

### Zagazig Journal of Agricultural Research

www.zu.edu.eg/agr/journals



# WHEAT STEM RUST RACE ANALYSIS AND EFFECTIVE GENES AT DELTA EGYPT

Mostafa M. El-Shamy\*, Minaas E.A. Sallam and M.H. Abd-Elkader

Wheat Dis. Res. Dept., Plant Pathology Research Institute, ARC, Egypt

### ABSTRACT

A total of 21 pathotypes of *Puccinia graminis* f.sp.tritici were identified in 2010/2011 and 2011/2012 growing seasons at glasshouse of Gemmeiza Research Station, ARC, Egypt. Stem rust samples were collected from five Egyptian Governorates viz.Gharbia, Menufia, Behera, Demiata and Alexandria. The pathotypes BFBBB, LDCBB, TFLBC, TDHBC and SBBBB were identified in 2010/2011 growing season. However, 16 pathotypes were identified, in 2011/2012 growing season namely; BBBCB, BLCBB, BSGQC, CBCTC, CTJTC, FLGBB, GPGFB, KTGCB, KNCSB, PKCSB, STHGB, RTHTC, TTHSC, TTGTF, TTGBC and TPCTF. While, TTGTF, TTHSC, TPCTF and RTHTC were the most identified virulent pathotypes during the two growing seasons. The *Sr*-genes 24, 31 and 36 showed complete percentage efficacy against the identified stem rust pathotypes indicated the absence of Ug99 which known as TTKS or its variances in Egypt.

**Keywords:** Stem rust, *Puccinia graminis*, race analysis, pathotypes, effective genes.

### INTRODUCTION

Wheat stem rust caused by Puccinia graminis Pers. f. sp. tritici Eriks.& E. Henn. is one of the most devastating diseases affecting wheat world wide. Puccinia spp have afflicted wheat for thousands of years, as references to rust, can be found in the literature of classical Greece and Rome and in the Bible (Chester, 1946). Stem rust is very important disease due to its affect wheat grains quantity and quality (Leonard and Szabo, 2005). Breeding for stem rust resistance needs to great knowledge about its prevalent pathotypes and its virulence frequencies in the country. Unpublished data of wheat Dis.Res.Dept.in 2009/2010 growing season in Egypt revealed that among 122 stem rust isolates only 92 virulent phenotypes were identified. The most effective genes efficacy were Sr 31 (93.44%), Sr 26+99 (90.98%) and Sr 29 (81.96%). Appearance of new stem rust pathotypes might be hiders the efforts of breeders and pathologists to combat rust by releasing resistant wheat cultivars. identification of physiologic race key was based

on 12 differential cultivars and differential set (Stakman et al., 1962). Then, the use of singlegene in differential hosts for race identification was proposed by different systems of nomenclature (Luig and Statler, 1983; Roelfs and Martin, 1984 and Pretorius et al., 2000). In 1999, stem rust has re-emerged as a threat to wheat production with the detection of new pathogen race, Ug99 in Uganda (Pretorius et al., 2000) and later designated as TTKS under North American pathotype nomenclature (Wanyera et al., 2006). A new form of stem rust as TTKSK took several growing seasons from Uganda to Kenya 1999 via Ethiopia and Yemen to reach Iran by 2007. In Tanzania during August 2009, races confirmed by the USDA-ARS Cereal Disease Laboratory, USA were; TTKSK (Ug99), TTKST (Sr24 variant of Ug99) and TTTSK (Sr36 variant of Ug99). Races TTKSK and TTTSK were present in samples collected from the Hanang, and Ngorongoro areas, whilst race TTKST was present in the Karatu and Monduli areas (Report of BGRI, 26 April, 2011). Ug99 pathotype was found to be virulent on stem rust resistance genes Sr5, Sr6,

\*Corresponding author: , Tel.: 0105695654 E-mail address: dr.elshamyy@yahoo.com Sr7b, Sr8a, Sr8b, Sr9b, Sr9e, Sr9g, Sr11, Sr15, Sr17, Sr30, Sr31 and Sr38. Some of which have been extensively used as resistance sources in wheat varieties grown world-wide. Since then, there have been reports of this pathotype as well as a mutant derivative with virulence for Sr24 (Stokstad, 2007 and Jin et al., 2007). Some of these genes, including Sr25, Sr26, and Sr43 from Thinopyrum elongatum, Sr37 and Sr40 from Triticum timopheevii, Sr32 and Sr39 from Aegilops speltoides, and Sr44 from T. intermedium, have been found to be effective against Ug99 pathotype.

