GENETIC STUDIES ON STRIPE AND LEAF RUSTS OF BREAD WHEAT UNDER DIFFERENT SOWING DATES Kash, Kawther S.*; A. A. El-Hag**; Z. M. El-Diasty* and M. A. Hussien**

* Dept. of Genetics, Faculty of Agric., Mansoura Univ., Egypt **Wheat Research Dept., Field Crops Research Institute, ARC. Egypt

ABSTRACT

The present experiment was conducted at Sakha Agric. Res. Stn. during 2007/2008 and 2008/2009 wheat growing seasons to estimate the combining ability, type of gene action, heterosis and simple correlation coefficient for some agronomic characters and reaction to wheat stripe and leaf rusts using a diallel cross mating design of eight wheat parental genotypes. These genotypes are P1- Attila-3, P2-Gemmiza 9, P₃- Line 1, P₄- Sids 1, P₅- Sakha 94, P₆- Sakha 69, P₇- Sids 12 and P₈-Sakha 93. Days to heading, days to physiological maturity, plant height, number of spikes / plant, number of kernels / spike, weight of kernels / spike, kernel weight, grain yield / plant and reaction to rust were estimated. Significant mean squares were obtained for genotypes, parents, crosses and parents vs. crosses in all studied characters except for days to heading and number of spikes / plant for parents vs. crosses. The mean squares associated with general combining ability (GCA) and specific combining ability (SCA) were significant for all studied characters, indicating the presence of both additive and dominance types of gene effects. The ratio of GCA/ SCA was more than unity in all studied characters except for kernel weight, indicating the importance role of additive genetic effects. The crosses $P_3 \times P_4$ and $P_3 \times P_6$ gave the most positive significant heterosis values for grain yield / plant relative to mid and better parents, respectively. Moreover, the cross $P_1 \times P_7$ gave the most negative significant heterosis values for leaf rust resistance relative to mid and better parents. The correlation coefficient between grain yield./ plant with each of number of kernels / spike, kernel weight / spike and kernel weight were significantly positive. Meanwhile, the correlation coefficients between leaf rust with each of number of kernels / spike and kernel weight / spike were significantly negative.

INTRODUCTION

Wheat (*Triticum aestivum L.*) is the most strategic cereal crop in Egypt. It is the most important source of stable food grain for urban and rural societies used in human nutrition and as a major source of straw fodder for animal feeding. National wheat production in Egypt, is insufficient to meet local consumption. The domestic wheat production was about eight million tons produced from three million Faddans. However, increased production per area unit appears to be the only possible mean of reducing the wheat gap. The required yield incluse may be achieved by developing highyielding cultivars and simultaneously implementing improved cultural practices. Such improved cultivars must be resistant to serious diseases such as wheat rusts, tolerant to the unfavorable environments and stable in a broad spectrum of environments.

Kash, Kawther S. et al.

Combining ability studies are usually used by wheat breeders to evaluate newly developed genotypes to be used as parents and to assess the gene action involved in various characters. However, the combining ability studies in a specific environment may not lead to precise information because environmental effects play an important role and greatly affect the combining ability values. As a matter of fact, information on combining ability analysis of wheat under varying environmental conditions is scanty. So, it is necessary to assess combining ability components of variance and combining ability x environment interaction for grain yield and its components as well as rust resistance to ensure better production and gain under selection. However, the present study deals with such endeavors to help wheat breeders in their identification of parents and selection strategies.

