

Journal

MOLECULAR DISCRIMINATION AMONG DIVERSE GRAPEVINE GENOTYPES BY RAPD MARKERS IN RELATION TO DROUGHT STRESS

Attia¹, M.M.; A.M. Hussein¹; I.A. Hussein²; Yasmin, M. El-Salamony³ and S.A. Afiah⁴

J. Biol. Chem. 1 Pomology Dept., Fac. Agric., Alex. Univ. Environ. Sci., 2012, 2 Plant production Dept., Desert Research Center Vol. 7(1): 297-311 3 Maryout Agric. Res. Station, Desert Research Center www.acepsag.org 4 Plant Genetic Resources Dept., Desert Research Center * Corresponding Author samy_afiah@yahoo.com*

ABSTRACT

Four grapevine genotypes (*Vitis vinifera* L.), comprised two landraces and two released varieties were tested in this investigation. Five RAPD informative primers utilized in the initial screening due to their ability to produce polymorphic and unambiguous markers between the grapevine accessions. The selected primers were OPB1, OPO3, OPO5, OPO7, and OPO9. These primers produced a total of 75 DNA fragments, of which 68 fragments (90.7%) were reproducible polymorphic amplified fragments, while 7 DNA fragments were conserved among the 4 genotypes tested. All the accessions studied showed a unique banding patterns for the 5 primers used. The results indicated that 13 negative and 38 positive markers were detected. The marker fragments size ranged between 360 to 1620 bps for the negative markers and from 290 to 2810 bps for the positive markers. The most effective primer was OPO3, which discriminated all studied genotypes. The degree of the genetic similarity between all accessions tested ranged from 27.8% to 77.1%. The dendrogram tree resulting from the UPGMA suggests the existence of grapevine genotypes groups with higher similarities. The markers used in the present investigation proved to be quite powerful in detecting high polymorphism and RAPD-PCR is a reliable method for discrimination and genetic analysis of grapevine germplasm.

Barrani landrace considered as drought tolerant grapevine genotype against Thompson seedless variety which gave the lowest values of internodes length, leaf area and total chlorophyll content under low available water added treatment (highest water deficit stress).The primer OPO3 was more informative than all other primers used as it discriminated these two contrasting genotypes by six and three positive and negative bands, respectively.

Key words: Grapevine, *Vitis vinifera*, RAPD-PCR, Dendrogram and Drought stress.

INTRODUCTION

The grape is unique, not only because of its status as a major global horticultural crop but also for its ancient historical connections with human culture. Currently, *Vitis vinifera* is among the most important plant species being cultivated on area of about 7.9 million ha with more than 10000 grape cultivars worldwide and an annual production of approximately 58 million tons **(FAO, 2004)**. It considered the second major fruit crop in Egypt and it is the fourth crop of a great potentiality for export to world markets. Total grapes area in Egypt reached 165.786 feddan producing about 1.432 million ton according to the annual year book of statistics of ARE, 2008. Accurate grapevine identification is necessary because of the global problem which has arisen as a result of the long history of cultivation and distribution of vegetative cutting from new cultivars that were wrongly identified and renamed. The spread across cultural boundaries has also increased the problem due to different countries or regions adopting different names for the same cultivar. Traditional methods of discrimination and identification of grape varieties have relied on morphological characters whose expression is affected by developmental and environmental factors. Knowledge about germplasm diversity and genetic relationships among breeding materials (landraces, traditional varieties, new recombinants...etc.) are highly valuable tools in plant improvement strategies. A number of methods have relied mainly on the availability of genetic markers; there are three types of genetic markers, morphological, biochemical and molecular markers. Marker based selection is the area where it could have the greatest impact in plant development. Molecular markers have proved to be a valuable, rapid and fundamental tool for genetic studies and genotypic characterization. The random amplified polymorphic DNA (RAPD) technique **(Williams** *et al***., 1990 and Welsh and Mc Clelland,1990)** has several distinct advantages: The

cost per reaction is low, only a small amount of plant material is required for DNA extraction (A few nanograms of DNA from the organism under study is enough) non–radioactive detection and noneed for expensive equipments in addition to the method does not require any prior knowledge of the genome sequence **(Karatash and Sabit Agaoglu, 2008).** The RAPD technique has been successfully used for genetic studies in grapevines **(Martinez** *et al.***, 2003, Benjak** *et al.***, 2005 and Kocsis** *et al.***, 2005)** which increased the understanding of relatedness of cultivars and facilitated research in vitis genetics **(Reisch, 2000).**

