. aureus*.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Samples

A total of 720 milk samples were collected from each quarter of 180 animals including 95 buffalloe and 85 cows from apparently healthy and clinically infected animals from various private farms, in Menoufiea Governorate during period from August 2008 till December 2009. All samples were examined for investigation of bacterial and mycotic causes of subclinical and clinical bovine mastitis.

Collection of milk samples

The udder region, teat orifice and hands of milkers were washed, then disinfected with 70% ethyl alcohol before the milk samples were taken, the first jet of milk was discarded, then 25 ml of milk sample were collected from each quarter separately in sterile screw capped bottles and transported as quickly as possible to laboratory in ice box with minimum of delay (Animal Health Research Institute-shebin El-Kom). The milk samples were subjected to California mastitis test (CMT) in the farm to detect the subclinical mastitis. Out of these the positive samples in CMT were subjected to bacteriological and mycological examination (29).

Bacteriological examination of milk samples (30)

Milk samples were incubated at 37°C for 2hours then centrifuged at 3000.r.p.m. for 20 minutes. The cream supernatant fluid were discarded. A loopfull from the sediment was streaked directly onto nutrient agar, sheep blood agar 5%, MacConkey agar, Eosin Methyline Blue agar, mannitol salt agar media for Staph. aureus and crystal violet blood agar plates, modified Edward's medium for Strept. agalactiae and medium contain cetrimid for P. The inoculated plates aeruginosa. were incubated aerobically at 37 °C for 24-48 hours and examined for bacterial growth. Suspected were subcultured, purified colonies and preserved in semisolid nutrient agar for further identification.

Suspected colonies appearing on different media were identified by studying the characters of the colonies as well as Gram's stain, then identified morphologically and biochemically (31 - 34).

Serological identification

1- Serological identification of *E. coli* isolates for detection of different sero groups of somatic antigens "O" using slide agglutination test (35).

2- Serological identification of *P. aeruginosa* for detection of different sero groups of somatic antigens "O" using *P. aeruginosa* antisera was carried out (36). Antisrea of both *P. Aeruginosa* and *E. Coli* were obtained from Denka Seiken Co. Ltd, Tokyo, Japan.

Mycological examination

The milk samples were inoculated onto the surface of Sabouraud's dexrose agar (SDA) containing 0.05% chloramphenicol, and Candida agar (CA), the spot inoculation method was followed to culture fungi. Plates were inoculated at 25°C for a minimum period of 7 successive days. The inoculated plates were examined and cultures identified .Mould isolates (32,38) and yeast isolates were identified (37, 39).

Susceptability of isolates to chemotheraputic agents

Antibiotic sensitivity discs were obtained from Oxoid. Antibiogram was applied on the most predominant isolated strains using disc diffusion technique (34,40) with Mueller agar. The results were interpretated according to the manual supplied by Oxoid Company.

The susceptibility of some strains of fungal isolates to antifungal discs were assayed by disc diffusion method. Disks from each drug were put on the plate and incubated at 25 for 18 hours. The results were interpretated according to the manufactures instructions (41).

Extraction of Staph. aureus DNA (42)

Isolated *Staph. aureus* strains were incubated overnight in 10 ml brain heart infusion broth (Oxoid), centrifuged at (5000 rpm, for 15 min) and resuspended in 0.5 ml TE buffer (10 mM Tris, 1 mM EDTA - pH 8).

Total cellular DNA was extracted using Qiagen DNA extraction kit (Qiagen, Germany) according to manufacturer's protocol for grampositive bacteria. The extracted DNA from milk samples was dissolved in 25 μ l sterile distilled water and stored at -20°C until further use.

Multiplex PCR was performed on the extracted DNA from milk samples (part C) to detect coagulase (coa) and 16SrRNA genes (42, 43).

Primers for *Staph. aureus* coagulase (coa) and 16SrRNA genes:

Specific oligonuclotide multiplex primer assay (synthesized by MWG-Biotech AG, Holle & Huttner GmbH, Germany), for rapid diagnosis of *Staph. aureus* coagulase (coa) and 16SrRNA genes. The forward primer for coagulase (coa) was 5'-ATAGAGATGCTGGT -3', while the reverse primer was 5'-GCTTCCGATTGTTCG -3` (43). While the forward primer for 16SrRNA gene was 5'-GTAGGTGGCAAGCG -3', while the reverse primer was 5'-CGCACATCAGCGTC-3` (42).

Staph.aureus DNA amplification by PCR

The PCR was performed (42, 43), in a touchdown thermocycler in a total reaction volume of 30 ul containing 2.5 µl of extracted DNA, 1 µl of each primer (10 pmol/µl), 0.6 µl of deoxynucleoside triphosphate (10 mmol/L), 3 µl of 10 X thermophilic buffer (Promega), 1.8 µl of

MgCl2 (25 mmol/L), 0.1 µl of Taq DNA polymerase (5 U/ μ l), and complete the reaction volume using distilled water in 0.2-ml reaction tube. The presence of PCR products was determined by electrophoresis of 10 µl of the DNA product in a 1.5 % agarose gel with 1 X TAE buffer (40 mM Tris-HCl, 1 mM EDTA/L, 1.14 ml/L glacial acetic acid, pH 7.8) at a voltage of 4 volts /cm and stained with 0.5 mg/ml ethidium bromide and the Fluorescent bands were visualized with a UV transilluminator and photographed. A 100-bp DNA ladder (Gibco BRL) was used as a molecular marker. Amplification was obtained with 35 cycles. Each cycle involved initial denaturation at 93 °C for 3 minutes, denaturation at 92 °C for 1 minutes, annealing at 52 °C for 1 minutes, and extension at 72 °C for 1 minutes. The final extension was performed at 72°C for 7 minutes .

The presence of PCR products was determined by electrophoresis of 10 µl of the DNA product in a 1.5 % agarose gel with 1 X TAE buffer (40 mM Tris-HCl. 1 mM EDTA/L. 1.14 ml/L glacial acetic acid, pH 7.8) at a voltage of 4 volts /cm and stained with 0.5 mg/ml ethidium bromide and the Fluorescent bands visualized were with а UV transilluminator and photographed. A 100-bp DNA ladder (Gibco BRL) was used as a molecular marker.