Stripe and leaf rusts caused by Puccinia striiformis and Puccinia recondita, respectively, are globally important wheat fungal diseases that cause significant grain yield losses. The use of resistance wheat cultivars is the most economic and environmentally safe way to reduce crop losses from rust diseases. However, understanding the genetic behavior of wheat resistance to these diseases are essential for deciding the breeding method that maximizes the genetic improvement of these characters (Shehab El-Din et al., 1991). Wheat resistance to rusts has been documented to be a simple inherited character governed by one, two or a few number of major gene pairs (Dyck 1991and Bai et al., 1997). Also, several investigators indicated that resistance is a quantitative character controlled by many genes as well as the prevailing environmental conditions, (Shehab El-Din et al., 1991; Yadav et al., 1998 and Nawar et al., 2010). Furthermore, the resistance was dominant over susceptibility in most cases, (Shehab El-Din and Abd El-Latif., 1996 : Bai et al., 1997 and Patil et al., 2000), and vice versa was true in others, (Singh et al., 1998 and Ganeva et al., 2001). On the other hand, some cases best fit a simple additive genetic model with no dominance or epistatic interactions, while dominance and / or epistasis were more pronounced and had important roles, (Shehab El-Din et al., 1996; Singh et al., 1998; Zhang et al., 2001; Awaad et al., 2003 and Nawar et al., 2010).

The objectives of this research were to study the inheritance of wheat grain yield and some agronomic traits as well as the genetic behavior of stripe and leaf rusts resistance in two different sowing dates.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This investigation was carried out at Sakha Agricultural Research Station during the two seasons, 2007/2008 and 2008/2009 using eight bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum L.*) genotypes, representing a wide range of diversity for several agronomic characters. The name and pedigree of these parental genotypes are presented in Table 1.

All possible parental combinations without reciprocals were made among the eight genotypes, giving twenty eight crosses. The parental genotypes and F_1 hybrids were planted in the two sowing dates. In each experiment, the genotypes were grown in a random complete block design with three replicates. Each genotype was grown in a single row , 2m long and 30cm apart. The experiment was surrounded by mixed wheat cultivars highly susceptible to strip and leaf rust as a spreader to help in spores decimation of the artificial and/or natural inoculations.

Measurements comprised: days to heading (DH), days to maturity (DM), plant height(PLH), number of spikes/plant(NS/P), number of kernels/spike (NK/S), weight of kernels / spike (WK/S), 100-kernel weight (100KW) and grain yield/plant(GY/P). For stripe and leaf rusts (LR) reactions, the formula of Stubbes *et al.* (1986) And adjusted by Shehab El-Din and Abd El-latif (1996) was used.

Name	Pedigree	Stripe rust reaction	Leaf rust reaction
P1 (Attila-3)	ND/VG9144//KAL/BB/3/YACO/4/VEE#5	R	MS
P2 (Gemmiza 9)	Ald "S" / Huac // Cmh 74A. 630 / Sx CGM 4583-5GM- 1GM-0GM	R	R
P3 (Line 1)	DVERD 2 / AE - SQUARROSA (214)// 2* BCN	MS	MR
P4 (Sids 1)	HD2172 / PAVON"S" // 1158.57 / MAYA74"S" SD 46-4SD- 2SD-1SD-0SD	R	S
P5 (Sakha 94)	OPATA / RAYON // KAUZ CMBW90Y3180-0TOPM-3Y- 010M-010M-010Y-10M-015Y-0Y-0AP-0S	R	R
P6 (Sakha 69)	Inia/RL4220//7C/Yr*S" CM15430-2S-6S-0S-0S	S	S
P7 (Sids 12)	BUC//7C/ALD/5/MAYA74/ON//1160.147/3/BB/GLL/4/ CHAT*S*/6/MAYA/VUL//CMH74A.630/4*SX SD7096-4SD- 1SD-1SD-0SD	R	R
P8 (Sakha 93)	Sakha 92/ TR 810328 S. 8871-1S-2S-1S-0S	R	S

Table	(1):	Name,	pedigree	and	leaf	rust	reaction	for	the	parental
		gen	otypes.							

R, resistance. MR, moderatly resistance. MS, moderatly and susceptable. S, susceptiple.