The aim of this study was to identify and discriminate grapevine genotypes which dominate in newly reclaimed areas under desert conditions of Egypt and to determine their genetic similarities based on RAPD–PCR analysis.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Plant Material:

The present study was carried out during three successive years lasted 2011 at Maryout Experimental Station of Desert Research Center by growing cuttings of four diverse grapevine genotypes in 25 cm diameter plastic bags. After three months, three of them (the released variety, Thompson seedless and the two landraces, Barrani and Saint Catherine) were treated by four levels of water deficit (control, 75%, 50% and 25% of available water level). Each treatment contained five replicates arranged in split plot design where, genotypes allocated main plots and the levels of irrigation water in sub-plots. The recorded data statistically analyzed according **Snedecor and Cochran (1989)**. Molecular analysis was practiced by using five RAPD selected primers to differentiate among four diverse genotypes tested.

Molecular Analysis:

Young leaves were collected from 5 trees for each cultivar and immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -20°C. DNA was extracted from the leaf samples following the protocol for minipreps by using CTAB **(Dellaportta** *et al.***, 1983)**. For RAPD analysis, the method described by **Williams** *et al.* **(1990)** was used to optimize the RAPD conditions.

Five 10-mer primers were used to generate the RAPD markers. All primer codes and sequences are presented in Table (1). The PCR reaction conditions were optimized and reaction mixtures (50 μl total volume) consisted of $10X$ PCR buffer, MgCl₂ (50 mM), dNTPs (2) mM), primer (5 μl), template DNA (10 ng/μl), Taq DNA polymerase (5 units). DNA amplification was carried out for 45 cycles in Perkin Elemar G. thermal-cycler. Amplified products were size-separated by electrophoresis in 1.5% agarose gel and visualized by ultraviolet illumination after staining with ethidium bromide.

Table (1): Code of the operon primers tested and their nucleotide sequences.

Code	Sequence
$OP-O3$	$5'CTGTTGCTAC$ 3'
OP -O5	5 [′] CCAGTCACT3 [′]
$OP-O7$	$5'$ CAG CAC TGAC3 [']
$OP-O9$	$5'$ TCCCACGCAA $3'$
$OP-B1$	$5'$ GTT TCG CTC C3 [']

Data Analysis:

RAPD assays were repeated twice for each primer and only clear bands were scored, with particular attention to sharp bands. Faint ones were ignored. The data of the primers products were used to estimate genetic similarity (GS) between different pairs of genotypes tested by calculated Dice coefficient according **Sneath and Sokal , 1973**. $GS = 2Nij / (Ni+Nj)$, where Nij is the number of bands present in both genotypes i and j; Ni is the number of bands present in genotype i and Nj is the number of bands present in genotype j. Based on the similarity matrix, a dendrogram showing the genetic relationships between genotypes, was constructed using the NTSYS-pc version 2.21b (published 22 June 2009).www.exetersoftware.com software package. Genetic relationship among the genotypes was estimated with the dendrogram constructed using DICE computer package to estimate the pairwise differences matrix and plot the phonogram among genotypes. Unique bands detected in a particular genotype but not in others were used as positive DNA markers. The absence of a common band for a given genotype was referred to negative specific marker.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

(1) Degree of Polymorphism:

In order to investigate the genetic diversity of the four grapevine genotypes, five informative RAPD primers were selected due to their ability to produce polymorphic and unambiguous markers between them (Fig. 1). The primers revealed total number of 75 amplified fragments (Table, 2). Out of them, 68 were determined as polymorphic amplified fragments size ranged from 260 to 2810 bps and the number of bands per primer varied from 9 (OPO9) to 18 (OPO3). The five primers discriminated all of the studied genotypes and each of such genetic materials showed a unique banding pattern. The most effective primer was OPO3, which produced 18 bands. This primer gave ratio of polymorphic bands (94.4%) which was the highest ratio of polymorphism while the lowest ratio was 75 % (OPO9) as shown in Table (3). The 5 primers used in the present study produced high degree of polymorphism. These primers could be recognized to be appropriate primers for studies related to genetic diversity of grapevine genotypes. The suitability of RAPD technique for genetic diversity studies and grapevine germplasm evaluation has been reported by several authors **(Kocsis** *et al.,* **2005; Bodea** *et al.,* **2009 and Maia** *et al.,* **2009).**