RESULTS

Table 1 showed that the prevalence of total bacteria and fungi causes mastitis in buffaloes and cows were 67.4% from the total examined samples (720) with total incidence of 60.5% and 75% in buffaloes and cows respectively. Table (1), also show the prevalence of subclinical mastitis in buffaloes was 39.2%, while in cows was 33.2%, The incidences of single and mixed subclinical infection were (51.7% & 48.3%) in buffaloes and (43.4% & 56.6%) in cows.

On the other hand, Table 2 showed that, the prevalence of clinical mastitis in buffaloes and cows were 21.3% and 41.8% respectively, while the single and mixed infection were (43.2% and 47.9%) in buffaloes while it was (56.8% and 52.1%) in cows.

Table 3 showed the isolation of different types of bacteria and fungi from mastitic buffaloes with various incidences varying according to type of mastitis. In subclinical mastitis of buffaloes the total bacteria isolated were Staph. aureus (22.1%), Strept. agalactia (10.1%), Strept. dysgalactia (10.1%), Staph. epidermidis (5.4%), E. coli (11.4%), P. aeruginosa (17.4%), K. pneumonia (3.4%) and Proteus vulgaris (2%).

While the total isolated fungi in subclinically mastitic buffaloes were *Candida krusei* (4.7%), *Candida* tropicalis (2.7%), *Candida albicans* (2%), *Cryptococcus neoformans* (4.7%), *Aspergillus* spp. (2%), *Penicillium* spp. (1.3%) and *Alternaria* spp.(0.7%).

Meanwhile the total bacteria isolated in clinically mastitic buffaloes were Staph. aureus (19.8%), Strept. agalactia (12.3%), Strept. dysgalactia (7.4%), Staph. epidermidis (4.9%), E. coli (13.6%), P. aeruginosa (21%), K. pneumonia (6.2%) and Proteus vulgaris(3.7%).

While the total isolated fungi in clinically mastitic buffaloes were Candida krusei (2.5%), Candida tropicalis (2.5%), Candida albicans (3.7%) Cryptococcus neoformans (1.2%), Aspergillus species (1.2%), Penicillium species (0%) and Alternaria species(0%).

Table 4 showed the isolation of different types of bacteria and fungi from mastitic cows with various incidences varying according to type of mastitis. In subclinical mastitis of the cows total bacteria isolated were *Staph. aureus* (23.9%), *Strept. agalactia* (10.6%), *Strept. dysgalactia* (8%), *Staph. epidermidis* (5.2%), *E. coli* (8%), *P. aeruginosa* (13.3%), *K. pneumonia* (3.5%) and *Proteus vulgaris* (0.9%).

While the total isolated fungi in subclinical mastitic cows were Candida krusei (7.9%), Candida tropicalis (3.5%), Candida albicans (6.2%), Cryptococcus neoformans (4.7%), Aspergillus species (3.1%), Penicillium species (1.6%) and Alternaria species(1.6%).

Meanwhile the total bacteria isolated in clinically mastitic cows were *Staph. aureus* (21.8%), *Strept. agalactia* (10.6%), *Strept.*

dysgalactia (7.8%), Staph. epidermidis (3.5%), E. coli (6.3%), P. aeruginosa (18.3%), K. pneumonia (1.4%) and Proteus vulgaris(1.4%).

While the total isolated fungi in clinical mastitic cows were Candida krusei (9.9%), Candida tropicalis (4.2%), Candida albicans (5.6%), Cryptococcus neoformans (4.9%), Aspergillus spp.(1.4%), Penicillium spp. (1.4%) and Alternaria spp.(1.4%).

Table 5 showed the serogrouping of isolated E. coli revealed different O serogroups. The most prevalent serogroups were O149, O126, O86, O 128, O119 and 08 with the incidence of (19.6%, 17.3%, 15.2%, 15.2% 13.1% 10.9% and 8.7%) respectively. While the serogrouping of P. aeruginosa isolates revealed different serogroups. The most prevalent serogroups were K, H, M, A, and G with the incidence of (21.4%, 19.05%, 17.9% 19.05%. 11.9% and 10.7%) respectively.

Table 6 showed the in vitro sensitivity of the most prevalent bacteria isolated from mastitic

buffaloes and caws were done against (14) chemotherapeutic agents. Most tested strains of *Staph. aureus*, *Strept. agalactia*, *Strept. dysagalactia*, *Staph. epidermidis*, *E. coli*, *P. aeruginosa* and *K. pneumoniae* were highly sensitive to enrofloxacin, norfloxacin, ciprofloxacin and kanamycin. Most of these strains were highly resistant to erythromycin and amoxicillin.

The results of four tested antifungal agents, are summarized in Table (7), in which the most of tested strains of *Candida* spp. were highly sensitive to nystatin and ketoconazole in vitro.

Fig. 1 showed four milk samples representative for positive *Staph. aureus* isolates, were selected and subjected to PCR analysis. The specificity of the oligonucleotide primer was confrimed by the positive amplification of 228bp fragments for *Staph. aureus* coagulase (coa) and variable fragments for 16SrRNA genes from the extracted DNA of *Staph. aureus*.

	No. of	N. of	Total no.		Total				Sir	gle	[Mi	xed
Animal species	No. of examined animals	No. of examined quarters	of positive quarters	%	no.of Negative quarters	%	No. of positive quarters	%	No.	%	No.	%
Buffalloes	95	380	230	60.5	150	39.5	149	39.2	77	51.7	72	48.3
Cows	85	340	255	75	85	25	113	33.2	49	43.4	64	56.6
Total	180	720	485	67.4	_235	32.6	262	36.4	126	48.1	136	51.9

Table 1. Frequency of subclinical mastitis in quarter milk samples from buffaloes and cows

Table 2. Frequency of clinical mastitis in quarter milk samples from buffaloes and cows

Animal	No.of	No.of	No.of		Sin	gle	Mix	ked (
species	examined animals	examined quarters	positive quarters	%	No.	%	No.	%
Buffalloes	95	380	81	21.3	35	43.2	46	56.8
Cows	85	340	142	41.8	68	47.9	74	52.1
Total	180	720	223	30.97	103	46.2	120	53.8