The data obtained for each character were analyzed on plot mean basis. An ordinary analysis of variance for each sowing date and combined analysis across the two sowing dates were performed according to Snedecor and Cochran (1980). The data were also analyzed using Griffing (1956) method 2 models 1 to estimate general combining ability (GCA) and specific combining ability (SCA) effect. The simple correlation coefficient (r) among all characters in each F_1 population were estimated according to Snedecor and Cochran (1980).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Although Sakha Agricultural Research Station is a hot spot for stripe and leaf rusts, stripe rust disease was not observed in neither this experiment nor the whole wheat research program due to the non favorable environmental conditions during 2008/2009 wheat growing season. Thus, no reliable data of YR reaction were available and hence, this trait was canceled Analysis of variance

The main square analysis for genotypes, parents, crosses and parents vs crosses indicated that difference among genotypes, parents,

Kash, Kawther S. et al.

crosses and parents vs crosses were significant in all the studied characters except for days to heading and number of spikes / plant for parents vs. crosses. These results indicated that there were significant differences among parents, F_1 and the presence heterotic effects (Table 2). The differences among the two sowing dates were significant in all the studied characters except for grain yield / plant. The interaction $G \times SD$, $P \times SD$ and $C \times SD$ was significant in all the studied characters except for plant height and kernel weight at $P \times SD$. Moreover, the interaction $P vs. .C \times SD$ was significant for days to physiological maturity, kernel weight, grain yield / plant and leaf rust indicating that the tested genotypes varied from sowing dates to another. These results are in agreement with those obtained by Salama (2000), El-Beially and El-Sayed (2002), Menshawy *et al.* (2004), El-Borhamy (2005), Chowdhary *et al.* (2007) and Sharshar (2010).

<u>S.O.V</u>	D.F	DH] DM	j PLH	NS/P	NK/S	KW/S	100KW	GY/P	
Sowing dates(SD)	1	327.57	4826.12**	2172.34**	138.73**	181.71**	15.53**	38.98**	3.75	539.70*
Rep. within SD	4	1.52	0.94	7.06	3.70	1.08	0.02	0.31*	2.88	520.96**
Genotypes (G)	35	64.08**	11.55**	219.00**	18.69**	274.20**	0.95**	1.18**	187.79**	2701.17**
Parents (P)	7	20.27**	12.93**	482.07**	17.45**	124.19**	0.41**	0.42**	175.81**	2747.96**
Crosses (C)	27	77.66**	11.25**	152.12**	19.67**	322.55**	1.12**	1.38**	189.05**	2745.32**
PvsC	1	4.00	10.13**	183.54**	1.05	19.04*	0.23**	1.24**	237.75**	1181.89**
G×SD	35	9.85**	5.50**	29.72**	12.10**	115.53**	0.31**	1.05**	71.03**	661.74**
P × SD	7	3.61**	7.59**	6.47	16.49**	180.42**	0.42**	0.16	139.78**	367.42**
C× SD	27	11.83**	5.06**	36.68**	11.40**	102.96**	0.30**	1.27**	55.29**	750.00*
PvsC× SD	1	0.03	2.62**	4.37	0.05	0.90	0.08	1.29**	14.78*	338.79*
Error	140	1.29	1.11	6.82	1.64	3.30	0.02	0.11	3.63	172.55

Tab	e (2): Me	an s	quar	es of	the	genotyp	oes fo	r all	studied	i characters.
		-									

* and ** significant at 0.05 and 0.01 levels of probability, respectively.

Means performance

Mean performance of the parents and their hybrids of all characters are presented in Table 3. Among wheat genotypes, Saka 93 and the cross Sakha 69 × S akha 93 were the earliest in days to heading. Sakha 94 and cross Attila-3 × Sakha 93 were the earliest in days to maturity. For plant height, Sids 1 and the cross Gemmiza 9× Sakha 94 were the tallest genotypes. Sakha 94 and cross Line 1 × Sakha 69 had the highest number of spikes / plant. Among the parental genotypes, Sakha 94 and its cross with Sids had the highest mean values for number of kernels / spike. For kernel weight / spike, Gemmiza 9 and its cross with Sids 12 had the highest value. For kernel weight, Gemmiza 9 and cross Sakha 69× Sids 12 had the heaviest kernels. The highest grain yield was recorded for Sakha 94. Meanwhile, the cross Sids 1 × Sakha 94 exhibited the highest mean value. Among parents, Line 1 was the most resistant to leaf rust and among crosses Gemmiza 9 × Sids 12 was the most resistant for leaf rust.