The main objectives of this study were, stem rust survey in North Delta region, identification the prevalent pathotypes, its frequency and virulence's on the available stem rust monogenic lines (*Sr,s*) as well as some of the new local Egyptian wheat cultivars during 2010/2011 and 2011/2012 growing seasons.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### Plant Materials

An experiment included a set of 34 isogenic stem rust wheat lines(Sr,s) as well as 5 commercial wheat cultivars from the national wheat program were sown at five Governorates i.e. Gharbia, (Gemmeiza and Tanta), Menufia (Sirs El-Lian), Behera (Etay El-Baroud), Demiata (Kafr Saad) and Alexandria (Nubaria) during 2010/2011 and 2011/2012 growing seasons (Tables 1 and 2). These materials were sown in rows, 3.5 m long, 40 cm apart between rows and 10 cm within plants. All of agriculture practices were carried out as recommended and left to natural field infection.

### Survey of Wheat Stem Rust

Stem rust survey was started from the second half of April up-to the first half of May. A total of 25 and 72 of wheat stem rust samples were collected from the grown materials and locations during 2010/2011 and 2011/2012 growing seasons, respectively. The collected samples were dried at room temperature for 48 h and maintained in pergamin bags over a desiccators containing CaCl<sub>2</sub> and kept in a refrigerator at 2-5°C until used.

## Identification of Wheat Stem Rust Pathotypes

Wheat stem rust pathotypes nomenclature were performed under controlled of glasshouse conditions of Wheat Dis. Res. Dept., Gemmeiza Res. Station, ARC. Stem rust pathogen was initially isolated from each sample and propagated on a highly susceptible wheat cultivar (Morocco) at seedling stage and repeating inoculation using single pustules technique, two-three time for each isolate. Pathotype nomenclature was detected on twenty single-gene wheat lines selected according to the new system nomenclature proposed by Pretorius et al. (2000). According to this system, these differential lines were divided into five groups, 4 lines for each (Table 3).

Infection type for each line\cultivar was recorded at seedling stage using the 0 - 4 scale proposed by Stakman et al. (1962). Infection types (ITs) lower than 3 (0, 0; , 1 and 2) were regarded as incompatible (low IT) whereas ITs 3 and 4 were regarded as compatible (high IT). According to a combination of Srs responses of each group, each pathotype has a code including five letters as shown in Table 3. Also, a virulence\ virulence formula of each pathotype was studied based on the responses of each nearisogenic stem rust line proposed by Green (1966), However, the virulence of the tested Sr genes was estimated according to Samborsky and Dyck (1976) as follow:

The virulent Sr-genes % =  $\frac{\text{No. of susceptible genes}}{\text{Total No. of the tested genes}} \times 100$ 

### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

## Identification of Wheat Stem Rust Pathotypes

No stem rust samples were collected from the local bread wheat cultivars, it could be attributed to early mature of the wheat cultivars and the late occurrence of stem rust infection.

Out of twenty five isolates of stem rust, five pathotypes were identified from the samples collected from the near-isogenic lines in 2010/2011 growing season. These pathotypes could be ranking in descending frequency as,

Table 1. List of thirty- four Sr-genes used for stem rust analysis in North Delta during 2010/2011 and 2011/2012 growing seasons.

No.	Sr-gene	Source	No.	Sr-gene	Source
1	5	Reliance	18	26	Sr26\9 LMPG
2	6	ISr6-Ra	19	27	Coroong(=Sr27Tritical)
3	7b	Marquis	20	<i>28</i>	W2691 Sr28 kt
4	8a	Red Egyptian	21	29	Pusa 4\Etoil de Choisy
5	9a	Red Egyptian	22	30	BtSr30Wst
6	9b	W2691Sr9b	23	31	Sr31(Benno)\6*LMPG
7	9d	Hope	24	32	CnsSr32AS
8	9e	Vernistein	25	<i>33</i>	RL5405
9	9g	Lee	26	35	Mq(2)5*G2919
10	9g 11	Lee	27	<i>36</i>	Sr36(CI 12632)\8*LMPG
11	13	St464Sr13	28	<i>37</i>	W2691SrTt1-2
12	14	Line A seln	29	39	RL6082
13	<i>17</i>	Renown	30	40	RL6088
14	21	Triticum monococum	31	44	Taf-2
15	22	Sr22TB	32	Tmp	CnSSrTmp
16	23	Exchange	33	McN	Houser-McNNair 701
<u>17</u>	24	LcSr24Ag)	_34	Gt	W2691SrGtGt