Microorganisms			Subclinical				Clinical						
	Sir	ngle	Mi	Mixed		Total		Single		Mixed		Total	
A-Bacterial isolates	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	
Staph. aureus	16	20.8	17	23.6	33	22.1	7	20	9_	19.6	16	19.8	
Strept.agalactia	10	12.9	5	6.9	15	10.1	5	14.3	5	10.9	10	12.3	
Strept.dysgalactia	7	9.1	8	11.1	15	10.1	2	5.7	4	8.7	6	7.4	
Saph. Epidermidis	4	5.2	4	5.6	8	5.4	1	2.9	3	6.5	4	4.9	
E. coli	9	11.7	8	11.1	17	11.4	4	11.4	7	15.2	11	13.6	
P. aeruginosa	13	16.9	13	18.1	26	17.4	9	25.7	8	17.4	17	21	
K.pneumoniae	2	2.6	3	4.1	5	3.4	2	5.7	3	6.5	5	6.2	
Proteus vulgaris	1	1.3	2	2.8	3	2	1	2.9	2_	4.3	3	3.7	
Total bacterial isolates	62	80.5	60	83.3	122	81.9	31	88.6	41	89.1	72	88.9	
B-Fungus isolates													
Candida krusei	4	5.2	3	4.2	7	4.7	2	5.6	0	0	2	2.5	
Candida tropicalis	3	3.9	1	1.4	4	2.7	1	2.9	1	2.2	2	2.5	
Candida albicans	2	2.6	1	1.4	3	2	1	2.9	2	4.3	3	3.7	
Cryptococcus neoformans	3	3.9	4	5.6	7	4.7	0	0	1	2.2	1	1.2	
Aspergillus species	1	1.3	2	2.8	3	2	0	0	1	2.2	1	1.2	
Penicillium species	1	1.3	_1	1.4	2	1.3	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Alternaria species	1	1.3	0	0	1	0.7	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Total fungal isolates	15	19.5	12	16.7	27	18.1	4	11.4	5	10.9	9	11.1	

Table 3. Incidence of bacteria and fungi causing subclinical and clinical mastitis in buffaloes

	Table 4. Incidence	e of bacteria and fungi	causing subclinical	and clinical	mastitis in cows
--	--------------------	-------------------------	---------------------	--------------	------------------

Microorganisms			Subc	inical	<u> </u>				Clir	ical			
A-Bacterial isolates	Sir	Single		Mixed		Total		Single		Mixed		Total	
A-Duciental isolates	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	
Staph. Aureus	12	24.5	15	23.4	27	23.9	13	19.1	18	24.3	31	21.8	
Strept.agalactia	6	12.2	6	9.4	12	10.6	7	10.3	8	10.8	15	10.6	
Strept.dysgalactia	5	10.2	4	6.3	9	8	6	8.8	5	6.8	11	7.8	
Staph.epidermidis	3	6.1	3	4.7	6	5.3	3	4.4	2	2.7	5	3.5	
E. coli	4	8.2	5	7.8	9	8	5	7.4	4	5.4	9	6.3	
P. aeruginosa	5	10.2	10	15.6	15	13.3	15	22.1	11	14.9	26	18.3	
K.pneumoniae	2	4.1	2	3.1	4	3.5	0	0	2	2.7	2	1.4	
Proteus vulgaris	0	0	1	1.5	1	0.9	0	0	2	2.7	2	1.4	
Total bacterial isolates	37	5.5	46	71.8	83	73.5	49	72.1	52	70.3	101	71.1	
B-Fungus isolates													
Candida krusei	4	8.2	5	7.8	9	7.8	6	8.8	8	10.8	14	9.9	
Candida tropicalis	2	4.1	2	3.1	4	3.5	3	4.4	3	4.05	6	4.2	
Candida albicans	3	6.1	4	6.2	7	6.2	4	5.8	4	5.4	8	5.6	
Cryptococcus neoformans	2	4.1	3	4.7	5	4.4	3	4.4	4	5.4	7	4.9	
Aspergillus species	1	2	2	3.1	3	2.7	1	1.5	1	1.35	2	1.4	
Penicillium species	0	0	1	1.6	1	0.9	1	1.5	1	1.35	2	1.4	
Alternaria species	0	0	1	1.6	1	0.9	1	1.5	1	1.35	2	1.4	
Total fungal isolates	12	24.5	18	28.1	30	26.5	19	27.9	22	29.7	41	28.8	

Microorganism	No. of isolates	Serogroups	No. of serogroups	%
E. coli	46	0149	9	19.6
		O126	8	17.3
		O86	7	15.2
		0128	7	15.2
		O119	6	13.1
		O8	5	10.9
		Untyped E. coli	4	8.7
P. aeruginosa	84	K	18	21.4
-		Н	16	19.05
		М	16	19.05
		Α	15	17.9
		G	10	11.9
		Untyped P. aeruginosa	9	10.7

Table 5. Serogrouping E. coli and P. aeruginosa isolated from mastitic buffaloes and cows

No. of isolates from all examined samples of buffaloes and cows.

% was calculated according to total number of each isolates.

Table 6.	Results	of	antibiogram	pattern	of	the	most	prevalent	bacteria	isolated	from
	mastitie	c bı	uffaloes and ca	aws							

Antibacterial agents	Staj aure		Stre agala	-	Strep gala		epider	-	E.co	oli	P.aeru	ginosa	k .pneu a	moni
	<u>S.</u>	%	<i>S</i> .	%	S.	%	S.	%	<u> </u>	_%	_ <u>S</u> .		S .	%
Amoxycillin (25ug)	6/15	40	5/15	33.3	0/15	0	6/15	40	0/15	0	0/15	0	1/15	6.7
Chloramphenico l (30ug)	11/15	73.3	1/15	5.7	1/15	6.7	11/15	73.3	0/15	0	1/15	6.7	2/15	13.3
Ciprofloxacin (5ug)	14/15	93.3	13/15	86.7	14/15	93.3	13/15	86.7	14/15	93.3	15/15	100	13/15	86.7
Erythromycin (10ug)	1/15	6.7	1/15	6.7	5/15	33.3	3/15	20	2/15	13.3	0/15	0	3/15	20
Flumequine (30ug)	11/15	73.3	8/15	53.3	14/15	93.3	11/15	73.3	1/15	6.7	0/15	0	14/15	93.3
Gentamicin (10ug)	10/15	66.7	15/15	100	14/15	93.3	14/15	93.3	13/15	86.7	12/15	80	13/15	86.7
Norfloxacin (10ug)	15/15	100	13/15	86.7	12/15	80	15/15	100	15/15	100	8/15	53.3	9/15	60
Polymyxin (10ug)	9/15	60	12/15	80	6/15	40	8/15	53.3	1/15	6.7	0/15	0	6/15	40
Streptomycin (10ug)	12/15	80	10/15	66.7	8/15	53.3	5/15	33.3	14/15	93.3	10/15	66.7	5/15	33.3
Penicillin G (10ug)	0/15	0	13/15	86.7	7/15	46.7	9/15	60	1/15	6.7	0/15	0	5/15	33.3
Kanamycin (30ug)	13/15	86.7	12/15	80	13/15	86.7	13/15	86.7	15/15	100	15/15	100	15/15	100

S: Sensitive.