Stuc	lied C	narac	ters.						
Genotype	DH	DM	PLH	NS/P	NK/S	KW/S	100KW	GY/P	LR]
p1 (ATTILA-3)	99.7	152.0	115.8	17.3	50.9	2.3	4.7	27.1	60.0
p2 (Gemmiza 9)	97.0	155.2	115.0	15.1	54.9	3.0	5.2	29.6	4.8
p3 (Line 1)	95.3	152.5	108.3	15.4	55.5	2.8	5.0	24.5	0.9
p4 (Sids 1)	99.0	155.2	121.7	17.3	60.1	2.7	4.5	28.6	30.0
p5 (Sakha 94)	98.3	151.7	115.0	20.3	63.0	2.9	4.6	38.9	2.1
p6 (Sakha 69)	96.3	154.2	120.8	18.5	49.3	2.3	4.5	36.6	25.0
P7(Sids 12)	96.3	152.7	114.2	16.6	55.4	2.9	4.7	38.2	1.5
P8 (Sakha 93)	94.3	151.8	93.3	18.1	52.6	2.6	5.0	31.5	35.0
Mean of parents	97.0	153.1	113.0	17.3	55.2	2.7	4.7	31.9	19.9
P1×P2	105.0	153.3	111.7	18.6	41.7	2.3	5.7	31.1	58.3
P1×P3	98.8	152.7	117.5	18.6	44.9	2.3	5.1	31.1	16.7
P1×P4	95.7	152.8	113.3	17.9	58.2	2.8	4.9	36.4	48.3
P1×P5	104.2	155.0	118.3	18.0	59.6	2.7	4.6	33.8	26.7
P1×P6	95.7	153.0	111.7	18.8	57.9	3.2	5.5	42.7	51.7
P1×P7	95.2	153.5	115.0	16.2	59.9	2.8	4.6	33.6	2.5
P1×P8	94.8	151.0	117.5	17.5	46.5	2.5	5.5	30.2	46.7
P2×P3	106.8	156.7	115.0	16.0	58.1	2.8	4.9	34.6	51.7
P2×P4	98.8	154.5	118.3	15.4	58.0	2.0	3.5	28.1	56.7
P2×P5	104.7	153.2	125.0	14.2	61.7	2.9	4.8	36.3	4.2
P2×P6	95.7	155.0	120.0	15.7	57.7	2.6	4.4	29.2	48.3
P2×P7	95.3	153.2	110.8	15.2	63.8	3.6	5.7	43.1	0.7
P2×P8	96.8	151.7	115.0	15.2	54.2	2.4	4.5	32.2	6.8
P3×P4	95.3	154.5	121.7	19.6	61.0	3.5	5.7	37.4	9.7
P3×P5	99.2	153.8	120.8	18.5	45.7	2.3	5.1	31.4	6.8
P3×P6	95.8	152.8	116.7	21.9	49.7	2.5	5.0	43.2	31.7
P3×P7	100.2	154.0	105.0	15.7	63.9	3.0	4.7	31.0	36.7
P3×P8	96.0	152.3	104.2	18.4	48.0	2.3	5.0	25.4	4.7
P4×P5	95.5	152.0	120.0	15.4	70.5	3.5	5.0	47.3	4.7
P4×P6	95.3	155.2	116.7	14.5	64.5	3.1	4.9	33.4	40.0
P4×P7	95.2	152.8	112.5	18.2	52.2	2.5	4.7	32.5	26.7
P4×P8	96.7	156.7	113.3	17.6	50.6	2.4	4.8	37.2	55.0
P5×P6	96.0	154.7	123.3	17.1	54.3	2.6	4.8	27.7	9.8
P5×P7	95.8	153.2	117.5	18.2	63.3	3.2	5.0	.37.7	1.8
P5×P8	95.2	155.5	108.3	18.5	55.6	2.5	4.6	29.6	4.2
P6×P7	94.7	152.8	111.7	17.9	57.7	3.2	5.7	45.2	2.5
P6×P8	93.0	153.3	115.0	17.0	43.5	2.2	4.6	30.4	51.7
P7×P8	95.0	153.5	110.8	14.5	63.1	3.2	5.1	31.3	10.3
Mean of F1	97.4	153.7	115.2	17.1	55.9	2.8	4.9	34.4	25.5
Over all mean	97.3	153.5	114.7	17.2	55.7	2.7	4.9	33.8	24.3
L.S.D 0.05	1.30	1.21	3.00	1.47	2.09	0.16	0.38	2.19	15.09
L.S.D 0.01	1.74	1.61	4.00	1.96	2.78	0.22	0.51	2.92	20.10