Table 2. List of the name and pedigree of 5 Egyptian bread wheat cultivars

No.	Cultivar	Pedigree
1	Gemmeiza11	BOW"S"\KVZ"S"\\7C\SER182\3\GIZA168\SAKHA61
2	Misr-1	OASIS\SKAUZ\\4*BCN\3\2*PASTOR
3	Misr-2	SKAUZ\BAV92
4	Sids-12	BUS\\7C\ALD\5\MAYA74\ON\\1160.147\3\BB\GLL\4\CHAT"S"\6\MAYA\VU
		L\\CMH74A.630\4*SX.,SD7096-4SD-1SD-0SD.
5	Sakha-93	SAKHA92\TR810238

Table 3. Differential set of stem rust mono-genic lines and *Puccinia graminis* f. sp. tritici (Pgt) code for pathotype nomenclature

Diff. Set.	Sr-genes				
I*	5	21	9e	7b	
II	11	6	8a	9g	
III	36	9b	30	17	
IV	9a	9d	10	Tmp	
$\mathbf{V}$	24	31	<i>38</i>	McN	
Pgt code					
В	L	L	L	L	
C	L	L	L	H	
D	L	L	H	L	
F	$\mathbf L$	L	H	H	
G	L	H	L	L	
H	L	H	L	H	
J	L	H	H	L	
K	L	Н	H	Н	
${f L}$	H	L	L	L	
M	Ħ	L	L	Н	
N	Н	${f L}$	H	${f L}$	
P	H	L	Н	H	
	Ħ	H	L	L	
Q R	H	H	L	Н	
S	H	H	H	${f L}$	
T	Н	H	<u>H</u>	H	

<sup>\*</sup> Cited after Pretorius et al. (2000).

BFBBB (32%), LDCBB (28%), TFLBC (16%), TDHBC (12%) and SBBBB (12%). While, 16 pathotypes of stem rust were identified out of 116 isolates in 2011/2012 growing season, i.e. BBBCB,BLCBB, BSGQC, CBCTC, CTJTC, FLGBB, GPGFB, KTGCB, KNCSB, PKCSB, STHGB, RTHTC, TTHSC, TTGTF, TTGBC and TPCTF. The pathotypes TTHSC, TTGTF and BLCBB showed the most prevalence since its frequency % was (17.24%) for each. However, the pathotypes GPGFB, KTGCB, PKCSB and RTHTC were the least frequent % (1.72%) for each (Table 4).

Similar pathotypes were identified different countries, Kolmer (2001) reported that race TPMK was the most common stem rust race in the United State since the late 1950s, after the decline of race TMB (race 15B according to the Stakman differential system), which caused such devastating epidemics during 1950-1954 .Kolmer et al. (2007) identified six races of stem rust i.e. OFCS, MCCF, MCCD, TPMK, QCCN and TTTT using four groups of stem rust monogenic lines. Kokhmetova et al. (2011) identified 11 pathotypes of P. graminis in Kazakhstan from samples collected in 2008/2009 i.e TDT\H, TPS\H, TTH\K, TCP\H, PCP\C, PCR\Q, TCK\H, TMR\H, KTH\R, TKT $\C$ , and TFK $\R$ . The pathotypes TDT $\H$ , TPS\H, TTH\K, KTH\R,TKT\C and TFK\R were highly virulent. Rouse and Jin (2011) identified stem rust races QFCSC, TTTTF, and MCCFC in USA.

### Virulence of the Identified Pathotypes

Data in Table 5 reveal the virulent pathotypes based on the susceptibility percentage of the differential Sr-genes. Isolates of P. graminis tritici studied in 2011/2012 growing season were more virulent than those studied in 2010/2011 growing season, Pathotypes TFLBC and TDHBC were virulent on 15 and 13 out of 20 Sr-genes releasing 50.00 and 40.00% (virulent %). However, in 2011/2012 growing season, the pathotype, TTGTF was the most virulent one since showed susceptible response to 15 out of the differential set releasing 75%. While, the pathotypes TTHSC, TPCTF and RTHTC came in the second rank in their virulence's which showed 70%. Hereby, these pathotypes carrying virulent genes in their genetic makeup. The stem rust pathotypes BLCBB and BBBCB were the least virulent one since showed 10.00 and 5.00% virulence,

respectively. No virulence's were detected for the resistance *Sr*-genes 24, 31 and 36 through the two growing seasons,2010/ 2011and 2011/2012 in this study.