% : Percentage of sensitive isolates in relation to total isolates.

Elham and Flourage

Antimycotic agents	Cana krus		Cano tropic		Cana		Cryptococcus neoformans	
	S.	%	S.	%	S.	%	S.	%
Nystatin (100 µ/d)	8/10	80	7/10	70	8/10	80	10/10	100
Ketoconazole (10 µ/d)	7/10	70	5/10	50	7/10	70	6/10	60
Clotrimazole (10 µ/d)	6/10	60	5/10	50	8/10	80	3/10	30
Floconazole(10µ/d)	3/10	30	4/10	40	5/10	50	4/10	0

Table 7. Results of antibiogram pattern of	the most prevalent yeast strains isolated from
mastitic buffaloes and caws	

S: Sensitive.

% : Percentage of sensitive isolates in relation to total isolates.



Fig. 1. Electrophoresis analysis of PCR product of amplified *Staph. aureus* coagulase (coa) and 16SrRNA genes

M: 100bp marker.

Lane1,2,3 and 4 indicate a positive amplification *Staph. aureus* coagulase (coa) at the 228bp and variable for 16SrRNA genes:

C1: Control positive for Staph. aureus coagulase (coa) and 16SrRNA genes:

DISCUSSION

Mastitis is a general term which refers to inflammation of the mammary gland, regardless of cause. It is characterized by physical, chemical, bacteriological and mycological changes in the milk, and by pathological changes in the udder. Early recognition and prompt treatment are important for limiting damage and production losses tissue (44). Clinical signs of mastitis appeared 7 to 14 days with a peak of high fever, severe anemia, and the complete cessation of milk production from all quarters (45).

In the present study, the examination of 720 lactating buffaloes and cows (Table,1), show that the prevalence of total bacteria and fungi causes mastitis in buffaloes and cows were 67.4% from the total examined samples, with total incidence of 60.5% in buffaloes, which is lower than that of cows (75%), this may be attributed to the fact that buffaloes appear more resistant animal. On the other hand, it was clear that the prevalence of subclinical mastitis in buffaloes was higher (39.2%) than in cows (33.2%). The incidences of single and mixed subclinical infection were (51.7% & 48.3%) in buffaloes and (43.4% &56.6%) in cows. Meanwhile, the incidence of clinical mastitis in buffaloes and cows were 21.3% and 41.8% while the single and mixed respectively. infection were (43.2% and 47.9%) in buffaloes and (56.8% and 52.1%) in cows. The incidence of subclinical mastitis in buffaloes was 43.7% (46). The incidences of clinical mastitis in the Sweden, Norway, Denmark and Finland were (21, 30, 56, and 32 cases per 100 cows) respectively (47). The prevalence of subclinical mastitis in dairy herds in Urnguay was (32.5%) on a cow basis and (26.7%) On an udder quarter basis (48). The heard prevalence of bovine mastitis was 30.6% (49). The mastitis pathogens were isolated from 26.4% of milk samples in dairy cows in Brandenburg, Germany (50).

The results in table (3 and 4), revealed isolation of different types of bacteria and fungi from mastitic buffaloes with various incidences varying according to type of mastitis. The most predominant cases of subclinical mastitis in buffaloes were due to Staph. Aureus (46). Meanwhile Staph. aureus, Strept. Dysgalactia, Strept.agalactia and Enterobacteriaceae (E. coli, Klebsiella spp., Proteus spp. and other coliforms) (35.3%, 8.1%, 4.9% and 3.3%) respectively, were isolated in Of all cases of subclinical mastitis in Germany (51). 23.6% of E. coli caused mastitis in bovine (52). Bovine mastitis caused by Strept.agalactia was mainly subclinical Staph. (15). aureus (62.8%), Strept.agalactia (11.3%), Strept. dysgalactia (1.8%), and E. coli (1.5%) were isolated from cases of subclinical mastitis, While the most prevalent isolated pathogens in clinical cases were Staph. aureus (37.5%) and E. coli (12.5%) in dairy herds in Uruguay (48). The major Streptococcus mastitis pathogens spp., Coliforms, Bacillus Cereus, P. aeruginosa and Staph. aureus at prevalence of (64, 47, 33, 17 and 10%) respectively were isolated from 85 Friesian cows with subclinical mastitis (53).

Meanwhile the total bacteria isolated in clinically mastitic buffaloes were Staph aureus (19.8%), Strept .agalactia (12.3%), Strept. dysgalactia (7.4%), Strept. epidermidis (4.9%), E. coli (13.6%), P. aeruginosa (21%), K. pneumonia (6.2%) and Proteus vulgaris(3.7%). While the total bacteria isolated in clinically mastitic cows were Staph aureus (21.8%), Strept. agalactia (10.6%), Strept. dysgalactia (7.8%), Strept. epidermidis (3.5%), E. coli (6.3%), P. aeruginosa (18.3%), K. pneumonia (1.4%) and Proteus vulgaris(1.4%). It is worthy to mention that there were variations between subclinical and clinical mastitis and between buffaloes and cows. The high prevalence of clinical and subclinical mastitis was mainly caused by Staph. aureus, Strept. agalactia and E. coli in southern Ethiopia (54). On the other hand , E. coli (12.5%), C.bovis (15%), Staph aureus (5%) and Streptococcus spp.(10%) were isolated from bovine mastitis in Sharkia Governorate (55).