Table (3): Mean performance of the parents and their F_1 diallel for all studied characters.

Combining Ability Analysis

Data in Table 4 show the mean squares of general combining ability (GCA) and specific combining ability (SCA) and their interactions with sowing dates were highly significant for all studied characters with some exception except for GCA×SD at 100-kernel weight. These findings indicate that GCA and SCA effects of parents and their F1s were in consistent across sowing dates. Also, the results reveal that both additive and non-additive gene effect were detected and responsible for expression of these characters. The ratios of GCA/SCA effects were more than unity for all the studied characters

Kash, Kawther S. et al.

except for 100 – kernel weight. This means that additive effects played the major role in the inheritance of these characters. Consequently, additive type of gene action appeared to be the largest component of genetic variability for these characters. These results are in line with those obtained by Awaad *et al.* (2003), Darwish *et al.* (2006), Chowdhary *et al.* (2007), Shehab Eldeen (2008) and Sharshar (2010).

S. O. V	D.F	DH	DM	PLH	NS/P	NK/S	KW/S	100KW	GY/P	LR
Genotypes (G)	35	64.08**	11.55**	219.00**	18.69**	274.20**	0.95**	1.18**	187.79**	2701.17**
G.C.A	7	46.89**	4.83**	197.21**	10.16**	180.59**	0.40**	0.24**	78.68**	2334.2**
S.C.A	28	14.98**	3.60**	41.95**	5.25**	69.11**	0.30**	0.43**	58.58**	541.9**
G × SD	35	9.85**	5.50**	29.72**	12.10**	115.53**	0.31**	1.05**	71.03**	661.74**
G.C.A × SD	7	6.13**	1.68**	9.43**	4.97**	42.25**	0.13**	0.08	25.42**	232.3**
S.C.A × SD	28	2.57**	1.87**	10.02**	3.80**	37.58**	0.10**	0.42**	23.24**	217.6**
ERROR	140	0.43	0.37	2.27	0.55	1.10	0.01	0.04	1.21	57.5
G.C.A/S.C.A	1	3.13	1.34	4.70	1.94	2.61	1.35	0.56	1.34	4.31

Table	(4):	Mean	squares	for	general	combining	ability	(GCA)	and
		spec	ific comb	ining	j ability (SCA) for all s	studied	charact	ers,

* and ** significant at 0.05 and 0.01 levels of probability, respectively.

General combining ability effects

Based on GCA estimates(Table 5), it could be concluded that the best combiners were Sakha 69 and Sakha 93 for days to heading; Sakha 93 and Attila-3 for days to maturity; Sids 1 and Sakha 94 for plant height; and Attila-3 and Sakha 94 for number of spike / plant; Sids 1, and Sakha 94 for number of kernels / spike; Sakha 94 and Sids 12 for kernel weight/ spike; Attila-3 and Line 1 for kernels weight as well as Sakha 69 and Sids 12 for grain yield / plant. In addition, for leaf rust, the best combiners were Sids 12 and Sakha94.