Similar results were registered in different countries, Kolmer et al. (2007) found that stem rust pathotypes were virulent on stem rust monogenic lines as follow, OFCS-5, 21, 8, 9g, 17, 9e, 9b, 10; MCCF-5, 7b, 9g, 17, 10, Tmp; MCCD-5, 7b, 9g, 17, 10; TPMK-5, 21, 9e, 7b, 11, 8, 9g, 36, 17, 9b, 10, Tmp; QCCN-5, 21, 9g, 17, 9a, 10; TTTT-5, 21, 9e, 7b, 11, 6, 8, 9g, 36, 9b, 30, 17, 9a, 9d, 10, Tmp. Moreover. Singh et al. (2008) detected eight stem rust resistance genes (Sr5, Sr8a, Sr9g, Sr12, Sr30, Sr31, Sr36 and Sr38) in selected wheat cultivars from UK On the other hand, Jin et al. (2007) reported that Sr24 and Sr36, which showed resistance to the initial forms of Ug 99 are no longer effective against some more virulent forms, so their use is no longer recommended, unless combined with other genes. Whereas, Klindworth et al. (2011) reported that stem rust differential tests coded the race TPPKC which was a virulent on genes Sr6, Sr9a, Sr9b, Sr13, Sr24, Sr31, and Sr38. However, the race TPMKC having added virulence on Sr30 as well as Sr Wld1.

Data in Table 6 show the efficacy percentage of 25 Sr-genes for resistance against 25 and 116 identified pathotypes of P. graminis f.sp. tritici during 2010/2011 and 2011/2012 growing seasons. Some fluctuations in Sr-genes efficacy percentage from the two tested growing seasons due to the appearance of more virulent pathotypes and genotype x season interaction. For example, Sr-genes 11, 9a,10 and Sr Tmp showed complete effectiveness in 2010/2011 growing season (100.00% efficacy), however, their efficacy declined to 12.06, 43.10,44.82 and 58.62%, respectively in 2011/2012 growing season.

In general, the obtained results indicated that *Sr*-genes 24, 31, 36 had the highest mean efficacy percentage against all the identified isolates during the two growing seasons releasing 100.00% efficacy. Therefore, these resistance genes could be exploited in wheat breeding programs for producing new resistant cultivars. However, the *Sr*-genes 30, and 38 came in the second rank as effective genes (97.41 and 88.79% mean efficacy, respectively). *Sr* 8a showed the lowest mean percentage efficacy (24.10%). The other *Sr*-genes were in between, *Sr9g* (33.55% efficacy) to *SrTMP* (79.31% efficacy).

Table 4. Pathotypes of *Puccinia graminis* f.sp. *tritici* identified during 2011 - 2012 seasons and their frequencies

Identification season and pathotypes frequency %					
	2010/2011		2011/2012		
Pathotype	No. of isolates	Frequency %	Pathotype	No. of isolates_	Frequency %
BFBBB	8	32	BBBCB	8	6.89
LDCBB	7	28	BLCBB	20	17.24
SBBBB	3	12	BSGQC	4	3.44
TDHBC	3	12	CBCTC	4	3.44
TFLBC	4	16	CTJTC	4	3.44
			FLGBB	10	8.62
			GPGFB	2	1.72
			KTGCB	2	1.72
			KNCSB	4	3.44
			PKCSB	2	1.72
			STHGB	2	1.72
			RTHTC	2	1.72
			TTHSC	20	17.24
			TTGTF	20	17.24
			TTGBC	6	5.17
			<b>TPCTF</b>	6	5.17
	25			116	

Table 5. Avirulence formula of 25 and 116 *P. graminis* f. sp. *tritici* pathotypes depending on seedling reaction of 25 isogenic stem rust monogenic lines in two seasons 2011-2012