E. coli (41.02%), *Staph. aureus*, (21.09%), *Strept. dysgalactia* (10.16%), *Strept. epidermidis* (9.38%), *Strept. agalactia* (9.38%), *Strept. intermedius* (7.42%), and *Strept. hyicus* (2.34%), respectively were detected from mastitic buffaloes (56). *E. coli* was identified with an

incidence of (25.3%) in mastitis in buffaloes (57). K. pneumoniae (9.6%), and (3.75%) K. oxytoca (1.6%) and (6.25%) were isolated from apparently healthy and mastitic cows while K. pneumoniae (5.36%) and K. oxytoca (0.89%) were detected from apparently healthy buffaloes (58). The most commonly isolated bacteria from 56 examined cows with toxic mastitis were E. coli from 26 cows and Staph. aureus from 11 cows (59). The etiological agents of dairy cows mastitis in Poland were Streptococcus spp. (15.7%), coagulase negative Staphylococci. (14.6%), Staph. aureus, (8.6%), Gram- negative bacilli (4%) and Corynebacterium spp. (3.8%). E. coli (52.3%), dominated among Gramnegative bacilli followed by K. pneumoniae (4.1%), P. aeruginosa (3.6%), Enterobacter cloacae (3.6%), P. multocida (3.1%) and 26 other bacteria species. Strept. dysgalactia (19.7%) and Enterococcus faecalis (5.3%) were mostly among CAMP -negative Streptococci (60).

While the total isolated fungi in subclinical mastitic buffaloes were Candida krusei (4.7%), Candida tropicalis (2.7%), Candida albicans (2%), Cryptococcus neoformans (4.7%), Aspergillus species (2%), Penicillium species (1.3%) and Alternaria species(0.7%). But, the total isolated fungi in subclinical mastitic cows were Candida krusei (7.9%), Candida tropicalis (3.5%), Candida albicans (6.2%),Cryptococcus neoformans (4.7%), Aspergillus species (3.1%), Penicillium species (1.6%) and Alternaria species (1.6%).

While the total isolated fungi in clinical mastitic buffaloes were Candida krusei(2.5%), Candida tropicalis(2.5%), Candida albicans (3.7%), Cryptococcus neoformans (1.2%),Aspergillus species (1.2%), Penicillium species (0%) and Alternaria species(0%). But, the total isolated fungi in clinical mastitic cows were Candida krusei (9.9%), Candida tropicalis (4.2%), Candida albicans (5.6%), Cryptococcus neoformans (4.9%), Aspergillus species (1.4%), species (1.4%) and Alternaria Penicillium species(1.4%). Cryptoccus neoformans and Candida albicans were isolated from mastitic Friesian herd (61). Yeast, Proteus spp. and Klebsiella spp. were detected from mastitic cow's milk samples (62). Proteus spp. and *Candida* spp. were isolated from mastitic heifers (63). On the other hand, 12.07% fungi were detected from clinical and subclinical mastitic cows milk (82.86% of these samples were yeasts and 11.95% were moulds) (20). The yeast isolated were, Cryptococcus spp.(71 strains), Rhodotorula spp. (40), Candida spp. (68), Trichosporon cutaneum (21) and Aureobasidium pullulans (7). Also moulds isolated were Aspergillus (3), Penicillium (3) and Alternaria (3). Candida species were isolated from cow milk samples (64, 65). 9.6% of examined cow milk were positive for fungi, all isolated fungi were yestes of the genera Candida, Rhodotorula and Trichosporon (21). On the other hand Candida krusei. Candida rugosa Candidaglabrata, Candida albicans and Cryptococcus neoformans were isolated from clinically and subclinically mastitic cows (24).

Yeast mastitis produced almost the same clinical manifestations as other cause of mastitis, so it is difficult to set a diagnosis according to this information. The routine tests, like CMT, are not enough for making accurate diagnosis. If microbiological examination was not carried out for mastitic milk samples, the yeast mastitis is suspected when antibiotic therapy failed (66). This variation in incidence may due to country, management and milking practices or difference in immunity between cows and buffaloes or the lack of an udder health program or associated with factors such as locations, climate and breed.

The results of serogrouping of *E. coli* and *P. aeruginosa* as recorded in table (5), . *E. coli* was isolated from mastitic buffaloes belonged to O114, O125, O44, O126 and O86 (56). While, different sero groups of *E. coli* detected from buffaloes mastitic milk including O111, O119, O86 and O 126 (57).

The result presented in Table 6, indicated that, there was marked difference between the sensitivity to antibiotics and different bacterial isolates. Norfloxacin, enrofloxacin, kananmycin and gentamicin were considered the prevalent antimicrobial agents of choice for treatments of bovine mastitis. 52.1% 0f Staph. aureus isolated from bovine mastitis in Finland were resistant to pencillin G (49). Klebsiella spp. were susceptible to flumequine, gentamicin, kanamycin and nitrofurantion (58). These variations in sensitivity may be due to nature and distribution of bacteria or regional differences or wrong dose , duration of drugs and plasmid helping in the formation of resistant neural strains.

The results in Table 7. Ketoconazole and Nystatin were the most active antifungals against yeasts isolated from the mammary gland (67). The high susceptibility of yeast strains isolated from clinical cases of animal diseases to Ticonazole and Ketoconazole (41). Nystatin introduced into the teat or Amphotericin B given intravenously is recommended for treatment (68).

The use of polymerase chain reaction (PCR), as shown in Fig. (1), revealed positive amplification of *Staph. aureus* on 228bp fragments for *Staph. aureus* coagulase (coa) and variable fragments for 16SrRNA genes from the extracted DNA of *Staph. aureus* on lane 1-4. These results suggest that the PCR assay could be used as an alternative method in routine diagnosis for rapid, sensitive, and specific simultaneous detection of *Staph. aureus* in milk samples. Also, these results are agreement with the results of (26 - 28).

REFERENCES

- 1.Lafi SQ and Hailat NQ (1998): "Incidence of antibiotic sensitivity of bacteria causing bovine and ovine mastitis in Jordan." Pakistan Vet. J. 18 (2): 88-94.
- 2.National Mastitis Council (1999): Current concepts of bovine mastitis. 4th Ed. The National Mastitis Council Inc. Madison. Wis.
- 3.Philpot W N, and Nickerson SC (1991): " Mastitis attack. Surge International" Babson Bros. Co. Naperville Illinois USA.
- 4.El-Kholy A M, Hosein H I and Thabet A. El-R (1994):"Chemical and cytobacteriological studies for the detection of clinical mastitis. "Assuit Vet. Med. J. 30 (60): 154-164.
- 5.Bramley AJ, Harmon RJ, Smith KL and Hogan JS (1996): "Current Concepts of

Bovine Mastitis. " 4th ed. The National Mastitis Council Walton Commons West Masdison W/53704 (608): 224 – 622.