90	lothe		arnaic	u vijai	aciela.	•			
Parents	DĤ	DM	PLH	NS/P	NK/S	KW/S	100KW	GY/P	LR
P1	1.30**	-0.66**	0.40*	0.55**	-3.12**	-0.14**	0.13**	-1.15**	15.22**
P2	2.15**	0.59**	1.31**	-1.44**	0.31*	0.00	-0.03	-1.08**	1.77*
P3	0.72**	-0.01	-1.52**	0.48**	-1.95**	-0.03**	0.14**	-2.13**	-5.90**
P4	-0.52**	0.69**	2.65**	-0.16	3.32**	0.06**	-0.17**	0.50**	8.24**
P5	1.15**	-0.13	3.06**	0.58**	3.48**	0.10**	-0.11**	1.71**	-15.64**
P6	-1.68**	0.32**	2.40**	0.51**	-1.80**	-0.06**	-0.02	2.05**	6.70**
P7	-1.17**	-0.36**	-2.10**	-0.56**	3.28**	0.26**	0.08**	2.61**	-13.45**
P8	-1.95**	-0.43**	-6.19**	0.03	-3.52**	-0.18**	-0.01	-2.52**	3.07**
L.S.D.05 (gi)	0.15	0.14	0.35	0.17	0.24	0.02	0.04	0.26	1.77
L.S.D.01 (gi)	0.20	0.19	0.47	0.23	0.32	0.03	0.06	0.34	2.35
L.S.D.05(gi-gj)	0.29	0.27	0.67	0.33	0.46	0.04	0.09	0.49	3.36
L.S.D .01(gi-gi)	0.38	0.36	0.89	0.43	0.62	0.05	0.11	0.65	4.46

Table (5): Estimates of	i general	i combining	ability (effects of	f the pare	ntal
aenotype for	all studi	ed character	S.			

* and ** significant at 0.05 and 0.01 levels of probability, respectively.

Specific combining ability effects

Based on the estimates of SCA (Table 6), the best crosses were Sids 1 × Sakha 94 and Gemmiza 9 × Sids 12 for days to heading, Gemmiza 9 ×

J.Agric.Chem. and Biotech.,Mansoura Univ.,Vol. 3 (1), January, 2012

Sakha 93 and Sids 1 × Sakha 94 were the best crosses for days to maturity. For plant height Attila-3 × Sakha 93 and Gemmiza 9 × Sakha 94 showed positive and significant SCA. For number of spike / plant crosses Attila-3 × Gemmiza 9 and Line 1 × Sakha 69 showed positive and significant SCA. For number of kernels / spike the best crosses were Sids 1× Sakha 69 and Sids 1 × Sakha 94. For kernel weight / spike the best crosses were Attila-3 × Sakha 69, Gemmiza 9 × Sids 12 and Line 1 × Sids 1. For kernels weight the best cross was Attila-3× Gemmiza 9 and Line 1 × Sids 1. For grain yield / plant the best crosses were Line 1 × Sakha 69 and Sids 1 × Sakha 94. For leaf rust the hybrids Gemmiza 9× Sakha 93 and Attila-3 × Sids 12 were considered to be the best among the studied crosses.