Pathotype	Avirulence\ virulence formulae	Virulent <i>Sr</i> -genes%
	2010\ 2011 season	
TFLBC	11,6,36, 9a,9d,10,Tmp,24,31,38\	50.00
TDHBC	11,6,9g,36,30,9a,9d,10,Tmp,24,31,38\	40.00
SBBBB	7b,11,6,8a,9g,36,9b,30,17, 9a,9d,10,Tmp,24,31,38,McN	15.00
LDCBB	21,9e,7b,11,6,36,9b,30,17, 9a,9d,10,Tmp,24,31,38,McN	15.00
BFBBB	5,21,9e,7b,11,6,36,9b,30,17,9a,9d,10,Tmp,24,31,38,McN	10.00
	2011\2012	
TTGTF	36,30,17,24,31\	75.00
TTHSC	36,30,Tmp,24,31,38\	70.00
TPCTF	6,36,9b,30,24,31	70.00
RTHTC	9e,36,30,24,31,38.	70.00
CTJTC	Sr5,21,9e,36,17,24,31,38\	60.00
TTGBC	36,30,17,9a,9d,10,Tmp,24,31,38\	50.00
PKCSB	21,11,36,9b,30,Tmp,24,31,38,McN\	50.00
STHGB	7b,36,30,9a,10,Tmp,24,31,38,McN\	50.00
KTGCB	5,36,30,17,9a,9d,10,24,31,38,McN\	45.00
KNCSB	5,6,9g,36,9b,30,Tmp,24,31,38,McN.	45.00
GPGFB	5,9e,7b,6,36,30,17,9a,9d,24,31,38,McN	35.00
CBCTC	Sr5,21,9e,11,6,8a,9g,36,9b,30,24,31.38\	35.00
BSGQC	Sr5,21 ,9e,7b ,9g,36,,30,17 ,10,Tmp,24,31,38\	35.00
FLGBB	5,21,6,8a,9g,36,30,17,9a,9d,10,Tmp,24,31,38,McN.	20.00
BLCBB	Sr5,21 ,9e,7b ,6,8a,9g,36,9b,30,9a,9d,10,Tmp,24,31,38,McN	10.00
BBBCB	Sr5,21,,9e,7b,11,6,8a,9g,36,9b,30,17,9a,9d,10,24,31,38,McN	5.00

No.	Sr-gene	Gene ef	ficacy % in	Mean gene efficacy %
		2010/2011 i	2011/2012 season	
ĺ	5	32.00	50.00	41.00
2	21	60.00	44.82	52.41
3	9e	60.00	37.93	48.96
4	7b	72.00	31.03	51.51
5	11	100.00	12.06	56.03
6	6	72.00	46.55	59.27
7	8a	12.00	36.20	24.10
8	9g	24.00	43.10	33.55
9	36	100.00	100.00	100.00
10	9b	72.00	37.93	54.96
11	30	100.00	94.82	97.41
12	<i>17</i>	72.00	46.55	59.27
13	9a	100.00	43.10	71.55
14	9d	88.00	41.37	64.68
15	10	100.00	44.82	72.41
16	Tmp	100.00	58.62	79.31
17	24	100.00	100.00	100.00
18	31	100.00	100.00	100.00
19	<i>38</i>	100.00	77.58	88.79
20	McN	72.00	43.10	57.55

Table 6. Efficacy percentage of genes for resistance to the used stem rust pathotypes

### REFERENCES

- Chester, K.S. (1946). The nature of prevention of the cereal rusts as exemplified in the leaf rust of wheat. Waltham, MA: Chronica Botanica
- Green, G.J. (1966). Stem rust of wheat, ray and barley in Canada in 1965. Can.J. Plant Dis. Survey, 46:27 -32.
- Jin, Y., Z.A. Pretorius and R.P. Singh (2007). New virulence within race TTKS (Ug99) of the stem rust pathogen and effective resistance genes. Phytopathology, 97:S137.
- Klindworth, D.L. J.D. Miller, N.D. Williams and S.S. Xu (2011). Resistance to recombinant stem rust race TPPKC in hard red spring wheat, Theor. Appl. Genet., 123 (1): 159-167
- Kokhmetova, A., A. Morgounov, S. Rsaliev, A. Rsaliev, G. Yessenbekova and L. Typina (2011). Wheat germplasm screening for stem rust resistance using conventional and molecular techniques. Czech. J. Genet. Plant Breed., 47 (Special issue): 146–156.