- 6.Smith BP (1996):" Large animal internal medicine." 2nd Ed. Boston Mass: Mosby. 1181-1193.
- 7.Dutta S and Rangnekar A (2001): "Subclinical mastitis in a Jersey herd." Indian Vet. J. 78 (2), 161-162.
- 8.Burvenich C, Van Merris V, Mehrzad J, Diez- Fraile A and Duchateau L (2003) : "Severity of E. coli mastitis is mainly determined by cow factors." Vet. Res., 34 (5): 521-564.
- 9.Herron LL, Chakravarty R, Dwan C, Fitzgerald JR, Musser JM, Retzel E and Kapur V (2002): "Genome sequence survey identifies unique sequences and key virulence genes with unusual rates of amino acid substitution in bovine Staphylococcus aureus." Dep. of Vet. Pathobiology Uni. of Minnesota Infection and Immunity.70 (7): 3978-3981.
- 10.Milne MH, Biggs AM, Fitzpatrik JL, Innocent GT and Barrett DC (2003): "Use of clinical information to predict the characteristic of bacteria isolated from clinical cases of bovine mastitis ." Vet. Rec. 17 152 (20): 615-617.
- 11.Orden JA, Goyache J, Hernandez J, Domenech A, Suarez G and Gomez Lucia E (1992) : " Detection of enterotoxins and TSST- 1 secreted by Staphylococcus aureus isolated from ruminant mastitis. Comparison of ELISA and Immunoblot. " J. APPI. Bact. 72 (60): 486-489.
- 12.Dego OK, Digk J E Van, Nederbragt H and Van- Dijk- J E (2002): "Factors involved in the early pathogenesis of bovine. Staphylococcus aureus mastitis with empasis on bacterial adhesion and invasion. "Vet. Quartely . Faculty of Vet. Med. Utrecht 24(4), 181 – 198.
- 13.Todhunter DA, Smilt KL, Hogan JS and Shoenburger PS (1991): "Gram negative

bacterial infections of the bovine mammary gland ." Am. J. Vet. Med. Res. 52: 184.

- 14. Jones T and Ohnsted I(2002): "Milking procedures recommended for the control of bovine mastitis." J. In practice. 24 (9): 502-507.
- 15.Meiri I B, Lipkin E, Friedmann A, Leitner G, Saran A, Friedman S and Kashi Y (2002): " A PCR – Based method for the detection of Streptococcus agalactiae in milk." J. Dairy Sci. 85: 1717-1723.
- 16.Lagneau p E, Lebtahi K Swinne D (1996):
 "Isolation of yeast from bovine milk in Belgium." Mycopathologia 135 : 99-102.
- 17. Tarfarosh MA and Purohit SK (2008): "Isolation of Candida spp. From mastitic cows and milkers." Online Vet. J.3(2):28.
- 18.Farnsworth R J (1977): "Signifiance of fungal mastitis." JAVMA 170: 1173-1174
- 19.Aalbaek B, Stenderup J, Jensen HE, Valobak J, Nylin B and Huda A (1994): "Mycotic and algal bovine mastitis in Denmark." Department of Veterinary Microbiology Royal Veterinary and Agricultural University Fredericksburg. Denmark.
- 20.Costa E O, Gandra CR, Pires M F, Coutinho S D, Castilho W and Teixeira CM (1993): "Survey of bovine mycotic mastitis in dairy herds in the state of Saopaulo Brazil." Department of Veterinary Preventive and Animal Health Faculdade de medicine veterinarian Zootecnia Universidad de Saopaulo. 124 (1): 13-17.
- 21.Krukowski H, Tietze M, Majewski T and Rozanski P (2001): "Survey of Yeast mastitis in dairy herds of small- type farms in the Lublin region Poland." Mycopathologia150(1): 5-7.
- 22.Schalm OW, Carroll EJ and Jain NC (1971): "Less common forms of mastitis ." Page 275 in Bovine mastitis. Lea and Febiger Philadelphia.

- 23. Yeh SG, Chung KY, and Cho HT (1988): " Prevalence of yeasts in bovine mammary gland infections and teat cups of milking machines." Korean J. Vet. Res. 28: 361.
- 24.Pengov A (2002): "Prevalence of mycotic mastitis in cows." Acta Veterinaria 52 (2-3) : 133-136.
- 25.Erlich H A, Gelfand D and Sninsky JJ (1991): "Recent advances in the polymerase chain reaction." Science 252: 1643-1651.
- 26.Phuektes P, Mansell PD and Browning G F (2001): "Multiplex Polymerase Chain Reaction Assay for simultaneous detection of Staphylococcus aureus and Streptococcal causes of bovine mastitis." J. of Dairy Sci. 84 (5): 1140-1148.
- 27.Gillespie B E and Oliver SP (2005): " Simultaneous detection of mastitis pathogens Staphylococcus aureus Streptococcus uberis and Streptococcus agalactiae by Multiplex Real Time Polymerase Chain Reaction." J. Dairy Sci. October 88 (10): 3510-3518.
- 28.Cremonesi P,Castiglioni B, Malferrari G, Biunno I, Vimercati C, Moroni P,Morandi S, and Luzzana M (2006): "Technical note: Improved method for rapid DNA extraction of mastitis pathogens directly from milk." J. Dairy Sci. January . 89 (1): 163-169.
- 29.American Public Health Association (A.P.H. A) (1992): "Standard Methods for the examination of Dairy Products" 16th Ed. New York.
- 30.BreedRS, Murphy EG and Gard RP (1957): "Bergeys Manual of Determinative Bacteriology." Williams and Wikins Comp. 8th Ed.
- 31.Cruickshank RD, Dugnid JP, Mermion BR and Swain RHA (1975): Medical Microbiology 2nd volume 12th Ed. Livingstone Edinbrug (London) NewYork.
- 32.Koneman EW, Allen S D, Dowel V R and Sommes H M (1997):" Colour Atlas and Textbook of Diagnostic Microbiology ." 2nd Ed. Lippincott Philadelphia New York London.