Crosses	DH	DM	PLH	NS/P	NK/S	KW/S	100KW	GY/P	LR
P1×P2	4.25**	-0.14	-4.79**	2.29**	-11.3**	-0.25**	0.73**	-0.53	17.05**
P1×P3	-0.48	-0.21	3.88**	0.40	-5.74**	-0.25**	-0.01	0.57	-16.9**
P1×P4	-2.41**	-0.74	-4.45**	0.31	2.26**	0.16**	0.05	3.24**	0.58
P1×P5	4.42**	2.24**	0.13	-0.33	3.53**	0.04	-0.33**	-0.59	2.79
P1×P6	-1.25**	-0.21	-5.87**	0.56	7.08**	0.64**	0.51**	7.95**	5.45
P1×P7	-2.26**	0.97*	1.96*	-1.01*	4.01**	-0.10	-0.46**	-1.75*	-23.5**
P1×P8	-1.81**	-1.46**	8.55**	-0.27	-2.59**	0.12*	0.51**	0.02	4.09
P2×P3	6.67**	2.54**	0.46	-0.24	4.01**	0.12*	-0.12	4.00**	31.50**
P2×P4	-0.10	-0.33	-0.37	-0.20	-1.39*	-0.83**	-1,24*	-5.17**	22.36**
P2×P5	4.07**	-0.84*	5.88**	-2.17**	2.13**	0.12*	0.03	1.83*	-6.26
P2×P6	-2.10**	0.54	1.55	-0.60	3.41**	-0.11*	-0.41**	-5.60**	15.57**
P2×P7	-2.95**	-0.61	-3.12**	-0.04	4.45**	0.64**	0.72**	7.69**	-11.92*
P2×P8	-0.66	-2.04**	5.13**	-0.57	1.65*	-0.12*	-0.37**	2.00**	-22.3**
P3×P4	-2.16**	0.27	5.80**	2.06**	3.90**	0.70**	0.82**	5.18**	-16.9**
P3×P5	0.00	0.42	4.55**	0.29	-11.6**	-0.47**	0.14	-2.06**	4.07
P3×P6	-0.50	-1.03**	1.05	3.74**	-2.35**	-0.17**	-0.03	9.45**	6.57
P3×P7	3.32**	0.82*	-6.12**	-1.40**	6.76**	0.08	-0.43**	-3.32**	31.71**
P3×P8	-0.06	-0.78*	-2.87**	0.74	-2.25**	-0.17**	-0.07	-3.75**	-16.8**
P4×P5	-2.43**	-2.11**	-0.45	-2.24**	7.92**	0.61**	0.36**	11.26**	-12.2**
P4×P6	0.24	0.61	-3.12**	-3.00**	7.20**	0.42**	0.17	-3.01**	0.77
P4×P7	-0.45	-1.04**	-2.79**	1.73**	-10.2**	-0.59**	-0.08	-4.44**	7.58
P4×P8	1.84**	2.86**	2.13*	0.54	-4.99**	-0.19**	0.05	5.40**	19.40**
P5×P6	-0.76	0.92*	3.13**	1 15	-3.10**	-0.15**	0.05	-9.95**	-5.52
P5×P7	-1.45**	0.11	1.80	0.99*	0.75	0.06	0.11	-0.46	6.56
P5×P8	-1.33**	2.51**	-3.29**	0.69	-0.13	-0.11*	-0.14	-3.40**	7.56
P6×P7	0.22	-0.68	-3.37**	0.73	0.42	0.26**	0.71**	6.69**	15.0**
P6×P8	-0.66	-0.11	4.05**	-0.76	-6.97*	-0.32**	-0.23	-2.92**	17.60**
P7×P8	0.82	0.74	4.38**	2.12**	7.58*	0.38**	0.10	-2.64**	-3.58
L.S.D.05(sij)	0.83	0.77	1.91	0.94	1.33	0.11	0.24	1.40	9.63
L.S.D.01(sij)	1.10	1.02	2.54	1.25	1.77	0.14	0.32	1.86	12.79
L.S.D.05(sij-sik)	1.23	1.14	2.83	1.39	1.97	0.16	0.36	2.07	14.25
L.S.D.01(sij-sik)	1.63	1.52	2 /6	1.85	2.61	0.21	0.48	2.75	18.92
L.S.D.05(sij-skl)	0.41	0.38	0.94	0.46	0.66	0.05	0.12	0.69	4.75
L.S.D.01(sij-ski)	0.54	0.51	1.25	0.62	0.87	0.07	0.16	0.92	6.31

Table (6): Estimates of	f specific combinir	ng ability e	ffects for	F1 crosses
for all stud	ed characters.			