- Kolmer, J.A. (2001). Early research on the genetics of *Puccinia graminis* and stem rust resistance in wheat in Canada and the United States. In 'Stem rust of wheat: from ancient enemy to modern foe'. (Ed. P Peterson), pp: 51–82. (APS Press: St. Paul, MN).
- Kolmer, J.A., A.Y. Jin and D.L. Long (2007). Wheat leaf and stem rust in the United States. Australian Journal of Agricultural Research, 58: 631–638.
- Leonard, K.J. and L.S. Szabo (2005). Stem rust of small grains and grasses caused by *Puccinia graminis*. Mol. Plant Pathol., 6:99-111.
- Luig, NH. and B.D.H. Statler (1983). A new international classification system for *Puccinia graminis* f. sp. *tritici*. Page 138 in: Proc.4<sup>th</sup> Int. Congr. Plant Pathol., November (1983), Melbourne, Australia.
- Pretorius, Z.A., R.P. Singh, W.W. Wagoire and T.S. Payne (2000). Detection of virulence to wheat stem rust resistance gene *Sr31* in *Puccinia graminis* f. sp. *tritici* in Uganda. Plant Disease, 84: 203 (abstract).

- Roelfs, A.P. and J.W. Martins (1984). Proposal for an international system of race nomenclature for *Puccinia graminis* f. sp. *tritici*. Pages 99 102. In: Proc. 6<sup>th</sup> Eur, Mediter. Cereal Rusts Conf., 4-7 Sept., 1984, Grignon, France.
- Rouse, M.N. and Y. Jin (2011). Genetics of Resistance to Race TTKSK of *Puccinia graminis* f. sp. *tritici* in *Triticum monococcum*. Phytopathology, 101 (12):1419-1423.
- Samborsky, D.J. and P.L. Dyck (1976). Inheritance of virulence in *Puccinia recondita* on six back cross lines of wheat with single genes for resistance to leaf rust. Can. J. Bot., 54: 1666 1671.
- Singh, D., R.F. Park, R.A. McIntosh and H.S. Bariana (2008). Characterization of stem rust

- and stripe rust seedling resistance genes in selected wheat cultivars from the United Kingdom. Journal of Plant Pathology, 90 (3): 553-562.
- Stakman, E.C., D.M. Stewart and W.Q. Loegering (1962). Identification of physiologic races of *Puccinia graminis* var. *tritici*. U.S.D.A., Agric. Res. Serv. Bull. E., 617, pp 53.
- Stokstad, E. (2007). Deadly wheat fungus threatens world's breadbaskets. Science, 315:1786-1787.
- Wanyera, R., M.G. Kinyua, Y. Jin and R. Singh (2006). The spread of stem rust caused by *Puccinia graminis* f. sp. *tritici*, with virulence on *Sr31* in wheat in Eastern Africa. Plant Disease, 90:113 (POI/ 10.1094/PP/90/0113A).

## تحليل سللالت صدأ الساق في القمح والجينات الفعالة في دلتا مصر

مصطفى محمود الشامي \_ ميناس السيد على سلام محمد عبدالقادر حسن

قسم بحوث أمراض القمح - معهد بحوث أمراض النبات - مركز البحوث الزراعية - مصر

تم إجراء هذا العمل بالصوبة الزجاجية المكيفة للصدأ الأسود المقامة بمحطة البحوث الزراعية بالجميزة – قسم بحوث أمراض القمح – مركز البحوث الزراعية مصر. ولقد أظهرت النتائج المتحصل عليها الآتى: تم تعريف واحد وعشرون المراض القمح على عدد عشرون سلالة من الأقماح طراز من الفطر بكسينيا جرامينيز تريتيساى المسبب لمرض صدأ الساق فى القمح على عدد عشرون سلالة من الأقماح أحادية الجين فى طور البلارة خلال علمي الدراسة ٢٠١١/٢٠١ – ٢٠١١/٢٠١ م وذلك تحت ظروف الصوبة الزجاجية بعد جمع عينات قمح مصابة بصدأ الساق الأسود من خمس محافظات وهي الغربية-المنوفية – البحيرة – دمياط والإسكندرية عرفت خمس طرز من الفطر خلال موسم ٢٠١١/٢٠١ وهي ٢٠١٢/٢٠١ وهي BBBB, LDCBB, TFLBC, وهي الغربية-المنوفية – البحيرة – تمياط BBCB, BLCBB, وهي ٢٠١٢/٢٠١ وهي وهي BBCB, BLCBB, BLCBB, وهي ٢٠١٢/٢٠١ وهي موسم المراز الميام المراز الميام المراز الميام المراز القطر التي تم تعريفها خلال موسمي الدراسة مما يؤكد عدم وجود طرز الفطر يو جي ٩٩ أو أحد طفر اتها في مصر.