- 33.Boone D Rand Castenholz RW (2001): " Bergeys Manual of Systemic Bacteriology." Sec. Ed. Vol. (1): USA.
- 34.Quinn PJ, Markey B K, Carter M E, Donnelly W J and Leonard FC (2002): Veterinary Microbiology and Microbial Disease. Black well Sc. U.K.
- 35. Edwards PR and Ewing WH (1972) : Identification of Enterobactericeae. Burgess publ Co.Minnece polis Minnesota p. 103-104.
- 36.Homma J Y (1982): "Designation of thirteen O- group antigens of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. An amendment for the tentative proposal in 1967." Jpn. J. Exp. Med. 52: 317-319.
- 37.RichardGL, McDonald JC, Fichtner R E and Anderson AJ(1980): "Identification of yeasts from infected bovine mammary glands and their experimental infectivity in cattle." Amer. J. Vet. Res.. 41: 1991-1994
- 38.Carter G R, and Cole J R (1990): Diagnostic procedures in Veterinary Bacteriology and Mycology. 5th Ed. Harcourt Brace Jovanovich Publisher New York.
- 39.Lagneau P E, Lebtahi K Swinne D (1996): "Isolation of yeast from bovine milk in Belgium." Mycopathologia 135 : 99-102.
- 40. Finegold SM and Martin WJ (1982) :Bailey and Scotts Diagnostic Microbiology. 6th ed. The C.V. Mosby Co. St. Lowis Toronto London.
- 41.Lassa H and Malinowski E (2007): "Risistance of yeasts and algae isolated from cow mastitic milk to antimicrobial agents." Bull. Vet. Inst. Pulawy 51:575-578.
- 42. Løvseth A Loncarevic S and BerdalK G (2004): "Modified multiplex PCR method for detection of pyrogenic exotoxin genes in staphylococcal isolates." J. Clin. Microbiol.42:3869-3872.
- 43. Hookey J V, Richardson JF and Cookson B D (1998): "Molecular typing of Staphylococcus aureus based on PCR

restriction fragment length polymorphism and DNA sequence analysis of the coagulase gene." J. Clin. Microbiol. 36 1083- 1089.

- 44.Sheater J K and Harris Jr B (2003): Mastitis in dairy goats. Animal Science Department Florida Cooperative Extension Service University of Florida. Reviewed June 2003.
- 45.Aroch I, Harmelin A, Saran A, Levin D and Shpigel N Y (2003): "Perimental Corynebacterium pseudotuberculosis mastitis in dairy cows." Vet. Rec. ,153 (24): 746-750.
- 46.Attia E R H (1988): "Relationship between bacteriological examination and enzymatic change in subclinical mastitis in buffaloes and cattle ." M.V.Sc. Thesis (Microbiological) Fac. Vet. Med. Cairo Univ.
- 47.Plym Forshell K., Osteras O., Aagaard K. and Kulkas L.(1993): "Disease recording and cell count data in Sweden Denmark and Finland." Proceedings of 3rd International Mastitis Seminar Tel Aviv Israel. session (4): 50-54.
- 48. Gianneechini R, Concha C, Rivero R, Delucci I and Moreno Lopez J (2002): " Occurrence of clinical and subclinical mastitis in dairy herds in the West Littoral Region in Uruguay" Acta. Vet. Scand., 43(4): 221-230.
- 49.Pitkala A, Haveri M, Pyorala S, Myllys V and Honkanen- Buzalski T (2004) : " Bovine mastitis in Finland 2001 –prevalence distribution of bacteria and antimicrobial resistance" J. Dairy Sci. 87: 2433-2441.
- 50-Tenhagen B A, Koster G, Wallmann J and Heuwieser W (2006): "Prevalence of mastitis pathogens and their resistance against antimicrobial agents in dairy cows in Brandenburg Germany." Dairy Sci. 89 (7): 2542-2551.
- 51. Sobiraj A, Kron A, Schollmeyer U and Failing K(1997):" Federal investigations on the distribution and in vitro resistance of udder pathogenic bacteria in the milk of

cows with subclinical mastitis." Tierarztl Prax. 25 (2): 108-115.

- 52.Shalaby B and Salem RMT (2001):" Bacteria and fungi as probable causes of mastitis in dairy buffaloes." J. Egypt. Vet. Med. Assoc. 61 (3): 137 – 144.
- 53. Wahba Nm, Ali MM and Abd-El Haffez MM (2005):" Microbiological profile of subclinical mastitic cow milk and its correlation with field tests and the somatic cell count." Assiut Vet. Med. J. 51(104): 62-75.
- 54.Dego OK and Tareke E (2003): "Bovine mastitis in selected areas of Southern Ethiopia. "Top Anim Health Prod. June, 35(3): 125-128.
- 55. Mohamed I E and Mohamed M H (2004):" Pathological studies on Bovine mastitis in Sharkia Governorate with eatiological References" J. Egypt. Vet. Med. Ass. 64(5): 91-105.
- 56.Mosharaf B A S (2004): "Studies on microbial causes of mastitis in buffaloes." Ph. D. Thesis (Bacteriology Immunology and Mycology) Fac. Vet. Med. Cairo Univ.
- 57.Zaki ER, Riad EM and Nevine M Sobhy (2004) : "Correlation between E. coli serotypes isolated from buffalo mastitic milk with different virulence patterns." J. Egypt. Vet. Med. Assoc. 64(5): 53-56.
- 58.Mezyed E M M (2005): "Prevalence of Klebsiella spp. in slaughtered animals."
 Ph.D. Thesis (Bacteriology Immunology and Mycology) Fac. Vet. Med. Cairo Univ.
- 59.Bleul U, Sacher K, Corti S and Braun U (2006): "Clinical findings in 56 cows with toxic mastitis" Vet. Rec. 11, 159 (20): 677-679.