(2) Specific DNA Markers:

 The presence or absence of unique DNA Fragments with different sizes in a particular genotype could be used as positive or negative specific DNA markers for such genotype and might be helpful in genotype identification and discrimination. In the present investigation 38 positive and 13 negative markers were detected by the five tested primers (Table, 2). The marker fragments size ranged from 290 to 2810 bps for the positive markers and from 260 to 1620 bps for the negative marker in genotype No.1 (Barrani landrace) and 9 positive markers (2 from OPB1 at 2750 and 1780bp), (2from OPO3 at 2100 and 1710 bp), (3 from OPO7 at 2180, 1540 and 365 bp) and (3 from OPO9 at 1830, 1580 and 1110 bp) were detected. It is note worthy that no negative markers were observed in the same genotype among the five RAPD primers results.

O 9

grapevine genotypes using the five primers (B1, O3, O5, O7 and O9)

Amplicon	Primer code	bp	1	$\overline{\mathbf{c}}$	3	$\overline{\mathbf{4}}$	MM [*]
AF ₁		2810	$\bf{0}$	$\bf{0}$	$\bf{0}$	1	PM
AF ₂		2750	1	$\bf{0}$	0	0	PM
AF3		2700	$\overline{0}$	$\overline{0}$	$\overline{1}$	$\overline{0}$	PM
AF4		2600	$\overline{0}$	$\overline{1}$	$\overline{0}$	0	PM
AF ₅		1780	1	$\bf{0}$	$\bf{0}$	0	PM
AF ₆		1540	$\overline{0}$	$\overline{0}$	$\overline{0}$	$\overline{1}$	PM
AF7		1430	$\overline{1}$	$\overline{0}$	$\overline{1}$	$\overline{0}$	
AF ₈	B1	1370	$\overline{0}$	$\overline{1}$	$\overline{0}$	$\overline{0}$	PM
AF9		1225	$\overline{1}$	$\bf{0}$	$\mathbf{0}$	$\overline{1}$	
AF10		1160	$\overline{0}$	$\overline{0}$	$\overline{1}$	$\overline{0}$	PM
AF11		1120	$\overline{1}$	$\overline{1}$	$\overline{0}$	0	
AF ₁₂		920	$\overline{1}$	$\overline{1}$	$\overline{1}$	0	
AF13		630	$\overline{1}$	$\overline{1}$	$\overline{1}$	$\overline{1}$	
AF ₁₄		480	$\overline{1}$	$\overline{1}$	$\overline{1}$	$\overline{\mathbf{0}}$	NM
AF15		370	$\overline{0}$	$\overline{1}$	$\overline{0}$	0	PM
AF16		290	$\overline{0}$	$\overline{1}$	$\bf{0}$	$\overline{0}$	PM
AF ₁₇		2310	0	0	$\mathbf{1}$	$\mathbf{1}$	
AF18		2170	$\overline{0}$	$\overline{1}$	$\overline{0}$	$\overline{0}$	PM
AF19		2100	$\overline{1}$	$\overline{0}$	$\overline{0}$	$\overline{0}$	PM
AF20		1950	$\overline{0}$	$\overline{0}$	$\overline{0}$	$\overline{1}$	PM
AF21		1710	$\overline{1}$	$\overline{0}$	$\overline{0}$	$\overline{0}$	PM
AF22		1650	0	$\mathbf 0$	$\overline{1}$	$\overline{1}$	
AF23		1550	$\overline{0}$	1	0	0	PM
AF24		1460	$\overline{1}$	$\overline{0}$	$\overline{0}$	$\overline{1}$	
AF25	O ₃	1345	$\overline{1}$	$\overline{1}$	$\overline{0}$	$\overline{0}$	
AF26		1235	$\overline{\mathbf{0}}$	$\overline{\mathbf{1}}$	$\overline{0}$	1	
AF ₂₇		1190	$\overline{0}$	$\overline{0}$	1	$\overline{0}$	PM
AF ₂₈		1125	$\overline{1}$	1	$\overline{0}$	$\overline{0}$	
AF29		1040	$\overline{1}$	$\overline{1}$	$\overline{1}$	$\overline{1}$	
AF30		850	1	$\overline{1}$	$\mathbf{1}$	0	NM
AF31		730	$\overline{0}$	$\overline{0}$	$\mathbf{0}$	$\overline{1}$	PM
AF32		680	1	$\mathbf{1}$	$\overline{1}$	0	NM
AF33		600	$\bf{0}$	$\bf{0}$	$\overline{0}$	1	PM
AF34		500	$\overline{1}$	$\overline{1}$	$\overline{0}$	$\overline{0}$	

Table (2): RAPD polymorphism in the four grapevine genotypes using the five primers.