* and ** significant at 0.05 and 0.01 levels of probability, respectively.

دراسات وراثيه على الصدأ الأصفر و البرتقالي في قمح الخبسز تحست مواعيد زراعه مختلفه كوثر سعد قش *، عبد ريسه عبد العزيسز الحساج **، زكريسا محمد الدياسيطي * و محمد عبد اللطيف حسين ** قسم الوراثه -- كليه الزراعه -- جامعه المنصوره •• قسم بحوث القمح – معهد بحوث المحاصيل الحقلية – مركز البحوث الزراعية

أجربت هذه الدراسة في مزرعة محطة البحوث الزراعية بسخا خلال موسمي القمح ٢٠٠٨/٢٠٠٧ و ٢٠٠٩/٢٠٠٩ للتقدير قوه الهجين و القدره على التألف و نظام الـتحكم الـور أشي و معامل ألار تباط البسيط لبعض الصفات المحصوليه وكل من صفتي المقاومة للـصدأ الاصـفر و صدأ الورقه و قدَّ استخدمت ثمانية أباء (سبعة أصناف و سلاله واحده) من قمح الخبز و تم التهجين فيما بينهما بنظام الهجن الدائرية مع استبعاد الهجن العكسيه و كانت التراكيب ألوراثيه المستخدمه هي اتيلا-٣، جميزه ٩ سلاله ١ سيس ١ سخا ٩٤، سخا ٢٩، سيس ٢ او سخا ٩٣. وقد تم تسبعيل البيانات على كل من صفة عدد الأيام حتى طرد السنابل، عدد الأيام حتى النصب الفسيولوجي، طول النبات، عدد السنابل للنبات ،عدد حبوب السنبلة ، وزن جبوب السنبله ، وزن المائة حبة ، محصول الحبوب للنبات وصفه المقاومه لصدأ الورقة بينما استبعدت بيانات الصدأ الأصفر لعدم كفايتها نظرا لعدم ظهور المرض بصوره كافيه يمكن الاعتماد عليها. و أشارت النتائج وجود تباين معنوى لكل من التراكيب الوراثيه و الأباء و الهجن و الأباء مقابل الهجن في جميع الصفات ماعدا الأباء مقابل الهجن في صفتي طرد السنابل و عدد السنابل للنبات. كما أظهرت نتائج التحليل ان تباين كل من القدر، العامه و الخاصبه على التألف كانت معنويه لجميع الصفات مما يدل على اهميه التباينات الور اثيه المضيفه و السائده و كانت نسبه القدره العامه الى نسبه القدره الخاصب للتسألف اكبر من الواحد لجميع الصفات ماعدا صفه وزن الحبه مما يدل على أهميه التباينات الور الثيه. المضيفه، و اعطت الهجن سلاله ا × سدس ا و سلاله ا × سخا ٢٩ أعلى قيمه معنويه موجبه لقوه الهجين لصفه وزن حبوب النبات نسبه الى متوسط الأبوين و أفضل الأبوين على الترتيب. بينمـــا أعطى الهجين التيلا-٣ × سدس١٢ أعلى قيمه معنويه سالبه لصفه المقاومه لصدأ الورقه نسسبه الى متوسط الأبوين و أفضل الأبوين. وأظهرت النتائج أن معامل الارتباط بين صفه محصول. حبوب النبات و صفات عدد حبوب السنبله ، وزن حبوب السنبله و وزن الحبــه كانــت معنويــه موجبه بينما كان معامل الارتباط بين صفه صدأ الورقه مع صفتي عدد حبوب السينبله و وزن حبوب السنيله ساليا ومعنويا.

	قلم يتحكيم البحث
كلية الزراعة – جلمعة المنصورة	أ.د / على ماهر العدل
مركز البحوث الزراعية	i.د / تاج الدين محمد شهاب الدين