- 60.Malinowaski E, Lassa H, Kllossowska A, Smulski S, Markiewicz H and Kaczmarowski M (2006) :" Etiological agents of dairy cow's mastitis in Western part of Poland. " Pol. J. Vet. Sci. 9 (3): 191-194.
- 61.El Far F, Hammad HA and Refai M(1987): " Cryptococcus neoformans as a cause of bovine mastitis in Egypt." Egypt Vet. Med. Assoc. 47 (1-2): 203-208.
- 62.Messadi L, Ben-Miled L and Hadded N (1991): "Bovine mastitis in Tunisia bacteria responsible and antibiotic." Tunisia Revue de Medicine Veterinaries 42 (4): 313-319.
- 63.Pankey JW, Drechster P A and Wildman EE (1991): "Mastitis prevalence in prim gravid heifers at parturition." J. Dairy Sci. 47:(5)1550-1552.
- 64.Ragheb RR, Abou-El-Makarem M,Ramzy A and Salah NA(1998): "Some syudies on the microbiological causes and biochemical changes in mastitis milk with emphasis on fungi and mycoplasma." Assiut Vet. Med. J. 37(74): 24-32.
- 65.Xun XL, Hu W and Zhang XM (1995): " Diagnosis and control of mastitis caused by *Candida albicans.*" Chinses J. Vet. Med. 21(10): 13-14.
- 66. StanojevicS and Krnjajic D(2005): "Yesst mastitis in cows" Internat. J.F.S.1:8-10.
- 67.MacDonald S RichardJL AndersonAJ and Fichtnerr E (1980):"In vitro antimycotic sensitivity of yeast isolated from infected bovine mammary glands." Am. J. Vet. Res.41:1987-1990.
- 68.Tanwani SK and Yadava R (2006):"Fungi responsible for mastitis in animals." Agric. Entomol. to Puplic Health April.

الهام ابراهيم عطوة * فلوراج محمود راضى ** *قسم البكتريولوجى * *وقسم الفطريات بمعهد بحوث صحة الحيوان معمل شبين الكوم

يعتبر التهاب الضرع من أهم المشاكل التي تصيب الأبقار والجاموس لما يسببه من خسائر اقتصادية كبيرة. وقد أجريت هذه الدراسة على (٢٢٠) عينة من ألبان الأبقار والجاموس الحلوب والسليمة ظاهريا والمصابة بالتهاب الضرع وذلك لمعرفة أهم المسببات البكتيرية والفطرية للالتهاب الضرع الإكلينيكي وتحت الإكلينيكي بمحافظة المنوفية. (وتشمل العينات على ٣٨٠ من الجاموس و ٣٤٠ من الأبقار).

وبفحص العينات وجد أن نسبة الإصابة بالتهاب الضرع التحت الإكلينيكي كانت (٣٩,٢% و ٣٣,٢%) في الجاموس والأبقار علي الترتيب ، بينما الإصابة بالتهاب الضرع الإكلينيكي كانت (٢١,٣% و ٤١,٨%) في الجاموس والأبقار علي الترتيب .

بينما الفحص البكتريولوجي لعينات لبن الأبقار المصاب بالتهاب الضرع التحت الإكلينيكي تم عزل الميكروب العنقودى الذهبي والميكروب السبحي الأجلاكتيا والميكروب السبحي الديس أجلاكتي و الميكروب العنقودى ابيدرمس والأشير شيا كولاى والسيدومونس اريجينوزا والكليبسيلا نيموني والبروتيس فيلجارس بنسب (٢٣,٩% و٢٠١% و ٨% و٢،٥% و ٨% و٣،٣١% و ٥,٣% و٩,٥% على الترتيب). بينما في حالة التهاب الضرع الإكلينيكي تم عزل الميكروب العنقودى الذهبي والميكروب السبحي الأجلاكتيا والميكروب السبحي الديس أجلاكتي و الميكروب العنقودى ابيدرمس والأشير شيا والذهبي والميكروب السبحي الأجلاكتيا والميكروب السبحي الديس أجلاكتي و الميكروب العنقودى ابيدرمس والأشير شيا الذهبي والميكروب السبحي الأجلاكتيا والميكروب السبحي الديس أجلاكتي و الميكروب العنقودى ابيدرمس والأشير شيا و ٢.٦% و ٢.١% و ٢.١% على الترتيب). وبالعحص للديس أجلاكتي و الميكروب العنقودى ابيدرمس والأشير شيا مولاى والسيدومونس اريجينوزا والكليبسيلا نيموني والبروتيس فيلجارس بنسب (٢٠,٩ ٥ و ٢.٦% و ٢٠,٣% و ٢.١% و ٢.١% على الترتيب). وبالفحص للفطريات لعينات لبن الأبقار المصاب بالتهاب الضرع التحت الإكلينيكي تم عزل الكانديدا كروسي و الكانديدا تروبيكالز و الكانديدا البيكانس و الكربتوكوكس نيوفورمانز و و ١٣.٦% و ٢.١% و ٢.١% و ٢.١% على الترتيب). وبالفحص للفطريات لعينات لبن الأبقار المصاب بالتهاب الضرع التحت الإكلينيكي تم عزل الكانديدا كروسي و الكانديدا تروبيكالز و الكانديدا البيكانس و الكربتوكوكس نيوفورمانز و و ١٣.٥% و ٢.١% و ٢.1% و ٢.1% على الترتيب). وبالفحص للفطريات لعينات لبن الأبقار المصاب بالتهاب الضرع الأسبيروجيلس والبنسيليوم والالتيرناريا بنسب (٣٠,٩ و ٢.٦% و ٢.1% و ٢.1% و ٢.1% و ٢.1% و ١٤.1% و ٢.1% و ٢.1% و الكانديدا البنديليوم والكانديدا كروسي و الكانديدا تروبيكالز و الكانديدا البيكانس و الكربتوكوكس نيوفورماتز و الأسبيروجيلس والبنسيليوم والألتيرناريا بنسب (٣٠,٩ و ٢.1% و ٢.1% و ٢.1% و ٢.3% و ٢.1% و على الأسبيروجيلس والن مالفر و الألسبيروجيل والبليس و ٢.1% و

وتم أيضا دراسة مدى حساسية العترات البكتيرية المعزولة للمضادات الحيوية فكانت معظم العترات المعزولة حساسة للأنروفلوكساسين والسبروفلوكساسين والكاناميسين والنورفلوكساسين والجنتاميسين. وكانت معظم الفطريات المعزولة أكثر حساسية للنيستاتين والكيتوكونازول .

وقد تم استخدام اختبار تفاعل البلمرة المتسلسل كطريقة حديثة وسريعة لتشخيص الميكروب العنقودي الذهبي باستخدام البريمر المخصص له . وقد أثبتت النتائج سر عة ودقة اختبار تفاعل البلمرة المتسلسل في التشخيص المعملي السريع لالتهاب الضرع من عينات اللبن مباشرة .