MM*: Molecular marker, PM: Positive marker and NM: Negative marker

Table (2) Cont.

MM*: Molecular marker, PM: Positive marker and NM: Negative marker

grapevine genotypes testeu.												
				Genotype								
Primer code	TAF	PВ	P%		1		$\mathbf{2}$		3		4	TSM
				AF	SM	AF	SM	AF	SM	AF	SM	
B1	16	15	93.8	8	$\mathbf{2}$	8	4	6	$\mathbf{2}$	4	4	12
O3	18	17	94.4	9	$\mathbf{2}$	9	$\mathbf{2}$	6	1	8	5	10
O ₅	16	14	87.5	9	0	10	1	7	1	8	5	7
07	16	15	93.8	8	3	7	1	6	1	7	7	12
O ₉	9	7	75	7	3	4	$\bf{0}$	5	0	4	$\mathbf{2}$	5
Total	75	68		41	10	38	8	30	5	31	23	46

Table (3): Amplification results of the five RAPD primers for the four grapevine genotypes tested.

TAF= Total number of amplified fragments, PB = Polymorphic bands, P%= polymorphism percentage, AF= Amplified fragments / genotype, SM= Genotype- specific marker including either the presence or absence of a given band, TSM= Total number of specific markers

(3) *Genetic Similarity:*

According to the similarity matrix of the 4 grapevine genotypes (Table, 4), the highest similarity (0.771) was found between genotypes No.1 and No. 2 (Saint Catherine landrace) while the lowest similarity (0.290) was between genotypes No.2 and No.4 (Muscat of Alex.). The primers used in the present investigation proved to be quite powerful in detecting high polymorphism as well as distinguishing the tested grapevine genotypes. Figure (2) revealed the dendrogram tree of the four grapevine diverse accessions resulting from the UPGMA of values presented in Table (4). Cluster analysis suggests the existence of groups with higher similarities. The two genotypes No.1 and No.2 were clustered together in the main group, while the most distant relationship was scored between genotype No. 4 and all other genotypes tested. In the present investigation, the high level of polymorphism detected using RAPD analysis and the determination of DNA markers, suggested that RAPD approach showed considerable potential for grapevine genotypes identification, discrimination and explaining the interrelationships among genetic materials tested. These findings are more or less in line with those previously reported by **Singh** *et al.* **(2005)** and **Afiah** *et al***. (2008).**

One concern is that much of the genetic variation for improving abiotic stress tolerance has been lost during domestication, selection and modern breeding, leaving pleiotropic effects of the selected genes for development and adaptation. Such genes are critical in matching cultivars to their target agronomic environment, and since there is little leverage in changing these, other sources of variation may be required. In grapevine and many other crops, greater variation to abiotic stresses exists in primitive landraces and newly bred lines gene pools. These markers can be successfully used for identification of the best genotypes associated with stress tolerance gene(s). Similar conclusion was obtained in different grapevine genotypes by **Kim** *et al.* **(2002), Aras** *et al.* **(2005), Solouki** *et al.* **(2007), Salayeva** *et al.* **(2010), Butiuc- Keul** *et al.* **(2010)** and **El-Sayed** *et al***. (2011).**

Table (4): Similarity matrices among four genotypes of grapevine based on RAPD analysis.

Genotypes			
	0.771		
	0.592	0.588	
	0.278	0.290	0.361

1-4:Barrani, Saint Catherine Landraces, Thompson seedless, and Muscat of Alex , respectively

1-4: Barrani landrace, Saint Catherine landrace, Thompson seedless, Muscat of Alex, respectively.

Fig. (2). The genetic distances among four grapevine genotypes using five RAPD primers.

Barrani landrace considered as drought tolerant grapevine genotype against Thompson seedless variety which gave the lowest values of internodes length, leaf area and total chlorophyll content in the second growing season (Table, 5) under low available water added treatment (highest water deficit). The primer OPO3 was more informative than all other primers used as it discriminated the highly tolerant genotype (Barrani landrace) against the moderate one (Thompson seedless) by six and three positive and negative bands, respectively. The primer OPB1discriminated Barrani landrace by four positive bands of amplicon fragments (AF2, 5, 9 and 11) and two negative bands (AF3 and 10) for the sensitive variety Thompson seedless. **Striem** *et al***. (1996)** identified twelve RAPD markers that could be associated to the seedless character. Meanwhile, OPO5 verified the contrasting genotypes by three positive bands (AF38, 39 and 42) and unique negative band (AF37). The primer OPO7 discriminated the same genotypes by three and two positive and negative oligonucleotide fragments, respectively. Also, OPO9 gave three positive (AF 67, 69and 71) and unique negative (AF68) molecular markers for the best potentiality of Barrani grapevine landrace as shown in Table (2). These findings are in line with the earlier reports of **Ren** *et al.* **(2000), Renger** *et al***. (2005), El-Halfawy** *et al***. (2006), Lima** *et al***. (2006)** and **Afiah** *et al***. (2008).**

Genotypes	Treatments	Leaf area (cm ²)	Internodes length	Relative water content %	Total chlorophyll content
	25%	9.82	3.22	73.08	1.12
Barrani	50%	15.64	3.68	75.72	1.86
Landrace	75%	24.92	3.94	81.68	2.14
	100%	23.46	5.60	83.04	2.04
	mean	18.45	4.11	78.38	1.79
	25%	12.08	2.70	73.92	1.48
	50%	16.66	3.58	74.76	1.15
Saint Catherine Landrace	75%	23.86	3.86	81.71	2.00
	100%	21.40	5.26	84.94	1.97
	mean	18.50	3.85	78.83	1.90
	25%	9.34	2.68	73.72	1.30
	50%	15.22	3.74	80.66	2.01
Thompthone	75%	23.82	3.36	81.76	1.79
Seedless Variety	100%	25.58	4.80	87.52	1.96
	mean	18.46	3.64	80.91	1.77
	25%	10.41	2.87	73.57	1.30
Treatments grand mean	50%	15.84	3.67	77.05	1.30
	75%	24.20	3.72	81.71	1.98
	100%	23.48	5.72	85.17	1.99
	Genotypes (G.)	N.S.	0.30	1.13	N.S.
LSD 0.05	Treatments (T.)	1.88	0.42	1.47	0.27
	G. XT.	2.88	NS.	0.45	N.S.

Table (5): Response of the three grape genotypes to irrigation water deficit in 2010 growing season.

REFERENCES

- **Afiah, S.A.; K. I. Zaki and H.I.A. Farag, 2008.** Screening faba bean lines for alternaria spot disease resistance under rain fed conditions at Eastern North Coastal zone. Proc. of Ninth International Conference on Dryland Development Meeting Alexandria. In press.
- **Aras, S., J. B. Polat, D. Cansaran and G. Soylemezoglu, 2005.** RAPD analysis of genetic relations between Büzgülü grape cultivars (*Vitis vinifera*) grown in different parts of Turkey. Acta Biologica Cracoviensia Series Botanka, 47(2): 77-82.
- **Benjak, A., S. Ercisli, A. Vokurka, E. Maletic and I. Pejic, 2005.** Genetic relationships among grapevine cultivars native to Croatia, Greece and Turkey. Vitis, 44: 73-77.
- **Bodea, M.; D. Pamfil; R. Pop and I.F. Pop, 2009.** Use of random amplified polymorphic DNA (RAPD) to study genetic diversity among Romanian local vine (*Vitis vinifera* L.) cultivars. Bulletin UASVM Horticulture,66(1):17-22.
- **Butiuc-Keul, A. L., C. Craciunas, A. Coste and M. Farago, 2010.** Discrimination and genetic polymorphism in several cultivar of grapevine by RAPD markers. Romanian Biotechnology Letters, 15(1): 110-115.
- **Dellaportta, S.I., J. Wood and J.B. Hicks, 1983.** A plant DNA minipreparation: version II. Plant Mol. Biol. Rep., 1: 19-21.
- **El-Halfawy, Kh. A.; S. A. Afiah; K. I. Zaky and A. I. S. Almasry, 2006.** RAPD-Markers for yield ability and disease resistance in barley under rainfed conditions. Egyptian Journal of Desert Research, 56 (2): 363-380.
- **El-Sayed, N.E.; Mervat, M. Hashad and M.M. Shaaban, 2011.** Molecular characterization and discrimination among grapevine cultivars by RAPD markers. Asturalian journal of basic and applied sciences ,5(2):230-235.
- **FAO, 2004.** Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations International Statistics. Crop productions by country include fruit, vegetables, grape and vine. FAO Year Book: production available at http//www.fao.org/documets.
- **Karatash,H.,Y. Sabit Agaoglu, 2008.** Genetic diversity among Turkish local grape accessions (*Vitis vinifera* L.) using RAPD markers. Hereditas, 145: 58-63.
- **Kim, S., J.H. Jeong, S. Kim and K. Pock, 2002.** Parentage identification of doebong grape (*Vitis spp.*) using RAPD analysis. J. Plant Biotechnology, 4(2): 67-70.
- **Kocsis, M., L. Jaromi, P. Putnoky, P. Kozma and A. Borhidi, 2005.** Genetic diversity among twelve grape cultivars indigenous to the Carpathian Basin revealed by RAPD markers. Vitis, 44: 87-91.
- **Lima, J. c. de, A. Crippa, L .da. R. Garrido, U. A. Camargo and P. R. D. de Oliveira, 2006.** Molecular analysis of the seedlessness character in grape using RAPD markers . Crop Breeding and Applied Biotechnology, 6(3):209-214.
- **Maia, S. H. Z.; C. A. Mangolin; S. A. O. Collet and M. F. P. S. Machado. 2009.** Genetic diversity in somatic mutants of grape (*Vitis vinifera* L.) cultivar Italia based on random amplified polymorphic DNA. Genetics . Molecular Research, 8: 28–38.
- **Martinez, L., P. Cavagnaro, R. Masuelli and J. Rodriguez, 2003.** Evaluation of diversity among Argentine grapevine (*Vitis vinifera* L.) varieties using morphological data and AFLP markers. Plant Biotechnology, 6(3): 244-248.
- **Reisch, B.I., 2000.** Molecular markers- the foundation for grapevine genetic mapping, DNA fingerprinting and genomics. Acta Horticulturae, 628: 85-89.
- **Regner, F., A. Stadlbauer and C. Eisenheld, 2005.** Search for molecular markers associated with resistance against powdery mildew of *Vitis vinifera* L. Mitteilungen-Klosterneuburg, Rebe und Wein,Obstbau und Fruchteverwertung, 55(3/4): 67-75.
- **Ren, Z.; O. Lamikanra; J. Lu, 2000.** Identification of a RAPD marker closely linked to the fruit color in moscadine grapes (*Vitis rotundifolia*). Acta Horticulturae, 528: 263-266.
- **Rohlf, F. 2009.** NTSYS-pc:Numerical Taxonomy and Multivariate Analysis System. version 2.21b Exeter publications Setauket, New York.
- **Salayeva, S., E. Akhundova and A. Mammadov, 2010.** Evaluation of DNA polymorphism among cultivated and wild grapevine accessions from Azerbaijan. Czech J. Genet. Plant Breed., 46(2): 75-84.
- **Singh, S.K., R.N. Khawale, Room Singh and Y. Vimala, 2005.** Use of random amplified polymorphic DNA (RAPD) analysis to confirm genetic stability of *in vitro* regenerated grape plantlets. Indian J. Hort., 62(1): 12-15.
- Snedecor, G.W and W.G. Cochran, 1989. Statistical Methods. 8th Ed., the Iowa State University Press Ames. Iowa U.S.A., p.503.
- **Solouki, M., M.R. Nazhad, R. Vignani, B.A. Siahsar, H.Kamaladini and A. Emamjomeh, 2007.** Polymorphism of some Native Sistan grape assessed by long and short primers for RAPD markers. Pak. J. Biol. Sci., 10(12): 1996-2001.
- **Striem M.J., G. Ben-Hayyim and P. Spiegel-Roy, 1996.** Identifying molecular genetic markers associated with seedlessness in grape. Journal of the American Society for Horticultural Science 12: 758- 763.
- **Welsh, J. and M. Mc Clelland, 1990.** Fingerprinting genomes using PCR with arbitary primers. Nucleic Acids Res.,18:7213-7218.
- **Williams, J. K., A.R. Kubelik, J. L. Kenneth, J. A. Roplski and S.V. Tingey, 1990.** DNA polymorphisms amplified by arbitrary primers are useful as genetic markers. Nadlic Acids Research J., 18: 6531-6535.

المفاضلة الجزيئية بين تراآيب وراثية متباينة من العنب بواسطة تحليل PCR-RAPD وعلاقة ذلك بتحمل الجفاف

، عواد محمد حسين ، اسماعيل عبدالجليل حسين ، ياسمين محمد ^١ ^١ ^٢ محمد محمد عطية .
السلاموني⁷ وسامي عبدالعزيز عافية[؛] ` قسم الفاكهة - كلية زراعة الشاطبي - جامعة الاسكندرية
^٢ قسم الانتاج النباتي - مركز بحوث الصحراء - المطرية - القاهرة
محطة بحوث مريوط - مركز بحوث الصحراء - المطرية - القاهرة
^٤ قسم الاصول الوراثية النباتية - مركز بحوث ال

فى هذه الدراسة تم اختبار اربعة تراآيب وراثية من محصول العنب (صنفان وسلالتان وليدتا البيئة Landraces (باستخدام خمسة من البادئات العشوائية المختارة PCR-RAPD والتى تعطى نسبا عالية للتعدد المظهرى Polymorphism تساعد فى التفريق بين مدخلات العنب الوراثية accessions Grapevine.

- باستخدام تفاعل البلمرة المتسلسل PCR اعطت البادئات العشوائية الخمسة المستخدمة ٧٥ حزمة تمثل قطعا صغيرة من الحامض النووى DNA (تتكون كل منها من عشرة تتابعات جزيئية) كان المتباين منها ٦٨ حزمة بنسبة ٩٠،٧ % تعدد مظهرى. كما تميزت كل من التراكيب الوراثية تحت الدراسة بحزمة وحيدة unique او اكثر لكل من البادئات العشوائية المستخدمة فى ما عدا السلالة وليدة بيئة برانى عند استخدام البادىء OPO5 وكذلك الصنف طومسون والسلالة وليدة بيئة سانت كاترين عند استخدام البادىء 9OPO. آما اوضحت النتائج تسجيل ٣٨ آاشف جزيئى موجب (تراوح الحجم الجزيئى لها ما بين ٢٩٠ - ٢٨١٠ bp (بالاضافة الى ١٣ آاشف جزيئى سالب (تراوح الحجم الجزيئى لها ما بين ٣٦٠ - ٢٦٢٠ bp(.

- يعتبر البادىء العشوائى 3OPO هو الاعلى آفاءة حيث امكن بواسطته تمييز كافة التراكيب الوراثية المختبرة بنسبة تباين 4٤٫٤% كما كانت نسبة التماثل فى اعلى درجاتها (٧٧،١%) بين التراكيب الوراثية الاول والثانى (السلالة وليدة بيئة برانى والسلالة وليدة بيئة سانت كاترين) كما كانت اقل ما يمكن(٢٧،٨) بين الاول والرابع (السلالة وليدة بيئة برانى والصنف مسكات اسكندرية). وقد ترجمت شجرة القرابة ذلك حيث كان التركيب الوراثى الاول والثانى فى المجموعة الاكثر قرابة يليها الثالث (الصنف طومسون عديم البذور) فى درجة قرابته لكليهما فى حين كان التركيب الوراثي الرابع هو الاكثر تباعدا عن كافة تراكيب العنب الوراثية المختبرة.

- تؤآد هذه النتائج امكانية توصيف المدخلات الوراثية للعنب بواسطة تفاعل البلمرة المتسلسل لتحليل بادئات التضخيم العشوائى analysis PCR-RAPD آما اوضحت الدراسة وجود تلازم بين ارتفاع درجة تحمل السلالة وليدة بيئة سيدى برانى (الارضية والمناخية) لنقص مياه الرى مقارنة بالصنف الحساس Thompson seedless وستة من الكاشفات الجزيئية الموجبة بالاضافة الى ثلاثة من الكاشفات الجزيئية السالبة عند تحليل نتائج البادئ العشوائى الامثل 3OPO.